

Let us honor our responsibilities and stop standing in the way of protecting the American people. It is about the security of the American people versus the philosophy that you have going over there, which is perfectly to be respected in another piece of legislation. Let's have that debate separate from protecting.

It is about time for us to come together to get the job done. The Senate did it. We can. Please support Congresswoman ROYBAL-ALLARD's and Congresswoman LOWEY's motion to instruct the conferees to accept the Senate bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair reminds Members to please direct their remarks to the Chair and not to other Members.

Mr. CARTER of Texas. Madam Speaker, how much time is remaining?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Texas has 7½ minutes remaining.

Mr. CARTER of Texas. Does the gentlewoman have other speakers?

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. No. I am prepared to close.

Mr. CARTER of Texas. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, I have had a lot of schoolteachers shake their fingers at me and tell me things. And most of the times, I have deserved it. I have been looked right at and told I was wrong before. Many of those times, I deserved it.

But I want to make something really clear. This is not a debate on immigration. This is not a debate on whether or not we are going to fund the Department. We are funding the Department for the next 3 weeks, using the same manner that we funded this Department for years at a time when our colleagues on the other side of the aisle were in charge of this House.

The CR was one of the most popular vehicles that they used in funding our country during the period of time they ran this place. So we are not using anything that we don't all use. It funds the Department. It keeps the Border Patrol okay and keeps them paid.

But it also allows us to do something that—by the way, the gentleman is absolutely right. In what we would call recent history, going to conference was so rare—my mother used to say “rare as hen's teeth”—when they ran this place.

So we are at least doing something that was designed to be done, and we are going to conference. When you go to conference and you have two sides of the story, you are supposed to go in there and discuss the two sides of the story. What they are asking to instruct here is to just take what the Senate sent us.

Well, to some extent, I guess we should reward the Senate. This is about the first thing they have sent us in recent history where we have actually had a bipartisan vote. In fact, last year we just didn't have any votes at

all. In fact, you wondered if they even knew how.

And so here we are. We are going to conference and doing it the way it is supposed to be done. All parties will be able to participate, and maybe we will resolve our differences and maybe we won't, but the American people also ask us to try to work in a bipartisan manner. And here is our opportunity.

The Homeland Security Department will be funded. They will have a paycheck. We will address this issue in conference, and hopefully we will come to a resolution the way we are designed to with bipartisan participation.

This is not about immigration. And after having spent 4 years with some of my colleagues on the other side of the aisle and some of the colleagues over here working on immigration, I am not anti-immigration policy. This is anti-stepping on the feet of the Framers of the Constitution and walking all over that piece of paper.

And that is why we are here to fight today. We are fighting for the rights of this legislative body as we address the Executive. And we are fighting to fund the Department.

With that, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Madam Speaker, let me just say that regardless of what the other side of the aisle will have us believe, the Secretary of Homeland Security and the men and women who put their lives on the front line every day for us and to protect this country tell us that a continuing resolution jeopardizes their ability to fully and effectively protect this country.

And so I urge my colleagues to do the responsible thing and to vote for this motion to instruct conferees to bring back a clean, full-year, bipartisan funding bill that will enable the Department of Homeland Security to fully and effectively protect our Nation and the American people.

I yield back the balance of my time. The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time for debate has expired.

Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to instruct.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to instruct.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

#### FURTHER CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS RESOLUTION, 2015

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1(c) of rule XIX, further consideration of the joint resolution

(H.J. Res. 35) making further continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2015, and for other purposes, will now resume.

The Clerk read the title of the joint resolution.

#### MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Madam Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentlewoman opposed to the joint resolution?

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Yes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Ms. Roybal-Allard moves to recommit the joint resolution H.J. Res. 35 to the Committee on Appropriations with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

Page 1, line 3, strike “That the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2015” and insert the following:

SECTION 1. The Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2015

Page 1, lines 5 and 6, strike “March 19, 2015” and insert “September 30, 2015”.

Add at the end the following new section:

SEC. 2. The Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2015 is further amended by inserting at the end (before the short title) the following:

“SEC. 152. Notwithstanding any other provision of this joint resolution, funds and other authorities made available for accounts of the Department of Homeland Security shall be available to the extent provided in, under the authority and conditions provided in, and at a rate for operations not to exceed the rate permitted by, H.R. 861, as introduced in the House of Representatives on February 11, 2015.”

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD (during the reading). Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to dispense with the reading.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Madam Speaker, I reserve a point of order on the gentlewoman's motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. A point of order is reserved.

Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from California is recognized for 5 minutes in support of her motion.

□ 1615

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Madam Speaker, this motion to recommit will not kill the resolution or send it back to committee. If adopted, the continuing resolution will immediately proceed to final passage, as amended.

Adoption of this motion to recommit will change the date of the continuing resolution to September 30, 2015, and will incorporate by reference all the funding levels and provisions of H.R. 861, the clean, bipartisan, full-year funding bill for the Department of Homeland Security.

Once again, I ask my colleagues: What is gained by further putting off a resolution to this crisis of Republicans' own making?

Circumstances will be no different 3 weeks from now. We will almost certainly not have any final resolution from the judicial branch of the President's executive action. Nothing can be gained by further delay, but much can be lost.

These are the warnings of the Secretary of Homeland Security who has pointed out, over and over again, that the Department of Homeland Security is not doing just fine under the current continuing resolution and that there are significant consequences if we force the Department to keep living with the uncertainty of a continuing resolution.

As Secretary Johnson has said, the American people are counting on us, and the men and women of the Department of Homeland Security, so many of whom risk their health and safety every single day to keep us safe, are counting on us, too.

What message are we sending them when we continue to leave them in uncertainty over whether they will get paid 3 weeks from now? What message do we send them when we take their service for granted by using this funding bill as a pawn in a political game?

I urge my colleagues to vote for this motion to recommit so we can put an end to this nonsense and allow the men and women of the Department of Homeland Security to go about the business of protecting this country knowing that we are fully behind them.

Madam Speaker, I yield the remainder of my time to the gentlewoman from New York (Mrs. LOWEY), the distinguished ranking member of the Appropriations Committee.

Mrs. LOWEY. Madam Speaker, I want to thank the ranking member of the Homeland Security Subcommittee for yielding me the time.

I strongly support the Roybal-Allard motion, which gives the House an opportunity to vote for a full-year Homeland Security funding bill without any controversial immigration policy riders.

Almost 4:30 Friday afternoon, we have heard lectures all day about the Constitution. We have heard lectures all day about the process. I just want to remind my colleagues on the other side of the aisle—and especially those who may be new to the appropriations process—we can have a debate about the Constitution any time you want. We even can come up with amendments to the Constitution.

Right now, we are talking about Homeland Security keeping our country safe; and, again, because we have had so many debates about authority and who is doing what and who is obeying the Constitution, for me, it is a pleasure to work with my colleagues on the other side of the aisle on appropriations.

We passed the CR/Omnibus because, back in December, we couldn't agree to include the Homeland Security bill as part of an omnibus. Just to remind us again about process, Democrats on the Appropriations Committee and Repub-

licans on the Appropriations Committee debated those bills.

We came together in a bipartisan way here in the House, and then we worked with the Senate to make sure we worked together in a bipartisan way. We had a good Homeland Security Appropriations bill. It may not have been perfect, but it was a bipartisan bill back in December. Here it is, almost March.

I just want to conclude by making it very clear, we cannot hold hostage to this bill and delay the Homeland Security bill anymore. I urge my colleagues to vote for full-year funding.

POINT OF ORDER

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Madam Speaker, I make a point of order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his point of order.

Mr. ROGERS of Kentucky. Madam Speaker, I make a point of order against the motion because it is in violation of section 302(f) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

The adoption of this motion would cause a breach of the 302(a) allocation of budget authority provided by the Committee on the Budget and, therefore, is not permitted under section 302(f) of the Act.

I ask for a ruling from the Chair.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does any Member wish to be heard on this point of order?

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Madam Speaker, I wish to be heard on the point of order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from California is recognized.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Madam Speaker, the gentleman asserts that the motion exceeds the subcommittee's allocation. However, the Congressional Budget Office cost estimate noted "our estimate is the same as H.R. 240 as introduced."

H.R. 240 was the bill introduced by the chairman of the subcommittee, Judge CARTER, and sent to the Senate.

If I understand the gentleman correctly, he is now asserting that the bill that he moved through this Chamber violated the rules of the House. I urge him to withdraw his point of order and allow for the House to vote on a clean, full-year bill for the Department of Homeland Security.

Absent that, I urge the presiding officer to overrule the gentleman's point of order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is prepared to rule.

The gentleman from Kentucky makes a point of order that the amendment proposed by the instructions in the motion to recommit offered by the gentlewoman from California violates section 302(f) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

Section 302(f) of the Budget Act precludes consideration of an amendment providing new budget authority if the adoption of the amendment and enactment of the bill or joint resolution, as amended, would cause the pertinent al-

location of new budget authority under section 302(a) of the Act to be exceeded.

The Chair is authoritatively guided by an estimate of the chair of the Committee on the Budget under clause 4 of rule XXIX. That estimate shows that the amendment proposed in the motion to recommit provides new budget authority for fiscal year 2015 in excess of the applicable section 302(a) allocation.

The Chair therefore holds that the amendment violates section 302(f) of the Budget Act. Accordingly, the point of order is sustained and the motion to recommit is not in order.

The question is on the passage of the joint resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mrs. LOWEY. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, this 15-minute vote on passage of House Joint Resolution 35 will be followed by a 5-minute vote on adoption of the motion to instruct on H.R. 240.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 203, nays 224, not voting 6, as follows:

[Roll No. 104]

YEAS—203

Abraham	Flores	McCarthy
Aderholt	Fortenberry	McCaul
Allen	Fox	McClintock
Amodei	Frelinghuysen	McHenry
Ashford	Garrett	McKinley
Barr	Gibbs	McMorris
Benishek	Gibson	Rodgers
Billirakis	Goodlatte	McSally
Bishop (MI)	Gowdy	Meehan
Bishop (UT)	Graham	Messer
Black	Granger	Mica
Blum	Graves (GA)	Miller (FL)
Boehner	Graves (LA)	Miller (MI)
Bost	Graves (MO)	Moolenaar
Boustany	Grothman	Mooney (WV)
Brady (TX)	Guinta	Mullin
Brooks (IN)	Guthrie	Murphy (FL)
Brownley (CA)	Hanna	Murphy (PA)
Buchanan	Hardy	Newhouse
Buck	Harper	Noem
Bucshon	Harris	Nugent
Burgess	Hartzler	Nunes
Bustos	Heck (NV)	Palazzo
Byrne	Hensarling	Palmer
Calvert	Herrera Beutler	Paulsen
Carter (GA)	Hill	Peters
Carter (TX)	Holding	Pittenger
Chabot	Huizenga (MI)	Pitts
Chaffetz	Hultgren	Poliquin
Coffman	Hurd (TX)	Pompeo
Cole	Issa	Posey
Collins (GA)	Jenkins (KS)	Price, Tom
Collins (NY)	Jenkins (WV)	Reed
Comstock	Johnson (OH)	Reichert
Conaway	Jolly	Renacci
Connolly	Joyce	Ribble
Cook	Katko	Rigell
Costello (PA)	Kelly (PA)	Roby
Cramer	King (NY)	Rogers (AL)
Crawford	Kinzinger (IL)	Rogers (KY)
Crenshaw	Kline	Rohrabacher
Culberson	Knight	Rokita
Curbelo (FL)	LaMalfa	Ros-Lehtinen
Davis, Rodney	Lance	Roskam
Delaney	Latta	Ross
Denham	LoBiondo	Rothfus
Dent	Love	Rouzer
Diaz-Balart	Lucas	Royce
Dold	Luetkemeyer	Ruiz
Duncan (SC)	Lujan Grisham	Russell
Duncan (TN)	(NM)	Ryan (WI)
Ellmers (NC)	Lummis	Scalise
Emmer (MN)	MacArthur	Schock
Fitzpatrick	Marchant	Schweikert
Fleischmann	Marino	Scott, Austin

Scott, David  
Sensenbrenner  
Sessions  
Shimkus  
Shuster  
Simpson  
Sinema  
Smith (MO)  
Smith (NE)  
Smith (NJ)  
Stefanik  
Stewart  
Stivers  
Stutzman

Thompson (PA)  
Thornberry  
Tiberi  
Tipton  
Trott  
Upton  
Valadao  
Wagner  
Walberg  
Walden  
Walorski  
Walters, Mimi  
Weber (TX)  
Webster (FL)

Westerman  
Westmoreland  
Whitfield  
Wilson (SC)  
Womack  
Woodall  
Yoho  
Young (AK)  
Young (IA)  
Young (IN)  
Zeldin  
Zinke

□ 1713

Mr. SCHRADER, Mrs. LAWRENCE, and Messrs. CARSON of Indiana, SAM JOHNSON of Texas, and FINCHER changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So the joint resolution was not passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated against:

Mr. McDERMOTT. Madam Speaker, on roll-call vote 104, on passage related to H.J. Res. 35, had I been present, I would have voted “nay.”

Himes  
Honda  
Hoyer  
Huffman  
Israel  
Jackson Lee  
Jeffries  
Johnson (GA)  
Johnson, E. B.  
Jolly  
Kaptur  
Katko  
Keating  
Kelly (IL)  
Kennedy  
Kildee  
Kilmer  
Kind  
King (NY)  
Kinzinger (IL)  
Kirkpatrick  
Kuster  
Lance  
Langevin  
Larsen (WA)  
Larson (CT)  
Lawrence  
Levin  
Lewis  
Lieu, Ted  
Lipinski  
LoBiondo  
Loeb sack  
Lofgren  
Lowenthal  
Lowe y  
Lujan Grisham (NM)  
Luján, Ben Ray (NM)  
MacArthur

Maloney  
Carolyn  
Maloney, Sean  
Matsui  
McCollum  
McGovern  
McNerney  
McSally  
Meehan  
Meeks  
Meng  
Moore  
Moulton  
Murphy (FL)  
Nadler  
Napolitano  
Neal  
Nolan  
Norcross  
O'Rourke  
Pallone  
Pascrell  
Paulsen  
Payne  
Pelosi  
Perlmutter  
Peters  
Peterson  
Pingree  
Pocan  
Polis  
Price (NC)  
Quigley  
Rangel  
Rice (NY)  
Richmond  
Roybal-Allard  
Ruiz  
Ruppersberger  
Rush  
Ryan (OH)

Sánchez, Linda T.  
Sanchez, Loretta  
Sarbanes  
Schakowsky  
Schiff  
Schrader  
Scott (VA)  
Scott, David  
Serrano  
Sewell (AL)  
Sherman  
Sinema  
Sires  
Slaughter  
Smith (WA)  
Stefanik  
Swalwell (CA)  
Takai  
Takano  
Thompson (CA)  
Thompson (MS)  
Titus  
Tonko  
Torres  
Tsongas  
Van Hollen  
Vargas  
Veasey  
Vela  
Velázquez  
Visclosky  
Walz  
Wasserman  
Schultz  
Waters, Maxine  
Welch  
Whitfield  
Wilson (FL)  
Yarmuth

NAYS—224

Adams  
Aguilar  
Amash  
Babin  
Barletta  
Barton  
Bass  
Beatty  
Becerra  
Bera  
Beyer  
Bishop (GA)  
Blackburn  
Blumenauer  
Bonamici  
Boyle, Brendan F.  
Brady (PA)  
Brat  
Bridenstine  
Brooks (AL)  
Brown (FL)  
Butterfield  
Capps  
Capuano  
Cárdenas  
Carney  
Carson (IN)  
Cartwright  
Castor (FL)  
Castro (TX)  
Chu, Judy  
Cicilline  
Clark (MA)  
Clarke (NY)  
Clawson (FL)  
Clay  
Cleaver  
Clyburn  
Cohen  
Conyers  
Cooper  
Costa  
Courtney  
Crowley  
Cuellar  
Cummings  
Davis (CA)  
Davis, Danny  
DeFazio  
DeGette  
DeLauro  
DelBene  
DeSantis  
DeSaulnier  
DesJarlais  
Deutch  
Dingell  
Doggett  
Doyle, Michael F.  
Duckworth  
Duffy  
Edwards  
Ellison  
Engel  
Eshoo  
Esty  
Farenthold  
Farr  
Fattah  
Fincher  
Fleming  
Forbes  
Foster  
Frankel (FL)  
Franks (AZ)

Fudge  
Gabbard  
Gallego  
Garamendi  
Gohmert  
Gosar  
Grayson  
Green, Al  
Green, Gene  
Griffith  
Grijalva  
Gutiérrez  
Hahn  
Hastings  
Heck (WA)  
Hice, Jody B.  
Higgins  
Himes  
Honda  
Hoyer  
Hudson  
Huelskamp  
Huffman  
Hunter  
Hurt (VA)  
Israel  
Jackson Lee  
Jeffries  
Johnson (GA)  
Johnson, E. B.  
Johnson, Sam  
Jones  
Jordan  
Kaptur  
Keating  
Kelly (IL)  
Kennedy  
Kildee  
Kilmer  
Kind  
King (IA)  
Kirkpatrick  
Kuster  
Labrador  
Lamborn  
Cuellar  
Langevin  
Larsen (WA)  
Larson (CT)  
Lawrence  
Levin  
Lewis  
Lieu, Ted  
Lipinski  
Loeb sack  
Lofgren  
Loudermilk  
Lowenthal  
Lowe y  
Luján, Ben Ray (NM)  
Lynch  
Maloney  
Carolyn  
Maloney, Sean  
Massie  
Matsui  
McCollum  
McGovern  
McNerney  
Meadows  
Meeks  
Meng  
Moore  
Moulton  
Mulvaney  
Yarmuth  
Napolitano

Neal  
Neugebauer  
Nolan  
Norcross  
O'Rourke  
Olson  
Pallone  
Pascrell  
Payne  
Pearce  
Pelosi  
Perlmutter  
Perry  
Peterson  
Pingree  
Pocan  
Poe (TX)  
Polis  
Price (NC)  
Quigley  
Rangel  
Ratcliffe  
Rice (NY)  
Rice (SC)  
Richmond  
Roe (TN)  
Rooney (FL)  
Roybal-Allard  
Ruppersberger  
Rush  
Ryan (OH)  
Salmon  
Sánchez, Linda T.  
Sanchez, Loretta  
Sanford  
Sarbanes  
Schakowsky  
Schiff  
Schrader  
Scott (VA)  
Serrano  
Sewell (AL)  
Sherman  
Sires  
Slaughter  
Smith (TX)  
Smith (WA)  
Swalwell (CA)  
Takai  
Takano  
Thompson (CA)  
Thompson (MS)  
Titus  
Tonko  
Torres  
Tsongas  
Van Hollen  
Vargas  
Veasey  
Vela  
Velázquez  
Visclosky  
Walker  
Walz  
Wasserman  
Schultz  
Waters, Maxine  
Watson Coleman  
Welch  
Wenstrup  
Williams  
Wilson (FL)  
Wittman  
Yarmuth  
Yoder

NOT VOTING—6

Hinojosa  
Lee  
Long  
McDermott  
Speier  
Turner

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. MCCARTHY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. MCCARTHY. Madam Speaker, Members are advised that additional votes are now possible later this evening and maybe this weekend.

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2015

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to instruct on the bill (H.R. 240) making appropriations for the Department of Homeland Security for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2015, and for other purposes, offered by the gentlewoman from California (Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD), on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk will redesignate the motion.

The Clerk redesignated the motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to instruct.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 201, nays 218, not voting 13, as follows:

[Roll No. 105]

YEAS—201

Adams  
Aguilar  
Ashford  
Bass  
Beatty  
Becerra  
Bera  
Beyer  
Bishop (GA)  
Blumenauer  
Bonamici  
Boyle, Brendan F.  
Brady (PA)  
Brown (FL)  
Coffman  
Cohen  
Connolly  
Conyers  
Cooper  
Costa  
Costello (PA)  
Courtney  
Crowley  
Cuellar  
Cummings  
Davis (CA)  
Davis, Danny  
DeFazio  
DeGette  
DeLaney  
Graham  
Grayson  
Green, Al  
Green, Gene  
Gutiérrez  
Hahn  
Hanna  
Hastings  
Heck (WA)  
Higgins  
Clay  
Cleaver  
Clyburn  
Coffman  
Cohen  
Connolly  
Conyers  
Cooper  
Costa  
Costello (PA)  
Courtney  
Crowley  
Cuellar  
Cummings  
Davis (CA)  
Davis, Danny  
DeFazio  
DeGette  
DeLaney  
Graham  
Grayson  
Green, Al  
Green, Gene  
Gutiérrez  
Hahn  
Hanna  
Hastings  
Heck (WA)  
Higgins  
Doyle, Michael F.  
Duckworth  
Edwards  
Ellison  
Engel  
Eshoo  
Esty  
Farr  
Fattah  
Fitzpatrick  
Foster  
Frankel (FL)  
Frelinghuysen  
Fudge  
Gabbard  
Gallego  
Garamendi  
Gibson  
Graham  
Grayson  
Green, Al  
Green, Gene  
Gutiérrez  
Hahn  
Hanna  
Hastings  
Heck (WA)  
Higgins

Abraham  
Aderholt  
Allen  
Amash  
Amodei  
Babin  
Barletta  
Barr  
Barton  
Benishek  
Bilirakis  
Bishop (MI)  
Bishop (UT)  
Black  
Blackburn  
Blum  
Bost  
Boustany  
Brady (TX)  
Brat  
Bridenstine  
Brooks (AL)  
Buchanan  
Buck  
Bucshon  
Burgess  
Byrne  
Calvert  
Carter (GA)  
Carter (TX)  
Chabot  
Chaffetz  
Cole  
Collins (GA)  
Collins (NY)  
Comstock  
Conaway  
Cook  
Cramer  
Crawford  
Crenshaw  
Culberson  
Curbelo (FL)  
Davis, Rodney  
DeSantis  
DesJarlais  
Diaz-Balart  
Duffy  
Duncan (SC)  
Duncan (TN)  
Ellmers (NC)  
Emmer (MN)  
Farenthold  
Fincher  
Fleischmann  
Fleming

NAYS—218

Flores  
Forbes  
Fortenberry  
Foxy  
Franks (AZ)  
Garrett  
Gibbs  
Gohmert  
Goodlatte  
Gosar  
Gowdy  
Granger  
Graves (GA)  
Graves (LA)  
Graves (MO)  
Griffith  
Grothman  
Guinta  
Guthrie  
Hardy  
Harper  
Harris  
Hartzler  
Heck (NV)  
Hensarling  
Herrera Beutler  
Hice, Jody B.  
Hill  
Holding  
Hudson  
Huelskamp  
Huizenga (MI)  
Hultgren  
Hunter  
Hurd (TX)  
Hurt (VA)  
Issa  
Jenkins (KS)  
Jenkins (WV)  
Johnson (OH)  
Johnson, Sam  
Jones  
Jordan  
Joyce  
Kelly (PA)  
King (IA)  
Kline  
Knight  
Labrador  
LaMalfa  
Latta  
Loudermilk  
Love  
Lucas  
Luetkemeyer  
Lummis

Marchant  
Marino  
Massie  
McCarthy  
McCaul  
McClintock  
McHenry  
McKinley  
McMorris  
Rodgers  
Meadows  
Messer  
Mica  
Miller (FL)  
Miller (MI)  
Moolenaar  
Mooney (WV)  
Mullin  
Mulvaney  
Murphy (PA)  
Neugebauer  
Newhouse  
Noem  
Nugent  
Nunes  
Olson  
Palazzo  
Palmer  
Pearce  
Perry  
Pittenger  
Pitts  
Poe (TX)  
Poliquin  
Pompeo  
Posey  
Price, Tom  
Ratcliffe  
Reed  
Reichert  
Renacci  
Ribble  
Rice (SC)  
Rigell  
Roby  
Roe (TN)  
Rogers (AL)  
Rogers (KY)  
Rohrabacher  
Rokita  
Rooney (FL)  
Ros-Lehtinen  
Roskam  
Ross  
Rothfus  
Rouzer