

## RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

## MORNING BUSINESS

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the Senate will be in a period of morning business for debate only until 5 p.m., with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each, with the first hour equally divided and controlled between the two leaders or their designees, with the majority controlling the first 30 minutes and the Republicans controlling the next 30 minutes.

The Senator from Illinois.

## OIL COMPANY SUBSIDIES

Mr. DURBIN. Madam President, I rise in support of the comments made by the majority leader. I was in Chicago over the weekend, and downstate as well in Illinois, and saw these gasoline prices and understand the hardship they cause. At a BP filling station in Chicago near Lawrence and Lake Shore Drive, I ran into a man who is a plumber who has a van and goes from job to job. He said it is not unusual now for him to spend over \$100 a week on gasoline. Of course, that is taking away money he could have brought home for his family. It is a real hardship on him.

He kind of smiled and chuckled and said: They do it to us every year, don't they.

That is true, Madam President. Whether we are talking about the situation in New Hampshire or Illinois, we can predict the rights of spring in America: the opening of the baseball season, Easter egg hunts, Seder dinners for Passover, and skyrocketing gasoline prices.

Then there are the excuses. There is always an excuse: Oh, we had to switch from winter to summer. We didn't see that coming. Oh, there is a problem in the Middle East. Whatever it is, any excuse will do, and the gasoline prices go up.

We can do something about it, and we should. The majority leader is right. We accept the challenge of Speaker JOHN BOEHNER who said in New York: Let's make a serious effort to deal with this deficit. Well, we have a great downpayment: \$21 billion we can take off the deficit. We can take it away from a group that does not need it. We are talking about the oil companies that are registering record profits—\$36 billion. If we decide to take away the subsidies that are now being given to these extremely profitable companies, it will save taxpayers \$21 billion over 10 years.

Let's get started there. That ought to be the easy part because right now we know what is going on. We are paying for these high gasoline prices three times: First, when we fill up our tanks.

Oh, they hit us hard there—\$60, \$80, \$100 just to fill up the tank. Second, because we are giving \$4 billion a year in subsidies to the oil companies, taxpayers are being hit again. It is not just what we pay at the gas pump, it is what we pay on April 15. Part of that is going to the oil companies.

But there is a third hit. Do you know where we get the money to pay the subsidies to the oil companies? We borrow it from China—the largest creditor of the United States. We are borrowing 40 cents for every \$1 we spend. So out of the \$4 billion we are talking about that is going annually to these oil companies, 40 percent of it—about \$1.6 billion—is being borrowed every single year from countries such as China. So the third way we pay is, ultimately, on the debt to China and the interest on that debt.

Can we afford that? At a time when Americans are sacrificing, can't we ask the oil companies, with record profits, to sacrifice their Federal subsidies? That is all we are trying to do. I know Senator SCHUMER from New York is going to take the floor momentarily and talk about this issue. We will have a bill on the Senate floor. For those Members on both sides of the aisle who have given impassioned speeches about reducing the deficit, here is their chance. It is a put-up-or-shut-up moment. If we believe in reducing the deficit, here is \$21 billion of low-hanging fruit. Let's pick it. Let's pick it for the taxpayers. Let's take these savings and put it right on deficit reduction. I hope that is something on which both sides of the aisle can agree.

## IMMIGRATION REFORM

Mr. DURBIN. Madam President, let me say a word very quickly about the President's speech today in El Paso.

I have said on the Senate floor many times, because it is a source of pride to me, I am a first generation American. One hundred years ago, my mother was brought to this country as an infant, 2 years of age. My grandmother brought her over from Lithuania, and they landed in Baltimore in 1911—100 years ago. How they made it—the four of them, at that point: my aunt, uncle, grandmother, and mother—how they made it from Baltimore to East St. Louis, IL, I do not have a clue because I am sure they did not speak but a handful of words in English.

They made it like other immigrants made it: because they were determined to come to this country. They were prepared to leave everything behind in their lives—their homes, their churches, their relatives, their friends, their languages, their cultures—and come to this great Nation and take the risk, the risk of opportunity. Think about that story and multiply it millions of times, and that is the story of America.

The people who hate immigration are turning their back on the heart and essence of this great Nation. We are an

immigrant nation of people of extraordinary courage who picked up and moved and said: We are going to try our best in a new place with a new language. When most of them arrived—I am sure it was the case with many who were on the boat with my mom—there were folks standing on the shoreline saying: No, not more of those people. Don't we have enough of them? They don't speak our language. They don't look like us. They don't dress like us. They eat funny food. They hang out with one another. We don't need more of those people.

For as long as immigrants have been coming to these shores, there have been people standing on the shores saying: Please, pull up the ladder. We don't need any more of those folks. But we do. We need them not only because they work hard, we need them because they have a spirit and a determination which makes us a different nation.

The DNA each of us shares from those immigrant parents and grandparents gives us a drive and a determination to make this a better nation. When we close the doors to immigration—orderly, legal immigration—we are closing the doors of opportunity in this country.

The President will speak to immigration today. He has been a loyal friend of mine for a long time. He was a co-sponsor of the DREAM Act, which I introduced 10 years ago, and I would not be surprised if he brought it up today in El Paso. He did last week in the White House. I know he is committed, as I am, to make sure children who were brought to the United States as infants and youngsters, who had no voice in the decision to come here, who have lived a good life here, worked hard and went to school, said the Pledge of Allegiance every morning in the classroom and know no other flag but the U.S. flag, children who want to become tomorrow's adults and tomorrow's leaders deserve a chance. The DREAM Act will give them that chance. They can choose to enlist in our military and become citizens of the United States, or they can choose to complete college, at least 2 years of it, and find a path to citizenship. That is reasonable, it is compassionate, and it is fair. I hope as part of immigration reform we include it.

I plead with my colleagues on the other side of the aisle: Do not turn your back on America's heritage. Do not turn your back on fairness and compassion. Join us in real immigration reform. Join us in passing the DREAM Act.

Madam President, I yield the floor.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from New York.

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, first, I commend my colleague and friend from Illinois for his outstanding remarks on both subjects, the deficit and on immigration. I am here to talk about the deficit, but I will just touch on immigration.

People are saying, well, why is the President going to El Paso when we