

□ 1700

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will suspend. The Chair has heard enough and is prepared to rule.

Mr. GRAYSON. Well, the Chair has not heard my arguments.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair advises the gentleman from Florida that he is not recognized and that the Chair is prepared to rule on the question.

Mr. GRAYSON. Well, excuse me, but I have a point of parliamentary order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Hearing argument on a question of order is within the Chair's discretion. The Chair will once again advise the gentleman from Florida that the Chair is ready to rule on the question.

Mr. GRAYSON. I would remind the Chair that the Chair actually agreed to hear my argument. Having done so, the Chair needs to hear my full argument.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is prepared to rule on the question of whether the resolution offered by the gentleman from Florida constitutes a question of the privileges of the House under rule IX.

Mr. GRAYSON. Well, I have to say, Mr. Chair, that in doing so, you, yourself, at this point—

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is not recognized.

The resolution alleges that a lapse in appropriations impairs the dignity of the House. It further expresses a sense of the House concerning action it might take on an appropriation measure. The gentleman from Florida casts this proposal as a statement.

As the Chair ruled on recent occasions such as October 2 and October 3, 2002; March 11, 2008; and December 13, 2011—in each case consistent with a principle enunciated by Speaker Gillett in his landmark ruling of May 6, 1921—a resolution expressing a legislative sentiment ordinarily does not give rise to a question of the privileges of the House under rule IX.

The precedent of March 11, 2008, is particularly illustrative. On that occasion, a resolution alleged that legislative inaction had brought discredit upon the House, and declared that the House should consider a motion to concur in a specified Senate amendment. The Chair held that the resolution did not present a question affecting the rights of the House collectively, its safety, its dignity or the integrity of its proceedings as required under rule IX.

These precedents are annotated in sections 702 and 706 of the House Rules and Manual. The principle upon which they stand was articulated by the Chair on January 24, 1996, as follows:

To rule that a question of the privileges of the House under rule IX may be raised by allegations of perceived discredit brought upon the House by legislative action or inaction, would permit any Member to allege an impact on the dignity of the House based upon virtually any legislative action or inaction.

The Chair would not distinguish between those precedents addressing res-

olutions that called for specific legislative action and a resolution that merely provided a statement about such action. Both express a legislative sentiment and are properly initiated through the introduction of a resolution via the hopper.

For these reasons, the resolution offered by the gentleman from Florida does not constitute a question of the privileges of the House under rule IX.

Mr. GRAYSON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to explain why the Chair is wrong and to finish my argument.

Mr. SESSIONS. Objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed in the following order:

Ordering the previous question on House Resolution 373, by the yeas and nays; and

Adopting House Resolution 373, if ordered.

The first vote will be conducted as a 15 minute vote. The second vote will be conducted as a 5-minute vote.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.J. RES. 89, EXCEPTED EMPLOYEES' PAY CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS RESOLUTION, 2014; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3273, DEFICIT REDUCTION AND ECONOMIC GROWTH WORKING GROUP ACT OF 2013; AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.J. RES. 90, FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS RESOLUTION, 2014

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on ordering the previous question on the resolution (H. Res. 373) providing for consideration of the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 89) making appropriations for the salaries and related expenses of certain Federal employees during a lapse in funding authority for fiscal year 2014, and for other purposes; providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3273) to establish a bicameral working group on deficit reduction and economic growth; and providing for consideration of the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 90) making continuing appropriations for the Federal Aviation Administration for fiscal year 2014, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 226, nays 186, not voting 19, as follows:

[Roll No. 531]

YEAS—226

Aderholt	Graves (GA)	Petri
Amash	Graves (MO)	Pittenger
Amodei	Griffin (AR)	Pitts
Bachmann	Griffith (VA)	Poe (TX)
Bachus	Grimm	Pompeo
Barletta	Guthrie	Posey
Barr	Hall	Price (GA)
Barton	Hanna	Radel
Benishek	Harper	Reed
Bentivolio	Harris	Reichert
Bilirakis	Hartzler	Renacci
Bishop (UT)	Hastings (WA)	Ribble
Black	Heck (NV)	Rice (SC)
Blackburn	Hensarling	Rigell
Boustany	Holding	Roby
Brady (TX)	Hudson	Roe (TN)
Bridenstine	Huelskamp	Rogers (KY)
Brooks (AL)	Huizenga (MI)	Rogers (MI)
Brooks (IN)	Hultgren	Rohrabacher
Broun (GA)	Hunter	Rokita
Buchanan	Hurt	Rooney
Bucshon	Issa	Ros-Lehtinen
Burgess	Jenkins	Roskam
Calvert	Johnson (OH)	Ross
Camp	Johnson, Sam	Rothfus
Campbell	Jones	Royce
Capito	Jordan	Runyan
Carter	Joyce	Ryan (WI)
Cassidy	Kelly (PA)	Salmon
Chabot	King (IA)	Sanford
Chaffetz	King (NY)	Scalise
Coble	Kingston	Schock
Coffman	Kinzinger (IL)	Schweikert
Cole	Kline	Scott, Austin
Collins (GA)	Labrador	Sensenbrenner
Collins (NY)	LaMalfa	Sessions
Conaway	Lamborn	Shimkus
Cook	Lance	Shuster
Cotton	Lankford	Simpson
Cramer	Latham	Smith (MO)
Crawford	Latta	Smith (NE)
Crenshaw	LoBiondo	Smith (NJ)
Culberson	Long	Smith (TX)
Daines	Luetkemeyer	Southerland
Davis, Rodney	Lummis	Stewart
Denham	Marchant	Stivers
Dent	Marino	Stockman
DeSantis	Massie	Stutzman
DesJarlais	McCarthy (CA)	Terry
Diaz-Balart	McCaull	Thompson (PA)
Duffy	McClintock	Thornberry
Duncan (SC)	McHenry	Tiberi
Duncan (TN)	McKeon	Tipton
Ellmers	McKinley	Turner
Farenthold	McMorris	Upton
Fincher	Rodgers	Valadao
Fitzpatrick	Meadows	Wagner
Fleischmann	Meehan	Walberg
Fleming	Messer	Walden
Flores	Mica	Walorski
Forbes	Miller (FL)	Weber (TX)
Fortenberry	Miller (MI)	Webster (FL)
Fox	Miller, Gary	Westmire
Franks (AZ)	Mullin	Westmoreland
Frelinghuysen	Mulvaney	Whitfield
Gardner	Murphy (PA)	Williams
Garrett	Neugebauer	Wilson (SC)
Gerlach	Noem	Wittman
Gibbs	Nugent	Wolf
Gibson	Nunes	Womack
Gingrey (GA)	Nunnelee	Woodall
Gohmert	Olson	Yoder
Goodlatte	Palazzo	Yoho
Gosar	Paulsen	Young (AK)
Gowdy	Pearce	Young (IN)
Granger	Perry	

NAYS—186

Andrews	Capuano	Cuellar
Barber	Cárdenas	Cummings
Barrow (GA)	Carney	Davis (CA)
Bass	Carson (IN)	Davis, Danny
Beatty	Cartwright	DeFazio
Becerra	Castor (FL)	DeGette
Bera (CA)	Castro (TX)	Delaney
Bishop (GA)	Chu	DeLauro
Bishop (NY)	Cicilline	DeBene
Blumenauer	Clarke	Deutch
Bonamici	Cleaver	Dingell
Brady (PA)	Clyburn	Doggett
Braley (IA)	Cohen	Doyle
Brown (FL)	Connolly	Duckworth
Brownley (CA)	Conyers	Edwards
Bustos	Cooper	Engel
Butterfield	Costa	Enyart
Capps	Courtney	Eshoo