Those people just bought the Democratic talking points and didn't bother to check to see why it was that they are saying that it won't cost over $3,000. From what I have read, apparently they are saying it won't cost over $3,000 because even though the average family will pay more than $3,000 additionally because of this bill, they are saying what you will get back from the government in the way of services and benefits will be a wash because of all that you will get out of the government as a result of that extra $3,000 you pay for energy in the first year. It won't be that much, because you will be grateful for all you get. Baloney.

And another thing we heard in debate on the floor today about was, gee, the AFL–CIO leaders and other union leaders, we heard these union leaders were in support of this bill. Well, how about that? They were in favor of the government taking over GM and Chrysler. Why? Because they got a deal. They get to own the companies. Who knows what they have promised the union leaders to support this ''crap-and-trade'' bill.

It is a sad, sad day for America because the rank-and-file people in America are going to pay a severe price. This intrudes into their lives so much. And for my unfortunate Democratic friends who have not read this, they said, no, no, no. This will provide jobs, not take jobs. They just need to go to section 426 where it talks about the climate change adjustment allowance because there are provisions in it. They know that people are going to lose their jobs as a result of this bill. So it is built in here.

Now, you have to understand, though, it says here, you won't get such allowance for the first week you are unemployed. But then it will kick in after that. There is good stuff here. Over here it does mention that you're not going to get an adjustment allowance for that first week either that you're unemployed. They know this is going to cost so many jobs.

There is climate change adjustment assistance and relocation assistance. Unfortunately, it is not going to pay you to go get your job back from China, India, Brazil and Latin America. So that part of the relocation is not going to help. But I'll tell you the one that just galled me to no end. It says here, absolutely part of the law, the Secretary shall conduct a study to examine the circumstances of older adversely affected workers.

In other words, if you're over 50 or so and you lose your job—because you're going to, you're going to lose a lot of jobs here—and you lose your job, when you do as a result of this bill, don't worry. We are going to do a study about you and your lost job. That will warm your heart, won't it? It won't keep you warm on a cold night next winter when you lost your job as a result of this bill.

But the good news is, the Senate has still not acted. Mr. Speaker, it is not too late for people to let their Senator know, look, I know you're a Democrat. I know the pressure is enormous. I know they are promising you all kinds of things to get you to vote for this bill. But don't get sucked in, because we will be the ones, the constituents will say, for paying the price for your sin and error.

I would like to yield to my friend, Judge POE, in our last few minutes.

Mr. POE of Texas. Thank you for yielding.

The concern that I have about this bill is that, as I mentioned at the outset, we love the fact that we are a free people and that we are an independent Nation. This bill makes us dependent on government. It will control our lives. We have to get permission from the government for every action we will take as individuals and as businesses. We do not have free will to make decisions, because the government won't let us have that free will to make decisions. Decisions will be made by the government. The government picks winners and losers in that bill because it creates great subsidies to some people to make them more dependent on government and government control.

That is not what America is about. America is about freedom. It is not about dependence.

So the sad part about the bill is the aspect that it creates right here in Washington, D.C., as Mr. CONAWAY said, the center of the universe to some, control over everybody from Indiana to Texas to California to Hawaii to Florida. And that ought not to be.

Mr. GOHMERT. Thank you, and I appreciate your observations. I would like to also observe, though, we heard during the debate today that the National Association of Realtors was supporting this. Obviously they didn't know about the 300 pages added at 3:08 a.m. this morning, because whoever that Realtor was that pushed that should lose their job because it is going to cost Realtors jobs. It is going to cost them commissions. It is going to cost them royally.

With that, Mr. Speaker, I would yield back time.

OMISSION FROM THE CONGRESSIONAL RECORD OF THURSDAY, JUNE 25, 2009, AT PAGE H7437

RELATING TO IMPEACHMENT PROCEEDINGS OF JUDGE SAMUEL B. KENT—MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE (H. DOC. NO. 111–53)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the Senate; which was read and referred to the managers on the part of the House appointed by House Resolution 565 and ordered to be printed:

I, Nancy Erickson, having custody of the seal of the United States Senate, hereby certify that the attached record is a true and correct copy of a record of the United States Senate, received by the United States Senate Sergeant at Arms from Samuel B. Kent on June 24, 2009, and presented to the Senate in open session on June 25, 2009.

In Witness Whereof, I have set my hand and caused to be affixed the Seal of the United States Senate at Washington, D.C., this 25th day of June, 2009.

I, Samuel B. Kent, Judge of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, hereby tender my resignation as a Federal District Judge effective 30th June 2009.

SAMUEL B. KENT,

Dated 24 June 2009.

Witnessed: Terrance W. Gainer; 4:44 p.m., Andrew B. Willison.

SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to:

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. PETERS) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Ms. WOOLSEY, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. PETERS, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. SCHIFF, for 5 minutes, today.

Ms. KAPTUR, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. SPRATT, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. DOGGETT, for 5 minutes, today.

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas, for 5 minutes, today.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. CONAWAY) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. MCCLINTOCK, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. CONAWAY, for 5 minutes, today.

(The following Member (at his request) to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. BOCCIERI, for 5 minutes, today.

BILL PRESENTED TO THE PRESIDENT

Lorraine C. Miller, Clerk of the House reports that on June 26, 2009 she presented to the President of the United States, for his approval, the following bills.

H.R. 1777. To make technical corrections to the Higher Education Act of 1965, and for other purposes.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. GOHMERT. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Senate Concurrent Resolution 31, 111th Congress, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 9 o'clock and 21 minutes p.m.), the House adjourned until Tuesday, July 7, 2009, at 2 p.m.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of Rule XXIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows: