work, and where the problem is, where families are? Can't we solve that at the city level or the county level?

Maybe the answer is no. Then the question should be: Can't we solve it at the State level? Then the question should be: If we are going to solve it at the Federal level, is there a constitutional definition that allows us to do that?

There are some things that only the Federal Government can do. But there are not very many things that only the Federal Government can do.

We are going to hear in this discussion today and in the coming weeks about lots of good that can be done in our society. We are going to hear about some things I have worked to authorize and tried to get us to make a priority and still hope to keep a priority. Some of those programs are actually cut in the House appropriations bill that I will vote for today, because my view is we have to cut spending. If we could cut the $61 billion this year from exactly what I wanted to be cut, that would be better for me. But I am committed to cut spending in any bill we can get enough people to support, to put a bill on the President's desk that will say let's head toward a balanced budget. Let's get a balanced budget amendment. Let's head toward a balanced budget. But let's ask the right questions.

Before I came to the Congress, I was a university president for 4 years. It was a private university, Southwest Baptist University in Bolivar, MO. We did not take any Federal money or any State money. We had to pay our bills. Because we had to pay our bills, as the president of the university I was constantly being asked to do good things but I had several different categories of ''no, this is why we cannot do that.'' There are two that maybe we ought to use the most often in Washington, DC, these days. The first is: No, that is a good idea but it is not what we do. I said that a lot as the university president. As a matter of fact, in the 4 years I was there I never had anybody come to me and ask me to do anything evil. I never had anybody come to me as president of the Southwest Baptist University and say here is something bad I think we should do as an institution. Every idea I got was a good idea, but it was not always something we could do. So one of my categories of no was ''no, that's a good idea but it's not what we do.''

We are going to hear lots about people with challenges that somebody should help. But the Federal Government is $1.6 trillion in debt this year—this year; not the $14 trillion accumulated debt, $1.6 this year—over $200 billion last month. Last month's deficit was within striking range of the annual deficit for the 10 years that ended in 2008. We are now spending more in deficit spending in a month than for a decade we spent in a year. If you average out that 10 years it is very close to February—and by the way, February is the shortest month. That is the only month where we have 28 days of spending, and we set a record on monthly deficit spending for the United States of America that was almost equal to the average annual deficit of the previous 10 years.

Sometimes people came to me and they had a good idea that actually was something the university could do. Often, then, I would have to say: Yes, that is a good idea, we ought to think how we can do that, but you are going to have to help me figure out what we can stop doing so we can start doing this. This may in fact be a better thing than some of the things we are doing now, but we can't do everything. Families deal with this issue all the time. You cannot do everything, even if it would be good to see those things done.

The Federal Government is doing the wrong thing when it heads down a road where you are spending so much more than you are collecting. One obvious answer is let's collect more. I suppose if you went to the Congressional Budget Office and said what would the collection amount be for the Federal Government if the tax rate were 100 percent—since they do not do any dynamic scoring over there, they score as if tax policy doesn't matter—I guess they could add up all the payrolls of America and whatever they added up to, that is how much money the Federal Government could bring in if the tax rate were 100 percent.

But that would not happen. Frankly, the tax rate of collecting the $2.2 trillion is about all we ought to be collecting out of this economy. For the 65 years after World War II, the government spent an average of about $1 out of $5, the Federal Government, that the economy could create. Now we are spending $1 out of $4. There is a big difference in a country where the Federal Government alone spends 1 dollar out of 4 that the country can create in goods and services as opposed to 1 dollar out of 5. You are not going to get a lot more on the taxing side. So we have to make the reductions in spending.

Then you are going to hear we are making these reductions out of 12 percent or 15 percent of the budget. Is that fair?

First of all, that is the only part of the budget we can get to without significant legislative activity. That should be the next thing on our agenda. Let's talk about the 60 percent of the budget we normally do not even talk about where if you meet the definition of the program you get the money, and see if we can't figure out how to produce better results for fewer dollars. That is what everybody else in America has been thinking about for 20 years now.

If you are still in business in America and you are competing in a global economy, you have been thinking how do we get a better result for less money, not how do we spend more money. We need to be sure the government is as good as the people it serves in that regard. It is 12 or 15 percent of the budget where we are talking reducing spending by $61 billion. That would not begin to be nearly enough, if you apportion it out. That is about one-seventh of the budget. If you multiply that by seven, you are still well over $1 trillion short of where you need to be. We need to start by taking at least this much money out of that part of the budget and figure out how we can also make the government work better in the other 85 percent of the budget.

Today is what it is. Today is a discussion to prove, apparently, that we cannot do anything. We can't do what the majority of the Senate wants to do, we can't do what the majority in the House wants to do. Let me tell you what the majority in the House wants to do is a minimum entry level to solving this whole problem. I am going to vote for it today and I urge my colleagues to vote for it as well.

I yield the floor.

JOINT MEETING OF THE TWO HOUSES—ADDRESS BY THE HONORABLE JULIA GILLARD, PRIME MINISTER OF AUSTRALIA

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the Senate stands in recess until 12 noon.

Thereupon, the Senate, at 10:40 a.m., recessed until 12 noon, and the Senate, preceded by the Secretary of the Senate, Nancy Erickson, and the Deputy Sergeant at Arms, Martina Bradford, proceeded to the Hall of the House of Representatives to hear an address to be delivered by the Honorable Julia Gillard, Prime Minister of Australia.

(For the address delivered by the Prime Minister of Australia, see today's proceedings of the House of Representatives.)

Whereupon, at 12 noon, the Senate, having returned to its Chamber, reassembled and was called to order by the Presiding Officer (Mr. FRANKEN).

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Morning business is closed.

FULL-YEAR CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed to the consideration of H.R. 1, which the clerk will report by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 1) making appropriations for the Department of Defense and other departments and agencies of the Government for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, and for other purposes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, there will now be 3 hours of debate, equally divided and controlled between the two leaders or their designees.

The Senator from Hawaii.

Mr. INOUYE. Mr. President, the amendment I introduced on Friday cuts $51 billion from the discretionary spending request submitted by the President for fiscal year 2011. If this amendment were agreed to as written, it would mean we would appropriate $51 billion less than the President felt was necessary for the government to carry out its duties.

I do not agree with every item this President or any President requests in their budget. But I also know the President's budget request did not contain $51 billion in frivolous or wasteful spending. The cuts necessary to reach the $51-billion level required difficult choices. This amendment makes real cuts to real programs.

Tens of thousands of Americans will feel the direct impact of the proposed cuts. But the cuts included in this amendment are based on hearings, testimony, and a thorough analysis of the current needs of every agency and department the committee funds. By contrast, the Republicans in the House have thrown together a proposal based not on budgets, not on hearings, not on the demonstrated needs of agencies and departments but rather based on the campaign promise to reduce spending by $100 billion.

H.R. 1 shows clearly what happens when you run a bill based not on analysis but on campaign speeches. Therefore, today, the Senate finds itself responding to draconian cuts that would lead to furloughs, disrupt the delivery of government agencies and services, and harm America's children, our students, our working class, and our seniors. An estimated 700,000 jobs would be lost.

All this pain delivered in the name of deficit reduction and growing the economy. Yet the facts are clear. This is the wrong direction for our Nation.

We face our current fiscal situation primarily because of falling revenues brought about by unpaid-for tax cuts, especially for the wealthiest Americans, and because of ever-rising entitlement costs. Every nonpartisan report on finding a solution to our current fiscal crisis stresses the need for a comprehensive solution, a solution that includes cuts in discretionary spending, both defense and nondefense, as well as cuts in entitlement spending, and, yes, the need for additional revenues.

Just yesterday, the New York Times published a story about the efforts of the junior Senator from Virginia and the senior Senator from Georgia to honestly examine what it will take to solve our fiscal challenges. According to that story, even if Congress cut discretionary spending to zero, the senior Senator from Georgia was quoted as saying: ''We still couldn't solve the problem.''

I could not agree more. The solution to deficit reduction will not come from huge cuts to a small portion of the Federal budget. But that is what the House is proposing. What H.R. 1 will do instead is jeopardize the economic recovery we are beginning to see.

This Democratic alternative attempts to make the best of a very bad situation. The top line numbers tell a story. In this amendment, we are $23 billion below the President's request for nonsecurity spending, and we are $28 billion below his original request for spending related to our Nation's security.

For the Department of Defense alone, we have reduced spending by $19.4 billion, including a reduction of $2.1 billion for military construction, and $17.3 billion for the rest of the Defense Department. At this level, the bill is nearly $3 billion below the amounts proposed by the House for these activities. The recommended amounts will cover our defense requirements in this constrained fiscal environment.

However, my colleagues should all understand that with our troops still serving in Iraq and Afghanistan, this is not the time to be looking to defense for additional reductions. I feel that not all Members understand the depths of the cuts we have had to take to get $51 billion under the request.

They should be advised, for example, that the Senate amendment cuts $355 million of State and local law enforcement grants. This will result in some 1,400 fewer local and tribal law enforcement and criminal justice jobs. In addition, the amendment cuts $526 million from FBI salaries and expenses. These cuts will halt new national security enhancements intended to improve our intelligence and counterterrorism capabilities to protect U.S. information and technology networks from cyber attacks and to assist in litigation of intelligence and terrorism cases.

This amendment cuts science funding by $573 million at the National Science Foundation and by $165 million at the National Institute of Standards and Technology. As a result, the Nation will lose opportunities for promising research in emerging fields such as cyber security and nanotechnology. Instead of taking the lead, as we have always done, we will slow down, allowing the rest of the world to catch up.

When it comes to the critical area of education, the Senate amendment eliminates 17 individual education programs totaling $370 million. It cuts all Federal funding specifically targeted to education technology, gifted and talented instruction, and family literacy. The list goes on and on. But as significant as these cuts are, they stand in strong contrast to the House Republican bill, which includes such severe measures that the bill would undermine our security, endanger our economy, while costing hundreds of thousands of American jobs.

H.R. 1 would cut transit security grants by 66 percent, despite the fact that there have been over 1,300 attacks, killing or injuring over 18,000 people worldwide on trains and subways over the last 7 years. The Senate bill would maintain the fiscal year 2010 enacted level of $300 million.

The House Republican CR cuts discretionary funding for community health centers by $1 billion compared to the fiscal year 2010 enacted level. This cut would prevent any new clinic from opening. It will eliminate funds for 127 clinics currently operating in 38 States and reduce current services at another 1,096 centers across the country. More than 2.8 million people will likely lose access to their current primary care provider, and over 5,000 health center staff would lose their jobs. The Senate bill restores the $1 billion cut, preserving both the vital services being provided today and the planned expansion of centers estimated to treat over 7½ million new patients this year.

The House CR would eliminate all funding for the Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery ''TIGER'' Grant Program. TIGER grants are highly competitive and fund transportation projects that make a significant contribution to the Nation, a region or a metropolitan area. The House proposal would take funding away from 75 projects in 40 States across the country. Based on information from the Transportation Department, cutting a total of $1.2 billion from the TIGER Program will put 33,360 jobs at risk.

H.R. 1 cuts funding for the Social Security Administration administrative expense by $125 million below the 2010 level. This would cause the SSA to freeze hiring across the agency and possibly furlough employees at a time when the number of Americans filing for disability and retirement benefits is at record levels. The Senate bill, by contrast, provides $600 million more than the House Republican proposal. Compared to the House CR, it will allow SSA to process about 300,000 more initial disability claims and 150,000 more disability hearings and prevent delays in new beneficiaries receiving their retirement benefits.

The House bill slashes title I education funding by nearly $700 million, meaning 2,400 schools serving 1 million disadvantaged students could lose funding, and approximately 10,000 teachers and aides would lose their jobs. At a time when schools across the Nation are already struggling with budget cuts, the title I grants program serves as the foundation of Federal assistance to elementary and secondary schools across the country, providing financial assistance to more than 90 percent of our Nation's school districts.

Finally, with regard to our Nation's security interests, the devastating funding cuts in H.R. 1 undermine our ability to stabilize Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq and to support General Petraeus's counterinsurgency strategy. H.R. 1 provides $5.71 billion for the Economic Support Fund, a 27-percent cut from the fiscal year 2011 request level.

As both Secretary Gates and Secretary Clinton have made clear in repeated testimony before Congress, cuts

of this magnitude will seriously impede efforts to stabilize Afghanistan and to transition responsibility for U.S. operations in Iraq from the military to civilians.

There are many more examples of damage that would come should H.R. 1 be enacted into law, which is why the President has promised a veto and why I know all my Democratic colleagues will reject it when it comes up for a vote.

The Senate amendment I offer takes a responsible approach to funding the government for the remainder of the fiscal year, making difficult decisions but also ensuring minimal disruptions to the economic recovery.

We are now almost halfway through fiscal year 2011, If we are to have any chance of avoiding another series of continuing resolutions for fiscal year 2012, we simply must finish our work on the current year and move past this issue. Therefore, I strongly encourage my colleagues to support my amendment as a prudent alternative to the House measure.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD the following explanatory statement regarding Division A of my Amendment No. 149, The Department of Defense and Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

EXPLANATORY STATEMENT SUBMITTED BY SENATOR DANIEL K. INOUYE REGARDING DIVISION A OF SA 149, THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AND FULL-YEAR CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2011

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE

For fiscal year 2011, the Department of Defense is directed to adhere to the definition of Program, Project and Activity, and to follow the guidance for Congressional Special Interest Items, Reprogrammings, Reprogramming Reporting Requirements, and Funding Increases, as specified in the Explanatory Statement, Division A, Department of Defense Appropriations Act Fiscal Year 2010, Public Law 111–118.

CLASSIFIED ANNEX

A classified annex accompanying this Act will be forwarded under separate cover.

(Rescissions)

Language is included that rescinds $1,213,536,000 from the following programs:

2009 Appropriations:	
Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army:	
Future Combat Systems	$86,300,000
Other Procurement, Army:	
Armored Security Vehicles	55,000,000
Force XXII Battle Command Brigade and Below	30,600,000
Semi-trailers, Flatbed	62,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy:	
KC–130J	12,000,000
F/A–18E/F	14,100,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:	
Global Hawk excess funds	49,000,000
C–130 AMP	31,900,000
HC/MC updated pricing	36,000,000
2010 Appropriations:	
Aircraft Procurement, Army:	
Tactical SIGINT Payload	14,000,000
Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army:	
Future Combat Systems spin-outs	19,600,000
Improved Recovery Vehicle	8,700,000
MK–19 Grenade Machine Gun Modifications	7,700,000
Missile Procurement, Army:	
GMLRS	9,171,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy:	
F–35 STOVL AP	100,000,000
EA–18G MYP savings	89,120,000
F/A–18E/F MYP savings	72,727,000
F–18 Series ECO	17,000,000
E–6 Series	6,000,000
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps:	
General Purpose Bombs	11,576,000
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy:	
DDG–51 main reduction gear savings	22,000,000
Other Procurement, Navy:	
Minesweeping System Replacement	5,400,000
Aircraft Launch Recovery	3,642,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:	
B–2A	5,900,000
B–52	39,300,000
C–17 Modifications	12,200,000
C–130J updated pricing	7,000,000
C–130 AP updated pricing	15,100,000
HC/MC–130 AP	46,900,000
HC/MC–130 updated pricing	13,200,000
Initial Spares—Joint Stars Re-engining	11,700,000
Other Procurement, Air Force:	
FAB–T	36,600,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army:	
Aircraft Avionics—JTRS AMF	10,200,000
HFDS	15,000,000
Future Combat System—Class IV UAV Program of Record	12,000,000
TUAV–TSP	16,300,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force:	
B–2	90,000,000
Classified Program	10,000,000
Alternative Fuels	10,000,000
Small Diameter Bomb	22,000,000
Engine CIP	15,000,000
JSTARS	14,600,000
RQ–4 UAV	18,000,000
C–5 Airlift Squadrons	19,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide:	
BMD Hercules	10,000,000

For the Department of Defense base budget, funds are to be available for fiscal year 2011, as follows:

M–1	Budget request	Recommendation
MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY		
BA–1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS		
BASIC PAY	6,392,861	6,392,861
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,088,308	2,088,308
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,854,718	1,854,718
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	255,925	255,925
INCENTIVE PAYS	97,698	97,698
SPECIAL PAYS	300,939	300,939
ALLOWANCES	198,601	198,601
SEPARATION PAY	61,798	61,798
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	487,469	487,469
TOTAL, BA–1	11,738,317	11,738,317
BA–2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
BASIC PAY	13,682,488	13,682,488
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	4,470,859	4,470,859
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	4,395,850	4,395,850
INCENTIVE PAYS	102,851	102,851
SPECIAL PAYS	1,269,047	1,129,047
Enlistment Bonuses—Excess to Requirement		−40,000
Re-enlistment Bonuses—Excess to Requirement		−100,000
ALLOWANCES	806,471	806,471
SEPARATION PAY	255,127	255,127
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	1,046,710	1,046,710
TOTAL, BA–2	26,029,403	25,889,403
BA–3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS		
ACADEMY CADETS	74,773	74,773
TOTAL, BA–3	74,773	74,773
BA–4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	1,313,309	1,313,309
SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	817,691	817,691
FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	748	748
TOTAL, BA–4	2,131,748	2,131,748

M–1	Budget request	Recommendation
BA–5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL		
ACCESSION TRAVEL	202,699	202,699
TRAINING TRAVEL	142,749	142,749
OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	494,937	494,937
ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	674,831	674,831
SEPARATION TRAVEL	198,439	198,439
TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	12,137	12,137
NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	12,639	12,639
TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	38,931	38,931
TOTAL, BA–5	1,777,362	1,777,362
BA–6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS		
APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	2,233	2,233
INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	648	648
DEATH GRATUITIES	45,500	45,500
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	188,778	188,778
EDUCATION BENEFITS	30,879	30,879
ADOPTION EXPENSES	610	610
TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	8,007	8,007
PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	338	338
RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	138,731	138,731
JUNIOR ROTC	50,201	50,201
TOTAL, BA–6	465,925	465,925
LESS REIMBURSABLES	−245,251	−245,251
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS	0	−789,624
Undistributed Transfer to Title IX		−789,624
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY	41,972,277	41,042,653
MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY		
BA–1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS		
BASIC PAY	3,680,703	3,680,703
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,202,462	1,202,462
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,263,675	1,263,675
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	143,344	143,344
INCENTIVE PAYS	155,148	155,148
SPECIAL PAYS	355,821	355,821
ALLOWANCES	104,291	104,291
SEPARATION PAY	25,353	25,353
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	278,666	278,666
TOTAL, BA–1	7,209,463	7,209,463
BA–2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
BASIC PAY	8,257,803	8,257,803
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,700,204	2,700,204
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	3,682,915	3,682,915
INCENTIVE PAYS	100,499	100,499
SPECIAL PAYS	839,787	814,787
Re-enlistment Bonuses—Excess to Requirement		−5,000
Enlistment Bonuses—Excess to Requirement		−20,000
ALLOWANCES	498,621	498,621
SEPARATION PAY	127,343	127,343
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	631,722	631,722
TOTAL, BA–2	16,838,894	16,813,894
BA–3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF MIDSHIPMEN		
MIDSHIPMEN	74,950	74,950
TOTAL, BA–3	74,950	74,950
BA–4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	685,085	685,085
SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	419,333	419,333
FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	12	12
TOTAL, BA–4	1,104,430	1,104,430
BA–5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL		
ACCESSION TRAVEL	76,220	76,220
TRAINING TRAVEL	71,814	71,814
OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	219,685	219,685
ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	354,275	354,275
SEPARATION TRAVEL	103,806	103,806
TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	39,368	39,368
NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	5,760	5,760
TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	6,386	6,386
OTHER	6,406	6,406
TOTAL, BA–5	883,720	883,720
BA–6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS		
APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	261	261
INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	1,427	1,427
DEATH GRATUITIES	17,700	17,700
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	88,350	88,350
EDUCATION BENEFITS	21,515	21,515
ADOPTION EXPENSES	271	271
TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	8,030	8,030
PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	190	190
RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	27,345	27,345
JUNIOR R.O.T.C	14,093	14,093
TOTAL, BA–6	179,182	179,182
LESS REIMBURSABLES	−339,690	−339,690
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	−13,500
Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		−13,500
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY	25,950,949	25,912,449
MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS		
BA–1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS		
BASIC PAY	1,433,200	1,433,200
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	465,072	465,072
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	462,438	462,438
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	59,613	59,613
INCENTIVE PAYS	50,011	50,011
SPECIAL PAYS	27,921	27,921
ALLOWANCES	34,404	34,404
SEPARATION PAY	13,299	13,299
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	109,014	109,014
TOTAL, BA–1	2,654,972	2,654,972
BA–2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
BASIC PAY	4,910,560	4,910,560
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,591,322	1,591,322
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,660,161	1,660,161
INCENTIVE PAYS	9,158	9,158
SPECIAL PAYS	288,654	288,654
ALLOWANCES	278,060	278,060

M–1		Budget request	Recommendation
	SEPARATION PAY	65,101	65,101
	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	372,411	372,411
	TOTAL, BA–2	9,175,427	9,175,427
	BA–4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	489,789	489,789
	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	324,565	324,565
	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	750	750
	TOTAL, BA–4	815,104	815,104
	BA–5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL		
	ACCESSION TRAVEL	79,378	79,378
	TRAINING TRAVEL	10,079	10,079
	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	239,442	239,442
	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	115,330	115,330
	SEPARATION TRAVEL	55,528	55,528
	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	742	742
	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	6,305	6,305
	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	13,818	13,818
	OTHER	2,683	2,683
	TOTAL, BA–5	523,305	523,305
	BA–6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS		
	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	1,823	1,823
	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	19	19
	DEATH GRATUITIES	17,200	17,200
	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	69,359	69,359
	EDUCATION BENEFITS	4,249	4,249
	ADOPTION EXPENSES	159	159
	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	2,853	2,853
	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	278	278
	JUNIOR R.O.T.C	5,573	5,573
	TOTAL, BA–6	101,513	101,513
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	−20,160	−20,160
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	−40,000
	Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		−40,000
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	13,250,161	13,210,161
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE		
	BA–1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS		
	BASIC PAY	4,687,593	4,687,593
	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,522,644	1,522,644
	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,347,403	1,347,403
	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	182,253	182,253
	INCENTIVE PAYS	239,121	239,121
	SPECIAL PAYS	322,642	322,642
	ALLOWANCES	128,157	128,157
	SEPARATION PAY	64,974	64,974
	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	355,711	355,711
	TOTAL, BA–1	8,850,498	8,850,498
	BA–2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
	BASIC PAY	8,540,083	8,540,083
	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,781,402	2,781,402
	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	3,038,904	3,038,904
	INCENTIVE PAYS	36,980	36,980
	SPECIAL PAYS	396,103	380,103
	Re-enlistment Bonuses—Excess to Requirement		−16,000
	ALLOWANCES	570,857	570,857
	SEPARATION PAY	124,411	124,411
	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	653,317	653,317
	TOTAL, BA–2	16,142,057	16,126,057
	BA–3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS		
	ACADEMY CADETS	75,383	75,383
	TOTAL, BA–3	75,383	75,383
	BA–4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	872,055	872,055
	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	169,924	169,924
	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	37	37
	TOTAL, BA–4	1,042,016	1,042,016
	BA–5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION		
	ACCESSION TRAVEL	87,377	87,377
	TRAINING TRAVEL	72,521	72,521
	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	296,604	296,604
	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	505,198	505,198
	SEPARATION TRAVEL	176,549	176,549
	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	23,561	23,561
	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	40,772	40,772
	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	28,936	28,936
	TOTAL, BA–5	1,231,518	1,231,518
	BA–6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS		
	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	131	131
	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	2,179	2,179
	DEATH GRATUITIES	19,900	19,900
	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	49,143	49,143
	SURVIVOR BENEFITS	1,760	1,760
	EDUCATION BENEFITS	484	484
	ADOPTION EXPENSES	395	395
	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	6,903	6,903
	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	1,578	1,578
	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	45,571	45,571
	JUNIOR ROTC	16,185	16,185
	TOTAL, BA–6	144,229	144,229
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	−363,946	−363,946
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	27,121,755	27,105,755
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY		
	BA–1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT		
	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	1,249,133	1,249,133
	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	44,460	36,460
	Projected Underexecution		−8,000
	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	268,215	268,215
	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	8,830	8,830
	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	21,460	10,460
	Projected Underexecution		−11,000
	SCHOOL TRAINING	177,121	177,121
	SPECIAL TRAINING	293,439	283,439
	Excessive Growth		−10,000

M–1		Budget request	Recommendation
.....	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	2,129,646	2,129,646
.....	EDUCATION BENEFITS	57,633	57,633
.....	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	66,940	66,940
.....	OTHER PROGRAMS	80,288	80,288
.....	TOTAL, BA–1	4,397,165	4,368,165
.....	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	−35,000
.....	Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		−35,000
.....	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	4,397,165	4,333,165
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY		
.....	BA–1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT		
.....	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	626,657	626,657
.....	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	9,070	9,070
.....	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	45,603	45,603
.....	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	8,434	8,434
.....	SCHOOL TRAINING	45,930	45,930
.....	SPECIAL TRAINING	89,647	89,647
.....	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	1,061,128	1,061,128
.....	EDUCATION BENEFITS	3,780	3,780
.....	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	53,942	53,942
.....	TOTAL, BA–1	1,944,191	1,944,191
.....	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	0	−4,000
.....	Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		−4,000
.....	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	1,944,191	1,940,191
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS		
.....	BA–1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT		
.....	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	196,974	196,974
.....	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	36,116	36,116
.....	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	96,138	96,138
.....	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	3,724	3,724
.....	SCHOOL TRAINING	16,810	16,810
.....	SPECIAL TRAINING	27,688	27,688
.....	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	216,537	216,537
.....	PLATOON LEADER CLASS	12,256	12,256
.....	EDUCATION BENEFITS	11,198	11,198
.....	TOTAL, BA–1	617,441	617,441
.....	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS	0	−5,250
.....	Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		−1,250
.....	MIP Marine Corps Reserve Intelligence Program		−4,000
.....	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	617,441	612,191
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE		
.....	BA–1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT		
.....	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	670,341	670,341
.....	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	101,951	101,951
.....	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	54,850	54,850
.....	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	50	50
.....	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	447	447
.....	SCHOOL TRAINING	163,272	163,272
.....	SPECIAL TRAINING	243,233	243,233
.....	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	378,772	378,772
.....	EDUCATION BENEFITS	18,295	18,295
.....	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	51,331	51,331
.....	OTHER PROGRAMS (ADMINISTRATION and SUPPORT)	4,255	4,255
.....	TOTAL, BA–1	1,686,797	1,686,797
.....	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS	0	−36,000
.....	Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		−15,000
.....	Below Budgeted End Strength		−21,000
.....	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	1,686,797	1,650,797
	NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY		
.....	BA–1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT		
.....	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	2,010,867	1,980,867
.....	Unjustified Growth		−30,000
.....	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	510,859	510,859
.....	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	71,222	71,222
.....	SCHOOL TRAINING	577,600	577,600
.....	SPECIAL TRAINING	534,954	521,954
.....	Recruiter Mandays—Excess to Requirement		−13,000
.....	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	3,788,954	3,788,954
.....	EDUCATION BENEFITS	129,840	129,840
.....	TOTAL, BA–1	7,624,296	7,581,296
.....	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS	0	−70,000
.....	Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		−70,000
.....	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY	7,624,296	7,511,296
	NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE		
.....	BA–1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT		
.....	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	939,636	931,636
.....	Inactive Duty Training—Unjustified Growth		−8,000
.....	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	99,839	99,839
.....	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	298	298
.....	SCHOOL TRAINING	209,944	209,944
.....	SPECIAL TRAINING	131,226	131,226
.....	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	1,692,112	1,682,112
.....	Bonuses—Unjustified Requirement		−10,000
.....	EDUCATION BENEFITS	30,543	30,543
.....	TOTAL, BA–1	3,103,598	3,085,598
.....	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS	0	−25,500
.....	Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		−17,500
.....	Lower than Budgeted Pay Grade Mix		−8,000
.....	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	3,103,598	3,060,098
.....	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL	127,668,630	126,378,756

M–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY		
111	MANEUVER UNITS	1,087,321	1,087,321

M–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
112	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	114,448	113,790
	Deployment Offset		−658
113	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES	773,540	769,338
	Deployment Offset		−4,202
114	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	794,806	767,727
	Aircraft Lease for Casualty Evacuation Funded in fiscal year 2011 OCO		−18,500
	Sustainment		−8,579
115	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,399,332	1,392,912
	Transfer to Title IX—MRAP Vehicle Sustainment at Combat Training Centers		−6,420
116	AVIATION ASSETS	897,666	867,666
	Deployment Offset		−30,000
121	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,520,995	2,314,041
	Unjustified Increase for Travel		−91,000
	Removal of One-Time fiscal year 2010 Costs		−35,000
	Transfer to Title IX—Body Armor Sustainment		−71,660
	Transfer to Title IX—Rapid Equipping Force Readiness		−9,294
122	LAND FORCES FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	596,117	574,946
	Transfer to Title IX—Fixed Wing Life Cycle Contract Support		−21,171
123	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	890,122	950,122
	UH–60 A to L Conversions		+60,000
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	7,563,566	7,281,191
	Transfer from the Defense Health Program for Centralized Management of the Substance Abuse Program		+30,625
	Army Tenant Pentagon Rent Requirements		−33,000
	Reduced Requirement for Collateral Equipment in fiscal year 2011		−50,000
	Transfer to Title IX—Overseas Security Guards		−200,000
	Transfer to Title IX—Senior Leader—Initiative—Comprehensive Soldier Fitness Program		−30,000
132	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	2,500,892	2,500,892
133	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	390,004	390,004
134	COMBATANT COMMANDER'S CORE OPERATIONS	167,758	167,758
138	COMBATANT COMMANDER'S DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	464,851	464,851
	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	20,161,418	19,642,559
211	STRATEGIC MOBILITY	333,266	333,266
212	ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS	102,240	102,240
213	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	5,736	5,736
	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	441,242	441,242
311	OFFICER ACQUISITION	129,902	129,902
312	RECRUIT TRAINING	74,705	74,705
313	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING	63,223	63,223
314	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS	479,343	479,343
321	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	1,082,517	1,027,334
	Unjustified Growth in Supply and Equipment Purchases		−40,000
	Transfer to Title IX–Survivability and Maneuverability Training		−15,183
322	FLIGHT TRAINING	1,046,124	1,032,124
	Budget Justification Does not Match Summary of Price and Program Changes		−14,000
323	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	163,607	163,607
324	TRAINING SUPPORT	695,200	695,200
331	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	544,014	524,014
	Budget Justification Does not Match Summary of Price and Program Changes		−20,000
332	EXAMINING	153,091	153,091
333	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	241,170	241,170
334	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	220,771	220,771
335	JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS	175,347	183,347
	Program Increase–Junior ROTC		+8,000
	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	5,069,014	4,987,831
411	SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,030,355	1,030,355
421	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	587,952	557,826
	First Destination Transportation Cost of New Equipment is Financed in the Cost of Equipment		−30,126
422	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES	669,853	669,853
423	LOGISTIC SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	503,876	503,876
424	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	435,020	435,020
431	ADMINISTRATION	912,355	902,355
	Unjustified Growth for Headquarters Accounts		−10,000
432	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	1,528,371	1,528,371
433	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	368,480	328,480
	Unsupported Request for 712 Temporary Hires		−40,000
434	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	261,829	261,829
435	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT	1,145,902	1,149,822
	Capitol 4th		+3,920
436	ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES	205,967	205,967
437	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	168,664	168,664
441	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY HEADQUARTERS	462,488	476,888
	Outfitting of NATO SOF Headquarters Building		+14,400
442	MISCELLANEOUS SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	19,179	16,179
	Information Operations		−3,000
	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	8,300,291	8,235,485
	FIVE PERCENT COST SAVINGS FOR INVESTMENT IN ENERGY AND UTILITIES PROJECTS THROUGH THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT		−1,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY	33,971,965	33,306,117
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY		
1A1A	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	4,429,832	4,429,832
1A2A	FLEET AIR TRAINING	81,345	1,605,720
	Transfer of Fleet Air Training funding from SAG 3B2K		+958,200
	Unjustified Administrative Overhead Cost Growth		−4,225
	Transfer of Chief of Naval Air Training from SAG 3B2K		+570,400
1A3A	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING SERVICES	38,932	38,932
1A4A	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	100,485	100,485
1A4N	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	355,520	355,520
1A5A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,221,410	1,221,410
1A6A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	27,448	27,448
1B1B	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	3,696,913	3,666,913
	Unjustified Growth in Per Diem Days		−30,000
1B2B	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING	728,983	728,983
1B4B	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	4,761,670	4,761,670
1B5B	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,344,844	1,338,844
	Transfer to RDTE, DW per Memorandum of Agreement		−1,500
	NAVSEA Process Requirements and Improvement Office Budget Realignment and Consolidation Justified as Program Growth		−4,500
1C1C	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	615,069	550,069
	Overstatement of DISA Pricing Adjustment		−65,000
1C2C	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	89,340	89,340
1C3C	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE	177,397	177,397
1C4C	WARFARE TACTICS	416,068	416,068
1C5C	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	316,525	316,525
1C6C	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	1,083,618	870,817
	Unjustified Growth for Naval Expeditionary Combat Command		−20,000
	Transfer to Title IX—Naval Expeditionary Combat Command Increases		−192,801
1C7C	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	165,985	165,985
1C8C	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,836	2,836
1CCH	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	208,250	208,250
1CCM	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	274,071	274,071
1D1D	CRUISE MISSILE	130,219	130,219

M–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
1D2D	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	1,138,418	1,138,418
1D3D	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	89,184	89,184
1D4D	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	459,561	459,561
1D7D	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	366,751	361,751
.....	Civilian Personnel Over-Pricing	.....	−5,000
BSIT	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	820,507	1,031,207
.....	Requested Transfer from OP,N line 147 for NGEN Funding	.....	+217,700
.....	Overstatement of DISA Pricing Adjustment	.....	−7,000
BSM1	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,900,386	1,900,386
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,502,857	4,452,857
.....	Transfer to Title IX—Regional/Emergency Operations Center	.....	−50,000
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	29,544,424	30,910,698
2A1F	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	424,047	424,047
2B1G	AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	7,593	7,593
2B2G	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	177,482	180,682
.....	Program Increase–Ship Disposal Program	.....	+3,200
2C1H	FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	70,990	70,990
2C2H	INDUSTRIAL READINESS	2,707	2,707
2C3H	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	23,845	23,845
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	706,664	709,864
3A1J	OFFICER ACQUISITION	141,057	141,057
3A2J	RECRUIT TRAINING	10,853	10,853
3A3J	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	143,504	143,504
3B1K	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	533,004	530,004
.....	Transfer to Title IX—NAVSEA VSSS/EOD Training	.....	−3,000
3B2K	FLIGHT TRAINING	1,538,171	9,571
.....	Transfer of Fleet Air Training funding to SAG 1A2A	.....	−958,200
.....	Transfer of Chief of Naval Air Training to SAG 1A2A	.....	−570,400
3B3K	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	162,844	162,844
3B4K	TRAINING SUPPORT	171,153	171,153
3C1L	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	261,287	261,922
.....	Program Increase–Naval Sea Cadet Corps	.....	+635
3C3L	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	145,560	145,560
3C4L	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	109,865	109,865
3C5L	JUNIOR ROTC	50,369	53,369
.....	Program Increase–Junior ROTC	.....	+3,000
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	3,267,667	1,739,702
4A1M	ADMINISTRATION	829,010	829,010
4A2M	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	7,632	7,632
4A3M	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	118,838	111,838
.....	Overstated Requirement for Other Intragovernmental Purchases	.....	−7,000
4A4M	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	194,775	194,775
4A5M	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	282,580	282,580
4A6M	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	503,067	496,089
.....	Nuclear Command, Control and Communications Systems Budget Realignment and Consolidation Justified as Program Growth	.....	−6,978
4B1N	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	230,294	230,294
4B2N	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	259,990	259,990
4B3N	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	868,069	856,069
.....	Civilian Personnel Over-Pricing	.....	−12,000
4B5N	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT	55,217	55,217
4B6N	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS	19,053	19,053
4B7N	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	77,702	77,702
4C1P	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	549,484	546,484
.....	Civilian Personnel Over-Pricing	.....	−3,000
4D1Q	INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES	5,567	5,567
999	OTHER PROGRAMS	614,275	607,475
.....	Classified Adjustment	.....	−6,800
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	4,615,553	4,579,775
.....	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	.....	−127,200
.....	FIVE PERCENT COST SAVINGS FOR INVESTMENT IN ENERGY AND UTILITIES PROJECTS THROUGH THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT	.....	−3,600
.....	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY	38,134,308	37,809,239
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS		
1A1A	OPERATIONAL FORCES	745,678	745,678
1A2A	FIELD LOGISTICS	658,616	658,616
1A3A	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	78,891	78,891
1B1B	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	72,344	72,344
BSM1	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	594,904	594,904
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	2,206,137	2,198,437
.....	Collateral Equipment Decrease in fiscal year 2011 not Properly Accounted for in Budget Documentation	.....	−7,700
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	4,356,570	4,348,870
3A1C	RECRUIT TRAINING	16,096	16,096
3A2C	OFFICER ACQUISITION	420	420
3B1D	SPECIALIZED SKILLS TRAINING	91,197	91,197
3B3D	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	32,379	32,379
3B4D	TRAINING SUPPORT	319,742	319,742
3C1F	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	233,663	233,663
3C2F	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	61,980	61,980
3C3F	JUNIOR ROTC	19,497	19,497
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	774,974	774,974
4A3G	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	29,569	29,569
4A4G	ADMINISTRATION	341,657	335,657
.....	Administrative Efficiencies	.....	−6,000
4B3N	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	87,570	87,570
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	458,796	452,796
.....	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	.....	−34,400
.....	FIVE PERCENT COST SAVINGS FOR INVESTMENT IN ENERGY AND UTILITIES PROJECTS THROUGH THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT	.....	−2,500
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	5,590,340	5,539,740
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE		
011A	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	4,261,115	4,218,222
.....	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	.....	−34,408
.....	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	.....	−8,485
011C	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	2,995,278	2,933,353
.....	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	.....	−61,925
011D	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING	1,573,602	1,508,352
.....	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	.....	−13,598
.....	Transfer of Range Maintenance funding to SAG 011R	.....	−33,652
.....	Removal of One-Time fiscal year 2010 Cost for F–35A Beddown Costs	.....	−18,000
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	2,189,481	2,176,793
.....	Program Increase–Warner Robins Air Logistics Center Aircraft Depot Maintenance	.....	+4,000
.....	Air Force Requested Transfer to OM,ANG for C–130s	.....	−10,879
.....	Air Force Requested Transfer to OM,AFR for C–130s	.....	−5,809
011R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,556,234	1,664,886
.....	Transfer of Range Maintenance from SAG 011D	.....	+33,652
.....	Adjustments to Meet Life, Health, Safety and ADA Compliance Standards	.....	+75,000
011Z	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	3,088,003	2,937,621

M–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
.....	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	.....	−91,675
.....	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	.....	−58,707
012A	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	1,511,243	1,450,927
.....	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	.....	−16,013
.....	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	.....	−44,303
012C	OTHER COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT PROGRAMS	1,035,291	1,020,300
.....	Unjustified Growth for Programming/Execution	.....	−12,268
.....	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	.....	−2,723
012F	TACTICAL INTELLIGENCE AND SPECIAL ACTIVITIES	595,028	595,028
013A	LAUNCH FACILITIES	342,355	342,355
013C	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	811,022	811,022
015A	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	797,754	791,754
.....	Information Operations	.....	−6,000
015B	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	233,021	225,865
.....	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	.....	−7,156
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	20,989,427	20,676,478
021A	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	2,975,663	2,975,663
021D	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	158,647	158,647
021M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	140,286	140,286
021R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	348,231	348,231
021Z	BASE SUPPORT	683,286	635,231
.....	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	.....	−45,577
.....	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	.....	−2,478
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	4,306,113	4,258,058
031A	OFFICER ACQUISITION	114,403	114,403
031B	RECRUIT TRAINING	28,195	28,195
031D	RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	90,453	90,453
031R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	411,570	400,652
.....	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	.....	−10,918
031Z	BASE SUPPORT (ACADEMIES ONLY)	902,323	845,576
.....	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	.....	−16,216
.....	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	.....	−40,531
032A	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	510,065	470,584
.....	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	.....	−11,481
.....	Growth in Overhead Expenses not Justified by Increases to Training Metrics	.....	−28,000
032B	FLIGHT TRAINING	1,012,816	1,012,816
032C	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	221,553	221,553
032D	TRAINING SUPPORT	126,784	123,260
.....	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	.....	−3,524
032M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	619	619
033A	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	150,222	143,635
.....	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	.....	−1,487
.....	Air Force Recruiting Information Support System Air Force Requested Transfer to RDTE,AF	.....	−5,100
033B	EXAMINING	409	409
033C	OFF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	172,643	172,643
033D	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	208,872	208,872
033E	JUNIOR ROTC	77,692	81,692
.....	Program Increase Junior ROTC	.....	+4,000
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	4,028,619	3,915,362
041A	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	1,110,471	1,082,427
.....	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	.....	−28,044
041B	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	949,018	937,913
.....	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	.....	−5,866
.....	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	.....	−5,239
041M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	7,365	7,365
041R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	368,349	367,651
.....	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	.....	−698
041Z	BASE SUPPORT	1,363,230	1,292,621
.....	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	.....	−30,609
.....	Pentagon Reservation Maintenance Fund Pricing	.....	−40,000
042A	ADMINISTRATION	657,268	657,268
042B	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	693,379	672,562
.....	Unjustified Growth for Programming/ Execution	.....	−20,817
042G	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	1,152,877	1,138,670
.....	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	.....	−22,207
.....	Analytical Support for the Executive Agent for Space—Transfer from RDTE,AF line 216	.....	+8,000
042I	CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION	22,848	27,048
.....	Civil Air Patrol Program Increase	.....	+4,200
043A	SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,159,342	1,141,160
.....	Unsupported Request for Civilian Personnel	.....	−18,182
044A	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	36,206	36,206
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	7,520,353	7,360,891
.....	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	.....	−134,300
.....	FIVE PERCENT COST SAVINGS FOR INVESTMENT IN ENERGY AND UTILITIES PROJECTS THROUGH THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT	.....	−13,500
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	36,844,512	36,062,989
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE		
.....	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	420,940	420,940
.....	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	3,944,330	3,930,330
.....	Non-Standard Aviation Platforms Sustainment and Logistical Support	.....	−5,000
.....	Removal of One-Time fiscal year 2010 Congressional Increases	.....	−9,000
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	4,365,270	4,351,270
.....	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY	145,896	145,896
.....	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY	97,633	97,633
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	243,529	243,529
.....	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS	156,043	164,043
.....	STARBASE Youth Program	.....	+8,000
.....	BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION AGENCY	143,441	143,441
.....	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	486,143	482,643
.....	Removal of One-Time fiscal year 2010 Cost for Renewing Three Year License for Software	.....	−3,500
.....	DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE	1,593	1,593
.....	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	1,384,450	1,374,450
.....	Multinational Information Sharing Programs	.....	−10,000
.....	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	42,404	42,404
.....	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	448,043	396,395
.....	Facilities Sustainment	.....	−58,848
.....	Procurement Technical Assistance Program	.....	+7,200
.....	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	255,878	255,878
.....	DEFENSE POW /MISSING PERSONS OFFICE	24,155	24,155
.....	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY AGENCY	37,624	37,624
.....	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	463,522	445,682
.....	Core Operational Support Activities—unnecessary increase	.....	−17,840
.....	DEFENSE DEPENDENTS EDUCATION	2,514,537	2,679,537
.....	Military Spouse Career Advancement Accounts	.....	+165,000
.....	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	824,153	794,353
.....	Joint Advertising, Market Research and Studies	.....	−29,800
.....	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	1,112,849	1,107,849
.....	Overstatement of NSPS to GS Conversion	.....	−5,000
.....	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	683,853	539,369

M–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
.....	Global Train and Equip (1206)	.....	−139,507
.....	Stability Operations Fellowship Program—not authorized	.....	−4,977
.....	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE	518,743	518,743
.....	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT	50,811	50,811
.....	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	2,245,300	2,232,986
.....	Battlefield Information Collection and Exploitation System	.....	−15,000
.....	Combatant Commander's Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)	.....	−26,500
.....	Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative	.....	+60,186
.....	Overstatement of Civilian Personnel Pay Requirements	.....	−24,500
.....	AT&L–Integrated Acquisition Environment Internal Realignment not Properly Accounted for in Budget Documentation	.....	−6,500
.....	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES	604,130	594,330
.....	Overstatement of Civilian Personnel Pay Requirements	.....	−9,800
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	11,997,672	11,886,286
.....	OTHER PROGRAMS	13,977,425	13,685,725
.....	Classified Adjustments	.....	−291,700
.....	IMPACT AID	.....	40,000
.....	IMPACT AID FOR CHILDREN WITH SEVERE DISABILITIES	.....	4,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE	30,583,896	30,210,810
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE		
111	MANEUVER UNITS	1,282	1,282
112	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	12,413	12,413
113	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES	460,814	460,814
114	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	168,020	168,020
115	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	555,944	555,944
116	AVIATION ASSETS	70,378	70,378
121	FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	391,326	381,326
	Decrease Requested Growth for Travel	.....	−10,000
122	LAND FORCES SYSTEM READINESS	108,093	108,093
123	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	136,854	136,854
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	577,146	567,146
	Unjustified Increase in Motor Pool Operations Costs	.....	−10,000
132	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	234,486	234,486
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,716,756	2,696,756
421	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	12,717	12,717
431	ADMINISTRATION	74,685	74,685
432	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	3,797	3,797
433	PERSONNEL/FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION	9,245	9,245
434	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	61,877	61,877
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	162,321	162,321
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	.....	−18,650
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE	2,879,077	2,840,427
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE		
1A1A	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	599,649	599,649
1A3A	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	13,209	13,209
1A4A	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	2,668	2,668
1A5A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	140,377	140,377
1A6A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	309	309
1B1B	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	65,757	62,757
.....	Mismatch of OPTEMPO and Steaming Day Performance Data	.....	−3,000
1B2B	SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING	587	587
1B4B	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	91,054	91,054
1C1C	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	15,882	15,882
1C6C	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	140,186	140,186
1D4D	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	5,492	5,492
BSIT	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	56,046	56,046
BSMR	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	81,407	81,407
BSSR	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	131,988	131,988
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	1,344,611	1,341,611
4A1M	ADMINISTRATION	3,276	3,276
4A4M	MILITARY MANPOWER & PERSONNEL	13,698	13,698
4A6M	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	2,628	2,628
4B3N	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	3,551	3,551
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	23,153	23,153
.....	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	.....	−20,500
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE	1,367,764	1,344,264
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE		
1A1A	OPERATING FORCES	104,566	104,566
1A3A	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	16,392	16,392
BSM1	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	38,762	38,762
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	99,924	92,424
.....	Eliminate Growth in Administrative Costs	.....	−7,500
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	259,644	252,144
BSM1	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	835	835
BSS1	ADMINISTRATION	15,871	15,871
3A1C	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	8,884	8,884
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	25,590	25,590
.....	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	.....	−2,250
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE	285,234	275,484
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE		
011A	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	2,275,407	2,276,450
.....	Air Force Requested Transfer to OM,ANG for C–130s	.....	−2,017
.....	Air Force Requested Transfer from OM,AF for C–130s	.....	+3,060
011G	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	111,742	111,742
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	415,687	418,436
.....	Air Force Requested Transfer from OM,AF for C–130s	.....	+2,749
011R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	88,822	88,822
011Z	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	277,985	277,985
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	3,169,643	3,173,435
042A	ADMINISTRATION	80,526	80,526
042J	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	24,353	24,353
042K	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	19,716	19,716
042L	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	6,071	6,071
042M	AUDIOVISUAL	726	726
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	131,392	131,392
.....	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	.....	−13,800
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE	3,301,035	3,291,027
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
111	MANEUVER UNITS	807,193	807,193

M–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
112	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	166,474	166,474
113	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	607,567	607,567
114	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	249,930	249,930
115	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	35,657	35,657
116	AVIATION ASSETS	838,895	854,895
.....	Aircraft Maintenance Program Increase	.....	+16,000
121	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	570,119	544,119
.....	Distance Learning—Transfer from OCO OM,ARNG SAG 135	.....	+9,000
.....	Realignment of Funding for the Organizational Clothing and Equipment Enterprise Environment not Properly Accounted for in Budget Documentation	.....	−35,000
122	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	121,980	121,980
123	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	380,789	380,789
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	933,514	853,514
.....	Unjustified Growth for Information Management Systems	.....	−80,000
132	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	621,843	661,843
.....	Army National Guard Program Increase	.....	+40,000
133	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	540,738	549,626
.....	Transfer from Defense Health Program for Psychological Health—State Directors for the National Guard	.....	+8,888
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	5,874,699	5,833,587
421	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	17,771	17,771
431	ADMINISTRATION	183,781	151,463
.....	Pay and Benefits Mismatch Between Op–5 and Op–32	.....	−32,318
432	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	48,188	48,188
433	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	8,020	8,020
434	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	440,245	440,245
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	698,005	665,687
.....	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	.....	−36,650
.....	FIVE PERCENT COST SAVINGS FOR INVESTMENT IN ENERGY AND UTILITIES PROJECTS THROUGH THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT	.....	−8,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	6,572,704	6,454,624
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
011F	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	3,519,452	3,525,525
.....	Air Force Requested Transfer from OM,AFR for C–130s	.....	+2,017
.....	Air Force Requested Transfer from OM,AF for C–130s	.....	+4,056
011G	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	762,937	762,937
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	598,779	605,602
.....	Air Force Requested Transfer from OM,AF for C–130s	.....	+6,823
011R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	315,210	355,210
.....	Air National Guard Program Increase	.....	+40,000
011Z	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	668,176	668,176
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	5,864,554	5,917,450
042A	ADMINISTRATION	41,930	41,930
042J	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	34,659	34,659
.....	SUBTOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	76,589	76,589
.....	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DUE TO HISTORIC UNDEREXECUTION	.....	−30,200
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD	5,941,143	5,963,839
	MISCELLANEOUS		
.....	OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER ACCOUNT	5,000	0
.....	Unjustified Request	.....	−5,000
.....	U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES	14,068	14,068
.....	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	444,581	464,581
.....	Program Increase	.....	+20,000
.....	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY	304,867	304,867
.....	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	502,653	502,653
.....	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	10,744	10,744
.....	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FUDS	276,546	316,546
.....	Program Increase	.....	+40,000
.....	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID	108,032	108,032
.....	COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAM	522,512	522,512
.....	ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND	217,561	217,561
.....	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	167,878,542	165,560,124

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
3	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP)	88,483	0
.....	Program Adjustment for Schedule Slip	.....	−88,483
4	MQ–1 UAV	459,310	434,310
.....	Contract Savings	.....	−25,000
5	RQ–11 (RAVEN)	20,152	20,152
6	BCT UNMANNED AERIAL VEH (UAVS) INCR 1	44,206	26,568
.....	Program Reduction	.....	−17,638
8	HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH)	305,272	305,272
9	AH–64 APACHE BLOCK III	332,681	332,681
10	AH–64 APACHE BLOCK III (AP–CY)	161,150	161,150
11	UH–60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)	1,250,566	1,250,566
12	UH–60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) (AP–CY)	100,532	100,532
13	CH–47 HELICOPTER	1,101,293	1,101,293
14	CH–47 HELICOPTER (AP–CY)	57,756	57,756
15	HELICOPTER NEW TRAINING	9,383	0
.....	Unjustified Request	.....	−9,383
17	MQ–1 PAYLOAD—UAS	100,413	80,413
.....	Tactical SIGINT Payload Schedule Adjustment	.....	−20,000
18	MQ–1 WEAPONIZATION—UAS	14,729	14,729
19	GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP)	29,899	25,799
.....	Airborne Precision Geolocation	.....	−4,100
20	MULTI SENSOR AIRBORNE RECON (MIP)	16,981	16,981
21	AH–64 MODS	393,769	393,769
23	CH–47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS	66,207	66,207
25	UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS	13,716	13,716
26	AIRCRAFT LONG RANGE MODS	814	814
27	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS	63,085	80,085
.....	UH–60 A to L conversions	.....	+17,000
28	KIOWA WARRIOR	94,400	42,300
.....	Cockpit and Sensor Upgrade Program Funding Ahead of Need	.....	−52,100
29	AIRBORNE AVIONICS	219,425	207,425
.....	Contract Savings	.....	−12,000
30	GATM ROLLUP	100,862	100,862
31	RQ–7 UAV MODS	505,015	2,515
.....	Funding Ahead of Need for Installation	.....	−5,000
.....	Transfer to Title IX	.....	−497,500
34	SPARE PARTS (AIR)	7,328	9,956
.....	Transfer from OP,A line 195 at Army request	.....	+2,628
35	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT	24,478	24,478
36	ASE INFRARED COUNTER MEASURES	174,222	163,722
.....	Excess to Requirement	.....	−10,500

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
37	AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	4,885	4,885
38	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	76,129	76,129
39	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS	52,423	52,423
40	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	82,844	82,844
41	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	1,567	1,567
42	LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET	2,892	2,892
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY	5,976,867	5,254,791
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
1	PATRIOT SYSTEM SUMMARY	480,247	613,847
.....	PAC–3 Launchers and Missiles Army UFR	.....	+133,600
2	SURFACE-LAUNCHED AMRAAM SYS SUMMARY	116,732	102,732
.....	Program Reduction	.....	−14,000
4	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY	31,881	31,881
5	JAVELIN (AAWS–M) SYSTEM SUMMARY	163,929	163,929
6	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY	30,326	24,326
.....	Program Adjustment for Growth in Management and Administration Costs	.....	−6,000
7	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY (AP–CY)	48,355	0
.....	Excess to Requirement	.....	−48,355
8	BCT NON LINE OF SIGHT LAUNCH SYSTEM	350,574	0
.....	Program Termination	.....	−350,574
9	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	291,041	266,041
.....	Program Reduction	.....	−25,000
10	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR)	15,886	15,886
11	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM	211,517	204,517
.....	Program Adjustment, Carriers Procured in fiscal year 2010	.....	−7,000
12	PATRIOT MODS	57,170	57,170
13	ITAS/TOW MODS	13,281	13,281
14	MLRS MODS	8,217	8,217
15	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS	39,371	39,371
16	HELLFIRE MODIFICATIONS	10	10
17	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	19,569	19,569
18	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS	3,613	3,613
19	ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (MISSILES)	1,208	1,208
20	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT	4,510	4,510
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY	1,887,437	1,570,108
	PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY		
4	STRYKER VEHICLE	299,545	350,945
.....	Transfer from OP,A line 9	.....	+61,300
.....	Adjust Program Management Costs	.....	−9,900
9	STRYKER (MOD)	146,352	85,052
.....	Transfer to OP,A line 4	.....	−61,300
10	FIST VEHICLE (MOD)	31,083	31,083
11	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)	215,133	204,133
.....	Program Reduction	.....	−11,000
12	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD)	105,277	5,277
.....	Program Adjustment for Schedule Slip	.....	−70,000
.....	Transfer to RDTE,A line 116 for Paladin PIM	.....	−30,000
13	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES)	69,609	69,609
14	ARMORED BREACHER VEHICLE	77,930	77,930
15	M88 FOV MODS	9,157	9,157
16	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE	44,133	0
.....	Funded Ahead of Need	.....	−44,133
17	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD)	230,907	230,907
18	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM	183,000	183,000
19	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV–WTCV)	3,145	3,145
20	HOWITZER, LIGHT, TOWED, 105MM, M119	5,575	0
.....	Funds Excess to Requirement	.....	−5,575
21	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN (7.62MM)	28,179	20,479
.....	Pricing Correction	.....	−7,700
22	MACHINE GUN, CAL .50 M2 ROLL	79,496	0
.....	Transfer to Title IX	.....	−79,496
23	LIGHTWEIGHT .50 CALIBER MACHINE GUN	18,941	18,941
25	MK–19 GRENADE MACHINE GUN (40MM)	4,465	4,465
26	MORTAR SYSTEMS	17,082	17,082
27	M107, CAL. 50, SNIPER RIFLE	235	235
28	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM)	16,282	16,282
29	M110 SEMI–AUTOMATIC SNIPER SYSTEM (SASS)	5,159	5,159
30	M4 CARBINE	20,180	20,180
31	SHOTGUN, MODULAR ACCESSORY SYSTEM (MASS)	7,153	7,153
33	HANDGUN	3,371	0
.....	Program Reduction	.....	−3,371
35	MK–19 GRENADE MACHINE GUN MODS	4,286	2,986
.....	Tactical Engagement Simulator Terminated	.....	−1,300
36	M4 CARBINE MODS	14,044	14,044
38	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS	5,922	5,922
39	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS	15,852	15,852
40	M119 MODIFICATIONS	39,810	39,810
41	M16 RIFLE MODS	3,855	3,855
43	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN $5.0M (WOCV–WTCV)	6,083	6,083
45	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV–WTCV)	7,869	7,869
46	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	409	409
47	SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG)	4,042	4,042
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY	1,723,561	1,461,086
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY		
1	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES	195,406	195,406
2	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES	79,622	79,622
3	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES	5,377	5,377
4	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES	160,712	160,712
6	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES	15,887	15,887
7	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES	95,222	95,222
8	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES	167,632	167,632
9	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	14,340	14,340
10	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	24,036	24,036
11	CTG, MORTAR, 120MM, ALL TYPES	96,335	67,735
.....	APMI Unit Cost Savings	.....	−28,600
12	CTG TANK 105MM: ALL TYPES	7,794	7,794
13	CTG, TANK, 120MM, ALL TYPES	114,798	114,798
14	CTG, ARTY, 75MM: ALL TYPES	7,329	7,329
15	CTG, ARTY, 105MM: ALL TYPES	76,658	76,658
16	CTG, ARTY, 155MM, ALL TYPES	45,752	45,752
17	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982	62,114	30,700
.....	Exceeds Revised Requirement	.....	−31,414
18	MODULAR ARTILLERY CHARGE SYSTEM (MACS), ALL T	29,309	21,909
.....	Decrease to Reduce Backlog in MACS M232 Production	.....	−7,400
19	ARTILLERY FUZES, ALL TYPES	25,047	15,047
.....	Program Delay, Precision Guidance Kit	.....	−10,000
20	MINES, ALL TYPES	817	817
21	MINE, CLEARING CHARGE, ALL TYPES	8,000	8,000

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
22	ANTIPERSONNEL LANDMINE ALTERNATIVES	53,005	8,317
	FRD Slipped to fiscal year 2012		−44,688
23	INTELLIGENT MUNITIONS SYSTEM (IMS), ALL TYPES	10,246	0
	Program Adjustment for Schedule Slip		−10,246
24	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	43,873	43,873
25	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES	120,628	120,628
26	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	19,824	19,824
27	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	41,803	41,803
28	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES	39,472	39,472
29	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES	11,389	11,389
30	AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES	17,499	17,499
31	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES	5,266	5,266
32	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES	5,322	5,322
33	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	9,768	9,768
34	AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT	12,721	12,721
35	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO)	11,786	11,786
36	CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES	100	100
37	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	144,368	144,368
38	LAYAWAY OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	9,504	9,504
39	MAINTENANCE OF INACTIVE FACILITIES	9,025	9,025
40	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION, ALL	178,367	178,367
41	ARMS INITIATIVE	3,261	3,261
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY	1,979,414	1,847,066
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
1	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS	25,560	0
	Army Requested Program Adjustment		−25,560
2	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED:	38,713	0
	Funded Ahead of Need		−38,713
5	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV)	918,195	693,495
	Pricing Adjustment		−224,700
6	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMEN	21,317	21,317
7	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV)	549,741	549,741
8	PALLETIZED LOAD SYS—EXTENDED SERVICE PGM	100,108	56,208
	Program Adjustment for Schedule Slip		−43,900
9	ARMORED SECURITY VEHICLES (ASV)	114,478	114,478
10	MINE PROTECTION VEHICLE FAMILY	230,978	0
	Transfer to Title IX		−230,978
12	TRUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HAUL, M915/M916	37,519	21,519
	Excess to Need		−16,000
13	HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV	173,565	173,565
15	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP	349,256	0
	Funded Ahead of Need		−56,300
	Transfer to Title IX		−292,956
17	TOWING DEVICE-FIFTH WHEEL	234	234
18	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS, OPA1	746	746
19	HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN	1,875	0
	Slow Execution		−1,875
20	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	3,323	1,323
	Slow Execution		−2,000
21	NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER	19,586	19,586
23	JOINT COMBAT IDENTIFICATION MARKING SYSTEM	11,411	11,411
24	WIN–T—GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK	421,798	391,798
	Program Adjustment, Increment 2 Slow Execution		−20,000
	Program Adjustment, Area Common User System Modernization Slow Execution		−10,000
25	JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM)	4,690	4,690
26	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS	115,744	115,744
27	SHF TERM	14,198	14,198
28	SAT TERM, EMUT (SPACE)	662	662
29	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE)	32,193	32,193
30	SMART–T (SPACE)	10,285	10,285
31	SCAMP (SPACE)	930	930
32	GLOBAL BRDCST SVC—GBS	4,586	4,586
33	MOD OF IN–SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT)	1,506	1,506
34	MOD–IN–SERVICE PROFILER	938	938
35	ARMY GLOBAL CMD & CONTROL SYS (AGCCS)	20,387	20,387
36	ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (DATA RADIO)	700	700
37	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM	209,568	159,468
	Program Reduction in Small Form Factor-C Radio		−5,000
	Funded Ahead of Need		−45,100
38	RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2)	5,796	5,796
39	SINCGARS FAMILY	14,504	12,604
	Unjustified Growth		−1,900
40	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS—OPA2	3,860	3,860
41	MULTIPURPOSE INFORMATION OPERATIONS SYSTEMS	9,501	9,501
42	COMMS–ELEC EQUIP FIELDING	5,965	5,965
43	SPIDER APLA REMOTE CONTROL UNIT	26,358	6,758
	Army Requested Program Adjustment		−19,600
44	INTELLIGENT MUNITIONS SYSTEM REMOTE CONTROL UNIT	6,603	0
	Funded Ahead of Need		−6,603
45	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM AND ELECTRONICS	5,125	5,125
46	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATOR (CSEL)	2,397	2,397
47	RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY	9,983	9,983
48	MEDICAL COMM FOR CBT CASUALTY CARE (MC4)	23,606	23,606
49	CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE (MIP)	1,465	1,465
50	TSEC—ARMY KEY MGT SYS (AKMS)	25,959	25,959
51	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM—ISSP	63,340	54,858
	Protected Information—Biometrics—Transfer to OP,A line 51x		−8,482
51x	FAMILY OF BIOMETRICS	0	8,482
	Non-MIP Biometrics—Transfer from OP,A line 51		+8,482
52	TERRESTRIAL TRANSMISSION	137	137
53	BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS	28,406	28,406
54	WW TECH CON IMP PROG (WWTCIP)	11,566	11,566
55	INFORMATION SYSTEMS	201,081	201,081
56	DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS)	6,264	6,264
57	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM	178,242	178,242
58	PENTAGON INFORMATION MGT AND TELECOM	10,427	10,427
64	JTT/CIBS–M (MIP)	3,321	3,321
65	PROPHET GROUND (MIP)	71,517	71,517
68	DIGITAL TOPOGRAPHIC SPT SYS (DTSS) (MIP)	441	441
70	DCGS–A (MIP)	137,424	0
	Transfer to Title IX		−137,424
71	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTAGS)	9,279	9,279
72	TROJAN (MIP)	28,345	28,345
73	MOD OF IN–SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP)	7,602	7,602
74	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS)(MIP)	7,416	7,416
75	ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (MIP)	18,721	18,721
76	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR	32,980	80,080
	Program Adjustment		+47,100
77	WARLOCK	24,127	16,127
	Excess to Need		−8,000
78	BCT UNATTENDED GROUND SENSOR	29,718	14,718
	Program Reduction		−15,000

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
79	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURE	1,394	1,394
80	CI MODERNIZATION (MIP)	1,263	1,263
81	FORWARD AREA AIR DEFENSE—GROUND BASED SENSOR	91,467	91,467
82	SENTINEL MODS	30,976	30,976
83	SENSE THROUGH THE WALL (STTW)	24,939	24,939
84	NIGHT VISION DEVICES	70,528	70,528
85	LONG RANGE ADVANCED SCOUT SURVEILLANCE SYS	255,641	230,641
	Excess to Need		−25,000
86	NIGHT VISION, THERMAL WPN SIGHT	248,899	248,899
87	SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF	8,520	8,520
89	COUNTER-ROCKET, ARTILLERY & MORTAR	2,088	2,088
91	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP	6,042	0
	Funded Ahead of Need		−6,042
94	PROFILER	4,408	4,408
95	MOD OF IN–SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS)	2,843	2,843
96	FORCE XXI BATTLE CMD BRIGADE & BELOW (FBCB2)	39,786	39,786
97	JOINT BATTLE COMMAND—PLATFORM (JBCP)	147	147
98	LIGHTWEIGHT LASER DESIGNATOR/RANGEFINDER	65,970	65,970
99	COMPUTER BALLISTICS: LHMBC XM32	815	815
100	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM	16,475	16,475
101	COUNTERFIRE RADARS	275,867	0
	Transfer to Title IX		−275,867
102	ENHANCED SENSOR & MONITORING SYSTEM	2,062	2,062
103	TACTICAL OPERATIONS CENTERS	53,768	43,768
	Program Reduction		−10,000
104	FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY	49,077	49,077
105	BATTLE COMMAND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT SYSTEM	25,866	25,866
106	FAAD C2	42,511	32,511
	Program Reduction		−10,000
107	AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS	57,038	57,038
108	KNIGHT FAMILY	120,723	120,723
109	LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS)	1,710	1,710
110	AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	10,858	10,858
111	TC AIMS II	10,457	10,457
113	TACTICAL INTERNET MANAGER	1,594	1,594
114	NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE	18,492	18,492
115	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)	96,162	96,162
116	SINGLE ARMY LOGISTICS ENTERPRISE (SALE)	99,819	99,819
117	RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET	15,466	15,466
119	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM	97,858	97,858
120	ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION	36,158	36,158
121	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT	203,864	203,864
122	CSS COMMUNICATIONS	39,811	39,811
123	RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS)	39,360	39,360
124	ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (A/V)	663	663
125	ITEMS LESS THAN $5M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT)	6,467	6,467
128	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C–E)	542	542
129	BCT NETWORK	176,543	136,543
	Program Reduction		−40,000
130	PROTECTIVE SYSTEMS	2,489	2,489
131	FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE)	9,305	9,305
132	CBRN SOLDIER PROTECTION	180,351	180,351
133	SMOKE & OBSCURANT FAMILY: SOF (NON AAO ITEM)	831	831
134	TACTICAL BRIDGING	62,817	62,817
135	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON	105,837	105,837
136	HANDHELD STANDOFF MINEFIELD DETECTION SYS	43,871	43,871
137	GROUND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM	35,002	35,002
138	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIPMENT	54,093	54,093
139	ITEMS LESS THAN $5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT	3,655	3,655
141	HEATERS AND ECU'S	20,610	20,610
143	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT	5,416	5,416
146	PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS)	7,813	7,813
147	GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM	110,524	96,024
	Program Reduction		−14,500
148	MOUNTED SOLDIER SYSTEM	38,872	38,872
149	FORCE PROVIDER	41,539	41,539
150	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT	23,826	23,826
151	CARGO AERIAL DELIVERY AND PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM	69,496	69,496
152	MOBILE INTEGRATED REMAINS COLLECTION SYSTEM	26,532	26,532
153	ITEMS LESS THAN $5M (ENGINEER SUPPORT)	31,420	31,420
154	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM AND WATER	175,069	164,369
	Program Adjustment		−10,700
155	WATER PURIFICATION SYSTEMS	3,597	0
	Funded Ahead of Need		−3,597
156	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL	30,365	30,365
157	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS	159,285	139,985
	Unjustified Growth		−19,300
158	ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (MAINT EQ)	3,702	3,702
159	GRADER, ROAD MOTORIZED, HVY, 6X4 (CCE)	48,379	48,379
160	SKID STEER LOADER (SSL) FAMILY OF SYSTEM	17,498	17,498
161	SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING	12,452	12,452
163	MISSION MODULES–ENGINEERING	62,111	54,111
	Unjustified Growth		−8,000
164	LOADERS	7,205	7,205
165	HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR	8,458	8,458
166	TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED	64,032	64,032
167	PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING	10,783	10,783
168	HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HMEE) FOS	64,959	60,959
	Unjustified Growth		−4,000
169	CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT ESP	11,063	11,063
170	ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (CONSTRUCTION EQUIP)	20,565	17,565
	Unjustified Growth		−3,000
171	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV)	202,764	202,764
172	HARBORMASTER COMMAND AND CONTROL CENTER (HCCC)	37,683	37,683
173	ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL)	8,052	8,052
174	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT	113,573	113,573
175	ROUGH TERRAIN CONTAINER HANDLER (RTCH)	29,460	29,460
176	FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS	12,936	12,936
177	ALL TERRAIN LIFTING ARMY SYSTEM	17,352	17,352
178	COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT	23,400	23,400
179	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM	297,200	322,200
	Training Range Upgrades		+25,000
180	CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER	64,912	64,912
181	AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER	26,120	26,120
182	GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING	4,964	4,964
183	CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT	38,778	38,778
184	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE)	104,472	104,472
185	TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD)	19,166	18,166
	Funded Ahead of Need		−1,000
186	RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	42,229	21,229
	Excess to Need		−21,000
187	PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3)	56,195	56,195
188	BASE LEVEL COMMERCIAL EQUIPMENT	1,873	1,873
189	MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA–3)	103,046	82,046

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
.....	Program Adjustment	.....	−21,000
190	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH)	2,233	2,233
192	SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING	44,483	44,483
193	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS OPA3	13,104	13,104
194	MA8975	3,894	3,894
195	BCT UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE	20,046	20,046
196	BCT TRAINING/LOGISTICS/MANAGEMENT	61,581	31,581
.....	Program Reduction	.....	−30,000
197	INITIAL SPARES–C&E	38,707	36,079
.....	Transfer to AP,A line 34 at Army request	.....	−2,628
.....	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	2,560	2,560
xx	PROCUREMENT INNOVATION	0	15,000
.....	Procurement Innovation	.....	+15,000
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY	9,765,808	8,145,665
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
1	EA–18G	1,028,801	971,241
.....	Multi-year Procurement Savings	.....	−49,836
.....	Support Funding Carryover	.....	−7,724
2	EA–18G (AP–CY)	55,081	55,081
3	F/A–18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP)	1,784,894	1,684,086
.....	Multi-year Procurement Savings	.....	−92,746
.....	Support Funding Carryover	.....	−8,062
4	F/A–18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP) (AP–CY)	2,295	2,295
5	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER	1,667,093	1,653,093
.....	Support Funding Carryover	.....	−14,000
6	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	219,895	219,895
7	JSF STOVL	2,289,816	555,716
.....	Support Funding Carryover	.....	−42,500
.....	Delete Two Aircraft	.....	−391,600
	Transfer Eight Aircraft to CTOL Variant	.....	−1,300,000
8	JSF STOVL (AP–CY)	286,326	286,326
9	V–22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	2,121,036	2,121,036
10	V–22 (MEDIUM LIFT) (AP–CY)	81,875	81,875
11	UH–1Y/AH–1Z	738,709	738,709
12	UH–1Y/AH–1Z (AP–CY)	69,360	58,560
.....	Unjustified Cost Growth	.....	−10,800
13	MH–60S (MYP)	478,591	478,591
14	MH–60S (MYP) (AP–CY)	70,080	66,280
.....	Unexecutable EOQ	.....	−3,800
15	MH–60R	897,933	897,933
16	MH–60R (AP–CY)	162,006	129,006
.....	Unexecutable EOQ	.....	−33,000
17	P–8A POSEIDON	1,824,437	1,820,560
.....	Operational Flight Trainer Cost Growth	.....	−2,155
.....	Weapons Tactics Trainer Cost Growth	.....	−1,722
18	P–8A POSEIDON (ADVANCED PROCUREMENT)	166,153	147,653
.....	Funded Ahead of Need	.....	−18,500
19	E–2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP)	819,184	819,184
20	E–2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP) (AP–CY)	118,619	118,619
21	C–40A	.....	74,100
.....	Add One Aircraft	.....	+74,100
22	JPATS	266,065	26,274
.....	Contract Delay	.....	−234,849
.....	Support Funding Carryover	.....	−4,942
26	MQ–8 UAV	47,484	43,984
.....	Support Funding Carryover	.....	−3,500
27	STUASLO UAV	23,912	0
.....	Program Delay	.....	−23,912
29	EA–6 SERIES	14,891	0
.....	Unjustified Request in Avionics and Structural Improvements OSIP	.....	−8,900
.....	ICAP III OSIP Unjustified Request	.....	−5,991
30	AEA SYSTEMS	33,772	29,972
.....	Low Band Transmitter Modification Kit Pricing	.....	−1,400
.....	ECO growth	.....	−2,400
31	AV–8 SERIES	19,386	19,386
32	F–18 SERIES	492,821	443,806
.....	ECP 904 Modification Kit Cost Growth	.....	−2,310
.....	ECP 583R2 Installation Equipment Kit Cost Growth	.....	−3,780
.....	ATFLIR Installation Equipment Kit Cost Growth	.....	−11,745
.....	Mission Planning/Unique Planning Component Growth	.....	−2,400
.....	OSIP 002–07 Excess ECO Funding	.....	−9,000
.....	ECP6279 Radar Modification Kits Ahead of Need	.....	−7,880
.....	OSIP 001–10 Integrated Logistics Support Growth	.....	−2,500
.....	Unjustified Cost Growth	.....	−9,400
33	H–46 SERIES	17,685	17,685
34	AH–1W SERIES	11,011	11,011
35	H–53 SERIES	25,871	25,871
36	SH–60 SERIES	67,779	67,779
37	H–1 SERIES	3,060	3,060
38	EP–3 SERIES	90,323	90,323
39	P–3 SERIES	221,982	186,982
.....	Unjustified Cost Growth	.....	−35,000
40	E–2 SERIES	47,046	67,046
.....	Reliability Enhancements for E–2C	.....	+20,000
41	TRAINER A/C SERIES	23,999	23,999
42	C–2A	16,020	16,020
43	C–130 SERIES	17,839	17,839
44	FEWSG	21,928	16,696
.....	AN/ALQ–167 Modification Kit Cost Growth	.....	−5,232
45	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES	16,092	16,092
46	E–6 SERIES	149,164	121,194
.....	Block 1 Upgrade Training Kit Cost Growth	.....	−5,040
.....	Block 1 Upgrade OSIP Support Funding Growth	.....	−3,000
.....	SLEP Installation Delay	.....	−2,630
.....	Funded Ahead of Need	.....	−17,300
47	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES	43,443	43,443
48	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT	14,679	14,679
49	T–45 SERIES	61,515	46,215
.....	Engine Surge OSIP Installation Funding Ahead of Need	.....	−500
.....	Engine Surge OSIP Contract Delay	.....	−2,800
.....	Required Avionics Modernization Program Modification Kit Cost Growth	.....	−3,900
.....	Synthetic Aperture Radar OSIP Contract Delay	.....	−8,100
50	POWER PLANT CHANGES	19,948	19,948
51	JPATS SERIES	1,831	1,831
52	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT MODS	8,084	2,984
.....	Transfer to RDTE,N line 93 for Common Mobile Aircrew Restraint System	.....	−5,100
53	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT	21,947	21,947
54	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES	101,120	79,820
.....	CNS/ATM Installation Equipment Contract Savings	.....	−12,400
.....	CNS/ATM Installation Funding Ahead of Need	.....	−1,400
.....	Tactical Moving Map Capability Modifications Funding Ahead of Need	.....	−7,500
56	ID SYSTEMS	20,397	20,397

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
57	RQ–7 SERIES	18,121	18,121
58	V–22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY	21,985	21,985
59	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	1,244,673	1,234,084
	JPATS Contract Delay		−10,589
60	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	322,063	322,063
61	AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	17,998	17,998
62	WAR CONSUMABLES	25,248	25,248
63	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	7,579	7,579
64	SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	45,916	45,916
65	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	1,752	1,752
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY	18,508,613	16,170,868
	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
1	TRIDENT II MODS	1,106,911	1,106,911
2	MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	3,446	3,446
3	TOMAHAWK	300,178	288,278
	Production Engineering Support Growth		−1,900
	Support Funding Carryover		−10,000
4	AMRAAM	155,553	145,553
	Support Funding Carryover		−10,000
5	SIDEWINDER	52,293	52,293
6	JSOW	131,141	129,641
	Support Funding Carryover		−1,500
7	STANDARD MISSILE	295,922	248,222
	Support Funding Carryover		−5,700
	Smooth Production Ramp—SM 6		−42,000
8	RAM	74,976	68,046
	Contract Savings		−1,930
	Program Rebaselined—Milestone C Slip for Block II		−5,000
9	HELLFIRE	43,495	41,995
	Support Funding Carryover		−1,500
10	AERIAL TARGETS	43,988	42,888
	ECM/Emitter Equipment Cost Growth		−1,100
11	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT	3,981	3,981
12	ESSM	48,152	45,515
	Support Funding Carryover		−2,637
13	HARM MODS	53,543	52,191
	Support Funding Carryover		−1,352
14	STANDARD MISSILES MODS	61,896	61,896
15	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	3,281	3,281
16	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON	505,734	505,734
18	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	52,152	52,152
19	ASW TARGETS	10,123	5,197
	Contract Delay		−4,926
20	MK–46 TORPEDO MODS	42,144	42,144
21	MK–48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS	43,559	29,859
	Contract Delay—Funds for 15 kits and NRE		−13,700
22	QUICKSTRIKE MINE	6,090	6,090
23	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	43,766	43,766
24	ASW RANGE SUPPORT	9,557	9,557
25	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	3,494	3,494
26	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS	14,316	14,316
27	CIWS MODS	41,408	29,022
	Block 1B Systems Ahead of Need		−12,386
28	COAST GUARD WEAPONS	20,657	13,259
	CIWS Ahead of Need		−5,698
	MK160 Ahead of Need		−1,700
29	GUN MOUNT MODS	43,991	40,791
	Installation Funding Ahead of Need		−3,200
30	LCS MODULE WEAPONS	9,808	0
	NLOS Program Termination		−9,808
31	CRUISER MODERNIZATION WEAPONS	52,426	50,626
	Support Funding Carryover		−1,800
32	AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS	23,007	23,007
35	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	58,806	58,806
	TOTAL, WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY	3,359,794	3,221,957
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS		
1	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	80,028	77,928
	Direct Attack Moving Target Capability Program Cost Growth		−2,100
3	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	38,721	23,171
	MK 66 Rocket Motor (Mod 4) Unit Cost Efficiencies		−6,000
	2.75'' Launcher Unit Cost Efficiencies		−9,550
4	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION	21,003	21,003
5	PRACTICE BOMBS	33,666	31,666
	Support Funding Carryover		−2,000
6	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES	53,667	52,167
	Program Execution Delays		−1,500
7	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES	59,626	59,626
8	JATOS	2,869	2,869
9	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION	34,492	33,492
	Product Improvement Growth		−1,000
10	INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION	37,234	37,234
11	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION	36,275	36,275
12	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO	46,192	46,192
13	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION	11,310	10,079
	MK–62 Firing Device Contract Delay		−1,231
14	AMMUNITION LESS THAN $5 MILLION	4,105	4,105
15	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION	64,839	64,839
16	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES	15,329	15,329
17	40 MM, ALL TYPES	62,835	62,835
18	60MM, ALL TYPES	17,877	17,877
19	81MM, ALL TYPES	41,053	41,053
20	120MM, ALL TYPES	6,458	6,458
21	CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES	2,937	2,937
22	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	9,298	8,092
	Funded Ahead of Need for Scorpion		−1,206
23	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	13,995	13,995
24	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES	70,423	67,546
	Decrease to Reduce Backlog in MACS M232 Production		−2,877
25	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	19,464	19,464
26	FUZE, ALL TYPES	18,032	18,032
27	NON LETHALS	3,009	3,009
28	AMMO MODERNIZATION	8,985	8,985
29	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	4,269	4,269
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS	817,991	790,527
	SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY		
1	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	1,731,256	1,721,969
	Consolidated Afloat Navy Enterprise System Increment 1		−2,600
	Surface Electronic Warfare Improvement		−4,900

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
	AN/UPX–29		−1,787
2	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM (AP–CY)	908,313	908,313
3	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	3,441,452	3,430,343
	Sonar System Hardware Cost Growth		−5,795
	Modular Mast Cost Growth		−1,430
	Propulsor Cost Growth		−3,884
4	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE (AP–CY)	1,691,236	1,691,236
5	CVN REFUELING OVERHAUL	1,255,799	1,248,999
	SSDS Program Management Excess		−1,800
	SSDS Software Growth		−2,000
	CEC Testing and Evaluation Excess		−3,000
6	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS (AP–CY)	408,037	408,037
9	DDG 1000	186,312	77,512
	Volume Search Radar		−108,800
10	DDG–51	2,922,190	2,868,454
	MK–12 IFF Cost Growth		−4,986
	CIWS Block 1B Cost Growth		−2,256
	Exterior Communication System Cost Growth		−6,294
	Main Reduction Gear Systems Engineering Growth		−10,200
	Main Reduction Gear Contract Savings		−30,000
11	DDG–51 (AP–CY)	47,984	47,984
12	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP	1,230,984	1,168,984
	Cost Savings		−62,000
13	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (AP–CY)	278,351	190,351
	Program Reduction		−88,000
16	LHA REPLACEMENT (AP–CY)	949,897	942,837
	C4ISR Cost Growth		−5,174
	Rolling Airframe Missile System Cost Growth		−1,886
18	INTRATHEATER CONNECTOR	180,703	180,703
19	OCEANOGRAPHIC SHIPS	88,561	88,561
20	OUTFITTING	306,640	295,570
	JHSV–1 Outfitting Funding Phasing		−3,426
	LPD–25 Outfitting Funding Phasing		−2,500
	DDG–1000 PostDelivery Phasing		−1,757
	LPD–23 PostDelivery Phasing		−3,387
21	SERVICE CRAFT	13,770	13,770
22	LCAC SLEP	83,035	83,035
	TOTAL, SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY	15,724,520	15,366,658
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
1	LM–2500 GAS TURBINE	12,137	10,525
	Turbine Digital Fuel Controls Cost Growth		−1,612
2	ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE	14,923	14,923
4	OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT	23,167	23,167
5	SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP	85,619	73,559
	AN/BVS–1 Mast Tech Insertion Spares		−1,849
	ISIS Tech Insertion Kits Ahead of Need		−2,769
	Support Funding Carryover		−1,700
	Contractor Repair Funding Growth		−5,742
6	DDG MOD	296,691	289,691
	Multi-Mission BMD Capability Upgrade Kits Cost Growth		−1,000
	Engineering Services Unjustified Cost Growth		−6,000
7	FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT	11,974	9,304
	Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus Kits Excess to Requirements		−1,570
	Support Funding Carryover		−1,100
8	COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD	3,962	2,362
	Unjustified Request		−1,600
9	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	25,614	25,614
10	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	7,730	7,730
11	VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	132,039	130,039
	Spare Main Propulsion Shaft Ahead of Need		−2,000
12	SUBMARINE BATTERIES	44,057	31,057
	Support Funding Carryover		−1,500
	Excess Installation Funding		−11,500
13	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP	22,811	22,811
14	DSSP EQUIPMENT	3,869	3,869
15	CG-MODERNIZATION	356,958	350,958
	Engineering Services Unjustified Cost Growth		−6,000
16	LCAC	9,142	2,642
	Personnel Transport Module Contract Delay		−6,500
18	UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS	15,908	15,908
19	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	126,842	119,698
	LCS Waterjets Spares Ahead of Need		−5,296
	Voltage Regulators Ahead of Need		−1,848
20	CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS	7,470	7,470
21	SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM	13,016	13,016
22	REACTOR POWER UNITS	438,503	438,503
23	REACTOR COMPONENTS	266,469	266,469
24	DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT	10,227	10,227
25	STANDARD BOATS	27,725	49,225
	Range Support Craft		+21,500
26	OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT	16,094	16,094
27	OPERATING FORCES IPE	49,856	91,476
	Program Increase—Shipyard Capital Investment Program		+41,620
28	NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS	116,829	116,829
29	LCS MODULES	82,951	41,369
	MCM Module Production Support Growth		−6,000
	Consulting Services Growth		−3,064
	Excess Remote MultiMission Vehicle Funding		−7,600
	Mission Package Computer Environment Units Ahead of Need		−2,268
	AN/AQS–20A—Ahead of Need		−22,650
30	LSD MIDLIFE	106,612	102,612
	60-ton Deck Crane Contract Delay		−1,000
	Boat Davit and Ballast Control System Installations Ahead of Need		−3,000
31	RADAR SUPPORT	12,030	7,000
	Periscope Detection Radar Installation Funding Ahead of Need		−3,500
	Excess Miscellaneous Funding		−1,530
32	SPQ–9B RADAR	8,887	5,687
	Excess Antenna Funding		−2,200
	Support Funding Carryover		−1,000
33	AN/SQQ–89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM	87,219	85,219
	Support Funding Carryover		−2,000
34	SSN ACOUSTICS	237,015	234,015
	Installation Costs Unjustified Growth		−3,000
35	UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	29,641	27,241
	Common Data Link Modification Installation Funding Ahead of Need		−2,400
36	SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS	14,056	13,056
	TR–317 Module Cost Growth		−1,000
37	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM	20,739	18,539
	Next Generation Countermeasure Funding Ahead of Need		−2,200
38	SSTD	2,206	0
	AN/SLQ–25D Ahead of Need		−2,206
39	FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	57,481	57,481

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
40	SURTASS	8,468	8,468
41	TACTICAL SUPPORT CENTER	18,586	18,586
42	AN/SLQ–32	49,677	23,257
	Support Funding Carryover		−2,000
	Block 1B3 Incremental Funding		−7,520
	Block 2 Incremental Funding		−16,900
43	SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT	105,624	105,624
44	AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS)	1,299	1,299
45	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG	71,558	70,108
	ESM Capability Insertion (CI–06) Kits Ahead of Need		−1,450
46	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY	31,091	25,691
	Planar Antenna Funding Ahead of Need		−5,400
47	TRUSTED INFORMATION SYSTEM (TIS)	338	338
48	NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS)	33,358	33,358
49	ATDLS	2,273	2,273
50	NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS)	8,920	8,920
51	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT	81,441	60,710
	Remote Minehunting System (RMS)		−5,027
	Support Funding Carryover		−2,272
	Expendable Mine Neutralization System Funding Ahead of Need		−12,432
	Assessment and Identification of Mine Susceptibility Growth		−1,000
52	SHALLOW WATER MCM	9,236	1,261
	Cobra Block 1 Contract Delay		−7,975
53	NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE)	9,319	9,319
54	ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV	3,328	3,328
55	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP	4,248	4,248
56	OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT	29,061	27,761
	COTS Obsolescence Growth		−1,300
57	MATCALS	16,747	14,747
	ASPARCS Cost Growth		−2,000
58	SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	7,658	7,658
59	AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM	15,169	10,782
	AN/SPN–46 Radar Modification Kits Ahead of Need		−4,387
60	NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM	17,531	17,531
61	AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	6,851	6,851
62	MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM	8,551	8,551
63	ID SYSTEMS	29,572	23,122
	AN/URN–25 TACAN Upgrade Kits Ahead of Need		−2,450
	Support Funding Carryover		−4,000
64	TAC A/C MISSION PLANNING SYS (TAMPS)	9,098	7,798
	Support Funding Carryover		−1,300
65	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONT	8,542	8,542
66	TADIX–B	6,909	2,944
	AN/USC–151 Upgrade Kit Ahead of Need		−3,965
67	GCCS–M EQUIPMENT TACTICAL/MOBILE	9,832	9,832
68	DCGS–N	16,634	16,634
69	CANES	34,398	10,264
	Funded Ahead of Need		−24,134
70	RADIAC	6,104	5,197
	Air Particulate Detector Contract Delay		−907
71	CANES–INTELL	10,432	3,140
	Ahead of Need		−7,292
72	GPETE	5,861	5,861
73	INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY	4,445	4,445
74	EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION	4,737	4,737
75	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	51,048	29,307
	SPS–73 Tech Refresh/Obsolescence Growth		−741
	SPS–48 ECO and Support Cost Growth		−3,000
	SPS–48 Upgrade Kits Ahead of Need		−13,600
	Installation Funding Ahead of Need		−4,400
78	SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION	260,551	230,174
	Support Funding Carryover		−1,500
	ISNS Upgrade Kits Installation Funding Ahead of Need		−9,000
	CENTRIXS Installation Funding Ahead of Need		−1,425
	SCI Network Installation Funding Ahead of Need		−2,100
	ADNS Units Ahead of Need		−16,352
79	MARITIME DOMAIN AWARENESS (MDA)	9,250	7,650
	CENTRIXS Modification Kit Installation Funding Ahead of Need		−1,600
80	COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER $5M	39,846	31,169
	Battle Force Tactical Network Ahead of Need		−8,677
82	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	59,013	55,737
	Common Submarine Radio Room Modification Kit Cost Growth		−1,029
	CSSR Seawolf Ahead of Need		−2,247
83	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	28,665	28,665
84	NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT)	161,021	161,021
85	JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	2,256	2,256
86	ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS	1,309	1,309
87	NAVAL SHORE COMMUNICATIONS	3,422	3,422
88	INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)	120,529	114,357
	SV–21 Unit Cost Growth		−1,672
	Support Funding Carryover		−2,000
	CND Increment 2 Ahead of Need		−2,500
89	CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP	18,322	18,322
90	COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT	20,189	20,189
92	SONOBUOYS—ALL TYPES	87,846	83,846
	Support Funding Carryover		−4,000
93	WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	51,742	59,700
	East Coast USWTR Support Funding Carryover		−3,500
	East Coast USWTR Ahead of Need		−8,542
	Training Range Upgrades		+20,000
94	EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS	8,429	8,429
95	AIRCRAFT REARMING EQUIPMENT	11,134	11,134
96	AIRCRAFT LAUNCH & RECOVERY EQUIPMENT	37,063	28,881
	Advanced Recovery Control and Aviation Data Management and Control Systems Cost Growth		−1,782
	Support Funding Carryover		−1,400
	Production Engineering Unjustified Cost Growth		−5,000
97	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT	25,581	25,581
98	OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT	1,573	1,573
99	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT	40,696	24,796
	JHMCS Ahead of Need		−15,900
100	AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES	35,855	35,855
101	LAMPS MK III SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT	20,662	16,382
	Units Ahead of Need		−4,280
102	PORTABLE ELECTRONIC MAINTENANCE AIDS	12,812	10,612
	Production Support Growth		−2,200
103	OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	12,018	12,018
104	NAVAL FIRES CONTROL SYSTEM	1,086	1,086
105	GUN FIRE CONTROL EQUIPMENT	8,076	8,076
106	NATO SEASPARROW	11,121	10,161
	ECP and Production Support Growth		−960
107	RAM GMLS	11,805	6,800
	GMLS Ordalts Contract Delay		−5,005
108	SHIP SELF DEFENSE SYSTEM	54,290	45,902
	Ship Self Defense System Modification Kits Ahead of Need		−8,388

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
109	AEGIS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	162,307	82,307
	COTS Tech Refresh Growth		−3,000
	Ship Change Documentation Growth		−4,500
	Navy Requested Transfer to RDTE,DW line 84 for Ballistic Missile Defense		−72,500
110	TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	88,698	88,698
111	VERTICAL LAUNCH SYSTEMS	5,698	5,698
112	STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP	184,034	159,034
	Fire Control Tech Refresh Growth		−5,000
	Contract Delays		−20,000
113	SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS	88,004	77,390
	TI–04 Modification Contract Savings		−2,214
	Excess TI–04 and Out Modification Installation Funding		−8,400
114	SUBMARINE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	5,282	5,282
115	SURFACE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	8,323	8,323
116	ASW RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	7,121	7,121
117	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP	58,288	58,288
118	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	3,546	2,480
	Industrial Facilities Contract Delay		−1,066
119	ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEM	36,588	36,588
120	SURFACE TRAINING DEVICE MODS	7,337	7,337
121	SUBMARINE TRAINING DEVICE MODS	34,519	34,519
122	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	3,719	3,719
123	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS	584	584
124	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP	13,935	10,435
	Contract Delays		−3,500
125	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT	12,853	12,853
126	TACTICAL VEHICLES	31,741	25,241
	FMTV Contract Savings		−2,300
	Energy Initiative Unjustified Requirement		−4,200
127	AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT	3,132	3,132
128	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	5,154	5,154
129	ITEMS UNDER $5 MILLION	24,770	24,770
130	PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES	1,128	1,128
131	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT	15,504	14,030
	General Purpose Forklift Cost Growth		−1,474
132	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	6,655	6,655
133	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	6,315	6,315
134	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS	66,549	66,549
135	TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	11,429	11,429
137	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	47,306	37,840
	BUPERS Software Cost Growth		−2,500
	SPAWAR Hardware Items Cost Growth		−1,080
	ERP Kits Cost Growth		−900
	JFCOM National Small Unit Center		−3,075
	Future Pay and Personnel System Ahead of Need		−1,911
138	EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	2,067	2,067
139	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	7,679	5,679
	Fleet Allowance List Outfitting Cost Growth		−2,000
141	NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	1,433	1,433
143	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	12,754	12,754
144	C4ISR EQUIPMENT	5,317	5,317
145	ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	20,033	20,033
146	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	154,805	141,475
	Shipboard Protection System Installation Costs Excess to Need		−5,500
	Shipboard Protection System Support Cost Growth		−6,000
	Biometrics Ahead of Need		−1,830
XX	PROCUREMENT INNOVATION		15,000
	Procurement Innovation		+15,000
147	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	377,353	159,653
	Navy Requested Transfer to OM,N AGSAG BSIT for NGEN		−217,700
149	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	215,906	215,906
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	19,767	19,767
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY	6,450,208	5,804,963
	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS		
1	AAV7A1 PIP	7,749	7,749
2	LAV PIP	41,277	41,277
4	EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	9,723	9,723
5	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER	10,356	10,356
6	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM	22,230	22,230
7	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER $5 MILLION	26,091	26,091
9	MODIFICATION KITS	40,916	30,559
	Unexecutable Program—M1A1 Survivability Kits		−10,357
10	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM	13,115	13,115
11	GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE	5,175	3,855
	Program Adjustment		−1,320
13	FOLLOW ON TO SMAW	21,570	21,570
14	ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM—HEAVY (AAWS–H)	20,315	20,315
15	MODIFICATION KITS	3,798	3,798
16	COMBAT OPERATIONS CENTER	10,776	10,776
17	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT	25,636	25,636
18	COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	32,877	32,877
20	ITEMS UNDER $5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)	3,405	3,405
21	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS	67,568	67,568
22	RADAR SYSTEMS	860	860
23	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	3,906	3,906
24	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	92,377	92,377
25	RQ–11 UAV	32,490	16,490
	Program Delay—Tier 2 UAS		−16,000
26	DCGS–MC	4,582	0
	DCGS–MC Program Delay		−4,582
28	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES	258,947	218,947
	Unjustified Request—MC Intranet		−40,000
29	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS	33,021	33,021
30	RADIO SYSTEMS	40,551	20,051
	Program Delay—JTRS handheld		−20,500
31	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS	32,279	22,279
	Execution Delay—WNS–T		−10,000
32	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	15,278	15,278
33	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES	1,157	1,157
34	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES	12,696	12,696
35	5/4T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP)	4,849	0
	Service Requested Reduction		−4,849
36	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS	5,253	5,253
37	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT	11,721	11,721
38	LOGISTICS VEHICLE SYSTEM REPLACEMENT	133,827	133,827
39	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS	19,156	19,156
40	TRAILERS	8,075	8,075
41	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	6,016	6,016
42	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT	5,110	5,110
43	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT	10,743	10,743
44	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS	29,330	29,330
45	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED	19,419	19,419

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
46	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	11,718	11,718
47	EOD SYSTEMS	64,093	64,093
48	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	16,419	16,419
49	GARRISON MOBILE ENGR EQUIP	10,976	10,976
50	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP	24,376	24,376
51	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	2,748	2,748
52	FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT	6,722	6,722
53	TRAINING DEVICES	5,668	5,668
54	CONTAINER FAMILY	897	897
55	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	18,261	18,261
57	BRIDGE BOATS	12,567	12,567
58	RAPID DEPLOYABLE KITCHEN	4,283	4,283
59	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	7,572	7,572
60	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	13,524	13,524
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS	1,344,044	1,236,436
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
1	F–35	3,729,242	4,064,442
.....	Air Force Requested Transfer from AP,AF line 43	.....	+29,700
.....	Production Support Carryover	.....	−60,000
.....	Delete Five Aircraft	.....	−608,500
.....	Transfer Eight Aircraft from STOVL Variant	.....	974,000
2	F–35 (AP–CY)	257,000	257,000
3	F–22A	158,039	158,039
5	C–17A (MYP)	14,283	48,683
.....	Air Force Requested Transfer from AP, AF line 88	.....	+114,400
.....	Slow Execution	.....	−80,000
6	C–130J	463,267	455,267
.....	Updated Pricing	.....	−8,000
7	C–130J ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	48,000	40,000
.....	Updated Pricing	.....	−8,000
8	HC–130J	349,300	307,800
.....	Updated Pricing	.....	−41,500
9	HC–130J (AP–CY)	10,000	10,000
10	MC–130J	467,465	415,465
.....	Updated Pricing	.....	−52,000
11	MC–130J (APCY)	60,000	60,000
14	JOINT CARGO AIRCRAFT	351,200	351,200
15	LIGHT MOBILITY AIRCRAFT	65,699	65,699
16	USAFA POWERED FLIGHT PROGRAM	4,099	4,099
18	COMM VERT LIFT SPT PLATFORM (UH–1N)	6,432	0
.....	Air Force Requested Transfer to RDTE,AF line 113	.....	−6,432
19	V–22 OSPREY	393,098	393,098
20	V–22 OSPREY (AP–CY)	13,621	13,621
24	CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C	2,424	2,424
25	HH–60M OPERATIONAL LOSS REPLACEMENT	104,447	104,447
27	STUASLO	3,253	3,253
28	TARGET DRONES	85,505	85,505
29	C–37A	52,000	52,000
30	RQ–4 UAV	649,629	503,029
.....	Air Force Requested Transfer to AP, AF line 31	.....	−25,600
.....	Unjustified Cost Increase, Sensors	.....	−11,000
.....	Unjustified Request, Spares	.....	−110,000
31	RQ–4 UAV (AP–CY)	90,200	72,300
.....	Air Force Requested Transfer from AP,AF line 30	.....	+25,600
.....	Air Force Adjustment	.....	−43,500
32	MC 130 IN BA 04	9,932	0
.....	Air Force Requested Transfer to AC–130 Recap Program	.....	−9,932
xx	AC–130 Recap	.....	9,932
.....	Air Force Requested Transfer from MC–130 program	.....	+9,932
34	MQ–9	863,595	318,131
.....	Spares	.....	−167,788
.....	Support Equipment—Forward Funding	.....	−42,000
.....	Production Support—Forward Funding	.....	−98,376
.....	Funded Ahead of Need	.....	−21,300
.....	Transfer 12 Aircraft to Title IX	.....	−216,000
35	B–2A	63,371	63,371
37	B–1B	200,090	200,090
38	B–52	69,074	21,074
.....	CONECT—Funded Ahead of Need	.....	−35,000
.....	Transfer to RDTE, AF line 117 for Internal Weapons Bay	.....	−13,000
39	A–10	165,361	187,361
.....	Program Increase—Helmet Mounting Cueing System	.....	+22,000
40	F–15	302,235	337,041
.....	C/D Flight Data Recorder—Early to Need	.....	−11,408
.....	Emodel Flight Data Recorder—Early to Need	.....	−11,786
.....	Program Reduction	.....	−4,000
.....	AESA Radar for ANG F–15Cs	.....	+62,000
41	F–16	167,188	167,188
42	F–22A	492,199	437,739
.....	Unjustified Request	.....	−54,460
43	F–35 MODIFICATIONS	123,936	4,636
.....	Funded Ahead of Need	.....	−82,000
.....	Air Force Requested Transfer to AP, AF line 1	.....	−29,700
.....	Air Force Requested Transfer to RDTE, AF line 81 for Auto GCAS	.....	−7,600
44	C–5	740,369	37,252
.....	Block Upgrade Ahead of Need	.....	−21,260
.....	Funded Ahead of Need	.....	−5,400
.....	Transfer C5 RERP to New AP, AF Line	.....	−676,457
45	C–5 (APCY)	166,900	106,900
.....	Funded with fiscal year 2009 and 2010 funds	.....	−60,000
xx	C–5 RERP	.....	676,457
.....	Transfer C5 RERP from AP, AF line 44	.....	+676,457
46	C–9C	10	0
.....	Program Terminated	.....	−10
47	C–17A	351,614	217,547
.....	OBIGGS Kits–Reduction of Four kits	.....	−13,800
.....	Extended Range Retrofits Kits–Reduction of One Kit	.....	−5,267
.....	Excess to Need	.....	−98,000
.....	Funded Ahead of Need	.....	−17,000
48	C–21	339	339
49	C–32A	12,113	12,113
50	C–37A	12,162	12,162
51	GLIDER MODS	120	120
52	T–6	24,644	24,644
53	T–1	83	83
54	T–38	28,288	26,288
.....	Funded Ahead of Need	.....	−2,000
56	KC–10A (ATCA)	13,777	11,777
.....	Funded Ahead of Need	.....	−2,000
57	C–12	7,645	7,645
58	MC–12W	10,826	10,826
59	C–20 MODS	736	736

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
60	VC–25A MOD	13,175	13,175
61	C–40	10,697	10,697
62	C–130	257,339	296,939
	Air Force Requested Transfer from RDTE,AF line 220 for Avionics Upgrades to Special Mission Aircraft		+65,000
	Excess to Need		−25,400
63	C–130 MODS INTEL	3,963	3,963
64	C–130J MODS	80,205	64,205
	Contract Slip Crashworthy Seats		−16,000
65	C–135	44,228	37,428
	Block 45 Contract Delay		−8,400
	Low Cost Modifications		+1,600
66	COMPASS CALL MODS	176,558	101,558
	EC–130 Program Full Funding Violation		−75,000
67	DARP	105,540	105,540
68	E–3	195,163	195,163
69	E–4	37,526	37,526
70	E–8	188,504	6,397
	E–8 Reengining Ahead of Need		−120,407
	Engine Installs Ahead of Need		−5,000
	Funded Ahead of Need		−56,700
71	H–1	2,457	2,457
72	H–60	11,630	41,930
	Funded Ahead of Need		−1,700
	Simulators and Low Cost Modifications		+32,000
73	RQ–4 UAV MODS	119,415	116,415
	Unjustified Cost Increase ASIP sensors		−3,000
74	HC/MC–130 MODIFICATIONS	1,944	1,944
75	OTHER AIRCRAFT	159,423	15,723
	Transfer FABT Funds to RDTE,AF line 180		−119,700
	Delete FABT Funds Early to Need		−24,000
76	MQ–1 MODS	208,213	20,213
	Excess to Need		−188,000
77	MQ–9 MODS	108,922	0
	Contract Delay GCS		−50,884
	Contract Delay Reaper Retrofits		−58,038
78	MQ–9 PAYLOAD UAS	115,383	0
	Transfer to Title IX		−115,383
79	CV–22 MODS	13,964	13,964
80	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	622,020	698,220
	Unjustified Request Joint Stars Reengining Spares		−11,700
	Program Increase F22 Engine Spares		+100,000
	Excess to Need		−12,100
81	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP	91,701	58,301
	Underexecution		−20,000
	Funded Ahead of Need		−13,400
82	B–1	6,791	6,791
83	B–2A	26,217	26,217
84	B–52	3,443	1,743
	Funded Ahead of Need		−1,700
85	C–5	195	195
87	KC–10A (ATCA)	5,702	5,702
88	C–17A	153,347	20,947
	Air Force Requested Transfer to AP,AF line 5		−114,400
	Unjustified Funding for Shutdown Activities		−18,000
89	C–130	28,295	28,295
91	F–15 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT	21,599	17,599
	Excess to Need		−4,000
92	F–16 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT	17,838	12,738
	Excess to Need		−5,100
93	T–6	9,450	9,450
94	OTHER AIRCRAFT	53,953	53,953
96	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	24,619	24,619
97	WAR CONSUMABLES	92,939	92,939
98	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	1,079,742	912,372
	Funded Ahead of Need		−6,732
	Transfer to Title IX		−160,638
99	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES MQ1	37,500	37,500
104	DARP	19,117	19,117
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	12,981	12,981
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	15,366,508	13,483,739
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
1	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQBALLISTIC	60,647	60,647
2	JASSM	215,825	215,825
3	SIDEWINDER (AIM9X)	64,523	64,523
4	AMRAAM	355,358	348,358
	Support Funding Carryover		−7,000
5	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE	44,570	44,570
6	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	134,884	119,884
	Accounting Error		−15,000
7	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS/POLLUTION PREVENTION	833	833
8	ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE	48	48
9	MM III MODIFICATIONS	123,378	133,178
	Air Force Requested Transfer from RDTE, AF line 175 for MEECN		+9,800
10	AGM–65D MAVERICK	260	260
11	AGM–88A HARM	4,079	4,079
12	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE	10,795	10,795
13	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	43,192	43,192
14	ADVANCED EHF	38,078	38,078
15	ADVANCED EHF (APCY)	208,520	208,520
16	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES	517,601	517,601
17	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES (APCY)	58,110	58,110
18	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	122,490	122,490
19	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC)	14,894	14,894
20	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE)	64,609	64,609
23	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG (SPACE)	88,719	88,719
24	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH (SPACE)	1,153,976	1,153,976
26	SBIR HIGH (SPACE)	700,704	700,704
27	SBIR HIGH (SPACE) (APCY)	270,000	270,000
28	NATL POLARORBITING OP ENV SATELLITE	26,308	0
	Program Termination Early to Need		−26,308
33	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS	247,584	247,584
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	893,287	893,287
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	5,463,272	5,424,764
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		
1	ROCKETS	19,106	19,106
2	CARTRIDGES	141,049	141,049
3	PRACTICE BOMBS	34,094	23,442
	BDU56A/B CDI Program Delay		−10,652
4	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	183,845	183,845
5	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	104,642	179,361

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
.....	Additional JDAM for War Reserve Stockpile	.....	+74,719
6	CAD/PAD	37,016	37,016
7	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL (EOD)	3,383	3,383
8	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	1,000	1,000
9	MODIFICATIONS	1,112	1,112
10	ITEMS LESS THAN $5,000,000	5,015	5,015
11	FLARES	72,758	72,758
12	FUZES	57,337	57,337
13	SMALL ARMS	7,063	7,063
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE	667,420	731,487
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
1	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLE	29,207	29,207
2	FAMILY MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE	45,618	37,618
.....	Contract Savings	.....	−8,000
3	CAP VEHICLES	902	902
4	ITEMS LESS THAN $5M (CARGO)	31,773	31,773
5	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES	52,867	48,867
.....	Up-Armored HMMWV Unjustified Cost Growth	.....	−4,000
6	ITEMS LESS THAN $5M	18,358	18,358
7	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES	26,924	26,924
9	ITEMS LESS THAN $5,000,000	14,501	14,501
10	RUNWAY SNOW REMOVAL & CLEANING EQUIP	25,404	25,404
11	ITEMS LESS THAN $5M	54,570	54,570
13	COMSEC EQUIPMENT	216,381	180,381
.....	Unjustified Growth	.....	−36,000
14	MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC)	1,582	0
.....	Undefined Requirement	.....	−1,582
15	INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT	2,634	2,634
16	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIP	30,685	30,685
17	TRAFFIC CONTROL/LANDING	6,517	6,517
18	NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM	112,056	88,940
.....	Site Activation Ahead of Need	.....	−23,116
19	THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO	55,326	55,326
20	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST	21,018	18,045
.....	OS–21 Contract Delays	.....	−2,973
21	STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL	28,164	28,164
22	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX	18,416	15,716
.....	Contract Delays	.....	−2,700
23	TAC SIGINT SPT	377	377
25	GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	74,285	74,285
26	AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYSTEM	9,210	9,210
27	MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL	8,688	7,388
.....	Contract Delays	.....	−1,300
28	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM	99,281	99,281
29	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	29,637	49,637
.....	Training Range Enhancements	.....	+20,000
30	C3 COUNTERMEASURES	11,112	11,112
31	GCSS–AF FOS	53,349	31,335
.....	ECSS Ahead of Need	.....	−20,914
.....	CMOS Excess to Need	.....	−1,100
32	THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYS	20,525	20,525
33	AIR OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)	58,284	38,534
.....	Technical Refresh Unjustified Growth	.....	−15,000
.....	Recurring Events Unjustified Growth	.....	−4,750
34	INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS	101,993	56,993
.....	Unjustified Growth	.....	−45,000
35	BASE INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE	193,830	113,830
.....	Unjustified Growth	.....	−80,000
36	AFNET	151,643	91,643
.....	Unjustified Growth	.....	−60,000
37	VOICE SYSTEMS	25,399	15,399
.....	Unjustified Growth	.....	−10,000
38	USCENTCOM	36,020	36,020
39	SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PROG SPACE	24,804	24,804
40	NAVSTAR GPS SPACE	5,279	5,279
41	NUDET DETECTION SYS (NDS) SPACE	5,926	5,926
42	AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE	60,383	60,383
43	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE	91,004	91,004
44	MILSATCOM SPACE	221,545	190,717
.....	FAB–T Early to Need	.....	−7,538
.....	AFWET Modernization Enterprise Terminal Ahead of Need	.....	−23,290
45	SPACE MODS SPACE	18,384	18,384
46	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM	18,801	18,801
47	TACTICAL CE EQUIPMENT	268,140	242,995
.....	JTC Training and Rehearsal System Ahead of Need	.....	−25,145
48	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATER	34,925	34,925
49	RADIO EQUIPMENT	14,541	7,041
.....	Contract Delays	.....	−7,500
50	CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT	11,613	11,613
51	BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE	108,308	108,308
52	COMM ELECT MODS	74,356	68,538
.....	ILS Ahead of Need	.....	−2,300
.....	BMEWS Ahead of Need	.....	−2,000
.....	OS–21 Contract Delays	.....	−1,518
53	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES	20,873	14,573
.....	Night Vision Cueing and Display Contract Delays	.....	−6,300
54	ITEMS LESS THAN $5,000,000 (SAFETY)	14,292	14,292
55	MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING	12,853	12,853
56	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT	4,788	4,788
57	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS	28,390	27,190
.....	Rapid Airfield Damage Assessment System Ahead of Need	.....	−1,200
58	PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT	1,879	1,879
59	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT	38,558	38,558
60	ITEMS LESS THAN $5M (BASE SUPPORT)	4,989	4,989
62	DARP RC135	23,296	23,296
63	DISTRIBUTED GROUND SYSTEMS	271,015	264,015
.....	Program Reduction	.....	−7,000
65	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM	489,680	439,680
.....	Classified Adjustment	.....	−50,000
66	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM	32,668	32,668
XX	PROCUREMENT INNOVATION	.....	15,000
.....	Procurement Innovation	.....	+15,000
70	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	19,046	19,046
.....	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	14,258,508	14,396,445
.....	Classified Adjustment	.....	+137,937
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	17,845,380	17,568,091
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSEWIDE		
1	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, BTA	4,000	4,000
2	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCCA, ITEMS LESS THAN $5M	1,477	1,477
3	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA	2,052	2,052

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
4	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA, PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	32,263	32,263
17	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY	14,625	14,625
18	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS	5,275	5,275
19	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	2,803	2,803
20	TELEPORT PROGRAM	78,227	78,227
21	ITEMS LESS THAN $5M	153,288	153,288
22	NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)	4,391	4,391
23	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS NETWORK	86,206	86,206
24	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE	1,710	1,710
27	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	22,493	22,493
28	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA	4,846	4,846
29	COST	10,478	10,478
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA,.		
30	AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS	1,451	1,451
31	VEHICLES	50	50
32	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT	12,007	12,007
34	TERMINAL HIGH ALTITUDE AREA DEFENSE FIELDING	858,870	586,870
.....	Production Delay Due to Investigation of Failed Safety Component	.....	−272,000
35	AEGIS FIELDING	94,080	94,080
35A	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS	0	205,000
.....	Iron Dome Program	.....	+205,000
45	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)	2,546	2,546
50	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD	124,050	124,050
51	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, INTELLIGENCE	20,138	20,138
53	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS	11,526	11,526
54	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS	27,179	27,179
55	SOF ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT	79,840	79,840
55A	MH–47G	0	100,449
.....	SOCOM Requested Transfer from P,DW line 56	.....	+100,449
56	MH–47 SERVICE LIFE EXTENSION PROGRAM	107,934	7,485
.....	SOCOM Requested Transfer to P,DW line 55A	.....	−100,449
57	MH–60 SOF MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	179,375	137,875
.....	SOCOM Requested Transfer to RDTE,DW line 268	.....	−25,100
.....	Quantity Reduction Due to Program Delay	.....	−16,400
58	NON-STANDARD AVIATION	179,949	58,681
.....	Medium NSAV—Transfer to Title IX	.....	−121,268
60	SOF TANKER RECAPITALIZATION	19,996	4,996
.....	Contract Delays	.....	−15,000
61	SOF U–28	404	404
62	RQ–11 UAV	2,090	2,090
63	CV–22 SOF MODIFICATION	124,035	124,035
64	MQ–1 UAV	1,948	1,948
65	MQ–9 UAV	1,965	1,965
66	STUASLO	12,148	12,148
67	C–130 MODIFICATIONS	22,500	9,261
.....	Low Cost Modifications—Execution	.....	−7,039
.....	Aircrew Situational Awareness System	.....	−6,200
68	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	489	489
69X	PROCUREMENT INNOVATION	0	15,000
.....	Procurement Innovation	.....	+15,000
70	MK VIII MOD 1—SEAL DELIVERY VEH	823	823
71	SOF ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT	79,608	79,608
72	SOF ORDNANCE ACQUISITION	24,215	24,215
73	COMM EQUIPMENT & ELECTRONICS	58,390	44,390
.....	SOF Deployable Node Delays Due to Protests	.....	−14,000
74	SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS	75,892	81,092
.....	Program Increase—Unfunded Requirement	.....	+5,200
75	SMALL ARMS & WEAPONS	30,094	30,094
76	DCGS–SOF	5,225	5,225
77	MARITIME EQUIPMENT MODS	206	206
79	SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS	11,706	8,306
.....	Unvalidated Requirement—Large SFA Craft	.....	−3,400
80	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	977	977
81	TACTICAL VEHICLES	30,965	33,365
.....	Program Increase—AFSOC Unfunded Requirement	.....	+2,400
82	MISSION TRAINING AND PREPARATIONS SYSTEMS	28,354	18,354
.....	MH–60M Simulator Modernization Program	.....	−10,000
83	COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS	20,000	20,000
84	MILCON COLLATERAL EQUIPMENT	102,556	102,556
88	SOF AUTOMATION SYSTEMS	52,353	52,353
89	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	9,714	9,714
90	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	30,900	30,900
91	SOF SOLDIER PROTECTION AND SURVIVAL SYSTEMS	221	5,661
.....	Program Increase—Unfunded Requirement	.....	+5,440
92	SOF VISUAL AUGMENTATION, LASERS AND SENSOR SYSTEM	18,626	18,626
93	SOF TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEMS	35,234	37,554
.....	Program Increase—Unfunded Requirement	.....	+2,320
94	SOF MARITIME EQUIPMENT	804	804
96	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT	7,774	7,774
97	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	269,182	263,182
.....	Program Increase—HSAC Unfunded Requirement	.....	+4,000
.....	Program Adjustment	.....	−10,000
98	PSYOP EQUIPMENT	25,266	25,266
99	INSTALLATION FORCE PROTECTION	90,635	90,635
100	INDIVIDUAL PROTECTION	74,686	74,686
101	DECONTAMINATION	21,570	21,570
102	JOINT BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	19,389	10,389
.....	Reduction for Anthrax Vaccine Purchased by HHS	.....	−9,000
103	COLLECTIVE PROTECTION	27,542	27,542
104	CONTAMINATION AVOIDANCE	136,114	136,114
.....	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	682,643	681,643
.....	Classified Adjustment	.....	−1,000
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSEWIDE	4,280,368	4,009,321
	DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT		
.....	GALLIUM NITRIDE X-BAND MONOLITHIC MICROWAVE INTEGRATED CIRCUITS	2,000	2,000
.....	GALLIUM NITRIDE RADAR AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE MONOLITHIC MICROWAVE INTEGRATED CIRCUITS	8,579	8,579
.....	GALLIUM NITRIDE ADVANCED ELECTRONIC WARFARE MONOLITHIC MICROWAVE INTEGRATED CIRCUITS	2,000	2,000
.....	BERYLLIUM SUPPLY INDUSTRIAL BASE	6,897	6,897
.....	SPACE	770	770
.....	NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE INDUSTRIAL AND SUPPLY BASE RISK MITIGATION PROGRAM	8,500	10,900
.....	Program Increase	.....	+2,400
.....	ALTERNATIVE ENERGY FROM ORGANIC SOURCES	.....	3,200
	TOTAL, DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT	28,746	34,346
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT	111,189,951	102,121,873

R–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY		
1	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	21,780	21,780

R–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	195,845	195,845
3	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	91,161	87,561
	V72–Transfer to D55		−3,300
	D55–Transfer from V72		+3,300
	V72–Non-Department of Defense funding		−3,600
4	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS	98,087	98,087
5	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY	29,882	29,882
6	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY	48,929	48,929
7	TRACTOR HIP	14,624	14,624
8	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY	43,476	43,476
9	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	17,330	17,330
10	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	49,525	49,525
11	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	18,190	18,190
12	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION	20,582	20,582
13	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY	64,740	64,740
14	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY	60,342	60,342
15	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY	5,324	10,924
	Emerging Chemical Agent Threat		+5,600
16	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	7,893	7,893
17	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	42,645	42,645
18	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES	60,859	60,859
19	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY	40,228	40,228
20	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS	19,118	19,118
21	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	21,042	21,042
22	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	18,364	22,364
	Research, Development and Engineering Command		+4,000
23	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	25,573	25,573
24	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY	6,768	6,768
25	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	79,189	75,184
	Joint Integrated Base Defense Program Office transfer to line 60 at request of the Army		−4,005
26	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY	22,198	22,198
27	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY	27,746	27,746
28	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	96,797	96,797
29	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	37,364	37,364
30	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	71,510	115,510
	Peer-Reviewed Neurotoxin Exposure Treatment Parkinsons Research Program		+20,000
	Neurofibromatosis Research		+16,000
	Military Burn Trauma Research Program		+8,000
31	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	57,454	57,454
32	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	64,438	64,438
33	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADV TECHNOLOGY	89,499	125,819
	Alternative Energy		+36,320
34	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS ADV TECH	8,102	8,102
35	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADV TECH	7,921	7,921
36	ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	50,359	50,359
37	TRACTOR HIKE	8,015	8,015
38	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS	15,334	15,334
39	TRACTOR ROSE	12,309	12,309
41	MILITARY HIV RESEARCH	6,688	26,688
	HIV Research		+20,000
42	COMBATING TERRORISM, TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	10,550	10,550
43	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	18,350	18,350
44	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	84,553	79,053
	P 704 excessive growth without strategy		−5,500
45	TRACTOR CAGE	9,986	9,986
46	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECH	26,953	26,953
47	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	9,151	9,151
48	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	39,912	39,912
49	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMO	15,878	15,878
50	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	27,393	24,393
	Program reduction		−3,000
51	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	24,873	24,873
53	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	11,455	11,455
54	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION (SPACE)	27,551	27,551
56	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER–ADV DEV	15,596	15,596
57	SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ADV DEV	2,425	2,425
58	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION	42,183	37,183
	AKE 120mm cartridge EMD Phase II contract award delay		−5,000
59	ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS)	136,302	207,702
	S-MOD milestone B delay		−57,000
	Stryker DVH		+128,400
60	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	18,556	8,239
	Joint Integrated Base Defense Program Office—Transfer from line 25 at request of the Army		+4,005
	REF funded in Title IX		−14,322
61	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—AD	17,962	12,162
	Unsustained growth		−5,800
62	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	0	5,159
	CSP–Transfer from line 177 at request of the Army		+5,159
63	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	4,695	4,695
64	WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK—TACTICAL	190,903	190,903
65	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	5,060	5,060
66	AVIATION—ADV DEV	8,355	8,355
67	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ADV DEV	80,490	65,315
	JLTV EMD contract award delay		−15,175
68	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT CONTROL SYSTEM EVALUATION	14,290	14,290
69	MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV	28,132	28,132
70	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	48,323	48,323
71	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	970	970
72	ENDURANCE UAVS	93,000	93,000
73	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	89,210	74,210
	SOSCOE Apache Block III integration change in requirements		−15,000
74	ARMED, DEPLOYABLE OH–58D	72,550	72,550
75	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	172,269	149,755
	CIRCM test and evaluation funds requested ahead of need		−22,514
76	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO	784	784
77	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM	22,574	18,074
	EMD contract award delay		−4,500
78	TRACTOR CAGE	23,194	23,194
79	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	80,337	70,337
	S62—Milestone B delay		−10,000
80	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES	3,710	3,710
81	SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-SDD	5,335	5,335
82	JAVELIN	9,999	0
	Lack of acquisition strategy		−9,999
83	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES	3,519	3,519
84	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	9,892	9,892
85	LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES	1,990	1,990
86	NON-LINE OF SIGHT LAUNCH SYSTEM	81,247	0
	Program termination		−81,247
89	FCS SYSTEMS OF SYSTEMS ENGR & PROGRAM MGMT	568,711	498,711
	Program reduction		−70,000
90	FCS RECONNAISSANCE (UAV) PLATFORMS	50,304	50,304
91	FCS UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLES	249,948	200,000

R–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
	Program reduction		−49,948
92	FCS UNATTENDED GROUND SENSORS	7,515	7,515
93	FCS SUSTAINMENT & TRAINING R&D	610,389	610,389
95	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS—SDD	52,549	52,549
96	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT	2,118	2,118
97	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES—SDD	27,756	27,756
98	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE	34,209	34,209
99	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	30,291	30,291
100	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	14,041	14,041
101	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS)—SDD	15,547	15,547
103	COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE	27,670	27,670
105	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—SDD	24,345	15,345
	PGK Increment II EMD delay		−9,000
106	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—SDD COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	41,039	41,039
107	SDD	90,736	75,736
	JBC–P unsustained growth		−15,000
108	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT	34,474	34,474
109	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER—SDD	95,577	49,577
	Project 016—Scorpion acceleration funded in prior approval reprogramming		−16,000
	Project 415—ASTAMIDS/GSTAMIDS lack of acquisition strategy		−30,000
110	ARTILLERY MUNITIONS	26,371	26,371
111	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION	29,884	3,000
	Unexecutable request		−26,884
112	ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE	60,970	60,970
113	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM (GFEBS)	13,576	13,576
114	FIREFINDER	24,736	24,736
115	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—WARRIOR DEM/VAL	20,886	20,886
116	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS	53,624	103,624
	Program Increase		+20,000
	Transfer from WTCV,A line 12 for Paladin PIM		+30,000
117	PATRIOT/MEADS COMBINED AGGREGATE PROGRAM	467,139	467,139
118	NUCLEAR ARMS CONTROL MONITORING SENSOR NETWORK	7,276	7,276
119	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	23,957	23,957
120	ARMY INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM (A–IMH)	100,500	60,500
	Excessive growth without acquisition strategy		−40,000
121	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	130,340	130,340
122	SLAMRAAM	23,700	23,700
123	PAC–2/MSE MISSILE	62,500	62,500
124	ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIAMD)	251,124	251,124
125	MANNED GROUND VEHICLE	934,366	461,100
	Program adjustment		−473,266
126	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR	211,500	211,500
127	TROJAN–RH12	3,697	3,697
128	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	21,571	13,571
	EW5—Unsustained growth		−8,000
129	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	26,158	26,158
130	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	8,614	8,614
131	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	42,102	42,102
132	RAND ARROYO CENTER	20,492	20,492
133	ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL	163,788	163,788
134	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM	17,704	17,704
136	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES	393,937	412,257
	Army Test Range Infrastructure unfunded requirement		+18,320
137	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS	59,040	67,760
	Test and Evaluation Instrumentation unfunded requirement		+8,720
138	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS	41,812	43,412
	Test and Evaluation Instrumentation unfunded requirement		+1,600
139	DOD HIGH ENERGY LASER TEST FACILITY	4,710	4,710
140	AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION	5,055	5,055
141	METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES	7,185	7,185
142	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS	18,078	19,278
	Test and Evaluation Instrumentation unfunded requirement		+1,200
143	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS	5,460	5,460
144	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING	68,191	68,191
145	ARMY EVALUATION CENTER	61,450	64,090
	Test and Evaluation Instrumentation unfunded requirement		+2,640
146	SIMULATION & MODELING FOR ACQ, RQTS, & TNG (SMART)	3,926	3,926
147	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES	73,685	73,685
148	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND	48,309	48,309
149	SAFETY	53,338	44,042
	Project 862–155mm HE projectile underfunded new start		−9,296
150	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT	3,195	3,195
151	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT)	16,154	16,154
153	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	51,619	25,619
	GMLRS AW EMD contract award delay		−26,000
154	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE	372,493	372,493
155	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO CYBER (ISC) MIP	2,360	2,360
156	ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM	24,622	24,622
157	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	204,481	204,481
158	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM	25,540	25,540
159	AIRCRAFT MODS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	134,999	124,856
	P430—Chinook RW crashworthy seating previously fully funded		−10,143
160	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROG	710	710
161	DIGITIZATION	6,329	6,329
162	FORCE XXI BATTLE COMMAND, BRIGADE AND BELOW (FBCB2)	3,935	3,935
163	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	24,280	24,280
165	TRACTOR CARD	14,870	14,870
167	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM	12,403	12,403
168	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV)	3,153	3,153
171	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	54,784	11,905
	Protected Information—Biometrics—Transfer to line 171x		−42,879
171x	FAMILY OF BIOMETRICS	0	42,879
	Protected Information—Biometrics—Transfer from line 171		+42,879
172	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	125,569	125,569
173	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE)	33,694	33,694
174	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS	13,024	13,024
177	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	54,300	49,141
	CSP—Transfer of HD IR funds to line 62 at request of the Army for execution		−5,159
178	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	103,002	103,002
179	MQ–1 SKY WARRIOR A UAV	123,156	123,156
180	RQ–11 UAV	1,599	1,599
181	RQ–7 UAV	7,805	7,805
183	BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE	14,114	2,114
	Protected Information—Biometrics		−12,000
185	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES	61,098	61,098
xx	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INNOVATION	0	105,000
	Research and Development Innovation		+105,000
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	4,447	4,447
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY	10,333,392	9,710,998
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY		
1	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	108,679	108,679
2	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	17,979	17,979

R–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
3	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	429,767	429,767
4	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	98,150	98,150
5	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	107,448	147,448
	Alternative Energy		+40,000
6	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY	43,776	43,776
8	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH	70,168	70,168
9	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	113,724	113,724
10	RF SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH	83,902	83,902
11	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	49,491	49,491
12	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH	6,002	6,002
13	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	69,186	69,186
14	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	36,833	36,833
15	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	117,908	117,908
16	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	61,877	61,877
17	COMMON PICTURE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	96,720	96,720
18	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	98,261	98,261
19	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	82,143	82,143
20	MARINE CORPS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD)	115,089	115,089
21	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	11,131	11,131
22	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	18,076	55,336
	C.W Bill Young Bone Marrow Donor Recruitment and Research Program		+31,500
	Program Increase—Tactical Athlete Program		+5,760
23	UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	49,276	53,276
	Program Increase—ASW Research		+4,000
24	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS	53,177	53,177
25	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	21,941	21,941
XX	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INNOVATION	0	105,000
	Research and Development Innovation		+105,000
26	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS	123,331	118,331
	JMAPS program delay		−5,000
27	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY	9,480	9,480
28	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL	4,275	4,275
29	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	8,249	8,249
30	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE	6,452	6,452
31	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY	1,658	1,658
32	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES	81,347	79,247
	Unmanned Surface Sweep System program delay		−2,100
33	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	57,796	50,796
	Milestone B delay		−7,000
34	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	93,830	91,830
	Navy requested transfer to line 49 for Automatic Test and Re-Test		−2,000
35	SHIPBOARD SYSTEM COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT	51	51
36	PILOT FISH	81,784	81,784
37	RETRACT LARCH	142,858	142,858
38	RETRACT JUNIPER	134,497	134,497
39	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	1,358	1,358
40	SURFACE ASW	21,673	21,673
41	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	608,566	559,266
	Execution delays		−49,300
42	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS	5,590	5,590
43	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN	17,883	17,883
44	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES	1,796	1,796
45	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	366,509	366,509
46	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS	5,459	5,459
47	CHALK EAGLE	447,804	447,804
48	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS)	226,288	189,588
	LCS–2 post shakedown availability delay		−15,800
	LCS–1 post shakedown availability planning funding excess		−500
	NLOS missile termination		−15,400
	Program Increase—Mine Warfare Testing Disruption		+4,000
	Navy requested transfer to line 49 for Automatic Test and Re-Test		−2,000
	Program Increase—Small Business Technology Insertion (Mine Warfare Modules)		+8,000
	Savings from accelerated DT		−15,000
49	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	24,344	34,344
	Navy requested transfer from lines 34, 48, 107, 122 and 136 for Automatic Test and Re-Test		+10,000
50	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	5,388	5,388
51	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES	242,765	222,765
	Expeditionary Fighting Vehicle		−165,000
	Termination Liability, or SDD if certified by the Secretary		+145,000
52	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM	40,505	28,505
	JLTV EMD contract award delay		12,000
53	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	25,873	25,873
54	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT	52,282	52,282
55	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	13,560	13,560
56	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	20,207	20,207
57	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	30,403	34,403
	Program Increase—Alternative Energy from Organic Sources		+4,000
58	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT	3,746	3,746
59	CHALK CORAL	71,920	71,920
60	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY	4,139	4,139
61	RETRACT MAPLE	219,463	219,463
62	LINK PLUMERIA	58,030	58,030
63	RETRACT ELM	183,187	183,187
64	SHIP SELF DEFENSE	4,385	4,385
65	LINK EVERGREEN	41,433	41,433
66	SPECIAL PROCESSES	36,457	36,457
67	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	9,196	9,196
68	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY	905	905
69	NONLETHAL WEAPONS	43,272	43,272
70	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS	159,151	159,151
73	DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS		8,000
	Directed Energy Development and Test		+8,000
74	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES	51,693	51,693
75	JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE	56,542	50,242
	Program delay		−6,300
76	PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	25,121	25,121
77	SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINE	34,793	34,793
78	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT—MIP	2,161	2,161
79	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS—MIP	4,253	4,253
80	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT—MIP	663	663
81	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT	44,329	44,329
82	AV–8B AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV	22,867	22,867
83	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT	45,667	45,667
84	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT	55,792	55,792
85	AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING	5,735	5,735
86	P–3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	3,574	3,574
87	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM	3,733	3,733
88	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM	89,955	87,955
	Systems engineering growth		−2,000
89	ADVANCED HAWKEYE	171,132	171,132
90	H–1 UPGRADES	60,498	60,498
91	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS	64,834	64,834
92	V–22A	46,070	44,425
	Fuel forward funded in fiscal year 2010 supplemental		−1,645

R–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
93	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	8,689	11,189
	Transfer from AP,N line 52 for Common Mobile Aircrew Restraint System		+2,500
94	EA–18	22,042	21,773
	Fuel forward funded in fiscal year 2010 supplemental		−269
95	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	80,819	80,819
96	VH–71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT	159,785	159,785
97	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ)	120,602	90,602
	Technology development contract delay		−30,000
98	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM—NAVY (JTRS-NAVY)	687,723	627,723
	Airborne Maritime Fixed unjustified increase		−60,000
100	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING	193,933	193,933
101	LPD–17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	1,373	1,373
102	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	44,091	24,091
	Program delay		−20,000
103	STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS	96,186	96,186
104	AIRBORNE MCM	45,885	45,885
105	NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL-COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENG	21,517	21,517
106	ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS	274,371	274,371
107	SSN–688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION	118,897	112,197
	Navy requested transfer to line 49 for Automatic Test and Re-Test		−2,000
	Communications at Speed and Depth		−4,700
108	AIR CONTROL	5,665	5,665
109	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS	70,117	70,117
110	COMBAT INFORMATION CENTER CONVERSION	5,044	5,044
111	NEW DESIGN SSN	155,489	171,489
	Program Increase—Small Business Technology Insertion		+16,000
112	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	50,537	50,537
113	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E	153,686	166,686
	Full Ship Shock Trial Alternative transfer from line 136		+13,000
114	NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES	4,443	4,443
115	MINE DEVELOPMENT	5,455	5,455
116	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT	25,282	25,282
117	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	10,489	10,489
118	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS	10,759	10,759
119	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS	12,567	12,567
120	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL)	45,930	45,930
121	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)	5,860	5,860
122	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW)	84,525	82,525
	Navy requested transfer to line 49 for Automatic Test and Re-Test		−2,000
123	INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING	6,820	6,820
124	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	12,337	29,137
	Wound Care Research		+10,400
	Military Dental Research		+6,400
125	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM	66,636	66,636
126	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)–EMD	667,916	613,864
	Block IV capabilities funding ahead of need		−29,052
	Underexecution of test program		−25,000
127	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)	707,791	676,806
	Block IV capabilities funding ahead of need		−29,000
	Fuel forward funded in fiscal year 2010 supplemental		−1,985
128	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	22,783	22,783
129	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	28,280	28,280
130	NAVY INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM	27,444	15,444
	Reduction to pre-development activities		−12,000
131	CH–53K	577,435	577,435
133	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	100,846	100,846
134	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA)	929,240	941,240
	Program Increase—Small Business Technology Insertion		+12,000
136	DDG–1000	549,241	534,241
	Navy requested transfer to line 49 for Automatic Test and Re-Test		−2,000
	Full Ship Shock Trial Alternative transfer to line 113		−13,000
137	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM—MIP	1,318	1,318
138	SSN–688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION—MIP	1,415	1,415
139	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS	17,019	12,387
	Execution delays		−4,632
140	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	18,755	18,755
141	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	66,066	66,066
142	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	37,522	37,522
143	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY	8,149	8,149
144	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES	49,165	49,165
146	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES	662	662
147	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	58,329	58,329
148	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT	3,451	3,451
149	RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT	72,094	72,094
150	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	95,332	93,871
	Fuel forward funded in fiscal year 2010 supplemental		−1,461
151	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	376,418	376,418
152	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY	15,746	15,746
153	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT	4,013	4,013
154	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT	19,700	19,700
155	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT	17,721	17,721
156	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES	1,859	1,859
157	SERVICE SUPPORT TO JFCOM, JNTC	4,260	4,260
161	UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED COMPONENT	266,368	266,368
162	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	81,184	71,184
	Conventional Trident Modification		−10,000
163	SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	34,997	34,997
164	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	6,815	6,815
165	NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	10,331	10,331
166	RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT)	35,120	35,120
167	F/A–18 SQUADRONS	148,438	148,438
168	E–2 SQUADRONS	19,011	19,011
169	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL)	26,894	26,894
170	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC)	10,587	10,587
171	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	23,464	23,464
172	AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS	4,357	4,357
173	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	50,750	50,750
174	CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT	1,519	1,519
175	ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT	39,398	39,398
176	HARM IMPROVEMENT	14,207	12,207
	Systems engineering growth		−2,000
177	TACTICAL DATA LINKS	28,854	28,854
178	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	32,877	36,877
	Program Increase—Small Business Technology Insertion		+4,000
179	MK–48 ADCAP	26,234	34,234
	Program Increase—Small Business Technology Insertion		+8,000
180	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS	133,611	100,890
	F–135 engine ahead of need		−27,000
	Multi-purpose bomb rack program delay		−5,721
181	NAVY SCIENCE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	3,535	3,535
182	OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	74,229	74,229
183	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	245,298	232,898
	Joint Cooperative Target Identification—Ground		−12,400
184	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS	100,424	76,424

R–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
	Marine personnel carrier program delay		−20,000
	LAV–AT contract delay		−4,000
185	MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT	19,466	19,466
186	USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	20,316	20,316
187	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	912	912
188	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	2,633	2,633
189	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV)	3,586	3,586
194	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE)	422,268	422,268
195	CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES	63,563	44,563
	Increment 1 transition contract delay		−19,000
196	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	25,934	25,934
199	CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES—MIP	8,375	8,375
201	COBRA JUDY	36,527	36,527
202	NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (METOC)	63,878	63,878
203	JOINT MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS	4,435	4,435
204	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	35,212	18,912
	Marinized UAS		−16,300
206	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS		50,200
	Program increase		+5,200
	EP–3/SPA systems development		+45,000
207	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	19,263	19,263
208	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS	8,377	8,377
209	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS	16,665	16,665
210	RQ–4 UAV	529,250	529,250
211	MQ–8 UAV	10,665	10,665
212	RQ–11 UAV	512	512
213	RQ–7 UAV	934	934
214	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASL0)	26,209	26,209
215	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASL0)	18,098	12,710
	STUAS Lite termination		−5,388
218	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT	8,158	8,158
219	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	18,649	18,649
220	AVIONICS COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	3,250	3,250
221	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	46,173	46,173
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,284,901	1,499,901
	Classified adjustment		+215,000
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY	17,693,496	17,736,303
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE		
1	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	350,978	350,978
2	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	136,297	136,297
3	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES	13,198	13,198
4	MATERIALS	137,273	137,273
5	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES	144,699	144,699
6	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH	87,452	87,452
7	AEROSPACE PROPULSION	207,049	204,049
	Unjustified program growth		−3,000
8	AEROSPACE SENSORS	157,497	159,897
	Program Increase—Materials for Structures, Propulsion, and Subsystems		+2,400
9	SPACE TECHNOLOGY	111,857	111,857
10	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	61,330	61,330
11	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY	103,596	122,396
	Re-alignment of funding for ground optical imaging research and technology		+18,800
13	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS	117,283	115,783
	Transfer to line 11		−1,500
14	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH	53,384	53,384
15	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	33,414	40,414
	Transfer to line 11		−1,000
	Metals Affordability Initiative		+8,000
16	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T)	2,935	2,935
17	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS	44,677	44,677
18	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO	53,588	52,588
	Transfer to line 11		−1,000
19	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY	136,135	134,135
	Transfer to line 11		−2,000
21	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY	16,992	16,992
22	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY	83,705	80,115
	Transfer to line 11		−3,590
23	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS)	5,899	5,899
24	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	24,814	24,814
25	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	15,755	15,755
26	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	17,461	17,461
27	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	39,701	47,701
	Program Increase—Best Industrial Process for Department of Defense Depots		+8,000
28	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION	32,382	32,382
30	HIGH ENERGY LASER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	1,847	1,847
XX	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INNOVATION	0	105,000
	Research and Development Innovation		+105,000
31	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	5,019	5,019
32	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	3,576	1,000
	Unjustified program request		−2,576
33	GPS III—OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT	0	356,867
	212		+356,867
34	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)	351,817	394,817
	Program Increase—Capabilities Insertion Program		+43,000
35	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE)	164,232	164,232
36	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	45,012	45,012
37	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	26,172	36,172
	Program Increase—Automatic Dependent Surveillance—Broadcast		+10,000
38	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	4,372	4,372
39	INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D	635	635
40	SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP)	8,349	8,349
42	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	20,580	20,580
43	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE	66,745	66,745
44	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SYSTEM RDT&E (SPACE)	36,123	79,123
	Program Increase—Capabilities Insertion Program		+43,000
45	POLLUTION PREVENTION (DEM/VAL)	2,534	2,534
46	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS	13,952	13,952
47	NEXT GENERATION BOMBER	198,957	198,957
48	BATTLE MGMT COM & CTRL SENSOR DEVELOPMENT	0	12,000
	Program Increase—GMTI Radar Development		+12,000
49	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM	22,389	22,389
50	JOINT DUAL ROLE AIR DOMINANCE MISSILE	9,799	9,799
51	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION	34,339	34,339
52	NEXT-GENERATION MILSATCOM TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	0	20,000
	Program Increase—Acquisition Planning and Studies		+20,000
53	GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT	32,513	22,513
	Program delay		−10,000
54	ALTERNATIVE FUELS	24,064	24,064
55	AUTOMATED AIR-TO-AIR REFUELING	85	85
56	OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE	93,978	125,978
	Program Increase—Responsive Launch Capabilities		+32,000
57	TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM	12,260	12,260

R–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
58	NATIONAL POLAR-ORBITING OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAT	325,505	100,000
	Program Reduction		−225,505
58A	DEFENSE WEATHER SATELLITE SYSTEM (DWSS)		75,000
	DWSS-only for defense sensor development		+75,000
59	GLOBAL BROADCAST SERVICE (GBS)	18,171	18,171
60	NUCLEAR WEAPONS SUPPORT	60,545	60,545
62	SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING	8,066	8,066
64	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	89,966	89,966
65	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO	631	631
66	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE	102,941	102,941
67	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	50	50
68	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	153,505	100,505
	SDB II—Contract Award Delay		−53,000
69	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS	40,276	40,276
70	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS	426,525	350,425
	SBSS Follow On		−45,100
	Space Fence		−35,000
	Integration of Missile Defense Agency radar systems into Space Surveillance Network		+4,000
71	AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK	25,937	25,937
72	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD	530,047	530,047
74	ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	6,693	6,693
75	SUBMUNITIONS	1,622	1,622
76	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT	37,987	37,987
77	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS	10,650	10,650
78	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	36,905	36,905
79	INTEGRATED COMMAND & CONTROL APPLICATIONS (IC2A)	10	10
80	INTELLIGENCE EQUIPMENT	1,364	1,364
81	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)	883,773	1,051,210
	Air Force requested transfer from line 135		+159,837
	Air Force requested transfer for Auto GCAS from AP, AF line 43		+7,600
82	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE	71,843	71,843
83	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE)	30,245	55,245
	Program Increase—EELV Common Upper Stage		+25,000
85	NEXT GENERATION AERIAL REFUELING AIRCRAFT	863,875	0
	Transfer to Tanker Transfer Fund		−863,875
86	CSAR HH–60 RECAPITALIZATION	12,584	0
	Program Termination		−12,584
86A	HH–60 RDT&E	0	1,934
	Terrain and Traffic Avoidance Systems—Transfer from line 86		+1,934
88	HC/MC–130 RECAP RDT&E	15,536	15,536
91	SINGLE INTEGRATED AIR PICTURE (SIAP)	1,832	0
	Program termination		−1,832
92	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	57,393	57,393
94	JOINT CARGO AIRCRAFT (JCA)	26,407	26,407
95	CV–22	18,270	18,270
96	AIRBORNE SENIOR LEADER C3 (SLC3S)	15,826	7,826
	Contract award delay for SLC3S–A Communications Program (SCP)		−8,000
97	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	21,245	21,245
98	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	61,587	61,587
99	RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE	26,752	26,752
101	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION	20,665	20,665
102	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	759,868	759,868
103	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)	23,551	23,551
104	SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP)	47,623	47,623
105	FACILITIES RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION—TEST & EVAL	46,327	46,327
106	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	27,579	27,579
107	MULTI-SERVICE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING INITIATIVE	18,901	18,901
108	ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	24,968	24,968
109	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING	1,544	1,544
111	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	3,764	3,764
113	COMMON VERTICAL LIFT SUPPORT PLATFORM	0	4,000
	Air Force requested transfer from AP, AF line 18		+4,000
114	AIR FORCE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM	43,300	23,300
	Funding ahead of need		−20,000
115	ANTITAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY	42,255	42,255
117	B–52 SQUADRONS	146,096	140,896
	EHF Request—early to need		−24,700
	Program Increase to continue advanced targeting pod integration		+6,500
	Air Force requested transfer from AP, AF line 38 for Internal Weapons Bay		+13,000
118	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM)	3,631	3,631
119	B–1B SQUADRONS	33,234	33,234
120	B–2 SQUADRONS	260,466	276,466
	Program Increase—Mixed Loads and Other Capabilities		+16,000
121	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM—USSTRATCOM	28,441	28,441
122	NIGHT FIST—USSTRATCOM	5,359	5,359
125	REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION	23,732	23,732
126	STRATEGIC AEROSPACE INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES	15	15
127	WARFIGHTER RAPID ACQUISITION PROCESS (WRAP) RAPID TRAN	10,580	10,580
128	MQ–9 UAV	125,427	125,427
129	MULTI-PLATFORM ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT	15,574	15,574
130	A–10 SQUADRONS	5,661	5,661
131	F–16 SQUADRONS	129,103	129,103
132	F–15E SQUADRONS	222,677	207,677
	Contract award delays		−15,000
133	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION	12,937	12,937
134	F–22 SQUADRONS	576,330	511,330
	Modernization program		−100,000
	MADL—Transfer from line 155		+35,000
135	F–35 SQUADRONS	217,561	0
	Block 4 Development		−57,724
	Air Force requested transfer to line 81		−159,837
136	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	6,040	6,040
137	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	62,922	62,922
138	JOINT HELMET MOUNTED CUEING SYSTEM (JHMCS)	2,407	2,407
139	COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY	944	944
140	COMBAT RESCUE—PARARESCUE	2,921	2,921
141	AF TENCAP	11,648	11,648
142	PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT	3,017	3,017
143	COMPASS CALL	20,652	20,652
144	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	147,396	120,626
	F–135 Component Improvement Program—premature request		−26,770
146	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)	20,000	20,000
147	AIR AND SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)	93,102	93,102
148	CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC)	58,313	58,313
149	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS)	239,755	229,755
	Contract award and schedule delays for Block 40/45 EMD and DRAGON		−10,000
151	ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	67,532	67,532
153	COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES	3,310	3,310
154	THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT (TBM) C4I	15,170	15,170
155	FIGHTER TACTICAL DATA LINK	85,492	23,992
	MADL—Transfer to line 134		−61,500
157	C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK	1,584	1,584
158	COMMAND AND CONTROL (C2) CONSTELLATION	24,229	24,229
159	JOINT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM	168,917	168,917

R–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
160	SEEK EAGLE	19,263	19,263
161	USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION	21,638	21,638
162	WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS	6,020	6,020
163	DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES	2,863	2,863
164	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	79,112	79,112
165	INFORMATION WARFARE SUPPORT	2,294	2,294
166	CYBER COMMAND ACTIVITIES	1,117	1,117
173	SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE	10,006	10,006
174	E–4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC)	12,532	12,532
175	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK	78,784	68,984
	MMPU Production—Air Force requested transfer to MP,AF line 9		−9,800
176	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	140,017	140,017
177	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	3,393	3,393
178	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	3,055	5,212
	Air Force requested transfer from line 179		+2,157
179	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2)	2,157	0
	Air Force requested transfer to line 178		−2,157
180	MILSATCOM TERMINALS	186,582	306,282
	FAB–T—Air Force requested transfer from AP,AF line 75		+119,700
182	AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE	149,268	144,268
	Program execution		−5,000
185	GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)	5,708	5,708
186	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	2,030	2,030
187	DOD CYBER CRIME CENTER	279	279
188	SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE)	21,667	21,667
189	WEATHER SERVICE	32,373	32,373
190	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC)	33,268	33,268
191	AERIAL TARGETS	63,573	58,573
	Program execution		−5,000
194	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES	469	469
196	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	40	40
198	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT)	165,936	165,936
199	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE AND CONTROL)	34,471	34,471
201	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER	4,572	4,572
202	SPACE WARFARE CENTER	2,929	2,929
203	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE)	9,933	9,933
204	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS	1,254	1,254
206	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	168,963	90,263
	Wide Area Airborne Surveillance Program of Record—ahead of need		−78,700
207	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	15,337	15,337
208	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	93,398	85,898
	Program Reduction		−7,500
209	PREDATOR UAV (JMIP)	28,913	23,913
	Program execution		−5,000
210	RQ4 UAV	251,318	220,318
	Execution adjustment		−31,000
211	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGET (TIARA)	7,267	7,267
212	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	828,171	446,304
	Operational Control Segment (OCX)—Transfer to line 33		−381,867
213	JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM	132,706	109,506
	JSPOC Mission System		−28,000
	Karnac		+4,800
214	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION WARFARE	5,512	5,512
215	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE)	72,199	72,199
216	NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE OFFICE	10,630	0
	Program termination—Funding transferred to Executive Agent for Space, OM,AF		−10,630
217	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS	43,838	43,838
218	INFORMATION OPS TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION & TOOL DEVELOP	21,912	21,912
219	SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW)	2,952	2,952
220	C–130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON	113,107	43,472
	Air Force requested transfer to AP,AF line 61		−69,635
221	C–5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS	58,990	58,990
222	C–17 AIRCRAFT	177,212	162,212
	Contract award delays		−15,000
223	C–130J PROGRAM	26,770	26,770
224	LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCM)	17,227	17,227
225	KC–135S	20,453	20,453
226	KC–10S	56,669	41,669
	Milestone B slip		−15,000
227	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT	4,988	4,988
228	C–STOL AIRCRAFT	1,283	1,283
230	SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL	7,345	7,345
231	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	1,514	1,514
234	LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT)	227,614	227,614
235	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	6,141	38,141
	Alternative energy research and integration		+32,000
235A	AIR FORCE RECRUITING INFORMATION SUPPORT SYSTEM	0	5,100
	Air Force Recruiting Information Support System—Air Force requested transfer from OM,AF		+5,100
236	OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING	667	667
237	JOINT NATIONAL TRAINING CENTER	9	9
239	OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES	116	116
240	JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY	6,107	6,107
242	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM	7,811	7,811
243	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	11,179	11,179
244	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	49,816	49,816
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	12,406,781	12,915,571
	Classified Adjustment		+508,790
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE	27,247,302	26,517,405
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE		
1	DTRA UNIVERSITY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BASIC RESEARCH	47,412	47,412
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	328,195	295,695
	Excessive growth		−32,500
5	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM	109,911	94,311
	Unexecutable growth		−15,600
6	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	49,508	49,508
7	INSENSITIVE MUNITIONS—EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT	22,448	20,448
	Excessive growth		−2,000
8	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES & UNIV (HBCU) SCIENCE	15,067	23,067
	Program Increase		+8,000
9	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM	32,830	32,830
10	INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	281,262	253,262
	DISCOVER contract award delays		−10,000
	Extreme Computing contract award delays		−18,000
11	COGNITIVE COMPUTING SYSTEMS	90,143	90,143
12	MACHINE INTELLIGENCE	44,682	44,682
13	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE	32,692	32,692
14	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	169,287	174,287
	TMTI BA 5 unexecutable funding transferred back to S&T at request of the Department		+5,000
15	JOINT DATA MANAGEMENT ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	3,261	0
	Duplicate effort		−3,261
16	CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH	10,000	5,000
	Lack of authorization		−5,000

R–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
17	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) APP	9,499	7,999
	Excessive growth		−1,500
18	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY	224,378	224,378
19	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY	312,586	307,586
	Unsustained growth		−5,000
20	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY	286,936	266,936
	Excessive growth		−20,000
21	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES	212,742	212,742
22	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	26,545	36,745
	Program Increase—Unfunded Requirement		+15,200
	Unexecutable growth		−5,000
24	JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECH INSENSITIVE MUNITIONS AD	20,556	15,556
	Unjustified growth		−5,000
25	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	44,423	44,423
26	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	85,299	85,299
27	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES—PROLIF PREV & DEFEAT	295,163	295,163
28	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY	132,220	92,220
	SM–3 Block IIB Development transfer to line 84, AEGIS BMD		−40,000
29	JOINT ADVANCED CONCEPTS	6,808	6,808
30	JOINT DOD–DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	22,700	22,700
31	AGILE TRANSPO FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (AT21)—THEATER CA	750	750
32	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS	303,078	241,378
	ArcLight		−5,000
	ISIS lack of transition partner		−21,700
	MoTr program delays		−15,000
	Vulture program descope and delays		−20,000
33	SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY	98,130	98,130
34	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	177,113	222,713
	TMTI BA 5 unexecutable funding transferred back to S&T at request of the Department		+45,600
35	JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	8,386	8,386
36	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	206,917	191,917
	Unjustified growth		−15,000
37	NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES	30,035	25,035
	Unjustified growth		−5,000
38	JOINT DATA MANAGEMENT RESEARCH	6,289	4,289
	Excessive growth		−2,000
39	BIOMETRICS SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	11,416	11,416
40	CYBER SECURITY ADVANCED RESEARCH	10,000	5,000
	Lack of authorization		−5,000
41	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) ADV	11,510	10,510
	Excessive growth		−1,000
42	DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROG	18,916	42,916
	Industrial Base Innovation Fund		+24,000
43	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM/AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS	9,943	9,943
44	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	20,542	20,542
45	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY	29,109	29,109
46	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM	68,021	64,021
	Unexecutable growth		−4,000
47	MICROELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT	26,878	26,878
48	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM	10,966	10,966
49	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES	197,098	197,098
52	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	200,986	240,986
	Program adjustment		+40,000
53	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	219,809	219,809
54	CLASSIFIED DARPA PROGRAMS	167,008	150,308
	Poor justification materials		−16,700
55	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	234,985	227,985
	Unsustained growth		−7,000
56	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	205,032	205,032
58	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	13,986	13,986
59	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE	30,910	30,910
61	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS	78,244	58,244
	Excessive growth		−13,000
	P826—Excess to Quick Reaction Fund requirements		−7,000
62	JOINT EXPERIMENTATION	111,946	91,946
	Excessive growth		−20,000
63	MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE	38,140	33,140
	Unexecutable growth		−5,000
64	DIRECTED ENERGY RESEARCH	98,688	123,688
	Program Increase		+25,000
65	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	97,642	97,642
66	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	23,310	17,310
	Unjustified growth		6,000
67	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	30,806	38,806
	SOF ACTD Programs		+8,000
68	AVIATION ENGINEERING ANALYSIS	4,234	4,234
69	SOF INFORMATION & BROADCAST SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOG	4,942	4,942
69X	INNOVATIVE RESEARCH	0	124,200
	Program adjustment		+124,200
70	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	32,132	32,132
71	RETRACT LARCH	21,592	21,592
72	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM	9,878	9,878
73	ADVANCE SENSOR APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	18,060	18,060
74	ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM	30,419	30,419
75	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT	436,482	431,482
	Funding no longer required for transition to Reagan Test Site		−5,000
76	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT	1,346,181	1,311,181
	Excess Award Fee and Test and Integration Delays		−35,000
78	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	277,062	271,062
	Improved Nerve Agent Treatment System—slow obligation rate in fiscal year 2010		−5,000
	Lightweight Chemical/Biological Ensemble execution delays		−1,000
79	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS	454,859	392,159
	Transfer to line 88 for Concurrent Test, Training and Operations		−35,900
	Transfer to line 88 for TPY–2 C2BMC Fielding		−13,000
	Transfer to line 88 for BMDS Radars Communications Sustainment (TPY–2)		−13,800
81	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST & TARGETS	1,113,425	1,008,525
	Transfer to lines 82 and 88		−94,900
	Funding no longer required for move to Reagan Test Site		−5,000
	Program Growth in Program Operations Systems Engineering and Systems Management		−5,000
82	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ENABLING PROGRAMS	402,769	406,269
	Transfer from line 81		+43,500
	Excessive contractor support, advisory services and program growth		−40,000
83	SPECIAL PROGRAMS—MDA	270,189	245,189
	Transfer to higher priority near-term MDA procurement programs		−25,000
84	AEGIS BMD	1,467,278	1,569,278
	Program growth		−12,000
	Navy requested transfer from OP,N line 109		+72,500
	Aegis BMD Ships—Navy requested transfer from OM,N line 1B5B		+1,500
	SM–3 Block IIB Development—transfer from line 28		+40,000
85	SPACE SURVEILLANCE & TRACKING SYSTEM	112,678	112,678
87	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS	10,942	10,942
88	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE C2BMC	342,625	456,725
	Transfer from line 81 for Concurrent Test, Training and Operations		+51,400
	Transfer from line 79 for Concurrent Test, Training and Operations		+35,900

R–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
	Transfer from line 79 for TPY–2 C2BMC Fielding		+13,000
	Transfer from line 79 for BMDS Radar Communications Sustainment (TPY–2)		+13,800
90	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT	68,726	58,726
	Duplication of effort with MDA core programs		−10,000
91	CENTER (MDIOC)	86,198	86,198
92	REGARDING TRENCH	7,529	7,529
93	SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX)	153,056	153,056
98	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS	121,735	209,935
	David's Sling Weapons Program		+38,000
	Arrow System Improvement Program (ASIP)		+42,000
	Arrow 3 Upper Tier Interceptor Program		+8,200
99	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING	14,735	14,735
100	COALITION WARFARE	13,786	13,786
101	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM	4,802	39,502
	Department of Defense Corrosion Prevention and Control Program		+34,700
102	DOD UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOPMENT	49,292	49,292
104	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURAL BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) RES	7,459	7,459
105	JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION COMMAND (JSIC)	19,413	19,413
106	JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION & INTEROPERABILITY TEAM	16,637	16,637
107	LAND-BASED SM–3 (LBSM3)	281,378	281,378
108	AEGIS SM–3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT	318,800	318,800
109	PRECISION TRACKING SPACE SYSTEM RDT&E	66,969	36,969
	Transfer to higher priority near-term MDA procurement programs		−30,000
110	AIRBORNE INFRARED (ABIR)	111,671	76,671
	Transfer to higher priority near-term MDA procurement programs		−35,000
111	REDUCTION OF TOTAL OWNERSHIP COST	20,310	20,310
112	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM	4,027	4,027
113	DEFENSE ACQUISITION CHALLENGE PROGRAM (DACP)	24,344	24,344
114	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	7,973	7,973
115	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT	239,861	239,861
116	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	407,162	300,562
	Plague Vaccine—slow obligation rate in fiscal year 2010		−5,000
	TMTI BA 5 unexecutable funding transferred back to S&T at request of the Department		−65,600
	Bioscavenger Increment II schedule delays		−12,000
	Decontamination Family of Systems schedule delays		−9,000
	Next Generation Chemical Standoff Detection schedule delays		−9,000
	SSI NBCRS growth without acquisition strategy		−6,000
117	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM	4,155	4,155
118	ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITSJPO)	49,364	23,695
	Technology Initiatives Investment Fund		−25,669
119	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS)	20,954	20,954
120	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES	7,307	7,307
121	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	11,937	11,937
122	DEFENSE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM	11,800	11,800
123	BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION AGENCY R&D ACTIVITIES	184,131	181,166
	VIPS Increment II contract award in fiscal year 2012		−2,965
124	HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE	391	391
125	OUSD(C) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES	5,000	5,000
126	TRUSTED FOUNDRY	35,512	35,512
128	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	17,842	17,842
130	WOUNDED ILL AND INJURED SENIOR OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE	1,590	1,590
132	DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS)	5,113	5,113
133	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT	8,052	8,052
134	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT	162,286	162,286
135	ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS	2,500	2,500
136	THERMAL VICAR	8,851	8,851
137	JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMETC)	10,287	10,287
138	TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS	49,282	49,282
139	USD(A&T)—CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	4,743	4,743
140	FOREIGN MATERIAL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION	95,520	95,520
141	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	94,577	94,577
142	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P)	0	106,000
	Classified Program USD(P)		+106,000
143	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING	32,755	27,755
	Unjustified growth		−5,000
144	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	29,824	37,024
	Sustainment of fiscal year 2010 level		+7,200
145	NUCLEAR MATTERS—PHYSICAL SECURITY	6,264	6,264
146	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION	15,091	15,091
147	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE)	6,227	6,227
147X	DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT	0	12,000
	Program Increase—contract management services program		+12,000
148	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	120,995	120,995
155	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH/CHALLENGE ADMINISTR	2,189	2,189
156	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	13,858	11,158
	P796—Technical Grand Challenge Program		−2,700
157	FORCE TRANSFORMATION DIRECTORATE	19,701	19,701
158	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC)	61,054	58,554
	Excessive growth		2,500
159	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING & EVALUATION	64,737	64,737
160	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	18,688	25,888
	Sustainment of fiscal year 2010 level		+7,200
161	DARPA AGENCY RELOCATION	11,000	11,000
162	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT)	56,257	56,257
163	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS	6,099	6,099
164	AVIATION SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES	10,900	10,900
165	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT	23,081	8,081
	Growth without acquisition strategy		−15,000
168	SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES	31,500	31,500
169	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY RAPID ACQUISITION	5,135	5,135
170	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	10,000	10,000
171	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)	21,272	21,272
173	WARFIGHTING AND INTELLIGENCE-RELATED SUPPORT	845	845
174	COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION	92,253	48,688
	P 754—Initiatives funded by Services		−33,315
	P 764—NPSUE funding without program		−10,250
175	PENTAGON RESERVATION	20,482	20,482
176	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS—MDA	29,754	29,754
177	IT SOFTWARE DEV INITIATIVES	278	278
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	61,577	61,577
178	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR SECURITY (DISS)	5,522	1,000
	Unjustified program		−4,522
179	REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH & PARTNERSHIP FOR PEACE	2,139	2,139
180	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SYSTEM	290	290
181	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT)	6,634	6,634
183	JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY	44,139	44,139
185	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	2,288	2,288
186	C4I INTEROPERABILITY	74,023	74,023
188	JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING	9,379	9,379
195	NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT	467	467
196	DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING AND INTEGRATION	16,629	36,629
	Cyber Security Pilot Programs		+20,000
197	LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS (DCS)	9,130	9,130
198	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK	9,529	9,529

R–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
199	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI)	8,881	8,881
200	KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI)	45,941	45,941
201	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	14,077	14,077
202	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	388,827	388,827
205	C4I FOR THE WARRIOR	2,261	2,261
206	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	26,247	25,047
	Fiscal year 2012 testing		−1,200
207	JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER	20,991	20,991
208	NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)	3,366	3,366
209	JOINT MILITARY DECEPTION INITIATIVE	1,161	1,161
210	TELEPORT PROGRAM	6,880	6,880
211	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES	16,272	16,272
214	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	501	501
216	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	2,251	2,251
217	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	10,486	10,486
221	POLICY R&D PROGRAMS	9,136	9,136
223	NET CENTRICITY	29,831	14,831
	Unjustified growth		−15,000
227	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	1,290	1,290
230	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	3,513	3,513
232	MQ–1 PREDATOR A UAV	98	98
234	HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM	2,988	2,988
235	INT'L INTELLIGENCE TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT, ADVANCEMENT	1,416	1,416
245	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	21,798	21,798
246	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	2,813	2,813
247	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (JCS)	2,807	2,807
249	NATO AGS	93,885	93,885
250	MQ–9 UAV	98	98
252	SPECIAL OPERATIONS AVIATION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	68,691	68,691
253	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TACTICAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	1,582	1,582
254	SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	23,879	25,479
	Program Increase—Unfunded Requirement		+1,600
255	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	62,592	63,692
	Program Increase—Unfunded Requirement		+4,000
	Program termination		−2,900
256	SPECIAL OPERATIONS CV–22 DEVELOPMENT	14,406	14,406
257	JOINT MULTI-MISSION SUBMERSIBLE	14,924	0
	SOCOM requested transfer to line 269		−14,924
259	MISSION TRAINING AND PREPARATION SYSTEMS (MTPS)	2,915	2,915
261	MC130J SOF TANKER RECAPITALIZATION	7,624	7,624
262	SOF COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT AND ELECTRONICS SYSTEMS	1,922	922
	Execution delays		−1,000
263	SOF TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEMS	2,347	2,347
264	SOF WEAPONS SYSTEMS	479	479
265	SOF SOLDIER PROTECTION AND SURVIVAL SYSTEMS	593	593
267	SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES	1,994	994
	Change in requirements		−1,000
268	SOF ROTARY WING AVIATION	14,473	33,715
	SOCOM requested transfer from P,DW line 57		+19,242
269	SOF UNDERWATER SYSTEMS	13,986	28,910
	SOCOM requested transfer from line 257		+14,924
270	SOF SURFACE CRAFT	2,933	18,933
	Program Increase—CCM Unfunded Requirement		+16,000
271	SOF PSYOP	4,193	4,193
272	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	5,135	5,135
273	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	9,167	9,167
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	3,832,019	4,011,571
	Classified adjustment		+179,552
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	20,661,600	20,797,412
	OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE		
1	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION	59,430	59,430
2	LIVE FIRE TEST AND EVALUATION	12,899	12,899
3	OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES	122,581	122,581
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE	194,910	194,910
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION	76,130,700	74,957,028

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
	NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND		
	STRATEGIC SHIP ACQUISITION	411,202	911,202
	Additional Mobile Landing Platform		500,000
	DoD MOBILIZATION ASSETS	158,647	158,647
	STRATEGIC SEALIFT SUPPORT	4,875	4,875
	SEALIFT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	28,012	28,012
	READY RESERVE FORCE OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	332,130	332,130
	MARITIME ADMINISTRATION SHIP FINANCING GUARANTEE PROGRAM		40,000
	TOTAL, NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND	934,866	1,474,866
	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM		
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	29,915,277	29,671,764
	IN-HOUSE CARE	7,781,877	7,791,077
	131	2,800	−2,800
	Pain Management Task Force		+12,000
	PRIVATE SECTOR CARE	16,034,745	15,673,745
	TRICARE Underexecution		−236,000
	Global Deployment of the Force medical research funding—DOD requested transfer to maintain full funding for the program		125,000
	CONSOLIDATED HEALTH CARE	2,122,483	2,085,770
	131	27,825	−27,825
	Psychological Health—State Directors for the National Guard—Transfer to OM,ARNG line 133		−8,888
	INFORMATION MANAGEMENT/IT	1,452,330	1,452,330
	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS	293,698	288,698
	MHS Strategic Communications efficiencies		−5,000
	EDUCATION AND TRAINING	632,534	632,534
	BASE OPERATIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS	1,597,610	1,747,610
	Medical Facilities Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization		+150,000
	PROCUREMENT	519,921	534,921
	Procurement of Medical Equipment and IO&T Navy		+15,000
	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	499,913	1,175,513
	ALS		+8,000
	Armed Forces Institute of Regenerative Medicine		+4,800
	Autism Research		+6,400
	Bone Marrow Failure Disease Research Program		+4,000
	Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy		+4,000
	Global HIV/AIDS Prevention		+10,000
	Traumatic Brain Injury and Psychological Health		+100,000
	Global Deployment of the Force medical research funding—Department of Defense requested transfer to maintain full funding for the program		+125,000
	Gulf War Illness Peer-Reviewed Research Program		+8,000
	Multiple Sclerosis		+4,800

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
	Peer-Reviewed Alzheimer Research		+15,000
	Peer-Reviewed Breast Cancer Research Program		+150,000
	Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Program		+16,000
	Peer-Reviewed Lung Cancer Research Program		+12,800
	Peer-Reviewed Orthopedic Research Program		+24,000
	Peer-Reviewed Ovarian Cancer Research Program		+20,000
	Peer Reviewed Vision research in conjunction with the DoD Vision Center of Excellence		+4,000
	Peer-Reviewed Prostate Cancer Research Program		+80,000
	Peer-Reviewed Spinal Cord Research Program		+12,000
	Research in Alcohol and Substance Use Disorders		+5,200
	SBIR to the core funded RDT&E		+1,200
	Tuberous Sclerosis Complex (TSC)		+6,400
	Pain Management Task Force Research		+4,000
	Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program		+50,000
	TOTAL, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	30,935,111	31,382,198
	CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE		
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,067,364	1,067,364
	PROCUREMENT	7,132	7,132
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION	392,811	392,811
	TOTAL, CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE	1,467,307	1,467,307
	DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTERDRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE		
PC129 3	Supplies and Materials (non-fund)—NSA		−1,000
PC132 9	Other Intra-Governmental Purchases—Navy		−2,500
PC650 1	Other Intra-Governmental Purchases—OSD		−2,000
PC920 6	Other Intra-Governmental Purchases—OSD		−4,000
PC9205	EUCOM Counternarcotics Operations Support excessive growth		−3,000
PC1293	International crime and narcotics analytic tools excessive growth		−1,000
PC2360	EUCOM Tactical Analysis Team Support unauthorized new Start		−1,500
	FFRDC cost growth and CN indicated no need		−11,394
	National Guard Counter-Drug Program-State Plans		+50,000
	Young Marines-Drug Demand Reduction		+2,000
	TOTAL, DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTERDRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE	1,131,351	1,156,957
	JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND		
4	STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE	215,868	0
	Transfer to Title IX		−215,868
	TOTAL, JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND	215,868	0
	OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL		
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	282,354	305,794
	Program Increase		+23,440
	PROCUREMENT	1,000	1,000
	TOTAL, OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL	283,354	306,794
	TOTAL, OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS	34,032,991	34,313,256

TITLE IX—OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

For the Department of Defense overseas contingency operations budget, funds are to be available for fiscal year 2011, as follows:

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY		
	BA–1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS		
	BASIC PAY	1,237,779	1,237,779
	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	313,278	313,278
	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	349,839	349,839
	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	44,752	44,752
	INCENTIVE PAYS	2,835	2,835
	SPECIAL PAYS	159,261	159,261
	ALLOWANCES	56,632	56,632
	SEPARATION PAY	1,303	1,303
	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	94,650	94,650
	TOTAL, BA–1	2,260,329	2,260,329
	BA–2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
	BASIC PAY	2,708,271	2,708,271
	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	693,325	693,325
	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,113,877	1,113,877
	INCENTIVE PAYS	6,714	6,714
	SPECIAL PAYS	574,120	574,120
	ALLOWANCES	241,921	241,921
	SEPARATION PAY	26,276	26,276
	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	207,174	207,174
	TOTAL, BA–2	5,571,678	5,571,678
	BA–4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	329,046	329,046
	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	1,871,805	1,871,805
	TOTAL, BA–4	2,200,851	2,200,851
	BA–5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL		
	ACCESSION TRAVEL	45,512	45,512
	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	107,025	107,025
	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	45,514	45,514
	TOTAL, BA–5	198,051	198,051
	BA–6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS		
	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	16,102	16,102
	DEATH GRATUITIES	66,220	66,220
	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	192,223	192,223
	RESERVE INCOME REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	1,895	1,895
	SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	171,060	171,060
	TOTAL, BA–6	447,500	447,500
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		789,624
	Undistributed Transfer from Title I		+789,624
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY	10,678,409	11,468,033

P–1	Budget Request	Recommendation
MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY		
BA–1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS		
BASIC PAY	213,340	213,340
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	59,067	59,067
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	67,023	67,023
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	7,315	7,315
INCENTIVE PAYS	1,543	1,543
SPECIAL PAYS	16,667	16,667
ALLOWANCES	16,754	16,754
SEPARATION PAY	14	14
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	16,320	16,320
TOTAL, BA–1	398,043	398,043
BA–2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
BASIC PAY	262,656	262,656
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	74,338	74,338
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	121,913	121,913
INCENTIVE PAYS	325	325
SPECIAL PAYS	80,007	80,007
ALLOWANCES	27,692	27,692
SEPARATION PAY	3,535	3,535
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	20,093	20,093
TOTAL, BA-2	590,559	590,559
BA-4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	28,639	28,639
SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	14,546	14,546
TOTAL, BA–4	43,185	43,185
BA–5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL		
ACCESSION TRAVEL	5,214	5,214
OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	23,903	23,903
ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	30,110	30,110
SEPARATION TRAVEL	3,132	3,132
TOTAL, BA–5	62,359	62,359
BA–6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS		
DEATH GRATUITIES	3,800	3,800
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	29,662	29,662
SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	51,111	51,111
TOTAL, BA–6	84,573	84,573
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		130,000
Higher than Budgeted Mobilization Levels		+110,000
Increased Deployment Levels		+20,000
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY	1,178,719	1,308,719
MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS		
BA–1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS		
BASIC PAY	40,079	40,079
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	13,308	13,308
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	18,565	18,565
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	1,760	1,760
SPECIAL PAYS	10,747	10,747
ALLOWANCES	4,805	4,805
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	4,176	4,176
TOTAL, BA–1	93,440	93,440
BA–2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
BASIC PAY	190,013	190,013
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	43,090	43,090
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	45,977	45,977
SPECIAL PAYS	95,395	95,395
ALLOWANCES	40,431	40,431
SEPARATION PAY	3,017	3,017
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	13,435	13,435
TOTAL, BA–2	431,358	431,358
BA–4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	21,420	21,420
TOTAL, BA–4	21,420	21,420
BA–5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL		
ACCESSION TRAVEL	3,270	3,270
TOTAL, BA–5	3,270	3,270
BA–6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS		
DEATH GRATUITIES	27,000	27,000
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	19,942	19,942
SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	48,345	48,345
TOTAL, BA–6	95,287	95,287
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		88,145
Over Budgeted End Strength		+88,145
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	644,775	732,920
MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE		
BA—1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS		
BASIC PAY	188,334	188,334
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	45,953	45,953
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	58,889	58,889
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	7,320	7,320
SPECIAL PAYS	13,613	13,613
ALLOWANCES	5,760	5,760
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	14,408	14,408
TOTAL, BA–1	334,277	334,277
BA–2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
BASIC PAY	472,896	472,896
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	115,387	115,387
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	177,545	177,545
SPECIAL PAYS	49,964	49,964
ALLOWANCES	16,254	16,254
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	36,177	36,177
TOTAL, BA–2	868,223	868,223
BA–4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL		
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	39,090	39,090
SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	61,805	61,805
TOTAL, BA–4	100,895	100,895
BA–5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL		
OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	5,957	5,957
TOTAL, BA–5	5,957	5,957

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
	BA–6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS		
	DEATH GRATUITIES	2,000	2,000
	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	27,978	27,978
	SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	67,057	67,057
	TOTAL, BA–6	97,035	97,035
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		654,055
	Higher than Budgeted Mobilization Levels		+378,000
	Over Budgeted End Strength		+276,055
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	1,406,387	2,060,442
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY		
	BA–1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING		
	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	104,230	104,230
	SCHOOL TRAINING	9,886	9,886
	SPECIAL TRAINING	153,915	153,915
	TOTAL, BA–1	268,031	268,031
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	268,031	268,031
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY		
	BA–1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING		
	SCHOOL TRAINING	7,019	7,019
	SPECIAL TRAINING	38,683	38,683
	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	3,210	3,210
	TOTAL, BA–1	48,912	48,912
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	48,912	48,912
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS		
	BA–1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING		
	SCHOOL TRAINING	5,467	5,467
	SPECIAL TRAINING	24,797	24,797
	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	373	373
	TOTAL, BA–1	30,637	30,637
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		14,800
	Over Budgeted End Strength		+14,800
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	30,637	45,437
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE		
	BA–1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING		
	SPECIAL TRAINING	27,002	27,002
	TOTAL, BA–1	27,002	27,002
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	27,002	27,002
	NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY		
	BA–1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING		
	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	231,547	231,547
	SPECIAL TRAINING	550,090	550,090
	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	46,485	46,485
	TOTAL, BA–1	828,122	828,122
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		24,900
	Support to Southwest Border		+24,900
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY	828,122	853,022
	NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE		
	BA–1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING		
	SPECIAL TRAINING	21,060	11,060
	Excess to Need		−10,000
	TOTAL, BA–1	21,060	11,060
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		5,800
	Support to Southwest Border		+5,800
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	21,060	16,860
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL	15,132,054	16,829,378

0–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY		
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	0	1,000,000
	Increased Peacetime Base Operations Support Costs to Redeployment of Soldiers from Iraq		+1,000,000
135	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	47,638,208	44,608,615
	Reduced Deployment Level		−2,500,000
	Transfer to SAG 421 for Subsistence Transportation Costs		−1,013,000
	Transfer from Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund for Detainee Operations		+80,000
	Transfer from JIEDDO—Synchronization and Integration WTI Cell		+3,200
	Transfer from JIEDDO—Thermal Station (National IED Exploitation Facility (NIEF))		+13,000
	Transfer from JIEDDO—Beachcomber		+3,000
	Transfer from JIEDDO—Counter Bomber		+1,500
	Transfer from JIEDDO—CREW-SSM Universal Test Set		+3,000
	Transfer from JIEDDO—Subtle Magnetic Anomaly Detection Network Systems		+1,000
	Transfer from JIEDDO—Technical Collection Training Program		+16,400
	Transfer from Title II—Chemical Defense Equipment Sustainment		+8,579
	Transfer from Title II—MRAP Vehicle Sustainment at Combat Training Centers		+6,420
	Transfer from Title II—Body Armor Sustainment		+71,660
	Transfer from Title II—Rapid Equipping Force Readiness		+9,294
	Transfer from Title II—Fixed Wing Life Cycle Contract Support		+21,171
	Transfer from Title II—Overseas Security Guards		+200,000
	Transfer from Title II—Senior Leader Initiative—Comprehensive Soldier Fitness Program		+30,000
	Transfer from Title II—Survivability and Maneuverability Training		+15,183
136	COMMANDERS EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM	1,300,000	500,000
	Program reduction		−400,000
	Transfer to Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund		−400,000
137	RESET	7,840,211	6,261,568
	Army-Identified Excess Reset Requirement		−1,578,643
411	SECURITY PROGRAMS	2,358,865	2,364,265
	Transfer from JIEDDO—Air Vigilance		+5,400
421	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	3,465,334	4,478,334
	Transfer from SAG 135 for Subsistence Transportation Costs		+1,013,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY	62,602,618	59,212,782
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY		
1A1A	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	1,839,918	1,839,918
1A2A	FLEET AIR TRAINING	3,453	3,453

0–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
1A3A	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SVCS	1,400	1,400
1A4A	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	26,837	26,837
1A4N	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	44,567	44,567
1A5A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	233,114	281,114
	Aircraft Depot Maintenance Increase		+48,000
1B1B	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	1,151,465	1,151,465
1B2B	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	27,472	27,472
1B4B	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,266,556	1,290,556
	Ship Depot Maintenance Increase		+24,000
1C1C	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	38,468	38,468
1C4C	WARFARE TACTICS	82,801	32,801
	Navy Identified Excess to Requirement for CENTCOM Operations		−50,000
1C5C	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	24,855	24,855
1C6C	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	2,737,727	2,930,528
	Transfer from Title II—Naval Expeditionary Combat Command Increases		+192,801
1C7C	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	3,677	3,677
1CCH	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	7,000	7,000
1CCM	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	7,455	7,455
1D3D	INSERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	99,118	100,118
	Transfer from JIEDDO—CREW-SSM Universal Test Set		+1,000
1D4D	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	82,519	82,519
1D7D	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	16,938	16,938
BSIT	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	10,350	0
	ONE-NET Baseline Budget Requirement		−10,350
BSM1	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	28,250	49,250
	Continuing Operations at Guantanamo Bay—Transfer from Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund		+21,000
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	381,749	436,249
	Continuing Operations at Guantanamo Bay—Transfer from Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund		+4,000
	Transfer from JIEDDO—Counter Bomber		+500
	Transfer from Title II—Regional/Emergency Operations Center		+50,000
2A1F	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	27,300	27,300
2C1H	FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	4,400	4,400
2C3H	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	254,461	0
	Transfer to Department of Homeland Security		−254,461
3B1K	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	81,454	84,454
	Transfer from Title II—NAVSEA VSSS/EOD Training		+3,000
3B4K	TRAINING SUPPORT	5,400	0
	Training Support Baseline Budget Requirement		−5,400
4A1M	ADMINISTRATION	4,265	4,265
4A2M	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	467	467
4A3M	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	450	450
4A4M	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	11,214	11,214
4A5M	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	2,706	2,706
4A6M	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	28,671	28,671
4B1N	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	300,868	300,868
4B3N	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	6,091	6,091
4B7N	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	2,153	2,153
4C1P	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	78,464	78,464
9999	OTHER PROGRAMS	22,581	22,581
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY	8,946,634	8,970,724
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS		
1A1A	OPERATIONAL FORCES	2,448,572	2,317,572
	Excess to Requirement for Cargo UAS		−90,400
	Transfer to RDTE,N for Cargo UAS		−36,000
	Transfer to OP,N for AM-2 Matting		−4,600
1A2A	FIELD LOGISTICS	514,748	517,248
	Transfer from JIEDDO—Counter Bomber		+1,000
	Transfer from JIEDDO—CREW-SSM Universal Test Set		+1,000
	Transfer from JIEDDO—Subtle Magnetic Anomaly Detection Network Systems		+500
1A3A	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	523,250	523,250
1B1B	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	7,808	7,808
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	55,301	55,301
3B4D	TRAINING SUPPORT	223,071	223,071
4A3G	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	360,000	360,000
4A4G	ADMINISTRATION	3,772	3,772
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	4,136,522	4,008,022
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE		
011A	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,896,647	1,896,647
011C	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	1,954,759	1,954,759
011D	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING	113,948	113,948
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	297,623	399,983
	Weapons System Sustainment		+102,360
011R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	704,463	504,463
	Unjustified Growth from fiscal year 2010 Baseline		−200,000
011Z	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	1,780,052	1,780,052
012A	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	128,632	128,632
012C	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS	397,894	397,894
013A	LAUNCH FACILITIES	28,975	28,975
013C	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	34,091	34,091
015A	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	127,861	127,861
021A	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	4,403,800	4,403,800
021D	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	240,394	240,394
021M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	217,023	217,023
021R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	20,360	20,360
021Z	BASE SUPPORT	57,362	57,362
031R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,948	1,948
031Z	BASE SUPPORT	6,088	6,088
032A	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	45,893	45,893
032B	FLIGHT TRAINING	20,277	20,277
032C	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	1,500	1,500
032D	TRAINING SUPPORT	1,820	1,820
041A	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	292,030	292,030
041R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	10,500	10,500
041Z	BASE SUPPORT	31,985	31,985
042A	ADMINISTRATION	5,438	5,438
042B	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	247,149	247,149
042G	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	113,082	113,082
043A	SECURITY PROGRAMS	305,689	305,689
	REDUCED DEPLOYMENT LEVELS		−400,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	13,487,283	12,989,643
	OPERATION AND MAINTENCE, DEFENSE-WIDE		
1PL1	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	20,500	20,500
1PL2	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	3,012,026	2,903,126
	Information Operations		−49,400
	Leased Aircraft—Unjustified Request		−65,500
	Transfer from JIEDDO—Wolfhound II		+6,000
ES18	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	14,799	14,799
4GT6	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	27,000	27,000

0–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
4GT9	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	136,316	144,316
	Increase Afghanistan FOB Fiber Connectivity		+8,000
4GTJ	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	74,862	74,862
4GTA	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	120,469	116,969
	Overstatement of Habeas Corpus Civilian Personnel Pricing		−3,500
4GTJ	DEFENSE DEPENDENTS EDUCATION	485,769	501,769
	Additional Funding for Outreach and Reintegration Services Under the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program		+16,000
4GTD	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	2,000,000	2,000,000
4GTI	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	1,218	1,218
4GTN	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	188,099	173,099
	Knowledge Management		−15,000
9999	OTHER PROGRAMS	3,345,300	3,299,332
	Classified Adjustments		−49,168
	Transfer from JIEDDO—Synchronization and Integration WTI Cell		+3,200
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE	9,426,358	9,276,990
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE		
135	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	286,950	206,784
	Army Reserve Identified Excess to Requirement		−80,166
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE	286,950	206,784
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE		
1A1A	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	49,089	49,089
1A3A	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	400	400
1A5A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	17,760	17,760
1B1B	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	9,395	9,395
1B4B	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	497	497
1C1C	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	3,185	3,185
1C6C	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	12,169	12,169
4A4M	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	1,064	1,064
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE	93,559	93,559
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE		
1A1A	OPERATING FORCES	23,571	23,571
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	6,114	6,114
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE	29,685	29,685
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE		
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	116,924	191,124
	Weapons System Sustainment		+74,200
011Z	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	12,683	12,683
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE	129,607	203,807
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
135	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	544,349	497,849
	Distance Learning Transfer to Baseline OM,ARNG SAG 121		−9,000
	Air OPTEMPO Duplicate Request		−44,000
	Support to Southwest Border		+6,500
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	544,349	497,849
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
011F	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	152,896	152,896
011G	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	57,800	59,400
	Support to Southwest Border		+1,600
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	140,127	205,687
	Weapons System Sustainment		+65,560
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD	350,823	417,983
	OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND		
	OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND	1,551,781	0
	Transfer to OM,A SAG 135		−80,000
	Transfer to OM,N SAGs BSS1 and BSM1		−25,000
	Unjustified Program Change		−1,446,781
	TOTAL, OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER ACCOUNT	1,551,781	0
	AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND		
	Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund—Transfer from CERP		+400,000
	TOTAL, AFGHANISTAN INFRASTUCTURE FUND	0	400,000
	AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND		
	Afghan National Army	7,467,014	7,467,014
	Infrastructure	1,790,933	1,790,933
	Equipment and Transportation	1,846,623	1,846,623
	Training and Operations	836,842	836,842
	Sustainment	2,992,616	2,992,616
	Afghan National Police	4,085,437	4,085,437
	Infrastructure	1,078,413	1,078,413
	Equipment and Transportation	917,966	917,966
	Training and Operations	990,213	990,213
	Sustainment	1,098,845	1,098,845
	Related Activities	66,832	66,832
	Detainee Operations—Sustainment	6,037	6,037
	Detainee Operations—Training and Operations	1,530	1,530
	Detainee Operations—Infrastructure	58,265	58,265
	COIN Activities	1,000	1,000
	TOTAL, AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND	11,619,283	11,619,283
	IRAQ SECURITY FORCES FUND		
	Defense Security Forces	1,656,906	1,656,906
	Equipment and Transportation	1,067,706	1,067,706
	Training	248,075	248,075
	Sustainment	341,125	341,125
	Interior Security Forces	268,094	268,094
	Equipment and Transportation	220,469	220,469
	Sustainment	47,625	47,625
	Related Activities	75,000	75,000
	Authorization Reduction		−500,000
	TOTAL, IRAQ SECURITY FORCES FUND	2,000,000	1,500,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	115,205,452	109,427,111

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
2	C–12 CARGO AIRPLANE (OCO)	78,060	78,060
4	MQ–1 UAV (OCO)	47,000	24,000
	Reduction to Projected Battle Losses		−23,000
5	RQ–11 (RAVEN) (OCO)	17,430	17,430
9	AH–64 APACHE BLOCK III		34,600
	War Replacement Aircraft		+34,600
11	UH–60 BLACKHAWK (OCO)	40,500	373,400
	Program Increase for Army National Guard		+80,000
	Three Combat Loss UH–60		+52,500
	Accelerate 12 Aircraft		+200,400
13	CH–47 HELICOPTER (OCO)	70,600	258,400
	Accelerate Six Aircraft		+187,800
16	C12 AIRCRAFT MODS (OCO)	122,340	122,340
17	MQ–1 PAYLOAD UAS (OCO)	3,600	3,600
19	GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP) (OCO)	30,200	6,000
	Authorization Adjustment		−24,200
20	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP) (OCO)	86,200	86,200
21	AH–64 MODS (OCO)	199,200	654,200
	AH–64A to AH–64D Conversion for the Texas and Mississippi National Guard		+455,000
23	CH–47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (OCO)	82,900	66,900
	Cargo On/Off Loading System (COOLS) ahead of need		−16,000
27	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS (OCO)	14,530	14,530
28	KIOWA WARRIOR (OCO)	187,288	160,378
	Fielded Fleet Upgrades		+20,000
	Limit Ramp Rate on Replacement Aircraft		46,910
29	AIRBORNE AVIONICS (OCO)	24,983	24,983
31	RQ–7 UAV MODS (OCO)	97,800	546,500
	Funding Ahead of Need		−1,000
	Transfer from Title III		+497,500
	Ahead of Need		−47,800
36	ASE INFRARED CM (OCO)	197,990	182,990
	Excess to Need		−15,000
38	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT (OCO)	65,627	65,627
40	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL (OCO)	7,555	0
	Unjustified Request		−7,555
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY	1,373,803	2,720,138
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
4	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY (OCO)	190,459	190,459
6	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY (OCO)	112,769	112,769
13	ITAS/TOW MODS (OCO)	40,600	40,600
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY	343,828	343,828
	PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY		
4	STRYKER VEHICLE (OCO)		545,000
	Transfer from Stryker Modifications, line 9		+445,000
	Increase for Stryker Double V Hull		+100,000
9	STRYKER VEHICLE MODS (OCO)	445,000	0
	Transfer to Stryker Vehicle, line 4		−445,000
22	MACHINE GUN, CAL .50, M2 ROLL		79,496
	Transfer from Title III		+79,496
26	MORTAR SYSTEMS (OCO)	8,600	8,600
28	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (OCO) COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION	22,500	22,500
32	(OCO)	100,000	100,000
34	HOWITZER LT WT 155MM (T) (OCO)	62,000	62,000
36	M4 CARBINE MODS (OCO)	12,900	42,900
	Program Increase		+30,000
37	M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS (OCO)	15,000	15,000
40	M119 MODIFICATIONS (OCO)	21,500	21,500
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY	687,500	896,996
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY		
2	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	32,604	13,000
	Per Army Request		−19,604
4	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES (OCO)	128,876	47,000
	Per Army Request		−81,876
5	CTG, 20MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	20,056	10,500
	Per Army Request		−9,556
7	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	23,826	9,500
	Per Army Request		−14,326
8	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	62,700	25,000
	Per Army Request		−37,700
11	120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES (OCO)	120,160	26,900
	APMI Unit Cost Savings		−50,100
	Per Army Request		−43,160
15	CTG, ARTY, 105MM: ALL TYPES (OCO)	37,620	15,000
	Per Army Request		−22,620
16	CTG, ARTY, 155MM: ALL TYPES (OCO)	37,620	15,000
	Per Army Request		−22,620
18	MODULAR ARTILLERY CHARGE SYS, ALL TYPES (OCO)	15,048	6,000
	Per Army Request		−9,048
19	ARTILLERY FUZES, ALL TYPES (OCO)	12,540	5,000
	Per Army Request		−7,540
24	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES (OCO)	17,556	0
	Per Army Request		−17,556
25	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES (OCO)	139,285	139,285
26	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES (OCO)		20,000
	Per Army Request		+20,000
27	GRENADES, ALL TYPES (OCO)	2,000	0
	Per Army Request		−2,000
31	NONLETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES (OCO)	15,000	0
	Per Army Request		−15,000
40	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION, ALL TYPES (OCO)	37,700	37,700
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY	702,591	369,885
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
5	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV) (OCO)	516,350	398,925
	Battle Loss Replacement		+8,875
	Contract Savings		−126,300
7	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (OCO)	188,677	199,809
	Battle Loss Replacement		+11,132
9	ARMORED SECURITY VEHICLES (ASV) (OCO)	52,780	52,780
10	MINE PROTECTION VEHICLE FAMILY (OCO)	136,700	367,678
	Transfer from Title III		+230,978
14	HMMWV RECAPITALIZATION PROGRAM (OCO)	989,067	989,067
15	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP (OCO)	20,000	312,956
	Transfer from Title III		+292,956
24	WIN–T-GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK (OCO)	8,163	8,163

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
27	SHF TERM (OCO)	62,415	62,415
29	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (OCO)	13,500	63,500
.....	Additional DAGRs	.....	+50,000
40	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS—OPA2 (OCO)	3,946	3,946
47	RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY (OCO)	78,253	78,253
48	MEDICAL COMM FOR CBT CASUALTY CARE (OCO)	15,000	15,000
51x	FAMILY OF BIOMETRICS	.....	38,172
.....	Non-MIP Biometrics—Transfer from RDTE,A line 171	.....	+38,172
53	BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS (OCO)	70,000	47,500
.....	Excess to Need	.....	−22,500
55	INFORMATION SYSTEMS (OCO)	.....	55,000
.....	Program Adjustment for Tactical Local Area Network (TACLAN)	.....	+55,000
57	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD (OCO)	413,200	413,200
65	PROPHET GROUND (OCO)	18,900	18,900
70	DCGS–A (MIP) (OCO)	197,092	334,516
.....	Transfer from Title III	.....	+137,424
74	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL (OCO)	52,277	47,377
.....	Excess to Need	.....	−4,900
75	ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (MIP) (OCO)	5,400	5,400
76	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR (OCO)	25,000	10,000
.....	Program Decrease	.....	−15,000
77	WARLOCK (OCO)	225,682	225,682
79	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES (OCO)	455,639	455,639
81	FAAD GBS (OCO)	167,460	167,460
84	NIGHT VISION DEVICES (OCO)	5,019	5,019
89	COUNTER-ROCKET, ARTILLERY & MORTAR (C–RAM) (OCO)	291,400	251,200
.....	Funded Ahead of Need	.....	−40,200
90	BASE EXPEDITIONARY TARGETING & SURV SYS (OCO)	486,050	408,050
.....	Program Decrease	.....	−78,000
95	MOD OF IN–SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS) (OCO)	69,800	69,800
96	FORCE XXI BATTLE CMD BRIGADE & BELOW (OCO)	135,500	135,500
98	LIGHTWEIGHT LASER DESIGNATOR/RANGEFINDER	22,371	22,371
99	COMPUTER BALLISTICS: LHMBC XM32 (OCO)	1,800	1,800
101	COUNTERFIRE RADARS (OCO)	20,000	285,867
.....	Transfer from Title III	.....	+275,867
.....	Funded Ahead of Need	.....	−10,000
103	TACTICAL OPERATIONS CENTERS (OCO)	43,800	43,800
104	FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY (OCO)	566	13,566
.....	Advanced Field Artillery Tactical Data System	.....	+13,000
105	BATTLE COMMAND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT SYS	420	420
108	KNIGHT FAMILY (OCO)	49,744	49,744
110	AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY (OCO)	2,222	2,222
114	NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION & SERVICE (OCO)	5,000	5,000
115	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (OCO)	60,111	60,111
121	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP (OCO)	10,500	10,500
130	PROTECTIVE SYSTEMS (OCO)	5,690	5,690
135	TACTICAL BRIDGING, FLOAT RIBBON (OCO)	3,220	3,220
136	HANDHELD STANDOFF MINEFIELD DETECTION SYSTEM	0	28,000
.....	Transfer from JIEDDO for Proper Execution	.....	+28,000
137	GRND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM (OCO)	191,000	191,000
141	HEATERS AND ECU'S (OCO)	8,708	8,708
149	FORCE PROVIDER (OCO)	261,599	52,499
.....	Excess to Need	.....	−209,100
150	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT (OCO)	29,903	29,903
154	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER (OCO)	55,105	55,105
155	WATER PURIFICATION SYSTEMS (OCO)	12,086	0
.....	Funded Ahead of Need	.....	−12,086
156	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL (OCO)	8,680	8,680
157	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS (OCO)	41,398	41,398
159	GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 (CCE) (OCO)	3,390	3,390
161	SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING (OCO)	3,195	3,195
164	LOADERS (OCO)	1,157	1,157
168	HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR FOS (OCO)	3,750	3,750
170	ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (CONST EQUIP) (OCO)	4,140	4,140
174	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP (OCO)	37,480	37,480
175	ROUGH TERRAIN CONTAINER HANDLER (OCO)	4,562	4,562
177	ALL TERRAIN LIFTING ARMY SYSTEM (OCO)	56,609	58,049
.....	Battle Loss Replacement	.....	+1,440
179	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM (OCO)	28,624	28,624
180	CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER (OCO)	8,200	0
.....	Funded Ahead of Need	.....	−8,200
184	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (OCO)	622	622
186	RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPT EQUIPMENT (OCO)	58,590	38,590
.....	Excess to Need	.....	−20,000
187	PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3) (OCO)	77,000	77,000
192	SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING (OCO)	1,987	1,987
.....	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS (OCO)	775	775
.....	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY	5,827,274	6,423,832
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
3	F/A–18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP)	.....	495,000
.....	Strike Fighter Shortfall Mitigation—Nine Aircraft	.....	+495,000
11	UH–1Y/AH1Z (OCO)	88,500	88,500
19	E–2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP)	.....	175,000
.....	Program Increase—Combat Loss Replacement	.....	+175,000
29	EA–6 SERIES (OCO)	15,000	12,700
.....	Install Equipment Program Adjustment	.....	−2,300
31	AV–8 SERIES (OCO)	72,100	65,371
.....	Pod Upgrade Kits Cost Growth	.....	−1,529
.....	GEN4 Pod Cost Growth	.....	−5,200
32	F–18 SERIES (OCO)	43,250	43,250
34	AH–1W SERIES (OCO)	35,510	35,510
35	H–53 SERIES (OCO)	36,248	27,148
.....	Funded Ahead of Need	.....	−9,100
36	SH–60 SERIES (OCO)	6,430	6,430
39	P–3 SERIES (OCO)	6,000	6,000
48	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT (OCO)	6,100	6,100
53	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT (OCO)	38,700	31,020
.....	Directed Infrared Countermeasures Installation Kit Cost Growth	.....	−7,680
54	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES (OCO)	14,100	14,100
55	COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM (OCO)	10,500	10,500
57	RQ–7 SERIES (OCO)	8,000	8,000
58	V–22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY (OCO)	36,420	36,420
59	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS (OCO)	3,500	208,500
.....	Aviation Spares	.....	+205,000
.....	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY	420,358	1,269,549
	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
5	SIDEWINDER (OCO)	2,923	0
.....	Non-combat Expenditures	.....	−2,923
9	HELLFIRE (OCO)	85,504	85,504
26	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS (OCO)	4,998	4,998

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
.....	TOTAL, WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY	93,425	90,502
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS		
1	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS (OCO)	6,060	0
.....	Contract Delay	.....	−6,060
3	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES (OCO)	76,043	76,043
4	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION (OCO)	69,660	68,660
.....	20mm Linked TP, PGU–27 Cost Growth	.....	−1,000
7	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES (OCO)	33,632	33,632
11	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION (OCO)	455	455
12	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO (OCO)	7,757	7,757
13	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION (OCO)	1,209	1,209
15	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION (OCO)	19,498	19,498
16	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES (OCO)	4,677	4,677
17	40 MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	11,307	11,307
18	60MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	17,150	17,150
19	81MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	27,738	27,738
20	120MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	96,895	96,895
21	CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	990	990
22	GRENADES, ALL TYPES (OCO)	6,137	6,137
23	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES (OCO)	13,543	13,543
24	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES (OCO)	137,118	137,118
25	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES (OCO)	9,296	9,296
26	FUZE, ALL TYPES (OCO)	25,888	25,888
27	NON LETHALS (OCO)	31	31
.....	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS	565,084	558,024
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
25	STANDARD BOATS (OCO)	30,706	23,706
.....	Riverine Patrol Boats—Unjustified Request	.....	−7,000
57	MATCALS (OCO)	27,080	25,080
.....	ASPARCS—Unjustified Cost Growth	.....	−2,000
74	EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION (OCO)	1,800	1,800
94	EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS (OCO)	0	4,600
.....	AM–2 Matting Expeditionary Airfield—Requested Transfer from OM,MC	.....	+4,600
99	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT (OCO)	26,024	10,024
.....	CSEL Excess to Need	.....	−16,000
117	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP (OCO)	132,386	10,386
.....	JCREW—Funding No Longer Required	.....	−122,000
122	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES (OCO)	1,234	1,234
123	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS (OCO)	420	420
124	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP (OCO)	55,474	41,474
.....	Contract Delays	.....	−14,000
126	TACTICAL VEHICLES (OCO)	91,802	91,802
129	ITEMS UNDER $5 MILLION (OCO)	26,016	26,016
131	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT (OCO)	33,659	33,659
137	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (OCO)	2,775	2,775
146	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT (OCO)	46,417	38,917
.....	ATFP Afloat—Ahead of Need	.....	−7,500
149	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS (OCO)	4,942	4,942
.....	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY	480,735	316,835
	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS		
2	LAV PIP (OCO)	152,333	37,573
.....	Baseline Budget Requirement	.....	−114,760
5	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER (OCO)	103,600	103,600
6	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM (OCO)	145,533	145,533
7	WEAPONS & COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER $5 M (OCO)	7,329	7,329
9	MODIFICATION KITS (OCO)	12,000	12,000
10	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM (OCO)	18,571	18,571
16	UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER (OCO)	112,424	112,424
17	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT (OCO)	15,962	38,762
.....	OCO Shortfall—ETMS and Obsolescence Upgrades	.....	+22,800
19	MODIFICATION KITS (OCO)	18,545	3,345
.....	Unexecutable Funding—CESAS	.....	−15,200
20	ITEMS UNDER $5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) (OCO)	11,549	11,549
21	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS (OCO)	41,031	41,031
22	RADAR SYSTEMS (OCO)	5,493	10,993
.....	OCO Shortfall—TPS–59	.....	+5,500
23	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM (OCO)	4,710	4,710
24	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (OCO)	82,897	82,897
26	DCGS–MC (OCO)	21,789	21,789
28	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES (OCO)	29,412	29,412
29	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS (OCO)	36,256	36,256
30	RADIO SYSTEMS (OCO)	155,545	110,545
.....	E–LMR—Not an OCO Requirement	.....	−45,000
31	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS (OCO)	63,280	28,280
.....	Previously Funded UUNS	.....	−35,000
35	5/4T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP) (OCO)	12,994	0
.....	Service Requested Reduction	.....	−12,994
37	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT (OCO)	80,559	80,559
38	LOGISTICS VEHICLE SYSTEM REP (OCO)	109,100	109,100
39	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS (OCO)	22,130	22,130
42	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT (OCO)	17,799	27,399
.....	OCO Shortfall—ECU and SFRS	.....	+9,600
43	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT (OCO)	1,628	16,758
.....	OCO Shortfall—Tank and Pump Modules	.....	+15,130
44	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS (OCO)	83,698	89,498
.....	OCO Shortfall—Liquid Fuel Storage	.....	+5,800
45	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED (OCO)	41,536	41,536
47	EOD SYSTEMS (OCO)	213,985	188,985
.....	Excess to Requirement	.....	−25,000
48	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT (OCO)	5,200	5,200
50	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP (OCO)	58,264	58,264
53	TRAINING DEVICES (OCO)	55,864	55,864
54	CONTAINER FAMILY (OCO)	8,826	8,826
56	FAMILY OF INTERNALLY TRANSPORTABLE VEHICLE (OCO)	28,401	28,401
.....	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS	1,778,243	1,589,119
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
1	F–35 (OCO)	204,900	0
.....	Unjustified Request	.....	−204,900
19	CV–22 (OCO)	.....	70,000
.....	Program Increase—Provides for One Additional Combat Loss Aircraft	.....	+70,000
25	HH–60M OPERATIONAL LOSS REPLACEMENT (OCO)	114,000	417,400
.....	Program Increase (Adds 10 Aircraft, Not Less Than Four for the Air National Guard)	.....	+303,400
26	RQ–11 (OCO)	9,380	9,380
34	MQ–9 (OCO)	216,000	376,814
.....	Spares	.....	−55,186
.....	Transfer 12 Aircraft from Title III	.....	+216,000
37	B–1B (OCO)	8,500	8,500

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
39	A–10 (OCO)	16,500	16,500
44	C–5 (OCO)	73,400	73,400
47	C–17A (OCO)	224,450	176,450
.....	Program Decrease	.....	−48,000
56	KC–10A (ATCA) (OCO)	3,540	3,540
62	C–130 (OCO)	166,720	166,720
63	C–130 MODS INTEL (OCO)	10,900	10,900
66	COMPASS CALL MODS	10,000	10,000
72	H–60 (OCO)	81,000	153,200
.....	Excess to Need for Radars	.....	−61,000
.....	Program Increase—Transportable Blackhawk Operation Simulators	.....	+92,800
.....	Program Increase—Control Display Unit Mission Processors	.....	+12,500
.....	Program Increase—GPS/Inertial Navigation Units	.....	+27,900
75	OTHER AIRCRAFT (OCO)	61,600	61,600
78	MQ–9 PAYLOAD—UAS	45,000	160,383
.....	Transfer from Title III	.....	+115,383
79	CV–22 MODS (OCO)	830	830
80	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	10,900	10,900
98	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES (OCO)	57,500	218,138
.....	Transfer from Title III	.....	+160,638
104	DARP (OCO)	47,300	47,300
.....	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	1,362,420	1,991,955
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
5	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE (OCO)	41,621	41,621
10	AGM–65D MAVERICK (OCO)	15,000	15,000
.....	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	56,621	56,621
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		
2	CARTRIDGES (OCO)	30,801	30,801
4	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS (OCO)	53,192	53,192
5	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION (OCO)	147,991	147,991
11	FLARES (OCO)	20,486	20,486
12	FUZES (OCO)	24,982	24,982
13	SMALL ARMS (OCO)	15,507	15,507
.....	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE	292,959	292,959
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
2	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE (OCO)	7,350	5,350
.....	Contract Savings	.....	−2,000
5	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES (OCO)	15,540	13,540
.....	Uparmored HMMWV—Unjustified Cost Growth	.....	−2,000
11	ITEMS LESS THAN $5,000,000(VEHICLES)(OCO)	690	690
16	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIPMENT (OCO)	1,400	1,400
19	THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPROVEMEN	4,354	4,354
20	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST (OCO)	9,825	0
.....	OS–21 Contract Delays	.....	−9,825
28	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM (OCO)	6,100	6,100
38	USCENTCOM (OCO)	28,784	28,784
44	MILSATCOM SPACE (OCO)	4,300	4,300
46	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM (OCO)	8,200	8,200
47	TACTICAL C–E EQUIPMENT (OCO)	2,552	2,552
52	COMM ELECT MODS (OCO)	470	470
53	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES (OCO)	8,833	4,433
.....	NVCD–NSL Contract Delays	.....	−4,400
57	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (OCO)	131,559	16,759
.....	JCREW Ahead of Need	.....	−114,800
56	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT (OCO)	9,070	9,070
59	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT (OCO)	16,588	16,588
66	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG (OCO)	9,700	9,700
.....	OTHER PROGRAMS (OCO)	2,822,166	2,736,303
.....	Classified Adjustment	.....	−85,863
.....	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	3,087,481	2,868,593
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		
5	DIA SUPT TO CENTCOM INTELLIGENCE ACT (OCO)	27,702	27,702
18	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS (OCO)	1,000	1,000
20	TELEPORT PROGRAM (OCO)	6,191	6,191
23	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM NETWORK (OCO)	520	520
35	AEGIS FIELDING	0	189,720
.....	SM–3 Block IA—Additional 20 Interceptors	.....	+189,720
50	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD (OCO)	5,700	5,700
52	UNDISTRIBUTED, INTELLIGENCE	15,000	15,000
XX	OTHER PROGRAMS (OCO)	323,486	333,675
.....	Classified Adjustment	.....	+10,189
55	ROTARY WING UPGRADES & SUSTAINMENT (OCO)	5,600	5,600
55A	MH–47G	0	28,500
.....	Combat Loss Replacement Aircraft	.....	+28,500
56	MH–47 SERVICE LIFE EXTENSION PROG (OCO)	4,222	15,222
.....	Modifications for Combat Loss Replacement Aircraft	.....	+11,000
57	MH–60 SOF MODERNIZATION (OCO)	0	7,800
.....	Modifications for Combat Loss Replacement Aircraft	.....	+7,800
58	NON-STANDARD AVIATION	0	121,268
.....	Medium NSAV—Transfer from Title III	.....	+121,268
63	CV–22 SOF MODIFICATION	0	15,000
.....	Modifications for Combat Loss Replacement Aircraft	.....	+15,000
64	MQ1 UAS(OCO)	8,202	8,202
65	MQ–9 UAV (OCO)	4,368	4,368
71	SOF ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT (OCO)	75,878	65,878
.....	Execution Delays	.....	−10,000
72	SOF ORDNANCE ACQUISITION (OCO)	49,776	49,776
73	COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT & ELECTRONICS (OCO)	9,417	31,817
.....	Program Increase—Unfunded Requirement	.....	22,400
74	SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS (OCO)	149,406	81,306
.....	Leased Aircraft—Unjustified Request	.....	−42,800
.....	HF–TTL Baseline Budget Requirement	.....	−25,300
81	TACTICAL VEHICLES (OCO)	36,262	91,262
.....	Program Increase—Unfunded Requirement	.....	+55,000
83	COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS (OCO)	30,000	0
.....	OCO Program Growth	.....	−30,000
88	SOF AUTOMATION SYSTEMS (OCO)	1,291	1,291
90	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE (OCO)	25,000	25,000
92	SOF VISUAL AUGMENTATION, LASERS & SENSORS (OCO)	3,200	22,700
.....	Program Increase—Unfunded Requirement	.....	+19,500
93	SOF TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEMS (OCO)	3,985	3,985
96	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT (OCO)	5,530	5,530
97	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS (OCO)	79,869	95,545
.....	Program Increase—Unfunded Requirement	.....	+51,376
.....	Requirement Addressed by Reprogramming	.....	−35,700
.....	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	2,941	2,941

P–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
.....	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE	874,546	1,262,499
	NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT		
.....	NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT	0	850,000
.....	Program Increase—Army Reserve	.....	+140,000
.....	Program Increase—Navy Reserve	.....	+70,000
.....	Program Increase—Marine Corps Reserve	.....	+70,000
.....	Program Increase—Air Force Reserve	.....	+70,000
.....	Program Increase—Army National Guard	.....	+250,000
.....	Program Increase—Air National Guard	.....	+250,000
	MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROTECTED VEHICLE FUND		
.....	MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROTECTED VEHICLE FUND	3,415,000	3,415,000
.....	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT	21,361,868	25,316,335

R–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY		
48	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY (OCO)	0	23,100
.....	Program increase—Aviation night and limited visibility sensor demonstration	.....	+23,100
60	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY (OCO)	57,900	14,900
.....	HFDS—Transfer to line 75 for execution at request of the Army	.....	−48,000
.....	REF—Transfer from Title IV for OCO requirement	.....	+5,000
61	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—ADV DEV	0	7,800
.....	Transfer from JIEDDO—Air Vigilance	.....	+7,800
75	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT (OCO)	5,400	48,000
.....	HFDS Transfer from line 60 for execution at request of the Army	.....	+48,000
.....	Long-term development effort	.....	−5,400
77	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM (OCO)	8,100	8,100
171	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (OCO)	63,306	0
.....	Protected Information—Biometrics—Transfer to line 171x	.....	−25,134
.....	Transfer to OP,A line 51 at request of the Army	.....	−38,172
171x	FAMILY OF BIOMETRICS	0	25,134
.....	Non-MIP Biometrics—Transfer from line 171	.....	+25,134
178	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS (OCO)	16,200	16,200
.....	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY	150,906	143,234
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY		
19	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY (OCO)	14,100	10,680
.....	Unjustified request	.....	−3,420
53	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT (OCO)	1,000	1,000
75	JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE (OCO)	0	11,800
.....	Network Enabled EW—Transfer from JIEDDO	.....	+11,800
124	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT (OCO)	300	300
153	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT (OCO)	5,200	5,200
204	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	0	36,000
.....	Transfer from OM,MC for Qualitative Risk Assessment	.....	+36,000
213	RQ–7 UAV (OCO)	6,900	6,900
999	OTHER PROGRAMS (OCO)	32,901	32,901
.....	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY	60,401	104,781
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE		
17	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS	0	56,000
.....	Blue Devil Block 2—Transfer from JIEDDO	.....	+56,000
36	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY (OCO)	16,000	16,000
66	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE (OCO)	30,000	30,000
128	MQ9 UAV (OCO)	0	88,500
.....	VADER/DDR on MQ-9—Transfer from JIEDDO	.....	+88,500
145	CSAF INNOVATION PROGRAM (OR ISR INNOVATIONS)	0	112,000
.....	ISR Sensor Pilot Program	.....	+112,000
164	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS (OCO)	4,443	4,443
211	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGETING (OCO)	6,100	6,100
230	SPECIAL TACTICS/COMBAT CONTROL (OCO)	10,325	10,325
999	OTHER PROGRAMS (OCO)	199,373	161,014
.....	Classified Adjustment	.....	−38,359
.....	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE	266,241	484,382
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE		
56	DARPA SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	0	40,000
.....	Transfer from JIEDDO—Wide Area Surveillance Development Roadmap	.....	+40,000
197	LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS DCS (OCO)	23,125	23,125
202	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (OCO)	750	750
254	SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT (OCO)	9,440	9,440
255	SOF Operational Enhancements	0	14,500
.....	Transfer from JIEDDO—EW Family of Systems	.....	+14,500
999	OTHER PROGRAMS (OCO)	123,925	134,801
.....	Classified Adjustment	.....	+3,376
.....	Transfer from JIEDDO—Wallaby	.....	+7,500
.....	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	157,240	222,616
.....	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION	634,788	955,013
	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM		
.....	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,398,092	1,398,092
.....	IN-HOUSE CARE	709,004	709,004
.....	PRIVATE SECTOR CARE	538,376	538,376
.....	CONSOLIDATED HEALTH CARE	128,412	128,412
.....	INFORMATION MANAGEMENT/IT	2,286	2,286
.....	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS	518	518
.....	EDUCATION AND TRAINING	18,061	18,061
.....	BASE OPERATIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS	1,435	1,435
.....	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	0	24,000
.....	Blast Recovery Monitors—Transfer from JIEDDO	.....	+8,000
.....	Body Blood Flow Monitor—Transfer from JIEDDO	.....	+9,000
.....	EMF Blast Pulse Effects—Transfer from JIEDDO	.....	+7,000
.....	TOTAL, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	1,398,092	1,422,092
	DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE		
.....	AFGHANISTAN AIR MOBILITY	141,634	141,634
.....	AFGHANISTAN BORDER FACILITIES	5,000	5,000
.....	AFGHANISTAN BORDER POLICE EQUIP	19,500	19,500
.....	AFGHANISTAN BORDER TRAINING	20,000	20,000
.....	CENTCOM SUPPORT—AFGHANISTAN COUNTER NARCOTICS POLICE AFGHANISTAN	3,000	3,000
.....	FACILITIES	25,295	25,295
.....	TRAINING COUNTER NARCOTICS POLICE AFGHANISTAN (CNP–A)	50,250	50,250

R–1		Budget Request	Recommendation
	EQUIPMENT	1,241	1,241
	INTELLIGENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	61,500	−56,900
	Program Adjustment		−4,600
	PAKISTAN	49,590	49,590
	KAZAKHSTAN	7,850	7,850
	KYRGYZSTAN	27,900	27,900
	TAJIKISTAN	8,500	8,500
	TURKMENISTAN	10,350	10,350
	UZBEKISTAN	8,500	8,500
	YEMEN	17,000	17,000
	PROGRAM ADJUSTMENT		−12,000
	TOTAL, DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE	457,110	440,510
	JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND		
1	ATTACK THE NETWORK	1,434,400	765,200
	Transfer to Staff and Infrastructure for proper execution		−238,800
	Air Vigilance—outside JIEDDO mission—Transfer to RDTE,A line 61 and OM,A line 411 for proper execution		−13,200
	Blue Devil Block 2—Transfer to RDTE,AF line 17 for proper execution		−56,000
	Copperhead—program terminated		−125,000
	Electronic Warfare Family of Systems (EW FoS)—Transfer to SOCOM, RDTE,DW for proper execution		−14,500
	JUON Reserve		+100,000
	Solar ISE—outside JIEDDO mission		−7,000
	Synchronization and Integration WTI Cell—Transfer to OM,A SAG 135 and OM,DW for proper execution		−6,400
	Thermal Station (National IED Exploitation Facility (NIEF))—Transfer to OM,A SAG 135 for proper execution		−13,000
	VADER development—Transfer $88.5 million to RDTE,AF line 128		−241,800
	Wallaby—Transfer to RDTE,DW for proper execution		−7,500
	Wide Area Surveillance Development Roadmap (WASDP)—Transfer to DARPA for proper execution		−40,000
	Wolfhound II—Transfer to OM,DW for proper execution		−6,000
2	DEFEAT THE DEVICE	1,529,390	1,223,090
	ACES HY Roadmap—Program terminated		−28,000
	Transfer to Staff and Infrastructure for proper execution		−105,000
	Beachcomber—Transfer to OM,A SAG 135 for proper execution		−3,000
	Counter Bomber—Transfer to OM,A SAG 135, OM,N, OM,MC and OM,AF for proper execution		−3,000
	CREW—SSM—Universal Test Set Transfer to OM,A SAG 135, OM,N and OM,MC for proper execution		−5,000
	JUON Reserve		−105,000
	Networked Enabled EW—Transfer to RDTE,N line 75 for proper execution		−11,800
	Personnel Borne IED/Vehicle Borne IED (PBIED/VBIED)—Transfer to OP,A line 136 for proper execution		−28,000
	Starlite Development Program—Program terminated		−16,000
	Transfer to OM,A SAG 135 and OM,MC for proper execution		−1,500
3	TRAIN THE FORCE	286,210	170,410
	Transfer to Staff and Infrastructure for proper execution		−75,400
	Blast Recovery Monitors—Transfer to DHP RDTE for proper execution		−8,000
	Body Blood Flow Monitor—Transfer to DHP RDTE for proper execution		−9,000
	EMF Blast Pulse Effects—Transfer to DHP RDTE for proper execution		−7,000
	Technical Collection Training Program—Transfer to OM,A SAG 135 for proper execution		−16,400
4	STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE	0	635,068
	Transfer from Title VI		+215,868
	Transfer from Attack the Network for proper execution		+238,800
	Transfer from Defeat the Device for proper execution		+105,000
	Transfer from Train the Force for proper execution		+75,400
	TOTAL, JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND	3,250,000	2,793,768
	OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL		
	OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL	10,529	10,529
	OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL	10,529	10,529
	TOTAL, OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS	5,115,731	4,666,899

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Nevada.

Mr. ENSIGN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that speakers on the Republican side be limited to 10 minutes each, with Senator COBURN controlling up to 25 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. ENSIGN. Mr. President, I rise to speak on the two proposals, the Democratic proposal and the Republican proposal from the House known as H.R. 1. I am going to reluctantly support H.R. 1. It reduces government spending by about $61 billion below last year's levels. The reason I am reluctantly supporting it is because I don't think it goes far enough.

We have heard the other side rail that the cuts are too large, but let me bring to the attention of my colleagues a few quotes.

This is from ADM Mike Mullen, Chairman of Joint Chiefs of Staff:

I believe that our debt is the greatest threat to our national security.

We know our national debt is over $14 trillion. This year, we are spending, in excess of what we take in, almost $1.6 trillion. All we are talking about in the House bill is reducing that amount by $61 billion—a paltry amount.

A few other quotes.

This is from Treasury Secretary Timothy Geithner on February 17:

It is an excessively high interest burden. It's unsustainable. With the President's plan, even if Congress were to enact it, and even if Congress were to hold to it and reduce those deficits as a percentage of GDP over the next 5 years, we would still be left with a very large interest burden and unsustainable obligations over time.

He also said:

Our deficits are too high. They are unsustainable.

I think everybody agrees.

They are unsustainable and, if left unaddressed, these deficits will hurt economic growth and make us weaker as a nation.

One of the bills before the Senate starts to address it. The other bill virtually ignores the deficit.

This is from the President:

What my budget does is to put forward some tough choices, some significant spending cuts so that by the middle of this decade our annual spending will match our annual revenues. We will not be adding more to the national debt.

It is absolutely incredible that the President could make such a comment when looking at his budget. His budget takes us from $14 trillion in debt to $27 trillion in debt over the next decade, almost doubling the national debt. He says we are going to be living within our means?

Here is a graph. In 2010, we are at about $13.5 trillion. We see that over the decade we go up further, further, and down here in 2021, it is $26.3 trillion. This is virtually a doubling of the national debt.

That is why when Timothy Geithner says it is unsustainable—the Secretary of the Treasury appointed by President Obama—we all agree. So when are we going to get spending under control? We literally have to quit spending money we do not have because we are bankrupting the very future of America.

I wish to quote a few Senators from the other side of the aisle.

Senator JOE MANCHIN said:

The most powerful person in these negotiations, our President, has failed to lead this debate or offer a serious proposal for spending cuts.

He also said:

[The Democratic bill] utterly ignores our fiscal reality, that our Nation is badly in debt and spending at absolutely unsustainable and out-of-control levels. We must turn our financial ship around. But the Senate proposal continues to sail forward as if there is no storm on the horizon.

That is from one of our Democratic colleagues from West Virginia.

The bill proposed by the Democratic majority fails to understand that there is a fiscal crisis in this country. It is a problem of spending.

Senator CLAIRE MCCASKILL of Missouri said:

> I feel strongly that the cuts are not large enough.

Senator MARK WARNER said:

> At some point we need to send some kind of a shock wave across the Federal Government that this time we really mean it.

He was talking about spending cuts. He was talking about getting serious about deficit reduction.

The House bill doesn't do enough, but at least it is headed more in the right direction for getting spending under control. While I might not agree with every one of the spending cuts in it, it is going in the right direction, and shows what we need to do as a Congress. The bill the majority has put before us shows a lack of understanding as to how serious the deficit and the debt are as an issue for the country.

I wish to put this deficit reduction into some sort of context. This year, the Congressional Budget Office says we will spend $1.5 trillion more than we take in. That is what the deficit is this year. According to the President, it is over $1.6 trillion. Those are their estimates. The bottom line is that we are spending about 40 cents more per dollar than what we take in.

This graph shows the spending proposals before us. This is how much the deficit is. The House bill will reduce that deficit by this tiny slice of the pie. The Democratic majority bill will reduce it by this little tiny slice of the pie right here. So the House bill is a small slice, but at least it is a larger slice than what the Democratic majority has offered. The bottom line is that this is pathetic and will do nothing to actually put us on a sustainable fiscal path where we can start living within our means and quit spending money we do not have.

The House bill itself is actually a 4-percent reduction in the amount of money we are borrowing. If we think about it, this year, since we are borrowing 40 cents out of every dollar we spend, to put that in terms that maybe a family would understand, it would be as if a family making $60,000 a year were going to spend $100,000. Any family would understand that is unsustainable. They could not continue along that path. If that same family were to decrease their spending habits by the same amount the Democrats have proposed, out of that $100,000, they would reduce their spending habits by $168. That is all. That is how pathetic this spending reduction is offered by the other side.

We have to get serious. Recently, Senator COBURN requested a General Accountability Office report that came back and identified over $100 billion in duplicative and wasteful spending programs. This GAO report underscores the negligence of the Federal Government when it comes to managing hard-earned taxpayer dollars.

Let me give a couple of facts from that report. It said that the government spends $18 billion on 47 different job-training programs. Yet the President requested another $400 million for a new program that will replicate proven strategies to develop even more job-training programs. Out of the 47 programs, zero are measured for effectiveness. Yet we are going to create more instead of eliminating a lot of the programs and doing the proper oversight this Congress should be doing.

There are 80 programs providing transportation to disadvantaged persons in 8 different departments. The GAO found $2 billion in costs for just 29 of these programs but, with the extent of fragmentation in this area, was unable to identify total costs for the other 51 programs. In other words, they couldn't even identify what the total costs were for these other programs. That is how messed up it is.

The U.S. Government also spends about $63 billion on 18 different domestic food and nutrition programs and about $3 billion on 20 homelessness programs. The report notes:

> This can create unnecessary work for both providers and applicants and may result in the use of more administrative resources than needed.

Let me translate. That means we have too much bureaucracy and too much wasteful spending, so the money doesn't actually get to the people it is intended to help. It gets spent in the bureaucracy.

We also have another almost $60 billion spent on over 100 duplicated and fragmented surface transportation programs.

While I am troubled that the $61 billion from the House isn't enough to tackle the problem, I am astounded by what the other side of the aisle has done. It also continues many of the wasteful programs we have talked about.

The Corporation for Public Broadcasting has come under fire. Obviously, this morning their CEO resigned. We have seen the controversies there.

Their bill also spends tens of millions of dollars to help unions organize—overseas, not even in America. Helping unions organize overseas—is that what we want to be doing with American taxpayer dollars?

Today's votes are a choice between modest progress and making the problem worse.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator has used 10 minutes.

Mr. ENSIGN. I ask unanimous consent for 1 additional minute.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. ENSIGN. The House bill needs to be the floor for what we accomplish out of this process. The House bill isn't nearly enough, and we cannot allow this process to capture a modest improvement in the name of compromise by watering it down to a complete abdication of leadership. The stakes are too high.

Today, I will be reluctantly supporting H.R. 1, the House bill, which cuts $61 billion from last year's spending. It is a modest step in the right direction. The other side has put forward a proposal that should be rejected out of hand because it is completely inadequate. It keeps us spending money we do not have.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New York.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak for up to 2 minutes and for Senator LEAHY to be recognized following my remarks for 10 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I wouldn't mind the chart from my friend from Nevada staying up because it makes my point.

In a few hours, the Senate will hold an up-or-down vote on H.R. 1, the House Republicans' scorched earth spending proposal that counts among its casualties such critical priorities as border security, cancer research, and food safety inspectors. The House GOP proposal is a Trojan horse, and we will not be fooled by it. It speaks in the name of deficit reduction, but the dirty little secret about the Republican spending plan is that once the dust is settled, it would only decrease the deficit by $5 billion in fiscal year 2011. When we look at the CBO score of the continuing resolution we are operating under and compare that to the House spending bill, the difference by CBO in budget outlays only amounts to $5 billion in fiscal year 2011. We are talking about a difference of $1.36 trillion in budget outlays under the current CR versus $1.355 trillion in budget outlays under the Republican proposal, much as the chart of my colleague from Nevada has shown. In other words, all of the cuts the Republicans are currently proposing will shave a grand total of .3 percent from the deficit.

Some might say it is a start, but in relation to the damage these cuts will do, it is a meaningless start. Their cuts to domestic discretionary spending will do nothing to create jobs or spur short-term economic growth. In fact, the reverse is true. As numerous independent economists point out, we will see a reduction in economic growth almost immediately if H.R. 1 is enacted, and these cuts will harm our ability to prepare for the future because they gut the very priorities we need to invest in to help our economy grow: education, energy investment, technology, and infrastructure.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator's time has expired.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent I be given 2 additional minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. SCHUMER. So if all these cuts will not improve the economy in the near term and will not help economic

growth in the longer term and will not cut the deficit, then exactly what will they do? They will satisfy a very small but vocal segment of the Republican Party. That is all.

So it is time for a reset. This morning I called for a reset of this budget debate. I think it is important that after today's votes both sides in the debate take a deep collective breath. We should all take stock of how the discussion up to now has become distorted and seek to reset the terms of the debate. It may not happen tomorrow, but in the coming weeks, as the negotiations led by the White House reconvene, we should approach the talks with fresh eyes and a new mindset.

Rather than continuing the fixation on domestic discretionary cuts, which at the same time do huge damage and cut the deficit very little just because of the way they are spent, the next offer and counteroffer should include mandatory cuts and revenue raisers such as oil royalties into the mix.

We will only put a dent in the deficit through shared sacrifice. Focusing simply on domestic discretionary and even leaving out the military will not achieve our goal of deficit reduction. Including mandatory cuts and revenue raisers such as oil royalties will.

The bottom line is this: The blame for the current breakdown in budget negotiations rests with our failure to think big. A bipartisan compromise simply will not be found in discretionary spending cuts alone. We must broaden the playing field. The solution will only come from putting other kinds of cuts, as well as revenue enhancements, on the table. Doing this will also set the table for the larger budget discussions still to come.

I see my colleague from Vermont, so I am ready to yield the floor to him.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I appreciate that. But I think what we are going to do is try to go back and forth.

Mr. SCHUMER. Well, I yield the floor in any case, Mr. President.

Mr. LEAHY. So Senator SESSIONS will go next. But I appreciate the courtesy of the Senator from New York. I yield with the consent that I then be recognized at the end of the speech of the Senator from Alabama.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from Alabama.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I thank the distinguished chairman of the Judiciary Committee and congratulate him on a very successful patent bill that passed with an overwhelming vote. I was pleased to work with him on that as a partner for 2 years when I was ranking member of that committee. I think it was a good day.

Mr. President, we will soon be moving toward a vote on the continuing resolution. Apparently, there are going to be two options given to us. The question I would pose to our colleagues and to the American people is, Do we have to do something or can we do nothing? Is nothing an option? That is what the Democratic proposal is—nothing, zero, nada.

So we had in the Budget Committee, which I am the ranking member now, the testimony yesterday of Alan Simpson and Erskine Bowles. Senator Simpson is a Republican from Wyoming, and Mr. Bowles was President Clinton's Chief of Staff and a well-known business and Democratic leader.

This is what they told us yesterday in their written statement. Both of them put this in to us:

> We believe that if we do not take decisive action our nation faces the most predictable economic crisis in its history.

They have spent months wrestling with these numbers. A majority of the members voted for the reforms they proposed, and they gave a lot of time and effort to it. I did not think they went far enough in some of the areas. But I would say they made a real significant attempt to deal with the crisis we face.

In their testimony yesterday they went even further. What do we mean, a ''crisis''? We had a crisis in 2007. That put us in the deepest recession we have had in decades. Greece has had a crisis. That is the kind of thing they are talking about. Forty percent of every dollar we spend is borrowed.

Senator CONRAD, our chairman, our distinguished Democratic leader, asked them:

> What happens, in your judgment, to the U.S., if we fail to get an agreement in the range of what the commission concluded is necessary?

The commission proposes a $4 trillion reduction in our deficit spending over the next 10 years. It should be more. That is what they proposed. President Obama's budget says it reduces it by $1 trillion. But when the CBO scores it, they are going to find it is filled with gimmicks and there will not be any reduction, I predict, in the deficit in the Obama budget, which is disappointing. It is a do-nothing-about-the-debt-problem budget.

So what is going to happen?

Mr. Bowles:

> This problem is going to happen, it is a problem, we're going to have to face up to, in maybe 2 years, maybe a little less, maybe a little more.

Senator Simpson commented:

> I think it will come before 2 years.

We are talking about a crisis.

> I'm just saying at some point, I think within a year, at the end of the year, if they [people who hold our debt] just thought you're playing with fluff—5, 6, 7 percent of this hole—they're going to say, ''I want some money for my paper.'' And if there's anything money guys love, it's money. And money guys, when they start losing money, panic. And let me tell you they will. It won't matter what the government does, they'll say I want my money, I've got a better place for it. . . . Just saying for me, it won't be a year [before we have a crisis].

Well, this is a serious matter. It is not a do-nothing circumstance. So we have a simple choice to make today: Do we take a step, even a small step, that sends a signal to the world that we intend to take action to prevent the crisis, not act after a disaster hits? Or we could do nothing, as the Democratic proposal does.

The Republican proposal will immediately lower spending by $61 billion for the rest of the year. That is a reduction of about 6 percent of the discretionary spending budget. Most States, cities, and counties in America have had bigger deductions than that, and they are still here. They have not ceased to exist, and we are not going to cease to exist if we reduce spending 6 percent. But it will make a difference. That amounts to 4 percent of the total debt. As I will show in a moment, it means a lot more than that.

The Democratic proposal proposes $6 billion, but it is clearly only a $4 billion reduction. That is less than a one-half-of-1-percent reduction in the discretionary spending budget—less than one-half of 1 percent.

Now, this $61 billion is not going to break us. The GAO recently found that the government spends $8 billion on 47 different job training programs—47 different job training programs. We don't have any ability to save money and do more with less in this country? No business would run the way we run the U.S. Government, and this is just one of the typical kinds of duplication and waste that goes on in our government.

We are living in a fantasy world if we think we cannot find $61 billion to reduce out of more than $1 trillion in a discretionary budget. Under President Obama, the discretionary spending increased 24 percent in the last 2 years. It has already gone up 24 percent.

What do you mean we cannot take a 6-percent reduction? We are facing a crisis, a debt crisis. Families across the country are trimming their budgets. They are doing so every day. Washington just keeps on growing and spending and growing.

We had the Education Secretary in the Budget Committee last week. They propose an 11-percent increase in education spending this year. Energy was in—a 9.5-percent increase this next year for energy, they say. And, hold your hat, the Secretary of Transportation was in and proposes a 62-percent transportation budget increase.

So this is where we were, as shown on this chart: over $2 trillion, and we have jumped now to $3.7 trillion. So that is a 24-percent increase. I am not making up these numbers.

Well, what about the deficit numbers? This year, we spend $3.7 trillion, maybe $3.8 trillion. Do you want to know how much our revenue is this year? Mr. President, $2.2 trillion. I know this is unbelievable. The American people probably cannot imagine that we are spending $3.8 trillion and taking in $2.2 trillion, but it is true. Forty cents of every dollar we spend is borrowed. This is why Mr. Bowles and Mr. Simpson and every economist who has ever testified has said we are on an unsustainable path, a path that cannot be continued.

We need to take action now. This is not enough. But it is a step. I think it sends a word to the world's financial markets, the bond vigilantes, that maybe the United States is, in fact, on the road to doing something about the spending we are in.

Our debt will soon be larger than the economy. It will exceed 100 percent of GDP by the end of this fiscal year, amazingly surging our debt load for the whole country. We cannot keep spending what we do not have, borrowing what we cannot pay back. We cannot do this.

Our crushing debt burden is like an anchor dragging on our economy. It slows growth. As the Rogoff and Reinhart study showed, as Secretary of Treasury Geithner acknowledged in the committee, it is already slowing our growth. He also added it is worse than that because it puts us at risk, as Mr. Bowles and Mr. Simpson say, for some sort of debt crisis. It is unpredictable when and how it might occur. That is President Obama's Secretary of the Treasury.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Member's time has expired.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have 2 additional minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. SESSIONS. So we cannot keep delaying. We cannot keep promising to do something tomorrow. We have to have a vote. We will have a vote today. We need to act today. A vote for the Democratic proposal is a vote to do nothing. It is a vote to stay in denial. It is a vote that says deficits do not matter, we can just keep on. But deficits do matter. They have always mattered. They always will matter.

Some say you cannot make any savings from reducing discretionary spending. Let me show this chart because a $61 billion reduction is a reduction of the baseline. When you reduce the baseline, you save that amount every year, even if you have growth in the future years. And it adds up. It is kind of a geometrical reduction in spending and debt that we have to have, and it has been working the other way. We have been increasing dramatically. You know from your business accounting that a 7-percent return on your money doubles your money in 10 years.

We had 24 percent the last 2 years. That is why the government is doubling and quadrupling in size. But this would show, according to our budget staff and the calculators, if you reduce the baseline $61 billion in discretionary spending alone, it would save $862 billion in deficit reduction over 10 years. If we were to freeze that baseline in for just 5 years, not only would we save $860 billion, but $1.65 trillion—enough money to make a real difference in one little act of $61 billion in the reduction of discretionary spending. We have to take that step.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The time of the Senator has expired.

Mr. SESSIONS. I thank the Presiding Officer.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Vermont.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I appreciate the help of the Senator from Alabama on the patent bill which passed last night. It will help us increase jobs without adding anything to the deficit.

We made what I think was a terrible mistake when the Congress voted to support going to war in Iraq—I was one of the 22 who voted against that war—and then voted to cut taxes and borrow the money to pay for the war in Iraq. We borrowed $1 trillion to pay for a war that has not made us safer. It has caused thousands of deaths of Americans and tens of thousands of others, and has degraded our military which will cost billions to rebuild.

We also went into Afghanistan with the intent to catch Osama bin Laden, and when, according to accounts, he was surrounded, our personnel were yanked out of Afghanistan and sent to Iraq, and he escaped into Pakistan, and $1 trillion later, we are still there. Again, borrowed money for those two wars, one that went way beyond whatever it was supposed to and the other one that should never have happened in the first place. We also cut taxes on oil companies and millionaires and everybody else. And now we have a skyrocketing deficit, thanks to those mistakes.

Later today we will vote on H.R. 1, the House Continuing Resolution, and then the Senate substitute. I want to speak briefly about how the Senate CR compares to H.R. 1, particularly funding for the Department of State and Foreign Operations.

First, Senators should know what is in the House CR or, perhaps more importantly, what is not in it.

It is notable that the House defines diplomacy and international development as non-security spending, in spite of, of course, the integral part they both play protecting our security around the globe. It ignores the views of Secretary of State Clinton, Secretary of Defense Gates, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs Admiral Mullen, former Chairman General Powell, General Petraeus, President Obama, former Presidents George H.W. Bush and George W. Bush, and every former National Security Adviser. They have all made clear that these investments do directly protect U.S. security interests, not only on the front line States of Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq, but around the world.

President Reagan and former Homeland Security Secretary Ridge also recognized the connection between international assistance and our security. President Reagan said:

> Security assistance programs, an essential complement to our defense effort, directly enhances the security of the United States.

Secretary Ridge said:

> The programs supported by the International Affairs Budget are as essential to our national security as defense programs.

Secretary Gates said:

> I never miss an opportunity to call for more funding for and emphasis on diplomacy and development.

There are a whole lot of other examples, from both Republican and Democratic leaders, which seem to have fallen on deaf ears in the House.

Our Republican friends in the House should know that we cannot counter the influence of al-Qaida and other violent extremists through military force alone. They should know that helping countries such as Southern Sudan rebuild after conflict, building stable democratic institutions in countries such as Egypt, preventing the trafficking of nuclear material and other weapons in the former Soviet Union, educating and providing jobs for youth who would otherwise be fodder for terrorist recruiters in the Middle East, combating the corrosive influence of organized crime in Central America, preventing the spread of deadly viruses in Africa and Asia—viruses that are only one airplane ride away from the United States—or supporting NATO, the International Atomic Energy Agency, or U.N. peacekeeping—these are all parts of our national security. It is the diplomats here and abroad, and the funds they administer, that help make U.S. leadership possible around the world.

While the House press releases claim to adequately fund operations and programs in Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq, that is empty rhetoric. Secretary Clinton has said the House CR will unacceptably harm U.S. interests in those countries.

That is only the beginning. The House CR slashes funding for refugees and other victims of disaster by 40 percent, at the same time Members on the other side of the aisle are rightly urging that we help the tens of thousands of Libyans, Tunisians and Egyptians who have fled their homes.

The House CR provides no funding for the Global Food Security Fund which prevents hunger and famine in Africa and Asia and improves America's standing.

It eliminates funding for the Clean Technology Fund which supports exports of solar, wind, and other renewable energy. And by doing so it opens the door wider to China's exports. How shortsighted can we be? It is like owning a business and you have a competitor on the other side of town and you say, Well, we are not going to advertise. We are not going to stock our shelves. We are only going to be open a couple of days a week. Gosh, I hope that competitor doesn't drive us out of business.

It drastically reduces funding to operate our embassies and consulates, which every American traveling, working, or studying overseas depends on. Every one of us as Members of Congress knows when an American constituent has a problem somewhere we turn to our embassies or our consulates to help them. H.R. 1 would slash their funding.

H.R. 1 would also sharply cut funding for global health programs: HIV/AIDS, malaria, tuberculosis, and other deadly diseases—denying life-saving drugs and other services to hundreds of thousands of people, condemning women and children in other parts of the world to death.

It would renege on our treaty obligations to the U.N. and to the international financial institutions, threatening our voting shares which our competitors—including China—are eager to purchase.

At a time when China is rapidly expanding its influence globally, the House would have us pull back and say, you take over. You can be the power that other countries turn to, not the United States. Even Great Britain's conservative government, slashing spending left and right, is exempting, and even increasing, international aid, because unlike our Republican friends in the House, they recognize it is a matter of national security.

The impact of H.R. 1 is equally devastating to our domestic programs. From the social safety net to programs that maintain and expand our country's infrastructure, these programs would be slashed.

Numerous economists, from Federal Reserve Chairman Bernanke to Mark Zandi, recognize that the impact of H.R. 1 will be the loss of hundreds of thousands of jobs, at a time when our economy is beginning to recover. I hear this daily from fellow Vermonters. Take, for example, the mother who came into my Montpelier office a few months ago and explained how the Head Start Program changed her life. Not only did Head Start provide a reliable, safe, educational environment for her children, it made it possible for her to pursue a college education and be a strong tax-paying part of our society. But H.R. 1 would deny more than 300 of Vermont's children and families these same opportunities.

For those unmoved by cuts to Head Start, H.R. 1 would also devastate one of our best economic development tools: the community development block grant program.

CDBG has a proven track record of putting people to work through housing construction, public service improvement projects and downtown revitalization efforts. The 62-percent cut to CDBG in H.R. 1 would greatly hamper Vermont's ability to move these types of projects forward at a time when they are needed more than ever.

In the past 3 weeks I have heard from hundreds of Vermonters who rely on the community services block grant program, which would also be slashed in H.R. 1. This is a program that serves 55,000 Vermonters whose incomes are at or below the poverty line—some of my State's most vulnerable people. The impact of this cut would shutter as many as six of our largest food shelves, and eliminate assistance for the thousands of Vermonters looking for housing and heating assistance each year.

These are only three of the domestic programs that would be decimated by H.R. 1, part of a veritable laundry list of cuts that target the very programs that give Americans a hand up. Very simply we are talking about cutting, food, shelter, and heat, the basic necessities of life.

Turning again to national security, a frequently asked question is how does the Senate CR compare to the fiscal year 2010 level for the Department of State and foreign operations? The answer depends on who you ask.

In fiscal year 2010, the Department of State and foreign operations received close to $48.8 billion in regular appropriations and $4.1 billion in emergency supplemental funds for these purposes in Afghanistan, Pakistan, Iraq, and Mexico. In addition, the fiscal year 2009 emergency supplemental provided about $2 billion for fiscal year 2010 costs related to Iraq, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Egypt, Israel, Jordan, and Mexico. In other words, these programs received $54.9 billion for fiscal year 2010.

While the Senate CR funding level is $1.38 billion or 2.8 percent above the fiscal year 2010 regular appropriation for the Department of State and foreign operations, it is $4.75 billion or 8.7 percent below the fiscal year 2010 level of $54.9 billion which supports operations and programs that must be continued in fiscal year 2011, a critical fact wholly ignored by the House.

Although even the Senate CR cuts funding for the Department of State and foreign operations by billions of dollars, rather than the slash-and-burn approach of the House, it does so in a manner that seeks to limit the damage to our national security. Here are a few of the ways the Senate CR does that.

Although the Senate CR cuts the State Department's operations budget by $606 million below the request, it provides $552 million above the amount in H.R. 1. These funds support U.S. embassies and consulates, as well as the State Department's diplomatic personnel and operations in Afghanistan, Pakistan and Iraq.

The Senate CR provides $1.5 billion for worldwide security protection. Although $25 million below the request, this is $44.4 million above the amount provided in H.R. 1. This funds diplomatic security agents, armored vehicles, and training to protect U.S. personnel working in dangerous places overseas. It also, incidentally, protects Members of Congress who travel abroad.

The Senate CR provides $625 million for educational and cultural exchange programs, which is $8.2 million below the request and $123.6 million above the amount provided in H.R. 1. These funds, which have traditionally been strongly supported by Republicans and Democrats particularly since 9/11, support exchanges between Americans and citizens of other countries, including the Fulbright, International Visitor Leadership, and Citizen Exchange programs. The House CR would result in the elimination of over 2,500 American exchanges and 8,600 foreign citizen exchanges.

The Senate CR provides $2 billion for U.S. contributions to international peacekeeping, which is $87.3 million below the request and $196.5 million above the amount provided in H.R. 1. These funds pay for peacekeepers in the Sinai, Lebanon, Haiti, Congo, and many other countries that might otherwise descend into chaos and potentially require the deployment of U.S. troops at far greater risk and expense.

The Senate CR provides $1.5 billion for U.S. contributions to international organizations, which is $50 million below the request and $28.5 million above the amount provided in H.R. 1. This funds U.S. membership in the United Nations, NATO, the International Atomic Energy Agency, World Health Organization, and other international organizations that directly protect our security.

The Senate CR provides $39.5 million for the U.S. Institute for Peace, which is $7 million below the request. H.R. 1 does not include any funding for USIP. This funds conflict resolution and peace building, including in Iraq, and has been supported by Republicans and Democrats since Congress first established it. I ask unanimous consent that an Op-ed by GEN Anthony Zinni about USIP in the March 8 New York Times, entitled ''Peace-building that Pays Off,'' be printed in the RECORD after my remarks.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered. (See Exhibit 1.)

Mr. LEAHY. The Senate CR provides $7.8 billion for global health programs, which is $633 million below the request and $884 million above the amount provided in H.R. 1. These funds support programs to prevent and treat HIV/AIDS, malaria, tuberculosis, polio and neglected tropical diseases, and to support voluntary family planning and reproductive health.

The total to combat HIV/AIDS is $5.35 billion through the Department of State, which is $145 million below the request and $509 million above the amount provided in H.R. 1. H.R. 1 would deny life-saving HIV/AIDS drugs to some 400,000 people. I wonder how many House Members even know that.

The Senate CR provides $750 million for the Global HIV/AIDS fund, which is equal to fiscal year 2010 and $150 million above the amount provided in H.R. 1. At the House level, approximately 3.7 million people would not be tested for HIV, more than 10 million mosquito nets for malaria would not be provided, and 372,000 testing and treatments for tuberculosis would be halted. Malaria, which is preventable and curable, is a leading killer of African children.

The Senate CR provides $879 million for international disaster assistance, which is $449 million above the amount provided in H.R. 1. These funds support aid to people displaced by war, famine

and natural disasters, such as the earthquake in Haiti and floods in Pakistan. The House would decimate our ability to respond to those catastrophes. That is not the America I know.

Likewise, the Senate CR provides $1.68 billion for refugee assistance, which is equal to fiscal year 2010 and $662 million above the amount provided in H.R. 1. At a time when the number of refugees and other displaced persons in the Middle East, North Africa, and Pakistan is skyrocketing, and protracted refugee crises exist from Burma to Iraq, the House would turn its back on these people.

There are many other examples. The point should be lost on no one. The House CR would cause lasting, unprecedented damage to our global leadership and our security, and cost thousands of American jobs, at the same time that it would have no appreciable impact on the deficit.

The amounts in the House CR or the Senate CR represent only 1 percent of the Federal budget, but it is a critical investment in our security that the House treats as a luxury we can do without. I challenge them to find a single current or former President, Secretary of Defense, Secretary of State, National Security Advisor, or, frankly, anyone with expertise in this area—Republican or Democrat—who would agree with that shortsighted, dangerous view.

EXHIBIT 1

[From the New York Times, Mar. 7, 2011]

PEACE-BUILDING THAT PAYS OFF

(By Anthony C. Zinni)

WILLIAMSBURG, Va.—In voting last month to eliminate financing for the United States Institute of Peace, members of the House of Representatives did not do their research. You will find the institute's competent work behind practically every American success in Iraq and Afghanistan. It has undertaken missions from the Balkans and Sudan to the Philippines and Somalia, where I supported the institute's efforts to mediate conflicts, promote the rule of law and encourage democracy.

This week, as the Senate considers alternatives to the House budget bill, we should remember that the stakes for national security and peace-building are high. The institute was created in 1984, when the cold war was still at its height. Congressional leaders guided by Senator Spark M. Matsunaga, a Hawaii Democrat, saw the need for an institution that would strengthen the nation's ability to limit international violence and manage global conflict. President Ronald Reagan signed the act creating the institute. A bipartisan majority of Congress has supported it since—until now.

The Institute of Peace is like the Marine Corps or special forces for foreign affairs and peace building. When others are fleeing conflict around the world, you'll usually find institute staff members going in. They were working in Afghanistan before 9/11 and were among the first nonmilitary personnel on the ground after the invasion of Iraq in 2003. The institute's headquarters in Baghdad has twice been damaged by rocket and mortar attacks. At the height of the Iraq insurgency, when virtually every other American and international group pulled out their personnel, the State and Defense Departments requested that the institute stay. Under fire regularly, it was the only United States organization outside of those departments that did not flee Baghdad.

But the institute's value goes beyond the bravery and commitment of its staff. In 2007, when the Army's loth Mountain Division arrived in Mahmudiya, a city of half a million in the ''triangle of death'' dominated by Al Qaeda south of Baghdad, officers asked the institute to mediate between Shiite civil authorities and the Sunni sheiks who controlled the area. Institute-trained negotiators convened warring Iraqis to consolidate security, restore services, develop the local economy, enhance local governance and improve the rule of law. Gen. David H. Petraeus called it a turning point in the war.

In the six months before the institute's intervention, there had been 93 attacks on American forces in the area with homemade bombs; in the six months after, just one. Mahmudiya became a cornerstone of peace in the district, allowing the Army to reduce its strength from a brigade combat team of 3,500 soldiers to a battalion of 650, with corresponding savings and reductions in casualties.

In Afghanistan, the institute conducts mediations on issues from refugees to property and water disputes. In the last year, these operations have resolved 18 tribal disputes throughout the country, mostly involving the abuse of women, and included 30 training programs for government officials, lawyers, mullahs, tribal councils and community leaders. The network is even supporting dialogue along the Afghanistan-Pakistan border, the earth's most dangerous frontier—home to Taliban and Qaeda attacks and a wellspring of religious and political extremism.

Congress would be hard-pressed to find an agency that does more with less. The institute's entire budget would not pay for the Afghan war for three hours, is less than the cost of a fighter plane, and wouldn't sustain even 40 American troops in Afghanistan for a year. Within the budget, peace-building is financed as part of national security programs, and is recognized as an important adjunct to conventional defense spending and diplomacy. The institute's share of the proposed international affairs budget, $43 million, is minuscule: less than one-tenth of 1 percent of the State Department's budget, and one-hundredth of 1 percent of the Pentagon's.

The idea that eliminating the United States Institute of Peace would benefit taxpayers is extremely shortsighted and ill informed. America deserves better from Congress than eliminating something that saves American lives and taxpayer dollars.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New Mexico.

Mr. BINGAMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak for up to 10 minutes as in morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. BINGAMAN. Mr. President, I rise today to talk about the damage that would be done if H.R. 1 were to become law, and specifically to talk about a few areas I have been paying particular attention to: science and technology, for one, particularly related to energy policy; second, border security and law enforcement; as well as education.

To me, this is not a question of whether there should be cuts in our Federal budget. Clearly, there should be cuts. But the real issue here is whether we should be smart about where we make those cuts. To me, it is clear that H.R. 1 does not represent smart policy about where to make those cuts. It represents a plan to mindlessly cut funding during the remaining 5 months of the current fiscal year in order to send some kind of message to the world that we are serious about deficit reduction. In my view, H.R. 1 sends a message, but it is not the right message. The message it sends is that we, in fact, are not willing to look at serious deficit reduction at this point.

The first area of cuts I wish to talk about contained in H.R. 1 that will severely impact our Nation for years to come and have an effect on how many jobs we can actually create is the area of science and energy innovation. Last December, this Congress passed a reauthorization of what we call the America COMPETES Act. I was very privileged to work, particularly with my colleague from Tennessee, Senator ALEXANDER, on helping to get that legislation enacted. Its purpose was to authorize funding for the Department of Energy's Office of Science, for the National Science Foundation, and for the National Institutes of Standards and Technology for the next 3 years so that by fiscal year 2016, we would have completed a 10-year doubling of the funding for those agencies. I wish to note that this effort was first started during the Bush administration. It has been carried forward during this current administration under President Obama. The effort has enjoyed strong bipartisan support and garnered endorsements from leading industry groups such as the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, the Business Roundtable, and the Council on Competitiveness. These organizations recognize that the future of our Nation depends on the strong scientific backbone we need for our workforce in order to out-innovate our competitors around the world. So it comes as a surprise to me to see large cuts being proposed by the House of Representatives in their fiscal year 2011 funding bill to the very programs that all of us seemed to agree are needed to keep us competitive in the years ahead.

What cuts am I talking about? Let me give one example. The Office of Science is the Nation's largest supporter of the physical sciences, and these are the very areas by which we intend to supply a new stream of scientists and engineers to companies such as Intel, Ford Motor Company, and others. The House bill proposes to cut the Office of Science budget by $1.1 billion or 22 percent. The result is an estimated reduction of 4,500 full-time scientists and engineers working on basic endeavors in the area of energy science. It will terminate the Early Career Research Program for young faculty and ongoing graduate programs in the energy sciences. National user facilities that the Office of Science runs for upward of 27,000 researchers from industry and academia will be shuttered or put into a standby status. This

includes the four nanoscience centers across the United States, which have had breakthrough discoveries to propel our industries forward in the areas of solid-state lighting, new drugs, and microelectronics.

Let me talk about some of the other programs impacted in the Department of Energy. The Office of Nuclear Energy, which is leading the way to a new generation of smaller, less costly reactors at places such as Oak Ridge and Idaho National Laboratories, will suffer. The ability to move this bipartisan program forward will cease.

In the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy—EER&E, as it is referred to in the Department—the House bill will result in over 31,000 homes that will not be weatherized, and by July 1, it is estimated that something like 8,000 people who are expected to perform this work will be out of jobs. The program to mix coal with biomass, which shows great promise, will be eliminated, as will programs to fund offshore wind.

Let me cite some other examples of the damage that the House bill will have on other agencies in the COMPETES Act.

The National Science Foundation will have reductions leading to a loss of 10,000 university researchers and graduate students. Being so late in the year—and I indicated we have about 5 months left in this fiscal year—it will reduce the program to train teachers in math and science by 53 percent, at a time when it is widely recognized that other nations are outperforming us in student test scores in these subjects.

I ask unanimous consent that two letters be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

TASK FORCE ON
AMERICAN INNOVATION,
Washington, DC, March 3, 2011.

Hon. HARRY M. REID,
Majority Leader, U.S. Senate,
Washington, DC.

Hon. MITCH MCCONNELL,
Minority Leader, U.S. Senate,
Washington, DC.

DEAR LEADERS REID AND MCCONNELL: Most of the undersigned organizations signed a November 5, 2010 letter to you in support of the reauthorization of the America COMPETES Act. We applaud the Senate for engaging in the hard work that was necessary to achieve a bipartisan majority to enact that legislation in the previous Congress.

Today, we write to urge you to continue to support the goals of the COMPETES legislation. As the Senate considers legislation to complete Fiscal Year 2011 appropriations, we ask that you and your colleagues reject the cuts adopted by the House that would significantly reduce funding for the key research agencies, including the National Science Foundation (NSF), the Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science, and the National Institute of Standards and Technology, as well as science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) education programs contained in that law.

While we recognize that Congress faces a major challenge to reduce federal budget deficits and bring the national debt under control, it is critical that these cuts be implemented strategically, with an eye toward the future economic health of the U.S. As many of us wrote to you last year, continued strong funding of basic scientific research and STEM education programs would help ensure the economic growth needed to restore long-term fiscal strength and national prosperity. The National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform, headed by Erskine Bowles and Alan Simpson, said it well:

Cut and invest to promote economic growth and keep America competitive. We should cut red tape and unproductive government spending that hinders job creation and growth. At the same time, we must invest in education, infrastructure, and high-value research and development to help our economy grow, keep us globally competitive, and make it easier for businesses to create jobs.

Despite this recommendation, the House has passed a continuing resolution for FY2011 (H.R. 1) that takes the opposite approach to research and STEM education. It would make deep cuts to the NSF, DOE Office of Science, NIST core programs, and other science agencies which would have a devastating impact, magnified by being crowded into the less than seven months remaining in the fiscal year.

For example, reducing funding for the DOE Office of Science by $886 million, or 18 percent below fiscal year 2010, during the last seven months of the fiscal year—an effective 31-percent reduction over the seven-month period—would adversely impact world-class scientific facilities, basic research of national importance, and some of the nation's best scientific and engineering talent. Virtually all DOE national laboratory user facilities—which the federal government built at tremendous expense—would cease operations, affecting some 26,000 scientists and engineers from universities, industry, and government who rely on these unique, complex facilities to conduct their research. The DOE national laboratories would also be forced to furlough or layoff thousands of workers, including highly-skilled research staff and blue-collar workers. Finally, the H.R. 1 reduction would slow or bring to a halt the ongoing construction of a number of advanced research facilities aimed at keeping the United States at the technological forefront and American industry from moving research and development activities abroad, leading to the layoff of thousands of construction workers and ultimately increasing construction costs.

At NSF, the 5.2-percent overall cut (an effective 8.9 percent over the last 7 months) would mean that 10,000 fewer university researchers would receive support for critical research and education. The 16.4 percent cut to vital STEM education programs embedded in the 5.2 percent overall NSF cut would in reality amount to a 28.1 percent reduction during the last 7 months of the fiscal year. A reduction of 53.3% in funding for major construction projects focused on developing advanced sensor networks of ocean and terrestrial observatories would likely lead to schedule delays and cost increases in future years, and severely jeopardize the jobs of roughly 200–300 scientists, engineers, and technical personnel. At a time when our nation desperately needs to enhance its technological workforce, these reductions are seriously counterproductive.

The proposed cut to NIST would require the agency to cut support for contractors by 25% since savings from layoffs could not be achieved in the current year. Contractors at NIST play a critical role in many areas, including cybersecurity research efforts, development of standards for the Smart Grid, and the upgrade, maintenance, and construction of NIST facilities. The cut to the Technology Innovation Program would mean no new awards in the current fiscal year; these would be concentrated in areas of national need such as advanced solutions to repairing, inspecting, and monitoring the nation's infrastructure system and efforts to remove critical bottlenecks in current manufacturing processes that impede U.S. competitiveness.

Congress took a very important step for our nation's future by reauthorizing the America COMPETES Act in 2010, reaffirming its commitment to the science and innovation essential to long-term economic growth. We urge you now to continue implementation funding and to reject the cuts to research and STEM education adopted by the House in H.R. 1.

Sincerely,

The Task Force on American Innovation; Acoustical Society of America; American Anthropological Association; American Association for the Advancement of Science; American Association of Physics Teachers; American Astronomical Society; American Chemical Society; American Geological Institute; American Geophysical Union; American Institute for Medical and Biological Engineering (AIMBE); American Institute of Physics; American Mathematical Society; American Physiological Society; American Psychological Association; American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology; American Society for Engineering Education; American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers (ASABE); American Society of Agronomy; American Society of Civil Engineers; American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

American Society of Plant Biologists; American Statistical Association; American Vacuum Society; Applied Materials, Inc.; Arizona State University; Associated Universities, Inc. (AUI); Association for Computing Machinery U.S. Public Policy Council; Association for Women in Mathematics; Association for Women in Science (AWIS); Association of American Universities; Association of American Medical Colleges; Association of Independent Research Institutes; Association of Public and Land-grant Universities; ASTRA, The Alliance for Science & Technology Research in America; Battelle; Binghamton University, State University of New York; Brown University; California Institute of Technology; Carnegie Mellon University; Case Western Reserve University; Center for Innovation in Engineering & Science Education at Stevens Institute of Technology.

Center for Inquiry; Clemson University; Columbia University; Computing Research Association; Cornell University; Council for Chemical Research; Council of Energy Research and Education Leaders; Council of Environmental Deans and Directors; Council of Graduate Schools; Cray Inc.; Crop Science Society of America; CSTEM Teacher and Student Services, Inc.; Duke University; Ecological Society of America; Emory University; Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology; Geological Society of America (GSA); Georgia Institute of Technology; Hands On Science Partnership; Harvard University.

Human Factors and Ergonomics Society; IEEE–USA; Incorporated Research Institutions for Seismology; Indiana University; Intel Corporation; Jefferson Science Associates, LLC; Johns Hopkins University Center for Educational Outreach; KDSL—Know.Do.Serve.Learn; Krell Institute; Maryland Academy of Sciences at the Maryland Science Center; Maryland MESA; Massachusetts Institute of Technology; Michigan State University; Michigan Technological University; Muses3, LLC; National Center for Women and Information Technology (NCWIT); National Council for Science and the Environment; National Ecological Observatory Network (NEON), Inc.; National

Girls Collaborative Project; National Postdoctoral Association.

National Science Center; National Science Education Leadership Association (NSELA); National Science Teachers Association; National Society of Professional Engineers; New Mexico State University; New York University; North Carolina State University; Northeastern University; Oregon State University; PBS; Princeton University; Purdue University; Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute; Research!America; Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey; SACNAS; School Science and Mathematics Association; Semiconductor Industry Association; Semiconductor Research Corporation; Sigma Xi, The Scientific Research Society.

Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics; Soil Science Society of America; Southeastern Universities Research Association; Southern Illinois University System; SPIE, the International Society for Optics & Photonics; Stanford University; STEM Education Center University of Minnesota; Stony Brook University, State University of New York; Syracuse University; TechAmerica; Texas A&M University; Texas Tech University; The Association of American Medical Colleges; The Business-Higher Education Forum; The Campaign for Environmental Literacy; The Florida State University; The Johns Hopkins University; The Materials Research Society; The National Center for Manufacturing Sciences; The Ohio State University.

The Optical Society; The Science Coalition; The University of Arizona; The University of Georgia; The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill; The University of North Carolina at Greensboro; Tulane University; U.S. Chamber of Commerce; Universities, Research Association, Inc.; University Corporation for Atmospheric Research (UCAR); University of California System; University of California Berkeley; University of California Davis; University of California Irvine; University of California Los Angeles; University of California Riverside; University of California San Diego; University of California San Francisco; University of California Santa Barbara; University of California Santa Cruz.

University of California Merced; University of Central Florida; University of Chicago; University of Cincinnati; University of Hawaii System; University of Illinois; University of Kansas; University of Maryland; University of Massachusetts System; University of Michigan; University of Minnesota; University of Nebraska; University of New Hampshire; University of New Mexico; University of Oregon; University of Pennsylvania; University of Pittsburgh; University of Rochester; University of Tennessee; University of the District of Columbia; University of Virginia.

University of Washington; University of Wisconsin-Madison; Vanderbilt University; Vernier Software & Technology; Washington University in St. Louis; Wayne State University; West Virginia University; Yale University.

COUNCIL ON COMPETITIVENESS,
Washington, DC, February 28, 2011.

Hon. HARRY REID,
Majority Leader, U.S. Senate, Hart Senate Office Bldg., Washington, DC.

Hon. MITCH MCCONNELL,
Minority Leader, U.S. Senate, Russell Senate Office Bldg., Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR REID AND SENATOR MCCONNELL: As the Senate begins consideration of a continuing resolution to fund the federal government through the remainder of the current fiscal year, we want to express our concern with severe cuts being proposed to small but critical portions of the federal research budget that drive economic growth. Robust growth generates jobs, replacing benefit payments to struggling families with receipts that accrue from prospering families. A growing economy will reduce the severity of spending cuts or tax increases necessary to bring our national finances back to a sustainable trajectory.

The Council's 2005 Innovate America report urged that America reverse a precipitous decline in physical science research funding as a share of our economy. The report also advocated improving the number and performance of students in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) disciplines. Scientific research and skilled workers are the basis for new ideas, new technologies, new products and services, new companies, even entirely new industries. The American economy cannot compete and grow if we neglect our capacity to innovate.

Federal investments in these areas are necessary and affordable, yet current proposals being considered by Congress would reduce the budgets of the National Science Foundation, the Department of Energy Office of Science, and the core accounts of the National Institute of Standards and Technology. The cuts would be severe to each agency, but merely symbolic in the context of the larger fiscal challenge. The combined cuts would save 0.039 percent from the FY 2011 budget proposed by the President, but would set back important research, shut down key facilities, and exacerbate the supply and development of skilled STEM professionals.

Cuts to the Office of Science could endanger America's leadership in areas like High Performance Computing, which hold the potential for groundbreaking discoveries and game changing industries. How to make greater use of this comparative advantage we hold in the global economy should be our focus.

While no program (including entitlement programs), department or agency should be off the table in the debate as to how to bring our nation's fiscal house in order, we urge you to consider the negative implications of cuts to research at a time when competing nations are investing heavily in their innovation future.

Sincerely,

SAMUEL R. ALLEN,
Chairman and CEO, Deere & Company.

MICHAEL R. SPLINTER,
Chairman and CEO, Applied Materials, Inc.

WILLIAM P. HITE,
General President, United Association of Plumbers and Pipefitters.

CHARLES O. HOLLIDAY, Jr.,
Chairman Bank of America.

DEBORAH L. WINCE-SMITH,
President and CEO, Council on Competitiveness.

Mr. BINGAMAN. The first letter is by the Council on Competitiveness, signed by Sam Allen, chairman and CEO of the Deere Company; Mike Splinter, chairman and CEO of Applied Materials; Chad Holliday, chairman of the Bank of America; William Hite, general president of the United Association of Plumbers and Pipefitters; Deborah Wince-Smith, president and CEO of the Council. That letter succinctly states that:

Scientific research and skilled workers are the basis for new ideas, new technologies, new products and services, new companies, even entirely new industries. The American economy cannot compete and grow if we neglect our capacity to innovate.

The other letter, from 175 universities, industries, and laboratories, including the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, supports the goals outlined in the America COMPETES Act and asks this Chamber to reject the cuts adopted by the House funding bill. This letter states that:

Congress took a very important step for our Nation's future by reauthorizing the America COMPETES Act in 2010, reaffirming its commitment to the science and innovation essential to long-term economic growth. We urge you to continue implementation funding and to reject the cuts to research and STEM education adopted by the House in H.R. 1.

I will move to the issue of homeland security. Over the last several years, we have heard a lot of speeches in the Senate about the need to bolster border security, particularly along the southern border, and enhance homeland security capabilities. Unfortunately, the budget the House has presented falls short in this respect.

The continuing resolution would severely impact the capabilities of the Department of Homeland Security and reduce essential assistance that is provided to organizations at the State and local level. This legislation would be a step back in terms of the progress we are making in securing our border and ensuring that communities and law enforcement agencies along the border have the necessary resources to handle crime and to respond to disasters.

With regard to border security, the House continuing resolution would reduce planned technological, fencing, and security improvements along the southwest border. The legislation would reduce interoperable communication capabilities, and it would cut tactical communications modernization efforts by 50 percent, making it more difficult for law enforcement to respond to emergencies in a timely way.

The House bill would also provide funding for 20,500 Border Patrol agents, rather than the 21,370 the Senate is proposing to fund. This cutback in Border Patrol agents, I think, is shortsighted.

The measure would also severely impact aviation security initiatives. The number of advanced imaging technology screening machines, canine teams, and explosive detection machines would also be slashed by over 50 percent.

FEMA grants that help State and local governments respond to and prepare for disasters would be reduced by about 20 percent.

The House bill would drastically cut back on DHS's cyber security plans—cyber security coverage of the Federal civilian networks would be reduced from about 30 percent to 12 percent.

And with respect to the DHS science and technology directorate, the CR would reduce funding for research and

development by about $600 million—which would mean the elimination of entire research areas, such as border security and cyber security, and the significant reduction in nuclear and explosives research and funding for projects at DOE national laboratories—including a potential reduction of $60 to $80 million for New Mexico's labs. It is critical that we make the investments in research and development now to ensure we stay ahead of emerging security threats.

The House CR also drastically cuts DOJ State and local law enforcement assistance programs that are critical in keeping our communities safe and preventing crime.

State and locals law enforcement grants are cut by 37 percent and juvenile justice programs are reduced by 45 percent. The bill also eliminates funding for the Weed and Seed Program and reduces the COPS Program by 25 percent—the Republican plan originally called for completely eliminating the COPS hiring program, which has been instrumental in keeping police officers in communities across New Mexico, but Democratic efforts in the House to restore the funding were successful. The proposed cuts to the Byrne law enforcement grant program would also result in a $1 million drop in assistance coming to New Mexico.

With respect to education, H.R. 1 contains draconian cuts that would limit opportunities for millions of Americans of all ages and educational levels. It would cut Federal education spending by $11.55 billion, or 16.1 percent. This would be, if approved, the largest education cut in history.

H.R. 1 would cut Head Start by $1.1 billion—15 percent—resulting about 2,000 fewer children in New Mexico receiving early childhood education services that prepare them for success in school.

It would cut title I, which provides academic support to disadvantaged students in public schools, by $693 million. It would also cut the Pell grant maximum award by $845, or 15.2 percent. Mr. President, 57,402 New Mexican students received Pell grants in the 2009–10 academic year, and more are expected to be eligible in coming years. Many low- and moderate-income students in New Mexico would find college less affordable and less accessible under H.R. 1.

These House-passed education cuts would devastate New Mexico's public education system, which is already facing severe State budget cuts. And they would limit our country's future economic competitiveness and security.

In contrast, the proposed Senate Democratic year-long continuing resolution proposal provides stability in Federal education investments. It maintains the Pell grant maximum award while providing modest increases for title I grants, Head Start, and other critical Federal education programs. In today's fiscal climate, H.R. 1's drastic cuts to education investments are irresponsible and would have dire consequences for New Mexico and the country.

It is not a question of whether there should be cuts—but whether we should be smart about where we make the cuts.

To me it is clear that H.R. 1 does not represent smart policy about where to make these cuts. It represents a plan to mindlessly cut funding in the remaining 5 months of the current fiscal year in order to send a ''message'' to the world that we are serious.

In my view H.R. 1 sends a message but not the right one.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of a letter from a coalition of corporations and businesses be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

TAPPING AMERICA'S POTENTIAL,
Washington, DC, March 9, 2011.

Hon. HARRY M. REID,
Majority Leader, U.S. Senate, Hart Senate Office Building, Washington, DC.

Hon. MITCH MCCONNELL,
Republican Leader, U.S. Senate, Russell Senate Office Building, Washington, DC.

DEAR LEADERS REID AND MCCONNELL: We write to you as companies and business organizations that understand the challenge Congress faces to reduce federal budget deficits and bring the national debt under control.

We are well aware that every constituency will plead its case to be spared as you make difficult decisions. However, we believe that leaders set priorities that are in the national interest and determine where the federal government's support is essential for U.S. competitiveness, economic expansion and job growth. The private sector is doing its part to ensure that the U.S. remains the world's scientific and technological leader. Even in the midst of recessions, when revenues are in decline, U.S. businesses invest in research and development (R&D) because those activities support future sales and market leadership. American business largely preserved its R&D intensity throughout the deep economic downturn of 2008–2009.

The private sector cannot replace, however, the federal support for basic science and engineering research and math and science education that undergirds America's national economic competitiveness. Because these investments are the key to future productivity growth, they must remain a top national priority, even while deficit spending is reduced.

The National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform, headed by Erskine Bowles and Alan Simpson, said it well:

''Cut and invest to promote economic growth and keep America competitive. We should cut red tape and unproductive government spending that hinders job creation and growth. At the same time, we must invest in education, infrastructure, and high-value research and development to help our economy grow, keep us globally competitive, and make it easier for businesses to create jobs.''

That is why we supported passage of the America COMPETES Act in 2007 and its reauthorization in 2010. COMPETES addressed a serious national problem and began to reverse nearly twenty years of flat funding, as a fraction of national output, for federal innovation investments. We applaud the Senate for engaging in the hard work that was necessary to achieve a bipartisan majority to enact that legislation in the previous Congress.

Even in the context of reducing overall government spending, you have an opportunity to reaffirm that commitment and continue to support the goals of the COMPETES legislation. As the Senate completes Fiscal Year 2011 appropriations, we ask that you put a priority on funding for the key agencies that support basic scientific research in the physical sciences and engineering, including the National Science Foundation (NSF), the Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science, and the National Institute of Standards and Technology, as well as science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) education programs contained in the law.

As Congress determines the budget for world-class scientific facilities in the U.S., basic research of national importance, and some of the nation's best scientific and engineering brainpower, our greatest concern is the message that is communicated about America's commitment to sustaining its leadership position in science and innovation. Recent trends indicate that without sustained investment in basic scientific research and developing U.S. STEM talent, America is on a path to ceding our premiere position to international competitors.

In this resource constrained environment, we urge the Senate to prioritize and support strong funding of basic scientific research and STEM education programs. They are essential to U.S. innovation, which in turn produces the economic growth needed to restore long-term fiscal strength and national prosperity.

Sincerely,

Accenture; Advanced Micro Devices; Aerospace Industries Association; Altera Corporation; American Council on International Personnel; A Plus Education Partnership (Alabama); ArvinMeritor; Avery Dennison; Battelle; Bechtel Corporation; The Boeing Company; Business Coalition for Educational Excellence at the New Jersey Chamber of Commerce; The Business Council of New York State; The Business-Higher Education Forum; Business Roundtable; California Business for Education Excellence; CEO Council for Growth (Greater Philadelphia); CH2M Hill; Cognizant Technology Solutions; Colorado Succeeds; Connecticut Business & Industry Association, Education Foundation; Corporate Voices for Working Families; The Dow Chemical Company; DuPont Company; Eastman Chemical Company; Eaton Corporation; Florida Council of 100, Inc.; Freescale Semiconductor Inc.; GLOBALFOUNDRIES; Harris Corporation; Illinois Business Roundtable; Iowa Business Council; Johnson City/Jonesborough/Washington County TN Chamber of Commerce; JPMorgan Chase & Co.; Macy's, Inc.; Mass Insight Education and Research Institute; Massachusetts Business Roundtable; The McGraw-Hill Companies; Medtronic; Micron Technology; Microsoft; Minority Business Roundtable; Motorola Solutions, Inc.; National Gypsum Company; NDIA; Nevada Manufacturers Association; New Mexico Business Roundtable; Nucor Corporation; Ohio Business Roundtable; ON Semiconductor Corporation; Oracle; Owens Corning; Partnership for Learning (Washington); Partnership for New York City; Pennsylvania Business Council; The Procter & Gamble Company; Qualcomm; Rockwell Automation; RR Donnelley; SAP America, Inc.; Semiconductor Equipment and

Materials International; Semiconductor Industry Association; Semiconductor Research Corporation; Siemens Corporation; Software & Information Industry Association; State Farm; Technology CEO Council; Tennessee Business Roundtable; Texas Instruments Incorporated; Time Warner Cable; Washington Roundtable.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Utah is recognized.

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President in a few hours, this body will vote.

This is a solemn responsibility, one not to be taken lightly.

At Gettysburg, Abraham Lincoln reminded Americans that those who died on that battlefield fought for government of the people, by the people, and for the people.

We are only here because the people, our constituents, sent us here. And every time we vote, we represent them. We represent their aspirations. We represent the dreams of growing families and entrepreneurs. We represent the interests of taxpayers.

Of course, not all votes are created equal. Some are more important than others. And in my view, the votes that we are taking today are transcendent. They are quite literally about the future of this country.

Are we going to be a country with a constitutionally limited government; are we going to be a country that limits the burden of taxation on individuals and families and businesses; or are we going to become Europe?

Are we going to move toward a full-blown cradle-to-grave nanny government with the majority of Americans on the public dole and a small group of individuals bankrolling an ever expanding leviathan state?

In short, are we going to remain America—a beacon of freedom to the world or do we aspire to become a second European Union with high taxes, high spending, and measly economic growth?

Again, I remind you that we represent the aspirations of our constituents.

I represent the people of Utah. And I can tell you that they do not wake up in the morning and say—You know what—America would be much better if we were more like France.

This is no exaggeration.

Right now government spending is at 25 percent of gross domestic product. And if we do nothing, that number is just going to grow, pushing past 25 and cruising toward 28 percent of GDP. The last time we did that was during World War II.

Republicans and Democrats have very different ideas about how to address this spike in spending.

Either we can step off the pedal, hit the brakes, and bring spending back in line with historical levels—levels that respect our Constitution of limited government and respect taxpaying citizens or we can keep the car on cruise control and drive the car off the cliff.

Republicans want to hit the brakes.

Democrats want to pull a Thelma and Louise with our economy.

I, for one, am not going to sit back and let them do this. Let's be clear about what the Democrats and Republicans are proposing.

H.R. 1, the bill that passed the House, appropriates $1.026 trillion in nonemergency discretionary spending.

The alternative offered up by my Democratic colleagues would appropriate, $1.079 trillion in total nonemergency discretionary spending.

H.R. 1, the bill passed by the new Republican majority in the House—a majority that most closely represents the views of millions of Americans who are genuinely scared about our Nation's fiscal trajectory—would reduce spending by $57 billion over the current continuing resolution.

The Democratic alternative would reduce spending by just $4.7 billion.

You will hear Democrats complain about the draconian cuts in the House-passed H.R. 1.

This is nonsense.

The fact is, when you look at Federal nonemergency discretionary spending as a whole—which has exploded under Democrats' control of Congress and with President Obama's acquiescence in their big spending ways—H.R. 1 provides modest cuts.

The people of Utah, and the people of this country, understand this.

So now that Republicans are winning the game on spending cuts, Democrats are seeking to move the goal posts.

It is now being suggested that we can bridge the gap between these two bills by going after entitlements and tax expenditures.

Don't get me wrong, we need to address both. But I can tell the Members on the other side now that we are not going to let you shift the debate.

This is a debate about discretionary spending. This is a debate about low-hanging fruit.

Last week, the Government Accountability Office issued a report detailing possibly hundreds of billions of dollars in government waste and bloat.

There is plenty of fat to be cut in the discretionary budget, and doing so would give our constituents and Members of Congress the courage to go after bigger fish.

Yet, Democrats can't find it in themselves to cut an additional $50 billion when nonemergency discretionary spending is well over $1 trillion.

Some are now proposing that we get into tax expenditures. Tax expenditures are a debate for another time.

Nondefense discretionary spending has grown by 24 percent over the last couple of years. We can cut that back significantly. We need to do so, and Americans understand that going back to 2008 spending levels is not the end of the world.

I also want to correct the record with respect to H.R. 1 and Medicare Advantage.

Yesterday, Secretary Sebelius sent a letter to my colleague, the chairman of the Finance Committee, Senator BAUCUS, suggesting that H.R. 1 would have a detrimental impact on Medicare Advantage.

This assertion is Orwellian. The Secretary knows full well that ObamaCare cuts more than $200 billion from an MA program that currently serves nearly 12 million seniors. According to the administration's own Chief Actuary, these devastating cuts will reduce enrollment in this popular program by 50 percent. Furthermore, the CBO has also found that these cuts would reduce important benefits by 50 percent for seniors enrolled in the program.

H.R. 1 is intended to halt the harmful cuts to seniors in the MA program.

Suggesting otherwise, as the Secretary did, is both inaccurate and risks confusing millions of seniors.

H.R. 1 is a good bill. It is solid and responsible. And I will be supporting it. But it is only a starting point.

The fact is, we are going to need many more cuts in discretionary spending.

The American people—the people who sent us here—have not signed on to the Democrats' project of Europeanizing the United States economy.

Citizens in every State want to roll back spending, reduce the tax burden on families and businesses, and—restore America's promise of opportunity and economic growth.

My colleagues on the other side of the aisle need to get with the program. We will be cutting spending. And we need to cut a lot of it. It may not all happen in the next 2 years.

The American people might need to speak again and send people to Washington in 2012 who will accurately represent their interests.

This is a big vote today. And when we look back, I think Americans will say: It was just a beginning.

Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. UDALL of New Mexico). The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. CARPER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. CARPER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak for up to 5 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. CARPER. Mr. President, I used to be a Governor. I sometimes say I used to be somebody. As Governor, you had to propose budgets, you had to balance budgets, and you had to work with the legislature. I followed Mike Castle, and he followed Pete DuPont. We focused very hard on fiscal responsibility. During the 8 years I was Governor, we had eight balanced budgets in a row. For 7 years, we cut taxes. A couple of years, we actually paid down some debt. We ended up with a triple-A credit rating for the first time in the history of the State of Delaware. So I

feel as though I know something about this issue. So do a number of my colleagues.

We are having a lot of debate, as we should, over what our spending plans are going to be in this continuing resolution to fund the government for another 2 weeks, 4 weeks, 6 months. That is well and good and important. We need to get started and demonstrate that we are able to reduce this deficit and reduce our debt. We need to keep in mind that while what we do in the next 6 months is important, what is really important is what we do in the next 6 years and beyond that.

We had a commission put together that a bunch of us supported. We created the deficit reduction commission, chaired by Erskine Bowles and Alan Simpson. They gave us a pretty good roadmap of how to get to a more fiscally responsible place in the next few years, cutting some $4 trillion out of the budget deficit. What they said is that pretty much everything needs to be on the table—domestic spending, defense spending, entitlement programs, tax expenditures, tax credits, tax deductions, tax rates. They have suggested a proposal that cuts the deficit by $4 trillion over 10 years, about two-thirds of that on the spending side and maybe one-third or so on the revenue side. I think it is a pretty good approach, and I commend the 18 members of the commission who endorsed that approach.

One of my core values is, everything I do, I know I can do better. I think the same is true of all my colleagues. Frankly, the same is true of Federal programs. What we need to do is to replace what a lot of people think we have in Washington—a culture of spendthrift—and we need to replace it with a culture of thrift. We need to look in every nook and cranny of the Federal Government and all programs and say: Can we get better results for less money or can we get a better result by at least not spending more money? Partnering with the General Accountability Office, GAO, OMB, with all the inspectors general, with nonprofit groups such as Citizens Against Government Waste, what can we do to get better results for less money? That is part of what we need to do long term. We still will have tough decisions, but at the end of the day, we need to save some money, carve out some money. If we have to spend an extra dollar or two, where should we invest that money?

There is a guy named John Chambers, whom the Presiding Officer knows, and some of us met with him earlier today. He is CEO of Cisco, a big technology company. He likes to say that there are two things we need to do if we are to be successful as a nation, with a 21st-century economy: No. 1, invest in people so we have the most productive workforce, smart workers, whether postdocs or people with high school degrees—productive workforce; No. 2, invest in our infrastructure.

Last year, the transportation infrastructure in this country got a D as in ''delta''—not good—a D as in ''dumb.'' That is where our infrastructure is in this country. We need to invest in our infrastructure, not just roads, highways, bridges, rails, and ports, but broadband, water, wastewater—broadly defined infrastructure.

The third thing we need to do is invest in research and development so we can continue to be an innovation economy. The President said that if we are going to be successful in the 21st century, we need to outeducate, outinnovate, outcompete the rest of the world.

We need to invest in our workforce, our very young kids and folks who are off to college and postsecondary training.

We also need to invest in our infrastructure, not just roads, highways, bridges, and rails, but infrastructure described broadly.

Finally, we need to invest in R&D so we can invest and outcompete the best of the world.

At the end of the day, we have to create what I call a nurturing environment for job creation, for job preservation. We need a nurturing environment. Part of that is our obligation working with the private sector and others, States and local governments across the country.

Right now, our debt as a percentage of GDP has climbed to 65 percent, I am told. Sixty-five percent—our debt as a percentage of GDP. The last time it was that high was at the end of World War II. It is the only time it has been that high—65 percent. Other countries getting into that kind of territory are Greece and Ireland. That is not smart. They found out the hard way. We need to learn from them, and this is the time to do it. It requires all of us to stand and do what we know we need to do, to share in the sacrifice, with everything on the table. Let's use the deficit commission as a good role model. Let's ask the executive branch to provide the leadership they need to provide.

I think my time has expired, Mr. President. I note the presence of the Senator from Kentucky so he can take the floor as my time has expired.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Kentucky is recognized.

Mr. PAUL. Mr. President, we are discussing and debating two different alternatives—one from the other side of the aisle and one from our side—about what we should do about the budget deficit. We have a projected $1.65 trillion deficit in the next year. I think both alternatives are inadequate and do not significantly alter or change our course.

On the Democrats' side, we have a proposal to cut about $5 billion to $6 billion for the rest of the year. To put that in perspective, we borrow $4 billion a day. So the other side is offering up cuts equal to one day's borrowing. I think it is insignificant, and it will not alter the coming and looming debt crisis we face. On our side of the aisle, we have done more. The cuts are more significant, but they also pale in comparison to the problem. If we were to adopt the President's approach, we would have a $1.65 trillion deficit in 1 year. If we adopt our approach, we are going to have a $1.55 trillion deficit in 1 year. Both approaches do not significantly alter or delay the crisis that is coming.

It is interesting, when we talk about cuts, everybody seems to be giddy around here, saying this is the first time we have talked about cuts. It is better and it sounds good, but, guess what. We are not even really cutting spending. What we are talking about is cutting the rate of increase of spending. The baseline of spending is going to go up at 7.3 percent, according to the CBO. We are talking about reducing that increase to a 6.7-percent increase. We are talking about cutting the rate of increase of government. The problem is, it is not enough. Our deficit is growing by leaps and bounds. Our national debt is $14 trillion. Our national debt is now equal to our entire economy. Our gross domestic product equals our national debt.

I think the President is tone-deaf on this issue. We had an election, and in the election the people said: We are concerned about out-of-control spending. We are concerned about massive deficits. We are concerned about passing this debt on to our kids and our grandkids.

The President recently proposed a 10-year budget, a 10-year plan for spending. He proposes that we spend $46 trillion. That means they are not getting it. Official Washington is not getting what the people are saying, and they are not getting how profound the problems are. Spending $46 trillion?

The President's plan will add $13 trillion to the debt. The Republicans say: Oh, ours is a lot better. Theirs will add $12 trillion to the debt. It is out of control, and neither plan will do anything to significantly alter things.

We are spending $10 billion a day. In order to reform things, in order to change things around, we will have to come to grips with the idea of what government should be doing. What are the constitutional functions of government? What were the enumerated powers of the Constitution? What powers did the Constitution give to the Federal Government? And then we examine what we are actually doing, what we are spending money on that is not constitutional or should not be done here or should be left to the States and the people respectively.

Once upon a time, our side believed education was a function of the States and localities. It is not mentioned in the Constitution that the Federal Government should have anything to do with education. Does it mean we are opposed to education? No. We just think it should be done at the State and local level.

Ronald Reagan was a champion of eliminating the Department of Education. It was part of the party platform for many years. Then we were in charge after 2000, and we doubled the size of the Department of Education.

If you are serious about balancing the budget, if you are serious about the debt, you have to look at taking departments, such as the Department of Education, and sending them back to the States and the localities. You have to look at programs that are growing by leaps and bounds, such as Medicaid and food stamps, cap them, block grant them, and send them back to the States. The States can manage these issues better. The closer they are to the people, the better managed they will be.

The other compromise that needs to occur—and this is something our side needs to compromise on—our side has blindly said that the military should get anything it wants and it is a blank check: What do you want? Here it is.

We have increased military spending by 120 percent since 2001. We have doubled military spending. I am for a strong national defense. I believe it is a constitutional function of the Federal Government to provide for our national defense. I think it is the preeminent enumerated power, the thing we should be doing. But that being said, we cannot every 8 years double the Defense Department, double the military spending.

It is also ultimately the compromise. Within the space of a few years, everyone here will come to an agreement, not because we want to but because we are forced to by the events and by the drama of the debt crisis. It will come. It has come to other nations. When it comes to us, the compromise that both sides of the aisle will have to work out, the other side of the aisle will have to admit that we cannot have enormous domestic spending, and our side of the aisle will have to admit that we cannot give a blank check to the military.

We will also have to look at entitlements. Everyone is afraid to say how we reform entitlements. But there are two inescapable facts with entitlements: We are living longer, and a lot of people born after World War II are getting ready to retire. These are inescapable demographic facts. We have to address them. If we simply do nothing, if we do not address the entitlements, within a decade, entitlements will account for the entire budget and interest. There will be no money left for anything.

Right now, the argument is about all these other programs. There will be no money left for any of these programs if we do nothing. It is going to take both sides of the aisle grappling with this issue and admitting the rules and eligibility will have to change for Social Security and likely for Medicare. If we do it now, we can do it gradually. If we start now, we can gradually let the age rise for Medicare and Social Security for those 55 and under. Young people have already acknowledged this is going to happen. Ask young people anywhere across America: Do you think you are going to have Social Security when you retire? Do you think you are going to get it at 67? Most young people acknowledge it is broken. It is broken so badly that the only way to fix it and continue is we have to look at eligibility. But so many people have said: Oh, we cannot talk about entitlements. You will be unelected. You will be unelectable if you talk about entitlement reform.

The President still makes this mistake. He will not lead us. He will not talk and give a leadership role to entitlement reform. Someone must do it. We must stand up and be bold because the longer these problems fester, the longer we allow them to accumulate, the bigger the problems become and the more dramatic the answers must be.

If we look at Greece and these other nations that have faced a debt crisis, their problem came to a head all of a sudden, and they changed the age on social security like that. If we want to do it gradually and let people plan for their future, we need to start now before we enter into a crisis.

My problem with the discussion and the debate at this point is that I do not think either side recognizes the enormity of the problem or the immensity of the problem. Even people who would be considered to be those of the mainstream—former Federal Reserve Chairman Alan Greenspan said there is a 50-percent chance there will be some kinds of monetary problems, significant monetary problems, even to the point of crisis, in the next few years. Many people have said Japan is locked in crisis. That crisis is coming because of the debt they have accumulated. When that comes to America, do we want to have government by crisis? Already we can't even pass a budget. We can't pass appropriations bills. Our bills do not even go to the committees anymore. They come to the floor and we put a patchwork quilt on them. There is a chance this winds up being 2 more weeks. We have government by 2-week edict. It is not the way to run government.

If you want to have a significant plan for changing things, send bills through the committee. If you want a realistic way of running government, have appropriations bills. If you want to be someone who believes in good, responsible government, for goodness' sake, pass a budget. We didn't pass a budget last year.

This chart shows how big the problem is. I wish I had a magnifying glass because that is the only way you could see the other side's proposal—$6 billion in cuts. It is 1 day's borrowing. It is not even 1 day's spending they are talking about. It is insignificant, it is inconsequential, and it will do nothing to delay or alter the looming debt crisis.

Look at the other proposal from our side. It is bigger. You can actually see it without a magnifying glass. But look how it is dwarfed by 1 year's problem. I recently proposed $500 billion in cuts. When I went home and spoke to the people of my State, spoke to the tea party people, they said $500 billion is not enough. They are right—$500 billion is one-third of 1 year's problem. Up here, that is way too bold, but it is not even enough.

We have to counterbalance and understand the alternatives.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator has used 10 minutes of time.

Mr. PAUL. If we do nothing, all the programs people are so fond of extolling and saying we need money for will be gone.

So I implore the American public and those here to look at the problem and to say to Congress: You are not doing enough. You must cut more.

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Mr. President, I come to the floor today as a Senator from California to speak about how damaging I believe the House continuing resolution would be for the people and economy of my State.

I would first like to begin by expressing my approval that Congress is finally talking about controlling the deficit. I have been on the record for many years about our need to rein in spending, and we are finally moving in the right direction.

I believe that government—in some ways—must adopt some of the discipline of a business. Businesses face tough choices about how to allocate resources. Businesses thrive when there is consistency and a commitment to planning for the future. These are things that have been lacking in our budget process of late. And that needs to change.

The 2-week continuing resolution under which we are currently operating would not work for any business in California, and it does not work for the Federal Government.

That being said, I must add this warning: we cannot balance the budget on the backs of California's families and businesses.

And that, unfortunately, is precisely what the House-passed continuing resolution does.

In short, H.R. 1 is budget-cutting by ideology. If our friends on the other side of the Capitol did not like a program, they cut it. Unfortunately, cutting by this sort of political bias left us with a bill that will slash hundreds of thousands of jobs—700,000 jobs by some economic estimates—and many of those in the private sector.

Even worse for my home State of California, the programs that House Republicans chose to cut form the backbone of our job-creation strategy and the heart of many programs on which our working families rely.

Public health and education programs will be especially hard hit.

The budget for community health centers is cut by more than half. In California, these clinics serve 2.8 million patients every year—and for

many, they are the only health care option available. These cuts would force clinics to fire 28,000 doctors and staff.

Funding for Head Start is slashed by 14 percent, depriving 24,000 low-income children in California access to early childhood services. Thousands of teachers and staff will lose their jobs and parents will lose a reliable child care option.

And the title X Family Planning Program is completely eliminated. For California, that means 1.2 million individuals lose health care, counseling and education services. And this ends the program that has been credited with preventing more than 400,000 abortions in 2008 more than 80,000 of those in California.

H.R. 1 would also sacrifice tens of thousands of California jobs. We have the second-highest unemployment rate in the Nation, and this bill will send us in the wrong direction.

Two key priorities for California are in the crosshairs: our clean energy sector and the modernization of our aging infrastructure. And both of these are proven job-creators.

A vital Energy Department loan program will be lost, cutting $40 billion worth of investment. By ending this one program, California developers will be forced to halt 24 projects, killing 76,000 jobs.

Funding for energy research and development will be slashed by $1.9 billion, meaning a loss of more than $200 million for California's laboratories and universities. That means a direct loss of 9,400 jobs and enduring damage to our leadership as a global innovator.

Funding for a high-speed rail initiative will be eliminated, and $1 billion already sent to California will be rescinded. This would likely end California's hopes for a high-speed rail line, and in the process eliminate 20,000 future jobs.

The bill also rescinds funding for nationally significant transportation infrastructure investments. For California, this ends six projects totaling $59 million, including a Los Angeles metro line and a rail project at the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.

As the economy continues its fragile recovery, we simply cannot afford to make dramatic spending cuts driven purely by political ideology. Unfortunately, this is the approach employed by House Republicans.

I support a different course in the Senate. I believe we must pair responsible, targeted spending cuts with smart investments that will support California and the Nation's economic recovery, preserve jobs and protect families.

The Senate bill, introduced last week, takes a more pragmatic approach. Like the Republican plan, the Senate bill imposes significant spending cuts, but it does so through prudent action that will not jeopardize our Nation's economic recovery.

The Senate bill cuts $51 billion from the President's fiscal year 2011 budget request, eliminates earmarks and advances additional spending cuts proposed by the President for fiscal year 2012. However, in contrast to the House bill, this legislation continues support for critical health, infrastructure, education, and energy investments, and provides necessary funding for essential services the American people depend upon every day.

Yes, Mr. President, we need to rein in spending. But we can't do that if we use a political litmus test to pick and choose which programs to cut.

I encourage my colleagues to join with me in opposition to these shortsighted and damaging budget cuts.

Mr. CARDIN. Mr. President, today we are faced with two alternative versions of a fiscal year 2011 funding bill. The version that came over from the other body contains an endless list of problems. It slashes fundamental programs that are important to the health and well-being of every America, unleashes attacks on our bedrock environmental laws that protect clear and safe water as well as healthy air, and undermine our efforts to reassert America's leading role in education, research and innovation. Casting a vote against this bill will be easy.

The alternative bill offered by the Senate Appropriations Committee is dramatically better. It is a good faith effort at tackling the deficit while maintaining critical investments in America's future. This bill, however, is not without its problems.

The Senate version of the fiscal year 2011 spending bill restores a substantial portion of the cuts to the nation's water infrastructure contained in H.R. 1. I applaud the committee's effort to protect this critical investment, but it doesn't go far enough. As a nation, we see 650 water main breaks per day. That is the rate of one every 2 minutes and results in the loss of $2.6 billion in water annually. The story on wastewater systems is equally dismal, resulting in sewage overflows and broken pipes on a daily basis. Our drinking water and wastewater infrastructure has been given a grade of D- from the American Society of Civil Engineers.

These system failures aren't just expensive, they are also dangerous. Raw sewage flows into our rivers and streams, forcing local health authorities to warn local residents to avoid contact with rivers, beaches and lakes. Drinking water systems are forced to issue boil-water advisories to compensate for broken systems. We must do better. The investments in water infrastructure in the Senate bill are a step in the right direction, but we should be doing more.

There are other examples as well. The cuts to the conservation programs in the Senate version are disproportionately large. The Watershed and Flood Prevention Operations Program at USDA, for example, is eliminated entirely.

We need to make hard choices about our funding priorities. But we shouldn't be doing so without also having a frank discussion about tax loopholes that cost us billions of dollars annually.

And finally, the Senate bill is a dramatic improvement over H.R. 1 in terms of environmental policy. The other body approved legislative riders that would stop EPA from being able to protect the air Americans breathe every day and it would stop dead in its tracks the Chesapeake Bay restoration effort. The Senate bill, to its credit, eliminates these terrible policy directives. The Senate bill, however, does include a provision that would legislatively de-list the gray wolf from the endangered species list.

I continue to oppose legislative efforts to delist endangered species. We have a regulatory process that is based on scientific data, and we should use it. All that is needed is for the States in the Northern Rockies to submit appropriate management plans to the Department of Interior so that the law can work the way Congress intended.

I have no problem today in voting against the draconian cuts and terrible public policy riders in H.R. 1. My support for the Senate alternative, which is dramatically better, is tempered by my concerns. My vote for the Senate substitute is a reluctant aye. As we move forward to enact a fiscal 2011 spending bill, I hope we will be able to address the issue I have outlined here to make sure that the American people are getting the kinds of investments and savings that they deserve.

Mr. ROCKEFELLER. Mr. President, I rise today to express my dismay at some of the irresponsible cuts in H.R. 1, particularly the elimination of a program of personal importance, The Corporation for National and Community Service which is home to the AmeriCorps and Volunteers in Service to America—VISTA—programs. I stand by a commitment to reduce the deficit, but we cannot be irresponsible in cuts to such essential programs that help the people of West Virginia and the rest of the United States.

As you may know, I came to West Virginia in 1964 as a VISTA worker which is now a part of the Corporation along with other public service organizations. My decision to join VISTA was one of the most important decisions of my life. While my goal was to help the people of Emmons, WV, that community came to help me much, much more. It gave me a new focus of public service which has led me into a fulfilling and meaningful career. Sargent Shriver was the shining light and soul of VISTA and many other public service initiatives. Through these programs, he inspired me to find my true home in West Virginia, and I have no doubt that he profoundly impacted the lives of many other volunteers. VISTA, AmeriCorps and all the public service programs under the Corporation provide opportunity for everyone from young people to seniors. As a young man, it changed the direction of my

life. It provides service opportunities which can provide educational vouchers and jobs skills.

There were over a thousand applicants in 2010 to the West Virginia branch of AmeriCorps. I cannot support the continuing resolution from the House which eliminates this program and says ''no thanks'' to them. Since 1994, over 9,300 West Virginians have served over 14 million hours in efforts to better communities across the state. Over 7,600 disadvantaged children and youth in West Virginia will be left without a tutor or mentor, directly impeding their ability to achieve the academic gains needed to succeed. This not only damages communities today but also damages our future workforce; we cannot rob young people of a better future.

By eliminating AmeriCorps and VISTA, we are missing an opportunity to develop lifelong public servants and leaders—the public servants and leaders our country needs now more than ever before. Nationally, through the elimination of AmeriCorps and VISTA, we would lose the volunteer service of nearly 75,000 people. Across the country, elimination of AmeriCorps and VISTA would have a devastating impact.

I was told of the story of Elvin Campbell, an AmeriCorps member and military veteran, serving in Charleston, WV. Elvin works with homeless individuals, providing them with life skills, helping them prepare a resume, search for a job and successfully budget their finances.

Last year, Elvin helped 127 people go from being unemployed to self-sufficient, contributing members of society. Without Elvin, there are 127 people who would otherwise be unemployed, an investment in AmeriCorps is an investment in jobs across this country. Elvin is one of 10 veterans doing work like this throughout the Charleston area. Through AmeriCorps, these military heroes help grow our economy and move my State, and our Nation forward.

We talk quite a bit about jobs around here. Elvin's example shows us that dedicated public servants can give our citizens the necessary skills to attain jobs throughout West Virginia. H.R. 1 eliminates AmeriCorps which was organized under President Clinton and expanded more than 50 percent by President Bush. Democratic and Republican administrations alike have realized it is a great investment, leading to over 60 million volunteer hours last year. In West Virginia alone, AmeriCorps volunteers have served over a million hours last year, improving West Virginia communities across the State.

Without AmeriCorps, West Virginia would lose 800 AmeriCorps servicemembers and 115 year-long VISTA slots, translating into over 691,000 service hours, combined. The loss of the VISTA slots is estimated to result in the loss of 18,720 volunteers providing over 397,000 hours of service to West Virginia communities. Each state would face similar cuts and be faced with the consequences.

I urge my colleagues not to support these cuts in H.R. 1. Of course, this program is just one of many the continuing resolution approved by the House proposes for elimination. I am willing to work together with my colleagues to identify real savings, but I cannot support H.R. 1 or the reckless cuts it proposes.

I thank the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Missouri.

Mrs. MCCASKILL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak for up to 5 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mrs. MCCASKILL. Mr. President, we need to make serious and substantial cuts and we also need to compromise. Cuts and compromise need to be the dialog occurring across the aisle and with each other. I have great respect for some of my colleagues on the other side who say we have a structural debt problem and we have to get to it. Certainly we do.

But it is completely wrong that we would do massive cuts all in one fell swoop right now with our economy in the position that it is. That would cause as big a crisis as our failure to deal with our long-term structural debt. So that is where the compromise part comes in. We have to do significant cuts now, we have to put everything on the table and look at our long-term debt structure and we need to figure out how we do that in a bipartisan way, because we are going to fail our country if no one is willing to compromise.

The House resolution, frankly, was not smart in the way they did the cuts. No one in any business would take all the cuts out of one small sliver of their business. They would look at their entire business to try to find cost savings. It was not smart that all the pain was in one place, and they are killing off the very part of our budget that has the best chance of increasing economic activity in this country—the building of roads and bridges, the educating of our kids, the research and the science and development. So while their cuts were more substantial than the Democrats' plan in the Senate, they were not smart cuts. They did not spread the pain around.

On the other hand, the Senate has not gone far enough. It is, frankly, disappointing to me. I still think there are way too many people in denial around here about the nature of the problem and how serious it is, and I don't think we are demonstrating to the American people we understand the nature of the problem when we present an alternative proposal with such a small number of cuts. The sweet spot is somewhere in between these two approaches. Pain needs to be spread more broadly throughout the budget so the pain is not so acute in one area of the budget, and we need to look at all the programs, put it all on the table, and we need to be able to compromise.

Let me point out where I think some of the compromises could come easy. The Senate version, which I will not be able to support—the Senate Democratic version—increases the President's budget in 15 different programs. Think about that. We are trying to cut, and our appropriators have come up with a plan to increase 15 of the President's budget requests by a total of $2.6 billion. We are going the wrong direction. We should be, at a minimum, cutting what the President has recommended cutting. Frankly, I think we need to go even further.

The Pentagon. Let me give one example that came up in a hearing yesterday so people understand there are real savings. We have a Pentagon that we can't audit, and we haven't been able to audit for decades. It is frustrating that we don't have business systems in place that allow transparency and that allow wise choices in terms of the expenditure of dollars. In a hearing yesterday in the Armed Services Committee, I talked with the head of the Navy and the Marines about a system they are putting in place to track equipment. Good idea; right? We want to track equipment. The Army is also putting in a system to track equipment. Here is the rub. They share equipment. You might think these two systems will be able to talk to each other. Oh, no, they have been done separately. They do not talk to each other. It is different software. We are going to have to spend more money for a mechanism so the two systems' tracking equipment—sometimes the equipment they are sharing—can see what is going on. By the way, each of these systems is billions of dollars. We could save billions of dollars by saying to the Army and to the Marines: Use the same software. Use the same program.

That is the kind of savings we can find in the Pentagon if we just look at the GAO high-risk list. The Air Force has been trying for years to put resource management software in place. They are saying they can't even get there until 2017. Are you kidding?

We have to be more efficient with the dollars we spend at the Pentagon, and we will not be if we always say yes and we never say no. There will be no incentive to find savings or to find more jointness among our different military branches in terms of administrative costs if we always say yes and never say no. So the pain has to be felt at the Pentagon too. We cannot do this without pain being felt at the Pentagon.

It has to be across the board, it has to be more substantial than $6.5 billion, and we all have to be willing to compromise.

I will be voting no on both proposals for that reason, but I am anxious to sit down, I am anxious to sit down at the table and find those compromises.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator has used 5 minutes.

Mrs. MCCASKILL. I wish to make real cuts and move forward in a responsible way to show the American people we get it.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Nebraska is recognized.

Mr. NELSON of Nebraska. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak for up to 8 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. NELSON of Nebraska. Mr. President, I rise to speak about the two budget bills we will be voting on shortly that purport to cut spending in Washington. I appreciate the comments of my good friend from Missouri, Senator MCCASKILL, in pointing out many of the shortcomings of the two pieces of legislation.

I am here to deliver a eulogy. Both bills are dead, and they deserve to be dead. One bill cuts too little; the other bill has too much hate. Neither one is serious. Most important, neither will pass, and they shouldn't pass because they are unfair. They are not in the best interests of Nebraskans and taxpayers across our country. About the only thing they are serious about is guaranteeing shutting down the government.

Nebraskans want serious spending cuts, but these bills are loaded with tricks, treats, gimmicks, and games. On one side, we see a bill that simply cuts too little. It purports to cut spending by $50 billion, but when you push through the thicket of gimmicks, it actually only cuts about $6 billion. In a budget of more than $1 trillion, a cut of about one-half percent isn't enough. It will not get runaway government spending under control, nor will it begin to bring down that unsustainable national debt that endangers America's future. To win my support, there needs to be more cuts in spending and they need to be real and reasonable.

That brings me to the other bill. The other bill is H.R. 1. It is chock-full of provisions pushing a political agenda snuck into the bill in the middle of the night. One provision is an attack on America's farmers. It aims to block allowing the use of more American-made ethanol in our cars and trucks. Today, cars and trucks can use gas with 10 percent ethanol. The government has just approved a 15-percent ethanol blend. There is no cost, but that didn't stop some from sneaking in an unfair ban.

Cutting back on ethanol at a time when gas prices are above $3.50 a gallon nationwide, and rising fast, is the wrong thing to do. Worse, it is a gift to foreign oil. Looking at all the violence and turmoil we are seeing on TV in a key oil-producing region of the world, it seems the worst possible gift at the worst possible time.

Another political agenda item in H.R. 1 will eliminate public television in Nebraska—an educational resource for our citizens and an informational lifeline in many parts of Nebraska.

This bill makes other sneaky attempts to push a political agenda. It eliminates poison control centers, blocks a consumer database people might use to determine a product's safety, and keeps high-speed Internet service out of rural areas.

H.R. 1 also limits urban homeland security funds and sets the limit arbitrarily at 25 cities. That will likely bar any future funding to the largest city in my State. While some might not think of Omaha as a terror target, let me tell you it is the home to the U.S. Strategic Command, a major national telecommunications hub, and is a key rail and highway crossroads for freight and transportation.

On the spending side, the House bill makes unfair cuts to the States, including mine, that will cost Nebraskans hundreds of jobs and, indeed, unnecessary hardship. For example, more than 1,200 Nebraska children would lose access to Head Start, which has proven to help students complete high school. The bill cuts Pell tuition grants that 43,000 Nebraskans need to afford college.

In addition, the $75 million cut for homeless veterans' housing vouchers seems absurd. To quote the Director of the Omaha Veterans Hospital: ''This is a very big deal for those veterans and the community.'' This could impact 10,000 homeless veterans nationwide. In Omaha alone, 240 veterans have used these vouchers, and there are likely 600 more who need this help to find permanent housing.

After all is said and done, the bottom line is Washington hasn't gotten serious. I will not be held accountable for the failings of the House and the Senate.

I can, however, be held accountable for 1 of the 12 spending bills that fund the government. As chairman of the Senate Appropriations Legislative Branch Subcommittee, I have the responsibility to oversee the spending bill for Congress. We cut spending last year, we are cutting spending by 5 percent this year, and we will be back for more cuts next year.

Last year, Senator MURKOWSKI and I did this before all the campaign-style speeches about cutting began. It was clear she didn't bring a political agenda to the table, and I hope she knows I didn't either. This year, in working with Senator HOEVEN—also a former Governor, as I am—who is now ranking member on the subcommittee, I have every reason to believe he also will be serious and fair as we reduce spending in next year's budget.

Cutting the spending for Congress—for our offices, committees and staff, our buildings, our police—and our efforts on this Hill is an effort to lead by example. Our committee's bipartisan example could serve as a model for how the other 11 spending bills are handled. Our message, to paraphrase Harry Truman, is: The buck shrinks here.

Soon, we will vote on the two spending bills. One has done nothing to attract Republicans; the other has done nothing to attract Democrats. In the end, we have bills that will divide. They do not unite Congress. For the reasons I have given, I will vote against both.

Then what is next? Washington needs to put aside all the games and gimmicks, the treats and tricks, and come together and do what is right for the American people by passing the budget. They deserve it, they are owed it, and it is now time.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Oregon is recognized.

Mr. MERKLEY. Mr. President, this debate today is all about jobs. The House Republican budget which we will soon be voting on in this body will destroy 700,000 American jobs. That is the estimate put forward by Mark Zandi, who advised JOHN MCCAIN's Presidential campaign and now works for the nonpartisan Moody's Group.

That sort of estimate is not just a voice in the wilderness, it is repeated by major group after major group. Ben Bernanke, Chairman of the Fed, said last week the plan would cost ''a couple hundred thousand jobs.'' Goldman Sachs analyst Alec Phillips put forth an estimate that said ''this House job-killing plan will reduce, in the second and third quarters of the next year, the economy of the United States by 1.5 to 2 percentage points.''

What is 2 percent of a $15 trillion economy? It is $300 billion.

Whether you view it in terms of the gross domestic product or you view it in terms of the number of jobs of Americans taken away by the job-killing Republican plan, this bill, H.R. 1, that we will be voting on later today, is a disaster.

I want us to focus on this number because I can tell you, folks back home in Oregon want to create jobs. They want us to put America back to work. They don't want to have a plan put forward that continues to pay enormous bonuses to the billionaires of Wall Street and creates enormous special tax bonuses for the billionaires who are making their tax returns while taking out this huge economic meltdown on working people.

Let's review how this all came to pass. You will recall that during the second Bush administration there was a plan to launch two foreign wars and not pay for them, and then proceed to create Medicare Part D and not pay for it, and then to give bonus tax breaks to millionaires and billionaires and not pay for it, and reverse an enormous annual surplus and turn it into an enormous annual deficit and, in the process, produce a very tiny, modest expansion, in which working people actually lost ground. That expansion all went to the very top.

That was just the beginning, before my colleagues across the aisle decided they are going to deregulate retail mortgages in order to ramp up predatory mortgages, produce a huge balloon

in the real estate market, deregulate Wall Street so they could proceed to securitize those mortgages and have those blow up in financial institutions across America and have the economy melt down in 2008–2009. That is how we got where we are right now.

Rather than take on a plan that creates jobs in America, the plan is to eliminate 700,000 jobs across this country.

Let's be clear. There is a tremendous amount we can do to reduce the deficit. A plan was put forward a few weeks ago in which we get rid of those bonus breaks for those who are in the top 2 percent of this country. It saves about $250 billion a year in real hard cash. The plan has been put forward to get rid of the tax breaks for the oil and gas industry which was doing very well and is doing even better now with the oil market speculators driving it up to over $100 a barrel. These things actually close the deficit. They do not destroy the economy. They actually create the sort of plan that can create jobs across this Nation and put people back to work and invest in the future of our Nation rather than saying—after we blew up the economy—let's make working people do even worse.

I am going to summarize by saying embedded in H.R. 1 is attack after attack. For example, taking on preventive health care, preventive health care for women across this country by taking out title X.

How about the attack on homeless veterans? I go throughout my State and folks say: A lot of our sons and daughters are coming home from Iraq and Afghanistan and we need to support them in the transition back home. It is very difficult, there is a lot of post-traumatic stress. Instead of supporting them, my colleagues across the aisle have produced a plan that says let's take away that support for housing for the homeless, housing for veterans.

I could go on because the list is so long and the attacks are so many. But that option, while it does only a modest amount in the short term to affect the deficit, proceeds to have devastating results on the economy. And what will happen when the economy will collapse again, a double-dip recession courtesy of H.R. 1? Then the debt goes back up, so it is a loss on both fronts. We need a responsible plan to reduce the deficit, not a plan to bomb the economy on the heads of our working Americans.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Oklahoma is recognized.

Mr. COBURN. Mr. President, will you kindly advise me when I have 10 minutes left, please.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair will do so.

Mr. COBURN. Mr. President, I come to the floor today to talk about where we find ourselves. Nobody has spent more time in the last 6½ years on this floor talking about the problem in front of us. Hopefully I can do that in a way that would never impugn the motives of my fellow Senators.

I enjoyed the speech of the Senator from Nebraska, because one of the things he stated is we have to come together. You are not going to get coming together when we have the speech we just heard, when you have a speech that impugns the motives of the people in the other body trying to solve some of our problems.

The first point I wish to make is we are at a place where we are going to vote on two bills with no amendments. Since when did the Senate give up its ability to try to amend bills going forward? The reason we are not is because, had we not agreed to it, the tree would have been filled and we would have either voted for cloture or not to have cloture, but the fact is in this body we ought to be about amending what we do not like in the bills.

If the Senator from Oregon does not like what is in the bills, he ought to have a chance to try to change it. Yet we find ourselves with a very short period of time to debate what is the No. 1 risk for us as a nation today.

I have read all the economic studies that have been put out on H.R. 1. I have also read what the economists on the other side say in terms of the false assumptions that have been made in it. I don't know which ones are right, but the fact is we are not going to have an actual debate on advancing amendments.

Let me describe where we are today, so everybody knows. We are adding $4.507 billion to the deficit every day. We are borrowing in the international market $3 billion a day. By the time I finish this talk, we will have added $98 million to the deficit. Adding something to the deficit, what does that mean? That is the same thing as saying we are taking the opportunity away from the children and the generation that follows us by putting them in debtors prison. That is exactly what we have done.

I don't care where the blame lies. What we have to start doing is not spending money we do not have. We cannot continue to spend money we do not have. It is very interesting that a week ago this morning, this past Monday, the GAO issued a report. It covers one-third of the Federal Government and lists the duplications they found. We asked them to do that with the last debt limit extension in the Federal Government.

According to my calculations, there is at least $100 billion that is not touched by either of these bills that could be eliminated tomorrow and saved against our children's future. We do not have an opportunity to offer amendments to do that on this bill. Here is real data compiled by the Government Accountability Office where multitudes of Members from this body have called me and said how do we help you do that?

The first way you help me do that is make sure we have an open process on the floor where we can offer an amendment to do it. Let me highlight for a minute some of the things that were in this wonderful report put forward by the GAO.

We have 47 job training programs across 9 different agencies that we are spending $18 billion a year on. Not one of them has a metric on whether it is successful or actually is accomplishing what it is supposed to do. Why do we need so many different job training programs? Why do we need any job training program if we cannot show it is working? Why are we spending money on a job training program that is not working?

We have five departments, eight agencies, two dozen Presidential appointees who oversee our work on bioterrorism. We don't have one agency responsible for it, one group of people. We are spending $6.5 billion a year on bioterrorism and the right hand doesn't know what the left hand is doing.

We have 20 agencies and 56 programs dedicated to teaching America financial literacy. We have no moral authority to teach anybody financial literacy when we have a deficit that is going to be $1.65 trillion this year and we are $14.2 trillion in debt.

We have 80 economic development programs across 4 different agencies where we spend $6.5 billion a year. We don't know if they are working. Maybe they are but we ought to know it before we spend money we don't have on things when we do not know if they are working.

We have 15 different agencies covering 30 food safety laws—15 different agencies.

We have 18 nutrition programs, separate nutrition programs. We spend $62.5 billion a year, $30 billion of it is borrowed, and we do not know the results. Why shouldn't we have one? And why shouldn't we put a metric on it to know whether it is working?

We have 20 homeless programs. We heard mentioned the homeless programs. We are going to spend $2.9 billion at seven different agencies, and if you add up the money over the last 10 years that we spent on homeless programs we can buy every homeless person in this country a $200,000 home. Yet we continue to spend money. We don't know if the programs are working. They certainly would be better off if they had $200,000 for their care for the future rather than continue the programs we have no metrics on.

We have 82 teacher training quality programs—82 of them. They are across 10 different Federal Government agencies and we spend $4 billion a year on them and we have no idea whether they are successful. As a matter of fact, we do know if they are successful—we are not successful in our country today. Are we getting value for what we are spending? Remember, 40 cents of every dollar we spend on these programs we are borrowing from the Chinese.

We have 52 programs for entrepreneurial efforts. Since when is that a

role of the Federal Government? We have 35 programs to oversee infrastructure; 27 different programs for commercial buildings; 28 programs to oversee new markets outside of this country—28 programs; 20 programs for business incubators, and 17 different grant programs for disaster preparedness. We have 17 different grant programs for disaster preparedness; 34 areas where Federal agencies, offices, or programs have redundant objectives or are fragmented across several departments; 2,100 data centers for 24 agencies. Consolidating them could save $200 billion over a decade. We cannot even offer that amendment on this debate. That is $20 billion a year. That is a third of what the House wants to save.

We have the ability—there is the waste, there is the incompetency in what Congress has done through its duplication of efforts and its lack of oversight—to solve a great portion of our fiscal problems.

We can do it. But we cannot do it when the process does not allow for debate and votes on those specific issues. I want to talk for a minute about what is ahead of us as a nation because I think it is important. I am very sorry my friend, President Obama, has not led on this issue.

Let me tell you what is in front of us. What is in front of us is rising interest rates. What is in front of us is rising competition for capital to fund our deficits. The historic rate for us on our debt as a nation over the last 35 years has been greater than 6 percent. Last year we paid less than 2 percent for what we have on the books, less than 2 percent average.

Our historical timeframe on when that money is due is usually about 10-plus years. We are now financing that at 59 months. What is going to happen in the world next year? Well, the difference in terms of sovereign financing—that is, other countries that also need to borrow money, including us—and what is available to finance that next year is $7 trillion. In other words, there is a $7 trillion shortfall in terms of what is going to be available to finance.

If we are going to borrow $7 trillion in the world more than what is available, what do we think is going to happen to interest rates? What is going to happen? Well, they are going to rise. So we are going to be faced with one of the following two dilemmas unless Congress gets its act together. Here are the two dilemmas: The first is, our average interest cost goes back to its historical cost. If that happens, immediately we are going to see $640 billion more a year in interest costs—$640 billion. We only have less than $200 billion this year. We are going to see a $640 billion increase. That does not help any person who has a need. All that does is that is paid out to our debtors. That is a very real risk for us right now.

That goes to say that we do not have a lot of time because what we know is interest rates are going to rise. So it is mandatory that Congress do what the American people have known for a while we need to do; that is, live within our means.

Now, let me describe the second problem or second solution; that is, what the Federal Reserve will do. The Federal Reserve will just print more money. Well, if we print more money and we do not have any base for doing that, that creates what is called inflation. It is called debasing our currency.

What happens in America if we decide that the way we are going to get out of our problem is to debase our currency and print more money, like the Fed is doing right now, what is called quantitative easing 2?

They are printing $600 billion worth of money between now and June. Well, what happens is the value of everything we own in real terms declines, in nominal dollars goes up, but the purchasing power of the average middle-class family in this country declines tragically, and the safety net this country has been known for, for those who are far less fortunate than the average American, will be absolutely unaffordable, totally unaffordable. So we are talking about the destruction of the best of America, our middle class. We are talking about taking opportunities away.

So what is the answer? The answer is not to have more partisan statements by Senator MERKLEY impugning the motives of people who are trying to do what is right even though it is different from his opinion. The answer is for Congress to get together and recognize the threat to our future and give up long-held positions to solve the greater good. That means we have to move. That means we have to recognize that we cannot have it all our way.

How do we actually do that? Well, the one failure of Congress, in my time in Congress, both in the House in the mid-1990s and now in the Senate since 2004, is we do not do oversight. How did we end up with this report that shows $100 billion in duplications? The only way it could happen is we were not watching. We were not doing the oversight.

So one of the things that needs to happen is the chairman of every committee ought to spend 90 percent of their time in oversight on things we are already doing rather than working on creating new bills and new programs.

Second, what ought to happen is we ought to have a vigorous debate with amendments on the floor of the Senate to solve some of the very real problems. It is not all that hard to solve these problems. But what happens is in partisan bodies, people talk past one another. Nobody would decline the fact that we ought to get rid of unused property. We can save $8 billion a year if we get rid of unused Federal property. Does anybody disagree with that? But we have not done it. There is $8 billion. We are going to get out of this $1.6 trillion hole a couple billion dollars at a time. We ought to do that. We ought to get rid of the wasteful printing at the Government Printing Office, save several hundred million dollars over the next 10 years.

We ought to quit paying bonuses to contractors who do not do their job—and we have documented that multiple agencies are doing that—performance bonuses when they do not meet the performance requirements.

We ought to collect the unpaid taxes from Federal employees; it is $3 billion. Those are the settled claims; these are not the unsettled claims.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. CARDIN.) The Chair notifies the Senator he has 10 minutes remaining.

Mr. COBURN. I thank the Chair. Here is a list of ideas that comes to $380 billion, of things that we would, as normal Americans sitting at our family table, say: You are in trouble. Forty percent of your budget you are spending you do not have the money for. Your credit cards are maxed out. You cannot do it. What can we spend? Here it is.

There were 72 amendments last year. Two of them passed the Senate. I heard the Senator from Nebraska talking about some pain that might occur in his district. I want to tell you, there has to be shared pain all across the country if we are going to get out of the problem we are in. We can no longer kick the can down the road without spilling the soup all over our kids. The time for action is now. The time for leadership is now.

If we look at history, what we find is the average age of a republic is 207 years. All republics before us have failed. How have they failed? What is it that caused them to lose their economy and their freedom? Was it an invading army? Was it some natural disaster? None of those things. If we go back in history, every one that has failed has failed over fiscal issues, the very issues that confront us today.

I think it is time America cheats history. I think it is time we come together and solve these big problems. It is going to be painful for everyone.

It means some Senators are going to lose their seats if they do the best, right thing for America. There cannot be a greater calling than that, to do the best, right thing for our country. It means following a pattern of leadership that says personal sacrifice by me in a leadership position has to come first, demonstrating my ability to understand the problem.

So we are going to have a limited debate on two bills, both imperfect. But certainly one of them goes more toward the problem that we have than the other. We are going to spend $3.8 trillion this year through September 30. The deficit is going to be $1.6 trillion.

The savings from the House cut is this little, bitty green line down here. It is only $57 billion. The savings from INOUYE is $4.7. You cannot even see a line. It does not begin to address the duplication, the waste, the fraud and abuse, the incompetence of what we have created in the Federal Government. It will not solve our problems.

It will be a nice starting point for partisan debate, but it takes us away from what we need to do. Here is the cut. Here is the deficit. This is a pie chart. It is showing—it is nothing—$57 billion is nothing. What we have to do to be able to compete in a world financial market is send a signal that we get what the problem is, that we are willing to make the difficult and tough sacrifices and choices to become viable and reliable and have people loan us money in the future. We have to extend the term and period of our debt where we buy the time to make these things possible so the least amount of pain—although very real—comes about.

For goodness' sake, we have to stop spending money we do not have on things we do not absolutely need.

I yield the remainder of my time to the Senator from Louisiana.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Louisiana is recognized.

Mr. VITTER. Mr. President, I thank my colleague. As Congress tries to get serious about cutting wasteful and reckless spending, I believe taxpayer subsidy of abortion providers should be among the first things to go. I personally believe it is morally wrong to end an innocent human life through abortion. I also believe it is morally reprehensible to take the dollars of millions of pro-life Americans in order to fund organizations that do that. That is a view held by the great majority of Americans. Americans should not be forced to subsidize abortion, much less fund our Nation's largest abortion provider, Planned Parenthood.

When the House passed its continuing resolution before us today, it adopted a provision that cuts funding to this abortion giant, and it did it with significant bipartisan support. Unfortunately, that language was stripped by Senate leadership behind closed doors. But I believe Senators should have a chance to vote directly on that measure following a full and open debate.

Again, I do not personally believe abortion is a right guaranteed by the Constitution. I believe that it is morally wrong. But this issue is even beyond that. This is not about abortion on demand in this country. This debate is about whether taxpayer dollars, including those billions of taxpayer dollars from pro-life Americans, should be sent to organizations such as Planned Parenthood, which performs millions of abortions in this country.

According to their latest annual report, Planned Parenthood boasted more than $363 million in taxpayer funding, $363 million. By the way, that is the same year it performed an unprecedented 324,008 abortions. Every year since 2000 the government has increased taxpayer funding of Planned Parenthood, on average over a $22 million-a-year increase. And guess what. The number of abortions Planned Parenthood has performed has also steadily increased, even though the overall abortion rate in the United States has declined since 2008.

Planned Parenthood's abortion rate massively outpaces its adoption referrals. In 2008, a woman entering a Planned Parenthood clinic was 134 times more likely to have an abortion than to be referred for an adoption.

Planned Parenthood has also made a profit every year since 1987, including over a $63 million return profit in 2009. There is no justification for subsidizing Planned Parenthood's profit-making venture with taxpayer dollars.

There has been a recent onslaught of ads that claim Planned Parenthood is simply a leading provider of women's health services. But abortions, not other health services, not true health services—abortions account for roughly one-third of the $1 billion income generated by its affiliated clinics.

Let me be clear. This provision would not cut all title X funding for health services such as breast cancer screenings, HIV testing, counseling, valuable family planning services. It would simply block funds, taxpayer dollars, from subsidizing America's largest abortion provider. That has the support of the American people, even well beyond America's prolife numbers. I believe the sanctity of human life is a principle that Congress should proclaim at every opportunity. Again, this goes even beyond that.

The time has come to respect the wishes of the great majority of Americans, pro-life and many pro-choice, who say using taxpayer dollars to fund huge abortion providers—Planned Parenthood is the biggest—simply is not right. We have to cut. We have to get responsible. This is certainly a key place to start.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Maryland is recognized.

Ms. MIKULSKI. I ask unanimous consent to speak for up to 10 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Ms. MIKULSKI. Mr. President, I rise to address the Senate on the consequences of where we are in the debate on appropriations and the harsh and punitive consequences of H.R. 1. However, I cannot let the comments made during the last half hour go unchallenged.

First, I wish to comment on the remarks of the Senator from Oklahoma on duplication in government. I so respect the Senator from Oklahoma. We have worked on many fiscal reform issues. But he went over a list, program by program by program, of where he says we have duplication. I don't challenge his facts, but I do challenge his inertia. If these programs have been in existence and have been duplicative and denied value to the taxpayer, where has he been? The Republicans were in charge for 10 years, from 2000 to 2008. They were in charge of all three branches of the government. So if he didn't like duplication, they had the Presidency, the House, and they had the Senate. Why didn't they change it? Now all of a sudden they have religion. I don't know what faith that is, but they now have the desire to talk about it. If they were serious about ending duplication, it should have started a long time ago.

Second, bring the ideas forth, look for cosponsors from other reform-minded people such as the Presiding Officer and myself. Let's end the duplication. But let's end the duplicity and in the way we talk about duplication. I am tired of blaming Barack Obama, who has been President for 2 years, for everything that is perceived as wrong with the United States. Where were they?

I must credit the Senator from Oklahoma. He has often brought fiscal folly to the attention of the Senate. I was with him when we fought lavish meatballs at $4 and $7 apiece that he found. When he showed it to me, I joined with him in the reform package. I loved it, and I look forward to working with him. But don't act holier than thou now about duplication.

Now let's go to the abortion topic. I will not change this conversation into the ''A'' word. However, the Senator talked about Planned Parenthood being profit making. It cannot be. It is a nonprofit. I am not even going to argue additional revenue. He doesn't want to give money to Planned Parenthood because they make a profit. I won't debate him on that. But the next time he supports an oil subsidy, I am going to oppose it because the oil companies make a profit. I am going to fight tooth and nail to end the lavish subsidies we give on oil and gas. If we are not going to give it to Planned Parenthood because they make money, then I will not support giving it to oil companies because they make money. So there. I have said it, and I am proud of it.

This is no laughing matter, what we are dealing with on appropriations. H.R. 1 will have a Draconian effect on the middle class and a Draconian effect on jobs. Our economy is very fragile. We are barely making a recovery. If we pass H.R. 1, we will place our Nation at risk because of the impact of the cuts on those who really do protect America.

It will further pummel the middle class. I am not going to stand for further pummeling of the middle class.

I am not just a Senator from Maryland. Like the Presiding Officer, I am a Senator for Maryland. If we take a look at what H.R. 1 does, it really whacks at Maryland's middle-class families. It cuts job training, education and access to higher education, much-needed childcare and afterschool programs. Right this very minute, if H.R. 1 passed, we would have people lose their Pell grants. A tremendous number of Marylanders would lose their Pell grants, not only numerically but their grant would be cut by over $500. For a lot of people, that is the money that helps buy textbooks, pays the lab fees so they can go on to be a lab tech or a cyber tech in the new economy.

Let's take a look at the children. They talked about the right to life. I am for a right to life after one is born. I think after one is born, they ought to have a shot. I respect their position. They have to respect ours. Under their Draconian cuts, 2,471 Maryland children would lose Head Start opportunities. Head Start is what gets them learning ready so they can take advantage of our public schools and move on in life. Let's have it for these little children.

Then there are those who say we need to compete. I want to compete too in the global marketplace. So what does that mean? It means we have to fund NIH. Under H.R. 1, NIH will be cut $1 billion. In cancer screening alone, 36,000 Marylanders will lose that opportunity, and 5 million will lose it nationwide. If we look at program after program and how it affects women and children and access to education, we are the net losers. If we are going to out-educate and out-innovate, we cannot pass H.R. 1, which takes me to why it is bad for Maryland jobs. We have the great honor of representing iconic Federal institutions: the National Institutes of Health, the National Institute of Standards, Goddard Space Agency, Goddard and Hopkins Space Telescope Institute, home to the great Hubbell telescope which serves the world in what it does. The fact is, it takes people to work there. If we do these Draconian cuts, it will have a tremendous impact. But I am not talking about only government jobs. I am talking about private sector jobs.

If H.R. 1 passes, we will lose a tremendous amount in Community Development Block Grant money. We will lose $11 million in Community Development Block Grant money. So whether one is in Baltimore City, making its comeback under Mayor Stephanie Rawlings, or in a small rural county, it could result in 1,000 jobs being lost in construction where we could be creating new housing and new opportunities for economic development. The Speaker of the House says if it is going to cost jobs, so be it. Well, so be it is not OK with me.

If we look at transportation alone, the cuts in transportation will cause Maryland to lose close to $100 million to fix highways, byways, beltways, the kinds of things we need to do that deal with congestion and will save lives because we will have safer roads, and create jobs in construction. That is over 3,157 Maryland jobs. Those are private sector construction jobs.

The Presiding Officer and I have visited our great Maryland biotech companies. They have NIH doing the basic research. They value add it, and then they go to FDA. FDA makes sure our prescription drugs are safe. When they have the FDA brand of approval, we can sell them anywhere in the world. But under these cuts, we are going to eliminate 5,000 jobs. What that means is, we are going to further set back the backlog in order to get a biotech, a pharmaceutical or a medical device approved. So it is going to cost us jobs in government in Maryland at FDA, but it is also going to cost jobs in the private sector in the biotech field, the medical device field, and the pharmaceutical field.

Right now the Social Security Administration—the people who calculate and make sure the checks go out on time to the right person—is facing possible layoffs and furloughs. We are facing nationwide an immediate possibility of furloughs of 3,500 people. What does that mean? The checks will go out, yes. But that means if one is applying for a benefit, they are going to wait a long time. If they have any kind of disability, the backlog could increase to as much as 3 to 4 years. They can't apply for Social Security disability. If they are applying for disability, it means they are too sick to work. So we are going to lay off the very people who make sure our checks are developed in a timely way.

I have more to say, and I will say it as the debate continues. But if we pass H.R. 1, it is a job-killing, middle-class pummeling bill that I am going to vote no on and urge my colleagues to do the same.

I also wish to discuss the Commerce, Justice, Science and Related Agencies, CJS, in the Senate Continuing Resolution, CR.

CJS in the Inouye CR totals $53.6 billion, which is $6.9 billion or 11 percent below the President's request for FY 2011. This is in contrast to the House Republican CR, which is $900 million lower. I support the Inouye CR and oppose the House Republican CR because it would undermine our ability to outeducation, outinnovate, and outbuild the rest of the world.

Make no mistake, the Inouye CR makes painful cuts, and further cuts will hurt even more. I would like my colleagues to know I will go no more. I am finished cutting.

Under the Inouye CR, CJS cuts $420 million from state and local law enforcement grants, a 7 percent cut to every grant program, including COPS hiring grants, Byrne grants, grants for bulletproof vests for police officers who walk the thin blue line, and grants to reduce the backlog of DNA evidence.

The CR terminates the Weed and Seed program, which helps local communities fight gangs and crime. State and local law enforcement agencies will lose Federal funding they need during a time of austerity in their own budgets.

Federal law enforcement is funded at substantially below the President's request in this CR. Specifically, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, FBI, is $500 million below President's request, and the Drug Enforcement Agency, DEA, is $110 million below President's request. The hiring freeze will continue. Vacant positions won't be filled—not just in headquarters—but in our local communities where agents are partners in fighting drugs and crime, and tracking down sexual predators who prey on our children.

The CR provides $6.3 billion for Bureau of Prisons salaries and expenses, which is $245.5 million below the President's FY 2011 budget request. Prisons will continue to have serious and dangerous overcrowding, putting the safety of our prison guards at risk.

The Justice Department's core capabilities will also be degraded. The CR cuts $216 million from internal technology upgrades that are supposed to give the Department better financial management and accountability, and better communications with State and local law enforcement.

This CR cuts the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, NOAA, by $943 million. This cut will jeopardize efforts to fix ongoing problems with the development of NOAA's polar satellites by not providing new funding for the Joint Polar Satellite System. This could lead to a gap in weather forecasting data that the military and our private sector rely upon.

The cuts in this CR will already halt plans to pump funds into scientific research and discovery that leads to innovation and economic growth, and education programs that build the next generation of scientists and innovators. Specifically, it cuts the National Aeronautics and Space Administration by $461 million, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, NIST, by $165 million and the National Science Foundation, NSF, by $573 million. NASA will continue to follow the authorizing bill with new path forward for human spaceflight, but the Agency won't be able to initiate new space technology programs. NIST will not have funding for new Technology Innovation Partnership, TIP, grants or for competitive science building construction. NSF will be halted on its path to doubling.

While the CR gives the Patent and Trademark Office full access to fees paid by inventors, it cuts the International Trade Administration, ITA, $93 million less than the fiscal year 2011 requested level. This will delay efforts to boost the economy and create jobs by helping more U.S. farmers, manufacturers, and service providers sell their products overseas.

We made many responsible cuts in the CR. It eliminates the $48 million Emergency Steel Loan Guarantee program, which has not made a loan since 2003, and cuts $44 million from the Census, eliminating duplicative and overlapping analysis performed by other agencies or issued in other ways by Census. The Inouye CR also eliminates one time construction projects at the FBI, the Bureau of Alcohol Tobacco and Firearms, and the U.S. Marshals Service.

Even with all that, we are being asked to do more. How much more is it responsible to cut? I want my colleagues to know, I am done cutting.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Montana.

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, I was concerned over what the effect of H.R. 1 would be on Medicare beneficiaries. I wrote a letter to HHS Secretary Sebelius asking that question, what effect passage of H.R. 1 would have on Medicare beneficiaries. I got the answer a couple days ago. Frankly, it spent a couple days going through the White House, OMB, and so forth, but I received the letter last night. What does that letter say?

I ask unanimous consent that this letter be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

DEPT. OF HEALTH & HUMAN SVCS.,
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY,
Washington, DC, March 8, 2011.

Hon. MAX BAUCUS,
Chairman, Senate Finance Committee, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: In a recent letter, you asked specifically how Medicare and Medicaid would be affected if the House-passed version of H.R. 1 were enacted. Sections 4016 and 4018 of H.R. 1 would preclude use of Continuing Resolution (CR) funds for implementing or carrying out provisions of the Affordable Care Act.

The Affordable Care Act modifies and improves almost every Medicare payment system—including the inpatient hospital prospective payment system, the outpatient hospital prospective payment system, the physician fee schedule, Medicare Advantage plan payments, and prescription drug plan payments. If H.R.1 were enacted, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) would not be able to use CR funds to administer payments based on any rate calculated on the basis of the provisions of the Affordable Care Act—which is to say virtually all rates.

Where the Affordable Care Act effectively repealed prior payment methodologies and replaced them with new ones, H.R. 1 would seem to preclude any payments for the items or services at issue. For example, the Affordable Care Act replaced the old statutory provisions governing payments to Medicare Advantage (MA) organizations with new provisions, including a freeze in payment levels in 2011. Using CR funds to make payments to MA organizations under the new Affordable Care Act provisions would be prohibited by H.R. 1. Moreover, there would not appear to be legal authority to pay MA organizations under the prior payment methodology, given that this methodology was repealed in the Affordable Care Act. This would seem to mean that payments to MA organizations would have to be suspended, risking a significant disruption in services to beneficiaries enrolled in Medicare Advantage.

In the event that any prior payment methodologies that have not been fully repealed by the Affordable Care Act could be decoupled from all of the additions to the Medicare statute made by the Affordable Care Act, CMS would have to perform extensive analyses to determine whether it could permissibly make payments of some sort. But, even if CMS could do so, it would be required to undertake rulemaking to establish new rates under each payment system, as the existing rates are all in some way dependent on Affordable Care Act authorities. The promulgation of each new rule could take several months to complete. While the new rates were implemented, CMS would be prohibited from paying providers and suppliers at the Affordable Care Act rates.

In a system where millions of claims are paid each week, millions of claims would accumulate, which CMS and its contractors would be prohibited from paying at the Affordable Care Act rates. At the point at which claims could begin to be paid at the new rates, it would take many months and significant resources to process the backlog—resources that H.R. 1 would not provide. As a result, providers and suppliers, many of which are small businesses—and, ultimately, beneficiaries—would experience significant disruption.

In addition to changes in Medicare payment methodologies, beginning this year, the Affordable Care Act authorizes Medicare to cover annual ''wellness visits'' for beneficiaries and waives coinsurance and deductibles for critical preventive services. Claims could no longer be paid for any of these benefits using CR funds, as payments for these benefits are authorized only by the Affordable Care Act.

Many of the provisions in the Affordable Care Act are aimed at slowing the growth rate of spending increases and improving health care for beneficiaries through innovative health service delivery reforms and value-based purchasing. None of these reforms—such as hospital value-based purchasing; payment incentives for reductions of hospital-acquired conditions; and the care transitions program for high-risk Medicare beneficiaries—could be implemented using CR funds.

H.R. 1 would adversely affect health care in rural areas as well. As an example, as a means to encourage physicians to provide services in rural areas, the Affordable Care Act established a new 10 percent bonus payment for primary care services furnished by primary care practitioners and for major surgical procedures furnished by general surgeons in shortage areas. Without available CR funding, CMS would no longer be able to provide the bonus to primary care and general surgery physicians for eligible services.

The Affordable Care Act also gives CMS new tools to fight fraud and helps us move from a pay-and-chase system to a comprehensive prevention-focused strategy. By precluding the use of CR funds for such efforts, H.R. 1 would substantially impede CMS's proven and successful efforts to reduce fraud and waste in the health care system, resulting in increased erroneous payments. H.R. 1 would effectively require CMS to cease enforcing new screening and enrollment standards, diminish CMS's ability to suspend payments when credible allegations of fraud are uncovered, and reduce resources that have been made available for investments in anti-fraud work.

The Affordable Care Act also provided States with a number of opportunities to compete for federal grants and expand eligibility and services for Medicaid beneficiaries at little or no cost to States. Among these are: funding for States to provide incentives to prevent chronic diseases in Medicaid beneficiaries, increased federal funding to provide preventive services for eligible adults in Medicaid, and enhanced funding to assist in providing health homes to Medicaid beneficiaries. Under H.R. 1, CR funds could not be used in furtherance of any of these provisions.

The Affordable Care Act also includes numerous other policies to make health care more affordable, accessible, and accountable for seniors, individuals with disabilities, children, and all other Americans, as well as businesses large and small. Its improvements are already woven into the fabric of our health care system. A broad prohibition on the use of CR funds would work to seriously impair or even halt the operation of the Early Retiree Reinsurance Program; the Pre-existing Condition Insurance Plan; and the health insurance rate review, consumer assistance, and Exchange grant programs.

I hope this information is helpful. We would be happy to answer any additional questions.

Sincerely,

KATHLEEN SEBELIUS,

Mr. BAUCUS. According to the Secretary of HHS, the consequences for Medicare beneficiaries of H.R. 1 are dire, to say the least. I don't have the calculations for the number of Medicare beneficiaries who would be affected nationwide, but I can give my colleagues the effect it would have on Montana, and that way they can extrapolate that into what the effect would be nationwide.

Essentially, according to the Secretary's letter, she says that because the health care reform bill repealed certain provisions in Medicare and then replaced them with other provisions to address quality and help provide better health care especially for seniors, that H.R. 1, because it repeals the provisions in the health care law put in place to provide benefits for seniors, would have the effect of cutting health care to seniors.

For example, essentially the House amendment would eliminate private Medicare coverage under Medicare Advantage. That is the effect of H.R. 1. If one is a Medicare recipient under a Medicare Advantage plan, under H.R. 1 they would no longer be allowed to receive benefits.

In my State of Montana, Medicare Advantage covers about 26,000 seniors. That is only the State of Montana. But nationwide, of course, it would be hundreds of thousands, probably close to in the millions of seniors who would no longer be able to benefit under Medicare Advantage. They would be thrown off. That is what the HHS Secretary says.

I will read the significant sentence. I am reading one sentence from the letter, dated March 8:

> This would seem to mean that payments to MA organizations would have to be suspended, risking a significant disruption in services to beneficiaries enrolled in Medicare Advantage.

They would be suspended, according to the interpretation of the HHS Secretary of the effect of H.R. 1 on Medicare beneficiaries.

Second, it would have virtually the same effect with respect to the part D prescription drug benefits; that is, health care reform began to close the doughnut hole. Earlier, seniors received $250 in assistance for prescription drugs. Under the health care reform bill, they would be eligible for a 50-percent discount for brand-name drugs. That, too, would be suspended. That is the effect of H.R. 1—to suspend. Let me see if I can find the operable sentence in the letter. I do not see it at the moment. In a few minutes, I will find it and I will give it to you.

Add to that the payments for preventive benefits. They, too, would be suspended—wellness programs, such as for colonoscopies, mammographies, et cetera. She says ''[c]laims could no

longer be paid for any of these benefits'' as a consequence of H.R. 1.

Add to that rural health care.

Without available CR funding, CMS would no longer be able to provide the bonus to primary care and general surgery physicians for eligible services.

There are other areas. In the nature of fraud prevention, those efforts would be suspended. Of course, some of the proponents who want to kill health care reform do not mind some additional provisions I might mention—namely, moving more toward delivery system reform and toward improving the quality of health care and also reducing costs.

I do not think the authors of H.R. 1 knew what they were doing. I think they were a little quick in writing their legislation. I do not think they realized the effect of H.R. 1 would be to deny Medicare beneficiaries these payments. Especially, I point out that the Medicare beneficiaries, under Medicare Advantage—according to the HHS Secretary, those payments to those persons under Medicare Advantage would have to be suspended.

I have a hunch that if we were to look more deeply into the actual provisions of H.R. 1, we would find other similar consequences. Maybe it would be in the Medicare Program. It might be in some other health care program. But I just asked the Secretary to focus in on the effects to Medicare, and that is the response she gave.

I urge all Senators, please let's not cut Medicare Advantage payments. That is just not the right thing to do. Innocent seniors are getting caught in this crossfire here. I am sure we have to begin to cut Federal spending. There is no question about that. But let's not be so foolhardy and unintelligently cut Medicare beneficiaries the way H.R. 1 does.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Who yields time?

The Senator from Louisiana.

Ms. LANDRIEU. Thank you, Mr. President.

I wish to follow up on the comments of the Senators from Montana and Maryland who spoke so eloquently and clearly about the consequences of adopting the House resolution which sets spending levels for the next year.

In my view, a vote for H.R. 1 would be, indeed, a reckless vote because the consequences of such severe cuts in some areas, as outlined particularly by the Senator from Maryland and the Senator from Montana, would be, in fact, reckless, and also, as it relates to my subcommittee, which I want to speak about for a moment, the Subcommittee on Homeland Security—not an inconsequential area of our responsibility: protecting the 350-plus million Americans who live in the United States and trust that we are doing our jobs well enough to keep them safe every day from rising threat levels from a variety of different sources. That is what our job is on the Homeland Security Appropriations Subcommittee, and I am going to be voting against H.R. 1 because, in my view, it goes too far, and it puts our homeland in jeopardy.

Let me be clear. In the State of the Union, the President stated that al-Qaida and its affiliates continue to plan attacks against our Nation. He stressed that extremists are trying to inspire acts of violence by those within our borders. According to the Attorney General, in the last 2 years, 126 individuals have been indicted for terrorist-related activities, including 50 of our own citizens.

The Homeland Security Secretary appeared before my subcommittee last week and said that the threat of terrorist attacks is as high as it has been since 9/11. And what do the Republican leaders, just newly minted and elected, come to Washington to do? Slash the homeland security budget. I am not going to do that, and I urge my colleagues not to do that.

In view of these threats, we cannot reduce the homeland security budget to levels that preceded the Christmas Day bombing attempt, the Times Square bombing attempt, the air cargo bombing attempt, and the Fort Hood shooting and before the escalation of violence and drugs along the Mexican border. We had one of our agents gunned down just 3 weeks ago. What does this budget do that they are recommending? Slash border security. We literally spilled blood on this floor, almost, building that fence along the border and adding border security. Now they want to dismantle it? I do not think so.

The bill makes deep cuts in State and local grants to train and equip first responders. Do they think it is going to be the FBI agents who are walking around Times Square every day looking for a smoking car? No. It is going to likely be a local New York firefighter or police officer or a citizen walking by noticing something and calling the police. We have very small—really, relatively small—training grants available. They cut that by over 50 percent. Is that smart? I do not think so.

The House bill cuts border security infrastructure programs when violence in Mexico is at an unprecedented level.

The House bill will cut Coast Guard acquisitions despite a need to recapitalize its aging fleet and when the Coast Guard mission continues to expand, as was so clear not even a year ago, on April 20, when the Deep Horizon exploded. Whom did you call when you went to 911 and said there is a rig on fire? Whom did we call? The Coast Guard. How did they get to the rig? On cutters and boats that we built. What did they do with the Coast Guard budget? They cut it almost below maintenance levels for situations such as this.

The House bill cuts port security and transit security when the Mumbai, London, and Madrid terrorist attacks proved that those sectors are vulnerable. How many more terrorists have to attack trains before we realize there is a level you cannot go beneath without putting our citizens at risk? And we are perilously close to that level.

The House bill reduces Transportation Security Administration procurement for its explosive-detection technologies that TSA needs to respond, as we have developed since the attempted bombing at Christmastime.

Cyber security—I have not even spoken about this. It is very difficult, and some of this is classified information, and it is not something people can really grasp as well as they can understand explosives on trains and airplanes, which is, I guess, easier to visualize.

These attacks through our Internet and through the new interconnecting technologies now that our electric grid and all of our companies depend on need to be countered as well.

Amendment No. 149 addresses these cuts while making responsible cuts in lower priority programs.

The House bill cuts port security grants by 66 percent despite the fact that our ports produce over $3 trillion of economic activity and jobs for 13 million American workers and the Mumbai attacks proved the vulnerability of ports. The Senate bill would maintain the fiscal year 2010 level of $300 million.

H.R. 1 would cut transit security grants by 66 percent, despite the fact that there have been over 1,300 attacks, killing or injuring over 18,000 people worldwide on trains and subways over the last 7 years. The Senate amendment would maintain the fiscal year 2010 level of $300 million.

I say to all of my friends who get on a plane and expect it to be safe that H.R. 1 would cut $562 million from the President's request for the Transportation Security Administration to provide our airports with scanners, screeners, and K–9 teams. Under H.R. 1, funding for the Transportation Security Administration would be below the operating level on December 25, 2009, when a Nigerian terrorist tried to blow up Northwest flight 253 over the United States. As a result, TSA would only be able to purchase 250 additional advanced imaging technology body scanners, instead of the 500 requested, and 415 additional portable explosives trace detection units, instead of the 800 units requested. The Senate Democratic alternative restores these cuts.

H.R. 1 would cut the precise agencies—FEMA, the Coast Guard, and State and local first responders—that are supposed to help us prevent or respond to future disasters. The Senate version restores these cuts.

Under H.R. 1, the Coast Guard would not be able to award production of the fifth National Security Cutter, NSC, delaying the project by a year and driving up costs by $45 to $60 million. The Senate amendment fully funds the $692 million necessary to complete NSC, No. 5. National Security Cutters are replacing the Coast Guard's 40-year-old

fleet of high endurance cutters, which are increasingly unavailable due to equipment breakdowns. The Senate amendment also includes funds to hire 55 additional Coast Guard personnel to improve their capacity to respond to oilspills.

The Senate amendment provides $189 million more than the House bill for the border security agencies—Customs and Border Protection and Immigration and Customs Enforcement. Within that amount, the Senate bill provides $150 million more than the House bill for border security infrastructure and technology, providing our Border Patrol agents with the assets they need to help secure the northern and southern borders. Cuts to border technology made by the House bill would postpone for at least 1 year the procurement, construction, and deployment of new remote video surveillance systems in Arizona and reduce by 50 percent funds available for tactical communications modernization along the Southwest border.

H.R. 1 provides no funding to continue construction of the DHS Headquarters at the St. Elizabeths campus in Washington, DC. Congress has appropriated $1.1 billion for the project, but the House action would leave a half-built building, sitting in the mud. This decision will delay completion of the Coast Guard headquarters by at least 1 year and increase costs by $69 million. The Senate amendment provides $91.4 million to complete the Coast Guard headquarters.

The House bill cuts emergency management performance grants by 12 percent. The events surrounding Hurricanes Katrina and Rita highlighted the critical importance of effective catastrophic all-hazards planning. The Senate amendment maintains the $340 million fiscal year 2010 level.

H.R. 1 would reduce science and technology research and development funding by 69 percent, forgoing critical investments in the next generation of explosives detection systems, chemical and biological weapons detection systems, radiological and nuclear detection systems, as well as sensors and other technologies to help secure the borders. The Senate version reduces funding by only 19 percent, a difficult but responsible reduction.

The House bill makes deep cuts to cyber security, which is unconscionable, since the President has said, ''the cyber threat is one of the most serious economic and national security challenges we face''. The House cuts would delay deployment of the Einstein program—our network intrusion detection and protection program—reducing protection coverage of Federal agency networks by 12 percent, reduce cyber attack response efforts by 25 percent, reduce international collaboration, and reduce our development of advanced tools needed to keep pace with new and evolving cyber threats.

In order to make these restorations, the Senate amendment rescinds over $545 million of low priority unobligated balances and reduces lower priority programs by over $900 million below fiscal year 2010 levels.

My goal is to produce a fiscally responsible Homeland Security bill that provides the Department with the resources it needs to prepare for, respond to, and recover from all threats, both manmade and natural. It is essential that we provide the Department with the resources that it needs to be nimble in preparing for and responding to an evolving threat.

I urge Members to support amendment No. 149 to H.R. 1.

As I said, the House bill, H.R. 1, cuts port security grants by 66 percent, transportation security grants by 66 percent. It cuts FEMA, and it cuts the Coast Guard below levels that are safe.

Homeland Security is the newest agency. I realize we have to make cuts and bring our budget into balance. If this were a plan to get us to a balanced budget, I would support it. But it is not. It is just a plan that jeopardizes our homeland security and does not do very much at all to close that deficit gap. When a real plan is present, I will vote for it. Until then, I am voting no.

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. President, the badly misguided budget cuts contained in the legislation the House has sent to us threaten to strangle our fragile economic recovery before it can truly begin. The House bill would weaken the social safety net that helps keep our communities together; it would end education opportunities that give Americans a chance to succeed; it would weaken investments in science and new technologies that we need to make our Nation competitive globally; and it would make our Nation less secure.

Our colleagues in the House have diagnosed a patient with heart disease—and prescribed amputation. Their proposed cure would do little to heal the disease of budget deficits, and in the meantime, do a lot of damage to the patient. They propose to solve our budget woes by slashing nondefense discretionary spending—which makes up a mere 15 percent or so of the Federal budget. In fact, the Congressional Budget Office told us just this week that if we eliminated all nondefense discretionary spending—every last dime of it—we would still run budget deficits by 2016. We cannot solve the budget problem this way, no matter how hard our Republican friends try to convince Americans that we can.

Republicans say we should interpret their drastic, unbalanced and extreme legislation as sending a message on their seriousness about the deficit. But the only message it sends is that they are serious about eroding important programs from Head Start to job training to Pell grants to food inspections to border security.

This legislation would cut $61 million from the Presidential budget request for food inspections, sending the message that we don't need to worry about food safety, despite the thousands of Americans every year who suffer from food-borne illness. It would cut over $1 billion from the Women, Infants and Children Program, sending the message that we should do less to help poor families put food on the table.

Our Republican colleagues are serious about cutting more than $180 million from the Securities and Exchange Commission budget and more than $100 million from the Commodity Futures Trading Commission budget, sending the message that we don't need to put cops on the beat to police financial markets that all too recently devastated our economy.

They are serious about cutting nearly $290 million from Veterans Administration efforts to provide better service to our veterans.

Their bill would cut $1 billion in funding for community health centers, sending the message that health care for citizens who would lose it under this proposal is a secondary concern. They would remove $17 million from the research on immunization and respiratory disease, a message that we can cut back on efforts to fight childhood illness.

Their bill would cut $550 million from the National Science Foundation research budget, and another $1.1 billion from Department of Energy research. It would cut about $900 million from our support of renewable energy sources and energy conservation—sending a message that we need not worry about high gas prices and dependence on imported oil.

Their bill would cut $2 billion from clean water programs, putting public health at risk, and cut $250 million from Great Lakes restoration efforts, putting at greater risk our unique Great Lakes, on which hundreds of thousands of jobs depend.

The Republican bill would cut more than $1 billion from Head Start. Their message seems to be that 200,000 American children don't really need an early childhood education boost so they can grow up to compete in a global economy. Their bill would also cut or eliminate Pell grants for hundreds of thousands of college students. The Republican message: American families don't need help to meet the rising cost of college.

Speaker BOEHNER's Web site proclaims that ''[s]ecuring our borders and stopping the flood of illegal immigration into the United States must be the first priority of this Congress.'' So what does the House bill do? It cuts more than $120 million from the President's request and more than $350 million from the fiscal 2010 level from border security efforts.

Now, the supporters of the House bill tell us that they regret the need for these cuts, that these would be worthy programs in happier times, but that the fiscal straits in which we find ourselves make their cuts necessary. They say we have no choice.

That is false. We do have a choice. We can end the excessive tax cuts for

upper income taxpayers that President Bush put in place, and close tax loopholes that not only drain the treasury but send American jobs abroad to boot.

The cost to the government of those upper income tax cuts is an increase in the deficit of about $30 billion a year. Ending that $30 billion tax cut for the wealthy—for the roughly 2 percent of Americans at the very top—could allow us to avoid the drastic cuts in important programs that I have mentioned, and much more besides.

It is very difficult in my book to treat proposals adding $30 billion a year to the deficit by protecting tax cuts for upper income earners as serious deficit reduction.

There are also other revenues we can look to if we are truly serious about deficit reduction. There are a number of tax loopholes that we can close. For example, we should not continue to give corporations a tax deduction when they send American jobs overseas. We should not allow corporations and wealthy individuals to avoid U.S. taxes by hiding assets and income in offshore tax havens. And we should not allow hedge fund managers to earn enormous incomes and yet pay a lower tax rate than their secretaries and janitors pay.

When we are willing to tackle those issues, when we recognize that the solution to our deficits must be comprehensive, when we acknowledge that it must include revenues, when we acknowledge the need to close those tax loopholes, then the American people will know we are serious about deficit reduction. Then, and only then, will we be able to tackle the deficit without threatening the education of our children, or making it harder to afford college, or cutting food programs for children, or damaging our support for science and technology, or making our border less secure, or reducing efforts to find new sources of energy, or setting back so many other investments in our future well-being.

I commend Senator INOUYE for his attempts to craft an alternative package that would avoid the draconian cuts that Republicans have proposed. But I cannot support that legislation. It deals only with cuts in nondefense discretionary spending, and as a result it gives support to a pattern of debating only spending cuts as the solution to our deficits, when it fact the solution to this problem must include additional revenue as well.

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I would like to share my perspectives about the funding for agencies and programs under the jurisdiction of the Appropriations Subcommittee on Financial Services and General Government. It has been my privilege to chair this subcommittee for over 4 years.

Overall, the Senate CR includes $23.25 billion for financial services accounts. This represents a cut of $2.268 billion, or 9 percent, below the President's fiscal year 2011 budget request of $25.518 billion.

Compared to the fiscal year 2010 enacted level of $24.355 billion—the amount presently available under the continuing resolution—the funding in the Senate CR is a reduction of over $1.1 billion. This is a cut of over 4 percent below a freeze.

In comparison, the House funding of $20.513 billion for financial services is a cut of $3.84 billion, or 16 percent, below a freeze. This is extreme. It is harmful. It is unacceptable.

The Senate approach, while making significant and difficult cuts, restores $2.737 billion of irresponsible cuts made in H.R. 1. The Senate CR preserves reasonable funding to minimize erosions in critical government programs and prevent harmful setbacks in the delivery of important public services.

Let me take this opportunity to illustrate some of the harsh, detrimental reductions included in H.R. 1, and why the funding recommended in the Senate CR offers a prudent alternative.

For the Treasury's Community Development Financial Institutions Fund, or CDFI, the Senate CR provides $247 million, a freeze to the fiscal year 2010 level. CDFI funds provide seed financing in our nation's most challenged communities for projects such as affordable housing developments, retail developments, small business lending, and community facilities such as day care centers and charter schools.

Each dollar of Federal spending provides confidence for private investors. For example, last year, the Community Investment Corporation, a CDFI in Illinois, leveraged a $1 million Federal grant into $400 million of total financing from 33 banks for affordable housing. CDFI funds offer a lifeline for small businesses and communities that would otherwise have no option to find financing during these tough economic times. CDFI funds are truly the ''last mile'' of financing in struggling communities.

By contrast, H.R. 1 reduces funding for the CDFI Fund to a mere $50 million, a drastic 80-percent reduction below the Senate CR level. If enacted, H.R. 1's cuts to the CDFI Fund would result in the loss of an estimated $1.6 billion in private sector leveraging for investment in America's most challenged communities.

This huge reduction in financing would cause the loss of 19,200 new jobs that would otherwise be created, the loss of 14,100 affordable housing units that would otherwise be built, and the loss of 3,200 small business loans that would otherwise be made. It would be a mistake to enact H.R. 1's cuts to CDFI. The Senate CR level is a responsible way to maintain investment in our Nation's most challenged communities during these tough economic times.

For the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, $286 million is provided in the Senate CR. On the other hand, H.R. 1 decimates the resources for this agency by slashing funding down to a meager $112 million. This is a cut of $57 million, or 33 percent, below a freeze at the current level of $168.8 million. The Senate level supports necessary staffing increases and key information technology investments to help the CFTC better protect the average investor and increase safeguards against excessive speculation.

The House level would prevent the CFTC from meeting its mission to monitor the markets. It would jeopardize CFTC's work to ensure that the ever evolving world of commodity futures—diversified products from grains to gold, from currencies to carbon credits—are free from manipulation, fraud, and abusive trading and sales practices. Futures prices—and CFTC's oversight role—impact what we pay for the basic necessities of everyday life: our food, our clothing, fuel in our vehicles, and heat in our homes. The House sledgehammer cut to the CFTC is irresponsible and irrational.

For the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Senate CR provides $1.3 billion, consistent with the authorized level for fiscal year 2011. These funds support increased legal and investigative staffing for oversight and enforcement responsibilities as well as substantial investments in IT upgrades. By contrast, H.R. 1 cuts resources for the SEC to $1.069 billion. This is a reduction of $41 million, or 4 percent, below a freeze. It is a decrease of $231 million, or 18 percent, below the authorized level.

Among a long list of expected setbacks, the decreased funding in H.R. 1 will severely limit SEC's ability to police the markets and enforce Federal securities laws to protect investors from deceptive financial schemes. It will limit SEC's pursuit of quality complaints, tips, and referrals and will stall investigations.

Less funding will diminish the SEC's ability to conduct public company oversight, review public filings of large financial institutions, and decrease the frequency of reviews of smaller and mid-sized companies to less than once every 3 years. Is this really what we want in the wake of the Wall Street fiasco?

H.R. 1 cuts mean that outmoded technology will languish without critical modernization, leaving the SEC to lag far behind the industries it regulates. SEC will be hampered in its ability to collect the tremendous amounts of market data needed to better identify, address, and prevent activities and events that can disrupt markets and harm investors, such as those that occurred on May 6, 2010—the ''flash crash''. Why supporters of H.R. 1 want to undercut this watchdog agency is hard to comprehend.

For the Small Business Administration, the Senate CR provides $762 million, in essence a freeze at the fiscal year 2010 level due to available carryover balances. In contrast, H.R. 1 reduces SBA's operating budget by $25 million compared to the Senate CR. This cut would reduce staff across the entire SBA. Reducing staff would cause a backlog in loan approvals under SBA's loan programs that support over

$20 billion a year in financing for new and expanding small businesses. A loan approval backlog would impose delays and uncertainty in financing for small businesses during a critical time in the Nation's economic recovery.

The cut to SBA would also scale back the ability of SBA's field staff to serve small businesses seeking credit and business counseling resources. This will deprive small businesses of critical ''matchmaking'' connections to banks participating in SBA's loan programs and to counseling programs for starting and growing small businesses. The Senate CR funding for SBA is a responsible alternative to H.R. 1's reckless cut. The Senate CR will keep SBA operational to support American small businesses working towards economic recovery.

For tax law enforcement, the Senate CR provides $5.59 billion to the Internal Revenue Service. It is a modest but rational increase of $87 million, or 1.6 percent, above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level of $5.5 billion. The funding includes critical resources for targeting offshore tax evasion.

In contrast, H.R. 1 cuts tax law enforcement to $5.219 billion, which is $285 million, or 5 percent, below a freeze. Cutting IRS resources is counterintuitive to the goal of reducing the Federal deficit. Under H.R. 1, lost enforcement revenue could surpass well over $4 billion. Reductions under H.R. 1 would necessitate furloughing all enforcement employees for up to 19 business days and/or significant RIFs.

Among other consequences, the H.R. 1 cut means 164,000 fewer individual and business audits, directly affecting the performance of IRS's enforcement revenue efforts and reducing overall compliance. It will mean 8,400 fewer taxpayer appeals case closures, as fewer taxpayers are able to turn to the appeals process to resolve disputed tax controversies.

Moreover, 310 fewer criminal cases will be completed, 216 fewer convictions will be realized, and an estimated $67 million in asset forfeiture revenue will be sacrificed. It also means the discontinuance of fraud cases related to the Return Preparer Program, drug trafficking tax cases, and interagency efforts in coordination with the Justice Department and Drug Enforcement Administration.

For the General Services Administration, the Senate CR would provide $591 million for construction of Federal buildings, providing continuation funding for multiyear, consolidation projects and nearly $398 million for repair of Federal buildings and courthouses. In contrast, H.R. 1 eliminates all funding for Federal building construction and all major repairs for Federal buildings. Overall, the Senate CR reduces GSA programs below fiscal year 2010 levels and by a total of approximately $1 billion below fiscal year 2011 requested levels.

The House approach is irresponsible because it defers Federal construction projects, making them more expensive; results in more Federal leasing, which is more expensive over the long-term than federally owned space; and prevents Federal agencies from operating as efficiently as possible due to delays in moving into new space necessary to meet mission requirements.

In addition, eliminating the construction and repair projects could cost as many as 16,000 private sector jobs in the construction and related industries. It would also delay other benefits associated with Federal capital projects, including economic development and ''multiplier effects'' of infusions of Federal spending into local economies.

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. President, it is likely that neither the House nor Senate version of the fiscal year 2011 continuing resolution will pass this body.

I would like to highlight one feature of both of the bills we are considering that I believe is truly misguided. Neither bill provides funding to continue the alternate engine program for the F–35 airplane.

In the past, Congress has supported this engine in a bipartisan, bicameral way as a lower cost, higher performance alternate that will save billions in tax dollars and give the F35 engine program competition it badly needs.

There has been significant misinformation circulated about the alternate engine program, which, based on previous experience with engine competition programs, should actually reduce the Federal deficit by more than $20 billion.

During the 1980s, the Air Force and Navy jointly qualified second sources for the Sidewinder, Sparrow, Amraam, Maverick, Standard, Tomahawk, and Ham missile programs. In every case, buying from both sources brought costs down dramatically. That same strategy brought costs down and under budget for the FFG–7 frigates, DDG–51 destroyers, Aegis Cruisers, and attack submarines.

Absent the F35 alternative engine program, a company that is currently $3.5 billion over budget will be the monopoly provider of the engine for the F35 airplane. This is not the way taxpayers want Washington to do business.

The alternate engine program supports 2,500 jobs in the United States, 800 of those in Ohio. My State's unemployment rates is already 9.3 percent, and it would be a tragedy if we eliminate jobs that are actually serving to reduce federal spending and protect against faulty or delayed access to engines needed by our military.

I am disappointed no funds are contained in either bill. I don't believe you walk quietly away from a $100 billion program that has billions of tax dollars invested in it without a vigorous debate. In deleting the alternate engine, both bills eliminated a line item today at the expense of significant savings downstream.

We are not going to end the budget deficit by haphazardly taking dollars out of investments justified not only by their public policy purpose—in this case, equipping our military in the most responsible, efficient means possible—but by their potential to produce major downstream savings.

It is unclear how the negotiations on getting a budget deal will proceed and it is equally clear that they will be hard. But the future of this engine must be on the agenda.

Our safety and security as a nation and the seriousness of the budget choices that face us are simply too important to let expediency get the better of common sense. Exploring what is best for our military and our budget goals must be part of the continuing resolution negotiations.

Mr. INOUYE. Mr. President, over the past few weeks, I have listened to several of my colleagues say that the Defense budget should be further reduced. Other Members are concerned that this bill cuts too much funding out of the Department of Defense.

I believe the Defense bill before you today strikes a fair balance between responsible reductions and protection of our military forces.

The defense portion of this bill provides a total of $671.5 billion in base and overseas contingency operation funding for fiscal year 2011.

The base budget is $513.6 billion, which is $17.3 billion less than the President's budget request. This bill cuts an additional $2.13 billion more than H.R. 1 for defense. I believe that these reductions are prudent, and reflect the reality of today's challenging fiscal environment where all programs are subject to reductions.

But let me be clear—this bill continues to fully support our men and women in uniform and protects funding for four important national security priorities.

First, the bill takes care of our military personnel. It fully funds the 1.4 percent authorized pay raise and provides $887 million above the budget request to cover shortfalls in the military personnel accounts that were identified by the services.

Second, the bill fully funds health care for the military and their families. The bill provides $32.8 billion for the Defense Health Program, continues advancements in medical research, modernizes and maintains medical infrastructure, and develops the next generation of electronic medical records.

In addition, the bill adds $100 million for peer-reviewed research in psychological health and traumatic brain injury.

Third, the bill fully funds key readiness programs critical to prepare forces for combat operations and other peace time missions, including flying hours and steaming days, depot maintenance, training, spare parts, and base operations.

Additional funding is included to provide for shortfalls identified by the

Army for base operations, for the Navy and Air Force for depot maintenance, and tuition assistance for military spouses.

Fourth, the bill provides additional funding to protect our forces, including new equipment and upgrades to existing programs to ensure that our military has the hardware needed to conduct operations and train during a time of war. High-priority programs are increased, such as:

The replacement of helicopters and fixed-wing aircraft lost in battle, and increased production of Army and Air Force helicopters urgently needed to support combat operations in Afghanistan;

Support for critical intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance, ISR, initiatives, including procurement of 48 Reaper unmanned aerial vehicles and more than $2.5 billion of ISR programs identified by the Secretary of Defense as high priority for troops overseas;

Better protection of deployed military personnel, such as $3.4 billion to fully fund Mine Resistant Ambush Protected-All Terrain Vehicles and an additional $225 million to test and procure the Stryker Double V Hull for additional protection from IEDs;

An additional $850 million for the National Guard and Reserve to ensure that our Reserve components have the equipment needed to conduct their growing missions.

Furthermore, the bill continues our goal to transfer responsibility to our partners in Afghanistan and Iraq so that our forces can safely and responsibly withdrawal.

The bill provides $11.6 billion for the Afghanistan security forces fund, $1.5 billion for the Iraq security forces fund, $500 million for the Commander's emergency response program, and $400 million for the Afghanistan infrastructure fund.

However, the Department of Defense is not immune from budget reductions. I believe this bill judiciously cuts defense spending, while improving fiscal accountability. Nearly 760 defense programs are reduced in the package before you today.

These funding cuts are made as a result of program terminations or delays, changes to policy or programs since submission of the budget over a year ago, inadequate justification, authorization adjustments, or corrections to poor fiscal discipline.

For instance, the bill cuts nearly $2 billion out of the Joint Strike Fighter program due to production and testing delays. Over $450 million is deleted from the bill due to the termination of the non-line of sight launch system.

The bill rescinds $1.2 billion from prior year funding in 45 different programs due to poor execution, delays or terminations. The list goes on and on.

This is a fair bill for the Department of Defense. It is a bipartisan compromise between responsibly reducing defense spending while at the same time providing for our men and women in uniform that are fighting a war in Afghanistan, winding down operations in Iraq, and operating around the globe to protect this Nation's security.

I strongly urge my colleagues to support this measure.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority's time has expired.

The Senator from South Dakota.

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I want us to remember why we are here. The reason we are here right now debating this issue is because last year the Democratic majority failed to pass a budget. They did not pass a budget and did not pass a single appropriations bill. This is a $3.7 trillion enterprise called the Federal Government. Last year, we did not pass a budget. We did not pass a single appropriations bill. So this is cleaning up the unfinished business of last year.

It was always said that we could not do this before the election. So when the election was over with, instead of dealing with these important budget issues, we did a START treaty, which, of course, was important to debate; don't ask, don't tell; the DREAM Act; all kinds of other things but never the budget. We did not deal with the budget. So here we are dealing with last year's unfinished business.

So when my colleagues come in here and make all these arguments about how terrible it is that we are having to do this and the House Republicans have sent us this budget that shaves $60 billion from last year's spending levels, the reason we are having to go through this exercise in the first place is because last year the Democratic majority did not even pass a budget.

Where does that leave us today? Well, we all know we have a $14 trillion debt—$14 trillion. I think that has sunk in with the American people. To put that into perspective, historically, this last month—the month of February—our debt was $223 billion. Our deficit, in other words—what we added to the debt—was $223 billion in 1 month—the largest single monthly total ever. We added more to the debt last month than we did from the founding of our country until 1945—in just 1 month.

The projected deficit for this entire year is $1.65 trillion—the largest ever in nominal terms. And OMB predicts it to be the largest as a share of our economy since World War II. That is as much debt as we ran up from the founding of our country until 1985. This is the dimension of the problem we are dealing with.

There is an old saying that if you are in a hole, stop digging. Well, we continue to dig the hole deeper and deeper and deeper. So we are going to have votes today on a couple of alternatives.

One is the alternative that was sent over from the House of Representatives which shaves $60 billion off of last year's spending level. So there are lots of people coming down here, and there is all kinds of gnashing of teeth and statements of how Draconian these various cuts are going to be. Mr. President, $60 billion, remember, in a $3.7 trillion budget is less than 2 percent. Now, to the average American, if they were like we are at the Federal level and out of every dollar they spent, they were borrowing over 40 cents and running $1.5 trillion and $1.6 trillion deficits year over year over year, I think they would figure out a way to tighten their belts a little bit and be able to absorb a 1.5-percent cut over last year's spending level.

Now, couple that with the fact that since 2008 spending has increased by 22 percent. Nondefense discretionary spending, which is what we are talking about here, has gone up 22 percent—10 times the rate of inflation. All we are talking about here is going back to 2008 levels. This is not Draconian. I think the American people think this is reasonable.

So what does the other side put forward? The other side said: Well, we think this is Draconian, and so we are going to put forward a proposal that cuts $4.7 billion—$4.7 billion compared to $60 billion. Mr. President, $4.7 billion is what the other side puts on the table in terms of spending reduction in trying to do something about our runaway Federal debt. Well, if you look at the clock today, it is 2:45 p.m. By this time tomorrow—2:45 p.m. tomorrow—we will have added over $4 billion to the debt—almost as much as they are proposing to save for the balance of this entire year. So they are laying forward savings of $4.7 billion for the rest of the fiscal year, when between now and this time tomorrow we will add over $4 billion to the Federal debt. That is the dimension of what we are talking about.

It is so bad that former Fed Chairman Alan Greenspan said recently that he views the probability or the possibility of a debt crisis occurring in the next 2 to 3 years to be 50 percent—debt crisis. If that is not enough, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the highest ranking military official in this country, ADM Mike Mullen, said a few months back that the greatest threat to America's national security is our national debt. How much more do we have to hear? It is not just a threat to our future and to future generations; it is a threat to our national security, and it is a threat to our economy.

We have all kinds of evidence out there that this level of spending and this amount of debt costs jobs in our economy. Everybody says the most important thing we want to focus on is the economy and job creation. I agree with that. One of the best ways to do that is to start getting spending and debt under control. There is a great variety of research out there that studied the last eight centuries of governments and concluded that when your gross debt reaches the 90-percent level of your total economy—in other words, gross debt to GDP equals 90 percent—that it costs you about 1 percentage point of economic growth every year. According to Christina Romer, the

former economic adviser to the President, every time you lose 1 percentage point of economic growth, you lose 1 million jobs. So if we continue to sustain this level of debt, it is costing us economic growth, which is costing us literally jobs every single day.

A couple of weeks ago the Government Accountability Office issued a report and in it they looked at the government and the various agencies of government and how much it costs and looked for duplication and redundancy and inefficiency. What did they conclude? They concluded that there are 82 programs in this country across 10 different agencies and departments of government that are designed to improve teacher quality; granted, a very noble goal, but does it take 82 Federal programs and 10 agencies or departments to administer programs improving teacher quality? There are 56 Federal programs out there which teach people how to manage their finances. When you are running a $14 trillion debt, arguably this is probably the last place that ought to be advising people about managing their finances. But 56 Federal programs? The American people have to be looking at this, and it is incomprehensible to them, I think, to see what their Federal Government wastes money on.

What we are talking about here is a fairly reasonable reduction in nondefense, nonnational security discretionary spending. I hope today we will take a step forward and demonstrate we are serious. What the Democrats put forward, $4.7 billion, isn't serious. It completely ignores and appears to be in denial of the dimensions of this problem, which I think are wholly grasped by the American people. In fact, we had testimony yesterday in front of the Senate Budget Committee from Erskine Bowles and Senator Al Simpson who headed the debt commission and said this is the most predictable crisis we have ever had. We see it coming. We know it is coming. We can do something about it, and we are trying to today.

It is about jobs. People have gotten up on the other side and said, Well, it is going to cut this or cut that. The majority leader said yesterday we can't do this because it would cut funding at the Cowboy Poetry Festival. When you are out of every dollar you are spending, borrowing more than 40 cents; when you are running a $14 trillion debt—there are priorities, arguably, that are important in terms of Federal priorities. I don't think that probably counts as one of them and I don't think the American people would think so either.

The Senator from Montana said this is going to cut people from Medicare Advantage. What about the health care reform bill last year? That cut $2 billion in Medicare Advantage and would leave literally a quarter of those people who get benefits under Medicare Advantage without that coverage today. That wasn't a big talking point for the other side when we were doing health care reform last year. Yet, today, again, we hear typical rhetorical scare tactics. But what I want to remind my colleagues of and remind the American people of is that over the last 2 years, nondefense discretionary spending has increased 22 percent.

All we are talking about is going back to 2008 levels. Since that time, it has increased 10 times the rate of inflation. We need to start living within our means. We cannot continue to spend money we don't have.

I hope my colleagues will support H.R. 1 and let the American people know we are serious about getting our fiscal house in order.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Tennessee.

Mr. ALEXANDER. Mr. President, how much time remains?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. There is 11 minutes 25 seconds remaining.

Mr. ALEXANDER. Will the Chair let me know when 2 minutes remains?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator will be so notified.

Mr. ALEXANDER. Mr. President, I thank the Senator from South Dakota for his eloquent remarks. He phrased exactly the question before this body on which we are going to be casting two votes within a few minutes, and that is this: Will we or will we not stop spending money we don't have? I repeat, the question is: Will we or will we not stop spending money we don't have? Do we have the courage and the common sense and the sense of responsibility to make difficult decisions for the future of our country when it comes to spending and debt?

Let's look at the facts. The Federal Government this year is collecting $2.2 trillion—that is what is coming in—and spending $3.7 trillion. We are collecting $2.2 trillion in revenue, and we are spending $3.7 trillion in revenue. That is a fact.

Here is another fact: Forty cents of every dollar the Federal Government spends is borrowed, much of it from China.

Here is another fact: We are piling up new debt at the rate of $4 billion a day—$4 billion a day of new debt. Here is another fact: Last month was the shortest month of the year—February. The deficit—that is this year's deficit in just that month—was the largest in history: $223 billion. And our friends on the other side are suggesting we solve a problem of this dimension by reducing spending by $4.7 billion. As Senator THUNE said, by tomorrow, at about this time, we will have piled up as much more debt as they propose to save. That is not urgent; that is not responsible; that is not dealing with difficult decisions in the way people expect us to do.

The Republicans in the House of Representatives have stepped up and have made difficult decisions. We might not agree with every single detail of the decision, and the Senate will have its own priorities when we pass a bill, but I, for one—and I think many others on this side of the aisle—are going to vote for H.R. 1, the House-passed $57 billion cut, because it is a sure step toward reducing spending and stopping our country from spending money we don't have. Senate Democrats say, Sorry, we can only find $4.7 billion to save.

The purpose of the vote I will cast today is to say we have an urgent need that needs to be addressed. We have a sense of responsibility toward that decision. We can't solve much of it today, but we can solve some of it today, and the time to start addressing this urgent need is now.

When I became Governor of Tennessee about 30 years ago, a friend gave me a book written by George Reedy, Lyndon Johnson's press secretary. It was called ''The Twilight of the Presidency.'' In that book, I found a definition I used as Governor because it was such a good definition of what an executive in public life is to do. George Reedy said that the job of the President is, No. 1, to see an urgent need; No. 2, to develop a strategy to meet the need; and No. 3, to persuade at least half the people that he is right. See an urgent need, develop a strategy to meet the need, and persuade at least half the people he is right.

This is an urgent need facing our country. Forty cents out of every dollar we spend is borrowed; $2.2 trillion coming in; $3.7 trillion going out; 47 top economists over 2 weekends ago saying it was the most urgent need facing our country. The Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff is saying it is our biggest national security threat—the debt. As Senator THUNE said, debt costs jobs. Economists tell us that debt at this level costs us about 1 million jobs a year.

Let me read what the President's own debt commission had to say about this. This debt commission had six Members of the U.S. Senate as members—three Republicans, three Democrats. Five of the six voted for this report of the debt commission. They said, as members of this commission:

> We spent the past 8 months studying the same hard, cold facts—

Not opinions, facts.

> Together we have reached these unavoidable conclusions. The problem is real. The solution will be painful. There is no easy way out. Everything must be on the table, and Washington must lead.

That is President Obama's debt commission. After all the talk about debt and deficits, they go on to say:

> It is long past time for America's leaders to put up or shut up.

That is the President's debt commission talking.

> The era of debt denial is over. There can be no turning back. We sign our names to this plan because we love our children, our grandchildren, and our country too much not to act while we still have the chance to secure a better future for all of our citizens.

That report included five Members of this body, two Democrats, three Republicans. That was what the debt commission had to say.

Here is what the President had to say. In 2009 he said:

What we have done is kicked this can down the road. We are now at the end of the road. We are not in a position to kick it any further.

We can only find $4 billion to save?

President Obama said last year:

I hope some of the folks who are hollering about deficits and debt step up, because I am calling their bluff.

We can only save $4 billion?

My administration is going to seek to work with Congress to execute serious entitlement reform.

And then as Senator the President said:

Increasing America's debt weakens us domestically, weakens us internationally. Leadership means the buck stops here. Instead, Washington is shifting the burden of bad choices today on to the backs of our children and grandchildren. America has a debt problem and a failure of leadership.

I ask, where is the President of the United States in this debate? Where is the President of the United States? His debt commission came out months ago and recommended $4 trillion in savings. No support from the President. The President made an eloquent State of the Union Address. I sat on the front row and applauded many times. No sense of urgency about the Federal debt. The President offered his budget a few weeks ago. No plan for reducing the Federal debt.

Now we are taking step No. 1, which is to work on the discretionary part of the budget—only about 12 percent of the budget. The House is willing to take difficult steps; the Senate Democratic majority says we can only find an amount that equals the debt we are piling up in one 24-hour period; and the President is missing in action.

I respectfully say that is not leadership. We need the President of the United States to join us in an effort to stop our country from spending money we don't have, in making difficult decisions about spending, so we can assure the strength and future of our country.

The question before us is will we or will we not stop spending money we don't have? Will we or will we not make the difficult decisions it takes to reduce spending so that our country will be strong for the future?

The other side says they can find $4.7 billion to save. We say we can start with $57 billion. I will vote for the $57 billion and against the $4.7 billion because that is a sure step toward a bright path for America's future.

I thank the Chair. I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator yield back the remaining time? There is 2 minutes remaining.

Mr. ALEXANDER. Mr. President, I yield back the remainder of our time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. All time is yielded back.

Under the previous order, the question is on the passage of H.R. 1. Under that order, 60 votes are required for passage.

Mr. ALEXANDER. Mr. President, I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

The result was announced—yeas 44, nays 56, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 36 Leg.]

YEAS—44

Alexander	Ensign	McConnell
Ayotte	Enzi	Moran
Barrasso	Graham	Murkowski
Blunt	Grassley	Portman
Boozman	Hatch	Risch
Brown (MA)	Hoeven	Roberts
Burr	Hutchison	Rubio
Chambliss	Inhofe	Sessions
Coats	Isakson	Shelby
Coburn	Johanns	Snowe
Cochran	Johnson (WI)	Thune
Collins	Kirk	Toomey
Corker	Kyl	Vitter
Cornyn	Lugar	Wicker
Crapo	McCain	

NAYS—56

Akaka	Hagan	Nelson (NE)
Baucus	Harkin	Nelson (FL)
Begich	Inouye	Paul
Bennet	Johnson (SD)	Pryor
Bingaman	Kerry	Reed
Blumenthal	Klobuchar	Reid
Boxer	Kohl	Rockefeller
Brown (OH)	Landrieu	Sanders
Cantwell	Lautenberg	Schumer
Cardin	Leahy	Shaheen
Carper	Lee	Stabenow
Casey	Levin	Tester
Conrad	Lieberman	Udall (CO)
Coons	Manchin	Udall (NM)
DeMint	McCaskill	Warner
Durbin	Menendez	Webb
Feinstein	Merkley	Whitehouse
Franken	Mikulski	Wyden
Gillibrand	Murray	

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote, the yeas are 44, the nays 56. Under the previous order requiring 60 votes for passage of this bill, the bill is rejected.

The majority leader.

AMENDMENT NO. 149

Mr. REID. Mr. President, on behalf of Senator INOUYE, I send to the desk amendment No. 149.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

The Senator from Nevada [Mr. REID], for Mr. INOUYE, proposes an amendment numbered 149.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the reading of the amendment be dispensed with.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

(The amendment is printed in the RECORD of Friday, March 4, 2011.)

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The question is on agreeing to amendment No. 149.

The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

The result was announced—yeas 42, nays 58, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 37 Leg.]

YEAS—42

Akaka	Feinstein	Mikulski
Baucus	Franken	Murray
Begich	Gillibrand	Pryor
Bingaman	Harkin	Reed
Blumenthal	Inouye	Reid
Boxer	Johnson (SD)	Rockefeller
Brown (OH)	Kerry	Schumer
Cantwell	Klobuchar	Shaheen
Cardin	Landrieu	Stabenow
Carper	Lautenberg	Tester
Casey	Leahy	Udall (NM)
Conrad	Lieberman	Warner
Coons	Menendez	Whitehouse
Durbin	Merkley	Wyden

NAYS—58

Alexander	Grassley	Murkowski
Ayotte	Hagan	Nelson (NE)
Barrasso	Hatch	Nelson (FL)
Bennet	Hoeven	Paul
Blunt	Hutchison	Portman
Boozman	Inhofe	Risch
Brown (MA)	Isakson	Roberts
Burr	Johanns	Rubio
Chambliss	Johnson (WI)	Sanders
Coats	Kirk	Sessions
Coburn	Kohl	Shelby
Cochran	Kyl	Snowe
Collins	Lee	Thune
Corker	Levin	Toomey
Cornyn	Lugar	Udall (CO)
Crapo	Manchin	Vitter
DeMint	McCain	Webb
Ensign	McCaskill	Wicker
Enzi	McConnell	
Graham	Moran	

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote, there are 42 yeas, 58 nays. Under the previous order requiring 60 votes for adoption of this amendment, the amendment is rejected.

Under the previous order, the measure will be returned to the calendar.

The Senator from Minnesota.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. FRANKEN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the Senate proceed to a period of morning business with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

THE BIG PICTURE

Mr. FRANKEN. Mr. President, I rise today to speak about H.R. 1, the House bill we just voted down and which I will continue to oppose until major changes are made. With apologies to Nobel Prize-winning economist Paul Krugman, I would like to talk about Willy Sutton for a second. While we should not normally take fiscal lessons from criminals, Willy Sutton had it right. He said he robbed banks because ''that's where the money is.'' Of course, he didn't target places with only petty cash. What is the point of robbing a school or a homeless shelter? There is no money there. But that is exactly what H.R. 1 seeks to do.

Instead of tackling our deficits by going after the bank, it is targeting our most vulnerable. Domestic nonsecurity discretionary spending makes up only 12 percent of our budget. We cannot balance the budget with only 12 percent of the budget on the table. We need to be looking at the big picture. We need to be focusing on the bank, where the money is.