

if the Senate subsequently again votes against closing debate under subparagraph (b), the procedures under this subparagraph shall apply.”.

SENATE RESOLUTION 22—CON-DEMNING THE NEW YEAR’S DAY ATTACK ON THE COPTIC CHRISTIAN COMMUNITY IN ALEXANDRIA, EGYPT AND URGING THE GOVERNMENT OF EGYPT TO FULLY INVESTIGATE AND PROSECUTE THE PERPETRATORS OF THIS HEINOUS ACT

Mr. MENENDEZ (for himself, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. WICKER, Mr. CARDIN, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Mr. LEVIN, Mr. CASEY, Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota, Mrs. BOXER, and Mr. KYL) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

S. RES. 22

Whereas Coptic Christians are a native Egyptian population and the Coptic Orthodox Church of Alexandria was founded by the Evangelist Saint Mark the Apostle in approximately 42 A.D. and is the oldest Christian church in Africa;

Whereas Copts in Egypt constitute the largest Christian community in the Middle East and the largest Christian minority group in the region;

Whereas Coptic Christians account for at least 9 percent of Egypt’s population of 80,000,000 and number more than 3,000,000 outside of Egypt, including 1,000,000 in the United States;

Whereas, on New Year’s Day 2011, a suicide bomber targeting Coptic Christians blew himself up in front of the Saint George and Bishop Peter Church in Alexandria, Egypt killing at least 21 people and injuring almost 100 others;

Whereas President Barack Obama and other world leaders have condemned the attack and called for its perpetrators to “be brought to justice for this barbaric and heinous act”;

Whereas the head of Egypt’s Coptic Christian community, Pope Shenouda III, has called on President of Egypt Hosni Mubarak to increase security for the Coptic Christian community and to reach agreements over the building and repairing of churches, including the adoption of a single law applicable to both churches and mosques; and

Whereas the freedom of religion is central to the ability of people to live together and must be upheld by the laws and practices of every democratic nation: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) condemns the New Year’s Day 2011 attack on the Saint George and Bishop Peter Church in Alexandria, Egypt;

(2) expresses its deep condolences to the Coptic Christian community who suffered from this attack and lost their loved ones and to all Egyptians who have suffered from terrorist attacks;

(3) calls on President Hosni Mubarak and the Government of Egypt to continue to fully investigate the bomb attack and to lawfully prosecute the perpetrators of this heinous act;

(4) calls on President Hosni Mubarak and the Government of Egypt to continue to enhance security for the Coptic Christian community and to work to ensure in law and practice religious freedom and equality of treatment for all people in Egypt;

(5) calls on the President to work with the Government of Egypt to identify the perpetrators of the New Year’s Day attack; and

(6) calls on the Secretary of State to address the issues of religious freedom and equality of treatment for all people in Egypt with the Government of Egypt.

SENATE RESOLUTION 23—TO PROHIBIT UNAUTHORIZED EARMARKS

Mr. INHOFE (for himself and Mr. MCCAIN) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Rules and Administration:

S. RES. 23

Resolved,

SECTION 1. PROHIBITION ON UNAUTHORIZED EARMARKS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—It shall not be in order to consider a bill, joint resolution, conference report, or amendment that provides an earmark.

(b) SUPERMAJORITY.—

(1) WAIVER.—The provisions of subsection (a) may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by the affirmative vote of three-fourths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn.

(2) APPEAL.—Appeals in the Senate from the decisions of the Chair relating to any provision of this section shall be limited to 1 hour, to be equally divided between, and controlled by, the appellant and the manager of the measure. An affirmative vote of three-fourths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under this section.

(c) EARMARK DEFINED.—In this resolution, the term “earmark” means a provision or report language included primarily at the request of a Senator or Member of the House of Representatives providing or recommending a specific amount of discretionary budget authority, credit authority, or other spending authority for a contract, loan, loan guarantee, grant, loan authority, or other expenditure with or to an entity, or targeted to a specific State, locality, or congressional district unless the provision or language—

(1) is specifically authorized by an appropriate congressional authorizing committee of jurisdiction;

(2) meets funding eligibility criteria established by an appropriate congressional authorizing committee of jurisdiction by statute; or

(3) is awarded through a statutory or administrative formula-driven or competitive award process.

SENATE RESOLUTION 24—TO PROPOSE A STANDING ORDER TO GOVERN EXTENDED DEBATE

Mr. MERKLEY (for himself and Mr. UDALL of New Mexico) submitted the following resolution; which was submitted and read:

S. RES. 24

Resolved,

SECTION 1. STANDING ORDER FOR EXTENDED DEBATE.

(a) STANDING ORDER.—This section shall be a standing order of the Senate.

(b) RULES FOR EXTENDED DEBATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—If a question to close debate on a measure, motion, or other matter is decided in the negative and a majority of senators present and voting have voted to bring debate to a close, the extended debate procedures under this section shall be in order at any time if that measure, motion or

other matter has continued as the only pending business subsequent to the vote against closing debate.

(2) CLOSING DEBATE.—Under the circumstances described in paragraph (1), it shall be in order for the Majority Leader or his designee to move to bring debate on the pending measure, motion, or other matter to a close on the grounds that no Senator seeks recognition to debate the matter. Immediately after the motion is made and before putting the question thereon, the Presiding Officer shall immediately inquire whether any Senator seeks recognition for the purpose of debating the matter on which the Senate had previously voted against closing debate. If a Senator seeks recognition for that purpose, the Presiding Officer shall announce that the Senate is proceeding under extended debate and shall recognize a Senator who seeks recognition for debate. After the Presiding Officer’s announcement under the preceding sentence the Senate shall continue to proceed under extended debate subject to paragraph (3).

(3) EXTENDED DEBATE.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—If the Senate enters into extended debate under this paragraph, no dilatory motions, motions to suspend any rule or any part thereof, nor dilatory quorum calls shall be entertained.

(B) CONDITIONS FOR ENDING DEBATE.—If during extended debate the proceedings described in either subparagraph (C), (D), or (E) occur and unless the Majority Leader or his designee withdraws the motion made under paragraph (2), the Senate shall proceed immediately to vote on that motion or to vote at a time designated by the Majority Leader or his designee within the next four calendar days of Senate session. When voted on, that motion shall be decided by a majority of Senators chosen and sworn.

(C) DEBATE ENDS.—If, at any point during extended debate when no Senator is recognized, no Senator seeks recognition, the Presiding Officer shall renew the inquiry as to whether a Senator seeks recognition and shall recognize a Senator who seeks recognition for the purpose of debate. If no Senator then seeks recognition (or if no Senator sought recognition in response to the Presiding Officer’s inquiry under paragraph (2)), the Senate shall dispose of the motion of the Majority Leader (or his designee) to bring debate to a close pursuant to paragraph (2), in the manner specified in subparagraph (B).

(D) QUORUM CALLS.—

(i) QUESTION.—If, at any point during extended debate, a Senator having been recognized raises a question of the presence of a quorum, the Presiding Officer shall renew the inquiry as to whether a Senator seeks recognition, and shall recognize a Senator who seeks recognition for debate.

(ii) DISPOSITION.—If no Senator then seeks recognition for debate under clause (i)—

(I) the Presiding Officer shall direct the Clerk to call the roll;

(II) upon the establishment of a quorum, the Senate shall dispose of the motion of the Majority Leader (or his designee) to bring debate to a close pursuant to paragraph (2) in the manner specified in subparagraph (B); and

(III) if the Senate adjourns for lack of a quorum, then when the Senate next convenes and the morning hour or any period for morning business is expired or is deemed to be expired, the Senate shall dispose of the motion of the Majority Leader (or his designee) made to bring debate to a close pursuant to paragraph (2) in the manner specified in subparagraph (B).

(E) MOTIONS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—If at any point during extended debate a Senator having been recognized moves to adjourn, recess, postpone the