

deal was negotiated by the administration, in secret, without the proper input or oversight from the Congress. The grapevine tells us—because they are not talking to us directly—that it will do nothing to address currency manipulation, the mother of all trade barriers.

Just one consequence is an \$8,000 cost advantage to a Japanese vehicle sold in the United States, which is then used to subsidize parts, advertising, or to undercut the cost of a vehicle in the marketplace. In fact, Toyota made more in profits last year from currency manipulation than Ford made last year in its entire worldwide operations.

What I know about the domestic auto industry is that they can outcompete any of their competitors in the world, but they cannot outcompete the Bank of Japan or the Japanese Government.

Those who care about the constitutional responsibilities of Congress should oppose Fast Track.

#### FULLY FUND HOMELAND SECURITY

(Mr. ENGEL asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I want to express my deep disappointment in the votes that were just taken by the House.

We should be fully funding Homeland Security in this country. In light of what went on in Paris, in light of September 11, in light of the fact that there are terrorist cells, we need the Department of Homeland Security to be fully funded.

If there are differences of opinion with the President on the immigration bill, then that should be fought in an immigration bill, but we shouldn't mix apples with oranges.

My mother used to say, "You shouldn't cut off your nose to spite your face." That is exactly what this House did just before: we cut off our nose to spite our face.

To prove a point of dissatisfaction with the President, we cut funds for Homeland Security. That is an irresponsible act, and I really wish it would be reversed. We need to fully fund Homeland Security. The fight on immigration is the fight on immigration. Let's not mix apples with oranges, to quote another metaphor.

I am terribly disappointed, and I say to my colleagues that the Department of Homeland Security needs to be fully funded. We should be adding funds to keep our people safe, not pulling them away.

#### COMMITTED TO FIGHTING THE WAR ON TERROR

(Ms. JACKSON LEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, I started out this week to remind Ameri-

cans of the difficult times in which we live. Sadly, today, rather than passing a clean Homeland Security Appropriations bill upon which Americans are depending to secure this land, we had a political fight.

I want to remind those around the world that America still stands strong and committed to fighting the war on terror. In joining with our friends in Africa against the horrific actions of Boko Haram and the suicide bombings that they are forcing 10-year-old children to do, we stand with our friends in Europe and around the world.

Most of all, what we must do is find a way to steer the young lives that are being captured by the Internet and on-line brutality away from these kinds of dastardly acts.

I think it is important that we find a way to educate the young boys and girls in Nigeria and in countries around the world and to be able to say to them that there is a greater and better life of opportunity and humanity.

I am committed, as we move in this legislative process, to focus on removing the dastardly acts of these terrorists and on saving our boys and girls.

#### COSPONSORING LEGISLATION—CHANGES IN POLICY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2015, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. SMITH) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I will only take a few minutes and then yield the bulk of the time to Mr. CLYBURN.

I rise about a particular situation that has happened to me and, I am sure, has happened to other Members that you might not be aware of. Other Members can sign you on to a piece of legislation without your consent, and that happened to me just this week.

Representative DIANE BLACK signed me on to H.R. 217, which is a particularly strong anti-choice bill and a bill that I would never support. Now, it was an honest mistake.

My name is ADAM SMITH. Regrettably, there is an ADRIAN SMITH, who serves—well, not "regrettably." ADRIAN is a very nice man, but he is someone who has a name very close to mine, "ADRIAN SMITH." She thought it was ADRIAN SMITH she was signing on to the bill. She signed me on to the bill instead, and that creates two problems and two things that I would urge this body to change.

First of all, nobody should be able to sign you on to a bill without your signature. Now, I know we do that, and that speeds up the process, but it creates a situation where anyone can put you on any bill. In this case, I was put on a bill that is polar opposite to my personal beliefs and my 18-year record in Congress.

The second thing that is really problematic about this is you would as-

sume—well, okay—there is a simple fix: just take it off. To her credit, as soon as she noticed the mistake, Congresswoman BLACK did just that—she had my name removed as a cosponsor—but that is not what happens.

On the bill that is out there with the original cosponsors, my name does not simply disappear. A line is drawn through it, and it is said next to it "withdrawn" as if, at some point, I did cosponsor the bill and then changed my mind.

I don't know how we change this rule, but when this happens—when it is clear that someone signs you on to a bill you had no intention of being on—your name should be removed. Period. End of story. It was never really there in the first place.

Now, as a part of my permanent record, there is my name as having been on a bill—to all appearances as my own choice—that, in a million years, I never would have cosponsored.

I rise to make that point clear to my constituents, first of all. I never signed on to it, and I never had any intention. Second of all, as I will do in a letter that I will send to the Speaker and to the minority leader, I would urge us to at least change that second policy.

Once it is clear that you never intended to sign on to a bill, your name should simply be removed. It should not be there with a line through it as if you did intend to sign on to the bill at one time. I think this is potentially damaging to a lot of Members.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

#### ONE RIVER, ONE BOAT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2015, the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. CLYBURN) is recognized for the remainder of the hour as the designee of the minority leader.

Mr. CLYBURN. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank my friend, Mr. SMITH, for allowing me to share this time with him.

Mr. Speaker, in keeping with the tradition of the First Amendment—a law deeply ingrained in the core of American values—I would like to put into the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD the poem "One River, One Boat."

This poem was written by South Carolina's poet laureate, Marjory Wentworth, in anticipation of reading it at today's gubernatorial inauguration. It illustrates the history of my home State and ponders a look at the path the State seems to be embarking upon going forward.

Ms. Wentworth has recited a poem at the last three gubernatorial inaugurations, but she will not be reciting a poem at today's. She was told that her participation would make the program too lengthy.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I have attended several inaugurations of South Carolina's Governors. Some were shorter than others. None were allotted a specific amount of time. South Carolinians are proud of their poet laureates, but all have not always agreed