provide assistance to 95 percent of Nevadans in the form of tax cuts.

Over the past few months, the Making Work Pay tax credit has put extra money in the pocket of workers. Nevada has already received more than $75 million to extend unemployment benefits for those struggling to find work. Seniors and veterans have received a $250 recovery payment, and schools in Nevada got $340 million to keep teachers from being laid off and to develop programs. Funding through the recovery package has also helped Nevada's efforts to create a clean energy economy. Just yesterday, $13.8 million was announced from the Department of Energy to help fund energy initiatives that will lead us to the next steps to creating clean energy jobs. Clearly the Recovery Act has helped the people in Nevada.

WHERE ARE THE JOBS?

(Mr. LEE of New York asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. LEE of New York. For months now my constituents in western New York have been asking, where are the jobs? Well, take a look around. Are they in the recently passed national energy tax that devoted more than 50 of its 1,300 pages to lightbulb regulation and just two paragraphs on carbon-free nuclear energy? Or are they in the thousand-page, government-sponsored health care proposal without so much as a mention for malpractice liability reform to dramatically reduce premiums on struggling Americans? Or maybe they're in the recently passed $700 million welfare program for wild horses.

The majority has shown it doesn't know how to create new jobs, outside of those for new Federal bureaucrats, but it certainly knows how to create new burdens for our children and grandchildren. This week alone, our Treasury is set to sell off a record $205 billion in debt. Let's start working together to implement responsible solutions to the serious challenges facing our Nation.

□ 1345

WHO DO YOU TRUST MORE, POLITICIANS OR DOCTORS?

(Mr. JORDAN of Ohio asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. JORDAN of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, last week at the President's press conference, the American people got a firsthand glimpse of the attitude they can expect if, in fact, there is a Federal takeover of health care.

When the President made this incredible statement, when he said in that press conference that some doctors will take out a child's tonsils not because it's in the best interest of that patient but because they make more money, it makes you think about this:

If you go out and talk to any 100 people across this country and ask them the question, ''Who do you trust more, politicians or your doctors?'' my guess is 100 percent of them would say their doctors. Yet the President made that statement.

What we need in this health care debate and what we need in health care reform is a model that says that you and your family and your doctor will make your health care decisions, not some Federal board in Washington that thinks they're all-knowing.

WHERE ARE THE JOBS?

(Mr. GOODLATTE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, where are the jobs?

They're certainly not in the so-called ''stimulus package'' that passed this Congress and that hasn't created any jobs. In fact, we've lost millions of jobs since that package passed. They are certainly not in the cap-and-tax legislation that passed this Congress 6 weeks ago. That legislation will cost millions of American jobs. Mr. Speaker, they most certainly are not in this so-called ''health care bill'' that the Democrats are offering today, which will cost an estimated 4.7 million jobs as employers find they can't pay the taxes being imposed upon them and as we see those jobs going overseas to countries where they can afford to do business.

This is not the right way to preserve the choice for the American people in their health care. This is not the right way to make sure that our health care in this country is available to the many, many hundreds of millions of people who receive it today. We need to reform our health care system with legislation that deals with medical malpractice reform, with association health plans, with things that cut down on the cost before we address this massive tax increase.

ASSUMING NO RESPONSIBILITY

(Mr. DANIEL E. LUNGREN of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DANIEL E. LUNGREN of California. Mr. Speaker, in the debate that we've had over health care, we Republicans have attempted to try and communicate our concerns to the American people. We have developed a simple chart that explains the bureaucratic morass that will exist between you, the individual—the patient—and your doctor, but we've been told we can't send this out because the majority party objects to it.

First of all, they said they didn't know whether it was true. Secondly, they said we didn't somehow substantiate everything. Thirdly, they don't like ''House Democrats' health plan'' here. So they suggested that maybe we should put on some sort of disclaimer, so I've come up with a disclaimer. We've tried to figure out what will work:

''The Democratic Party assumes no responsibility for providing this information to the American people.'' Maybe they don't like that. ''The majority party assumes no responsibility for providing this information to the American people.'' ''The House Democrats assume no responsibility.'' Finally, maybe this is what we ought to put up here, Mr. Speaker: ''The President and the House Democrats assume no responsibility for providing this information to the American people because they know, if the American people knew this is what would happen to them and that this is what would be put between them and their doctors, they wouldn't support it.''

HEALTH CARE REFORM

(Mr. SCHAUER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. SCHAUER. Mr. Speaker, while we can argue over the details of health care reform legislation, we know one thing for sure: Costs are guaranteed to increase if we do nothing. The status quo is unsustainable and unacceptable. Unfair trade deals and skyrocketing health care costs have devastated manufacturing in my State of Michigan over the past decade.

Last month, in my district, I heard from a small manufacturer at a health care forum in Tecumseh, Michigan. Karalyn Roesch told me that her manufacturing firm employs seven people in Lenawee County and that it covers 100 percent of her employees' health care insurance costs.

She said, ''We are trying to do the right thing for our employees. Yet we have to compete with those who provide little or no health care.''

She said that a quality, affordable health care system that covered every American would not only provide needed care for the uninsured but that it would also help level the playing field for small business owners like her.

It's time to put partisan politics aside on this issue and put companies like Roesch Manufacturing first. We need a uniquely American health care system that costs less and that covers more to help small businesses compete in our global economy.

A GOVERNMENT TAKEOVER IS NOT THE SOLUTION

(Mr. WALDEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WALDEN. Mr. Speaker, I was a small business owner for 21 years. There is no question that it's time to reform the health care system, but a government takeover is not the solution. Putting a government bureaucrat