

amendment, though I realize the realities of the situation that we are in.

In the recovery bill—and we could have a long discussion about the word “failure” of the recovery bill but we won’t go into that at this time of night—the so-called projects that were ready to go into construction right away, those were designated with a termination date. The work had to be done by the end of 2010. And those monies that were for shovel-ready projects have already been expended completely in the process.

For the longer term investments, of which the high-speed rail program and the TIGER grant program were part, those were always intended to go farther. Never was it suggested that they could be done and the work done that would produce the jobs necessary in less than at least 2011 and 2012 as well. So what has been proposed for the TIGER grant here, and all of the TIGER grants, puts them in quite a different category.

The gentleman’s amendment highlights an example of how the majority’s rhetoric and political posturing on the continuing resolution come at the expense of good policy.

If you had presented this project that the gentleman from Colorado has put forward to a Member on the other side of the aisle, they would agree that the use of a \$10 million grant to leverage over \$200 million in non-Federal funds is a perfect example of the potential for public-private partnerships. But the moment you mention the project genesis within the President’s Recovery Act, their tune turns to righteous condemnation.

□ 0340

More broadly, there are other projects across the country that would be impacted by the rescission’s political intent. In particular, efforts to address congestion that is choking our transportation network through the creation of a 21st-century high-speed rail system would be halted in many regions. For example, \$110 million to improve connections to Amtrak’s Northeast Corridor within the Nation’s most densely populated region is also caught up in the same problem that the gentleman from Colorado is talking about. So it would be an entirely rational thing to allow the ARRA funds to be implemented until September 30, 2011, as has been suggested.

I support the gentleman’s amendment.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. POLIS).

The amendment was rejected.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will read.

The Clerk read as follows:

SEC. 3002. Hereafter, no Federal agency administering funds provided by division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5) may provide funding or reimbursement to any entity

awarded funds from such Act for the cost associated with physical signage or other advertisement indicating that a project is funded by such Act.

DIVISION D—MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

SPENDING REDUCTION ACCOUNT

SEC. 4001. The amount by which each applicable allocation of new budget authority made by the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives under section 302(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 exceeds the amount of related proposed new budget authority is as follows:

- (1) Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies, \$1,972,000,000.
- (2) Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies, \$1,405,000,000.
- (3) Defense, \$1,500,000,000.
- (4) Energy and Water Development, and Related Agencies, \$100,000,000.
- (5) Financial Services and General Government, \$750,000,000.
- (6) Homeland Security, \$1,000,000,000.
- (7) Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies, \$1,750,000,000.
- (8) Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies, \$10,901,000,000.
- (9) Legislative Branch, \$100,000,000.
- (10) Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies, \$500,000,000.
- (11) State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs, \$2,000,000,000.
- (12) Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies, \$3,923,000,000.

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. LATHAM) having assumed the chair, Mr. CHAFFETZ, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1) making appropriations for the Department of Defense and the other departments and agencies of the Government for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

HR OF MEETING

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 9 a.m. today.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Utah?

There was no objection.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 3 o’clock and 43 minutes a.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until today, Thursday, February 17, 2011, at 9 a.m.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker’s table and referred as follows:

508. A letter from the Acting Congressional Review Coordinator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Emerald Ash Borer; Addition of Quarantined Areas in Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, New York, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, and Wisconsin [Docket No.: APHIS-2009-0098] received February 4, 2011, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

509. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Review Group, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Prevention of Payments to Deceased Persons (RIN: 0560-AH91) received January 19, 2011, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

510. A letter from the Chief Planning and Regulatory Affairs Branch, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, Regulation Restructuring: Issuance Regulation Update and Reorganization To Reflect the End of Coupon Issuance Systems (RIN: 0584-AD48) received January 21, 2011, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

511. A letter from the Congressional Review Coordinator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza [Docket No.: APHIS-2006-0074] (RIN: 0579-AC36) received January 25, 2011, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

512. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Review Group, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Loan Servicing; Farm Loan Programs (RIN: 0560-AI05) received February 4, 2011, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

513. A letter from the Assistant Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a report Pursuant to the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009; to the Committee on Armed Services.

514. A letter from the Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Marking of Government-Furnished Property (DFARS Case 2008-D050) (RIN: 0750-AG44) received February 4, 2011, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

515. A letter from the Chief Counsel, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Suspension of Community Eligibility [Docket ID: FEMA-2011-0002] [Internal Agency Docket No.: FEMA-8167] received February 4, 2011, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

516. A letter from the Chief Counsel, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Suspension of Community Eligibility [Docket ID: FEMA-2011-0002] [Internal Agency Docket No.: FEMA-8165] received February 4, 2011, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

517. A letter from the Chairman and President, Export-Import Bank, transmitting a letter of notification to authorize an unconditional guarantee on a supply chain finance facility; to the Committee on Financial Services.

518. A letter from the Deputy to the Chairman, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation,