

Section 101

(2) New Budget Authority:	
FY 2009	3,674.397
FY 2010	2,888.696
FY 2011	2,844.909
FY 2012	2,848.114
FY 2013	3,012.188
FY 2014	3,188.874
(3) Budget Outlays: FY2009	
FY 2009	3,358.510
FY 2010	3,003.315
FY 2011	2,968.399
FY 2012	2,882.772
FY 2013	3,019.399
FY 2014	3,174.863

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—S. CON. RES. 13; REVISIONS TO THE CONFERENCE AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 311 DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND FOR THE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION AND SECTION 307 DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND FOR AMERICA'S VETERANS AND WOUNDED SERVICEMEMBERS

[In millions of dollars]

Current Allocation to Senate Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions Committee:	
FY 2009 Budget Authority	-22,436
FY 2009 Outlays	-19,058
FY 2010 Budget Authority	4,487
FY 2010 Outlays	1,526
FY 2010-2014 Budget Authority	50,349
FY 2010-2014 Outlays	44,474
Adjustments:	
FY 2009 Budget Authority	0
FY 2009 Outlays	0
FY 2010 Budget Authority	0
FY 2010 Outlays	0
FY 2010-2014 Budget Authority	17
FY 2010-2014 Outlays	17
Revised Allocation to Senate Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions Committee:	
FY 2009 Budget Authority	-22,436
FY 2009 Outlays	-19,058
FY 2010 Budget Authority	4,487
FY 2010 Outlays	1,526
FY 2010-2014 Budget Authority	50,366
FY 2010-2014 Outlays	44,491

FURTHER CHANGES TO S. CON. RES. 13

Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, section 401(c)(5) of S. Con. Res. 13, the 2010 budget resolution, permits the chairman of the Senate Budget Committee to adjust the section 401(b) discretionary spending limits, budgetary aggregates, and allocations pursuant to section 302(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 for the aggregate difference for discretionary appropriations in 2010 and related outlays between the Congressional Budget Office's reestimate of the President's budget and the Office of Management and Budget's original estimate of such policies.

On May 29, the Congressional Budget Office released its reestimate of the

President's request for discretionary appropriations. Based on that reestimate, I am revising both the discretionary spending limits and the allocation to the Senate Committee on Appropriations for discretionary budget authority and outlays. As specified by section 401(c)(5), the adjustment reflects the aggregate difference in budget authority in 2010 between the CBO reestimate and the original OMB estimate of the President's request for discretionary spending, as well as the related outlays. For 2010, I am revising the amount of budget authority by \$3.766 billion and the amount of outlays by \$2.355 billion. In addition, I am similarly adjusting the budgetary aggregates consistent with section 401(c)(5) of S. Con. Res. 13. In addition to the 2010 adjustments in budget authority and outlays, I am adjusting outlays in fiscal years 2011 through 2014 to reflect further changes in outlays that result from the adjustment in budget authority in 2010.

I ask unanimous consent that the following revisions to S. Con. Res. 13 be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—S. CON. RES. 13; REVISIONS TO THE CONFERENCE AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 401(c)(5)—REVISED APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010

[In billions of dollars]

Section 101	
(1)(A) Federal Revenues:	
FY 2009	1,532.571
FY 2010	1,653.722
FY 2011	1,929.684
FY 2012	2,129.674
FY 2013	2,291.204
FY 2014	2,495.884
(1)(B) Change in Federal Revenues:	
FY 2009	0.000
FY 2010	-12.264
FY 2011	-158.947
FY 2012	-230.719
FY 2013	-224.133
FY 2014	-137.774
(2) New Budget Authority:	
FY 2009	3,674.397
FY 2010	2,892.462
FY 2011	2,844.909
FY 2012	2,848.114
FY 2013	3,012.188
FY 2014	3,188.874
(3) Budget Outlays:	
FY 2009	3,358.510
FY 2010	3,005.670
FY 2011	2,969.115
FY 2012	2,883.130
FY 2013	3,019.578
FY 2014	3,174.976

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—S. CON. RES. 13; REVISIONS TO THE CONFERENCE AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 401(c)(5) TO THE ALLOCATION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY AND OUTLAYS TO THE SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE AND THE SECTION 401(b) SENATE DISCRETIONARY SPENDING LIMITS

In millions of dollars	Initial allocation limit	Adjustment	Revised allocation limit
FY 2009 Discretionary Budget Authority	1,480,686	0	1,480,686

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010—S. CON. RES. 13; REVISIONS TO THE CONFERENCE AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 401(c)(5) TO THE ALLOCATION OF BUDGET AUTHORITY AND OUTLAYS TO THE SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE AND THE SECTION 401(b) SENATE DISCRETIONARY SPENDING LIMITS—Continued

In millions of dollars	Initial allocation limit	Adjustment	Revised allocation limit
FY 2009 Discretionary Outlays	1,247,230	0	1,247,230
FY 2010 Discretionary Budget Authority	1,082,255	3,766	1,086,021
FY 2010 Discretionary Outlays	1,304,885	2,355	1,307,240

CLEAN WATER RESTORATION ACT

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, we all know that one word can make a world of a difference, especially in Washington. Some are advocating for the removal of the word "navigable" from the Clean Water Restoration Act. Doing so would give the government control over all wet areas in the country. In this case, one word will send common sense soaring out the window.

It snows in Wyoming. When the snow melts, it often leaves large puddles on ranches and farms across the State.

The Federal Government should not be regulating mud puddles.

This proposal will be detrimental to Wyoming's farmers and ranchers. We have been living out here for a long time quite successfully without the "helpful hand" of Washington.

A recent article printed in the June edition of the Wyoming Farm Bureau Federation's newspaper, "Wyoming Agriculture" really hit home. I recommend my colleagues read the article by Kerin Clark. I believe it is an accurate reflection of the feelings of Wyoming farmers and ranchers on this issue. I ask unanimous consent that it be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

What's in one word?

Deletion of "navigable" from CWA would have far-reaching consequences

Federal control of a ditch or grass waterway that is only filled with water after a rainstorm. Sound outlandish? Not, if the term "navigable" is deleted from the Clean Water Act and that is just what proponents of the Clean Water Restoration Act (CWRA) are pushing to do.

"This proposal, if passed, would clearly define intrastate waters as waters of the United States and give control to areas that only have water during rainfall events," Don Parrish, American Farm Bureau Federation (AFBF) Senior Director, Regulatory Relations, stated. "It is clearly the largest expansion of the Clean Water Act since it was passed in 1972."

The deletion of the term "navigable" from the Clean Water Act could have grave consequences for Wyoming water.

"Under both proposals the sponsors make it explicit they intend to roll-back the Supreme Court decision in SWANCC which gives the opportunity for agencies to regulate intrastate water," Parrish continued.

"Both bills also intend to roll-back the Supreme Court decision in Rapanos," He explained. "This was about ephemerals a loosely defined set of waters, what the Corp of Engineers and EPA define as only having water