

And say a prayer for all of them,
and all of those, and their loved ones who
now so cry!

So cry!

Whose pain shall not so die!

So die!

And somehow find the strength,

all in what their short lives so meant!

All in the hope and light,

that over evil . . . the goodness so burns
bright!

Burns bright!

To the sense to find,

upon this very night!

The sense to find!

As we lay their sacred bodies so down to rest!

Amen!

Mr. COFFMAN of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mrs. CAPPS. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H. Con. Res. 134 and in support of the greater Denver community in the wake of the Aurora, Colorado tragedy.

Twelve lives have been lost, 58 injured, and countless others affected by the shooting in Aurora, Colorado on July 20, 2012.

This horrible crime reminds us that our time here is short, and that while we cannot always prevent senseless acts of violence, families, friends and neighbors can come together as a community to honor those we have lost, celebrate those who are still with us, and resolve to do all we can to prevent future violence.

The Aurora community has exemplified this spirit of resiliency in the wake of tragedy, and is truly an inspiration for all of us.

While we know not every senseless act of violence can be avoided, we can—and must—work every day to treat each other with decency and genuine respect.

And I hope that this act of violence will not just sit on a page in our history books, but be a catalyst for the important conversations we have avoided all too long.

There is more that we can do to protect our families and communities from gun violence.

There is more that we can do to support our mental health care systems—both to avert future violence and to support those who are touched by it.

And there is more that we can do to create a culture of tolerance and understanding.

We stand together across our nation, knowing we are not grieving alone, and that others share our outrage at violent actions and violent rhetoric.

As the Denver community heals from this senseless tragedy, please know that you are in the thoughts and prayers of all Americans.

Mr. HOLT. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H. Con. Res. 134 to condemn in the strongest possible terms the heinous atrocities that occurred in Aurora, Colorado.

But future generations will condemn us if sole response to this massacre is the passage of this resolution.

As we watch the news from Colorado with horror and sympathy for the families, we should remember that each day more than 80 Americans are killed by gunfire, unnecessary tragedies. Arguments that gun safety legislation won't help the situation seem to me illogical or blindly ideological.

Earlier this week we held a moment of silence for the victims and their families. I hope Congress does not remain silent about the many things we can do to try to prevent such tragedies from occurring in the future. We must increase our attention to mental health

issues, we must support our local first responders with the tools and resources they need, and we must implement real and sensible gun control measures.

Mr. CONAWAY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to condemn the unspeakable acts that were carried out in a movie theater in Aurora, Colorado, on July 20, 2012.

I offer condolences on behalf of myself and the people of Texas District 11 to the innocent men, women, and children and their families who were victims of this cowardly act.

While the pain and anguish continues, the people of Aurora should know they are not alone in this time of suffering. The hearts, thoughts, and prayers of the people of Texas are with them.

The Lord's words can provide comfort in times of tragedy. I am reminded of Psalms 34, which says, "The Lord is close to the broken-hearted and saves those who are crushed in spirit." It is my fervent prayer that the Lord will be a constant comfort to the victims and families and that he will hold them close to him as he begins to heal their wounds in body and spirit.

May the Lord bless them with comfort in the face of senseless tragedy and peace in the face of unanswerable questions.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time for debate has expired.

Pursuant to the order of the House of Wednesday, July 25, 2012, the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the concurrent resolution.

The concurrent resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Ms. Curtis, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate has passed without amendment a bill of the House of the following title:

H.R. 5872. An act to require the President to provide a report detailing the sequester required by the Budget Control Act of 2011 on January 2, 2013.

The message also announced that the Senate has passed a bill of the following title in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. 285. An act for the relief of Sopuruchi Chukwueke.

RED TAPE REDUCTION AND SMALL BUSINESS JOB CREATION ACT

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 741 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 741

Resolved, That during further consideration of the bill (H.R. 4078) to provide that no agency may take any significant regulatory action until the unemployment rate is equal to or less than 6.0 percent, as amended, pursuant to House Resolution 783, the further amendment printed in section 2 of this resolution shall be considered as adopted in the House and in the Committee of the Whole.

SEC. 2. The amendment referred to in the first section of this resolution is as follows: In section 102(b), strike "employment" and insert "unemployment".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina is recognized for 1 hour.

AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE OFFERED BY MS. FOXX

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be amended by the amendment I have placed at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

That during further consideration of the bill (H.R. 4078) to provide that no agency may take any significant regulatory action until the unemployment rate is equal to or less than 6.0 percent, as amended, pursuant to House Resolution 738, the further amendment printed in section 2 of this resolution shall be considered as adopted in the House and in the Committee of the Whole.

SEC. 2. The amendment referred to in the first section of this resolution is as follows: In section 102(b), strike "employment" and insert "unemployment".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from North Carolina?

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I think we should have an explanation here. The Clerk read the technical language; but as I understand it, what happened was that the bill that we were voting on yesterday and will vote on today has an error and gets "employment" and "unemployment" confused and that this is a bill that would correct the error in the bill that we debated yesterday.

So I wonder, why do we now need a unanimous consent? Are we correcting the correction? It's the old Latin phrase "Quis custodiet ipsos custodes?" which means, "Who guards the guardians?" I guess the question today is, Who corrects the correctors?

I would yield to the gentlewoman from North Carolina if she would explain why we had to get a bill to make a correction and why we now have to have a unanimous consent to probably correct the correction. What is the error? I guess I should ask, What is the error of the day? We know what yesterday's error was. What's today's error?

I yield to the gentlewoman.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, if I might respond to the gentleman from Massachusetts, I appreciate his asking the question because it gives us an opportunity to do a mea culpa. That's my ability to quote Latin this morning in response to the gentleman from Massachusetts.

Yes, there was a very minor error in the rule that was passed the day before yesterday, which was that two letters—the letter "U" and the letter "N"—were left off of one word.

□ 1000

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Reclaiming my time to say, if that's the