

agree with them. I don't want the next generation to be burdened with the decisions that we make here today and I don't want to leave them with air they can't breathe, water they can't drink, and destroyed infrastructure up and down the coastline.

We need to address this issue now. I look forward to working with my colleagues on addressing global warming.

I commend the gentleman from Massachusetts again for his extraordinary work on global climate change issues.

#### CLIMATEGATE SCANDAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. MARKEY of Colorado). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. POE of Texas. Madam Speaker, it seems the science behind man-made global warming is melting before our eyes. Now there is a chance that even NASA will be pulled into the worldwide Climategate scandal.

□ 1845

For nearly 3 years, NASA has been stonewalling requests under the Freedom of Information Act for information surrounding their own temperature manipulations. Earlier, we learned that the University of Anglia in England where those global warming scientists house themselves had been hiding emails that contradict their theory of global warming.

So now Climategate has a twin sister, NASagate. Investors' Business Daily reported just yesterday on NASA being forced to change their climate records that the world has been using for years. They said, "NASA was caught with its thermometers down when James Hansen, head of NASA's Goddard Institute for Space Studies, announced that 1998 was the country's hottest year on record, with 2006 the third hottest."

The last speaker, with all due respect, used these false statistics in his speech claiming global warming is a crisis. The fact is: "NASA and Goddard were forced to correct the record in 2007 to show that 1934, decades before the old SUV, was in fact the warmest. In fact, the new numbers show that four of the country's 10 warmest years were in the 1930s."

So how did NASA, the premier scientific agency of the United States, get such basic temperature calculations wrong? Did they cook the books too, just like the University of Anglia? We don't know. It turns out NASA has been blocking the Freedom of Information requests about that incident just like the scientists in Britain. What are they trying to hide? If global warming is a well-settled fact, why are these experts hiding the evidence to the contrary? And why isn't NASA following the Freedom of Information law? It's been 3 years since that information was requested. The public has a right to see the temperature data in these NASA emails. But there's more.

Earlier this year, the Environmental Protection Agency was caught suppressing dissenting views, just like the Climategate warmers in Britain and NASA. One of the EPA's own scientists wrote a report refuting manmade global warming science, using the latest, most current information that says the Earth is actually cooling right now. In fact, the Earth has been cooling for more than a decade. That's really an inconvenient truth for Al Gore and the global warmers.

But the people at the EPA buried the dissenting report, just like the Climategate warmers did and maybe NASA. The EPA bureaucrats said their scientist's own report wasn't helping their agenda, so they hid it and threatened the scientist so he would keep his mouth shut. The question is: Why can't the public see the dissenting view from other scientists? Isn't that what science is all about? The reason: It appears to me that careers are at stake, along with millions upon billions of dollars.

In the 1970s, Time and Newsweek predicted global cooling, that the world was all going to freeze. But when climates began to warm, scientists changed that name to global warming instead of global cooling. And have we noticed that the planet has actually began to cool again? Madam Speaker, it even snowed last week in Houston. It never snows in Houston. A snow in Houston is about as frequent as a hurricane in Iowa.

But the warmers, again, have changed the name of that catastrophe. It's now no longer global warming; it is climate change. That's a safe bet, because the climate does change almost every day. And why would they do this? What's the motivation for these scientists to apparently cook the books on global cooling or warming or climate change? It's money.

According to the leaked Climategate documents, the British university, the CRU at the center of the Climategate scandal, has received millions of dollars. NASA's climate change warmers stand to receive a billion dollars in funding this year alone. Global warming is big business. Fox News reported today that former Vice President Al Gore may be the world's first carbon billionaire. He makes money preaching fear in the name of global warming.

It's a great thing to make money in America. That's what capitalism is all about. But it's not okay to earn money from investing in green technology companies and, at the same time, forcing expensive green laws and EPA regulations on the American people based upon science that is not a fact. In the real world of science, if your calculations are wrong by data and observation, you have to throw out the hypothesis.

Some of the computer models using CRU data as a result are falsified. That includes the global warming claims. And these are the top warmer scientists. These scientists and their

dogma of fear is about control and obtaining taxpayer money. Ronald Reagan said it best: Government does not solve problems; it just continues to subsidize them.

And that's just the way it is.

#### GLOBAL WARMING OR CLIMATE CHANGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2009, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BARTON) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

Mr. BARTON of Texas. Madam Speaker, I do think that I will use the 1 hour. I understand there's going to be a rule reported in the time, and we'll certainly yield to the person from the Rules Committee to file that rule.

Madam Speaker, I wish to rise to discuss a topic that's already been discussed on the House floor this evening. It's the issue of climate change or global warming. Next week, I am honored to be one of the congressional delegation attending the Copenhagen Climate Change Conference in Copenhagen, Denmark, that's going to be led by our esteemed Speaker, the Honorable NANCY PELOSI. I also attended Kyoto, Buenos Aires, and The Hague. I'm the ranking Republican on the Energy and Commerce Committee and formerly also on the Science Committee, and I have been a participant at the congressional level on the climate change debate for the last 20 years.

I'm going to start off by putting into the RECORD a suppressed report that Congressman POE just talked about that has never before this evening been made public in its entire, unexpurgated form. The title of the report is Comments on the Draft Technical Support Document for the Endangerment Analysis for Greenhouse Gas Emissions under the Clean Air Act. This report was compiled by Dr. Alan Carlin, who is a career scientist and investigator at the EPA. At one time, he self-described himself, I'm told, as a global warming believer. He prepared this report. He works in a group within the EPA that is responsible for conducting an internal review of some of these draft orders before they go public. And I'm not going to read the entire report. I'm going to read excerpts of the preface and the executive summary, and then I will put the entire report into the RECORD.

This is from the executive summary and the preface, and I quote, "We have become increasingly concerned that EPA has itself paid too little attention to the science of global warming. EPA and others have tended to accept the findings reached by outside groups, particularly the IPCC," which is the International Protocol on Climate Change under the auspices of the United Nations, "and the CCSP, as being correct without a careful and critical examination of their conclusions and documentation. If they