The House met at noon and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. DOLD).

DETECTION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC, October 26, 2015.

I hereby appoint the Honorable ROBERT J. DOLD to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

JOHN A. BOHRER,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

MORNING-HOUR DEBATE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of January 6, 2015, the Chair will now recognize Members from lists submitted by the majority and minority leaders for morning-hour debate.

The Chair will alternate recognition between the parties, with each party limited to 1 hour and each Member other than the majority and minority leaders and the minority whip limited to 5 minutes, but in no event shall debate continue beyond 1:50 p.m.

MEMBERS OF THE GREATEST GENERATION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mississippi (Mr. PALAZZO) for 5 minutes.

Mr. PALAZZO. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the courage, sacrifice, and service of members of the Greatest Generation from my district: Navy veteran Art Albert from Hattiesburg and Mr. John Rounsaville of Jones County, Mississippi.

ART ALBERT

Mr. PALAZZO. Mr. Albert truly exemplifies dedicated, selfless service in having fought in World War II, the Korean war, and the Vietnam war. I first met Mr. Albert during the Mississippi Gulf Coast Honor Flight, which brings World War II veterans to Washington to see their memorial.

Last month I had the opportunity to speak with Mr. Albert at the Victory over Japan Day anniversary ceremony in Hawaii. Here I learned that Art was serving as a machinist mate aboard the USS Missouri on September 2, 1945, where he witnessed the Japanese formally surrender to the United States, ending World War II.

Although he would disagree, like so many of his contemporaries who focus not on their service, but on the greatness of our Nation as a whole, Art is a true American hero. Through his service and his quiet work of building our great Nation at home, he has brought honor to himself, the State of Mississippi, and the United States of America.

I am honored to have him as a constituent and to have the opportunity to know him both as a person and as an enduring example of the values that have made America great.

JOHN ROUNSAVILLE

Mr. PALAZZO. Last month another of my constituents, John Rounsaville, celebrated his 90th birthday.

Beginning in October of 1943, Mr. Rounsaville served for 28 months in the Pacific Theater of operations. He served aboard an LCI Gunboat that was assigned to the Pacific Theater and participated in numerous campaigns, earning his unit an impressive six battle stars for World War II service, including the Navy Unit Commendation Award. Although it has been over 70 years since his time in the Pacific, Mr. Rounsaville remembers his entire tour and speaks of it often.

Like the American flag that has been proudly planted in his front yard for decades, I take great pride in representing World War II veterans like Mr. Rounsaville, who belong to a generation whose sacrifices preserved our freedom and liberated the world from tyranny and oppression.

I ask my colleagues to join me in thanking John Rounsaville and Art Albert for their courage and bravery and their service to this great Nation; and I wish to extend my heartfelt gratitude to both of these great Americans, their families, and to congratulate them on their dedicated service to the United States of America.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until 2 p.m. today.

Accordingly (at 12 o’clock and 4 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. DOLD) at 2 p.m.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Patrick J. Conroy, offered the following prayer:

Loving God, we give You thanks for American war, and the Vietnam war.

We ask again that You impel those who possess power here to be mindful of those whom they represent who possess little or no power and whose lives

This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g., 1407 is 2:07 p.m.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.
might become all the more difficult by a failure to work out serious differences.

May all that is done today be for Your greater honor and glory.

Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day’s proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. HOYER led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

SUPPORT OUR TROOPS AND MILITARY FAMILIES

(Mr. WILSON of South Carolina asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, the National Defense Authorization Act, NDAA, is bipartisan legislation that our Nation has depended upon for decades to support our servicemembers and military families. In its entire history, the NDAA has been vetoed only four times. By vetoing it last week, the President has made history and, as The Washington Post has identified, “not in a good way.”

I am grateful for the leadership of the House Armed Services Committee Chairman Mac THORNBERRY and Senate Armed Services Committee Chairman JOHN MCCAIN as Congress works to fulfill its highest constitutional duty to provide for our common defense to protect American families from attacks with worldwide conflicts at record levels.

As a grateful father of four sons currently serving in the military and as a 31-year Army veteran myself, I know firsthand the importance of the NDAA to preserve peace through strength.

The NDAA is and always has been bipartisan legislation because the safety of American families is more important than partisan politics. I encourage all Members of Congress to unite on voting to override the President’s veto.

In conclusion, God bless our troops, and the President by his actions must never forget September the 11th in the global war on terrorism.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communica-
tion from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, October 26, 2015.
Hon. JOHN A. BOEHNER,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

Dear Mr. Speaker. Pursuant to the permission granted by Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on October 26, 2015 at 1:17 p.m.:

That the Senate passed S. 1493.

With best wishes, I am, Sincerely,

KAREN L. HAAS.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

Accordingly (at 2 o’clock and 3 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

☐ 1832

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. DOLD) at 6 o’clock and 32 minutes p.m.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 597, REFORM EXPORTS AND EXPAND THE AMERICAN ECONOMY ACT

Mr. FINCHER. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to clause 2 of rule XV, I call up motion No. 2, to discharge the Committee on Rules from the further consideration of House Resolution 450, providing for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 597) to reauthorize the Export-Import Bank of the United States, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Did the gentleman sign the petition?

Mr. FINCHER. Yes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Tennessee calls up a motion to discharge the Committee on Rules from further consideration of House Resolution 450, which the Clerk will report by title.

POINT OF ORDER

Mr. MULVANEY. Mr. Speaker, point of order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his point of order.

Mr. MULVANEY. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to rule XV, section 2(d)(1), I make a point of order that this motion is not timely brought.

The rule specifically says that, “On any other Member wish to be heard on the point of order? If not, the Chair will rule.

The rule does not say that we cannot do other business. The rule says we can’t do anything, that we must proceed immediately after the Pledge of Allegiance, and that if the motion is brought at any other time it is untimely.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does any other Member wish to be heard on this point of order? If not, the Chair will rule.

The rule does not say that the motion to discharge must be—it just says that it can be—brought up immediately.

Today’s proceedings are consistent with previous occasions where the Chair has entertained 1-minute speeches on discharge days, and those speeches proceeded by unanimous consent. On those grounds, the point of order is overruled.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRIES

Mr. MULVANEY. Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. MULVANEY. Does the language of section 2(d)(1) not specifically say “shall be privileged if called up”? It is not “may.” It is “shall . . . if.”

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The rule is not so limited. The motion would be in order if it were to be brought up then, and it is also in order to be brought up now.

Mr. MULVANEY. Parliamentary inquiry. Mr. Speaker, the SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

We had the pledge and the prayer earlier today. We also then had intervening activity in the House, and this motion is no longer timely.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does any other Member wish to be heard on the point of order?

Mr. MULVANEY. I would point out, Mr. Speaker, that we took up 1-minute speeches; we received a message from the Senate; and you, yourself, approved the Journal.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does any other Member wish to be heard on the point of order?

Mr. FINCHER. Mr. Speaker, I think my friend from South Carolina, the gentleman, is out of order. This is regular order. We are moving on as procedure.

Mr. MULVANEY. Mr. Speaker, while you are continuing, I would like you to consider one thing.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman may proceed.

Mr. MULVANEY. The rule is very explicit. The rule does not say that we may not take—the rule says that we must proceed immediately. I recognize the fact that 1-minute speeches are not considered business of the House, that receiving messages from the Senate are not considered business of the House, and, on occasion, a Journal is not considered business of the House even though, from time to time, we do vote on it.

The rule does not say that we cannot do other business. The rule says we can’t do anything, that we must proceed immediately after the Pledge of Allegiance, and that if the motion is brought at any other time it is untimely.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Does any other Member wish to be heard on this point of order? If not, the Chair will rule.

The rule does not say that the motion to discharge must be—it just says that it can be—brought up immediately.

Today’s proceedings are consistent with previous occasions where the Chair has entertained 1-minute speeches on discharge days, and those speeches proceeded by unanimous consent. On those grounds, the point of order is overruled.
Mr. MULVANEY. If 2(d)(1) says that it shall be in order if brought up at this particular time but the Chair is ruling that it may be in order at other times, what rule is the Chair relying on for that determination?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. There is nothing in the rule that requires the motion to discharge to be brought up immediately following the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. MULVANEY. Further point, Mr. Speaker. The only way that it is privileged is that if it was brought up immediately after the pledge.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is also following prior practice of the House in entertaining the motion.

Mr. MULVANEY. I’m sorry, and Mr. Speaker, when you were giving your decision before, I was reading the rule.

Would you please restate the basis for your decision.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has entertained 1-minute speeches on discharge days. Those speeches proceeded by unanimous consent. On those grounds, the point of order was overruled.

Mr. MULVANEY. Mr. Speaker, you did not address, then, my issue on receiving a message from the Senate or approving the Journal.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has entertained numerous parliamentary inquiries on a matter on which the Chair has already ruled.

Mr. MULVANEY. Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. MULVANEY. Would the decision have been different if we had not made 1-minute speeches?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair cannot respond to a hypothetical question. The Clerk will report the title of the resolution.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. FINCHER) will be recognized for 10 minutes and the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HENSARLING) will be recognized for 10 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Tennessee.

Mr. FINCHER. Mr. Speaker, I yield some of my time as I may consume.

Even though discharge petitions have rarely been invoked in modern history, they nevertheless embody democracy and its fundamental principle of majority rules, a principle that the gentleman has already talked about earlier. This discharge process offers the only means by which a majority of House Members can secure a vote on a measure that is opposed by the chairman of the committee of jurisdiction and House leadership.

What makes the gentleman’s remarks a few minutes ago particularly ironic is the fact that the discharge rule evolved from a precursor rule adopted in 1910 as part of the Cannon revolt. The Cannon revolt was a revolt against Speaker Joseph Cannon. It was a remarkable event in the history of this House and is relevant today in more ways than one.

Speaker Cannon was, at the time, the longest-serving Republican Speaker in the history of the House, serving as Speaker from 1903 to 1911. Referred to as “Uncle Joe,” Speaker Cannon ruled with an iron fist. Historians have not painted him as a great obstructionist. No. Historians have painted him as a great legislator. No. Historians have painted him as a great obstructionist. He blocked legislation, including child labor laws and the right for women to vote. What was his reasoning for blocking this progressive legislation? “I am tired of listening to all this babble for reform,” he said.

Several times, Republicans tried unsuccessfully to curb Speaker Cannon’s power. It was not until the gentleman from Montana introduced the House to, and I thank him for his courage and Mr. LUCAS’ courage for standing by and keeping jobs here in America.

Mr. Speaker, it was an interesting event in the history of the House.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Mary-

Mr. HENSARLING. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. HENSARLING. Mr. Speaker, I reserve my time.

Mr. Speaker, it was an interesting event in the history of the House to allow Members on both sides of the aisle to offer amendments. People who were not on the Financial Services Committee could have had the opportunity to offer amendments, but not under this particular discharge petition.

So, Mr. Speaker, the real complaint I have here is, regardless of what complaints or boos they may have against me personally or against the process of the Financial Services Committee, why punish the entirety of the House?

We hear so much about regular order and about empowering rank-and-file Members. Well, then, why aren’t rank-and-file Members, then, empowered to offer amendments? Were we told that it was simply to discharge a single piece of legislation. Then why not, at this point, let the House work its will?

Unfortunately, Mr. Speaker, that doesn’t appear to happen. I perfectly understand that one man’s economic development is another man’s corporate welfare, and I thank that debate will happen tomorrow. But here, right now, simply because there is a rule to have a discharge petition that would disqualify any Member from offering an amendment doesn’t mean we should necessarily avail ourselves of it.

The Constitution allows us to create debt. It doesn’t mean it is a good thing for us to do that as we face yet another debt ceiling vote in front of us.

So, Mr. Speaker, I would simply hope that Members would vote down this discharge petition, and if they believe strongly in it, then bring back another one, but at least allow Members on the floor to offer amendments. Republicans, Democrats, and people from all committees should be able to offer the amendments if that was the purpose of the discharge petition.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. FINCHER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER).

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I thank my friend for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I will say to my friend from Texas, there were 3 years to do exactly that. It wasn’t done.

I thank the gentleman from Tennessee for yielding, and I thank him for his courage and Mr. LUCAS’ courage for working within the rules to bring this matter to the floor. It is an important matter.
Mr. HECK of Washington. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HOYER. I yield to the gentleman from Washington.

Mr. HECK of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I rise to ask my friends and colleagues to support Mr. FINCHER of Tennessee in his effort to subject this issue to regular order. This is regular order. This is the only regular order that we are going to be given to have a chance to take up this job-creating legislation. I know this for a fact. It is not speculation.

On February 12, they offered an amendment to the views and estimates on the budget that said, in part, the committee will work to consider reauthorization of the Bank through regular order that lets all sides be heard, and then of the committee said, "Vote 'no.' " There was never an intention to subject this issue to regular order. Now is our chance to do that.

Support the gentlemen from Tennessee and Oklahoma and vote "yes" on this.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, I thank Mr. FINCHER, I thank Mr. LUCAS, I thank Ms. WATERS, I thank Ms. MOORE, and I thank DENNY HECK.

Vote for this motion to put a bill on the floor that the majority supports. That is democracy.

Mr. HENSAHLING. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. HUIZENGA), chairman of the Monetary Policy and Trade Subcommittee.

Mr. HUIZENGA of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate that, and I appreciate the leadership of my chair on this issue.

It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, we have two issues that we are dealing with today:

First is the issue, itself, of the Export-Import Bank and the entitlement mentality that has grown up here in the United States. It is sad to me that some believe that this is the only, or the best, way for the U.S. to compete on the world stage when, in fact, we know it is not.

We are at a competitive disadvantage, not because we may or may not have an Export-Import Bank, but because of our regulatory environment, because of our tax environment, and because of all of the other barriers that have been thrown up by this Congress, including health care and a number of other things that have made our companies less competitive.

The other issue is the way that we are dealing with this issue as it is coming to the floor and how it has reached the House floor today.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to know which committee chair of another committee would approve of having the process be short-circuited out of their committee. Would it be the Energy and Commerce Committee? the Ways and Means Committee? Because I can tell you I have not been real happy, as a small business owner on some of the lack of progress that we have made on that side of the committee.

Why did it take so long for things to reach the floor? How about any other committee that we are all dealing with?

The simple fact is that my subcommittee, Monetary Policy and Trade, where this jurisdiction lies, had three joint hearings with the Oversight Committee on this particular issue. There was a sunset that was put in. It was intentionally put in so that there would be a review. The review happened, and the determination of my subcommittee and this committee was that it did not warrant further action.

So, again, as we are looking at this tool that has been infrequently used, it does not warrant regular order, as has been claimed. No. In fact, it upends the balance of power in the House. It skirts the committee process and gives the minority the control over the House floor.

A discharge petition was brought to the House floor under the guise of job creation. In reality, it serves to revive and retrain a dependency mentality.

Mr. FINCHER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. KINZINGER), who has done great work on this subpoina piece of legislation.

Mr. KINZINGER of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Tennessee for his hard work.

I would remind the previous speaker that this is actually a Republican-led discharge petition for Ex-Im Bank.

We could have avoided this. None of us celebrate being here right now as Republicans. But the time to deal with the issues was on the committee. Unfortunately, this could have gone through the committee, this could have been voted on in committee, and it could have come to the floor in what people would consider a more regular order way than this. However, that didn't have the opportunity.

Mr. Speaker, my district is the 16th District of Illinois, and I will tell you what, they are not worried about discharge petitions and things when people talk about regular order and inter-partisan politics and what is going on here.

What they care about is the fact that it is a heavy manufacturing district, and they want to be able to go to work tomorrow. They are worried because people live with the threat of pink slips, and many people actually get pink slips.

Unfortunately, in July, the charter for Ex-Im Bank expired, which put a lot of the manufacturing suppliers of the aerospace industry at a disadvantage compared with those that supply to Airbus and other companies around the world. Pride in our exports and pride in our manufacturing is something that we should have pride in, and we should fight beyond what it means for a party label or beyond what it means for floor politics.

Mr. Speaker, the opponents of reauthorization live in a world where the politics of purity trump the realism of today and of the economics. Here is the reality: in my district, thousands of jobs, millions of dollars of exports, and many, many people rely on this to be reauthorized.

Mr. Speaker, I know this is not easy, as Republicans, to do this, but it is the right thing to do. So I stand and I ask my colleagues on the Republican and the Democrat side of the aisle to put partisanship aside, to do the right thing, and to discharge this resolution.

Mr. HENSAHLING. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. SCHWEIKERT), a valued member of the Financial Services Committee.

Mr. SCHWEIKERT. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman.

I hope you are all listening to some of the use of the language. I appreciate the history has it been lost on you, the irony of this discussion that, hey, we are going to do a discharge petition, which is part of the rules, because we don't feel we are having a voice. Oh, by the way, we are going to draft a rule—draft a rule—that you can't offer amendments, that you can't have a discussion.

For those of us who have worked on this issue for years, who have sat through dozens of hearings in multiple meetings, who actually believe that make it better, the brilliance here is lost it down. So you are going to complain that you are not being treated fairly, and then the answer to not being treated fairly is, let's write a rule that no one gets a voice, that it is purely up or down. Is that lost on anyone here?

The reality of it is the vast majority of the trade from this country has accompanied by our currency. Ex-Im Bank.

It is a fraction of a fraction of a fraction that actually asks for a taxpayer subsidy, a taxpayer guarantee. If you wanted to solve this problem tomorrow, you could recharter the Ex-Im Bank so that it continues to exist but get the taxpayers of the hook and let them do just as now Fannie and Freddie are trying to do where they buy their reinsurance in the market.

There are solutions here, if I was allowed to offer an amendment, you have all chosen to write a rule that keeps those of us who have worked on this issue for years from being able to have that discussion. Is that irony lost on anyone here?

Another way is a better way to do this than extending this type of crony capitalism and leaving our taxpayers on the hook for hours and hours of hearings we have had where you have heard the bad acts that are going on in this agency—the fraud, the mis-accounting.

Why are we going to let that move forward? Because if you have read the
reforms that are in here, you would understand they already should be doing these. It is an outrage they are not.

Mr. FINCHER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from California (Ms. MAXINE WATERS).

Ms. WATERS of California. Mr. Speaker. Members, I would like to yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. FINCHER) for yielding and for his leadership in initiating this very successful discharge petition in order to finally make possible the opportunity to vote on the discharge of the charter of the Export-Import Bank.

For almost 2 years now, as ranking member of the Financial Services Committee, I have been working very hard with Leader PELOSI, Whip HOTEY, and my colleagues GWEN MOORE and DENNY HECK. We have all been working hard to secure long-term reauthorization of the Bank. And today, after many months of obstruction by a vocal minority of this body, which led to a shutting down of the Ex-Im Bank, this House will finally get the opportunity to vote to do just that.

Let me be clear, Mr. Speaker, this discharge petition is not a rejection of regular order. Although rarely used, the process of the Ex-Im Bank is under House rules for the very purpose of ensuring that the will of a determined majority may ultimately prevail over an obstructionist minority, and that is exactly what is happening today.

Republican and Democratic leadership have come together to support the reauthorization of a proven job creator. We have come together to end the unilateral disarmament that has harmed our exporters, their domestic suppliers, and the many American workers across this country whose jobs are supported by the Bank. We have come together to show that compromise is possible if you are willing to work it.

So, again, I thank the gentleman from Texas. I urge the Members to vote in favor of the motion. We have come together as Members of Congress to do the work of the people. Let’s get on with the business of doing it.

Mr. HENSARLING. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. MULVANEY), another valuable member of the House Financial Services Committee.

Mr. MULVANEY of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Texas. I want to pick up on where my friend from Arizona left off regarding the comments about my good friend and colleague from Washington regarding regular order. It is not regular order. If we have regular order, we have amendments. I have an amendment that would protect small business. I don’t get a chance to do that. We would under regular order.

But let’s not forget, there is not just one committee that is getting rolled here. Rules Committee is always rolled. And if this was to follow regular order and go to rules, every single one of you would be able to offer amendments in that committee. They would probably get shot down, as mine have since I have been here, but at least you could offer them.

Furthermore, if it went to Rules Committee, you could have debate; you could participate and debate on the issues.

What is getting ready to happen here in a few minutes is Mr. FINCHER will control 1 hour of debate, he will speak for 5, and then yield back, denying every single one of you in this Chamber the opportunity to speak for at least half an hour each side on this particular issue.

This is not regular order, Mr. Speaker. This is showing something down the American people’s throats.

Let’s have regular order. Let’s have the amendments. I have got some ones you might actually enjoy. Let’s have the debate. But let’s not kid ourselves into thinking this is regular order because it is not.

Mr. FINCHER. Mr. Speaker, I have one remaining speaker. How much time do I have remaining? I want to reserve the right to close.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Tennessee has 2 minutes remaining. The gentleman from Texas has 2 minutes remaining.

Mr. FINCHER. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. HENSARLING. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

A lot of discussion, passionate discussion about jobs tonight.

But I would point out to my Democratic colleagues on the other side of the aisle, where was this passion when ObamaCare was passed? The Congressional Budget Office says that it is going to cost this economy 2.5 million fewer jobs.

Where was this passion when H.R. 30 came to the floor that would repeal this 30-hour definition of full-time employee? According to one study, 2.6 million Americans making under $30,000 are at risk of having their hours cut due to the ObamaCare 30-hour rule.

Where was the passion on the other side of the aisle when H.R. 551, the LNG Permitting Certainty and Transparency Act, came? That is estimated to put up to 45,000 unemployed Americans back to work on liquid natural gas export projects.

Where was the passion when S. 1 came, the Keystone XL pipeline? The State Department’s environmental impact statement said: “During construction, proposed project spending would support approximately 42,100 jobs.”

But we didn’t hear much from our friends on the other side of the aisle when this was going on.

Mr. FINCHER. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. FINCHER. Mr. Speaker, I yield now remaining 2 minutes to the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. LUCAS).

Mr. LUCAS of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker and colleagues, why are we here tonight? Why are we compelled to engage in this process today? Under these circumstances, perhaps, might be a little bit like 1910. Do you remember 1910? A dictatorial Speaker who was so totally in control and who so totally refused to accept input from the membership made himself chairman of the Rules Committee, too. He stymied the legislative process. He brought it to a stop.

What did our predecessors do 100-plus years ago?

They finally rose up together and threw him out, and they created a process by which no dictatorial chairman, no dictatorial Speaker would ever be able to fully thwart the will of this body.

It is amazing. That is what we are here for. It is to continue one century later the responsible actions that they put into place.

Now, some of my friends have asked, “Why don’t we have thousands of amendments?” Think about 1910—a dictatorial Speaker, a dictatorial committee chairman. Under no circumstances was Uncle Joe going to allow any input. So, when they created this process, they had to make sure that the bill could come to the floor for a determination in a way that would not allow it to be manipulated by that same dictatorial attitude. We are operating under the present version of that rule.

If we had wanted unlimited amendments, we should have spent but an unlimited amount of time in the committee of jurisdiction, working on those amendments, but that opportunity never availed itself. Had that opportunity availed itself, we wouldn’t be here; but we are here. We have a bill that impacts—impacts, I would say, a majority of us in this House believes—what is in the best interest of America’s workers and America’s
The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. FINCHER) to discharge the Committee on Rules from further consideration of H.R. 3611.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. HENSRICKSON. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 246, nays 177, not voting 11, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yeas</th>
<th>Nays</th>
<th>Not Voting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abraham</td>
<td>Guthrie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen</td>
<td>Harris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amash</td>
<td>Hensarling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Rice, Jody B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barton</td>
<td>Riehman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beshenko</td>
<td>Mistake</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilirakis</td>
<td>Hudson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop (MI)</td>
<td>Roelkamp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop (UT)</td>
<td>Ruiz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>Hultgren</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackburn</td>
<td>Hurst (TX)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloom</td>
<td>Hurst (VA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brady (TX)</td>
<td>Isa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brat</td>
<td>Jenkins (KS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breitendick</td>
<td>Jenkins (WV)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks (AL)</td>
<td>Johnson, Sam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks (IN)</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buck</td>
<td>Joyce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burgess</td>
<td>Kyle (MI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culver</td>
<td>Kline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carter (TX)</td>
<td>Labrador</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaftetz</td>
<td>LaHood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clawson (FL)</td>
<td>LaMola</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffman</td>
<td>Lamborn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collins (GA)</td>
<td>Lance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conkright</td>
<td>London</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conaway</td>
<td>Loewy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooper</td>
<td>Lumens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denham</td>
<td>Marchant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DesJarlais</td>
<td>Mansfield</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaz-Balart</td>
<td>Mark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donovan</td>
<td>McCoy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duncan (SC)</td>
<td>McCloud</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duncan (TN)</td>
<td>McKinley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmer (MN)</td>
<td>Morris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farenthold</td>
<td>Morrissey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzpatrick</td>
<td>Murphy (PA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finken</td>
<td>Neubauer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleming</td>
<td>Olsen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flores</td>
<td>Oliver</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortenberry</td>
<td>Palmer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox</td>
<td>Palomo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franken (AK)</td>
<td>Paulsen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frerichs</td>
<td>Perry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garrett</td>
<td>Pitts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Policino
Pompeo
Price, Tom
Ratcliffe
Rohrabacher
Rokita
Ross
Royce
Ryan (WV)
Salmon
Scalise
Schorr
Scott, Austin
Sensenbrenner
Sessions
Shimkus
Shuster
Smith (MO)
Smith (NE)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Stewart
Stutzman
Thompson
Trott
Tulsi
Upton
Vela
Wagner
Walberg
Wallen
Walker
Walter
Wallorski
Walters, Mimi
Webster (FL)
Weinstein
Westmoreland
Williams
Wittman
Womack
Woodall
Yoder
Yoho
Young (CA)
Young (IN)
Zeldin
Zinke
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Resolved, That immediately upon adoption of this resolution, the House shall proceed to the consideration in the House of the bill (H.R. 597) to reauthorize the Export-Import Bank of the United States, and for other purposes.

Mr. Speaker, this is at no cost to the taxpayer. Mr. Speaker, this is at no cost to the taxpayer. Mr. Speaker, this is at no cost to the taxpayer. Mr. Speaker, this is at no cost to the taxpayer.
Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support the rule and the underlying bill.

I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

Mr. HENSARLING. Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Texas will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. HENSARLING. Mr. Speaker, the resolution before the House is H. Res. 450 which, as I understand it, would establish the rule for debate on this Ex-Im reauthorization bill, that it does not make in order any amendments.

The closed rule means that in addition to not having any debate on the rule—since all time has now been yielded back, with no other Member having a chance to speak—Members have been denied their chance to participate in that part of the process.

My parliamentary inquiry is whether there is any way, at this juncture, for Members to amend the resolution, H. Res. 450, to give Members the opportunity to offer amendments to the underlying Ex-Im reauthorization bill?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair was about to put the question on ordering the previous question.

If the motion for the previous question was rejected, there would be a potential for further debate on, or amendment to, House Resolution 450.

Mr. HENSARLING. Mr. Speaker, further parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. HENSARLING. So, if the previous question is defeated, then a Member who is opposed to the previous question would be afforded the opportunity to offer an amendment to H. Res. 450 that would strike the text of the closed, no amendments rule and replace it with the text of a rule that provided for a vote on the underlying Ex-Im reauthorization bill through an open process, with time for debate, where any Member—either Republican or Democrat—could offer germane amendments to the bill. Is that correct, Mr. Speaker?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair cannot respond to specific hypotheticals, but if the motion for the previous question were rejected, there would be potential for further debate on, or amendment to, House Resolution 450.

Mr. HENSARLING. Mr. Speaker, further parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. HENSARLING. If the previous question is defeated, may I or any Member who votes against the previous question claim time to offer such an amendment to create an open rules process on the underlying Ex-Im reauthorization bill where Members on both sides of the aisle can offer amendments to the bill?

Mr. MULVANEY. Mr. Speaker, I have an amendment at the desk. I would like to have it heard now.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The previous question has already been moved.

Mr. MULVANEY. No, it hasn’t.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair is about to put the question on ordering the previous question on the resolution.

Mr. MULVANEY. Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry. Who moved the previous question?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Tennessee.

Mr. MULVANEY. Was that seconded?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The previous question does not require a second.

Mr. MULVANEY. Mr. Speaker, I have an amendment at the desk. I would simply like to ask what rule the Chair is relying on in denying me the ability to bring that amendment now.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Clause 4 of rule XVI.

The question is on ordering the previous question on the resolution.

The question was taken, and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. HENSARLING. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 114–313) on the resolution (H. Res. 491) providing for consideration of the bill (H. R. 1090) to amend the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to provide protections for retail investors.
ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Graves of Louisiana). Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on the motion to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote occurs objectionable, under clause 6 of rule XX.

Any record vote on the postponed question will be taken later.
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RESEARCH EXCELLENCE AND ADVANCEMENTS FOR DYSEXIA ACT

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3033) to require the President's annual budget request to Congress each year to include a line item for the Research in Disabilities Education program of the National Science Foundation and to require the National Science Foundation to conduct research on dyslexia, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Research Excellence and Advancements for Dyslexia Act” or the “READ Act”.

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

The Congress finds the following:

(1) As many as one out of six, or 8,500,000, American school children may have dyslexia.

(2) Since 1975, dyslexia has been included in the list of qualifying learning disabilities under the Education for All Handicapped Children Act of 1975 and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

SEC. 3. RESEARCH IN DISABILITIES EDUCATION.

(a) PROGRAM.—Nothing in this Act alters the National Science Foundation’s Research in Disabilities Education program for fundamental and implementation research about learners of all ages with disabilities, including dyslexia, in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM). The National Science Foundation shall continue to encourage efforts to understand and address disability-based differences in STEM education and workforce participation, including differences for dyslexic learners.

(b) LINE ITEM.—The Director of the National Science Foundation shall include the amount requested for the Research in Disabilities Education program in the Foundation’s annual congressional budget justification.

SEC. 4. DYSEXIA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The National Science Foundation shall support multi-directorate, merit-reviewed, and competitively awarded research on the science of dyslexia, including research on the early identification of children and students with dyslexia, professional development for teachers and administrators of students with dyslexia, curricula and educational tools needed for children with dyslexia, and implementation and scaling of successful models of dyslexia intervention. Research under this subsection shall be conducted with the goal of practical application.

(b) FUNDING.—The National Science Foundation shall devote at least $5,000,000 annually to research described in subsection (a), subject to the availability of appropriations, to come from funds made available for the Research and Related Activities account or the Education and Human Resources Directorate. No additional funds are authorized to be appropriated under this section. This Act shall be carried out using funds otherwise appropriated by law after the date of enactment of this Act.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Smith) and the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Beyer) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to review and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 3033, the Research Excellence and Advancements for Dyslexia Act, or READ Act, will help millions of Americans who struggle with dyslexia. It is fitting that the House consider this bill today, as October is Dyslexia Awareness Month.

Dyslexia affects an estimated 8.5 million school children and 1 in 6 Americans in some form. It causes these individuals to have difficulties with reading, though they often have normal or above-average intelligence. Despite the prevalence of dyslexia, many Americans remain undiagnosed, untreated, and silently struggle at school or work. Too many children undiagnosed with dyslexia have difficulties in the classroom and sometimes drop out of school and face uncertain futures.

The READ Act requires the National Science Foundation’s budget to include a specific line item for the Research in Disabilities Education program. The bill requires the NSF to invest at least $5 million annually for merit-reviewed, competitively-awarded dyslexia research projects.

The bill uses funds already appropriated for the NSF and does not authorize any additional spending for these priority projects.

NSF research supported by the READ Act is focused on practical applications, which include the following:

Early identification of children and students with dyslexia, professional development for teachers and administrators of students with dyslexia, curricula and educational tools needed for children with dyslexia, and implementation and scaling of successful models of dyslexia intervention.

The House Science, Space and Technology Committee held a hearing last year on the science of dyslexia. Experts testified how research in the area of neuroscience has led to practical ways to better diagnose and deal with dyslexia but that more research is necessary.

At a second committee hearing held just a few weeks ago, we heard from experts who work directly with dyslexic students and their teachers. They know firsthand about the obstacles these children, parents, and educators face, and they stress the importance of research in developing practical tools.

If you can’t read, it is hard to achieve. If we change the way we approach dyslexia, we can turn this disability into an opportunity for a brighter and more productive future for millions of Americans.

I am a co-chair of the bipartisan Dyslexia Caucus, along with Congresswoman JULIA BROWNLEY, which is comprised of more than 100 Members of Congress.

We have met hundreds of children and their parents in my congressional district in Texas and others across the U.S. who are affected by dyslexia, and they have shared their personal stories with me.

One child I met recently was Eddie, a middle school student from Baltimore. He, along with his family, has been on a long journey to receive a proper diagnosis and find a supportive learning environment.

During our meeting, his mother wrote me a letter explaining: “In only 1 year, Eddie has gone from repeatedly missing recess because he would not ‘try harder,’ a boy who would stare at his homework in defeat before he has even tried an assignment, to a boy now daring to dream of a career in the sciences.”

Eddie is very fortunate to have a mother who advocated for his proper education. He is now not only able to learn, but also to lead. His mother comments: “He is a voracious reader and wants to join the Jet Propulsion Lab or work with NASA.”

I also have had the pleasure of meeting an Austin, Texas, resident Robbi Cooper and her son, Ben. They shared many stories with me about the hardships they have faced in their attempts to ensure Ben receives the best education possible.

Ben has even taken his abilities one step further by becoming an advocate and has traveled to D.C. numerous times to lobby Congress so others can learn from his experiences.

The bipartisan READ Act, which unanimously passed the Science Committee 2 weeks ago, will help ensure that all children like Eddie and Ben have the means to succeed. Nothing could be more important to them.

I also want to acknowledge two young friends who are on the floor with me today, Leighton and Gipson, who have an interest in this bill too.

The READ Act is a significant step in the right direction to help those with dyslexia.
Thanks go to my Dyslexia Caucus co-chair, Representative JULIA BROWNLEY, and the other cosponsors of the READ Act, such as Congressman DON BEYER, who is handling the other side of this debate tonight, for their interest and support. They urge my colleagues to leverage to better the lives of millions of children and adults with dyslexia.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. BEYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 3033, the Research Excellence and Advancements for Dyslexia Act, or the READ Act. Passing this bill is the perfect way to honor October, National Dyslexia Awareness Month.

As my friend, the chairman, has said, dyslexia is a learning disorder characterized by difficulty reading due to problems identifying speech sounds and learning how they relate to letters and words.

Unfortunately, many children are not diagnosed or are diagnosed later in life, leaving them with little access to helpful interventions and technologies. Too often our educators do not have the proper training to identify students with learning disabilities, including dyslexia.

This bill would fund research on the early identification of individuals with dyslexia and professional development for teachers and school administrators. There is a lack of research on curricular development and educational tools for students with dyslexia, and I am happy to report that this bill would fund that research into that as well.

Finally, as we heard from our expert witnesses during the committee hearings on this topic, there is a significant gap in getting the research from the laboratories into the hands of teachers and administrators. To address this gap, we need more research on understanding which experimental innovations will be most useful in the classrooms and research on how best to scale those successful interventions.

Having an intervention work in the laboratory is not enough. The intervention needs to work in classroom settings, which are very heterogeneous environments.

Mr. Speaker, I have a first cousin who was raised just across the river in Fairfax County. He was a most clever fellow, a ranking member of the Dyslexia Caucus, as well as all the members of the Science Committee on the Dyslexia Caucus, as well as all the members of the Science Committee.

When dyslexia goes undiagnosed, it can result in struggles in the classroom and continue through into their careers as adults.

Despite knowledge of the condition since the 19th century, many Americans remain undiagnosed and untreated. Given what we know today and what is known about the advancements we can make in research and technology, we need to make sure we are not letting that stand.

In July, I joined a bipartisan group of my colleagues to cosponsor the READ Act. The bill requires the President’s annual budget request to Congress to include a line item for the Research in Disabilities Education program of the National Science Foundation.

It also requires the National Science Foundation to devote at least $5 million annually to dyslexia research, which would focus on best practices for early identification of children and students with dyslexia, professional development about dyslexia for teachers and administrators, and then programs development and evidence-based educational tools for children and all those who are dealing with this.

I would like to thank Chairman SMITH, the committee staff, the ranking members, and everyone who supported this important bipartisan legislation.

Mr. BEYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. BROWNLEY).

Ms. BROWNLEY of California. Mr. Speaker, as co-chair of the Congressional Dyslexia Caucus, I rise in strong support of this bipartisan bill, the READ Act, which will ensure, finally, that science drives informed public policy.

I want to thank Chairman SMITH for his passionate leadership on this issue. Today is a day, I think, that we can all celebrate, and I want to thank him very, very much for all of his efforts.

The READ Act will increase National Science Foundation research on dyslexia, including best practices on early identification and professional development for teachers and school administrators.

It will also support research on the most effective teaching practices and curriculum models for students with dyslexia.

The research this bill supports can make a difference, a big, big difference, in the lives of millions of American children. Learning disabilities like dyslexia and attention-related disorders affect as many as one in five children in our country.

It was my daughter Hannah’s struggle with dyslexia, that led me, quite frankly, to public service. Out of real frustration, I ran for my local school board, because, as a parent, it was clear to me that our schools were unprepared to meet my daughter’s needs and to meet the needs of students with dyslexia, and teachers had never been properly trained to identify this learning disability.

After 12 years on the school board, I was elected to my State legislature. And as chair of the California Assembly on Education, I also worked to improve education for students with learning disabilities.

Now, as a Member of Congress, I want to do my part at the Federal level.

Across the country, many States are stepping up to this challenge. They have passed new laws to update their education codes, get assistive technology into more classrooms, and to boost teacher training.

Advancements in cognitive science can teach us much more about how the brain develops and, therefore, how children learn.

In closing, I want to share with everyone that my daughter is now 30 years old. She speaks three languages, and she is saving the world one life at a time in Africa. So she finally got the services she needs and is being very successful in life and following her own dreams.

I also want to thank, again, the gentleman from Texas, who is my co-chair on the Dyslexia Caucus, as well as all the members of the Science Committee for their bipartisan support for the READ Act.

I urge my colleagues to vote “yes” on this very important piece of legislation.

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from
Mr. JOHNSON of Ohio. I thank the chairman. I am grateful for all the work that the Dyslexia Caucus has done, and I am very, very important piece of legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 3033, the Research Excellence and Advancements for Dyslexia, or the READ Act. This important legislation would require the President’s annual budget to Congress specifically fund the Research in Disabilities Education program at the National Science Foundation. It would also require NSF to devote at least $5 million annually to dyslexia research.

You are probably going to hear multiple Members come up tonight and talk about personal stories, about how this hits so very close to home for some of us. I have a 13-year-old granddaughter in Texas, Marin Mangiaracina. I have watched over the years as she and her mother and her dad have struggled to help try to identify the problems that she has with learning. Teachers that were unprepared to diagnose, to identify the symptoms of dyslexia.

Even then, once she was diagnosed and identified, having those tools and support available consistently, from one school to another or from one teacher to another is still problematic. For Mar, Marin is a member of the National Honor Society because of the help that has been provided to her. But she still struggles. She has created a Web site on her own to draw attention to this important problem, and she is working hard to improve herself personally.

I can’t say enough about how proud I am of her and the many others that are afflicted with this condition.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to support H.R. 3033.

Mr. BEYER. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. WESTERMAN), who is a member of the Science Committee.

Mr. WESTERMAN. I thank the chairman for his leadership on this issue.

Mr. Speaker, I rise tonight in support of the READ Act. I rise as the husband of a special education teacher and not just any special education teacher, one that has a real passion for helping children with reading disabilities and one that has seen firsthand the successes that happen when research-based interventions are used with children with dyslexia.

Dyslexia is the most common learning disability. It affects more than 90 percent of all individuals identified as learning disabled. It is estimated to affect one in six U.S. schoolchildren.

This learning disability causes difficulty with reading comprehension, math, and a variety of other subject areas. Students with dyslexia should receive research-based instruction so they have the best opportunity to learn and succeed in the 21st century. That is why I cosponsored the READ Act of 2015, a bill that requires the National Science Foundation to fund dyslexia research.

NSF-supported research will strengthen practical interventions, including early identification of dyslexia, development of curricula, and other tools to help dyslexics. It will help identify scalable models for implementing dyslexia in schools.

The READ Act does not increase Federal spending. It authorizes multidirectorate, merit-reviewed, and competitively awarded dyslexia projects using funds appropriated for the NSF Research and Related Activities Account and the education and human resources directorate. This bill is good for students, it is good for educators, and it is good for America.

Mr. BEYER. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Mississippi (Mr. PALAZZO), who is a member of the Appropriations Committee, but more importantly, is a former member of the Science Committee.

Mr. PALAZZO. I thank the gentleman from Texas, the entire Dyslexia Caucus, and also the comments from many of my colleagues tonight.

Mr. Speaker, I support the READ Act. Dyslexia is one of the most common learning disabilities in the United States, affecting an estimated 8.5 million schoolchildren and one in six Americans in some form. Despite these statistics, millions of children go undiagnosed and millions more do not receive proper educational assistance.

The READ Act addresses this problem by requiring the National Science Foundation to fund research that provides evidence of how to identify students with dyslexia and how to tailor a curriculum to better fit their needs. The READ Act also aims to put more resources in the hands of parents, teachers, and students.

As an original cosponsor of this bill, a member of the bipartisan Congressional Dyslexia Caucus, and as a parent who has seen firsthand the challenges facing today’s dyslexic students, I firmly believe that research focused on practical applications is needed to not only help understand dyslexia, but also to afford students an education that enables them to succeed in the classroom and reach their full potential.

The READ Act provides an opportunity for a brighter and more productive future for millions of Americans. For these reasons, I fully support the READ Act and encourage my colleagues to do the same.

Mr. BEYER. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BUCSHON), who is a member of the Energy and Commerce Committee and a former member of the Science Committee.

Mr. BUCSHON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 3033, the Research Excellence and Advancements for Dyslexia Act, the READ Act. This is an important piece of legislation that dedicates specific funds to dyslexia research, including early detection. This bill will help more children get a proper diagnosis.

I sometimes wonder, had my wife and I not been engaged in this process, what might have become of my daughter’s academic career and what about all the other students out there who may be misdiagnosed. So I encourage my colleagues to support the READ Act.

Mr. BEYER. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I am prepared to close if the gentleman from Virginia has no more speakers.

Mr. BEYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time to close.

Mr. Speaker, I thank the Members on both sides of the aisle who have cosponsored the READ Act and spoken in favor of the bill.

Today we can shine a light on dyslexia and help millions of Americans have a brighter and more prosperous future.

We can think of no better way to honor Dyslexia Awareness Month than to pass the READ Act, a bill that will help students and individuals with dyslexia and the parents and teachers who support them in very practical ways.

Jay Leno, Walt Disney, Steve Jobs, and Carol Greider, the 2009 Nobel Prize winner in medicine, among others, are some of the most recognized and brilliant creators and innovators who have struggled with dyslexia but have not let it limit their ability to achieve their maximum potential.

We need to enable those with dyslexia to achieve their maximum potential. The READ Act will help accomplish this.

I yield back the balance of my time.
teachers and school administrators, curriculum development and educational tools, and implementation and scaling of successful models of dyslexia intervention.

I have known several people who have dyslexia. Although dyslexia is a lifelong condition, if someone gets a diagnosis and instruction, they can succeed in school and go on to have successful careers.

The National Science Foundation currently supports fundamental research across a number of scientific fields that provide a foundation for dyslexia research. Also, the National Science Foundation is a leader in educational research and funds learning science directly and indirectly related to dyslexia.

A significant amount of the National Science Foundation research relevant to dyslexia is funneled out of the Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences Directorate and the Education and Human Resources Directorate—two important National Science Foundation Directorates that fund high-priority research. Research funded by the Biological Sciences Directorate also contributes to foundational knowledge about the neuroscience behind dyslexia.

I was pleased that when this bill was considered by the House Science, Space, and Technology Committee, we worked in a bipartisan manner and made several improvements to the bill incorporating some of the suggestions that expert witnesses had given us during Committee hearings.

I want to thank my fellow Texan, Chairman Smith for working across the aisle on this bill. I support the bill and urge my colleagues to support it.

Mrs. LAWRENCE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to urge my support for H.R. 3033, the Research in Disabilities Education to Support Academic Development Act of 2015, or the READ Act. I would like to emphasize the importance of supporting the academic development of the 8.5 million American school children struggling with dyslexia.

Before they are diagnosed, children with dyslexia often struggle in school. Early detection of dyslexia can save students and parents the frustration that occurs as a result of the student’s unmet needs and decline in academic performance. I am fighting for increased funding of the National Science Foundation’s Research in Disabilities Education to support these children and their families. Research is crucial to ensure that dyslexic children have the opportunity to reach their full potential. That is why it is vitally important to expand funding for research in all of our schools and communities.

In my District, I have spoken with many parents concerned about the lack of programs designed to assist with the diagnosis and development of dyslexic children. By passing this legislation, we will continue our legacy of supporting children and families. The READ Act would require that the President’s annual budget request to Congress includes a line item for the Research in Disabilities Education program of the National Science Foundation and requires the National Science Foundation to conduct research on dyslexia. In addition, the National Science Foundation would encourage efforts to understand and address disability-based differences in STEM educational and workforce participation, including dyslexic learners.

I am grateful that our chamber has taken this important step to ensure that dyslexic children and their families receive the support they need. I want to thank my colleagues on both sides of the aisle for supporting children’s education and further dedicating ourselves to serving our hard-working American families and their children.

DAY OF THE DEPLOYED

(Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. THOMPSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in recognition of the 10th anniversary of the National Day of the Deployed, which honors all the men and women who have been deployed and who have dedicated their lives to the defense and continued freedom of our Nation.

On Sunday, October 25, I attended a homecoming celebration for 25 members of the 112th Air Operations Squadron based in State College, Pennsylvania. These men and women were involved in all aspects of air operations in the Middle East and have been instrumental in the fight against ISIS. The 112th Air Operations Squadron was the first in the Nation to be deployed in this manner many years ago, setting precedent for similar units that have been deployed since.

Mr. Speaker, the deployed men and women of the United States Armed Forces leave behind their families to travel overseas in order to serve our country in places such as Iraq and Afghanistan, along with other missions throughout Asia and Europe. Their sacrifices embody bravery and the love for our country.

I welcome those brave individuals home and pray for those who are still serving our country overseas. May we recognize them on this 10th National Day of the Deployed.

CLIMATE CHANGE

(Mr. HONDA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HONDA. Mr. Speaker, last week’s historic storm, Hurricane Patricia, was the strongest hurricane on record. My thoughts are with those who lost their loved ones, their homes, and their livelihoods.

We must ensure that the thousands affected have access to food, shelter, clean water, services, and the resources they need to limit the impact of Patricia’s devastation. But we should not limit the storm’s impact on our consciousness. Hurricane Patricia should be a wake-up call that our planet’s climate is changing.

September was the warmest month ever recorded. As our planet warms, we expect more extreme weather: lengthier droughts, higher floods, and stronger storms.

Our Nation must invest in understanding and better preparing for the effects of climate change. Deprioritizing earth science and capping spending for research programs is irresponsible and shortsighted.

Hurricane Patricia showed how being informed and prepared about coming storms can save lives. Investment in earth science research is vital to improving our understanding of our planet and building resiliency to a shifting climate.

REMEMBERING COACH FLIP SAUNDERS

(Mr. PAULSEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PAULSEN. Mr. Speaker, we lost a good man, mentor, and coach this past weekend with the passing of Flip Saunders.

While the veteran NBA coach grew up in the Cleveland, Ohio, area, he will forever be a true Minnesotan to many of us. It started with his career as a player at the University of Minnesota, where he started over 100 games for the Golden Gophers.

After his playing career was over, he began his coaching career at Golden Valley Lutheran College before working his way up to the NBA. Flip coached the Minnesota Timberwolves to their first winning season, their first playoff appearance, and to an appearance in the Western Conference finals.

More than accolades, though, Flip was a mentor to many. The outpouring of grief from players, coaches, sportswriters, and fans shows just what he meant to those who knew him. Mr. Speaker, Flip Saunders was a basketball icon in Minnesota, and he will be greatly missed.

Our thoughts and prayers are with his wife Debbie and their four children.
Now the IRS will have ‘sophisticated cellphone dragnet equipment known as Stingray.’ These devices ‘work by pretending to be cellphone towers in order to strip metadata and in some cases even content from phones which connect to them.’

Mr. Speaker, why does the IRS want to spy on Americans? It sounds like the old Soviet Union to me. The Fourth Amendment protects Americans from this type of widespread, abusive government spying.

It is time for Congress to make sure that the constitutional right of privacy applies to the IRS and to this new technology. Technology may change, but the Constitution does not.

And that is just the way it is.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. PAYNE (at the request of Ms. Pelosi) for today on account of a medical procedure.

**EXPENDITURES BY THE OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL UNDER HOUSE RESOLUTION 676, 113TH CONGRESS**

*House of Representatives, Committee on House Administration, Washington, DC, October 26, 2015.*

Hon. John A. Boehner,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

Dear Mr. Speaker,

Pursuant to section 3(b) of H. Res. 676 of the 113th Congress, as reported and found truly enrolled a bill of the House of the following title, as was thereupon signed by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1090) to amend the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to provide protections for retail customers, and for other purposes (Rept. 114-313). Referred to the House Calendar.

**PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS**

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

- By Ms. BROWN of Florida (for herself, Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi, Ms. NORTON, Ms. ADAMS of Maryland, Mr. BROWN of Virginia, Mr. BUTTERFIELD, Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, Ms. SHEWEDE of Alabama, Ms. BRATTT, Mrs. WATSON-POLMEN, Mr. GRAHAMS, Ms. EDWARDS, Mr. FATTAH, Ms. FUDGE, Mr. BISHOP of Georgia, Ms. FLASKEET, Mr. MEERS, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Mr. CLEAVER, Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois, Ms. JACKSON LEE, Mr. RICHMOND, Ms. WILSON of Florida, Mr. HASTINGS, Mr. CLYBURN, Ms. CLARKER of New York, Ms. BASS, Mr. AL GREEN of Texas, Mr. VRASEY, Ms. MAXINE WATERS of California, Mr. RANDEL, Ms. LEE, Mr. RUSH, Mr. CLAY, Mr. LEWIS, and Mr. CUMMINGS):
  - H.R. 3828. A bill to amend the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1997, to require a study on matters relating to the burial of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to conduct an investigation concerning the implementation of commitment in the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action, pursuant to the Iran Freedom and Counter-Proliferation Act of 2012, the Iran Sanctions Act of 1996, the Iran Threat Reduction and Syria Human Rights Act of 2012, and the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012; jointly to the Committees on Foreign Affairs, Financial Services, Oversight and Government Reform, the Judiciary, and Ways and Means.

**REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS**

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

- By Mr. CONAWAY: Committee on Agriculture. H.R. 1317. A bill to amend the Commodity Exchange Act and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to specify when clearing requirements apply to certain affiliate transactions, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 114-311 Pt. 1). Ordered to be printed.

- By Mr. MILLER of Florida: Committee on Veterans’ Affairs. H.R. 1338. A bill to require the Secretary of Veterans’ Affairs to conduct a study on matters relating to the burial of unclaimed remains of veterans in national cemeteries, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 114-311 Pt. 2). Ordered to be printed.

- By Mr. COLLINS of Georgia: Committee on Rules. H.R. 491. Resolution providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1090) to amend the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.
and in addition to the Committee on Intelligence (Permanent Select), for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. WHITFIELD:
H.J. Res. 71. A joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to "Standards of Performance for New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units"; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. WHITFIELD:
H.J. Res. 72. A joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to "Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units"; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. MEADOWS:
H. Res. 467. Concurrent resolution expressing support for designation of October 28 as "Honoring the Nation's First Responders Day"; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Mr. POE of Texas (for himself and Mr. AL GREEN of Texas):
H. Res. 492. A resolution supporting the goal of October as National Domestic Violence Awareness Month and expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that Congress should continue to raise awareness of domestic violence and its devastating effects on individuals, families, and communities, and support programs designed to end domestic violence in the United States, for the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

By Mr. COURTNEY:
H. Res. 493. A resolution recognizing Connecticut's Submarine Century, the 100th anniversary of the establishment of Naval Submarine Base New London, and Connecticut's historic role in supporting the undersea capabilities of the United States; to the Committee on Armed Services.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY STATEMENT
Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill or joint resolution.

By Ms. BROWN of Florida:
H.R. 3828. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 18: To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.

By Mr. ROSE of New York:
H.R. 3830. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 18: To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and the powers of Congress to regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes.

By Mr. BRADY of Texas:
H.R. 3831. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
The constitutional authority on which this bill rests is the power of Congress to make rules for the government and regulation of the land and naval forces, as enumerated in Article I, Section 8, Clause 14 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. RENacci:
H.R. 3832. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 18: To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.

By Ms. WILSON of Florida:
H.R. 3833. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article I, Section 8, Clause 18: To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.

By Mr. WHITFIELD:
H.J. Res. 71. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
The Commerce Clause, Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the Constitution of the United States, grants Congress the power "To regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes".

By Mr. WHITFIELD:
H.J. Res. 72. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
The Commerce Clause, Article I, Section 8, Clause 3 of the Constitution of the United States, grants Congress the power "To regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes".

ADDITIONAL SPONSORS
Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions, as follows:
H.R. 282: Mr. LEWIS.
H.R. 452: Mr. DURCH and Mr. GRAYSON.
H.R. 563: Mr. CRENshaw.
H.R. 592: Mr. FITZPATRICK, Mr. GUNta, Mr. CONNOLLY, and Mr. KELLY of Pennsylvania.
H.R. 662: Mr. PEry and Mr. BUCK.
H.R. 721: Mr. CLAwnson of Florida.
H.R. 766: Mr. RoNDeY Davis of Illinois.
H.R. 802: Mr. BRIENSHTEIN, Mr. CONNOLLY, and Ms. LOuFREN.
H.R. 815: Mr. BOuSTAnY.
H.R. 816: Mr. CHAFFETZ.
H.R. 845: Mr. GOULd.
H.R. 870: Mr. BRIDENsAt F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania, Mr. CARDeNAS, and Mr. LARson of Connecticut.
H.R. 921: Mr. KIND.
H.R. 973: Mr. POliQUIN and Mr. MERriAN.
H.R. 985: Mr. CICILiNE.
H.R. 1061: Mr. VAN HOLLEn.
H.R. 1096: Mr. COllINS.
H.R. 1142: Mr. GUNta.
H.R. 1148: Mr. POSY.
H.R. 1188: Mr. CONNOLLY.
H.R. 1197: Mr. RIBBLE.
H.R. 1221: Ms. TTuTT.
H.R. 1308: Mr. AUSTIn SCOTT of Georgia, Mr. CONNOLLY of Georgia, and Mr. THoRREN.
H.R. 1453: Mr. HUDSON.
H.R. 1475: Mr. COLLInS of New York.
H.R. 1556: Mr. KILBER.
H.R. 1568: Mr. SMith of Washington and Ms. JUDY CHu of California.
H.R. 1571: Mr. GARAMEnDi, Mr. CONNOLLY, Mr. DESAUTINiER, and Mr. NADLER.
H.R. 1603: Mr. CLaWSON of Florida and Mr. LOwENthaL.
H.R. 1688: Mr. DOOGEt, Mr. CaRDeNAS, Ms. MCCOLLL and Mrs. BUSTON.
H.R. 1625: Mr. KILMER.
H.R. 1671: Mr. JoLLY.
H.R. 1726: Mrs. WATsoN COLEMA, Mr. MCCOLLL, Mr. BLuMENAuER, Mr. VARGAs, and Mr. QUiGLy.
H.R. 1733: Mr. SiRMAN.
H.R. 1737: Mr. HURLSKaMP, Mr. McKINLEY, Mr. LOuDERMLiKE, and Mr. KELLY of Pennsylvania.
H.R. 1738: Mr. SMiTb of MissoURI.
H.R. 1751: Mr. DUTCHT, Mr. VARGAs, Ms. LEE, and Mr. GRAYson.
H.R. 1781: Ms. KELLy of Illinois.
H.R. 1786: Mr. AL GREEN of Texas, Mr. RoNdY Davis of Illinois, and Ms. BROWN of Florida.
H.R. 1788: Mr. KLiNE.
H.R. 1814: Ms. PLASKETT and Mr. BISHOF of Georgia.
H.R. 1848: Ms. TSONGaS, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mr. KRATING.
H.R. 1942: Mr. McNERnEy and Mr. RoSS.
H.R. 1966: Ms. LEE.
H.R. 2009: Mr. SALMON.
H.R. 210: Mr. NEWHOUSE and Mr. POSEy.
H.R. 217: Mr. DUNCAN of South Carolina and Mr. POE of Texas.
H.R. 2290: Mr. BARR and Mr. RoSS.
H.R. 2295: Mr. JEFFFRIEs.
H.R. 2403: Mr. KiLDER.
H.R. 2410: Ms. MiSHeLE LUiAn GRiShAM of New Mexico.
H.R. 2412: Ms. PiNGRE and Mr. ASHFOuRD.
H.R. 2494: Ms. DEBiNEnE, Ms. BORDAlLO, Mr. WOmaCK, and Ms. SCHaKOWSKY.
H.R. 2510: Mr. CRAWFORD.
H.R. 2513: Mr. RiBBLE.
H.R. 2605: Mr. RoNNey of Florida.
H.R. 2631: Mr. MACArtHR and Mr. POSEy.
H.R. 2643: Mr. ADEHoLT.
H.R. 2646: Mr. GLaивE of Missouri.
H.R. 2654: Mrs. KiRPATRIk.
H.R. 2710: Ms. HArtzLER, Ms. McSALLY, and Mr. ROkTA.
H.R. 2715: Mr. ScHRADE.
H.R. 2726: Ms. JUDY CHu of California.
H.R. 2753: Mr. SMiTH of MissoURI.
H.R. 2775: Mr. DELANEy.
H.R. 2811: Ms. MiNGO.
H.R. 2844: Mr. KiLiDER.
H.R. 2847: Mrs. BUSTOS, Mr. KLiNE, and Mr. LaMBRO.
H.R. 2949: Mr. MCgovern.
H.R. 2867: Mr. BiCRERT, Mr. HECk of Washington, Ms. TTuTT, Mr. SaRBAnES, and Mr. Al.
H.R. 2896: Mr. LAmbON and Mr. AMODi.
H.R. 2903: Mr. CaRDeNAS, Mr. CRAWFORD, and Mr. ScHWEIRKERT.
H.R. 2994: Mr. RuFFERSBERGER.
H.R. 3035: Mr. BLuMENAuER.
H.R. 3046: Mr. DURCH, Ms. LEE, and Mr. GRAYson.
H.R. 3048: Mr. GOHMERT.
H.R. 3051: Mr. BLuMENAuER and Mr. PETErs.
H.R. 3071: Mr. RiSS REE of New York.
H.R. 3133: Mr. HiNsAlBiNG and Mr. RaClFF.
H.R. 3164: Mr. HUFFMaN.
The amendment to be offered by Representative STEPHEN LYNCH (MA) or a designee to H.R. 1090, the Retail Investor Protection Act, does not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI.

Under clause 9 of rule XXI, lists or statements on congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits were submitted as follows:

The amendment to be offered by Representative STEPHEN LYNCH (MA) or a designee to H.R. 1090, the Retail Investor Protection Act, does not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI.
The Senate met at 3 p.m. and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. HATCH).

PRAYER
The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:
Let us pray.
Eternal Lord, You inspire us to joyfully resign to Your will, refusing to demand our own way.
Fill our lawmakers with patience, contentment, and peace. Provide them with interior humility, not just the outward form. Give them a spirit that enables them to be easily reconciled with others, determined to labor for the common good. May they remember to cast their cares on You, leaning on Your sustaining power. Use them to encourage and build up each other, striving always to accomplish the most good for the most people.
We pray in Your sacred Name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
The President pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:
I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER
The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mrs. Ernst). The majority leader is recognized.

MEASURE PLACED ON THE CALENDAR—S. 2200
Mr. McConnell. Madam President, I understand there is a bill at the desk due for its second reading.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will read the bill by title for the second time.
The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 2200) to amend the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 to strengthen equal pay requirements.

Mr. McConnell. In order to place the bill on the calendar under the provisions of rule XIV, I object to further proceedings.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard.
The bill will be placed on the calendar.

CYBERSECURITY INFORMATION SHARING BILL AND FISCAL NEGOTIATIONS
Mr. McConnell. Madam President, last week Senators voted overwhelmingly to advance legislation that will help to protect the privacy of their constituents. Experts say the tools in the bipartisan cybersecurity bill the Senate voted to advance can help prevent future attacks through the sharing of information between the public and private sectors. The legislation’s voluntary information sharing provisions are key to protecting the personal information of the people we all represent. The bill has also been carefully examined by Senators of both parties and contains important measures to protect civil liberties and individual privacy. I thank Chairman Burr and Vice Chairman Feinstein of the Intelligence Committee for their hard work on the bipartisan bill.

We will consider a variety of amendments from both sides of the aisle tomorrow. After that, we can take a final vote on the underlying bill. That will be the Senate’s initial focus this week. I will have more to say about it tomorrow.

In the meantime, we also know that fiscal negotiations are ongoing. As the details come in, and especially if an agreement is reached, I intend to consult and discuss the details with our colleagues.
I yield the floor.

RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER
The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Democratic leader is recognized.

BUDGET NEGOTIATIONS
Mr. Reid. Madam President, as the Republican leader mentioned, we continue to work toward a budget agreement. Negotiations are ongoing. I hope Democrats and Republicans will come to a resolution that is good for our economy and our country. It is imperative that we avoid yet another manufactured crisis that threatens the American economy and jobs. There is no reason to have a crisis. We must do it in a responsible manner.
As I have been saying for a long time, it is past time that we do away with the harmful, draconian sequester cuts. We must also ensure that there are equal defense and nondefense cuts or increases. They need to be equal.
Madam President, I see no one on the floor wishing to speak. I ask the Chair to announce the business of the rest of the day.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME
The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

MORNING BUSINESS
The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will be in a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.
Mr. Reid. Madam President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.
The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.
Mr. Kaine. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

This “bullet” symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PRESIDENTIAL FLAG AND SEAL ANNIVERSARY

Mr. KAINÉ. Madam President, I rise today to commemorate an important but largely unheralded anniversary. Seventy years ago yesterday, President Harry Truman changed the design of the Presidential flag and seal. That moment, which is a small moment in the grand scope of American history, was nevertheless very symbolic. I would like to discuss it.

First, some context on President Truman. Truman was a great wartime President. He fought bravely in World War I in France, and then he had to make very momentous decisions at the close of World War II. Some would argue, and I think properly, that the decision on whether to use atomic weapons at Hiroshima and Nagasaki might have been the single most momentous decision ever made by a President. He wasn’t even aware of the Manhattan Project and the development of the atomic weapons program until FDR died in April of 1945 and within a very short time had to make an existential decision whether to use those weapons against Japan.

Nobody would question or challenge whether Harry Truman was a softy. In fact, even after World War II, in March of 1947, America was acutely concerned about the Soviet Union to expand their influence. Truman biographer David McCullough stated that Truman meant the shift in the eagle’s gaze to be seen as symbolic of a nation that was on the march and dedicated to peace and diplomacy. Significant and around the same time President Truman did something else that was notable and symbolic. He renamed the Department we think of as the Pentagon from the Department of War to the Department of Defense, also symbolic of the Nation’s postwar dedication to peace.

While we want to be the strongest—and we are the strongest military nation in the world, as the Presidency Office knows so very well—we want to always say that our interest is not primarily war; no, our interest is peace and prosperity for all.

We always have to preserve and advance America’s military strength because we know the connection. Some may believe that military strength, the more successful you can be diplomatically, but it is also the case that the strength of your diplomacy can also add to the credibility of your military might.

I wish to talk quickly about the olive branches of peace and diplomacy and then the arrows of war. America has a great diplomatic tradition. Let’s talk about recent Presidential history. President Truman went to Congress and said: Let’s spend, in today’s dollars, tens of billions of dollars to rebuild the economies of Japan and Germany, the two nations that had been at war against the United States. Germany had been engaged in two wars within the previous 30 years. Japan had invaded the United States at Pearl Harbor, but President Truman said: Tomorrow is more important than yesterday. Let’s spend dollars to rebuild these economies. It was controversial when he proposed it, but the Marshall Plan ended up being one of the most successful things the United States has done from a foreign policy perspective.

Right after the Cuban Missile Crisis of 1962, President Kennedy engaged in negotiations with the Soviet Union to reduce the nuclear threat, and the result was an agreement in 1963 to ban atmospheric nuclear tests, the Nuclear Test Ban Treaty. President Reagan was actively engaged in trying to undermine the power of the Soviet Union and communism, but during those very vigorous and aggressive activities, he was also negotiating with the Soviet Union on arms control agreements. Probably the best example of that during the Reagan Presidency was the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty in 1987 that he successfully negotiated.

I happen to believe that history is going to judge the recent Iran nuclear deal in the same way. It is an effort to make tomorrow more important than yesterday and to find—even in the midst of significant challenges between the United States and Iran—a way to reduce the nuclear threat. Diplomacy is always a judgment where we should try to let go some of the baggage of the past and see if we can find a better way to tomorrow.

I am a little bit worried that the Truman legacy of putting peace and diplomacy first is fraying in this body and maybe nationally. I hope by bringing to mind this anniversary today, it will remind us of our great diplomatic history and the power of our diplomatic principles. A number of times in recent years we have seen bits of evidence of a fraying commitment to diplomacy in this Chamber, in my view.

One of the great Truman institutions was the International Monetary Fund. We have repeatedly worked together on economic and monetary policy issues. It is a great global institution. When you set up an institution like that in the 1940s, the challenge is that when new nations emerge and rise to power, how do we integrate those that are newly powerful into the Fund? The most recent and challenging example has been the nation of China. As China has gotten more and more important, there were many who advocated to bring China closer into the Fund so they could assist nations throughout the world, but Congress refused to change the bylaws of the IMF to give China proportionate responsibility given its population and the strength of its economy. What did China do after we would not change the bylaws to allow them a proportionate place at the table? China established their own development bank completely separate from the IMF.

There are a number of U.N. treaties that we could profitably advance our interests on. The U.N. Convention on the Law of the Sea, if the United States had ratified that, we would have an additional diplomatic tool to challenge Chinese island building in the South China Sea.

The U.N. treaty on the rights of women and on the rights of those with disabilities are treaties that could, frankly, reflect American values and American principles because we are the leaders in the world in these areas, and yet we will not ratify these treaties.

The prospect of trade deals is much less popular in Congress than they were 15 years ago. Trade is going to happen, the question is whether the United States will play a leadership role.
role in writing the rules, and if we step back and don’t play a leadership role, some other nations will, but these are getting more and more complicated in this body.

Finally, something I feel very strongly about is our ability to impact the world with this strong diplomatic might when there are a lot of ambassadorial positions that are vacant. Especially in the last 6 or 7 years we have seen efforts to block or delay ambassadorial appointments that have left key posts in many nations around the world vacant.

It sends a message to other countries. When they look at us, as the United States, not putting an ambassador in place, they basically conclude that the United States may not think we are important, and that is a very bad signal to send to other nations, especially when many nations that are allies have been without ambassadors for a while.

I am hoping we can reembrace on this 70th anniversary the wisdom of Truman, who said: ‘The nation has to be vigorous and forceful and look toward diplomacy first.’

With respect to the arrows of war—I am on the Armed Services Committee, and just like President Truman, I prefer diplomacy. I think we should lead with diplomacy, but we have to be willing to use military force. I voted for military force twice during my 3 years in the Senate.

In 2013, in August, the President asked us to vote for military force against Syria to punish Bashar al-Assad for using chemical weapons against civilians. The only vote that was taken in either House was a vote in the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. I voted for it with a kind of foreboding and heavy heart because I knew there would be Virginians, some of whom I might know, who would be affected, but nevertheless I thought it was an important principle for America to stand for.

Since September of 2014, I have also been pushing to have Congress cast a vote to authorize the war against ISIL that has been going on for 15 months. There is a lot of critique in this body—and I have critique—about the way that war is being waged about strategic decisions that the President is undertaking with respect to the war, but I think the end of the day it is hard to just be a critic. Under article I of the Constitution, it is supposed to be Congress that authorizes war rather than a President just doing it on his own.

Earlier I mentioned how the Truman olive branches of diplomacy and arrows of war reinforce one another. Obviously, you can be a stronger negotiator at the table in advancing a diplomatic solution if people understand that you have significant military capacity and the willingness to use it in the appropriate way. The more we can do and the better we can do to empower or appropriate instance. The more we can do the willingness to use it in the appropriate way.

I believe the Presiding Officer and I were together last week when former Secretary Gates testified before the Armed Services Committee. It was one of the best bits of testimony I have seen in my time in the Senate. He had a word of caution for us. He said: ‘While I understand the need to assert that the challenges facing the United States internationally have never been more numerous or complex, the reality is that turbulent, unstable and unpredictable times have returned to challenge U.S. leaders regularly since World War II.’

We do live in a very complex and challenging world, where we see challenges that are known but also many unpredictable challenges. Other leaders of this country, since our first days, have lived in worlds that looked equally as challenging and confusing to them. We are true to our best traditions if the United States does what Truman so emblematically suggested we should do and we push in a vigorous and creative way all of the diplomatic tools at our disposal, and that involves diplomacy, but it also involves trade and humanitarian assistance. The United States is one of the most generous nations in the world.

The strength of our moral example is something that stands as so important. If you live in a nation where journalists are being put in jail, the U.S. freedom of the press stands as a moral example. If you live in a nation where people are prosecuted because of their sexual orientation, the United States stands as a great moral example. We are not exemplary in everything. We have room to improve in everything, but by many things. People around the world still look at us, and that is in fact a diplomatic area of importance. Let’s be exemplary and stand for the principles we expose.

Finally, I will say this. So many of the challenges we are facing now are challenges that at the end of the day are about diplomatic solutions. In the Armed Services or the Foreign Relations Committees, we are often talking about the vexing conundrum and humanitarian disaster in Syria, but at the end of the day we hear it has to be about a political solution to the civil war. There has to be a political solution to the conflict in Yemen. There has to be a political solution to the decades-long conflict between the Taliban and the Afghanistan Government. To find a political solution, you have to have strong diplomacy. Military action will not be enough to forge a political solution.

Ultimately, this was the message of what Harry Truman did 70 years ago. This strong wartime President, who made some of the toughest decisions that have ever been made by anybody in the Oval Office, recognized that America was a great nation because when push came to shove, we would prefer, push, and advocate for diplomacy first knowing that we would be militarily strong if we needed to be. It is my hope that in Congress will take a lesson from that anniversary and continue to pursue that same path.

With that, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Maine.

Ms. COLLINS. Madam President, what is the pending business?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. We are in a period of morning business.

Ms. COLLINS. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that I be permitted to speak for a few minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

CYBERSECURITY INFORMATION SHARING BILL

Ms. COLLINS. Madam President, I rise to speak in favor of the Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act of 2015, and I urge my colleagues to support this much needed legislation. Nearly 3 months ago, the Senate was unable to find a path forward to adopt this important bill. Let’s look at what has happened since the time that the Senate refused to proceed.

The fact is that our country has continued to endure a wave of damaging and expensive cyber attacks. These incidents include the first major hack of Apple’s popular App Store, the compromise of 15 million T-Mobile users due to a breach at Experian, and the exposure of data of up to 8,000 Army families due to improper procedures followed by the General Services Administration. For the Army families who were affected, this sensitive information included medical histories, Social Security numbers, and child day care details.

Today, I renew my support for this bill in light of the continuing state of cyber insecurity that affects information held in the public and private sectors.

Passing the Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act would make it easier for public and private sector entities to share cyber threat information and vulnerabilities in order to lessen the threat of trade secrets, intellectual property, and national security information, as well as the compromise of sensitive personal information. It would eliminate some of the legal and
economic barriers impeding voluntary two-way information sharing between private industry and government. It is a modest but essential first step to protect networks and their information.

This bill would not in any way compromise personal information. Its purpose is to help safeguard our personal information that breaches or cyber attack after cyber attack has proven to be vulnerable.

While this bill promotes appropriate information sharing between the government and the private sector—a good first step, as I have indicated—it unfortunately does little in its original form to harden the protection of Federal networks or to guard the critical infrastructure we rely upon every day. Thus, I have filed two amendments to further strengthen our Nation’s cyber security.

The first amendment is directed at improving the security of sensitive personal data that is stored on networks of Federal agencies. The security of Federal databases and networks has been evident for years. Inspectors general reports have warned of it. Yet, by and large, those calls for action have not been heeded by Federal agencies. Only the weak issues in our Federal agencies’ security systems are underscored by recent breaches and intrusions.

In June, more than 20 million—20 million—current, former, and retired Federal employees learned that personal data was stolen from the poorly secured databases of the Office of Personnel Management. Since that time, we have learned that the personal emails of the Director of the CIA have been hacked. We have learned from the State Department’s inspector general that the State Department is “among the worst agencies in the Federal Government at protecting its computer networks.” This standard performance of the Department of State continued even as an adversary nation breached the Department’s email system last year. According to the IG, compliance with Federal information security standards remains “substandard” at the State Department.

I know from my many years of service on the committee on homeland security, where we worked on cyber security issues for literally a decade, producing legislation in 2010 and 2011 that unfortunately was not approved by this body, that this problem is far from solved. It is growing and it is only growing worse. We ignore it at our peril.

This appalling performance in so many agencies and departments led to my introducing bipartisan legislation with my colleague from Virginia, Senator Warner, as well as Senator McCaskill, Senator Ayotte, and Senator Donnelly, to strengthen the security of the networks of Federal civilian agencies.

Our bill has five elements, but the most important provision would grant the Department of Homeland Security the authority to issue binding operational directives to Federal agencies to respond in the face of substantial breaches or to take action in the face of an imminent threat to a Federal network. Although the Secretary of Homeland Security is tasked with a very similar responsibility to protect critical infrastructure, he has far less authority to accomplish this responsibility than does the Director of the National Security Agency for the dot-mil networks. We can no longer ignore the damaging consequences of failing to address this.

Our amendment would fortify Federal computer networks from cyber threats in many ways. The key elements, I am pleased to say, in our bill were incorporated into an amendment that has been filed by Senator Carper, along with the chairman of the Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee, Senator Johnson, and Senator Warner, my chief sponsor of the bill we introduced, and, of course, myself.

Our amendment has been included in the managers’ substitute amendment, and I wish to thank Chairman Burr and Vice Chairman Feinstein for their willingness to include these much-needed provisions to boost the security of the networks at Federal civilian agencies.

Just think of the kind of data that civilian agencies have in the Federal Government. Whether we are talking about the network of the Department of State, the Medicare agency, the IRS, the VA or the Department of Defense, it is evident that millions of Americans—indeed, most Americans—have personal data, sensitive data, such as Social Security numbers, that are stored in these networks of Federal civilian agencies, and we have an obligation to protect as best we can that data.

I have also filed another amendment to the cyber bill, amendment No. 3263, which is aimed at improving the country’s most vital critical infrastructure from cyber attack. This bipartisan amendment was cosponsored by Senator Coats, Senator Warner, and Senator Hirono.

The livelihood and well-being of almost every American depend upon critical infrastructure that includes the electricity that powers our communities, the national air transportation system that moves passengers and cargo safely from one location to another, and the elements of the financial sector that ensure the $14 trillion of payments made every day are securely routed through the banking system. Those are just some examples of critical infrastructure. There are obviously many more.

Our amendment would have created a second tier of mandatory reporting to the government for the fewer than 65 entities identified by the Department of Homeland Security where damage caused by a single cyber attack would likely result in catastrophic harm in the form of more than $50 billion in economic damage, 2,500 fatalities or a severe degradation of our national security. In other words, only cyber attacks that could cause catastrophic results would fall under this reporting requirement.

For 99 percent of businesses, the voluntary information sharing framework established in the bill before us would be enough, and the decision on whether or not to share cyber threat information should rightfully be left up to them. A second tier of reporting is necessary, however, to ensure the critical infrastructure that is vital to the safety, health, and economic well-being of the American people.

Under our amendment, the owners and operators of the most critical infrastructure would report significant cyber attacks just as incidents of communicable disease outbreaks must be reported to public health authorities and to the Centers for Disease Control.

Think about the situations we have here. Does it make sense that we require one case of measles to be reported to a Federal Government agency but not a cyber attack that could result in the death of more than 2,500 people? How does that make sense?

The threats to our critical infrastructure are not hypothetical. They are already occurring and increasing in frequency and severity. At a recent Armed Services Committee hearing on cyber security, Senator Donnelly asked the Director of National Intelligence, Jim Clapper, what the No. 1 cyber challenge was that he was most concerned about. Director Clapper testified that, obviously, it was a large-scale cyber attack against the United States infrastructure.

In light of this No. 1 threat, how protected is our country? Well, I have posed that very question to the Director of the NSA, Admiral Mike Rogers. His answer, on a scale of 1 to 10, was that we are at about a 5 or 6. That is a failing grade when it comes to protecting critical infrastructure, no matter what curve we are grading on. Director Clapper acknowledged it. He acknowledged that this is a problem that deserves our attention.

This new amendment, which is section 407 of the managers’ amendment, requires the DHS Secretary to conduct an assessment of the fewer than 65 critical infrastructure sectors that are at greatest risk and develop a strategy to mitigate the risks of a catastrophic cyber attack. Let me stress two things. We are only talking about fewer than 65 entities that have already been designated by the Department of Homeland Security as critical infrastructure where a catastrophic cyber attack would cause terrible consequences.
Second, let me again describe what we mean by a catastrophic attack. It means a single cyber attack that would likely result in $50 billion in economic damage, 2,500 Americans dying or a severe degradation of our national security. We are talking about significant consequences that would be catastrophic for this country—consequences we cannot and should not ignore.

There are plenty of cyber threats that cannot be discussed in public because they are classified—I know that as a member of the Senate Intelligence Committee—but in light of the cyber threat to critical infrastructure described by Admiral Rogers and Director of National Intelligence Clapper in open testimony before the Congress, the bare minimum we ought to do is to ask to require DHS and the appropriate Federal agencies to describe to us what more could be done to prevent a catastrophic cyber attack on our critical infrastructure.

One or two years from now, I don’t want us to be standing here after a cyber 9/11 chastising ourselves, saying: Why didn’t we do more to confront an obvious and serious threat to our critical infrastructure?

By including these two provisions in the managers’ substitute amendment, we are strengthening the protections for Federal civilian agencies and beginning—not going nearly as far as I would like—but beginning the vital task of protecting our critical infrastructure. We will be strengthening the cyber defenses of our Nation.

I urge my colleagues to support the managers’ substitute amendment, and the underlying bill. By passing this long-overdue legislation, we will begin the long-overdue work of securing our economic and national security and our personal information for generations to come.

Thank you, Madam President.

I yield the floor.

Madam President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. NELSON. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TAKATA AIRBAG RECALL

Mr. NELSON. Madam President, I rise today to speak about the Takata airbag recall and the continued need for urgency in this area.

Last week the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration announced that they currently had—this figure will blow your mind—19 million vehicles and 23 million airbags under recall. So far, the completion rates for this recall are very good. There is a national completion rate of some 22 percent, and for States such as Florida where there is high heat and humidity—that is suspected as part of the reason the components break down—the completion rate is just under 30 percent, meaning that people are not taking their cars in to fix the problem that caused the recall in the first place.

Takata started running ads through the print media and social media, and Honda is running ads to get consumers to a dealer to replace their defective airbags. I am also aware that to boost replacement inflators, three other airbag manufacturers are helping to manufacture them.

So this Senator wants to take this opportunity to state that wherever this message can be delivered to consumers, you better take your car if it is under recall and get it in to the dealer in order to get a replacement airbag; otherwise, you are walking around with, in effect, a grenade in the middle of your steering wheel or dashboard.

Madam President, I ask unanimous consent to show a number of items in the Senate to illustrate what I am talking about with the airbags.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. NELSON. Members of the Senate, this is a deflated airbag that has already exploded. If you can see, this part is the center of the steering wheel. In this case, this happens to be a Toyota; here is the letter “h.” This bag would be in front of you in the steering wheel. When you have an accident, if it is of sufficient impact, it is going to cause the airbag to inflate. This is designed as a lifesaver. This explosive device inside the airbag, and the gas compound in there is ammonium nitrate. If it is defective, when the explosion occurs, the hot gases that are released from the compound come out through these little holes around the side, and that inflates the airbag. But what has happened and has caused the last to be recalled is that the hot gases are exploding in this device with such force that it is causing the metal to break and come out in the inflated bag with such force, tearing through the bag, as this particular bag shows—it has a big hole in it. Here is the hole where the metal came out. It is like a grenade exploding in front of you, in your steering wheel, with shrapnel going into the people who are driving or who are in the passenger seat, the airbag airbag.

We are finding out now that a few months ago there was the explosion of side airbags in some of the cars, in the doors. Lo and behold, that is throwing out shrapnel as well.

I want to show the Senate what it is like when these inflators explode. This is an inflator that was inside the device I just showed you. This photograph is a blowup by the Battelle Institute for the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. This is a blowup photograph of the inflator starting to inflate. What it is supposed to do is shoot the gases out here, which inflates the bag I showed you, but look what has happened. It is being ruptured in the side, throwing out metal. This is what it looks like under very fast photography. Metal fragments are coming out when it should have just gas coming out to inflate the bag. This is what one of those pieces of metal looks like. It is a shard of metal that is part of the inflator. Can you imagine that hitting you in the neck? Well, that is what happened to one of my citizens in Florida, in the Orlando area. She ran into a fender bender in an accident and then went to a tote truck to behold, when the police got there, they found her slumped over the wheel, and they thought it was a homicide because her neck was slashed. They found out that what happened was a piece of metal like this had lacerated her neck and cut her jugular vein.

Another one of my constituents, a fireman—a big, hulking guy, the kind who will pick you up, if you are disabled and in a house that is burning and carry you to safety if you are able and in a house that is burning and carry you to safety if you are disabled and in a house that is burning and carry you to safety if you are disabled and in a house that is burning and carry you to safety. His wife—a big, beautiful woman, the kind who will pick you up, if you are disabled and in a house that is burning and carry you to safety if you are disabled and in a house that is burning and carry you to safety. Well, he won’t be a fireman anymore because one of those metal fragments hit him in the eye and he is blind in one eye.

Those are just two incidents of scores across the country, of which there have been a handful of deaths.

If a jagged piece of metal can cause severe injury because it is coming at you at high speed, don’t you think that if you have one of these vehicles that are under recall, you had better get it to the dealer to have it replaced?

Check to see if your car is under recall because sometimes people don’t get it in the mail or they don’t open the mail. Go to www.safercar.gov and put in your car’s vehicle identification number—the VIN number—and then you will see if your car is on a recall list.

Those that are on the recall list that I mentioned earlier unfortunately may not be told as last to be recalled. The New York Times just reported that a study commissioned by Takata with Penn State University shows larger issues with the use of ammonium nitrate in the airbag inflators. In addition, there was another incident just this past June where a Takata side airbag ruptured in a relatively new 2015 Volkswagen. And just a week ago, General Motors recalled vehicles that also had defective Takata side airbags. It raises the question, are any of the Takata inflators safe?

Last week Senator THUNÉ and I sent a letter to Takata asking for additional documents and information regarding these side airbags. We also asked more questions about the use of ammonium nitrate. Also, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration announced that it may expand its recall to all the model year vehicles with Takata airbags.

NHTSA must use all of its tools under the law to maximize consumer protection. These potential hand grenades, stored in the steering wheel or dashboard, must get off the road. The
American driving public cannot afford any more wasted time.

Don’t we think these corporations that are causing this outrageous situation that has killed seven people in the United States and severely injured dozens more—ought to be held accountable? If executives at Takata knew about their defective products, if they knew that and did nothing, or worse, if they covered it up, then they ought to go to jail. Not another fine, not another settlement, something more—don’t we think that these folks ought to be held accountable? Lying about a danger of this magnitude is a criminal act.

We have a crisis of consumer confidence in the vehicle-safety area. Certainly that has been demonstrated with these Takata airbags.

What about General Motors’ misrepresentation of information, lack of information, and outright deception about the defective ignition switches? And now what about Volkswagen’s deliberate efforts to lie about, and cover up, emissions from its diesel vehicles?

A few weeks ago I sent a letter to Chairwoman Edith Ramirez of the Federal Trade Commission, asking them to crack down on Volkswagen’s unfair and deceptive practices in connection with its “clean diesel” vehicle claims, and today I received a response. The Chairwoman of that Commission told me they are investigating the claims against Volkswagen, along with the Department of Justice and the Environmental Protection Agency. In her response she said: “No reasonable consumer would knowingly purchase a vehicle that he or she could not legally drive.” I agree.

Don’t we all agree? So it is time to get tough and to hold these folks and these corporations accountable.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. TESTER. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

CYBERSECURITY INFORMATION SHARING BILL

Mr. TESTER. Madam President, today I rise as a staunch supporter of every American’s right to privacy. I rise because, like many Montanans, I have grave concerns about whether our personal information gets handed over to the government.

As the Senate debates the Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act, I start by acknowledging the inherent conflict between the right to privacy and national security. Some folks want to pretend this conflict doesn’t exist, but it does. Ask yourself this: How do we stop cyber terrorists from crashing our networks, stealing our personal information, and throwing our entire economy into a tailspin—an economy that is dependent on technology? How do we do this without violating your right to privacy and mine? How do we do this without giving the Federal Government unbridled authority to share the personal information of law-abiding citizens?

These are tough questions that require thoughtful answers, and I do believe we can strike a balance that protects our right to privacy and protects our Nation from threats. That is why I want to offer my support for a couple of amendments sponsored by colleagues from both sides of the aisle.

The first amendment, from Senators Flake and Franken, provides the necessary 6-year sunset for this legislation. That means that in 6 years Congress would be forced to have another conversation about how we ensure every American’s right to privacy while also ensuring our national security. These conversations are incredibly important, and we should revisit them often. We should revisit them because what we know about government unchecked is dangerous. In a world where technology changes faster than our laws, we cannot and must not give corporations and the Federal Government unbridled authority for generations to come.

We already know that several Federal agencies have engaged in invasive surveillance of law-abiding Americans. They have utilized intrusive monitoring techniques—tracking our phone calls, listening to our conversations, gathering storehouses of personal information. They have done this in the name of the PATRIOT Act, one of the worst pieces of legislation ever to come out of this body. It took a long time for those amendments to the Act that control such operations to come about. What we know now is that certain operations were far bigger in scope than what they had led Congress or the American public to believe.

The best thing we can do to try to prevent a repeat of those mistakes is to pass the amendment offered by my good friend Senator Wyden. This amendment would improve cyber security and better protect privacy by reducing the amount of unnecessary personal information that would be shared about a possible cyber security threat. It seems like common sense to me, and I certainly appreciate Senator Wyden championing this issue.

As Members of Congress we all took an oath to the people of this Nation to protect them from enemies both foreign and domestic, and we should not give up our ability to check and balance this administration or for that matter the one that follows. That is why the Flake-Franken amendment and the Wyden amendment are so critical, and I urge my colleagues to support them when they come to the floor.

With that, Madam President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. INHOFE. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TRANSPORTATION REAUTHORIZATION BILL

Mr. INHOFE. Madam President, I came back today and had really good news over the weekend. I think a lot of people have gotten together on both sides, in the House and the Senate, to do what we are supposed to be doing.

I often refer to that old instrument called the Constitution, which says that our two major things we are supposed to be doing here: One is defending America, and the other is building roads and bridges. That is what we are supposed to be doing.

The Presiding Officer has heard me say before that no one has priority as chairman of the Environment and Public Works Committee is, and continues to be, passing a long-term highway reauthorization bill. The last one we passed was in 2005. I was proud to be the author of it at that time, and it expired in 2009. Since that time, we have not had anything except short-term extensions. I have to remind my conservative friends, because I am a conservative, that the conservative position is to have a long-term reauthorization bill, because the short-term costs about 30 percent off the top. As a result, the industry stakeholders and local government leaders have lost faith in Congress’s ability to provide funding certainty to maintain and improve our surface transportation and infrastructure. Ranking Member Barbara Boxer and I have been fighting for a long period of time to change this and reverse the trend of wasteful short-term patches.

On June 24, our committee—and this is very unusual for this to happen. Our committee unanimously voted to advance to the Senate the DRIVE Act, which is a 6-year reauthorization bill. In July, the Senate gave strong bipartisan support by a 90-to-1 margin. Again, this is not something that normally happens with a major piece of legislation. It also included contributions from the Senate Commerce Committee and the Senate Banking Committee, so it is not just the Environment and Public Works Committee. Other committees have parts of this legislation also.

The Senate worked hard across party lines to put forward a solution for our Nation’s roads and bridges. We ended the authorization of it at that time, yet another short-term patch in order to give more time for the House to join our efforts. Unfortunately, we are now 3 days away
from facing another cliff and the two Chambers have not yet been able to conference a long-term transportation solution. I just talked to Chairman SHUSTER of the House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee. They mark up a transportation authorization bill just this week Thursday. I am proud to see that both Chambers are on similar pages.

Both bills recognize the need for a national freight program. We approach it just differently, but there is nothing that can’t be reconciled in a matter of minutes. Further, environmental streamlining is absolutely necessary. Both bills are doing that. We place a new focus on innovation which provides States with flexibility, as in my State of Oklahoma. When we give flexibility to the States, we get a lot more done. This idea that no good and necessary. Both bills are doing that. We place a new focus on innovation which provides States with flexibility, as in my State of Oklahoma. When we give flexibility to the States, we get a lot more done. This idea that no good
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we got dangerously close to December 31. It was scary. Here we are, in the middle of a bunch of wars, and all of a sudden we would have DOD enlistment bonuses, hazard pay, and things that would expire. Nothing would be worse than to have our kids in combat facing that.

We are addressing these deadlines that will require Congress’s undivided attention. Some of the solutions for these bills could result, I fear, in Members attempting to siphon off the payoffs of the DRIVE Act. That is why this is important.

The second significant hurdle we face is that later this year the highway trust fund will drop to a dangerously low level, as DOT Secretary Foxx has warned. At that point, agencies at the Federal and State level will begin to implement cash management procedures that significantly affect the States’ construction seasons. In the majority of the United States, we would lose these seasons. States such as Iowa and in Northern States. Mark my words: A failure by Congress to enact a long-term bill by Thanksgiving will result in a loss of the 2016 construction season. Congress is going to return to its current pattern of short-term extensions. Again, short-term extensions syphon about 30 percent off the top. It is a terrible outcome that should be avoided at all costs. We have the opportunity to do it now. By making industry and States continue to hold their breath and budgets, we rob taxpayers of cost-efficient project planning and continue to stall on launching major economy-boosting projects.

Look at my State of Oklahoma, which lost $63 million in construction dollars over the last few years as a direct result of inefficiency and contracting uncertainty that comes from short-term extensions. I have used that figure 30 percent of the top. It is a terrible outcome that should be avoided at all costs. We have the opportunity to do it now. By making industry and States continue to hold their breath and budgets, we rob taxpayers of cost-efficient project planning and continue to stall on launching major economy-boosting projects.

With a fully funded long-term reauthorization, Oklahoma would actually see a savings of $122 million and millions more in efficiency savings from long-term commitments and early completion savings from contracts. This is something a lot of people don’t realize. The streamline you get—many of the NEPA requirements and the environmental requirements can be offset if you are able to get to a long-term bill. But you can’t do it, you can’t start any large projects—not any of these big projects—the bridge projects and others you can’t do on short-term extensions. We haven’t had an authorization bill since 2005, and I believe it is time for Congress to fulfill its constitutional duty to fund our roads and our bridges.

As I said earlier, I am confident that the Senate and House will work together to get this bill to the President’s desk within the next few weeks. That is my wish for my counterpart on the House side, Chairman SHUSTER—the best of luck in moving forward. He is the committee chairman for infrastructure. He is going to get this done. He kept his word in getting the job done last Thursday, and now he is going to be able to get this bill up so that we can conference it together. I anticipate we can do a conference in a matter of a few hours. It wouldn’t take the normal time.

That is good news. It is good news to come back on a Monday and find that we are going to do what the Constitution says we ought to be doing, and that is roads and bridges.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

NOMINATION OF LAWRENCE VILARDO

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, I rise to take a moment to congratulate the soon-to-be confirmed district judge for the Western District of New York, Larry Vilardo. He is from the Western District. It could not come too soon, because the Western District has been working without a single sitting Federal judge. That will finally change once Mr. Vilardo has been confirmed. He will now begin to hear cases and tackle the backlog that has been steadily building in the Western District. There are few more qualified to help take on this task than Larry Vilardo. That is because Mr. Vilardo is a classic Buffalonian—hard working, salt of the earth, honest, and grounded. He went to Canisius College and then took a brief detour out of Western New York to attend Harvard Law School and clerk on the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals in Texas before returning home and becoming one of Buffalo’s leading legal lights, practicing at a firm he co-founded.

Buffalo is where he was born, raised, and educated, and where he chose to raise his family. Buffalo is in his bones. They love him in Buffalo. When this vacancy occurred, I heard the voices in Buffalo chanting: Vilardo, Vilardo—Larry Vilardo—not just the legal community but just about the whole community. Like so many other people from the region, the city has made him tough, level-headed, fair, and decent.

As the first in his family to graduate from college, he adds an important element to the socioeconomic diversity of the court. The people of the Western District are incredibly lucky to have
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As for my part, I agree that sharing information about cyber security threats is generally a constructive idea. If private companies identify samples of malicious code or information that identifies foreign hackers, I would personally encourage them to share that information. However, I think companies should also take reasonable steps—and I underline “reasonable steps”—to remove unrelated personal information about their customers before sharing that data with the government. It is important to understand that this legislation simply does not require companies to do that, and Senators can see for themselves, on page 17 of the bill, companies are allowed to conduct only a cursory review of the information they provide and would only be required to remove data that they know is personal information unrelated to cyber security. When it comes to customers’ personal information, the message behind this bill is, when in doubt, hand it over. Once that data is shared—and this is not widely known—the Department of Homeland Security would be required to send it on to a broad range of government agencies, from the NSA to the FBI. The amendment I have offered to the legislation we will vote on tomorrow would give companies a real responsibility for safeguarding their customers’ information. In order for a company to receive liability protection before a company shares data with the government, it has to make efforts to the extent feasible to remove any personal information that is not necessary to identify or describe a cyber security threat. In my view, that would give this legislation a straightforward standard that could give consumers real confidence that their privacy is actually being protected.

Let me give an example of how this might work in practice. Imagine that a health insurance company finds out that millions of its customers’ records have been stolen. If that company has any evidence about who the hackers were or how they stole this information, of course it makes sense to share that information with the government. But the company shouldn’t simply say “Well, here you go” and hand millions of its customers’ financial and medical records over for distribution to a broad array of government agencies, such as the FBI and the NSA. The records of the victims of a hack should not be treated the same way information about cyber security threats or personal information. The records should be handled by law enforcement agencies, from the NSA to the FBI. The amendment I have offered to the legislation we will vote on tomorrow would give companies a real responsibility for safeguarding their customers’ information. In order for a company to receive liability protection before a company shares data with the government, it has to make efforts to the extent feasible to remove any personal information that is not necessary to identify or describe a cyber security threat. In my view, that would give this legislation a straightforward standard that could give consumers real confidence that their privacy is actually being protected.
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guess it is another one of those surveillance kind of bills.

We don’t want that here. We want bills that are bipartisan, that deal with very real threats—and certainly cyber security is one of them—but we also want the rights and interests of all people are protected. With these amendments, we do that by ensuring that we have more than a cursory approach to filtering out unrelated and personal information.

So it is my judgment that each of these amendments would be significant improvements to the bill, and I hope my colleagues will support all of them, as well as an amendment by our colleague from Vermont Senator Leahy that would remove an unnecessary modification of the Freedom of Information Act.

Let me close by saying it is not just Senators—and I have listed both Democrats and Republicans in this body who have raised concerns about this bill’s inadequate privacy protection; privacy advocacy groups from the American Library Association to the Oregon Technology Institute have come out against the bill. America’s leading technology companies that have to have expertise in both cyber security and protecting the data of their customers—have opposed it as well. Companies such as Apple, Dropbox, Twitter, Salesforce, Reddit, we all have said that they oppose the legislation because it does not include adequate privacy protections. The trade association that represents Google and Amazon, Facebook, Microsoft, Yahoo!, Netflix, eBay, and PayPal said: “CISA’s prescribed mechanism for sharing of cyber threat information does not sufficiently protect users’ privacy.”

Now, reflect if we might for a minute on what that means. These are America’s leading technology companies. They advantage America because they are the envy of the world for their innovation and their way of serving customers and businesses not just in this country but around the world. These companies have millions and millions of customers and have spoken out publicly against the bill, in its current form, before these amendments are considered. They sure know a lot about the importance of protecting both cyber security and individual privacy. The reading—what they oppose the legislation because it does not include adequate privacy protections. The trade association that represents Google and Amazon, Facebook, Microsoft, Yahoo!, Netflix, eBay, and PayPal said: “CISA’s prescribed mechanism for sharing of cyber threat information does not sufficiently protect users’ privacy.”

Next week marks the 11th month of the Bush Presidency, and during that time, only nine judicial nominees have been confirmed. When Senate Democrats were in the majority during the last 2 years of the Bush Presidency, we had already confirmed 34 judges by this same time. The glacial pace at which Republicans are currently confirming judicial nominees is an inexcusable failure to carry out the Senate’s constitutional duty of providing advice and consent. It also has real and dire consequences for hard-working Americans who seek justice but instead encounter lengthy delays in the Federal court system due to empty courthouses and overburdened courts. We can and should take action right now to alleviate this problem by holding confirmations votes on the rest of the 13 judicial nominees pending on the floor. A number of these pending nominees have the support of their Republican home State Senators; yet they continue to languish on the calendar without a vote.

In conclusion, I hope colleagues will listen to what these technology groups and companies have said, and I hope my colleagues will support the amendments that I and others both Democrats and Republicans, will be offering tomorrow. Let’s work together to produce a bill that does a better job of dealing with both real cyber threats and the liberties of the American people.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. McCAIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, is it agreed?

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, today, we will vote on the nomination of Lawrence Vilardo to be a Federal district judge in the Western District of New York in Buffalo. He was first nominated in February and was voted out of the Judiciary Committee by unanimous voice vote over 5 months ago on May 6. There is no reason why this highly qualified nominee should have waited so long for a vote. Despite having one of the busiest caseloads in the country, with more criminal cases than Washington, DC, Boston, or Cleveland, there is not a single active Federal judge in that district. The court has been staying afloat only through the voluntary efforts of two judges on senior status who are hearing cases in their retirement. It is about time that we confirmed Mr. Vilardo to this vacancy.

Next week marks the 11th month of the Bush Presidency, and during that time, only nine judicial nominees have been confirmed. When Senate Democrats were in the majority during the last 2 years of the Bush Presidency, we had already confirmed 34 judges by this same time. The glacial pace at which Republicans are currently confirming judicial nominees is an inexcusable failure to carry out the Senate’s constitutional duty of providing advice and consent. It also has real and dire consequences for hard-working Americans who seek justice but instead encounter lengthy delays in the Federal court system due to empty courthouses and overburdened courts. We can and should take action right now to alleviate this problem by holding confirmation votes on the rest of the 13 judicial nominees pending on the floor. A number of these pending nominees have the support of their Republican home State Senators; yet they continue to languish on the calendar without a vote.

If Republicans continue to delay and if home State Senators cannot persuade the majority leader to schedule a vote for their nominees soon, then it is unlikely that even highly qualified nominees with Republican support will be confirmed by the end of the year. These are nominees that members of the majority leader’s own party want confirmed, including those from Tennessee and Pennsylvania. And last week, we had a hearing for two Iowa nominees, who I expect to be reported out of the Judiciary Committee soon as well. None of these nominees are likely to be confirmed by the end of the year if Senate Republicans continue at this historically slow pace.

No Senator has raised any objections to the judicial nominees pending on the floor. Every single one was reported out of the Judiciary Committee by unanimous voice vote. Each has the backing of their home State Senators, including Republican Senators. These nominees are outstanding, accomplished legal professionals who are being treated like second-class citizens. They have devoted time away from work and their families to go through the rigorous nominations process. More than half of the pending Federal district and circuit court nominees would fill vacancies deemed to be “judicial emergencies” by the nonpartisan Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts. Instead of working to ensure that all Americans have access to our Federal courts, Senate Republicans continue to obstruct President Obama’s judicial nominees in a misguided effort to score political points against the President.

The number of empty judgeships has increased by more than 50 percent since Senate Republicans took the majority in the Senate and Democrats are now the minority in the Senate. Of the 465 total judgeships that exist, 49 of them—or more than 70 percent—are in States with at least one Republican Senator.

One of those vacancies is an emergency vacancy on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit in Pennsylvania. Judge Luis Felipe Restrepo is nominated to fill the vacancy, and he has strong bipartisan support from his home State Senators, Senator Toomey of Pennsylvania and Senator Murphy of New Jersey. At the hearing of Judge Restrepo’s hearing, Senator Toomey stated that “there is no question [Judge Restrepo] is a very well qualified candidate to serve on the Third Circuit” and underscored the fact that the President nominates Judge Restrepo. Once confirmed, Judge Restrepo will be the first Hispanic judge from Pennsylvania to ever serve on this court and only the second Hispanic judge to serve on the Third Circuit.

There is absolutely no reason to delay a vote on Judge Restrepo’s confirmation; yet his nomination has been...
pending on the floor for over 3 months. Since he was first nominated, Judge Restrepo’s nomination has been pending for a staggering 348 days. The national president for the Hispanic National Bar Association, which strongly supports Judge Restrepo’s nomination, wrote to the Huffington Post about the inexcusable delay in his confirmation. I ask unanimous consent that a copy of this article be printed in the RECORD at the conclusion of my remarks.

Contrast Senate Republican’s treatment of Judge Restrepo with President Bush’s nominee to the third circuit, Judge Thomas Hardiman, who was nominated in the last 2 years of the Bush Presidency. Judge Hardiman was confirmed in nearly half the time Judge Restrepo has been waiting, taking only 138 days from nomination to his confirmation. Furthermore, it took only 7 days for Judge Hardiman to receive a confirmation vote once he was reported out of the Senate Judiciary Committee. Judge Restrepo has been pending on the floor for 109 days—15 times longer than Judge Hardiman. I hope the Republican Senator from Pennsylvania will implore his leadership to bring this highly qualified nominee up for a vote without further delay. Let us then turn to votes on the rest of the 12 pending judicial nominees without further delay.

Shortly we will begin voting on Lawrence Vilardo to fill a judicial vacancy in the District Court for the Western District of New York. Since 1986, he has practiced as a named partner at the law firm of Connors & Vilardo, L.L.P., in Buffalo, NY. He previously practiced at Damon & Morey, in Buffalo, NY, from 1981 to 1986. The ABA standing committee on the Federal Judiciary unanimously nominated Mr. Vilardo “well qualified” to serve on the U.S. District Court for the Western District of New York, its highest rating. He has the support of his two home State Senators, the Majority Leader Senator GILLIBRAND. He was voted out of the Judiciary Committee by unanimous voice vote on May 6, 2015. I will vote to support his nomination.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

[From the Huffington Post, Oct. 21, 2015]

The CURRENT SENATE GRIDLOCK IS HURTING THE DIVERSITY OF OUR JUSTICE SYSTEM
(By Robert T. Maldonado)

Born in Medellin, Colombia and raised in the United States, Judge L. Felipe Restrepo’s life reads like a textbook case of the American Dream. With a bachelor’s from the University of Pennsylvania and a law degree from Tulane, he set off on a successful career in criminal defense and civil rights litigation, eventually serving as a magistrate judge for 7 years. But the Restrepo family’s story of immigrant success seems to be on hold for the moment. That’s because he’s been waiting since November 2014, when President Barack Obama nominated him to serve on the Third Circuit Court of Appeals, to be confirmed as an appeals court judge.

After a thorough due diligence process, the Hispanic National Bar Association (HNBA) endorsed Judge Restrepo in March 2015, but we didn’t stop there. When we saw the lack of progress on his nomination, the HNBA successfully pushed for the Senate Judiciary Committee to hold his nomination hearing, and continues to push for a confirmation vote on the Senate floor.

Unfortunately, Judge Restrepo’s predicament isn’t unique. Two other HNBA-endorsed judicial candidates are stuck in the political gridlock. Of a total of 30 judicial nominees (two-thirds of them women or minorities) await Senate confirmation with little idea of when that will happen. According to the Judicial Nominees Evaluation Committee, the Senate has confirmed only 8 judges in 2015, the slowest pace in over 60 years. Almost half of the vacancies on the federal bench have been declared “judicial emergencies,” where the remaining judges are overworked trying to make a dent into the backlog of cases, sometimes in excess of 600 filings per judge. The backlogs are having a real effect on the people and businesses seeking recourse through the court system. As one California district court judge put it:

“Over the years I’ve received several letters from people indicating, ‘Even if I win this case, I have had my business fail because of the delay. How is this justice?’ And the simple answer, which I cannot give them, is this: It is not justice. We know it.”

Our state of justice is crumbling and so is our economy. The states where the backlogs and vacancies are the worst (including Texas, New York, and Florida) happen to be where large Latino communities reside. Given that President Obama has nominated more female and minority candidates to the federal bench than any other President, the judicial confirmation process is also a delay in increased diversity, and thus the quality of justice, in our nation’s court systems.

This manufactured crisis is the doing of Senate leaders who prefer to score political points rather than fulfill their constitutional obligations. Those same political leaders need to know that by dragging their feet on these nominations they are not only hurting the nominees but also the integrity and diversity of our courts. Nominations like Judge Restrepo have entire communities backing them in their professional journeys, and come election time, they won’t hesitate to let their leaders know what they think.

For their sake and the sake of our justice system, let’s end this judicial vacancy crisis.

Mr. MCCAIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that all time be yielded back.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the Vilardo nomination?

Mr. MCCAIN. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. CORNYN. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Missouri (Mr. BLUMENTHAL), the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. COBB), the Senator from Georgia (Mr. COX), the Senator from Idaho (Mr. CRAPO), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CRUZ), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. GRAHAM), the Senator from Kentucky (Mr. PAUL), the Senator from Florida (Mr. RUBIO), the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. TOOMEY), and the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. VITTER).

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. MARK), the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS) are necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mrs. ERNST). Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 88, nays 0, as follows:

(Rollcall Vote No. 284 Ex.)

YEAS—88

Alexander  Franken  Murray
Ayotte  Gardner  Nelson
Balz  Glenn  Perdue
Barrasso  Grassley  Peters
Bennet  Hatch  Portman
Binzema  Heinrich  Reed
Boozman  Heller  Reid
Boxer  Biron  Risch
Brown  Hoeven  Roberts
Burr  Inhofe  Rounds
Cantwell  Isakson  Sasse
Capito  Johnson  Schatz
Cardin  King  Schuener
Cassidy  Kaine  Scott
Casey  King  Sessions
Conrad  Landeford  Shaheen
Cochran  Leahy  Stabenow
Collins  Lee  Sullivan
Coombs  Manchin  Tester
Cornyn  McCain  Tnue
Daines  McCaskill  Tillis
Donnelly  McConnell  Udall
Durbin  Menendez  Warner
Eisen  Merkley  Warren
Enzi  Mikulski  Whitehouse
Feinstein  Moran  Wicker
Fischer  Murkowski  Wyden

NOT VOTING—12

Bingaman  Crum  Rubio
Corker  Graham  Sanders
Cotton  Harper  Toomey
Crapo  Cardin  Vitter

The nomination was confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid upon the table and the President will be immediately notified of the Senate’s action.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will re-convene legislative session.

MORNING BUSINESS

TRIBUTE TO LYNEE MOORE HEALY

Mr. BLUMENTHAL. Madam President, I would like to pay tribute to one of my constituents, who has recently retired from her position as a board of trustees distinguished professor at the University of Connecticut School of Social Work. Dr. Healy has served as a professor for over 30 exemplary years, preparing new generations of social workers for service in an increasingly diverse and global world.

Professor Lynne Healy has been an outstanding pioneer in the field of...
international social work, making significant contributions with her publications and work in the classroom. Dr. Healy was instrumental in establishing the University’s Center for International Social Work studies over 20 years ago. The center helps students develop a global perspective on human rights, human needs, social policy, and social work practice. These efforts have had a role in the overall establishment of this department as a nationally recognized faculty of expertise.

We should all aspire to build such a prolific and inspirational legacy as Professor Lynne Healy. My wife, Cynthia, and I are honored to celebrate Dr. Healy’s achievements, and we wish her all the best as she begins the next chapter of her life. I know that many across the State of Connecticut will join me in congratulating her on this laudable occasion.●

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE RECEIVED DURING ADJOURNMENT

ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

Under the authority of the order of the Senate of January 6, 2015, the Secretary of the Senate, on October 22, 2015, during the adjournment of the Senate, received a message from the House of Representatives announcing that the Speaker has signed the following enrolled bills:

S. 1362. An act to amend title XI of the Social Security Act to clarify waiver authority regarding programs of all-inclusive care for the elderly (PACE programs).

S. 2162. An act to establish a 10-year term for the service of the Librarian of Congress.

H.R. 322. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 11662 Gravois Road in St. Louis, Missouri, as the "Staff Sergeant Robert H. Dietz Post Office Building".

H.R. 323. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 11662 Gravois Road in St. Louis, Missouri, as the "Lt. Daniel P. Riordan Post Office".

H.R. 324. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 55 South Pioneer Boulevard in Springboro, Ohio, as the "Richard ‘Dick’ Chenault Post Office Building".

H.R. 1442. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 90 Cornell Street in Kingston, New York, as the "Staff Sergeant Robert H. Dietz Post Office Building".

H.R. 1884. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 206 West Commercial Street in East Rochester, New York, as the "Officer Daryl R. Pierson Memorial Post Office Building".

H.R. 3095. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 4500 SE 28th Street, Del City, Oklahoma, as the "James Robert Kaisu Post Office Building".

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

At 3:02 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mr. Novotny, one of its reading clerks, announced that the Speaker has signed the following enrolled bill:

H.R. 774. An act to strengthen enforcement mechanisms to stop illegal, unreported, and unregulated fishing, to amend the Tuna Conservation and Management Act to implement the Antigua Convention, and for other purposes.

The enrolled bill was subsequently signed by the President pro tempore (Mr. HATCH).

At 3:05 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mrs. Cole, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bill, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 3937. An act to require the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture to more efficiently develop domestic sources of the minerals and mineral materials of strategic and critical importance to the United States economic and national security and manufacturing competitiveness.

ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED

The President pro tempore (Mr. HATCH) announced that on today, October 26, 2015, he has signed the following enrolled bills, previously signed by the Speaker of the House:

S. 1362. An act to amend title XI of the Social Security Act to clarify waiver authority regarding programs of all-inclusive care for the elderly (PACE programs).

S. 2162. An act to establish a 10-year term for the service of the Librarian of Congress.

H.R. 322. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 11610 Swingley Ridge Road in Chesterfield, Missouri, as the "Sgt. Zachary M. Fisher Post Office".

H.R. 323. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 11662 Gravois Road in St. Louis, Missouri, as the "Sgt. Amanda N. Pinson Post Office".

H.R. 324. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 11662 Gravois Road in St. Louis, Missouri, as the "Lt. Daniel P. Riordan Post Office".

H.R. 1442. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 206 West Commercial Street in East Rochester, New York, as the "Officer Daryl R. Pierson Memorial Post Office Building".

H.R. 3095. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 4500 SE 28th Street, Del City, Oklahoma, as the "James Robert Kaisu Post Office Building".

MEASURES PLACED ON THE CALENDAR

The following bill was read the second time, and placed on the calendar:

S. 2200. A bill to amend the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 to strengthen equal pay requirements.

EXECUTIVE AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

The following communications were laid before the Senate, together with accompanying papers, reports, and documents, and were referred as indicated:

EC–3275. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Pesticide Tolerances (FRL No. 9935-34) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 16, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–3276. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Pesticide Tolerances (FRL No. 9933-73) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 16, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–3277. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Pesticide Tolerances (FRL No. 9935-34) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 16, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–3278. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Pesticide Tolerances (FRL No. 9933-73) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 16, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–3279. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Pesticide Tolerances (FRL No. 9935-34) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 16, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–3280. A communication from the Acting Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness), transmitting the report of an officer authorized to wear the insignia of the grade of rear admiral (lower half) in accordance with title 10, United States Code, section 777; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC–3281. A communication from the Acting Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness), transmitting the report of an officer authorized to wear the insignia of the grade of rear admiral (lower half) in accordance with title 10, United States Code, section 777; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC–3282. A communication from the Acting Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness), transmitting the report of an officer authorized to wear the insignia of the grade of rear admiral (lower half) in accordance with title 10, United States Code, section 777; to the Committee on Armed Services.
EC–3282. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report on the continuation of the national emergency with respect to narcotic traffickers centered in Colombia that constitutes a national emergency with respect to narcotics traffickers, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Migratory Bird Hunting; Final Frameworks for Late-Season Migratory Bird Hunting Regulations” (RIN0181–BA67) received in the Office of the President on October 21, 2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–3292. A communication from the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works), transmitting, pursuant to law, a report on the status of the Missouri River Bank Stabilization and Navigation and Wildlife Mitigation Project, Kansas, Missouri, Iowa, and Nebraska; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–3302. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Legislation, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled “First Re- port of Section 1115(a) Demonstrations: Transparency in the Review and Approval of Medicaid and Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Section 1115 Demonstrations”: to the Committee on Finance.

EC–3303. A communication from the Lead Regulations Writer, Office of Regulations and Reports Clearance, Social Security Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Social Security Number Card Applications” (RIN0960–AG95) received in the Office of the President on October 21, 2015; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–3304. A communication from the Acting Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule relative to section 1115(a) of the Arms Export Control Act (DDTC 15–491); to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC–3305. A communication from the Acting Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule relative to section 36(d) of the Arms Export Control Act (DDTC 15–0027); to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC–3306. A communication from the Director of Regulations and Policy Management, Department of Education, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Oregon; Lane Regional Air Pollution Authority Open Burning Rules and Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Enforcement Procedures” (FRL No. 9935–18–Region 10) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 7, 2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–3326. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Petroleum Refinery Sector Risk and Technology Review and New Source Performance Standards” (RIN2060–AQT5) (FRL No. 9935–18–Region 5) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 16, 2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–3327. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Oregon; Lane Regional Air Pollution Authority Open Burning Rules and Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Enforcement Procedures” (FRL No. 9935–18–Region 5) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 7, 2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–3329. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Oregon; Lane Regional Air Pollution Authority Open Burning Rules and Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Enforcement Procedures” (FRL No. 9935–18–Region 5) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 7, 2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–3330. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Legislation, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled “First Re- port of Section 1115(a) Demonstrations: Transparency in the Review and Approval of Medicaid and Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Section 1115 Demonstrations”: to the Committee on Finance.

EC–3331. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Legislation, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled “First Re- port of Section 1115(a) Demonstrations: Transparency in the Review and Approval of Medicaid and Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Section 1115 Demonstrations”: to the Committee on Finance.

EC–3332. A communication from the Lead Regulations Writer, Office of Regulations and Reports Clearance, Social Security Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Social Security Number Card Applications” (RIN0960–AG95) received in the Office of the President on October 21, 2015; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–3305. A communication from the Acting Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule relative to section 1115(a) of the Arms Export Control Act (DDTC 15–0027); to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC–3306. A communication from the Director of Regulations and Policy Management, Department of Education, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Oregon; Lane Regional Air Pollution Authority Open Burning Rules and Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Enforcement Procedures” (RIN2060–AQT5) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 7, 2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–3326. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Oregon; Lane Regional Air Pollution Authority Open Burning Rules and Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Enforcement Procedures” (FRL No. 9935–18–Region 5) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 7, 2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–3327. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Oregon; Lane Regional Air Pollution Authority Open Burning Rules and Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Enforcement Procedures” (RIN2060–AQT5) (FRL No. 9935–18–Region 5) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 16, 2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.
The following petitions and memorials were laid before the Senate and were referred or ordered to lie on the table as indicated:

POM-99. A resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, California, commemorating the 71st anniversary of the Port Chicago disaster and urging the President of the United States and the United States Congress to exonerate the 50 sailors convicted in the incident with the designation of Honorable Discharge; to the Committee on Armed Services.

POM-100. A resolution adopted by the Commission of the City of Lauderhill, Florida, condemning the Dominican Republic’s impeding mass deportation of Haitian immigrants; urging the Department of Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs to comply with international human rights law, and halt all impeding deportations; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

The following reports of committees were submitted:

By Mr. GRASSLEY, from the Committee on the Judiciary, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute:

S. 2123. A bill to reform sentencing laws and correctional institutions, and for other purposes.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. SULLIVAN (for himself, Mr. SCOTT, Mr. HUNTS, Mr. NEUMANN, Mr. CANTWELL, and Mr. GRASSLEY):

S. 2206. A bill to reduce the incidence of sexual harassment and assault at the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, to reauthorize the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Commissioned Officer Corps Act of 2002, and to reauthorize the Workplace Heroes Improvement Act of 1998, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

By Mr. MCCONNELL (for himself, Mr. MANCHIN, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. INHOPE, Mr. BLUNT, Mr. LEE, Mr. CASSIDY, Mr. CRUZ, Mr. BOOZMAN, Mr. HOEVEN, Mr. WICKER, Mr. SCOTT, Mr. CRAPO, Mr. ALEXANDER, Mr. SULLIVAN, Mr. ROUNDS, Mr. ROBERTS, Mr. TELLIS, Mr. THUNE, Mrs. FINCHE, Mr. HUBB, Mr. COATS, Mr. COTTON, Mr. LANKFORD, Mr. RISCH, Mr. VITTER, Mr. MUKWSUK, Mr. BARRASSO, Mr. MURkowski, Mr. BARRON, Mr. COUNTY, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. ISAKSON, Mr. ENZI, Mr. PERDUE, Mr. SESSIONS, Mr. COCHRAN, Mr. PAUL, Mrs. EINSTEIN, Mr. HATCH, Mr. DAINES, Mr. SASSE, Mr. MCCAIN, Mr. SHIVLE, Mr. TOOMY, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. GRAHAM, and Mr. CORKER):

S. Res. 24. A joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to ‘‘Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units’’; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mr. JOHNSON (for himself and Ms. BALDWIN):

S. Res. 296. A resolution congratulating Army Reserve Major Lisa Jaster on her graduation from the Army Ranger School; to the Committees on Armed Services.

By Ms. KLOBUCHAR (for herself and Mr. FRANKEN):

S. Res. 297. A resolution congratulating the Minnesota Lynx on their victory in the 2015 Women’s National Basketball Association Finals; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. BLUMENTHAL (for himself and Mr. MURPHY):

S. Res. 298. A resolution recognizing Connecticut’s Submarine Century, the 100th anniversary of the establishment of Naval Submarine Base New London, and Connecticut’s historic role in supporting the undersea capabilities of the United States; to the Committee on Armed Services.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 23

At the request of Mrs. FEINSTEIN, the name of the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 23, a bill to limit the use of cluster munitions.

S. 302

At the request of Ms. AYotte, the name of the Senator from Kentucky (Mr. PAUL) was added as a cosponsor of S. 302, a bill to amend section 5000A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide additional religious exemption from the individual health coverage mandate, and for other purposes.

S. 631

At the request of Ms. GILLIBRAND, the name of the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. REED) was added as a cosponsor of S. 631, a bill to amend the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act to improve the efficiency of summer meal programs.

S. 885

At the request of Ms. WARREN, the name of the Senator from Illinois (Mr.
Kirck) was added as a cosponsor of S. 885, a bill to direct the Architect of the Capitol to place in the United States Capitol a chair honoring American Prisoners of War/Missing in Action.

At the request of Mrs. Gillibrand, the name of the Senator from Arizona (Mr. McCain) was added as a cosponsor of S. 926, a bill to reauthorize the World Trade Center Health Program and the September 11th Victim Compensation Fund of 2001, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. Booker, the name of the Senator from Maryland (Mr. Cardin) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1081, a bill to end the use of body-gripping traps in the National Wildlife Refuge System.

At the request of Mrs. Murray, the name of the Senator from New York (Mrs. Gillibrand) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1598, a bill to amend the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act to establish a permanent, nationwide summer electronic benefits transfer for children program.

At the request of Ms. Ayotte, the name of the Senator from Minnesota (Mr. Franken) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1599, a bill to protect victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, and dating violence from emotional and psychological trauma caused by acts of violence or threats of violence against their pets.

At the request of Mr. Wicker, the name of the Senator from Indiana (Mr. Donnelly) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1597, a bill to enhance patient engagement in the medical product development process, and for other purposes.

At the request of Ms. Ayotte, the name of the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. Graham) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1597, a bill to require the Secretary of the Treasury to mint coins in commemoration of the 400th anniversary of the arrival of the Pilgrims.

At the request of Ms. Ayotte, the name of the Senator from Maine (Ms. Collins) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1808, a bill to require the Secretary of Homeland Security to conduct a Northern Border threat analysis, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. Toomey, the name of the Senator from Illinois (Mr. Kirk) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1831, a bill to revise section 48 of title 18, United States Code, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. Blumenthal, the name of the Senator from New Hampshire (Mrs. Shaheen) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1856, a bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to provide for suspension and removal of employees of the Department of Veterans Affairs for performance or misconduct that is a threat to public health or safety and to improve accountability of employees of the Department, and for other purposes.

At the request of Ms. Mikulski, the name of the Senator from California (Mrs. Feinstein) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1926, a bill to ensure access to screening mammography services.

At the request of Mr. Hoeven, the name of the Senator from North Dakota (Ms. Harkin) and the Senator from Vermont (Mr. Sanders) were added as cosponsors of S. 2110, a bill to amend the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 to provide for greater spousal protection under defined contribution plans, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. Burr, the name of the Senator from Georgia (Mr. Isakson) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2055, a bill to amend the Public Health Service Act and the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act with respect to national health security.

At the request of Mr. Murray, the name of the Senator from North Dakota (Ms. Harkin) and the Senator from Vermont (Mr. Sanders) were added as cosponsors of S. 2110, a bill to amend the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 to provide for greater spousal protection under defined contribution plans, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. Grassley, the names of the Senator from Massachusetts (Ms. Warren) and the Senator from California (Mrs. Feinstein) were added as cosponsors of S. 2145, a bill to make supplemental appropriations for fiscal year 2016.

At the request of Mr. Wyden, the name of the Senator from Montana (Mr. Tester) and the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. Booker) were added as cosponsors of S. 2148, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for an increase in the Medicare part B premium and deducible in 2016.

At the request of Mr. Wyden, the name of the Senator from Oregon (Mr. Merkley) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 2621 proposed to S. 754, an original bill to improve cybersecurity in the United States through enhanced sharing of information about cybersecurity threats, and for other purposes.

S.J. Res. 23. A joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of a rule published by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to “Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units”; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

Mr. McConnell. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the joint resolution be printed in the Record.

There being no objection, the text of the joint resolution was ordered to be printed in the Record, as follows:

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives, of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That Congress disapproves the rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to “Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units” (published at 80 Fed. Reg. 69410 (October 23, 2015)), and such rule shall have no force or effect.

S. Res. 296—CONGRATULATING ARMY RESERVE MAJOR LISA JASTER ON HER GRADUATION FROM THE ARMY RANGER SCHOOL

Mr. Johnson (for himself and Ms. Baldwin) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Armed Services:

Whereas the Army Ranger School (referred to in this preamble as “Ranger School”) was established in 1959 during the Korean War to develop elite leaders to command difficult combat missions;

Whereas Ranger School is one of the most challenging training courses for which members of the Armed Forces may volunteer;

Whereas Ranger School teaches the physical and mental limits of students for more than two months;
Whereas on average—
(1) 36 percent of Ranger School students fail the course during the first four days after the date on which the course begins; and
(2) only approximately 45 percent of Ranger School students ultimately graduate from the course;
Whereas the Army Reserve is—
(1) a highly trained force that comprises approximately 20 percent of the total Army; and
(2) always available to meet the needs of the Army and Joint Force;
Whereas on August 21, 2015, Army Captain Kristen Griest and First Lieutenant Shaye Haver became the first two women to graduate from Ranger School;
Whereas on October 16, 2015, Major Lisa Jaster became the third woman, and the first Army Reserve woman and mother, to graduate from Ranger School and earn the distinctive black and gold Ranger tab;
Whereas Major Lisa Jaster overcame the extreme fatigue, hunger, and stress involved in Ranger training in order to graduate from Ranger School; and
Whereas Major Lisa Jaster has—
(1) dedicated her life to serving and protecting the United States; and
(2) deployed to both Iraq and Afghanistan; and
(3) earned the Bronze Star and the Combat Action Badge, and, therefore, be it
Resolved, That the Senate—
(1) honors Major Lisa Jaster for the accomplishment of becoming the first Army Reserve woman and first mother to graduate from Ranger School; and
(2) commends the groundbreaking achievements of the first three women to graduate from Ranger School—
(A) Captain Kristen Griest; (B) First Lieutenant Shaye Haver; and (C) Major Lisa Jaster; and
(3) recognizes the vital role that the Army Reserve plays in protecting and defending the United States; and
(4) celebrates the determination, patriotism, and willingness to lead of all Ranger School graduates.

SENATE RESOLUTION 298—RECOGNIZING CONNECTICUT’S SUBMARINE CENTURY, THE 100TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE NEW LONDON, AND CONNECTICUT’S HISTORIC ROLE IN SUPPORTING THE UNDERSEA CAPABILITIES OF THE UNITED STATES

Ms. KLOBUCHAR (for herself and Mr. FRANKEN) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Armed Services:
S. Res. 298

Whereas, on March 2, 1867, Congress enacted a naval appropriations Act that authorized the Secretary of the Navy to “receive and accept a deed of gift, when offered by the State of Connecticut, of a tract of land with not less than one mile of shore front on the Thames River near New London, Connecticut, to be held by the United States for naval purposes”;
Whereas Connecticut, on average—
(1) commends the long standing dedication and contribution to the Navy and submarine community by the people of Connecticut through the initial deed of gift that established what would become Naval Submarine Base New London, the New London Submarine Fioilla, and the Submarine School; and
(2) recognizes the vital role of the United States Navy and the Submarine School in protecting and defending the United States; and
(3) recognizes the valuable contribution to the Navy and submarine community by the people of Connecticut through the initial deed of gift that established what would become Naval Submarine Base New London, the New London Submarine Fioilla, and the Submarine School; and
(4) commends the long standing dedication and contribution to the Navy and submarine community by the people of Connecticut through the initial deed of gift that established what would become Naval Submarine Base New London, the New London Submarine Fioilla, and the Submarine School; and
Whereas on average—
(1) 36 percent of Ranger School students fail the course during the first four days after the date on which the course begins; and
(2) only approximately 45 percent of Ranger School students ultimately graduate from the course;
Base New London and through their ongoing commitment to support the mission of the base and the Navy personnel assigned to it; (2) honors the submariners who have trained and served at Naval Submarine Base New London throughout its history in support of the Nation’s security and undersea superiority; (3) recognizes the contribution of the industry and workforce of Connecticut in designing, building, and sustaining the Navy’s submarine fleet; and (4) acknowledges the recognition of Connecticut's Submarine Century by Congress, the Navy, and the American people by honoring the contribution of the people of Connecticut to the Navy and the important role of the submarine force in safeguarding the security of the United States for more than a century.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 2748. Mr. PORTMAN (for Mr. ALEXANDER) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 639, to amend the Controlled Substances Act with respect to drug scheduling recommendations by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and with respect to registration of manufacturers and distributors seeking to conduct clinical testing.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 2748. Mr. PORTMAN (for Mr. ALEXANDER) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 639, to amend the Controlled Substances Act with respect to drug scheduling recommendations by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and with respect to registration of manufacturers and distributors seeking to conduct clinical testing, as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Improving Regulatory Transparency for New Medical Therapies Act".

SEC. 2. SCHEDULING OF SUBSTANCES INCLUDED IN NEW FDA-APPROVED DRUGS.

(a) EFFECTIVE DATE OF APPROVAL.—(1) EFFECTIVE DATE OF DRUG APPROVAL.—Section 505 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355) is amended by adding at the end the following:

''(x) EFFECTIVE DATE OF DRUG APPROVAL.—''

(2) DATE OF APPROVAL IN THE CASE OF RECOMMENDED CONTROLS UNDER THE CSA.—(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of an application under subsection (a) with respect to a drug for which the Secretary provides notice to the sponsor that the Secretary intends to recommend controls under the Controlled Substances Act, approval of such application shall not take effect until the interim final rule controlling the biological product is issued in accordance with section 201(j) of the Controlled Substances Act.

''(2) DATE OF APPROVAL.—For purposes of this section, with respect to an application described in paragraph (1), the term 'date of approval' shall mean—

(A) the date an application under subsection (b) is approved under section (c); or

(B) the date of issuance of the interim final rule controlling the biological product.''

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE OF APPROVAL OF ANIMAL DRUGS.—(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 512 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 360b) is amended by adding at the end the following:

''(q) DATE OF APPROVAL IN THE CASE OF RECOMMENDED CONTROLS UNDER THE CSA.—

''(i)'' For purposes of paragraph (1) and subsections (a) and (b), the term 'date of approval' shall mean the later of—

(A) the date an application under subsection (b) is approved under section (c); or

(B) the date of issuance of the interim final rule controlling the drug.''

(b) CONDITIONAL APPROVAL.—Section 571(d) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 360ccc(d)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

''(4) A(i) In the case of an application under subsection (a) with respect to a drug for which the Secretary provides notice to the sponsor that the Secretary intends to issue a scientific and medical evaluation and recommend controls under the Controlled Substances Act, approval of such application shall not take effect until the interim final rule controlling the drug is issued in accordance with section 201(j) of the Controlled Substances Act.

''(ii)'' For purposes of determining the 7-year period of exclusivity under paragraph (1) for a drug for which the Secretary intends to issue a scientific and medical evaluation and recommend controls under the Controlled Substances Act, the drug shall not be considered approved or conditionally approved until the date that the interim final rule controlling the drug is issued in accordance with section 201(j) of the Controlled Substances Act.''

(c) EXTENSION OF PATENT TERM.—Section 526 of title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (d)(1), in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by inserting "in the case of a drug product described in subsection (i), within the sixty-day period beginning on the covered date (as defined in subsection (i)) after "marketing or use"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

''(i)'' For purposes of this section, if the Secretary of Health and Human Services provides notice to the sponsor of an application or request for approval, conditional approval, or indexing of a drug product for which the Secretary intends to recommend controls under the Controlled Substances Act, beginning on the covered date, the drug product shall be considered to—
“(A) have been approved or indexed under the relevant provision of the Public Health Service Act or Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act; and

“(B) have permission for commercial marketing or use.

“(2) In this subsection, the term ‘covered date’ means the later of—

“(A) the date an application is approved—

“(i) under section 351(a)(2)(C) of the Public Health Service Act; or

“(ii) under section 505(b) or 512(c) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act;

“(B) the date an application is conditionally approved under section 571(b) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act;

“(C) if a request for indexing is granted under section 572(d) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act; or

“(D) the date of issuance of the interim final rule controlling the drug under section 201(j) of the Controlled Substances Act.”.

SEC. 3. ENHANCING NEW DRUG DEVELOPMENT.

Section 303 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 835) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(1) For purposes of registration to manufacture a controlled substance under subsection (a) of section 3, in a clinical trial, the Attorney General shall register the applicant, or serve an order to show cause upon the applicant in accordance with section 304(c), unless the Attorney General grants a hearing on the application under section 1008(i) of the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act.”.

SEC. 4. RE-EXPORTATION AMONG MEMBERS OF THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AREA.

Section 1003 of the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. 953) is amended—

“(1) after subsection—

“(A) in paragraph (5)—

“(i) by striking “(5)” and inserting “(5)(A)”;

“(ii) by inserting “, except that the consignee, country, and product,” after “pursuant to section 304(c) of the Controlled Substances Act with respect to drug scheduling recommendations by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and with respect to registration of manufacturers and distributors seeking to conduct clinical testing

“(2) In the case of re-exportation among members of the European Economic Area, within 30 days after each re-exportation, the person who exported the controlled substance from the United States delivers to the Attorney General—

“(i) documentation certifying that such re-exportation has occurred; and

“(ii) information concerning the consignee, country, and product.”; and

“(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(g) LIMITATION.—Subject to paragraphs (5) and (6) of subsection (f) in the case of any controlled substance in schedule I or II or any narcotic drug in schedule III or IV, the Attorney General shall not promulgate nor enforce any regulation, subregulatory guidance, or enforcement policy which impedes re-exportation of any controlled substance among European Economic Area countries, including by promulgating or enforcing any requirement that—

“(1) re-exportation from the first country to the second country or re-exportation from the second country to another country occur within a reasonable period of time; or

“(2) information concerning the consignee, country, and product be provided prior to re-exportation of the controlled substance from the United States or prior to each re-exportation among members of the European Economic Area.”.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Ohio.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The clerk will report the bill by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 639) to amend the Controlled Substances Act with respect to drug scheduling recommendations by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and with respect to registration of manufacturers and distributors seeking to conduct clinical testing.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. PORTMAN. I ask unanimous consent that the substitute amendment, which is at the desk, be considered and agreed to, the bill, as amended, be read a third time and passed, and the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment (No. 2748) in the nature of a substitute was agreed to.

The amendment is printed in today’s RECORD under “Text of Amendments.”

The amendment was ordered to be engrossed, and the bill to be read a third time.

The bill was read the third time. The bill (H.R. 639), as amended, was passed.

CONGRATULATING THE MINNESOTA LYNX ON THEIR VICTORY IN THE 2015 WOMEN’S NATIONAL BASKETBALL ASSOCIATION FINALS

Mr. PORTMAN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of S. Res. 297, submitted earlier today.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 297). The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 297) congratulating the Minnesota Lynx on their victory in the 2015 Women’s National Basketball Association Finals.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. PORTMAN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, and the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 297) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

The resolution, with its preamble, is printed in today’s RECORD under “Submitted Resolutions.”

ORDERS FOR TUESDAY.

OCTOBER 27, 2015

Mr. PORTMAN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions be discharged from further consideration of H.R. 639 and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The clerk will report the bill by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 639) to amend the Controlled Substances Act with respect to drug scheduling recommendations by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and with respect to registration of manufacturers and distributors seeking to conduct clinical testing.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. PORTMAN. I ask unanimous consent that the substitute amendment, which is at the desk, be considered and agreed to, the bill, as amended, be read a third time and passed, and the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment (No. 2748) in the nature of a substitute was agreed to.

The amendment is printed in today’s RECORD under “Text of Amendments.”

The amendment was ordered to be engrossed, and the bill to be read a third time.

The bill was read the third time. The bill (H.R. 639), as amended, was passed.

CONGRATULATING THE MINNESOTA LYNX ON THEIR VICTORY IN THE 2015 WOMEN’S NATIONAL BASKETBALL ASSOCIATION FINALS

Mr. PORTMAN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of S. Res. 297, submitted earlier today.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 297). The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 297) congratulating the Minnesota Lynx on their victory in the 2015 Women’s National Basketball Association Finals.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. PORTMAN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, and the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 297) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

The resolution, with its preamble, is printed in today’s RECORD under “Submitted Resolutions.”
Senate completes its business today, it adjourn until 10 a.m., Tuesday, October 27; that following the prayer and pledge, the morning hour be deemed expired, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, and the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day; that following leader remarks, the Senate resume consideration of S. 754, with the time until 11 a.m. equally divided between the two leaders or their designees; finally, that notwithstanding the provisions of rule XXII, there be 2 minutes of debate equally divided prior to each vote, and that all votes after the first vote in each series be 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

ORDER FOR ADJOURNMENT

Mr. PORTMAN. Madam President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that it stand adjourned under the previous order, following the remarks of Senator FRANKEN.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from Minnesota.

Mr. FRANKEN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent to speak for 6 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

CYBERSECURITY INFORMATION SHARING BILL

My amendment tightens the definitions of the terms “cyber security threat” and “cyber threat indicator” in the bill. These changes will help ensure that CISA’s broad authorities are not triggered in circumstances where no real cyber threats are present. This makes the bill more privacy protected and more likely to work effectively.

The amendment is supported by more than 30 civil society organizations, from the American Civil Liberties Union to prominent Libertarian groups like R Street. As I will describe, it addresses specific concerns that have been raised by security experts, major tech companies, and even the Department of Homeland Security.

Under CISA, companies are authorized to monitor users online, share information with one another and with the federal government, and deploy defensive measures—all to protect against “cyber security threats.” Any action that may result in any unauthorized effort to adversely impact cyber security can be deemed a cyber security threat; that is, may result. That sets the lowest possible standard for determining when actions under CISA are justified, and that is a problem. It sets us up for the over-sharing of information, or worse it jeopardizes privacy and threatens to hinder our cyber defense efforts by increasing the noise-to-signal ratio.

My amendment would clarify that a threat is any action at least reasonably likely—reasonably likely—to result in an unauthorized effort to adversely impact cyber security. That definition gives companies ample flexibility to act on threats and ensures Americans that CISA isn’t a free pass to share people’s personal information when there is no threat.

CISA’s definition of cyber threat indicator has also been criticized by security experts, by companies such as Mozilla and, again, even by DHS, which has called the definition “expansive” and said that expansive definition heightens concerns raised by the bill.

My amendment addresses the two parts of the definition that experts have suggested are the most likely to open the door to the sharing of extraneous information. First, as drafted, CISA would let companies share people’s communications if they believe that the files have been harmed in a cyber attack or could potentially—potentially—be harmed by a perceived threat. The latter is especially problematic. The range of information that could be shared as evidence of potential harm is vast, and, as experts have explained, unnecessary to the technical work of identifying cyber threats. My amendment continues to allow companies to share information that reveals harms caused by a cyber incident but doesn’t extend this to conjecture about hypothetical potential harms, which is unnecessarily broad.

Finally, my amendment eliminates a troubling loophole in the cyber threat indicator definition. In addition to letting companies share information that reveals certain specified attributes or features of cyber threats, CISA also lets them share information that reveals “any other attribute of a cybersecurity threat” if the disclosure of that attribute is legal. Bill supporters claim that this final clause adequately limits the scope of this provision, but looking at whether disclosure of a threat attribute is lawful is an unclear and unhelpful standard. Privacy law is about protecting information, not threat attributes. So my amendment clarifies that companies can share information in this catchall category only if it is legal to share the information being provided. It is a technical change, but it matters.

This amendment represents a real effort to find common ground for moving forward. Quite frankly, it doesn’t do all the work that needs to be done to limit the definitions in this act, but it makes necessary changes—necessary changes—to improve the legislation, both for the sake of privacy and ultimately security.

I urge my colleagues to support amendment No. 2612.

I yield the floor.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 10 A.M. TOMORROW

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate stands adjourned until 10 a.m. tomorrow.

Thereupon, the Senate, at 6:13 p.m., adjourned until Tuesday, October 27, 2015, at 10 a.m.

CONFIRMATION

Executive nomination confirmed by the Senate October 26, 2015:

THE JUDICIARY

LAWRENCE JOSEPH VILARDO, OF NEW YORK, TO BE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK.
EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

DHS HEADQUARTERS REFORM AND IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 2015

SPREAD OF
HON. MICHAEL T. McCaul
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, October 20, 2015

Mr. McCaul. Mr. Speaker, I submit the following exchange of letters between the Committee on Homeland Security and the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee regarding H.R. 3572.


Hon. Bill Shuster, Chairman, Transportation and Infrastructure Committee, Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, DC.

Dear Chairman Shuster: Thank you for your interest in H.R. 3572, the “DHS Headquarters Reform and Improvement Act of 2015.” I appreciate your cooperation in refraining from seeking a sequential referral on this bill in the interest of allowing it to move expeditiously under suspension of the Rules on October 20, 2015. Because H.R. 3572 has now passed the House, the Parliamentarians can no longer render an official decision as to any jurisdictional claim the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee may have had.

I therefore acknowledge that the question of the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee’s jurisdictional interest in a certain provision of H.R. 3572 has not been fully adjudicated and that no final decision as to that point was made. I further agree that the absence of a final decision will not prejudice any claim the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee may have had.

In recognition of Dr. Claudio Pellegrini’s receipt of the Enrico Fermi Award.

Hon. Ted Lieu of California.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, October 26, 2015

Mr. Ted Lieu of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Dr. Claudio Pellegrini for his remarkable and groundbreaking work in the scientific community. On October 20, 2015, Dr. Pellegrini received the Enrico Fermi Award for his research on relativistic electron beams and free-electron lasers. This honor is among the most respected and prestigious awards for scientific achievement.

Throughout his lifetime, Dr. Pellegrini has held many prominent positions and earned numerous impressive awards. After studying at the University of Rome, he became Director of the laser division at the Frascati National Laboratories in the 1970s. He later served at the Brookhaven National Laboratory and at the Nordic Institute of Theoretical Physics. In recent years, he has worked at the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory. He is the distinguished recipient of a Fulbright fellowship and the FEL Prize. Still, these include only a fraction of Dr. Pellegrini’s accomplishments.

I am especially pleased to recognize Dr. Pellegrini’s position as a Distinguished Professor Emeritus of Physics at the University of California Los Angeles. Widely considered an expert in electron and photon beams physics, his research contributed to the development of the first hard x-ray free-electron laser and helped advance high-energy physics more generally. The university and surrounding community has undoubtedly benefited from Dr. Pellegrini’s presence.

I am confident that Dr. Pellegrini’s work will continue to benefit the scientific community in the United States and throughout the world. Today, California’s 33rd Congressional District is proud to congratulate Dr. Pellegrini as a Fermi Award recipient and as a tremendous scientist and individual.

LIFELONG ATHLETE: MARK SERTICH

Hon. Richard M. Nolan of Minnesota.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, October 26, 2015

Mr. Nolan. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Mark Sertich of Duluth, Minnesota, who continues to celebrate victories on the ice at ninety-four years old.

Mark, who during World War II fought in General George Patton’s Third Army liberating concentration camps, still puts on his ice skates several times a week to play a pick-up game of hockey. At fifty-nine years old he ran his first marathon and did not stop there. As of today, he has finished five marathons and eleven inline skating marathons.

Ice hockey remains his favorite sport, which is why Mark plays every week—no excuses. Forty-two years ago Mark began competing in the annual Snopy’s Senior World Tournament with the late cartoonist Charles Schulz. The two played on the same line together for many years and became good friends.

After taking a hard hit on the ice, resulting in two fractured ribs and a punctured lung, doctors said would keep him out of the game forever. Mark was back on the ice in just three. His commitment makes him a role model for us all. When asked in a recent interview how he stays motivated to play every week, he responded, “I say the most important step is the first one out the door.”

I congratulate Mark for continuing to play his favorite sport, but also for his victories on the ice, including winning paid skating fees for life in a bet with his teammates when he was eighty years old. Hopefully when I am ninety-four years old I can still lace up my skates and hold my own with players my grandchildren’s age—and win a few games too.

HONORING MALCOLM “MAL” BURNSTEIN

Hon. Barbara Lee of California.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, October 26, 2015

Ms. Lee. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor an exceptional leader and activist, who fought for equality and civil rights for many decades, Malcolm “Mal” Burnstein.

Mal Burnstein was born in 1933 in Detroit, and faced heavy oppression and intolerance growing up as a Jewish man in the 1930’s and 1940’s. The difficulties Mal faced inspired him to be an advocate for civil rights. In the 1940’s, Mal was very active in politics in his youth, and volunteered on quite a few campaigns. Some of the campaigns he volunteered for include Henry Wallace’s campaign for president; Mennonites’ campaign for governor; and Martha Griffith’s campaign for Congress.

Mal graduated with his law degree from Boalt Law School at UC Berkeley in 1956, and after law school, he pursued a fellowship in Europe and studied international law at the Sorbonne in Paris. He then clerked for a year under California Supreme Court Justice Thomas White.

Mal’s strong conviction to fight for civil rights and equality led him back to Europe for another fellowship, where he worked in Geneva.
advocating for the cause of international human rights. In 1961, Mal began working for Robert Treuhaft at the Treuhaft firm, practicing labor law. The Treuhaft firm soon disbanded, and Robert Treuhaft joined Doris Walker’s firm, hiring Mal as the only associate.

In 1962, Mal formed the Boatrackers Democratic Club, named for a group dedicated to representing civil rights demonstrators. The club is notable for defeating a recall proposed by conservative Berkeley citizens to recall the Berkeley School Board for racially integrating their Berkeley schools.

Mal worked with the Free Speech Movement, the student protest that took place at UC Berkeley in 1964, when the Movement organizers sought legal representation from the Walker firm. Mal provided legal counsel for the Movement, and advised the Movement student organizers during negotiations with the school. Mal’s admiration for student leadership and commitment to the Free Speech Movement proved vital during the trials of the 800 arrested students.

Mal was also a member of the Congress of Racial Equality (CORE), and was one of its volunteer lawyers. He participated in CORE organized eat-ins, sit-ins, shops-ins, and picket protests. Such actions were instrumental in paving the way for diversification in workplaces around the East Bay.

On behalf of the residents of California’s 13th Congressional District, I extend my sincerest congratulations to Malcolm “Mal” Burnstein.

HONORING ANDERSON’S GROCERY, RECIPIENT OF THE 2015 EXCELLENCE IN OPERATIONS AWARD FROM THE WASHINGTON FOOD INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION

HON. CATHY McMORRIS RODGERS
OF WASHINGTON
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, October 26, 2015

Mrs. McMORRIS RODGERS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Anderson’s Grocery and its owners on being leaders in our community, on their dedicated service to Republic and Ferry County, and on receiving the distinct 2015 Excellence in Operations Award from the Washington Food Industry Association. Thank you for all of your efforts to serve and better Eastern Washington.

TRIBUTE TO VAN G. MILLER

HON. ROD BLUM
OF IOWA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, October 26, 2015

Mr. BLUM. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the memory of a dear friend and a true innovator, Mr. Van G. Miller. I was saddened to learn of his death earlier this week. Van was a pillar of the community of Waterloo—founding VGM and Associates in 1986 as a provider of home medical equipment, believing that community-based providers produced the best results. Under his direction, the company quickly expanded to include more than 20 business units and employing 850 people. He was recognized as a Champion of Home Care by the American Association for Home Care and was named one of the HME Industry’s Top Ten most Influential Individuals by HME News.

Despite his success, he remained humble and quick to credit his success to his employees. He called each of his employees on their birthdays and work anniversaries and supported numerous local charities throughout the First District. In addition, he shared the success of VGM with them as he sold 100 percent of the company to its employees through an Employee Stock Option Plan.

His family and friends, the state of Iowa and the City of Waterloo will greatly miss Van—a true visionary in his field.

I express my condolences to his immediate family, as well as his extended family at VGM, and for all of those who had the privilege of knowing him.

APPLAUDING MATT WEINSTEIN FOR HIS SERVICE TO ALABAMA’S FIRST CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

HON. BRADLEY BYRNE
OF ALABAMA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, October 26, 2015

Mr. BYRNE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to share my appreciation to Matt Weinstein for his nine years of service to Alabama’s First Congressional District. Matt’s last day serving the people of Southwest Alabama will be Friday, October 30.

Matt started his service to Alabama’s First Congressional District in 2010 as a legislative assistant to Congressman Jo Bonner (R–AL). Prior to working for the First District, Matt worked in the office of Alabama Congressman Mike Rogers (R–AL) starting in January 2007. Upon my election to Congress in December 2013, I was proud to name Matt Weinstein my legislative director. In my office, Matt has been a dedicated advisor on all policy matters and served as a liaison with small businesses and elected officials in Southwest Alabama.

Matt has been instrumental in my office’s efforts to push forward with construction of a new I–10 bridge over the Mobile River. Matt has done an impressive job of working with the Alabama Department of Transportation and the federal Department of Transportation to remove bureaucratic hurdles. With Matt’s help, we have been able to make real, tangible progress over the last two years.
When the BP/Deepwater Horizon oil spill hit Alabama’s Gulf Coast, Matt helped Congressmen Bonner advocate for our coastal communities. Matt played an important role in crafting the RESTORE Act, which was intended to keep oil spill settlement money under control of the coastal counties.

I am especially grateful for Matt’s service to our nation’s service members and veterans. Matt did tremendous work supporting our nation’s Navy and the thousands of Alabamians who work at the Austal USA shipyard in Mobile, Alabama. Matt has also assisted countless veterans with various issues related to the Department of Veterans Affairs and has supported my efforts to give veterans greater access to private care.

Mr. Speaker, I asked former Congressman Joe Bonner to share his gratitude with Matt as well. Congressman Bonner said, “Matt is one of the most talented and dedicated young men I know. He thinks strategically, always looking for ways to build consensus and get the job done. He was invaluable to the people of South Alabama during the aerial refueling tanker war, but he was equally helpful in getting those early contracts for Austal and during those difficult months following the BP/Deepwater Horizon explosion. I can’t say enough good things about Matt Weinstein; he is truly one in a million.”

A native of Mobile, Alabama, Matt has served his hometown with dedication, passion, and steady leadership. I wish him and his wife, Paige, all the best in their future endeavors. Alabama’s First Congressional District will be forever grateful for his service.

HONORING HO FENG-SHAN

HON. STEVE ISRAEL
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, October 26, 2015

Mr. ISRAEL. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the bravery and heroism of Ho Feng-Shan, the Chinese Consul-General stationed in Vienna during World War II.

At great risk to his personal safety, Mr. Ho issued Chinese visas to thousands of Austrian Jews, allowing them to flee the country and escape the atrocities of Nazi concentration camps. Despite strict orders from his superiors to stop issuing visas on such a large scale, he knew they could not save every life, but he worked tirelessly to save as many as he could.

In addition, the Consul-General worked with other diplomatic missions, radio stations, and local communities to systematically search for Jewish refugees and help them escape Nazi-occupied Europe. By the end of the war, it is estimated that Mr. Ho’s tireless efforts helped save the lives of over 60,000 refugees.

In recognition of his legacy, the city of Vienna has honored Mr. Ho with a permanent monument and a museum dedicated to his memory. His courageous actions continue to inspire people around the world to stand against violence and uphold the principles of human dignity and freedom.

IN RECOGNITION OF PATRICIA MILJANICH

HON. JACKIE SPEIER
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, October 26, 2015

Ms. SPEIER. Mr. Speaker, I rise to honor Patricia Miljanich, a departing member of the Board of Trustees of the San Mateo County Community College District. Ms. Miljanich has served the public in her position since 1995, and her expertise and guidance have been vital in ensuring the district’s success.

Ms. Miljanich is retiring from the Board of Trustees of the San Mateo County Community College District. Ms. Miljanich has served the public in her position since 1995, and she has been part of a board of trustees that has created over 20 years an outstanding college system for residents.

When Trustee Miljanich began her service, the district had decades-old buildings, stagnant enrollment, and curriculum that needed upgrading. She and her fellow trustees went to work hiring new staff, passing several bonds, and overseeing the resurrection of a community gem. In addition to her role as a trustee, Patricia Miljanich has served on the board of the Children’s Transportation Corporation overseeing an innovative housing project on the campuses of the community colleges. She has also served as a board member of the foundation supporting the community colleges.

As a result of her leadership and that of her board colleagues, the San Mateo County Community Colleges now have stunning state-of-the-art campuses, classes that offer a broad path to a four year institution, and several shorter programs that prepare students to be job ready through two year degrees and certificates. As a pathway to lifelong learning and prosperity, the college district reflects the vision of Trustee Miljanich that every young person, and many who are in mid-career or retirement, should view the community colleges as their ongoing ticket to a rigorous education.

Ms. Miljanich has another side to her public service beyond her years as a trustee. At one point she served as a field representative for a member of the state assembly, and as a legal advocate for low income children while Director of Legal Services for Children in San Francisco.

Most of all, Ms. Miljanich has distinguished herself as a remarkable leader of the nonprofit Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA), an organization that pairs caring adults with children who are in the protection of the courts when their parents cannot care for them. There is no question that Patricia Miljanich, in this role, has saved the lives of many children.

CASA is an extraordinary organization which brings out the best of caring volunteers and matches it with the most basic need of a child: to have a friend and advocate during times of tremendous insecurity and trauma.

Patricia is a graduate of the University of Virginia and the University of San Francisco School of Law. Her greatest achievements, no doubt, are her four children, Nicolene Melford, Martine Miljanich, Peter Miljanich, and Sophia Miljanich, and her four grandchildren.

Mr. Speaker, and members, Patricia Miljanich is retiring from the Board of Trustees of the San Mateo County Community College District, but she will remain very active in our community. She put in countless hours during her 20 years of service to the district, and offered decades of additional help to children and our most vulnerable residents. As she leaves, the wind at her back is the force of
those praying for her to succeed in her next adventure. As she will experience shortly, this wind will likely be a gale force event, so beloved is Patricia Miljanich in San Mateo County.

20TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE COMPLETION OF THE GATEWAY GEYSER

HON. MIKE BOST OF ILLINOIS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, October 26, 2015

Mr. BOST. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the 20th Anniversary of the completion of the Gateway Geyser. It is a proud and distinct achievement that the tallest fountain in the United States, and the second tallest in the world, is located in the Metro East region of Southern Illinois.

The vision and drive of Malcom Martin made it possible to undertake this incredible project. In addition to its famous geyser, the park’s facilities offer visitors impressive and memorable views of the Arch and City of St. Louis. It is very much a part of the overall Jefferson National Expansion Memorial.

As I toured the park recently, I developed an understanding for the desires that the Metro East Park and Recreation District and the Gateway Center have to make this amazing park a part of the Jefferson National Expansion Memorial. Doing so would be a fitting tribute to Malcom Martin and all of the City of East St. Louis officials who have helped make this anniversary possible.

HONORING DEPUTY CHIEF DAVID E. DOWNING

HON. BARBARA LEE OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, October 26, 2015

Ms. LEE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the exemplary career of Deputy Chief David Downing of the Oakland Police Department.

Deputy Chief Downing began his career in law enforcement with the Oakland Police Department (OPD) in 1988. During his career, he has served in a wide variety of assignments, including Police Academy Director, Internal Affairs Commander, and Special Operations Commander. In 2012, he was promoted to Deputy Chief of Police and is currently the commander of the Bureau of Field Operations for West Oakland. Deputy Chief Downing also served concurrently with OPD’s Special Weapons and Tactics team from 1992 to 2011 as an operator, team leader, and tactical commander.

In addition to serving the citizens of Oakland, Deputy Chief Downing served the United States of America as an Air Force Reservist from 1984 to 2014. He retired as a Chief Master Sergeant with the Air Force Office of Special Investigations as the Senior Enlisted Leader for the 4th Field Investigative Region, at Randolph Air Force Base in Texas. In 2001, he was mobilized into active duty and served in Operation Noble Eagle, Enduring Freedom, and Iraqi Freedom until his return to civilian law enforcement in 2003.

Deputy Chief Downing received his Bachelor’s Degree in Administration of Justice from San Jose State University in 1989, and went on to graduate from Indiana State University in 2008 with a Master’s Degree in Criminology. He is also the graduate of several senior management programs, including the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s National Academy, the Police Executive Research Forum’s Senior Management Institute for Police, and the California Peace Officer Standards and Training Executive Development Course.

Throughout his career, Deputy Chief Downing has maintained a special interest in and relationship with Oakland’s Chinatown. He serves the Oakland and Chinatown communities as a member of the Board of Directors of the Oakland Chinatown Chamber of Commerce, the President of the Oakland Police Foundation, President of the Oakland Police Managers Association, Chairman of the Asian Advisory Committee on Crime, Chairman of the Asian Youth Services Committee (AYSC), Chairperson of the AYSC Scholarship Dinner, and has raised $1 million and guidance to send low-income youth to various camps and seminars across the nation.

On behalf of the residents of California’s 13th Congressional District, Deputy Chief David E. Downing, I salute him. I thank him for his dedication to our community and wish him all of the best on his achievements. I wish him and his loved ones the very best as he enjoys his well-deserved retirement.

IN RECOGNITION OF RONN OWENS

HON. JACKIE SPEIER OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, October 26, 2015

Ms. SPEIER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor a broadcast legend who is celebrating his 40th anniversary at KGO Newstalk 810 today and who will be inducted into the National Radio Hall of Fame in November. Ronn Owens is a household name in the Bay Area and beyond, a master in his field, and a remarkably talented, opinionated and intellectually curious man whom I am proud to call a good friend.

I have spent many hours on the air with Ronn and he is always tough, thoughtful, informed and unpredictable. He has honed his skills in thousands of interviews with guests in the hot seat, including President Barack Obama, Democratic Leader NANCY PELOSI, actor Steve Martin, Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, 49er quarterback Joe Montana, singer Tony Bennett and a long list of Secretaries of State, CIA Directors, mayors and community leaders.

His longevity and style on the air have earned him many prestigious awards: The Bay Area Radio Hall of Fame, the National Association of Broadcasters’ Marconi Award twice, Talkers Magazine’s Top 25 Greatest Radio Talk Show Host of All Time, and now the National Radio Hall of Fame where he will be joining the likes of Edward R. Murrow, Bob Hope and Terry Gross.

Ronn was born Ronald Lowenstein on October 17, 1945 in New York City. He graduated from Temple University in Philadelphia in 1968 and launched his broadcast career hosting radio programs in Atlanta, Miami, Cleveland and Philadelphia. In 1975 he moved to San Francisco and began his four decade run at KGO radio. Today, he remains the only weekday talk radio host on the station and has a regular audience of half a million listeners.

While Ronn is very vocal about his opinions—he also wrote a book titled Voice of Reason: Why the Left and Right Are Wrong—he is the first to admit when he is wrong. Facts matter and he is dedicated to seeking the truth. Last year, Ronn revealed a challenging personal truth to his listeners. He was diagnosed with Parkinson’s disease over a decade ago. He decided to go public after the tragic death of Robin Williams, who was diagnosed with Parkinson’s. Ronn hasn’t let his symptoms get in the way of doing his job.

Recently he joked before surgery, “If I come out and say, ‘you know, that Sarah Palin would make a heckuva president,’ we’ll know something went wrong.” He meets the challenges of his disease with great courage and humor.

Ronn started his radio career when analog reel-to-reel tape recorders, cartridges and
workers have the right to safe working condi-
tions. Over the span of his 50-year career until
his death in 1992, he taught two generations of
physicians, published over 380 scientific
works, and publicized the health risks associ-
ated with toxins found in everyday work envi-
ronments. He wrote more than 350 scientific
articles and two books, edited 11 books and
founded two journals. In 1982 Dr. Selikoff,
Cesare Maltoni and other eminent scientists
founded the Collegium Ramazzini. Comprised
of 180 internationally renowned experts in
occupational and environmental health, the
Collegium Ramazzini helps scientific and
government leaders understand how scientific discoveries impact public health.

Researchers at Mount Sinai and around the
world continue his work and are leaders in the
prevention, diagnosis and treatment of work-
place injuries and illnesses. After 9/11, when
people who had worked at Ground Zero began
to experience disproportionate levels of
illness, Mount Sinai began to do research on
the cause. Their work helped document the
need for a program to provide care for people
who had been made sick by the toxins re-
leased on 9/11. Mount Sinai was naturally se-
lected as one of the Centers of Excellence to
handle injuries and illnesses. After 9/11, when
people who had worked at Ground Zero began
to experience disproportionate levels of
illness, Mount Sinai began to do research on
the cause. Their work helped document the
need for a program to provide care for people
who had been made sick by the toxins re-
leased on 9/11. Mount Sinai was naturally se-
lected as one of the Centers of Excellence to
treat their illnesses under the direction of Dr.
Philip J. Landrigan.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me
in applauding the extraordinary work and leg-
acy of Dr. Irving J. Selikoff. His focus on the
health impacts of workplace conditions has
benefited millions of American workers.

HONORING DAN JENSEN
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, October 26, 2015

Mr. DENHAM. Mr. Speaker, I rise today, on
behalf of myself and Congressman McClint-
ock to acknowledge and honor Dan Jensen
who announced his retirement as President
of Delaware North at Yosemite. In that position,
he is responsible for overseeing lodging, food
service, retail, transportation and guest recre-
ation in Yosemite National Park provided
under contract to the National Park Service.

Born and raised in Visalia, a central Cali-
fornia community, located in a rich agricultural
region, Dan attended college at UC Riverside and
graduated in 1971 with a Bachelors of
Arts in Economics. In addition he holds an
MBA from University of California, Los Ange-
les. Immediately after graduating, he joined
the Los Angeles office of Price Waterhouse,
the world's largest professional services firm,
where he worked in the audit services division.

In 1993, Dan began his career in the theme
park industry as the executive vice president
and general manager of Universal Studios
in Florida. He was instrumental in the expansion
of the major resort destination which included
a second theme park, three themed hotels
and major retail and transportation
facilities.

In 2006 as President of Delaware North at
Yosemite, Dan returned to Yosemite to
Yosemite by the President of Delaware
North, Dan Jensen. We wish him continued
success in his retirement.

Mr. Speaker, please join Congressman
McClintock and I in honoring and comm-
ending the outstanding contributions made
to Yosemite by the President of Delaware
North, Dan Jensen. We wish him continued
success in his retirement.

HONORING MS. FRANCES CHOW
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, October 26, 2015

Ms. LEE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor
Ms. Frances Chow upon her re-

Born and raised in Stockton, California. She
spent countless hours of her personal time volunteering in soup kitchens and
senior centers, as a summer camp coun-
selor for physically challenged youths, and in
local community organizations including the
United Way.

Later, Ms. Chow went on to graduate from
the University of San Diego with a Bachelor of
Arts degree in Business Administration
major in Mathematics. She is also trained and
certified in Clinical Psychology, Project
Management, and Bank Compliance.

Upon graduating, Ms. Chow was recruited
to work with the Federal Deposit Insurance
Corporation (FDIC), which is an independent
federal agency created by Congress to main-
tain stability and confidence in the nation's fi-
cancial system. During her 20-year career at
the FDIC, Ms. Chow received numerous perfor-
mance awards, including “Employee of the
Year.”

Currently, Ms. Chow is Senior Vice Presi-
dent and Regional Operations Manager of
Chintown. Ms. Chow has made innumerable contributions to the Chinatown
and East Bay community, through her service as a Sunday school teacher, youth and science camp counselor, and her work with various local organizations. Ms. Chow has served as the Asian Advisory Committee on Crime’s Annual Banquet Chairperson, former President of the Oakland Chinatown Chamber of Commerce, and the former President of the Oakland Chinatown Lions Club.

Ms. Chow currently serves as the Vice President of the Oakland Chinatown Chamber of Commerce, Vice President of the Asian Advisory Committee on Crime, Treasurer of the Oakland Police Foundation, the Health Fair Chairperson for the Chinatown Lions Club, and volunteers at the Oakland Children’s Hospital Children’s Network.

Ms. Chow has also received numerous awards for her community work. She was recognized as the Oakland Chinatown Chamber of Commerce’s “Volunteer of the Year” in 1998, received the Lions Club’s Melvin Jones Award in 2011, and in 2012, was recognized as the Asian Advisory Committee on Crime’s “Citizen of the Year” Award and recognized as “Citizen/Member of the Year” by Gateway Bank.

On behalf of the residents of California’s 13th Congressional District, Ms. Frances Chow, I salute her. I thank her for a lifetime of service and congratulate her on her many achievements. I wish her and her loved ones the very best as she enjoys her well-deserved retirement.

OUR UNCONSCIONABLE NATIONAL DEBT

HON. MIKE COFFMAN
OF COLORADO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, October 26, 2015

Mr. COFFMAN. Mr. Speaker, on January 20, 2009, the day President Obama took office, the national debt was $10,626,877,048,913.08. Today, it is $18,152,650,688,229.10. We’ve added $7,525,773,639,316.02 to our debt in 6 years. This is over $7.5 trillion in debt our nation, our economy, and our children could have avoided with a balanced budget amendment.

HONORING JOAN MILLMAN

HON. NYDIA M. VELÁZQUEZ
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, October 26, 2015

Ms. VELÁZQUEZ. Mr. Speaker, today I extend a heartfelt appreciation of Joan Millman for her tireless work for the residents of the 52nd Assembly District over the last two decades.

“Brownstone Joan” has represented us in Albany as a reformer to challenge the establishment, she has lent her voice to those who too often are neglected or ignored in the political process.

Joan has been a trailblazer like our beloved Eileen Dugan before her, and today our new Assemblywoman Jo Anne Simon continues that tradition.

Joan Millman has been a steadfast and dedicated champion of seniors, children and the most vulnerable among us.

Among her many achievements, she has been critical to making the dream of Brooklyn Bridge Park a reality. She has advocated for affordable housing, street safety and access to quality education and parental engagement.

My friends, Joan has a long public service record that speaks for itself. She is a leader with great integrity who has led our assembly district with distinction and honor.

Not only is Joan a fine public servant, she is also a very good friend.

Joan Millman’s tireless efforts over the last 17 years on behalf of all Brooklyn residents are an inspiration to all of us in public service and I salute her.

IN RECOGNITION OF 100TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE METROPOLITAN CLUB

HON. JACKIE SPEIER
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, October 26, 2015

Ms. SPEIER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the 100th anniversary of a landmark in San Francisco that is beloved as an important gathering place for women and as a historic building. The Metropolitan Club at 640 Sutter Street today serves over 1,000 members and countless non-profits that use the beautiful building for meetings and events.

The Metropolitan Club started in 1912–1914 as a vision of a group of Bay Area women who were determined to build an athletic club for women similar in size, grandeur and services to those available to men. These ladies were active in civic and charitable organizations and the preparations for the 1915 Panama Pacific International Exposition.

On October 25, 1915 their vision became a reality and the Women’s Athletic Club of San Francisco was incorporated as the first women’s athletic club west of Chicago. For a century now, the club has been a special place for women of all ages to pursue physical and intellectual fitness.

The historic building was designed by Bliss & Faville, a prominent architectural firm that is responsible for landmarks such as the Bank of California, the Geary Theater, the Masonic Temple, Southern Pacific headquarters, China Basin, the Marines’ Memorial Club and the University Club. After a major addition to the clubhouse was finished in 1923, the San Francisco Chronicle lauded the club as “unlike anything in the United States maintained for and by women.”

The unique character of the Metropolitan Club has made it a desirable place for historic events. It became the unofficial headquarters for visiting women journalists who covered the signing of the United Nations Charter in San Francisco in 1945. In 1948, it was the only local club represented at the first Western meeting of UNESCO.

In 2004, the Metropolitan Club received one of the most prestigious honors from the U.S. Department of the Interior; it was nominated to be listed in the National Register of Historic Places which is the official list of the Nation’s historic places. The Metropolitan Club was described as a “place where the women who formed a club and generations of San Francisco women after them have met their friends, exercised, dined, played cards, celebrated holidays, attended lectures, entertained others and engaged in all the activities of the club.” The same year, the 640 Heritage Preservation Foundation was created as a 502(c)3 with the mission to preserve the club’s historic building and important heritage.

Major seismic and life-saving renovations were completed in 2009 to prepare the club for its second century as the “House that Women Built.”

Mr. Speaker, I ask that the House of Representatives join me in saying happy 100th birthday to the Metropolitan Club which has touched the lives of countless San Francisco families and helped shape the history of our beautiful city by the bay.

CONGRATULATING OAK TRACE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, A BLUE RIBBON SCHOOL

HON. SUSAN W. BROOKS
OF INDIANA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, October 26, 2015

Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate an outstanding school in my district that is being honored as a 2015 National Blue Ribbon School. It is a pleasure to congratulate Oak Trace Elementary School in Westfield, Indiana in celebration of this special occasion.

The National Blue Ribbon designation, given by the United States Department of Education, is awarded to both public and private schools across our great nation. Started by President Reagan and given annually since 1982, the award celebrates great American schools that achieve very high learning standards or are making significant improvements in the academic achievements of their students. In my district and across the country, the award recognizes the great educators, students, and parents who have worked so hard to ensure Indiana’s children reach their full potential and achieve academic success.

For all of these reasons and many more, I am so proud that Oak Trace Elementary School is receiving this prestigious designation. It is a wonderful acknowledgement of the school’s commitment to providing young Hoosiers an exceptional education. While hundreds of schools nationwide were nominated, only 335 schools were designated as 2015 National Blue Ribbon Schools, making this recognition all the more impressive.

Serving developmental preschool children through fourth grade, Oak Trace Elementary School provides its students with a safe and exciting learning environment. Oak Trace is a C.L.A.S.S. (Connecting Learning Assures Successful Students) school that implements a non-cognitive curriculum focused on doing the right thing and treating people right. They offer a unique learning atmosphere that focuses on building life skills, measures performance by individual student growth, and offers plenty of opportunities to give back to the community. Through differentiated instruction, students achieve well above the national average and consistently place in the top 5 percent of all students in Indiana. I also applaud the School’s administrators and teachers for their focus on individual growth and commitment to ensuring its students engage with the Hoosier community.
As an advocate for education and youth, I also want to acknowledge how important it is to our nation's future to encourage and raise a new generation of Americans who have the skills and knowledge to succeed both in and out of the classroom. Students like those at Oak Trace Elementary School give me hope that we will continue this vital mission. Their outstanding work is an inspiration to students, educators, and parents across the nation. Once again, congratulations to Oak Trace Elementary School. I am very proud of you.

HONORING LINDA B. SWADEL, CHIEF ASSESSOR FROM WESTBOROUGH, MASSACHUSETTS

HON. JAMES P. MCGOVERN
OF MASSACHUSETTS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, October 26, 2015

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Linda B. Swadel, Chief Assessor from Westborough, Massachusetts.

Linda is retiring this November after having served as an Assessor in several communities—including Leicester, Northbridge and Westminster—over the last 30 years as well as serving on various committees for the Massachusetts Association of Assessing Officers. She is currently serving as the MAAO Legislative Committee Co-Chair.

Like assessors throughout Massachusetts, Linda has helped to provide our cities and towns with the critical financial services essential to supporting strong budgets for our communities' schools, public safety, recreation, and so many other services our families count on every day.

She is currently the Chairman of the Board of Assessors and Chief Assessor in Westborough and she serves on the Massachusetts Farmland Valuation Advisory Sub-Committee as well as the Legislative Task Force for the Council on Aging.

She has served on various other MAAO Committees in her tenure, such as the Education Committee and the Telecom Committee. She is a recipient of the MAAO's Wilson Award as well as the MAAO's Past President's Award. Both of which are given to members who demonstrate service and dedication to the profession and the Association.

Linda is also a two-time Past President of the Worcester County Assessors Association and I am so grateful for the difference she has made in our community.

Throughout her career, she has shared her passion for her profession by speaking at conferences, inspiring and helping her peers to grow in their own careers.

For all of Linda's hard work and dedication, I am proud to recognize her as she retires from a career dedicated to helping others and keeping our communities strong.

TRIBUTE TO DR. MARTHA BRUCKNER

HON. DAVID YOUNG
OF IOWA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, October 26, 2015

Mr. YOUNG of Iowa. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and congratulate Dr. Martha Bruckner of Council Bluffs, Iowa, for her selection as Iowa's 2015–16 School Superintendent of the Year. Under Dr. Bruckner's leadership the Council Bluffs Community School District has seen great successes, including improved graduation rates and increased academic achievement.

This award is given by the School Administrators of Iowa, based on standards that include a shared vision of learning, a presence of school culture, a safe and effective learning environment, engagement with the community, integrity and fairness, and a dedication to addressing the issues facing public education.

Dr. Bruckner embodies these criteria with her passion for education and her leadership within the Council Bluffs School District. She has shown that a commitment to improving the learning environment within a school district can and will yield positive results for students.

Mr. Speaker, I commend Dr. Bruckner for her dedicated service to the Council Bluffs Community School District, and more importantly, to each and every student she oversees. I ask that my colleagues in the United States House of Representatives join me in congratulating Dr. Bruckner for receiving this outstanding award and in wishing her and her students nothing but continued success.

CONGRATULATING THE 2016 VIRGINIA TEACHER OF THE YEAR, MRS. NATALIE DIFUSCO-FUNK

HON. H. MORGAN GRIFFITH
OF VIRGINIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, October 26, 2015

Mr. GRIFFITH. Mr. Speaker, I submit these remarks to congratulate the 2016 Virginia Teacher of the Year, Mrs. Natalie DiFusco-Funk. She is a fifth-grade teacher at West Salem Elementary School in Salem, Virginia, where my two sons attend school.

According to press reports, DiFusco-Funk earned her Bachelor of Arts in Education and her Master of Education at Boston College, and in 2013 earned her National Board Certification in Literacy. She is a 12-year veteran of the classroom who has been teaching in Salem City Schools for five years. Previously, she taught in Botetourt County as a reading specialist and also taught in schools in Massachusetts.

"Natalie is an exceptional teacher and a consummate professional who positively changes the lives of young people and facilitates the growth and development of her colleagues," said Salem Superintendent H. Alan Seibert.

DiFusco-Funk, who has said she felt called to teach since she was in fifth grade, is the first Salem City Schools teacher to have earned this recognition. She will now compete to be the National Teacher of the Year.

She said, "I told my students—like Spider-Man says, 'With great power comes great responsibility,' and so it is my responsibility to represent the field of education well and to speak on behalf of all the teachers of Virginia, and take it to the White House."

On behalf of all educators in my district, I thank Natalie DiFusco-Funk for all she has done for our area, and congratulate her for this hard-earned recognition and praise. Best wishes for many more years of success.

CONGRATULATING OUR LADY OF MOUNT CARMEL CATHOLIC SCHOOL, A BLUE RIBBON SCHOOL

HON. SUSAN W. BROOKS
OF INDIANA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, October 26, 2015

Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate an outstanding school in my district that is being honored as a 2015 National Blue Ribbon School. It is a pleasure to congratulate Our Lady of Mount Carmel Catholic School in Indianapolis, Indiana in celebration of this special occasion.

The National Blue Ribbon designation, given by the United States Department of Education, is awarded to both public and private schools across our great nation. Started by President Reagan and given annually since 1982, the award celebrates great American schools that achieve very high learning standards or are making significant improvements in the academic achievements of their students. In my district and across the country, the award recognizes the great educators, students, and parents who have worked so hard to ensure Indiana's children reach their full potential and achieve academic success.

For all of these reasons and many more, I am so proud that Our Lady of Mount Carmel Catholic School is receiving this prestigious designation. It is a wonderful acknowledgement of the school's commitment to providing young Hoosiers an exceptional education. While hundreds of schools nationwide were nominated, only 335 schools were designated as 2015 National Blue Ribbon Schools. Of the 335 schools, Our Lady of Mount Carmel was 1 of 50 private schools to receive recognition, making this recognition all the more impressive.

Serving children from kindergarten through eighth grade, Our Lady of Mount Carmel Catholic School provides its students with an outstanding education in both academics and the Catholic faith. It is a Four Star School, awarded by the state of Indiana. The school achieved this designation by placing in the top 25th percentile of schools in three ISTEP-based categories. Our Lady consistently provides excellence in education by facilitating a Christ-centered educational community that creates a vibrant learning community, provides opportunities to serve others, and prepares students for lifelong learning. As a mother whose children attended Catholic school, I applaud Our Lady of Mount Carmel Catholic School for its work to ensure its students engage in significant acts of community service and remain dedicated to carrying out the mission of Jesus Christ.

As an advocate for education and youth, I also want to acknowledge how important it is to our nation's future to encourage and raise a new generation of Americans who have the skills and knowledge to succeed both in and out of the classroom. Students like those at Our Lady of Mount Carmel Catholic School give me hope that we will accomplish this vital mission. Their outstanding work is an inspiration to students, educators, and parents across the nation. Once again, congratulations to Our Lady of Mount Carmel Catholic School. I am very proud of you.
Mr. ASHFORD. Mr. Speaker, today I’d like to recognize CQuence Health Group, named number one on the list of “Best Places to Work in Healthcare” by Modern Healthcare. Ranked on their commitment to wellness, balance, and workplace fitness, Modern Healthcare definitively found CQuence was on top this year.

This type of recognition is not unusual for CQuence, who were also named “Employee Voice Award” this year as well. In 2014 they were ranked Top 10 “Best Places to Work” and given the “Golden Well Workplace Award”. The list of awards and accomplishments, way to 2022, demonstrating CQuence’s real commitment to a better work place. Not only am I proud that CQuence’s 31 employees work in my district, I’m also proud to represent this innovative leadership. CEO Mike Cassling clearly understands that the way we work has dramatically changed, so must we change the way we deal with stress and quality of life.

Studies have shown workplace stress is costing our economy money: from health care costs, low productivity, to job turnover, stress negatively impacts businesses everywhere. CQuence’s approach to work balance and employee wellness will certainly change their employees’ lives, which in turn will change the lives of their families and friends. Soon, this employee wellness first approach will permeate the Omaha community, the greater state of Nebraska, and our country.

I hope to see more businesses follow this example. If anyone is seeking a new job, I encourage them to see what Nebraska has to offer. Surprisingly, unemployment to our high employee satisfaction, Nebraska leads the way. I applaud Mike and CQuence for their award winning wellness programs and I am excited to see how they continue to enrich their lives next year.

SENATE COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Title IV of Senate Resolution 4, agreed to by the Senate of February 4, 1977, calls for establishment of a system for a computerized schedule of all meetings and hearings of Senate committees, subcommittees, joint committees, and committees of conference. This title requires all such committees to notify the Office of the Senate Daily Digest—designated by the Rules Committee—of the time, place and purpose of the meetings, when scheduled and any cancellations or changes in the meetings as they occur.

As an additional procedure along with the computerization of this information, the Office of the Senate Daily Digest will prepare this information for printing in the Extensions of Remarks section of the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD on Monday and Wednesday of each week.

Meetings scheduled for Tuesday, October 27, 2015 may be found in the Daily Digest of today’s RECORD.

2:30 p.m.
Select Committee on Intelligence
To hold closed hearings to examine certain intelligence matters.

SH–219

NOVEMBER 4
10 a.m.
Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
To hold hearings to examine the value of education choices for low-income families, focusing on reauthorizing the D.C. Opportunity Scholarship Program.

SD–342
**Daily Digest**

**Senate**

**Chamber Action**

**Routine Proceedings, pages S7479–S7496**

**Measures Introduced:** One bill and five resolutions were introduced, as follows: S. 2206, S.J. Res. 23–24, and S. Res. 296–298.

**Measures Reported:**

S. 2123, to reform sentencing laws and correctional institutions, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute.

**Measures Passed:**

*Wounded Warriors Federal Leave Act:* Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs was discharged from further consideration of H.R. 313, to amend title 5, United States Code, to provide leave to any new Federal employee who is a veteran with a service-connected disability rated at 30 percent or more for purposes of undergoing medical treatment for such disability, and the bill was then passed.

*Improving Regulatory Transparency for New Medical Therapies Act:* Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions was discharged from further consideration of H.R. 639, to amend the Controlled Substances Act with respect to drug scheduling recommendations by the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and with respect to registration of manufacturers and distributors seeking to conduct clinical testing, and the bill was then passed, after agreeing to the following amendment proposed thereto:

Portman (for Alexander) Amendment No. 2748, in the nature of a substitute.

*Congratulating the Minnesota Lynx:* Senate agreed to S. Res. 297, congratulating the Minnesota Lynx on their victory in the 2015 Women’s National Basketball Association Finals.

**Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act—Agreement:** A unanimous-consent-time agreement was reached providing that at approximately 10 a.m., on Tuesday, October 27, 2015, Senate resume consideration of S. 754, to improve cybersecurity in the United States through enhanced sharing of information about cybersecurity threats, with the time until 11 a.m., equally divided between the two Leaders, or their designees; and that notwithstanding the provisions of rule XXII, that there be two minutes of debate equally divided prior to each vote, and that all votes after the first vote in each series be 10 minutes each.

**Nomination Confirmed:** Senate confirmed the following nomination:

By a unanimous vote of 88 yeas (Vote No. EX. 284), Lawrence Joseph Vilardo, of New York, to be United States District Judge for the Western District of New York.

**Messages from the House:**

**Measures Referred:**

**Measures Placed on the Calendar:**

**Enrolled Bills Presented:**

**Executive Communications:**

**Petitions and Memorials:**

**Additional Cosponsors:**

**Statements on Introduced Bills/Resolutions:**

**Additional Statements:**

**Amendments Submitted:**

**Record Votes:** One record vote was taken today.

**(Total—284)**

**Adjournment:** Senate convened at 3 p.m. and adjourned at 6:13 p.m., until 10 a.m. on Tuesday, October 27, 2015. (For Senate's program, see the remarks of the Acting Majority Leader in today's Record on pages S7495–96.)

**Committee Meetings**

(Committees not listed did not meet)

No committee meetings were held.
House of Representatives

Public Bills and Resolutions Introduced: 6 public bills, H.R. 3828–3833; and 5 resolutions, H.J. Res. 71–72; H. Con. Res. 87; and H.Res. 492–493 were introduced.

Reports Filed: Reports were filed today as follows:

- H.R. 1317, to amend the Commodity Exchange Act and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to specify how clearing requirements apply to certain affiliate transactions, and for other purposes, with an amendment (H. Rept. 114–311, Part I);
- H.R. 1338, to require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to conduct a study on matters relating to the burial of unclaimed remains of veterans in national cemeteries, and for other purposes, with an amendment (H. Rept. 114–312);
- H. Res. 491, providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1090) to amend the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 to provide protections for retail customers, and for other purposes (H. Rept. 114–313).

Speaker: Read a letter from the Speaker wherein he appointed Representative Dold to act as Speaker pro tempore for today.

Recess: The House recessed at 12:04 p.m. and reconvened at 2 p.m.

Motion to discharge committee: Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XV, Representative Fincher called up Motion No. 2, to discharge the Committee on Rules from the further consideration of H. Res. 450, providing for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 597) to reauthorize the Export-Import Bank of the United States. Subsequently, the House agreed to the motion to discharge the Committee on Rules by a yea-and-nay vote of 246 yeas to 177 nays, Roll No. 569.

Reform Exports and Expand the American Economy Act—Rule for consideration: The House began consideration of H.R. 3033, amended, to require the President’s annual budget request to Congress each year to include a line item for the Research in Disabilities Education program of the National Science Foundation and to require the National Science Foundation to conduct research on dyslexia.

Senate Message: Message received from the Senate by the Clerk and subsequently presented to the House today appears on page H7178.

Quorum Calls—Votes: One yea-and-nay vote developed during the proceedings of today and appears on page H7182. There were no quorum calls.

Adjournment: The House met at 12 noon and adjourned at 8:15 p.m.

Committee Meetings

RETAIL INVESTOR PROTECTION ACT

Committee on Rules: Full Committee held a hearing on H.R. 1090, the “Retail Investor Protection Act”. The committee granted, by record vote of 9–3, a structured rule for H.R. 1090. The rule provides one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Financial Services. The rule waives all points of order against consideration of the bill. The rule provides that the amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 114–31 shall be considered as adopted and the bill, as amended, shall be considered as read. The rule waives all points of order against provisions in the bill, as amended. The rule makes in order only the amendment printed in the Rules Committee report, if offered by Representative Lynch of Massachusetts, or his designee, which shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for 10 minutes equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question. The rule waives all points of order against the amendment printed in the report. The rule provides one motion to recommit with or without instructions. Testimony was heard from Chairman Hensarling and Representative Maxine Waters of California.

Joint Meetings

No joint committee meetings were held.
NEW PUBLIC LAWS
(For last listing of Public Laws, see DAILY DIGEST, p. D1104)
H.R. 3116, to extend by 15 years the authority of the Secretary of Commerce to conduct the quarterly financial report program. Signed on October 22, 2015. (Public Law 114–72)

COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR TUESDAY, OCTOBER 27, 2015
(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)

Senate
Committee on Armed Services: to hold hearings to examine United States military strategy in the Middle East, 9 a.m., SD–G50.
Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation: business meeting to consider pending calendar business, Time to be announced, S–207, Capitol.
Committee on Energy and Natural Resources: to hold an oversight hearing to examine the Office of Surface Mining, Reclamation, and Enforcement’s proposed Stream Protection Rule, 9 a.m., SD–366.
Committee on Finance: to hold hearings to examine the Internal Revenue Service’s response to Committee recommendations contained in its August 5, 2015 report, 9 a.m., SD–215.
Committee on Foreign Relations: to receive a closed briefing on the Administration’s response to the Syrian conflict, 9:30 a.m., SVC–217.
Select Committee on Intelligence: to hold closed hearings to examine certain intelligence matters, 2 p.m., SH–219.

House
Committee on Agriculture, Subcommittee on Nutrition, hearing entitled “Past, Present, and Future of SNAP: Breaking the Cycle”, 10 a.m., 1300 Longworth.
Committee on Armed Services, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Shortening the Defense Acquisition Cycle”, 10 a.m., 2118 Rayburn.
Subcommittee on Seapower and Projection Forces, hearing entitled “Game Changers—Undersea Warfare”, 2 p.m., 2118 Rayburn.
Committee on Education and the Workforce, Subcommittee on Early Childhood, Elementary, and Secondary Education, hearing entitled “Improving Career and Technical Education to Help Students Succeed in the Workforce”, 10 a.m., 2261 Rayburn.
Committee on Energy and Commerce, Subcommittee on Communications and Technology, hearing entitled “Common Carrier Regulation of the Internet: Investment Impacts”, 10 a.m., 2123 Rayburn.
Subcommittee on the Middle East and North Africa, hearing entitled “Examining the Syrian Humanitarian Crisis from the Ground, Part II”, 2 p.m., 2167 Rayburn.
Committee on House Administration, Full Committee, markup on a committee resolution amending Committee regulations to permit officially-sanctioned competitions, 11 a.m., 1310 Longworth.
Committee on the Judiciary, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 3279, the “Open Book on Equal Access to Justice Act”; and H.R. 2834, to enact certain laws relating to the environment as title 55, United States Code, “Environment”, 10 a.m., 2141 Rayburn.
Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, Subcommittee on Information Technology; and Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations of the House Committee on Veterans’ Affairs, joint hearing entitled “VA and DoD IT: Electronic Health Records Interoperability”, 2 p.m., 2154 Rayburn.
Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, Full Committee, hearing entitled “A Review of Progress by the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Science and Technology Directorate”, 10 a.m., 2318 Rayburn.
Committee on Small Business, Subcommittee on Contracting and Workforce, hearing entitled “Maximizing Mentoring: How are the SBA and DoD Mentor-Prote´ge´ Programs Serving Small Businesses?”, 10 a.m., 2360 Rayburn.
Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, Subcommittee on Coast Guard and Maritime Transportation, hearing entitled “Prevention of and Response to the Arrival of a Dirty Bomb at a U.S. Port”, 10 a.m., 2167 Rayburn.

CONGRESSIONAL PROGRAM AHEAD
Week of October 27 through October 30, 2015

Senate Chamber
On Tuesday, at approximately 10 a.m., Senate will resume consideration of S. 754, Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act. At approximately 11 a.m., Senate will vote on or in relation to a series of amendments to the bill. At 4 p.m., Senate will continue to vote on or in relation to amendments to the bill, followed by a vote on the motion to invoke cloture on the bill, and then a vote on final passage of the bill.
During the balance of the week, Senate may consider any cleared legislative and executive business.

Senate Committees
(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)
Committee on Appropriations: October 28, Subcommittee on Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies, to hold hearings to examine unmanned aircraft systems and the steps being taken to successfully integrate this technology into our National Airspace System, 10 a.m., SD–192.
October 28, Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development, to hold hearings to examine realizing the potential of the Department of Energy national laboratories, 2:30 p.m., SD–138.

Committee on Armed Services: October 27, to hold hearings to examine United States military strategy in the Middle East, 9 a.m., SD–G50.

October 29, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine alternative approaches to defense strategy and force structure, 9:30 a.m., SD–G50.

Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs: October 28, Subcommittee on Financial Institutions and Consumer Protection, to hold hearings to examine the state of rural banking, focusing on challenges and consequences, 10 a.m., SD–358.

Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation: October 27, business meeting to consider pending calendar business, Time to be announced, S–207, Capitol.

October 28, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine the nomination of Jessica Rosenworcel, of the District of Columbia, to be a Member of the Federal Communications Commission for a term of five years from July 1, 2015, 10 a.m., SR–253.

Committee on Energy and Natural Resources: October 27, to hold an oversight hearing to examine the Office of Surface Mining, Reclamation, and Enforcement’s proposed Stream Protection Rule, 9 a.m., SD–366.

Committee on Finance: October 27, to hold hearings to examine the Internal Revenue Service’s response to Committee recommendations contained in its August 5, 2015 report, 9 a.m., SD–215.

October 29, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine welfare and poverty in America, 10 a.m., SD–215.

Committee on Foreign Relations: October 27, to receive a closed briefing on the Administration’s response to the Syrian conflict, 9:30 a.m., SVC–217.

October 28, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine the United States role and strategy in the Middle East, 9:30 a.m., SD–419.

October 28, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine the nominations of Peter William Bodde, of Maryland, to be Ambassador to Libya, Marc Jonathan Sievers, of Maryland, to be Ambassador to the Sultanate of Oman, Elisabeth I. Millard, of Virginia, to be Ambassador to the Republic of Tajikistan, and Kenneth Damian Ward, of Virginia, for the rank of Ambassador during his tenure of service as United States Representative to the Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons, all of the Department of State, and John Morton, of Massachusetts, to be Executive Vice President of the Overseas Private Investment Corporation, 3:30 p.m., SD–419.

October 29, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine the nomination of Thomas A. Shannon, Jr., of Virginia, to be an Under Secretary of State (Political Affairs), 10 a.m., SD–419.


Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions: October 28, Subcommittee on Primary Health and Retirement Security, to hold hearings to examine retirement plan options for small businesses, 2:30 p.m., SH–216.

October 29, Full Committee, to hold hearings to examine mental health and substance use disorders in America, focusing on priorities, challenges, and opportunities, 10 a.m., SD–430.
Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs: October 28, to hold hearings to examine the state of our nation’s biodefense, 2:30 p.m., SD–342.

Committee on the Judiciary: October 29, business meeting to consider pending calendar business, 10 a.m., SD–226.

Committee on Veterans’ Affairs: October 28, to hold hearings to examine Department of Veterans Affairs mental health, focusing on ensuring access to care, 2:30 p.m., SR–418.

Select Committee on Intelligence: October 27, to hold closed hearings to examine certain intelligence matters, 2 p.m., SH–219.

October 29, Full Committee, to hold closed hearings to examine certain intelligence matters, 2:30 p.m., SH–219.

House Committees

Committee on Agriculture, October 28, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Big Data and Agriculture: Innovation and Implications”, 10 a.m., 1300 Longworth.

Committee on Armed Services, October 28, Subcommittee on Military Personnel, hearing entitled “Transition Assistance Program—A Unity of Effort”, 2 p.m., 2212 Rayburn.


Committee on the Budget, October 28, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Restoring the Trust for America’s Most Vulnerable”, 10 a.m., 210 Cannon.

Committee on Education and the Workforce, October 28, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 3459, the “Protecting Local Business Opportunity Act”, 10 a.m., HVC–210.

Committee on Energy and Commerce, October 28, Subcommittee on Communications and Technology, hearing entitled “Breaking Down Barriers to Broadband Infrastructure Deployment”, 10 a.m., 2123 Rayburn.


Committee on Homeland Security, October 28, Subcommittee on Counterterrorism and Intelligence, hearing entitled “Terror Inmates: Countering Violent Extremism in Prison and Beyond”, 10 a.m., 311 Cannon.

Committee on the Judiciary, October 28, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Oversight of the United States Department of Justice”, 9:30 a.m., 2141 Rayburn.

Committee on Natural Resources, October 28, Subcommittee on Federal Lands, hearing on a discussion draft of the “Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Modernization Act”, 10 a.m., 1324 Longworth.

October 28, Subcommittee on Indian, Insular and Alaska Native Affairs, hearing on H.R. 3764, the “Tribal Recognition Act of 2015”, 2 p.m., 1334 Longworth.

October 28, Subcommittee on Water, Power and Oceans, hearing on H.R. 1219, the “Arbuckle Project Maintenance Complex and District Office Conveyance Act of 2015; H.R. 1296, to amend the San Luis Rey Indian Water Rights Settlement Act to clarify certain settlement terms, and for other purposes; and H.R. 3062, the “Assuring Private Property Rights Over Vast Access to Land (APPROVAL) Act”, 2:30 p.m., 1324 Longworth.

Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, October 28, Subcommittee on National Security, hearing entitled “Radicalization: Social Media and the Rise of Terrorism”, 10 a.m., 2154 Rayburn.

Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, October 28, Subcommittee on Research and Technology, hearing entitled “A Review of the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD) Program”, 10 a.m., 2318 Rayburn.
Next Meeting of the SENATE
10 a.m., Tuesday, October 27

Senate Chamber

Program for Tuesday: Senate will resume consideration of S. 754, Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act. At approximately 11 a.m., Senate will vote on or in relation to a series of amendments to the bill. At 4 p.m., Senate will continue to vote on or in relation to amendments to the bill, followed by a vote on the motion to invoke closure on the bill, and then a vote on final passage of the bill.

(Senate will recess following the vote on or in relation to Feinstein (for Franken) Further Modified Amendment No. 2612 (to Amendment No. 2716), until 2:15 p.m. for their respective party conferences.)

Next Meeting of the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
10 a.m., Tuesday, October 27

House Chamber

Program for Tuesday: To be announced.
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