

Maine and those being brought to our State.

Yesterday, I was proud to stand shoulder to shoulder with my Republican and Democrat colleagues in the House to unanimously pass the first of a dozen bills to fight the scourge of human trafficking in America.

As parents and as public officials, we owe it to our families to help our States and our local communities with stronger law enforcement and tougher criminal penalties for those who prey upon our children.

I ask our colleagues in the Senate to now do the same and to help rid this land of the unthinkable horrors of human trafficking.

#### RAISING SHASTA DAM

(Mr. LAMALFA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LAMALFA. Mr. Speaker, yesterday U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service brought up a possible concern on salmon habitat on a water storage project in California. This would be above Shasta Dam. There is a proposal to possibly raise the dam.

But what really gets me is that the concern more is about a fictional problem with salmon habitat that really doesn't exist above a possible raise of the dam, and not much more attention focused on what the effects would be on the people that live around the lake and the need they would have for infrastructure, their resorts, marinas, the things that they do there.

So it is really disconcerting that U.S. Fish & Wildlife is creating a fictional problem on an environmental side and not looking at the human impact of what a possible raise—it may be a good thing; it may be not a good thing—the raise of Shasta Dam could be. I implore them to take a look at what the needs are of the people around that lake.

#### COMBATING TERRORISM

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2015, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GOHMERT) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Mr. GOHMERT. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. CLAWSON).

#### NO NUCLEAR IRAN

Mr. CLAWSON. Mr. Speaker, I thank Mr. GOHMERT for yielding to me.

Already a grave and growing existential threat to Israel, a nuclear armed Iran would be a colossal, horrific game changer. It would launch a nuclear arms race in what is already the world's most dangerous neighborhood. We all know that this must not be allowed to happen.

The Islamic Republic of Iran's nuclear ambitions are made more dire when considering that they are the

world's most dangerous state supporter of Islamic extremist terrorism, with a destabilizing presence in Lebanon, in Syria, and in Iraq. And Iran's financial and military support for the Houthi rebels in Yemen has led to the collapse of the Hadi government.

Thus, Iran today exerts major influence, not just in rebel territories, but in four Middle East capitals—Beirut, Baghdad, Damascus and Sana'a.

The crisis in Yemen threatens to launch yet another civil war in the region, and this severely handcuffs U.S. counterterrorism operations against AQAP. Islamists in Iran and elsewhere repeatedly threaten to slaughter all standing in their way—with their ultimate targets being Israel and the United States—us, their great Satan. They must never be allowed nuclear weapons capabilities. Does anyone really doubt whether they would use these capabilities someday? Well, there must be some reason why Iran is developing ICBMs.

Last week, Iran's Channel 2 broadcast satellite imagery showing recently constructed missile-related sites. Those sites included a launch pad capable of firing an ICBM, and on that launch pad was a never-seen-before missile measuring 27 meters in length. While we negotiate, the Iran story gets worse.

We have been extending deadlines and softening sanctions on Iran, while they fail to meet their end of the bargain.

□ 1115

It is bizarre to me that we are debating with the Iranians the numbers of their centrifuges but leaving off the table their support for terrorism, their ICBMs, and their continued human rights violations.

Is it the right thing to do to sit across the table, remain silent about the costs we and our allies have paid and are paying because of their financial and military support of our enemies? Does this make sense? Shouldn't we insist on adding to the agenda Iran's destabilizing actions in the region and also their ICBM program that puts us all at risk?

Merely delaying some of the potential horror for a decade or so is not a good option in my view. A bad deal where we declare victory by kicking this can down the road is far worse than no deal at all.

Iran now threatens to end nuclear talks if Congress increases sanctions against their regime. I say we must never yield to threats from Iran or any other nation.

We must stand strong, continue sanctions, and even strengthen them until Iran gets the message. I believe that strengthening sanctions will get us a better deal. Leverage produces a better deal.

We must remain unwavering in our support for Israel. We must listen carefully to the concerns of Prime Minister Netanyahu on this subject. I hope we

unite with our Arab partners and do all that is possible to prevent Iran from going nuclear.

We must lead the civilized world in this crucial mission. I think this is our destiny.

We urge President Obama to join with Congress in this resolve.

Mr. GOHMERT. Mr. Speaker, there is a great deal going on, and I think the first thing that needs to be addressed is the 70th anniversary of something that should never have happened in civilized society. This is the 70th anniversary of the liberation of Auschwitz death camp.

As a schoolboy growing up in east Texas, later attending Texas A&M, and especially in my time, my 4 years in the United States Army, as we discussed and looked at World War II, things that had occurred then—I was a history major and was with the Army for 4 years and majored in history.

It was just always amazing. How could people who said they were civilized kill 6 million of any race, gender, national origin? How could that happen?

But it did happen. On learning that Eisenhower required people from the surrounding villages to be brought in to help clean up concentration camps, death camps—as I understood the reason—was so no one could ever deny that the death camps occurred.

I thought that seemed ridiculous. How could anybody deny the Holocaust? There aren't all that many survivors, but there are enough, and the evidence is there, and it clearly happened. But just as Hitler showed, if any lie is told often enough, people begin to believe it, especially if it is even printed.

Here is something that was in print yesterday from a man named Martin Greenfield from foxnews.com, and these are Martin Greenfield's words. Mr. Greenfield said:

Seventy years ago, I was in a Nazi concentration camp. Since then, I have seen tyrants and dictators enter and exit the global stage; yet as the world prepares to mark the 70th anniversary of the Auschwitz liberation, it is perhaps well and right that we reflect on how the Holocaust shocked the moral imagination on a scale the world could scarcely fathom.

Why ponder such things? Because for far too many, the Holocaust remains a mystery. A major poll taken last year of 53,000 people found that just 54 percent had ever heard of the Holocaust. Knowledge of Auschwitz is likely even more limited, particularly among young people. Past surveys have shown that nearly half of Britons had never heard of Auschwitz. Some schoolchildren even thought Auschwitz was a type of beer.

Here at home in America, a debate erupted last year when a teenager posted a smiling selfie at Auschwitz. Whatever your opinion on the appropriateness of her actions, I was at least pleased to be reminded that some young Americans still visit the Nazi concentration camp to learn history up close.

I, too, visited Auschwitz as a teenager. In 1944, my family and I stood in line before Dr. Josef Mengele—the Nazi physician known as the "Angel of Death"—as my mother, grandparents, two sisters, and baby brother were

all sent to the left to be burned in Hitler's ovens. My father and I were sent to the right.

The first night inside Auschwitz, my father said we must separate because, together, we would suffer double.

He quoted his father, "On your own, you will survive," his father told him. "You are young and strong, and I know you will survive. If you survive by yourself, you must honor us by living, by not feeling sorry for us. This is what you must do."

That was the last time I ever saw my father. I am grateful for my father's words of grace and guidance. They echo in my heart even still. It is a cruel thing, feeling guilty for surviving, but my father erased any future guilt and replaced it with purpose. It was a gift only a father's wisdom could give. It gave me a reason to go forward, a reason to be. It does still.

Part of heeding my father's words involved replacing the horrors of my Holocaust past with a life spent creating beauty in the form of hand-tailored suits for U.S. Presidents, Hollywood films, and the world's most influential men.

In fact, my first sewing lesson took place in the Auschwitz concentration camp laundry when I accidentally ripped the collar of a Nazi soldier's shirt. A guard beat me before a kind, older inmate taught me how to sew a simple stitch to repair the torn shirt. It was hardly the ideal tailoring apprenticeship, but it was my first lesson in the skill that became my livelihood.

But at 86, another part of honoring my father's wishes requires being a voice for the voiceless. Indeed, as parents, educators, and citizens, we must all do our part to help ensure that "Never Forget" remains much more than a threadbare catchphrase that gathers dust and loses meaning with each passing year.

For example, many people are surprised to learn that Auschwitz was actually a complex comprised of three main camps and dozens of satellites. The United States Holocaust Memorial Museum's statistics estimate that between 1940 and 1945, at least 1.1 million Jews and 200,000 of Hitler's undesirables were sent to the Auschwitz complex. Of those, 1.1 million were murdered.

As I have noted elsewhere, that number would have been far greater were it not for the courage of the American soldiers, sailors, airmen, and marines who traveled around the world to defeat a moral darkness that consumed at least 6 million Jewish souls.

That is a lesson worthy of remembrance. The 70th anniversary of the liberation marks that moment when freedom conquered barbarism through sureness of virtue and strength of will. Sadly, as recent events reveal, that remains a lesson humanity must learn and relearn from generation to generation.

The word "Holocaust" means sacrifice by fire. May the memory of the millions who were engulfed in the flames like my family never be forgotten.

That is Martin Greenfield, 70 years after being liberated from Auschwitz death camp.

There is another article from CBC News. Aleksandra Sagan includes this regarding Mordechai Ronen, 82, born with the family name Markovits. He would be making a second visit to Auschwitz for the commemoration ceremonies.

At nearly 12, Ronen saw Auschwitz for the first time as a prisoner after soldiers forced all the Jews in his Hungarian town into a ghetto and, 2 weeks later, shipped them in cattle cars to the camp.

Dr. Josef Mengele, the Nazis' Angel of Death, sent Ronen's mother and two sisters to the gas chambers on arrival. Young Ronen made a lifesaving decision when he held on to his father's hand and joined the line of men.

He spent about 2 weeks at Auschwitz, where he witnessed soldiers using an infant for target practice and slept on piles of corpses to avoid selection for the gas chamber.

He and his father were moved to a nearby labor camp where the brutality continued. One day, his father told Ronen he could no longer get up to work for the cement brigade. Ronen last saw his father as soldiers took him away. It is the only day he remembers crying and the day he decided to survive.

Ronen was liberated from a third camp, Gunskirchen, and remembers walking to a nearby town, knocking on a resident's door and asking if he could take a shower.

Ronen, who prefers to be called a "victor" rather than a survivor, first returned to Auschwitz in 1999, when he guided then-Prime Minister Jean Chretien around the grounds.

It is important to Ronen to show the world he is alive and to share the history of the Holocaust.

"Maybe the world will realize what we went through, and it will be the end, and we are going to have peace and quiet in the world," he explains.

Unfortunately, it is not the end of horrors. Tragically, Christians now are being killed, persecuted, and tortured in greater numbers than ever in the history of the world.

□ 1130

Anti-Semitism, hatred against Jews, is growing like I couldn't have imagined. In college, when I studied in history, I couldn't have imagined the kind of anti-Jewish hatred, the kind of anti-Semitism that would be growing as it has, and the United States of America would be doing precious little about it instead of standing up for the Jewish people and calling out anti-Semitism where it exists and where it grows and proliferates, as it does in the United Nations, for example, as it has in Europe, as it has in England, as it has right here in America.

It is unconscionable that at a time in world history when the United States is said to be the true superpower of the world—even as that power has been seen as diminishing by people around the world, as polling indicates around the world, but still to be seen as the great superpower—as anti-Jewish hatred grows and we do precious little about it, and even at times stoke those flames, even in our universities, who are so proud of accepting massive amounts of money from people who are part of organizations that hate Jews and fund such courses or seminars on things like Islamophobia, not a liberated mental process of recognizing anti-Semitism, recognizing Jewish hatred—no, stoking those flames against the Jewish people. It is unbelievable that it is happening here in America as well.

And it is even more unbelievable that it is happening among what some would refer to as the intelligentsia,

those who are supposed to be more enlightened than the rest of a nation, who see things as they truly are. And yet, in America, some of those supposed enlightened intelligentsia are growing to be some of the most anti-Semitic people in the country.

How did all this happen? Money for one thing, political power for another. But it has to stop. Money and initially power in Nazi Germany stoked the flames of anti-Jewish hatred. But there is anti-Christian hatred growing as well. Radical Islam has proliferated around the world. Violent radical Islam has grown. They aren't junior varsities. These are literal cutthroats who have to be stopped.

It is not enough for the United States administration to beg radical Islamists, Jew- and Christian-hating leaders to sit at the table or offer to let their murderers go free if they will just sit down and visit with us, reminiscent of what Jimmy Carter wanted to do after an act of war was committed against our Embassy, and in the 36 years that have followed, what appeared to radical Islamists as a weak, paper tiger, toothless America did nothing but beg to sit down and talk and try to encourage Iran to let our prisoners go. It was not until Ronald Reagan took office they were released. They never wanted to fight the United States superpower.

That has been changed over the years. Since '79, when they committed an act of war, attacked our Embassy, around the world people have been shown: Oh, you can do that against the United States and get away with it. The good thing is they may end up leaving your country and then they may offer to give you money. They may offer to release murderers from prison so they can come back and help kill more Americans. You know, they are not very smart over there in the United States. That has been going on since 1979.

It is tragic when we encourage radical Islamist holocausts, which is what they would like to do, they said they were going to do, by letting the murderers go. How could this administration for months now think that Yemen was a great example of moderate Islam working out? I have known since a constituent months ago was in jeopardy, and we were able to get special ops people to help get them out.

According to them, the Embassy was attacked many months ago. Back at the time Embassy personnel officially said: "No, it was a nearby attack, but it wasn't us." When the Iranian-backed Houthis, the radical Islamists in Yemen, were taking over the capital and taking over the country—and instead of standing up firmly against them and protecting our American interests we were releasing murderers, radical Islamic murderers—we were talking about how wonderful things were in Yemen. That is exactly how the kind of anti-Jewish, anti-Christian sentiment could grow to the point of having a holocaust.

It is literally breathtaking for an administration not to understand that while it is trying to placate radical Islamic leaders in Iran and telling them: "We just want to talk. As long as you will keep talking to us, we will keep Israel from protecting themselves to their own detriment. Just keep talking to us and you keep those centrifuges spinning, that is fine with us."

Now we know, we have been informed, that the administration has taken complete dismantlement of the centrifuges and their equipment to help them create nuclear weapons off the table. It is not even something they are demanding anymore. In other words, the word is out that this administration is apparently okay with Iran getting nukes but just would prefer that it wait until after this President leaves office. It doesn't matter when a President leaves office. If an administration gives bloodthirsty zealots the ability to create a holocaust, history does not forgive them simply because they had already left office when the holocaust actually started.

Nigeria doesn't need us to send troops to stop the radical Islamists there, but they need help. Boko Haram continues to kill, rape, torture. There it is about going after Christians. The small-scale holocausts that Boko Haram is creating don't get so much as a whimper these days from this administration. They may say a few words, but they are hollow and they do not affect Boko Haram as they continue to be emboldened.

Just like when the IRS was caught redhanded being weaponized and used as a Democratic political tool, which appears to have violated criminal law—yes, we have had hearings, but we haven't held them accountable. And, therefore, it seems to have encouraged even more impropriety by people within the IRS.

When people get caught in impropriety and don't pay a cost, then you see what we are seeing in Nigeria, you see what we are seeing in Afghanistan, in Pakistan, in Syria, in Iran, in Iraq, and in Libya.

Oh, I know the President, his administration, bragged about the Arab Spring and about taking out Qadhafi, who had become an ally after he gave up any efforts for nuclear weapons, completely opened his defenses to the United States. This administration and the prior administration had agreements with Qadhafi. But this administration never lets agreements get in the way of helping radical Islamists.

Because this administration did help radical Islam turn Libya into a smoking country where people die, where our own people were not protected, because of the fantasy of those who thought that somehow the name, the United States, would be adequate to keep Chris Stevens and the other Americans safe in Benghazi. We didn't need to give them added security like they asked for, we didn't even need to respond when Chris Stevens called and

said they were under attack—never said anything about a video because it was not.

But that smokescreen worked. The President got reelected. He didn't have to account for that before the election, still hasn't had to account for where he was and why help was not forthcoming.

I mean, even after 20 hours this administration that had planes—we know for sure within 3½ hours—after 20 hours they get a private plane there, that is it. David Ubben, with most of his leg, right leg, blown off, no painkillers, no morphine, they don't have a C-130 that they land to take him up in on a gurney. They get a private plane from somebody who wasn't even American, and they have to knock David up against the door, turning the gurney every which way trying to get him in, without painkillers, causing more pain, more suffering, because this administration, apparently they were thinking that if they sent more help than just a private plane like that, a military plane, if that were sent, it might look like Libya were not the wonderful country that this administration helped create by bombing Qadhafi out of existence.

And, yes, it was not, it is true, it was not a U.S. bomb that took Qadhafi out. But our bombs put him on the run, our bombs stopped his caravan. Our President wouldn't respond for 3 days after Qadhafi offered to leave in exile and avoid any bloodshed before it all started. This administration didn't respond. Obviously, they were okay with having bloodshed and Qadhafi being wiped out. So they got what they were hoping for, obviously.

□ 1145

But what do we have now?

We have a country in Libya that is in absolute turmoil. By the way, because Libya is in turmoil due to this administration, they are helping turn Egypt into as much turmoil as they can. Thank God for President el-Sisi. In having met with him on more than one occasion, I was impressed by the man. Before he was President, he asked that we bring back a message to this administration since this administration—this President—froze the helicopters that were being sent, the Apaches. The question was: Does this administration—does this President—not understand that we use the Apache helicopters to keep the Suez Canal open?

Although there were some that bought Morsi's lies that he was dewatering the Sinai—supposedly to diminish the threat to Israel from the Sinai—and after Morsi was removed for his unconstitutional actions, not in a coup but in an uprising, which was a peaceful revolution by reportedly over 30 million of their 90 million people, they found that the Sinai had been dramatically weaponized and had been contributing weapons equipment to Gaza to help threaten and cause terror to Israel.

Once again, whether it is the Sinai, Gaza, northern Israel that was given to

Lebanon, it seems going back to the very inception of Israel—back when Israel was first brought, according to the Bible, into the Promised Land—we know of Canaan. The Canaanites no longer exist, so other people claim it who are not Canaanites. Actually, the Israelis had claim to it after the Canaanites. Others who occupied the land back over 3,000 years ago don't exist. This land, according to the Bible, was given to the children of Israel. It seems to be true that there has never been a time when Israel gave away land when trying to buy peace that that land that they gave away was not used as a staging area from which to attack it.

Gaza, what a noble thing to do by the Israelis. They took an area that was prosperous, self-sustaining, with greenhouses growing vegetables that would feed the people who lived there. An amazing place was the Gaza Strip. Then some noble Israeli leaders thought, Do you know what? It is not required. We are getting absolutely nothing in return, but we are going to do the unilateral act that will be so noble, that will be so full of grace that the world, even those who don't like us, will go, Wow. Those Israelis, they are okay. They are nice folks.

Look, they didn't get anything in return; yet they still gave away the Gaza Strip. What a wonderful group of people. I mean, that just doesn't happen. The United States never gave back its land to England or to Spain or to France or to other countries that initially had claims here. Other countries don't do that, but Israel did. They gave away the Gaza Strip. Previous to that, they had given away what northern Israel now calls southern Lebanon. Southern Lebanon, people will recall, has been the site of attacks on Israelis—war.

So how was this grace, this beneficence of Israel's giving the Gaza Strip to the Palestinians, rewarded? It has been rewarded by their giving back to Israel thousands upon thousands upon thousands of rockets. Some kill. All terrorize. All cost money to Israel.

The most important problem they have created is the threat to life, the threat to their existence; and we still have people in this country who say, Well, if you just keep giving away land, eventually, they will be satisfied, when the very materials that are being promoted by the people this administration supports among the Islamists—they made very clear the reason we name holidays, streets, areas, parks after suicide bombers who kill innocent children, women, men is that we, ultimately, are going to destroy Israel and wipe it off the map. We hate Jews that much; and this administration thinks, somehow, they will bring radical Islam around to really being this group of peace.

Now, there is a document that was an exhibit in the United States v. the Holy Land Foundation in which there were many individuals and groups named as coconspirators. They were

not indicted, but they were named as coconspirators. It includes the Council on American-Islamic Relations, CAIR, which has a nice office building just up the street here, and the Islamic Society of North America, ISNA, the leader of which is Imam Magid, who goes to the White House, who goes to the State Department, who advises the President and let's him know when somebody is criticizing Islam, so the administration steps in and goes after him.

In this exhibit from the Holy Land Foundation trial—it was the biggest funding of terrorism case ever in U.S. history, and people who were involved originally had indicated the goal was to convict these first five, to name all of these coconspirators, and if we get convictions of those first five, like we think we should, then we proceed and go after the remainder. They were convicted in late 2008. President Obama took office a month or two later, and this administration would under no circumstances go after these people who had been alleged in the documents of funding radical Islamic terrorism even after the U.S. District Court in Dallas and the U.S. Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals in New Orleans confirmed that there was plenty of evidence to support that someone like ISNA or CAIR was a legitimate coconspirator named in the indictment and that their names would not be removed.

I have asked for years now of the Justice Department to make available the documents that were provided to the convicted terrorists—those funding terrorism or terrorists—and this administration now, for years, has drug their feet and has refused to provide all of the documents that were provided to the terrorists.

On one occasion, the Attorney General basically said there are issues here of privilege, and my point was—and is—you gave them to the terrorists; surely, you can give them to Members of Congress. But the answer is, no, they can't. They are going to keep obfuscating. They don't want us to see all of the documents that they had in their possession that they gave to the terrorists. I have a feeling, if we saw all of the documents, it would be very, very clear in the purging that this administration has done of our training materials of the FBI's, of the intelligence agency's, of the State Department's, and the Justice Department's that, if there is anything that might bother a radical Islamist who wants to kill us, then it has to be removed. They removed it.

In the document from a 1991 meeting, in what is called an "Explanatory Memorandum," it spells out their goals. It was written in 1991 by a member of the board of directors of the Muslim Brotherhood in North America and by a senior Hamas leader named Mohamed Akram. It had been approved by the Muslim Brotherhood's Shura Council and Organizational Conference, and it was meant for internal review by the Muslim Brotherhood leadership in

Egypt. It was not intended for public consumption. These are the words from the introduction that is part of this document from the Center for Security Policy.

Mr. Speaker, I want to quote from the document, itself, prepared by the Muslim Brotherhood in 1991, setting their goals for America:

One: The Memorandum is Derived from:

One, the general strategic goal of the group in America, which was approved by the Shura Council and the Organizational Conference for the year 1987, is: "Enablement of Islam in North America, meaning: establishing an effective and stable Islamic movement led by the Muslim Brotherhood which adopts Muslims' causes domestically and globally and which works to expand the observant Muslim base; aims at unifying and directing Muslims' efforts; presents Islam as a civilization alternative; and supports the global Islamic state—if that name sounds familiar—wherever it is."

Two, the priority that is approved by the Shura Council for the work of the group in its current and former session, which is "settlement."

Skipping down to "Two: An Introduction to the Explanatory Memorandum," it says:

The question we are facing is: "How do you like to see the Islam movement in North America in 10 years?" or "taking along" the following sentence when planning and working "Islamic Work in North America in the year 2000: A strategic vision."

It goes on:

Also, we must summon and take along elements of the general strategic goal of the group in North America.

For those large numbers of people in college who may not realize, North America is where we live.

The document says:

I will intentionally repeat them in numbers. They are:

One, establishing an effective and stable Islamic movement led by the Muslim Brotherhood.

Two, adopting Muslims' causes domestically and globally.

Three, expanding the observant Muslim base.

Four, unifying and directing Muslim efforts.

Five, presenting Islam as a civilization alternative.

Six, supporting the establishment of the global Islamic state, wherever it is.

□ 1200

The document says:

It must be stressed that it has become clear and emphatically known that all is in agreement that we must "settle" or "enable" Islam and its movement in this part of the world.

Three: The Concept of Settlement:

The term was mentioned in the group's "dictionary" and documents with various meanings in spite of the fact that everyone meant one thing with it. We believe that the understanding of the essence is the same, and we will attempt here to give the word and its "meanings" a practical explanation with a practical movement tone and not a philosophical linguistic explanation, while stressing that this explanation of ours is not complete until our explanation of "the process" of settlement itself is understood which is mentioned in the following paragraph. We briefly say the following:

Settlement: "That Islam and its movement become a part of the homeland it lives in."

Establishment: "That Islam turns into firmly rooted organizations on whose bases civilization, structure, and testimony are built."

Further down, it goes on, "That Islam is stable in the land."

Rooting: "That Islam is resident and not a passing thing or rooted 'entrenched' in the soil of the spot where it moves and not a strange plant to it."

Four: The Process of Settlement:

In order for Islam and its movement to become "a part of the homeland" in which it lives—

Talking about North America.

—"stable" in its land, "rooted" in the spirits and minds of its people, "enabled" in the life of its society and has firmly established "organizations" on which the Islamic structure is built and with which the testimony of civilization is achieved, the movement must plan and struggle to obtain "the keys" and the tools of this process in carrying out this grand mission as a "civilization jihadist" responsibility which lies on the shoulders of Muslims and—on top of them—the Muslim Brotherhood in this country.

Talking about here in the United States, North America.

"Among these keys and tools are the following." It goes on to talk about the settlement concept and the fundamental shift toward settlement of this country.

Number four:

Understanding the Role of the Muslim Brother in North America:

The process of settlement is a "civilization jihadist process," with all the word means.

Anyway, it goes on.

Another place here, it says:

There is a conviction—with which this memorandum disagrees—that our focus in attempting to settle Islam in this country will lead to negligence in our duty towards the global Islamic movement in supporting its project to establish the state.

We believe that the reply is in two segments. One, the success of the movement in America—

Talking about the United States of America.

One, the success of the movement in America in establishing an observant Islamic base with power and effectiveness will be the best support and aid to the global movement project.

And the second is the global movement has not succeeded yet in "distributing roles" to its branches, stating what is needed from them as one of the participants or contributors to the project to establish the global Islamic state. The day this happens, the children of the American Ikhwan branch will have far-reaching impact and positions that make the ancestors proud.

It is a great document goal for taking over the United States, which brings another story to the surface. It is from Bob Price from [breitbart.com](http://breitbart.com). "Islamic Tribunal Confirmed in Texas; Attorney Claims 'It's Voluntary,'" and it talks about the new Islamic tribunal in Texas that has been confirmed now by Breitbart Texas.

The tribunal is operating as a non-profit organization in Dallas. Because when you understand the goals, if there is a major defeat or a major success,

Muslim Brotherhood requires a mosque be built there and a presence there.

Naturally, they would want one at Ground Zero in New York; further, they would want a mosque and a strong presence in Dallas which was, they believe, the place of their big defeat when the Holy Land Foundation principals were convicted and sent to prison.

I did want to point out, as we finish up here today, that the President was giving an excellent speech in India about the importance of stopping the global war on women. He didn't call it that. He only calls it that for Republicans, but there is a war on women, and it is not by Republicans. It is radical Islam and even some moderate Islamists.

He is giving this talk about the importance of recognizing the importance and the equality of women—or some of us might say they are more equal than we are—but as he was doing that, this picture was on Saudi television.

We have our United States President depicted here, and this is the First Lady of the United States of America being blurred out by this country's allies because she wasn't covered. Michelle Obama should never need to be covered if she doesn't want to be; yet at the very time our President is talking about equality of women, he fails to notice that people that he considers allies are treating his own wife like this.

Mr. Speaker, it is critical that we stand up against radical Islam or any Islam that wants a settlement civilization jihad in America. Anything and anybody who disagrees with the United States Constitution and wants to destroy it, tear it down, is an enemy to the United States and needs to be recognized as such and not welcomed with open arms at the State Department and the White House.

With that, I yield back the balance of my time.

#### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. DEFAZIO (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for today on account of illness.

#### ADJOURNMENT

Mr. GOHMERT. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 12 o'clock and 8 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until Friday, January 30, 2015, at 2 p.m.

#### EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

235. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule —

Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Age in Programs or Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance From the U.S. Department of Agriculture (RIN: 0503-AA57) received January 14, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

236. A letter from the Secretary, Federal Trade Commission, transmitting the Commission's sixth interim and final report on a national study of credit report accuracy, entitled "Report to Congress Under Section 319 of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003 (January 2015)"; to the Committee on Financial Services.

237. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Education, transmitting the Department's final regulations — Direct Grant Programs and Definitions that Apply to Department Regulations [Docket ID: ED-2014-OII-0116] (RIN: 1855-AA10) received January 15, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

238. A letter from the Acting Director, Standards, Regulations, and Variances, Mine Safety and Health Administration, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's final rule — Proximity Detection Systems for Continuous Mining Machines in Underground Coal Mines [Docket No. MSHA-2010-0001] (RIN: 1219-AB65) received January 15, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

239. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's direct final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; State of Colorado; Second Ten-Year PM10 Maintenance Plan for Steamboat Springs [EPA-R08-OAR-2013-0814; FRL-9921-54-Region 8] received January 16, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

240. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Virginia; Revisions to the State Implementation Plan Approved by EPA through Letter Notice Actions [EPA-R03-OAR-2014-0494; FRL-9921-71-Region 3] received January 16, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

241. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's withdrawal of direct final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; North Carolina; Inspection and Maintenance Program Updates [EPA-R04-OAR-2013-0772; FRL-9921-83-Region 4] received January 16, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

242. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Oregon: Interstate Transport of Fine Particulate Matter [EPA-R10-OAR-2011-0446; FRL-9921-69-Region 10] received January 16, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

243. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's direct final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of New Mexico; Revisions to the State Implementation Plan; General Definitions [EPA-R06-OAR-2011-0033; FRL-9921-79-Region 6] received January 16, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

244. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Pro-

tection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Flupyradifurone; Pesticide Tolerances [EPA-HQ-OPP-2013-0226; FRL-9914-77] received January 16, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

245. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Fosetyl-Al; Pesticide Tolerances [EPA-HQ-OPP-2014-0540; FRL-9920-54] received January 16, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

246. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's direct final rule — Georgia: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions [EPA-R04-RCRA-2014-0710; FRL-9921-90-Region 4] received January 16, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

247. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Department's direct final rule — Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan; South Coast Air Quality Management District and Ventura County Air Pollution Control District [EPA-R09-OAR-2014-0781; FRL-9920-52-Region 9] received January 16, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

248. A letter from the Under Secretary for Industry and Security, Department of Commerce, transmitting a report on Russian Sanctions: Licensing Policy for the Crimea Region of Ukraine, pursuant to the Export Administration Act, section 6(f)(2), under the authority conferred by Executive Order 13222, as amended and extended; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

249. A letter from the Acting Chief, Branch of FS, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule — Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Listing the Straight-Horned Markhor as Threatened With a Rule Under Section 4(d) of the ESA [Docket No.: FWS-R9-ES-2011-0003; FXES111309F2460-145-FF09E22000] (RIN: 1018-AY42) received January 26, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

250. A letter from the Acting Chief, Branch of Listing, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule — Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Determination of Threatened Status for the Western Distinct Population Segment of the Yellow-billed Cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*) [Docket No.: FWS-R8-ES-2013-0104; 4500030113] (RIN: 1018-AY53) received January 26, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

251. A letter from the Chief, Branch of Recovery and State Grants, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule — Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Revision to the Regulations for the Nonessential Experimental Population of the Mexican Wolf [Docket No.: FWS-R2-ES-2013-0056; FXES11130900000-156-FF09E42000] (RIN: 1018-AY46) received January 26, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

252. A letter from the Chief, Branch of Recovery and State Grants, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule — Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Endangered Status for the Mexican Wolf [Docket No.: FWS-HQ-ES-2013-0073; FXES11130900000-156-FF09E42000] (RIN: 1018-AY00) received January 26, 2015, pursuant to