

at Chicago O'Hare International Airport and throughout downstate Illinois. His honesty, professionalism, patience, and sense of humor have made him one of the most sought after advisors on airline industry issues. He will be missed.

During Will's tenure at American, he led the effort to protect the domestic aviation industry, assure the continued viability of passenger service, and establish new security measures in the wake of the attacks in 2001. He has also led the effort to gain public and political support for the merger between American and U.S. Airways—creating a strong, competitive airline employing more than 100,000 people all over the world.

American Airlines chairman and CEO Doug Parker recently honored Will with these words: "Will understands commercial aviation and cares about the frontline professionals who are the backbone of our business. Will embodies all of the best things about American Airlines, and thanks to his extraordinary efforts, American will be great for years."

Prior to joining American, Will represented the airline as outside counsel for 13 years as the executive vice president of the Wexler Group. He also served as a trial attorney for the U.S. Civil Aeronautics Board from 1975 to 1978. In 1978, Will was appointed counsel to the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation and its Aviation Subcommittee. In this post, Will played a major role in drafting the Airline Deregulation Act of 1978 and successfully navigating the legislative maze all the way to President Jimmy Carter's desk for his signature. This landmark law changed the face of commercial aviation in this country.

Will Ris's love of aviation and passion for American Airlines is well known, but more importantly, Will is known as one of the most decent men in Washington. He spends countless hours committed to community service. He serves as chairman emeritus of the board of directors of the Green Door, Inc., the oldest and largest behavioral health providers—helping nearly 1,600 people every year battling chronic mental health and substance abuse conditions. Additionally, he serves as vice chair of the American Association of People with Disabilities—the country's largest cross-disabilities membership organization. He is also a director of the Ford's Theater board of governors, the Business-Government Relations Council, the Advanced Navigation and Positioning Corporation in Hood River, OR, and a member of the board of trustees for the Woolly Mammoth Theater right here in Washington, DC. Where does he find the time?

I want to congratulate Will Ris on his distinguished career and thank him for his service to American Airlines. I have had the privilege in public life to meet some outstanding people; I count

Will Ris as one of those people. I wish him and his wife, Nancy, all the best in the next chapter of their lives.

Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE,  
SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION  
CBO COST ESTIMATE—S. 2044

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, when the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation filed its report on S. 2044, the Consumer Review Freedom Act of 2015, the estimate of the Congressional Budget Office was not available. The estimate has since been received.

I ask unanimous consent that the estimate from the Congressional Budget Office be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

U.S. CONGRESS,  
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE,  
Washington, DC, December 9, 2015.

Hon. JOHN THUNE,  
Chairman, Committee on Commerce, Science,  
and Transportation, U.S. Senate, Wash-  
ington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: The Congressional Budget Office has prepared the enclosed cost estimate for S. 2044, the Consumer Review Freedom Act of 2015.

If you wish further details on this estimate, we will be pleased to provide them. The CBO staff contact is Susan Willie.

Sincerely,

KEITH HALL.

S. 2044—CONSUMER REVIEW FREEDOM ACT OF  
2015

S. 2044 would void provisions of certain types of contracts that:

Restrict the ability of a party to the contract from publishing a review or analysis of the performance of another party under the contract;

Impose a penalty or fee for publishing such a review; and

Transfer or require the transfer of any rights to the intellectual property of the person who created the review.

The bill would prohibit the use of contracts that contain those provisions and authorize the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) to enforce those new prohibitions. In addition, the FTC would be authorized to seek civil penalties for violations of the new prohibitions. Finally, S. 2044 would direct the FTC to develop an education and outreach program to provide businesses with best practices for complying with the new restrictions.

Based on information from the FTC, CBO estimates that the cost of implementing S. 2044 would not be significant because the agency is able to enforce similar prohibitions and provide compliance assistance under its existing general authorities. CBO estimates that enacting S. 2044 would increase federal revenues from the added authority to collect civil penalties; therefore, pay-as-you-go procedures apply. However, we expect those collections would be insignificant because of the small number of cases that the agency would probably pursue. Enacting the bill would not affect direct spending.

CBO estimates that enacting S. 2044 would not increase net direct spending or on-budget deficits in any of the four consecutive 10-year periods beginning in 2026.

S. 2044 contains no intergovernmental mandates as defined in the Unfunded Man-

dates Reform Act (UMRA) and would not affect the budgets of state, local, or tribal governments.

Although the Federal Trade Commission has begun to enforce prohibitions on contract provisions similar to those outlined in the bill under its existing authorities, to the extent that such provisions are not currently considered void in all jurisdictions, the bill would impose a private-sector mandate as defined in UMRA on entities that use such provisions in their contracts. The cost of the mandate would be the value of forgone income from out-of-court settlements and compensation for damages the entities could be awarded under a breach of contract claim. However, reliable and comprehensive information concerning the number of businesses that continue to use contracts containing such provisions, the number of those that require monetary payment, and the level of any such payments is not available. In addition, although the court cases in which consumers have challenged these provisions have resulted in judgments in favor of the consumer, the limited sample of such cases cannot be used to generalize about the results of such cases in other jurisdictions. Therefore, CBO cannot determine whether the cost of the mandate would exceed the annual threshold established in UMRA for private-sector mandates (\$154 million in 2015, adjusted annually for inflation).

The CBO staff contacts for this estimate are Susan Willie (for federal costs) and Logan Smith (for the impact on the private sector). The estimate was approved by H. Samuel Papenfuss, Deputy Assistant Director for Budget Analysis.

BUDGETARY REVISIONS

Mr. ENZI. Mr. President, section 4305 of S. Con. Res. 11, the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2016, allows the chairman of the Senate Budget Committee to revise the allocations, aggregates, and levels in the budget resolution for legislation related to health care reform. The authority to adjust is contingent on the legislation not increasing the deficit over either the period of the total of fiscal years 2016–2020 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2016–2025.

I find that H.R. 3762, as passed the Senate, fulfills the conditions of deficit neutrality found in section 4305 of S. Con. Res. 11. Accordingly, I am revising the allocations to the Committee on Finance, the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions, HELP, and the budgetary aggregates to account for the budget effects of the bill. I am also adjusting the unassigned to committee savings levels in the budget resolution to reflect that, while there are savings in the bill attributable to both the HELP and Finance Committees, the Congressional Budget Office and Joint Committee on Taxation are unable to produce unique estimates for each provision due to interactions and other effects that are estimated simultaneously.

The adjustments that I filed on Thursday, December 3, 2015, are now void and replaced by these new adjustments.

I ask unanimous consent that the accompanying tables, which provide details about the adjustment, be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

**BUDGET AGGREGATES—BUDGET AUTHORITY AND OUTLAYS**

(Pursuant to Section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and Section 4305 of S. Con. Res. 11, the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2016)

| \$ in millions         | 2016      |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Current Aggregates:    |           |
| Spending:              |           |
| Budget Authority ..... | 3,033,488 |
| Outlays .....          | 3,091,974 |
| Adjustments:           |           |
| Spending:              |           |
| Budget Authority ..... | -24,200   |

**BUDGET AGGREGATES—BUDGET AUTHORITY AND OUTLAYS—Continued**

(Pursuant to Section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and Section 4305 of S. Con. Res. 11, the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2016)

| \$ in millions         | 2016      |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Outlays .....          | -24,300   |
| Revised Aggregates:    |           |
| Spending:              |           |
| Budget Authority ..... | 3,009,288 |
| Outlays .....          | 3,067,674 |

**BUDGET AGGREGATE—REVENUES**  
(Pursuant to Section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and Section 4305 of S. Con. Res. 11, the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2016)

| \$ in millions      | 2016      | 2016–2020  | 2016–2025  |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Current Aggregates: |           |            |            |
| Revenue .....       | 2,675,967 | 14,415,914 | 32,233,099 |
| Adjustments:        |           |            |            |
| Revenue .....       | -57,000   | -381,500   | -992,700   |
| Revised Aggregates: |           |            |            |
| Revenue .....       | 2,618,967 | 14,034,414 | 31,240,399 |

**REVISION TO ALLOCATION TO THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE**  
(Pursuant to Section 302 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and Section 4305 of S. Con. Res. 11, the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2016)

| \$ in millions         | 2016      | 2016–2020  | 2016–2025  |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Current Allocation:    |           |            |            |
| Budget Authority ..... | 2,179,749 | 12,342,551 | 29,428,176 |
| Outlays .....          | 2,169,759 | 12,322,705 | 29,403,199 |
| Adjustments:           |           |            |            |
| Budget Authority ..... | -2,000    | -4,600     | 16,200     |
| Outlays .....          | -2,000    | -4,600     | 16,200     |
| Revised Allocation:    |           |            |            |
| Budget Authority ..... | 2,177,749 | 12,337,951 | 29,444,376 |
| Outlays .....          | 2,167,759 | 12,318,105 | 29,419,399 |

**REVISION TO ALLOCATION TO THE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH, EDUCATION, LABOR, AND PENSIONS**  
(Pursuant to Section 302 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and Section 4305 of S. Con. Res. 11, the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2016)

| \$ in millions         | 2016   | 2016–2020 | 2016–2025 |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| Current Allocation:    |        |           |           |
| Budget Authority ..... | 12,137 | 87,301    | 174,372   |
| Outlays .....          | 14,271 | 87,783    | 182,631   |
| Adjustments:           |        |           |           |
| Budget Authority ..... | 0      | -4,200    | -13,700   |
| Outlays .....          | 0      | -2,400    | -10,900   |
| Revised Allocation:    |        |           |           |
| Budget Authority ..... | 12,137 | 83,101    | 160,672   |
| Outlays .....          | 14,271 | 85,383    | 171,731   |

**REVISION TO ALLOCATION TO UNASSIGNED TO COMMITTEE**  
(Pursuant to Section 302 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and Section 4305 of S. Con. Res. 11, the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2016)

| \$ in millions         | 2016     | 2016–2020  | 2016–2025   |
|------------------------|----------|------------|-------------|
| Current Allocation:    |          |            |             |
| Budget Authority ..... | -930,099 | -6,014,283 | -15,268,775 |
| Outlays .....          | -884,618 | -5,887,158 | -14,949,026 |
| Adjustments:           |          |            |             |
| Budget Authority ..... | -22,100  | -463,500   | -1,368,800  |
| Outlays .....          | -22,100  | -463,500   | -1,368,800  |
| Revised Allocation:    |          |            |             |
| Budget Authority ..... | -952,199 | -6,477,783 | -16,637,575 |
| Outlays .....          | -906,718 | -6,350,658 | -16,317,826 |

**TRIBUTE TO THOMAS LOGSDON**

Mr. DONNELLY. Mr. President, today I wish to recognize and honor the extraordinary service of Thomas “Al” Logsdon. A dedicated educator and a longtime community leader, Al represents Hoosier values at their finest.

Beginning his career in 1964 after graduating from Western Kentucky University with a degree in biology and Spanish, he taught science and coached several sports. From 1970 to 2003, Al has served as the principal of several schools across Indiana, Kentucky, and Illinois.

During this time, Al continued his education earning a Master of Science and Education Specialist degrees from Murray State University in 1970 and 1980, respectively.

As principal, Al led his schools to great success and they received well-deserved awards for their hard work and achievement. In both 2000 and 2003, Heritage Jr./Sr. High School was selected as one of the top six schools in Indiana, as well as being honored with the International Reading Association’s National Award in 2000 for having an outstanding high school reading program. Al was honored as the Indiana High School Principal of the Year in 1989 and was selected by his peers to serve both on the executive committee of the Indiana Principal’s Association and to represent them for 8 years as State coordinator to the National Association of Secondary School Principals.

In 2005, Al was elected Spencer County Commissioner. In that capacity, Al

maintains various responsibilities, but one that he considers to be among the most rewarding and challenging has been serving as president of the drainage board. The board’s initiative of creating a nine-member advisory board, which makes recommendations across the county, won statewide recognition by the Indiana Association of County Commissioners. Al later served on the State board of the Indiana Association of County Commissioners and eventually as president, as well as serving on the Association of Indiana Commissioners Executive Board.

Never one to leave teaching completely, Al became involved in national, State, and local teacher retirement organizations currently serving as the president of the Spencer County Retired Teachers Association.