The House met at noon and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. SMITH of Nebraska).

DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC, January 11, 2016.

I hereby appoint the Honorable ADRIAN SMITH to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

PAUL D. RYAN, Speaker of the House of Representatives.

MORNING-HOUR DEBATE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of January 5, 2016, the Chair will now recognize Members from lists submitted by the majority and minority leaders for morning-hour debate.

The Chair will alternate recognition between the parties, with each party limited to 1 hour and each Member other than the majority and minority leaders and the minority whip limited to 5 minutes, but in no event shall debate continue beyond 1:50 p.m.

RECOGNIZING UNIFI MANUFACTURING, INCORPORATED FOR ITS COMMITMENT TO RECYCLING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. FOXX) for 5 minutes.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, today, I rise to commend Unifi Manufacturing, Incorporated for its commitment to recycling.

Headquartered in Greensboro, Unifi is a leading producer and processor of multifilament polyester and nylon textured yarns. They provide innovative, global textile solutions and unique branded yarns for customers at every level of the supply chain.

Unifi employs about 950 people in North Carolina’s Fifth District at its Repreve Recycling Center in Yadkinville. The company is currently constructing an 85,000-square-foot expansion that will more than double the size of the facility.

Repreve is polyester yarn made from chips that come mainly from recycled plastic bottles and industrial fiber waste. These environmentally friendly yarns have been used in products for customers that include Ford, The North Face, Nike, Haggar, Quiksilver, Volcom, and Patagonia. For example, a classic fit casual dress pant by Haggar features seven recycled bottles. Seat covers in a Ford F-150 truck contain 16 recycled bottles.

Unifi is currently converting about 42 million pounds of recycled products a year into chips at its Yadkinville facility. That includes 11 million pounds of post-consumer plastic bottles and 11 million pounds of post-industrial fiber and fabric waste. Once the expansion is complete, it will recycle 72 million pounds annually.

At current production levels, the Yadkinville center accounts annually for the conversion of 900 million recycled plastic bottles and saves the equivalent of 16 million gallons of gasoline that would be required to make new polyester and nylon.

Last spring, Unifi also opened a 1-megawatt solar farm onsite in Yadkinville. The solar farm is projected to provide about 10 percent of the energy needed to run the recycling center.

Additionally, Unifi is expanding the Repreve brand through its 60 percent interest in Repreve Renewables, a biomass feedstock company that focuses on the direct sales of Freedom Giant Miscanthus to farmers. Some analysts believe this type of grass is extremely efficient in converting sunlight to bio-mass energy. It also produces more fuel than any other biofuel source.

Repreve Renewables has had significant commercial success with Thrivez, its poultry bedding brand. Thrivez regrows annually without replanting, reducing soil erosion, improving water quality, and minimizing water, herbicide, and fertilizer needs.

Unifi has been profitable for 5 consecutive years, and Repreve has expanded from two main apparel customers in 2007 to 32 in 2015. I commend Unifi for achieving economic success through sustainability.

MALHEUR WILDLIFE REFUGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. BLUMENAUER) for 5 minutes.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Speaker, today is the ninth day of armed occupation of the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge in Oregon—lawless, reckless behavior. As the Audubon Society points out: putting one of America’s most important wildlife refuges at risk and threatening Federal employees.

David Jenkins, president of Conservatives for Responsible Stewardship, points out they are trampling on the rights of every American, they are the opposite of conservatives, and they will continue to bully, threaten, and test the limits of civil society until they are stopped. Jenkins urged the Obama administration to follow Teddy Roosevelt’s advice that the law must be enforced with resolute firmness.

I fully understand policy differences, that compromises must be made and that there will be mistakes. I have worked with my Republican colleague GREG WALDEN, whose neighboring district goes all the way to the Idaho border, as we struggled to make broad Federal policy work better for everyone as we spent several years developing a vision for Mount Hood that included protections for wilderness and...
practices for infrastructure and management. It is an ongoing effort. But with 323 million Americans, diverse landscapes, and philosophies that are buried, there are going to be struggles and differences that continue.

The answer is to keep working to find common ground, like we did with our staff and families on a 3-day hike around that magnificent mountain. For that moment, Mount Hood wasn’t the dividing line between our districts; it was a point around which we could come together to agree and work to make things better. It brought us together. That is exactly what needs to happen now.

There are tremendous challenges in our State of Oregon. We have a wildlife refuge in the Klamath Basin with a historic opportunity to remove unnecessary dams that even the private owner doesn’t feel it could maintain, to help restore damage to salmon runs, to be able to deal with a parched wildlife basin in the middle of a desert.

The Federal Government has promised far more in that basin to the stakeholders than it can deliver. There is a huge responsibility for all of us in the Federal Government to help unwind this unsustainable situation.

Native Americans, particularly in the Northwest, despite solemn treaty rights promised to them by the Federal Government and ratified by Congress, have long been abused and ignored. They deserve to be taken seriously and their rights respected.

There are opportunities, like dam removal, that signal a winning opportunity to keep faith with our environmental responsibilities and treaty obligations to Native Americans, to wildlife, and to the surrounding area.

Far from being a threat to the region’s economy, the removal, in an environmentally responsible way, of the four federal-era dams will generate little energy will provide hundreds of family wage jobs for years that will inject badly needed money into the region in the deconstruction phase, to say nothing of the long-term benefits for tourism, recreation, and enhanced environment.

Let’s seize the opportunity in the Klamath. Let’s take the opportunity to implement the long-term vision and water restoration for the Malheur Basin. These are items where hundreds and hundreds of people have labored in good faith for tens of thousands of hours. They can’t need armed outsiders to come to Oregon, threatening public safety and the precious resources for their own political gains.

We ought to be able, in our region, to snatch victory from the jaws of defeat, discard, and the specter of dissension, anger, and a continued sense of victimhood and loss. We don’t have to do that. Let’s build on the progress that we have established and work together to make these people and ourselves winners.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until 2 p.m. today.

Accordingly (at 12 o’clock and 9 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

☐ 1400

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. DENHAM) at 2 p.m.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Patrick J. Conroy, offered the following prayer:

Merciful God, we give You thanks for giving us another day.

Bless this place, this Chamber of the House of Representatives.

In the opening weeks of this new session, surround us with Your spirit. Encourage with Your power all the walls of the dome of this building, truly a symbol to the world of unalienable rights and the freedom of people.

May Your divine blessing shield and protect this place from all attack, destruction, storm, sickness, and all that might bring evil to Your people or shake the soul of this Nation.

Guide and protect the Members of this assembly and all servants in government, including all who work in this place. May the comings and goings of Your people be under the seal of Your loving care, and may all that is done be for Your greater honor and glory.

Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day’s proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof. Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. POE of Texas led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

THE WASPS ARE BEING DENIED BURIAL AT ARLINGTON CEMETERY

(Mr. POE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, the great World War II was at its peak. So, on September 11, 1943, 28-year-old Sandy Thompson left her teaching job and volunteered for the Women Airforce Service Pilots, better known as the WASP. As a pilot, she flew targets for live antiaircraft practice, helped deliver planes to overseas bases, and tested new aircraft.

Of the 1,000 women who were WASPs, 38 were killed during their missions. Sixteen of these unsung heroes still live in Texas, and these pilots are part of the Greatest Generation.

WASPs were canceled civilians until 1977. Then Congress granted them veteran status. In 2002, the WASPs were allowed to be cremated and have their ashes placed in Arlington National Cemetery, but now bureaucrats have decided that these veterans are not worthy of having a proper military burial and have revoked burial rights in Arlington. The reason they say is a lack of space. This is disgraceful. A lack of space is a sorry excuse to dishonor these veterans.

Mr. Speaker, the government owns 23 percent of the land mass in the United States. Find space to permanently honor these female veterans.

And that is just the way it is.

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT MUST HELP CORRECT MANMADE DISASTER IN FLINT, MICHIGAN

(Mr. KILDEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. KILDEE. Mr. Speaker, I rise to talk to this Congress about my hometown of Flint, Michigan.

This morning I wrote to the President and wrote a letter to our Governor, asking for help for my hometown.

Almost beyond belief, for a year and a half, the city of Flint has had water running through the pipes and into the homes of the people in Flint. The water has extraordinarily high levels of lead, which can affect the trajectory of a child’s life permanently.

This was a decision made by the State government when it took over the city of Flint because of its financial situation. To save a few dollars, it switched from Lake Huron as its primary water source to the Flint River, without even any science or thought as to how the river might be treated. As a result, that corrosive river has put lead into the water source and into the bodies of young children.

Today, finally, after months and months, apparently, our Governor is going to announce some sort of response at the State level. I can assure you this: There is no confidence of the people of the city of Flint and of the people of Michigan—I have, certainly, no confidence myself—that the State’s going to be adequate. I am asking the Federal Government to step in and help correct this manmade disaster in Flint, Michigan.
HONORING THE LIFE OF CARLYLE FARNSWORTH

(Mr. MCKINLEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. MCKINLEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise to recognize and honor the life of Carlyle Farnsworth from Wheeling, who passed away on Christmas Eve. I was honored to have known him as a friend. Carlyle was a member of the Greatest Generation in America, and he served in the United States Marine Corps during World War II. When he returned home, he built a career, raised a family, and remained a community leader for a number of years.

He served on the board of the Wheeling Hospital for 29 years and was a past president. He was president of the Wheeling Area Chamber of Commerce, active in scouting with the local valley Scout council, and served as the vice president of the Scouts for over 20 years. Carlyle attended the very first National Scouting Jamboree right here in Washington in 1937.

He was a distinguished banker for over 40 years and served as the bank president for many of those years. He belonged to numerous State and national banking associations and served on the West Virginia State Board of Investments.

My lasting impression of Carlyle was how cheerful, upbeat, and positive he was. I offer my condolences to his loving wife C.J., to his son, Thomas, and his family. Carlyle will be missed, but he will be remembered as a leader, as a loving husband, and as an inspiration to all those with whom he came in contact.

CHILD NICOTINE POISONING PREVENTION ACT OF 2015

Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 142) to require special packaging for liquid nicotine containers, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The text of the bill is as follows:

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE

This Act may be cited as the “Child Nicotine Poisoning Prevention Act of 2015”.

SEC. 2. SPECIAL PACKAGING FOR LIQUID NICOTINE CONTAINERS.

(a) REQUIREMENT.—Notwithstanding section 2(f)(2) of the Federal Hazardous Substances Act (15 U.S.C. 1261(f)(2)) and section 3(a)(5) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2052(a)(5)), any nicotine provided in a liquid nicotine container sold, offered for sale, manufactured for sale, distributed in commerce, or imported into the United States shall be packaged in accordance with the method described in section 170.20 of title 16, Code of Federal Regulations, as determined through testing in accordance with the method described in section 170.30 of title 16, Code of Federal Regulations, and any subsequent changes to such sections adopted by the Commission.

(b) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this Act shall be construed to limit or otherwise affect the authority of the Secretary of Health and Human Services to regulate, issue guidance, or take action regarding the manufacture, marketing, sale, distribution, importation, or packaging, including child-resistant packaging, of nicotine, liquid nicotine, liquid nicotine containers, electronic cigarettes, electronic nicotine delivery systems or other similar products that contain or dispense liquid nicotine, or any other nicotine-related products, including—

(A) authority under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 301 et seq.) and the Family Smoking and Tobacco Control Act (Public Law 111-31) and the amendments made by such Act; and


(2) CONSULTATION.—If the Secretary of Health and Human Services adopts, maintains, enforces, or imposes or continues in effect any packaging requirement for liquid nicotine containers, including a child-resistant packaging requirement, the Secretary shall consult with the Commission in implementing and enforcing this Act and the Poison Prevention Packaging Act of 1970 (15 U.S.C. 1471 et seq.).

(c) APPLICABILITY.—Notwithstanding section 3(a)(5) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2052(a)(5)) and section 2(f)(2) of the Federal Hazardous Substances Act (15 U.S.C. 1261(f)(2)), the requirement of subsection (a) shall be treated as a standard for the special packaging of a household substance established under section 3(a) of the Poison Prevention Packaging Act of 1970 (15 U.S.C. 1472(a)).

The House assembled, Speaker pro tempore (Mr. RIBBLE) at 3 o’clock and the Sergeant at Arms declared the House in recess until application of the Rules.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from Indiana (Ms. BROOKS) and the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. SARRANES) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Indiana.

Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to insert extraneous materials into the Record.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Indiana?

There was no objection.

Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Recently there has been a significant amount of debate surrounding liquid nicotine, ranging from its use as cigarette cessation to its use in public spaces. While there are differing points on the future of vaping, everyone can agree on the need to prevent the product from inadvertently reaching the hands of children.

That is why my colleague from Connecticut (Ms. ESTY) and I introduced the Child Nicotine Poisoning Prevention Act, which simply requires child safety packaging be added to liquid nicotine containers. The bill we are considering today and have already passed in the Senate is identical to our bill, which passed out of the Committee on Energy and Commerce in October of last year.
Mr. SARBANES. Mr. Speaker, I urge support of this important bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. SARBANES. Mr. Speaker, I urge support of this bill.

In closing, as the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. ESTY) so eloquently stated, I also commend my colleagues on the Committee on Energy and Commerce for seeing the importance of this.

I thank Mr. SARBANES, the chairman, and the ranking member for moving on this commonsense legislation. I thank Ms. ESTY for being a champion of the Child Nicotine Poisoning Prevention Act.

I urge all of my colleagues to support this bill.
Mr. Speaker. I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support to S. 142, the “Child Nicotine Poisoning Prevention Act,” which requires any nicotine provided in a liquid nicotine container sold, offered for sale, or manufactured for sale, distributed in Connecticut, to be in special packaging that is difficult for children under five years of age to open or access harmful contents.

As the founding member and Chair of the Congressional Children’s Caucus, I am in support of this bill because it protects the safety of children first.

Today, small children are at risk of injury and death from easily accessed liquid nicotine used to refill electronic cigarettes.

Nicotine liquids used in e-cigarettes are sold without child proof packaging. Further, these nicotine products are attractive to children because they come in a wide range of candy flavors such as gummy bear, cotton candy and chocolate.

Liquid nicotine is highly toxic and sold in a highly concentrated form.

Many liquid nicotine products contain nearly 36 mg of nicotine per milliliter of liquid.

According to the Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids the concentrated form of nicotine in liquid form is intended for use in smokeless cigarettes and would only take a small 15 milliliter dose to kill four toddlers.

According to the Centers for Disease Control the number of calls to poison centers involving e-cigarette liquids containing nicotine rose from one per month in September 2010 to 215 per month in February 2014.

Data from the American Association of Poison Control Centers (AAPCC) showed nearly 4,000 adverse incidents related to e-cigarette exposures in 2014, a 145 percent increase from 2013 and a 14-fold increase since 2011.

In 2015, there were 1,499 calls to Poison Control Centers through May 31, 2015 that were liquid nicotine related.

This bill would save children’s lives by allowing the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) the authority to require the use of child-proof packaging on liquid nicotine containers sold to consumers.

The CPSC currently requires such packaging on many common toxic household substances like bleach, as well as FDA-regulated products like prescription drugs.

S. 142 is needed to save children from unnecessary poisonings from liquid nicotine.

The most recent National Youth Tobacco Survey showed e-cigarette use is growing fast, and now this report shows e-cigarette related poisonings are also increasing rapidly,” said Tim Kosinski, M.P.H., Director of CDC’s Office on Smoking and Health.

We all must do our part to reduce nicotine poisoning of children.

It will take the efforts of members of the House in voting to pass this bill, health care providers, e-cigarette companies and distributors, and the public need to join efforts to keep our children safe from potential health risk from e-cigarettes.

Strategies to monitor and prevent future poisonings are critical given the rapid increase in e-cigarette related poisonings and the first step is voting for S. 142.

I ask my colleagues to join me in support of S. 142, “Child Nicotine Poisoning Prevention Act.”

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from Indiana (Mrs. Brooks) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 142.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

NORTH KOREA SANCTIONS ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 2016

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 757) to improve the enforcement of sanctions against the Government of North Korea, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 757

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the “North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2016”.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
Sec. 2. Findings.
Sec. 3. Definitions.

TITLES I—INVESTIGATIONS, PROHIBITED CONDUCT, AND PENALTIES

Sec. 101. Statement of policy.
Sec. 102. Investigations.
Sec. 103. Briefing to Congress.
Sec. 104. Designation of persons for prohibited conduct and mandatory and discretionary designation and sanctions authorities.
Sec. 105. Forfeiture of property.

TITLES II—SANCTIONS AGAINST NORTH KOREA

Sec. 201. Designations with respect to North Korea as a jurisdiction of primary money laundering concern.
Sec. 203. Proliferation prevention sanctions.
Sec. 204. Procurement sanctions.
Sec. 205. Enhanced Inspections authorities.
Sec. 206. Travel sanctions.
Sec. 207. Exemptions, waivers, and removals of designation.
Sec. 208. Report on those responsible for knowingly engaging in significant activities undermining cyber security.

TITLES III—PROMOTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

Sec. 301. Information technology.
The Government of North Korea's conduct poses an imminent threat to the security of the United States and its allies, to the global economy, to the safety of members of the United States Armed Forces, to the integrity of the global financial system, to the integrity of global nonproliferation programs, and to the people of North Korea.

SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

(a) In this Act:

(1) APPLICABLE EXECUTIVE ORDER.—The term "applicable Executive order" means—

(A) Executive Order No. 13382 (2005), 13466 (2008), 13551 (2010), or 13687 (2015) to the extent that such Executive order authorizes the imposition of sanctions on persons for conduct, or prohibits transactions or activities, involving the Government of North Korea; or

(B) any Executive order adopted on or after the date of the enactment of this Act, to the extent that such Executive order authorizes the imposition of sanctions on persons for conduct, or prohibits transactions or activities, involving the Government of North Korea.

(2) APPLICABLE UNITED NATIONS SECURITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION.—The term "applicable United Nations Security Council resolution" means—


(B) any United Nations Security Council resolution adopted after the date of the enactment of this Act, to the extent that such resolution authorizes the imposition of sanctions on persons for conduct, or prohibits transactions or activities, involving the Government of North Korea.

(3) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The term "appropriate congressional committees" means—

(A) the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Financial Services of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Financial Services of the Senate, and

(B) the Committee on Foreign Relations and the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs of the Senate.

(4) DESIGNATED PERSON.—The term "designated person" means a person designated under subsection (a) or (b) of section 104 for purposes of applying one or more of the sanctions described in title I or II of this Act with respect to the person.

(5) GOVERNMENT OF NORTH KOREA.—The term "Government of North Korea" means—

(A) the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea or any political subdivision, agency, or instrumentality thereof; and

(B) or person owned or controlled by, or acting for or on behalf of, the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.

(6) INTERNATIONAL TERRORISM.—The term "international terrorism" has the meaning given such term in section 801 of title 18, United States Code.

(7) LUXURY GOODS.—The term "luxury goods" has the meaning given such term in paragraph (4) of section 140(c) of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989 (22 U.S.C. 2658f(d), and includes the conduct described in section 212(a)(3)(B)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(3)(B)(ii)), to the extent such conduct involves the citizens of more than one country.

(8) MONETARY INSTRUMENT.—The term "monetary instrument" has the meaning given such term under section 5103 of title 31, United States Code.

(9) NON-FINANCIAL INSTITUTION.—The term "North Korean financial institution" means—

(A) a financial institution organized under the laws of a foreign country, and having a place of business within North Korea (including a foreign branch of such institution);

(B) any financial institution located in North Korea and excluded from such definition by the President in accordance with section 207(d); or

(C) any financial institution, wherever located, owned or controlled by the Government of North Korea; and

(D) any financial institution, wherever located, owned or controlled by a financial institution described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C).

(10) OTHER STORES OF VALUE.—The term "other stores of value" means—

(A) prepaid access devices, tangible or intangible prepaid access devices, or other instruments or devices for the storage or transmission of value as defined in part 1027 of title 31, Code of Federal Regulations; and

(B) any covered goods, as defined in section 1027.100 of title 31, Code of Federal Regulations, and any instrument or tangible or intangible access device used for the storage and transmission of a representation of covered goods, or other device, as defined in section 1027.100 of title 31, Code of Federal Regulations.

(11) PERSON.—The term "person" has the meaning given such term in section 1030 of title 31, Code of Federal Regulations.

(12) SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITIES UNDERMINING CYBER SECURITY.—The term "significant activities undermining cyber security" means—

(A) significant efforts to—

(i) deny access to or degrade, disrupt, or destroy an information and communications technology system or network; or

(ii) exfiltrate information from such a system or network without authorization;

(B) significant destructive malware attacks;

(C) significant denial of service activities; or

(D) other significant activities as may be described in regulations promulgated to implement section 104.

(13) UNITED STATES PERSON.—The term "United States person" has the meaning given such term in section 101.311 of title 31, Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 4. ENFORCEMENT AND SANCTIONS AUTHORITY AND DISCRETIONARY DESIGNATION AND SANCTIONS AUTHORITY.

The President shall initiate an investigation into the possible designation of a person under section 102(a) upon receipt by the President of credible information indicating that such person has engaged in conduct described in section 104(a).

SEC. 5. BRIEFING TO CONGRESS.

(a) Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and periodically thereafter, the President shall provide to the appropriate congressional committees a briefing on efforts under this Act, to include the following, to the extent the information is available:


(b) A list of the persons designated under subsections (a) and (b) of section 104.

(c) A list of the persons with respect to which sanctions were waived or removed under section 207.

(d) A summary of any diplomatic efforts made in accordance with section 207(b) and of the progress realized from such efforts, including efforts to encourage the European Union and other states and jurisdictions to sanction and block the Korean Bank for Foreign Trade Bank of Korea and Daejong Credit Bank.

SEC. 6. DESIGNATION OF PERSONS FOR PROHIBITED CONDUCT AND PENALTIES.

(a) PROHIBITED CONDUCT AND MANDATORY DESIGNATION AND SANCTIONS AUTHORITY.—

(1) CONDUCT DESCRIBED.—Except as provided in section 207, the President shall designate under this subsection any person the President determines to—

(A) have knowingly engaged in significant activities or transactions with the Government of North Korea that have materially contributed to the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction or their means of delivery (including missiles capable of delivering such weapons), including any efforts to manufacture, acquire, possess, develop, transport, transfer, or use such items;

(B) have knowingly engaged in significant activities or transactions related to the manufacture, maintenance, or engagement of any arms or any arm's goods that are being reexported to, into, or from North Korea, whether directly or indirectly;

(C) have knowingly provided significant training, advice, or other services or assistance, or engaged in significant transactions, related to the manufacture, maintenance, or engagement of any arms or any arm's goods that are being reexported to, into, or from North Korea, whether directly or indirectly;

(D) have knowingly, directly or indirectly, imported, exported, or reexported to, into, or from North Korea any significant arms or related material, whether directly or indirectly;

(E) have knowingly engaged in or been responsible for censorship by the Government of North Korea, including prohibiting, limiting, or penalizing the expression or assembly, limiting access to print, radio or other broadcast media, Internet or other electronic communications, or other material, or any other intentional manipulation of media manipulation that would jam or restrict an international signal;

(F) have knowingly engaged in or been responsible for serious human rights abuses by the Government of North Korea, including torture or cruel, inhuman, or degrading...
Section 1956(c)(7)(D) of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(a) A MENDMENT TO PROPERTY SUBJECT TO FORFEITURE.—Section 981(a)(1) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

"(I) Any property, real or personal, that is involved in a violation or attempted violation, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to a violation, of section 202 of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1705 et seq.) without regard to section 204;"

(b) A MENDMENT TO DEFINITION OF CIVIL UNLAWFUL ACTIVITY.—Section 104(a) of the North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2016, as amended, is amended by

(1) by striking ‘‘or section 92 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954’’ and inserting ‘‘section 92 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954’’; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(e) LICENSING.—

(1) LICENSE REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the President shall promulgate regulations to ensure that any transaction involving any property or services—

(A) in which the Government of North Korea has an interest,

(B) located in North Korea;

(C) of North Korean origin; or

(D) knowingly transferred, directly or indirectly, to the Government of North Korea;

(2) TRANSACTION LICENSING.—The President shall deny or revoke any license for any transaction that, in the determination of the President, lacks sufficient controls to ensure that such transaction will not facilitate any of the conduct described in subsection (a) or subsection (b).

(3) LICENSING AUTHORIZATION.—The President may issue regulations to authorize—

(A) transactions for the purposes described in section 207; and

(B) transactions and activities authorized under North Korea Human Rights Act of 2001 (22 U.S.C. 7801 et seq.)."

SEC. 105. FORFEITURE OF PROPERTY.

(a) AMENDMENT TO PROPERTY SUBJECT TO FORFEITURE.—Section 981(a)(1) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

"(I) Any property, real or personal, that is involved in a violation or attempted violation, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to a violation, of section 202 of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1705 et seq.) without regard to section 204;"

(b) by adding at the end the following:

"(e) LICENSING.—

(1) LICENSE REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the President shall promulgate regulations to ensure that any transaction involving any property or services—

(A) in which the Government of North Korea has an interest,

(B) located in North Korea;

(C) of North Korean origin; or

(D) knowingly transferred, directly or indirectly, to the Government of North Korea;

(2) TRANSACTION LICENSING.—The President shall deny or revoke any license for any transaction that, in the determination of the President, lacks sufficient controls to ensure that such transaction will not facilitate any of the conduct described in subsection (a) or subsection (b).

(3) LICENSING AUTHORIZATION.—The President may issue regulations to authorize—

(A) transactions for the purposes described in section 207; and

(B) transactions and activities authorized under North Korea Human Rights Act of 2001 (22 U.S.C. 7801 et seq.)."

TITLE II—SANCTIONS AGAINST NORTH KOREAN PROLIFERATION, HUMAN RIGHTS ABUSES, ILLICIT ACTIVITIES, AND UNAUTHORISED UNDERMINING CYBER SECURITY

SEC. 201. DETERMINATIONS WITH RESPECT TO NORTH KOREA AS A JURISDICTION OF PRIMARY MONEY LAUNDERING CONCERN.

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The Undersecretary of the Treasury for Terrorism and Financial Intelligence, who is responsible for safeguarding the financial system against illicit use, money laundering, terrorist financing, and the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, has repeatedly expressed concern about North Korea’s misuse of the international financial system as a tool for conducting illicit activities worldwide to fund the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, and the repeated transfers of United Nations sanctions-related transactions, the line between illicit and licit North Korean money is nearly invisible’’ and urged financial institutions to ‘‘think critically about the risks of doing any North Korea-related business.”’
In 2013, the Undersecretary stated, in reference to North Korea’s distribution of high-denomination banknotes, that sanctions were needed to prevent the regime from bypassing or evading countermeasures to the international financial system, and that the Department of the Treasury would soon introduce new currency with improved security features to protect against counterfeiting by the Government of North Korea.

(2) The Financial Action Task Force, an intergovernmental body whose purpose is to develop and promote national and international frameworks combating money laundering and terrorist financing, has repeatedly—

(A) expressed concern at deficiencies in North Korea’s regime to combat money laundering and terrorist financing;

(B) urged North Korea to adopt a plan of action to address significant deficiencies in these areas, and the serious threats they pose to the integrity of the international financial system;

(C) urged all jurisdictions to apply countermeasures to protect the international financial system from ongoing and substantial money laundering and terrorist financing risks emanating from North Korea;

(D) urged all financial institutions to give special attention to business relationships and transactions with North Korea, including North Korean companies and financial institutions; and

(E) called on all jurisdictions to protect against and to manage the risk of financial institutions used to bypass or evade countermeasures and risk mitigation practices, and take into account money laundering and terrorist financing risks when considering requests by North Korean financial institutions to open branches and subsidiaries in their jurisdiction.

On March 7, 2013, the United Nations Security Council unanimously adopted Resolution 2094, which—

(A) welcomed the Financial Action Task Force’s June 2012 conclusions on financial sanctions related to proliferation, and its guidance on the implementation of sanctions;

(B) decided that Member States should apply enhanced monitoring and other legal measures to prevent the provision of financial services or the transfer of property that could contribute to activities prohibited by applicable United Nations Security Council resolutions; and

(C) called on Member States to prohibit North Korean banks from establishing or maintaining correspondent, or clearing relationships with banks in their jurisdictions, to prevent the provision of financial services, if they have information that provides reasonable grounds to believe that these activities could contribute to activities prohibited by an applicable United Nations Security Council resolution, or to the evasion of such prohibitions.

2. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING THE DESIGNATION OF NORTH KOREA AS A JURISDICTION—COUP-ETF OF MONEY LAUNDERING CONCERN.—Congress—

(1) acknowledges the efforts of the United Nations Security Council to impose limitations on, and require enhanced monitoring of, transactions involving North Korean financial institutions that could contribute to sanctioned activities;

(2) recognizes the movement, in the strongest terms, to immediately designate North Korea as a jurisdiction of primary money laundering concern, and to adopt stringent special measures to safeguard the financial system against the risks posed by North Korea’s willful evasion of sanctions and its illicit activities;

(3) urges the President to seek the prompt implementation by other states of enhanced monitoring and due diligence to prevent North Korea from using the international financial system, including by sharing information about activities, transactions, and property that could contribute to activities prohibited by applicable United Nations Security Council resolutions, or to the evasion of sanctions.

3. DETERMINATIONS REGARDING NORTH KOREA.

(A) In General.—The Secretary of the Treasury shall, not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, determine, in consultation with the Secretary of State and Attorney General, and in accordance with section 518A of title 31, United States Code, whether reasonable grounds exist for concluding that North Korea is a jurisdiction of primary money laundering concern.

(B) Special Measures.—If the Secretary of the Treasury finds under this subsection that reasonable grounds exist for finding that North Korea is a jurisdiction of primary money laundering concern, the Secretary shall, not later than 30 days after the date on which the Secretary makes such determination, submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the determination made under paragraph (1) together with the reasons for that determination.

(C) FORM.—A report or copy of any report submitted under this paragraph shall be submitted in classified form but may contain a classified annex.

SEC. 202. ENSURING THE CONSISTENT ENFORCEMENT OF UNITED NATIONS SECURITY COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS AND FINANCIAL RESTRICTIONS ON NORTH KOREA.

(FINDINGS.)—Congress finds that—

(1) the cessation of any financial services whose continuation is inconsistent with applicable United Nations Security Council resolutions;

(2) the cooperation of the People’s Republic of China, as North Korea’s principal trading partner and source of illicit activities, in the implementation of applicable United Nations Security Council resolutions and to the protection of the international financial system;

(3) the cooperation of the European Union, experts established pursuant to United Nations Security Council Resolution 1874, dated June 11, 2013, expressed concern about the ability of banks in the European Union to prevent illicit transfers to and from North Korea;

(4) North Korea has historically exploited inconsistencies between jurisdictions in the interpretation and enforcement of financial sanctions and applicable United Nations Security Council resolutions to circumvent sanctions and launder the proceeds of illicit activities;

(5) the Foreign Trade Bank of North Korea has been designated by the Secretary of the Treasury for facilitating transactions on behalf of persons linked to North Korea’s proliferation network, and for serving as “a key financial node”; and

(6) Daesong Credit Bank has been designated by the Secretary of the Treasury for financial services prohibited by applicable United Nations Security Council resolutions, in support of deceptive financial practices to facilitate transactions on behalf of persons linked to North Korea’s proliferation network.

(B) Sense of Congress.—It is the sense of Congress that the President should intensify diplomatic efforts, both in appropriate international fora such as the United Nations and bilaterally, to develop and implement a coordinated, consistent, multilateral strategy for protecting the global financial system against risks emanating from North Korea, including—

(1) the cessation of any financial services whose continuation is inconsistent with applicable United Nations Security Council resolutions;

(2) the cooperation of the People’s Republic of China, as North Korea’s principal trading partner and source of illicit activities, in the implementation of applicable United Nations Security Council resolutions and to the protection of the international financial system;

(3) the cooperation of the European Union, experts established pursuant to United Nations Security Council Resolution 1874, dated June 11, 2013, expressed concern about the ability of banks in the European Union to prevent illicit transfers to and from North Korea;

(4) North Korea has historically exploited inconsistencies between jurisdictions in the interpretation and enforcement of financial sanctions and applicable United Nations Security Council resolutions to circumvent sanctions and launder the proceeds of illicit activities;

(5) the Foreign Trade Bank of North Korea has been designated by the Secretary of the Treasury for facilitating transactions on behalf of persons linked to North Korea’s proliferation network, and for serving as “a key financial node”; and

(6) Daesong Credit Bank has been designated by the Secretary of the Treasury for financial services prohibited by applicable United Nations Security Council resolutions, in support of deceptive financial practices to facilitate transactions on behalf of persons linked to North Korea’s proliferation network.

(C) called on all states and jurisdictions, in accordance with the legal process of the state or jurisdiction in which the property is held, of any property required to be blocked under applicable United Nations Security Council resolutions;

(7) the blocking of any property derived from illicit activity, from significant activities undermining cyber security, from the misappropriation, theft, or embezzlement of public funds by, or for the benefit of, officials of the Government of North Korea;

(8) the blocking of any property involved in significant activities undermining cyber security by the Government of North Korea, directly or indirectly, against United States persons, or the theft of intellectual property by the Government of North Korea, directly or indirectly from United States persons; and

(9) the blocking of any property of persons directly or indirectly involved in, or linked to, human rights abuses by the Government of North Korea.
SECTION 203. PROLIFERATION PREVENTION SANCTIONS.

(a) EXPORT OF CERTAIN GOODS OR TECHNOLOGY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to section 207(a)(2)(C) of this Act, a license shall be required for the export to North Korea of any goods or technology subject to the Export Administration Regulations (part 730 of title 15, Code of Federal Regulations) without regard to whether the Secretary of State has designated the Government of which has provided support for acts of international terrorism, as determined by the Secretary of State under section 6(a) of the Arms Export Control Act of 1979 (50 U.S.C. App. 2045), as continued in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act.

(2) WAIVER OF DENIAL.—A license for the export to North Korea of any goods or technology as described in paragraph (1) shall be subject to a presumption of denial.

(b) TRANSACTIONS WITH COUNTRIES SUPPORTING ACTS OF INTERNATIONAL TERRORISM.—

(1) ARMS EXPORT CONTROL ACT PROHIBITIONS.—The prohibitions and restrictions described in section 490 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2780), and other provisions provided for in that Act, shall also apply to exports of goods, services, or technology providing (by sale, lease, loan, grant, or other means), directly or indirectly, any munitions item to the Government of North Korea without regard to whether the North Korean state is a country with respect to which subsection (d) of such section (relating to designation of state sponsors of terrorism) applies.

(2) FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS.—Except as provided in section 207 of this Act and the North Korean Human Rights Act of 2004 (22 U.S.C. 7801 et seq.), the penalties provided for in section 205(a) of title 16, United States Code, shall apply to a United States person that engages in a financial transaction with the Government of North Korea on or after the date of the enactment of this Act to the same extent that such penalties apply to a United States citizen that commits an unlawful act described in section 2332d of title 18, United States Code.

(c) TRANSACTIONS IN LETHAL MILITARY EQUIPMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The President shall withhold assistance under the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2151 et seq.) to any country that provides lethal military equipment to or military assistance to or from, the Government of North Korea.

(2) APPLICABILITY.—The prohibition under this subsection with respect to a country shall terminate on the date that is 1 year after the date on which such country ceases to provide lethal military equipment to the Government of North Korea.

(d) WAIVER.—The President may, on a case-by-case basis, waive the prohibition under this subsection with respect to a country for a period of not more than 180 days, and may renew such waiver, at the President’s discretion, for not more than 180 days, if the President determines that waiving such waiver would be in the national interests of the United States to exercise such waiver authority.

SECTION 204. PROCUREMENT SANCTIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in this section, no United States Government contract may be procured, or entered into any contract for the procurement of, any goods or services from any designated person.

(b) FAR.—The Federal Acquisition Regulation issued pursuant to section 1303 of title 41, United States Code, shall be revised to require (1) a prospective contractor that such person does not engage in any of the conduct described in subsection (a) or (b) of section 104. Such revision shall apply with respect to contracts in an amount greater than the simplified acquisition threshold (as defined in section 102(b)(11) of the Federal Acquisition Regulations Code) for which solicitations are issued on or after the date that is 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) TERMINATION OF CONTRACTS AND INITIATION OF SUSPENSION AND DEBARMENT PROCEEDINGS.—

(1) TERMINATION OF CONTRACTS.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the head of an executive agency shall terminate a contract with a person who has provided a false certification under section 207(b)(1) of this Act.

(2) WAIVER.—The head of an executive agency may waive the requirement under paragraph (1) with respect to a person based upon a written finding of urgent and compelling circumstances significantly affecting the interests of the United States. If the head of an executive agency waives the requirement under paragraph (1) for a person, the head of the agency shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees, with respect to the person during such waiver, a certification that the waiver is consistent with the national security interests of the United States.

(d) INITIATION OF SUSPENSION AND DEBARMENT PROCEEDINGS.—An executive agency shall initiate a suspension and debarment proceeding against a person who has provided a false certification under subsection (a) or (b) of this section.

(e) EFFECTIVENESS OF SUSPENSION AND DEBARMENT.—The agency shall ensure that such person is entered into the Governmentwide database established under Executive Order No. 12549 (31 U.S.C. 6101 note; relating to debarment and suspension) or Executive Order No. 12689 (31 U.S.C. 6101 note; relating to debarment and suspension).

(f) CLARIFICATION REGARDING CERTAIN PRODUCTS.—The remedies specified in subsections (a) through (c) shall not apply with respect to the procurement of eligible products, as defined in section 308(4) of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 (19 U.S.C. 2518(4)), of any foreign country or instrumentality designated under section 301(b) of such Act (19 U.S.C. 2511(b)).

(g) RULES OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the use of other remedies available to the head of an executive agency or any other officer of the United States to eliminate the national security interests of the United States.

(h) EXECUTIVE AGENCY DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘executive agency’ has the meaning given such term in section 102 of title 41, United States Code.

SECTION 205. ENHANCED INSPECTIONS AUTHORITY.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and, every 180 days after, the President, acting through the Secretary of Homeland Security, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees, the Comptroller General of the United States, the Chairman of the House Committee on Homeland Security, the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, the Senate Intelligence Committee, and the House Committee on Intelligence, a report identifying for foreign sea ports and airfields, airports, and other sea or land entry points, the number of inspections conducted during the previous 180-day period, the number of inspections that were unsuccessful, and the number of inspections that led to the identification of any sea port or airport pursuant to subsection (a), the Secretary of Homeland Security shall, utilizing the Automated Targeting System operated by the National Targeting Center in U.S. Customs and Border Protection, require enhanced screening of cargo or conveyance used to facilitate any of the activities described in section 104(a) that comes within the jurisdiction of the United States may be seized and forfeited under section 461 of the Tariff Act of 1930, or under the Tariff Act of 1930.

SECTION 206. TRAVEL SANCTIONS.

(a) ALIENS INELIGIBLE FOR VISAS, ADMISSION, OR PAROLE.—

(1) VISAS, ADMISSION, OR PAROLE.—An alien (or an alien who is a corporate officer of a person) who the Secretary of State or the Secretary of Homeland Security (or a designee of one of such Secretaries) knows, or has reasonable grounds to believe, is described in subsection (a)(1) or (b)(1) of section 104 is—

(A) inadmissible to the United States;

(B) ineligible to receive a visa or other documentation to enter the United States; and

(C) ineligible to receive a visa or other entry documentation issued to an alien who is described in subsection (a)(1) or (b)(1) of section 104 regardless of when issued.

(b) CURRENT VISAS REVOKED.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The issuing consular officer, the Secretary of State, or the Secretary of Homeland Security (or a designee of one of such Secretaries) shall revoke any valid visa or other entry documentation issued to an alien who is described in subsection (a)(1) or (b)(1) of section 104 regardless of when issued.

SECTION 207. EXEMPTIONS, WAIVERS, AND REMOVALS OF DESIGNATION.

(a) EXEMPTIONS.—

(1) MANDATORY EXEMPTIONS.—The following activities shall be exempt from sanctions under section 104:

(A) ANY CONTRACTS SUBJECT TO THE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS OF TITLE V OF THE NATIONAL SECURITY ACT OF 1947 (50 U.S.C. 413 et seq.), OR TO ANY AUTHORIZED INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES OF THE UNITED STATES.

(B) ANY TRANSACTION NEEDED TO COMPLY WITH UNITED NATIONS HEADQUARTERS AGREEMENT.—Sanctions under subsections (a) and (b) shall not apply to an alien who is admitted to the United States to fulfill the obligations of the United Nations, as defined in the United Nations Charter, and entered into force on November 21, 1947, between the United Nations and the United States, or other applicable international obligations.

(2) DISCRETIONARY EXEMPTIONS.—The following activities may be exempt from sanctions under section 104 as determined by the President:
(A) Any financial transaction the exclusive purpose for which is to provide humanitarian assistance to the people of North Korea.

(B) Any financial transaction the exclusive purpose for which is to import food products into North Korea, if such food items are not defined as luxury goods.

(C) Any transaction the exclusive purpose for which is to import food products into North Korea, provided that such supplies or equipment are classified as designated "EAR 99" under the Export Administration Regulations (part 730 of title 15, Code of Federal Regulations) and not controlled under—


(ii) the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2771 et seq.);

(iii) part B of title VIII of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Prevention Act of 1994 (22 U.S.C. 6301 et seq.); or

(iv) the Chemical and Biological Weapons Control and Warfare Elimination Act of 1991 (22 U.S.C. 5601 et seq.).

(b) WAIVER.—The President may waive, on a case-by-case basis, the imposition of sanctions for a period of not more than one year, and may renew that waiver for additional periods of not more than one year, for any sanction or other measure under section 104, 204, 205, 206, or 303 if the President submits to the appropriate congressional committees a written statement that the waiver satisfies one or more of the following requirements:

(1) The waiver is important to the economic or national security interests of the United States.

(2) The waiver will further the enforcement of this Act or is for an important law enforcement purpose.

(3) The waiver would be important for an humanitarian purpose, including any of the purposes described in section 4 of the North Korean Human Rights Act of 2004 (22 U.S.C. 7802).

(c) REMOVALS OF SANCTIONS.—The President may prescribe rules and regulations for the removal of sanctions on a person that is designated under subsection (a) or (b) of section 104 and the removal of designations of a person with respect to such sanctions if the President determines that the designated person has verifiably ceased its participation in any activity described in subsection (a) or (b) of section 104, as the case may be, and has given assurances that it will abide by the terms of this Act.

(d) FINANCIAL SERVICES FOR CERTAIN ACTIVITIES.—The President may promulgate regulations, rules, and policies as may be necessary to facilitate the provision of financial services by a foreign financial institution that is not controlled by the Government of North Korea in support of the activities specified in the exemption under this section.

SEC. 208. REPORT ON THOSE RESPONSIBLE FOR KNOWNLY ENGAGING IN SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITIES UNDERMINING CYBER SECURITY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The President shall submit to the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate a report on cooperation between North Korea and Iran on their nuclear programs, including the identity of Iranian and North Korean persons that have knowingly engaged in or directed the provision of material support or the exchange of information between North Korea and Iran on their respective nuclear programs.

(b) SUBMISSION AND FORM.—(1) Submission.—The report required under subsection (a) shall be submitted not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) FORM.—The report required under subsection (a) shall be submitted in an unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex.

TITLE III—PROMOTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

SEC. 301. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.

Section 104 of the North Korean Human Rights Act of 2004 (22 U.S.C. 7814) is amended—

(b) ADDITION.—In subsection (b), by striking "radio, Internet, and electronic mass communications capable of receiving content" and inserting "radio, Internet, and electronic mass communications capable of receiving content"

(b) ADDITION.—In subsection (c) the following shall be inserted as a new paragraph:

"(4) INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STUDY.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this subsection, the President shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report setting forth a detailed plan for making unrestrained, unmonitored, and inexpensive, Internet and electronic mass communications available to the people of North Korea.

"(2) Final.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex.".

SEC. 302. REPORT ON NORTH KOREAN PRISON CAMPS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of State shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report describing, with respect to each political prison camp in North Korea to the extent information is available—

(1) the camp’s estimated prisoner population;

(2) the camp’s geographical coordinates;

(3) the reasons for confinement of the prisoners;

(4) the camp’s primary industries and products, and the end users of any goods produced in such camp;

(5) the natural persons and agencies responsible for conditions in such camps;

(6) the conditions under which prisoners are confined, with respect to the adequacy of food, shelter, medical care, working conditions, and reports of ill-treatment of prisoners;

(7) imagery, to include satellite imagery of each such camp, in a format that, if published, would not demonstrate the sources and methods used by the intelligence agencies of the United States to capture geospatial imagery.

(b) FORM.—The report required under subsection (a) may be included in the first report required to be submitted to Congress after the date of the enactment of this Act under sections 116(d) and 522B(b) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2151n(d) and 2303(b)) (relating to the annual human rights report).

SEC. 303. REPORT ON PERSONS WHO ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR SERIOUS HUMAN RIGHTS ABUSES OR CENSORSHIP IN NORTH KOREA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of State shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that contains an identification of each person the Secretary determines to be responsible for serious human rights abuses or censorship in North Korea and a description of such abuses or censorship engaged in by such person. The report shall include a description of actions taken by the Department of State to implement the recommendations of the Commission of Inquiry’s Report on Human Rights in the Democratic People’s Republic of North Korea, including efforts to press countries to implement Commission recommendations.

(b) CONSIDERATION.—In preparing the report required under subsection (a), the Secretary of State shall provide a detailed report to the findings of the United Nations Commission of Inquiry on Human Rights in North Korea, and shall make specific finding with respect to the responsibility of Kim Jong Un, and of each natural person who is a member of the National Defense Commission of North Korea, or the Organizational Guidance Department of the Workers’ Party of Korea, for serious human rights abuses and censorship.
The legislation that we are considering here is the most comprehensive North Korea sanctions legislation to come before this body. Importantly, what this bill does is use targeted financial and economic pressure to isolate Kim Jong-un and his top officials from the assets that they maintain in foreign banks and from the hard currency that sustains their rule. These assets are derived primarily from illicit activities, such as counterfeiting U.S. currency, something that North Korea has been caught doing with hundred-dollar bank notes, such as selling their missile systems around the world, contraband in cigarettes, drugs, and other illicit activities. And all of that is used to advance North Korea’s nuclear program.

They also pay for the luxurious lifestyle of the ruling elites, as we have seen in some of the photos that came out of North Korea, and it is used to repress the people. In other words, the money from that hard currency pays for the generals, pays for the secret police, pays for the missile program and the nuclear weapons program.

A strategy of financial pressure is the approach we took a decade ago when the previous administration targeted Banco Delta Asia. That was a Macao-based bank. This was in 2005. They were targeted for its role in laundering money for North Korea, and this cut it off from the financial system, really. This led other banks in the region to shun North Korean business, because when the option is out there between whether or not you are going to bank with North Korea or bank with the U.S. and the rest of the world, it is a fairly easy choice for these banks to make. At that point, they freeze the accounts, and that, obviously, isolates the regime.

At that time, according to one former top U.S. official who was speaking to the issue of what the North Koreans would say when they would come into the meetings with the State Department, at every conversation we had with some of North Koreans, he said, every one of them began and ended with the same question: ‘‘When do we get our money back?’’

Now, the part that got my interest at the time was not only the report that, because he couldn’t pay his generals, there were problems for the regime—it is not a good position for a dictator to be in—but also that missile production...
lines had come to a halt because they couldn’t buy on the black market; they didn’t have the hard currency anymore to do it, the parts that they needed for their programs.

Unfortunately, the pressure at the time was lifted. I think it was lifted primarily by [name redacted]. The representation was made that Kim Jong Il was going to make concessions on his nuclear program, concessions that ultimately were never made. From my standpoint, what a mistake. From the standpoint of the people I talk to over at Treasury, what a mistake. They had a different vision on how those sanctions should be maintained.

Today, the Obama administration has let its North Korea policy drift. A year ago, it promised a proportional response to the massive cyber terrorist attack against the United States. But to date, the administration’s response has been dangerously weak. A mere 18 low-level arms dealers have been sanctioned. That has been it. Failing to respond to North Korea’s belligerence, I think, only emboldens their leader.

Disrupting North Korea’s illicit activities will place tremendous strain on that country’s ruling elite who have so brutalized the people of North Korea. I spoke to the defector who used to run their propaganda machinery about this. He defected through China. And he discussed this issue. He said: Look, that hard currency goes, now that it goes, it goes for the military apparatus and the political apparatus of the regime. So we have got to go after those illicit activities like we went after organized crime in the United States: identify the network, interdict shipments, disrupt the flow of money.

North Korea, after all, has been called a “gangster regime.” You have seen that term in the press. Well, it is pretty apt. This regime is a critical threat not only to our national security. Under this bill’s framework, any jurisdiction over the subject matter contained in this or similar legislation.

Further, I request your support for the appointment of conferees from the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform during any House-Senate conference convened on this or similar legislation.

Finally, I would ask that a copy of our exchange of letters on this matter be included in the Congressional Record during floor consideration thereof.

Sincerely,

Paul Ryan,
Chairman.

House of Representatives,
Committee on Foreign Affairs,
Washington, DC, June 4, 2015.

Hon. Paul Ryan,
Chairman, Committee on Ways and Means,
Washington, DC.

Dear Mr. Chairman: Thank you for consulting with the Committee on Foreign Affairs on H.R. 757, the North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2015, and for agreeing to be discharged from further consideration of that bill.

I agree that your forgoing further action on this measure does not in any way diminish or alter the jurisdiction of the Committee on Ways and Means, or prejudice its jurisdictional prerogatives on this bill or similar legislation in the future. I would support your effort to seek appointment of an appropriate number of conferees to any House-Senate conference involving this legislation.

I will seek to place our letters on H.R. 757 into the Congressional Record during floor consideration of the bill. I appreciate your cooperation regarding this legislation and look forward to continuing to work with your Committee as this measure moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

Edward R. Royce,
Chairman.

House of Representatives,
Committee on the Judiciary,
Washington, D.C.

January 7, 2016.

Hon. Edward Royce,
Chairman, Committee on Foreign Affairs,
Washington, D.C.

Dear Chairman Royce: I am writing with respect to H.R. 757, the “North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2015,” which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Affairs and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary. As a result of your having consulted with us on provisions in H.R. 757 that fall within the rule X jurisdiction of the Committee on the Judiciary, I agree to discharge our Committee from further consideration of this bill so that it may proceed expeditiously to the House floor for consideration.

The Judiciary Committee takes this action with our mutual understanding that by forgoing consideration of H.R. 757 at this time, we do not waive any jurisdiction over the subject matter contained in this or similar legislation, and the Committee will be appropriately consulted and involved as the bill or similar legislation moves forward so that we may address any remaining issues that fall within our jurisdiction. The Committee also reserves the right to seek appointment of an appropriate number of conferees to any House-Senate conference involving this or similar legislation, and asks that you support any such request.
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I would appreciate a response to this letter confirming this understanding with respect to H.R. 757, and would ask that a copy of our exchange of letters on this matter be included in the Congressional Record during Floor consideration of H.R. 757.

Sincerely,

BOB GOODLATTE, Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN AFFAIRS,
WASHINGTON, DC, JUNE 4, 2015.

Hon. Bob Goodlatte,
Chairman, Committee on the Judiciary,
Washington, DC.

Dear Mr. Chairman: Thank you for consulting with the Committee on Foreign Affairs on H.R. 757, the North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2015, and for agreeing to be discharged from further consideration of that bill.

I agree that your forgoing further action on this measure does not in any way diminish or alter the jurisdiction of the Committee on the Judiciary, or prejudice its jurisdiction in the future. I would support your effort to seek appointment of an appropriate number of conferees to any Senate-Senate conference involving this legislation.

I will seek to place our letters on H.R. 757 into the Congressional Record during floor consideration of the bill. I appreciate your cooperation regarding this legislation and look forward to continuing to work with your Committee as this measure moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

EDWARD R. ROYCE, Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL SERVICES,
WASHINGTON, DC, JANUARY 8, 2016.

Hon. Ed Royce,
Chairman, Committee on Foreign Affairs,
Washington, DC.

Dear Chairman Royce: I am writing concerning H.R. 757, the North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2015, and H.R. 3662, the Iran Terror Finance Transparency Act, both of which passed the Committee on Financial Services in addition to your Committee.

As a result of your having consulted with the Committee on Financial Services concerning provisions of the bills that fall within our Rule X jurisdiction, I agree to discharge the Committee from further consideration of the bills so that they may proceed expeditiously to the House Floor. The Committee on Financial Services takes this action with our mutual understanding that, by foregoing consideration of H.R. 757 and H.R. 3662 at this time, we do not waive any jurisdiction over the subject matter contained in this or similar legislation, and that our Committee will be appropriately consulted and involved as this or similar legislation moves forward so that we may address any remaining issues within our Rule X jurisdiction. Our Committee also reserves the right to seek appointment of an appropriate number of conferees to any House-Senate conference involving this or similar legislation, and requests your support for any such request.

Finally, I would appreciate your response to this letter confirming this understanding with respect to H.R. 757 and H.R. 3662 and would ask that a copy of our exchange of letters on this matter be included in your Committee’s report to accompany the legislation and in the Congressional Record during floor consideration thereof.

Sincerely,

JEB HENSARLING, Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN AFFAIRS,
WASHINGTON, DC, JANUARY 8, 2016.

Jeb Hensarling,
Chairman, Committee on Financial Services, Washington, DC.

Dear Mr. Chairman: Thank you for consulting with the Committee on Foreign Affairs on H.R. 757, the North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act, and for agreeing to be discharged from further consideration of that bill.

I agree that your forgoing further action on this measure does not in any way diminish or alter the jurisdiction of the Committee on Financial Services, or prejudice its jurisdiction on this legislation or similar legislation in the future. I would support your effort to seek appointment of an appropriate number of conferees to any House-Senate conference involving this legislation.

I will seek to place our letters on H.R. 757 into the Congressional Record during floor consideration of the bill. I appreciate your cooperation regarding this legislation and look forward to continuing to work with your Committee as this measure moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

EDWARD R. ROYCE, Chairman.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of this measure.

I want to first thank our chairman of the Foreign Affairs Committee, Ed Royce, for authoring this very good, bipartisan bill. I am very pleased to be the lead Democrat. I think this is an important bill, and it ties in with what we have tried to do for these past years on the Foreign Affairs Committee, being bipartisan and letting politics stop at the water's edge when we are talking about international affairs.

Mr. Speaker, last week's nuclear test in North Korea was a stark reminder of just how dangerous the Kim regime is. A nuclear weapon in the hands of a rogue state is a threat to peace and stability around the world. North Korea continues to have a destabilizing influence on the peninsula and across the region, and the potential for nuclear fuel from North Korea to end up on the black market in the hands of violent extremists only compounds the threat.

Yet, despite the burden of some of the toughest sanctions imaginable, despite constant pressure from the global community, despite the increasing isolation of North Korea from the rest of the world, leaders in Pyongyang persist on this dangerous and destabilizing course.

The latest test demands a response. We need to work with our allies, particularly South Korea and Japan. We need to make sure this issue is at the top of the agenda in our engagement with China. China can have a lot of influence and does have a lot of influence over North Korea. We need to act unilaterally to make clear to the North Koreans that their actions have consequences.

This bill would broaden our sanctions and strengthen enforcement. Let me say I am very proud, again, in a bipartisan way, this bill passed unanimously out of the Foreign Affairs Committee.

North Korea has become more and more savvy at evading sanctions, and that is why this bill broadens our sanctions. The country's elites do business with shell companies and cover up the money trail. This allows hard currency to flow into North Korea. This bill would crack down on this practice and go after anyone helping prop up the Kim regime through these illegal activities.

I must say that I have been to North Korea twice, to Pyongyang twice. We watched in the morning when people were going to work. The elites do very well there. It is just the rest of the country that is starving.

This bill would include the important exceptions for the humanitarian aid that benefits the North Korean people. We help them with food aid. We are the most generous country with feeding North Korea. It is important to point this out because our quarrel is not with the North Korean people. It is with the despot and his aides that run North Korea.

We know that the people of North Korea endure deplorable treatment at the hands of a corrupt regime. I can tell you the country's citizens deserve much, much better. That is why we will keep up the pressure on North Korea's leaders and that is why we need to pass this legislation.

I urge a "yes" vote.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. SMITH), chairman of the Foreign Affairs Subcommittee on Africa, Global Health, Global Human Rights, and International Organizations.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I thank the distinguished chairman for yielding.

I rise in strong support of H.R. 757, the North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2016.

Mr. Speaker, there is a compelling need to pass tough and effective legislation to freeze the assets of the Kim Jong-un regime.

I want to commend Chairman ROYCE for his long and hard work on North Korea and his determination to bring this bill to the floor. I again thank Rep. Royce for yielding in support of this important measure.

Mr. Speaker, whether it be North Korea or Iran, when will we learn the hard lesson that totalitarian states do not negotiate in good faith, cannot be trusted to hold up their end of the bargain, and use our goodwill and our foreign capital to keep on proliferating? This bill will not allow intrusive inspections because they cheat and because it weakens their status at home. They use nuclear weapons negotiations to
In the hearing that we had in October, we learned of deep connections between Iran and North Korea. Both nations, among other things, sponsor worldwide terror. They have a history of working together on missile development. There is mounting evidence that they have worked together on their nuclear weapons program. We should expect Iran to keep working with North Korea to advance its own nuclear weapons program.

We have sanctions on North Korea, but all those sanctions have not been fully implemented. The administration’s policy of strategic patience is not working because this barbaric regime continues to develop nuclear weapons and ICBMs. I say our patience has run out in dealing with them.

This bill is Congress showing North Korea that there are consequences for their testing of nuclear weapons. We cannot let North Korea develop its nuclear program even more. North Korea already has submarines with missiles on them that can reach the United States, over 10 nuclear bombs, and for some reason has Austin on its hit list. I take that personally. Mr. Speaker, that Austin is their number one target in the United States.

North Korea is a state that imprisons Christians for their faith, starves its citizens, controls the Internet and the media, tortures anyone in its domain who dares to disagree, and is engaged in cyberterrorism.

Dangerous actions by a ruthless dictator must be met by forceful responses. I am glad to be an original cosponsor of this bill. I urge its passage. It is time for them to pay the price for going rogue.

And that is just the way it is. Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentlewoman from Hawaii, Ms. GABBARD, a rising star in our committee.

Ms. GABBARD. Mr. Speaker, I am rising today in strong support of H.R. 757, the North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act, which I am proud to be a cosponsor of.

North Korea continues to pose a serious and dangerous threat to my constituents in Hawaii, the Pacific, and the West Coast of the United States. Our communities and our families lie within range of North Korea’s intercontinental ballistic missiles.

North Korea’s nuclear tests just a week ago and their continued pursuit of developing more nuclear weapons and ICBMs already warns us serve as a reminder of the threat that North Korea poses to our country, which my constituents in Hawaii know all too well.

There are some necessary steps that the United States must take to deal with this threat: We need to increase the strength and capabilities of our Pacific fleet and forces. We need to stop enhancing their own diplomatic status and to gain concessions.

In the end, nuclear negotiations earn rogue nations like Iran and North Korea foreign capital and other investments from the West. Use that to fund additional missile technology, to fund and finance terrorist activities, and to continue with clandestine nuclear programs.

During the Bush administration, the most effective tools in bringing the North Korea dictatorship to heel were the freezing of its assets in the Banco Delta Asia in Macao and the building of an international coalition to interdict suspect North Korea shipping. These should be our priorities now, especially in the shadow of North Korea’s nuclear tests, by imposing mandatory sanctions on the perpetrators of human rights abuses, censorship, arms and human trafficking, money laundering, as well as proliferation.

Nearly 2 years ago, the U.N. Commission of Inquiry reported that the ongoing crimes against humanity in North Korea have no “parallel in the contemporary world.” These crimes include “extermination, murder, enslavement, torture, imprisonment, rape, forced abortions and other sexual violence, persecution on political, religious, and racial, and grounds, the forcible transfer of populations, the enforced disappearance of persons, and the inhumane act of knowingly causing prolonged starvation.”

Kim Jong-un cares not at all about the welfare of his own people. We should expect that he cares even less about the welfare of the people of Japan, South Korea, or even U.S. citizens who face the threat of North Korean nuclear weapons.

The U.N. Commission recommended that the U.N. impose targeted sanctions on Korean leaders responsible for its human rights crimes. However, China blocks U.N. action.

Without U.N. action, the U.S. must act, using our position as the steward of the global financial system. The U.S. Special Rapporteur on North Korea welcomes such action, supporting targeted sanctions of those most responsible for these heinous crimes against humanity.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. CONNOLLY), my friend and colleague and a valued member of the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

Mr. CONNOLLY. Mr. Speaker, I thank my dear friend from New York, the distinguished ranking member of the House Committee on Foreign Affairs.

I rise today in support of the North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2016. I want to thank the chairman and ranking member for their leadership in bringing this legislation before us.

I especially appreciate the inclusion of two of my amendments, one to provide for the reunification of Korean families separated by the 38th parallel, and another to ensure that U.S. policy toward North Korea is informed by the recommendations made in the landmark Commission of Inquiry on Human Rights in North Korea conducted by the United Nations.

Amidst the tense geopolitical standoffs and irresponsible actions of the North Korean regime, we must always remember the human cost of this enduring conflict. I believe this bill, through these amendments and important exceptions to sanctions for humanitarian relief organizations, does just that. This is timely, if not overdue, legislation.

North Korea is a reckless, paranoid state devoid of virtually all aspects of human autonomy, now armed with a nuclear umbrella. That makes the Korean peninsula one of the most dangerous flashpoints on the globe.

There have been recent developments in North Korea that are profoundly troubling that immediate response from this Congress. Reports that North Korea has conducted its fourth nuclear weapons test confirm that the regime in Pyongyang is committed to defying international norms and risks destabilizing the entire Asia-Pacific region.

As co-chairman of the Congressional Caucus on Korea, I remain deeply concerned with the volatility and the ever-present potential of conflict on the Korean Peninsula.

It is a specter that looms over 75 million Koreans and, for their sake and that of the region, the U.S., the Republic of Korea, China, and other regional stakeholders must demonstrate commitment to addressing this threat.

By targeting the individuals and entities that support the Kim regime through illicit activities, this bill will hopefully weaken the resolve and capability of Pyongyang to endanger regional stability.

Mr. Speaker, I urge passage of the bill.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Poe), chairman of the Subcommittee on Terrorism, Nonproliferation, and Trade of the Committee on Foreign Affairs. He is also an original cosponsor with me on this legislation.

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman and the ranking member for bringing this piece of legislation up to the House floor.

Mr. Speaker, North Korea is a world threat, a nuclear world threat. Its leaders are outliers with no redeeming social character in their souls, and we need to operate with them knowing this.

Last week, North Korea tested another nuclear weapon. As chairman of the Subcommittee on Terrorism, Nonproliferation, and Trade, I held a hearing in October and predicted that this test would happen again.

With Iran about to receive hundreds of billions of dollars for its illegal nuclear program, we shouldn’t be surprised that North Korea wants a piece of the pie, too. Illegal nuclear programs and material can bring a lot of money to a regime.

There are some necessary steps that the United States must take to deal with this threat: We need to increase the strength and capabilities of our Pacific fleet and forces. We need to stop...
the downward trend in investment of ballistic missile defense development and capabilities, and strengthen our ballistic missile defense capabilities, specifically in Hawaii and the Pacific, to counter this threat. We need to com-
pletely reexamine our strategy of so-
called strategic patience with North Korea, recognizing that North Korea has con-
tinued to grow in their nuclear and missile capabilities, telling us that the status quo is not working.

This bill, however, deals with an-
other important area where we need to ac-
to, and that is sanctions. It gives us the
tools to respond to North Korea’s provoca-
tions. One provision would apply sanctions that prohibit the ex-
port of munitions to North Korea and severely restrict export licenses for controlled goods and technologies. It would prohibit financial transactions between U.S. persons and the Government of North Korea and sanction those who send or receive lethal mili-
itary equipment to or from North Korea.

The bill will also give us the tools to reapply some of the most effective sanctions that we have ever had against hard currency for those who do business with North Korea. We saw how these sanctions were effective before.

Following U.S. action against the
Banco Delta Asia based in Macao in 2005, the assets of North Korean banks and leaders were frozen and completely blocked from the international finan-
cial system. This directly affected the money being used to develop these nu-
clear and ballistic missile capabilities, and the money also supported the re-
gime’s leadership and its elites and their lifestyle.

This severely increased the pressure in North Korea, causing them to en-
gage with the international commu-
nity, coming to an agreement to lift
the sanctions in 2007—prematurely, in my view—made in exchange for shut-
ting down and sealing the Yongbyon nuclear facilities and discussing a list of its nuclear-related activities with the U.S. and other parties in the re-
gion.

The agreement was violated by North Korea in 2009 when they tested a mis-
sile, and the sanctions on Banco Delta
showed us earlier a way to impact North Korean leadership and business directly. Those sanctions should have been immediately reinstated upon North Korea breaching that agreement, but that is why we are here today—to act.

While sanctions alone are not
enough, this bill could provide some very important tools to countering North Korea’s aggression and ulti-
mately achieving our objective of a de-nuclearized North Korea.

Lastly, this bill recognizes the ter-
rible human rights abuses inflicted on the people of North Korea. For many years, State Department human rights reports, as well as private organiza-
tions’ reports, have depicted a pattern of extreme human rights abuses by the

tyrannical North Korean regime, in-
cluding the denial of basic human free-
doms: withheld access to food and de-
plorable prison camps where extrajudicial killings, enslavement, torture, and sexual abuse are wide-
spread.

I would like to thank our Chairman
ROYCE and our Ranking Member ENGEL for their steadfast, bipartisan dedication and leadership to taking action on this global and domestic security issue. This bill provides a critical step for-
ward.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3
minutes to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN), chairman emeritus of the Committee on Foreign Affairs and author of multiple North Korea human rights and sanctions laws. She is also a cosponsor of this bill.

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, I am so proud and pleased to be here speaking on behalf of this bill, H.R. 757, the North Korea Sanctions Enforce-
ment Act. I thank Chairman ROYCE and Ranking Member ENGEL for intro-
ducing this important bill which, once
again, is presented in their usual bipar-
tisan manner.

While recent reports, Mr. Speaker, cast doubt on North Korea’s claims that it carried out a hydrogen bomb test, any enhancement of the regime’s nuclear capability should be—must be—a cause for concern. Both U.S. and South Korean intelligence assessments indicate that North Korea already pos-
sesses the capability to install a nu-
clear warhead on a missile that can reach United States territory or that of our allies.

Despite some doubt about that capability’s effectiveness, it is just a matter of time before North Korea fin-
ishes developing this dangerous tech-
nology that it is seeking or, worse, shares this technology with Iran, as these rogue regimes make buddies and have long known to collaborate on their ballistic missile programs.

What is clear is that our current pol-
icy toward North Korea is not working. Administrations from both parties, Mr. Speaker, have made mistakes with North Korea over the years. They have failed to respond to North Korea’s vi-
olation of its nuclear deal and have failed to hold the regime accountable for its proliferation after administration have removed North Korea off the State Sponsors of Terrorism list and continue to keep the regime off that list despite mounting evidence that would support its inclu-
sion back on the terrorism list. Vari-
ous administrations have utterly failed to enforce the North Korea sanc-
tions that we already have on the
books.

The Obama administration’s so-
called strategic patience policy with North Korea has proven to be a dis-
aster, and it is time that we fully and vigorously enforce the existing sanc-
tions and expand upon those to imple-
ment new sanctions on Pyongyang until its nuclear program is disman-
tled.

By some estimates, North Korea might already have 10 to 15 nuclear weapons, and Kim Jong-un has shown that he will not stop at nothing to obtain the weapons and the technology that he de-
sires. This bill would help ensure that our sanctions on North Korea are fi-
nally being enforced the way they al-
ways should have been, but we can’t forget that North Korea cannot make progress on its program alone.

North Korea has a long history of collaborating with other rogue re-
gimes, and we must ensure that we are enforcing sanctions on all of its col-
aborators. Any government entity or individual that has sold or transferred weapons or technology to North Korea in violation of U.S. law or U.N. Secu-
rity Council resolution should also be targeted for sanctions.

Mr. Speaker, I will end with this
note: North Korea has been writing the playbook for rogue regimes to follow, and unless this administration gets se-
rious about confronting Pyongyang’s aggressions, I worry that it will con-
tinue to allow Iran to take advantage of us, that we will not enforce sanctions on Tehran, just like we are not enfor-
cing them on North Korea.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The
time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield an additional 1 minute to the gentle-
woman.

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. In a few years’
time, we will be back here debating what to do after another nuclear device test by North Korea, by Iran, or by other rogue actors.

North Korea poses an imminent threat now to our security as well as that of our allies. We cannot afford to ignore it nor look the other way.

I urge all of my colleagues to vote for this important bill and urge its pas-
 sage.

I thank the chairman and the rank-
ing member for this bill.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Colleagues, a nuclear weapon in the hands of North Korea, a rogue, desta-

cilizing country on the peninsula and across the region, is simply a non-
starter. It is unthinkable. Despite our tough sanctions and increasing isola-
tion of the Kim regime, Pyongyang continues down a dan-
gerous and destabilizing course.

Last week’s nuclear test in North Korea is a jarring reminder of just how dangerous the Kim regime is and de-
mands a response from the United States and our allies as well. We must work with South Korea and Japan to make sure this issue is at the top of our agenda in our engagement with China. We must act unilaterally to make sure our sanctions mean that their leadership’s actions have consequences.

H.R. 757 would broaden our sanctions and strengthen enforcement. The bill
would crack down on North Korean elite who do business through shell companies to evade detection and go after anyone helping to prop up the Kim regime through illegal activities. This bill would include important exceptions for the humanitarian aid that benefits the North Korean people.

North Koreans deserve much more than what its leaders are providing, which is why we need to pass this legislation. We cannot allow North Korea to continue to be dangerous and frivolous. We have to stand up and say no. They have to understand that we mean business. They have to understand that what they have done is unacceptable and will not stand.

I urge my colleagues to support this measure.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

We have the opportunity today to show Americans and the world that Congress is willing to lead on this vital national security issue. This is an issue that Congress has been focused on, obviously, for some time.

I have spent much of my time on the Foreign Affairs Committee focused on the North Korean threat. Ranking Member ELIOT ENGEL and I, in one of our first trips together as chairman and ranking member of the committee, traveled to visit our South Korean ally and toured the wreckage of the Cheonan.

This was a corvette ship that was hit and split right in half by a torpedo fired by a North Korean submarine, costing the lives of 46 sailors. It is a reminder of the attitude that North Korea has in terms of its provocative action.

Both ELIOT ENGEL and I have been to North Korea on separate trips, and we can tell you it is a totalitarian state with an ever-present cult of personalities. If you have ever read Orwell’s book, “Nineteen Eighty-Four,” the society in that book seemed almost more rational than this police state.

I was talking to the former Minister of Propaganda. In the no-go areas, 1.9 million were starved to death in North Korea. You ask why. Well, with the paranoia of the police state, they are not considered particularly loyal out there.

Besides, the food can be sold on the food exchange in the capital for hard currency. Donated food often is used in this way to support what he calls “juche,” to support this philosophy which leads them forward with this dehumanization and the capability to terrorize.

This bipartisan bill, which I authored with ELIOT ENGEL as our principal co-author, is based on legislation that unanimously passed the House last Congress. Its implementation will help with the need for its weapons of mass destruction program, for only when the North Korean leadership realizes that its criminal activities are untenable do prospects for peace and security in Northeast Asia improve.

This bill will return us to the one strategy that has worked to pressure North Korea at a time when Kim Jong-un is trying to blackmail his way to a nuclear weapon.

Congress must send the message to the Kim regime that they can either reform and disarm or the system can implode. Without hard currency, without being able to pay the generals, that system will collapse. By cutting off Kim Jong-un’s access to the hard currency he needs for his army and his weapons, this bill, H.R. 757, will squarely present the North Korean regime with that choice.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, recent news of North Korea’s claim that it successfully conducted an H-bomb test for the first time in defiance of United Nations’ resolutions upon the world must remain steadfast in expeditiously addressing insecurity in our nation and across the globe, as anticipated in this bill by Representative ROYCE of California, entitled the North Korean Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2,315 which has enjoyed bi-partisan support.

Our world today is faced with resurgent and evolving threats from weapons of mass destruction to destructive nuclear ambitions.

Indeed, news events inform us of the far-ranging spectrum we must contend with, ranging from persistent nation state-based dangerous nuclear ambitions in North Korea, to continued chemical weapons used in Syria, to terrorist organizations such as Daesh ramping up their destructive capabilities through vitriolic recruitment strategies, that pose an existential threat beyond the borders from which ISIS is operating.

I am confident that these are issues that President Obama will be addressing and proposing durable solutions to during his last state of the Union Address as our nation’s Commander in Chief.

Under his leadership, our nation has achieved foreign policy feats that have worked to maintain our security, promote our geopolitical objectives and advance our diplomatic relationships with key allies.

Let’s just take a quick look back at some of the President’s foreign policy achievements:

- The capture and neutralization of Osama Bin Laden which brought an end to a nearly decade long manhunt.
- The withdrawal of U.S. forces from Iraq which helped to bring an end to a costly war, helping our country save billions of dollars in U.S. taxpayer funds.
- The current Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action, which has been instrumental in deterring and stemming Iran’s nuclear ambitions and enabling security in the Gulf region.
- The repeal of Don’t Ask, Don’t Tell, an aspersion on the personal private matters of those who have dedicated their lives to protect our nation.
- Signing into law the New Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty (START), an important treaty that would imply to the world that the U.S. takes its role as a world leader.
- Signing a treaty that requires both the United States and Russia to reduce their nuclear warhead arsenals to 1,550 each, a 30 percent reduction from the 2002 Treaty of Moscow and a 74 percent reduction from the 1991 START treaty.

Neutralization of al Qaeda propagandist and foreign fighter recruiter Anwar Al Awlaki, one of the main leaders in the Al Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula (AQAP).

Indeed, under President Obama’s leadership, our country’s military aid to Israel has increased remarkably with the eye towards deepening and expanding U.S./Israeli relations— an important aspect of our nation’s foreign policy and geopolitical efforts to promote peace in the region.

This President’s foreign policy achievements in promoting the security of our nation are irrefutable and this is why I support the North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2015 because it will empower him to continue his impressive work in this arena.

Much like the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA) championed by this Administration, this bill encourages our President to investigate any credible information of sanctionable activities involving North Korea.

Furthermore, this bill will facilitate and implement sanctions against persons and entities who knowingly engage in or contribute to activities in North Korea whether it is through their exporting or importing of weapons of mass destruction, significant arms, significant luxury goods, money laundering, censorship, or engage in human rights abuses.

Pursuant to the bill, the President is empowered to exercise authorities under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (IEEPA) as it relates to persons, entities and the government of North Korea.

This bill empowers our President with discretionary authority to designate and apply sanctions to persons involved in certain other kinds of conduct.

This bill will facilitate civil forfeiture of assets, real or personal, if said properties inure from any attempted or actual violation of this Act, or which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to such a violation.

Other core provisions of the bill is the empowerment of our Treasury Secretary to determine whether reasonable grounds exist for concluding that North Korea is a jurisdiction of primary money laundering concern; and in the event our Treasury Secretary makes this determination, he is empowered to impose one or more special measures with respect to the jurisdiction of North Korea.

Finally, our sense of Congress in this bill is in comity with and ensures the consistent enforcement of United Nations Security Council resolutions and financial restrictions on North Korea.

Though this bill, our president will be empowered to withhold assistance under the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 to any country that provides lethal military equipment to, or receives it from the government of North Korea.

This bill is also important because it will put into place an enhanced screening procedure whereby our Secretary of Homeland Security (DHS) will be able to determine if physical inspections are warranted of any cargo bound for or landed in the United States that has been transported through a foreign seaport or airport whose inspections are deficient if there are reasonable grounds to believe that such cargo contains goods prohibited under this Act.
This will facilitate expedient seizure of vessels or aircraft used to facilitate sanctionable activities.

The President will also be supported in his efforts to produce progress reports on significant activities undermining cyber security conflicts, or otherwise ordered or controlled, directly or indirectly, by the government of North Korea.

Our Secretary of State will be supported in his human rights efforts of reporting on each political prison camp in North Korea, which will include a detailed description of those abuses or circumstances.

Again, I thank Chairman ROYCE for championing this bill and look forward to working with him and other members of this House in promoting our national security and supporting our President's objective of establishing us as a credible and trusted leader in the global landscape.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 757, as amended.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

PRESIDENTIAL ALLOWANCE MODERNIZATION ACT

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1777) to amend the Act of August 25, 1958, commonly known as the “Former Presidents Act of 1958”, with respect to the monetary allowance payable to a former President, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 1777

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Presidential Allowance Modernization Act”.

SEC. 2. AMENDMENTS.

(a) RELATING TO A FORMER PRESIDENT.—The first section of the Act entitled “An Act to provide retirement, clerical assistants, and free mailing privileges to former Presidents of the United States, and for other purposes”, approved August 25, 1958 (3 U.S.C. 162 note), is amended by striking the matter before subsection (c) and inserting the following:

“(a) Each former President shall be entitled for the remainder of his or her life to receive from the United States—

“(1) an annuity at the rate of $200,000 per year, subject to subsection (c); and

“(2) the monetary allowance at the rate of $200,000 per year, subject to subsections (c) and (d).

“(b)(1) The annuity and allowance under subsection (a) shall each—

“(A) commence on the day after the individual becomes a former President;

“(B) terminate on the last day of the month before the former President dies; and

“(C) be payable by the Secretary of the Treasury on a monthly basis.

“(2) The annuity and allowance under subsection (a) shall not be payable for any period during which the former President holds an appointive or elective position in or under the Federal Government that attaches a rate of pay other than a nominal rate.

“(c) Effective December 1 of each year, each annuity and allowance under subsection (a) having a commencement date that precedes such December 1 shall be increased by the same percentage as the percentage by which the annual allowance under the Post-Presidential Security Act (42 U.S.C. 401 and following) are increased, effective as of such December 1, as a result of a determination under section 215(i) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 415(i)).

“(d)(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the monetary allowance payable under subsection (a)(2) to a former President for any 12-month period may not exceed the amount by which—

“(A) the monetary allowance which (but for this subsection) would otherwise be payable for such 12-month period if at all;

“(B) the applicable reduction amount for such 12-month period.

“(2)(A) For purposes of paragraph (1), the ‘applicable reduction amount’ is, with respect to any former President and in connection with any 12-month period, the amount by which—

“(i) the sum of (I) the adjusted gross income (as defined in section 62 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) of the former President for the last taxable year ending before the start of such 12-month period, plus (II) any interest excluded from the gross income of the former President under section 103 of such Code for such taxable year, exceeds (if at all) (II) any interest on such amount, which for purposes of this paragraph (3) shall be disregarded.

“(ii) $100,000, subject to subparagraph (C).

“(3)(B) In the case of a joint return, subclauses (I) and (II) of subparagraph (A)(i) shall be applied by taking into account both the amounts properly allocable to the former President and the amounts properly allocable to the spouse of the former President.

“(C) The dollar amount specified in subparagraph (A)(i) shall be adjusted at the same time that, and by the same percentage as the percentage by which, the monetary allowance of the former President is increased under subsection (b) (disregarding this subsection).

(b) RELATING TO THE SURVIVING SPOUSE OF A FORMER PRESIDENT.—

(1) INCREASE IN AMOUNT OF MONETARY ALLOWANCE.—Subsection (e) of the section amended by subsection (a) is amended—

“(A) in the first sentence, by striking “$20,000 per annum,” and inserting “$100,000 per year (subject to paragraph (4),)”;

“(B) in the second sentence—

“(i) by striking “and” at the end;

“(ii) in paragraph (3) —

“(I) by striking “or the government of the District of Columbia”; and

“(II) by striking the period and inserting “; and”;

“(iii) by adding after paragraph (3) the following:

“(A) shall, after its commencement date, be increased at the same time that, and by the same percentage as the percentage by which, annuities of former Presidents are increased under subsection (c).

(2) COVERAGE OF WIDOWER OF A FORMER PRESIDENT.—Such subsection (e), as amended by paragraph (1), is further amended—

“(A) by striking “or widower” each place it appears and inserting “widow or widower”; and

“(B) by striking “she” and inserting “she or her”

SEC. 3. RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.

Nothing in this Act shall be considered to affect—

“(A) any provision of law relating to the security or protection of a former President or a member of the family of a former President; or

“(B) any other law or regulation, or any other provision of law described in paragraph (1).
So, in essence, if former Presidents want to ride off into the sunset and go fishing and enjoy the Utah sunsets, they can go do that. They will be very healthily compensated to lead that kind of lifestyle.

If they choose to go out and sell books and give speeches and do all those things, more power to them. If that is what they choose to do, they can go out and make that type of money. For some, they make millions of dollars doing so. At that point, I just don’t think that the taxpayers should necessarily supplement their income. They don’t need it at that point.

So we worked in a very good, bipartisan way with Ranking Member Eli-ジャ・CUMMINGS from Maryland. We worked to do this together. We introduced this in a bipartisan way. I want our Members to know that, if this bill passes, it would save nearly $10 million in the first 5 years.

In fiscal year 2015, Congress appropriated nearly $2 million for pensions, office staff, and related expenses for former Presidents. Of that amount, the General Services Administration made $1.1 million in rental payments for office space.

The annual allowance provision under H.R. 1777 replaces the millions of dollars currently provided for travel, staff, and office expenses of former Presidents and ends an unnecessary government handout to former Presidents. It allows them to make millions after leaving office.

This bill does not affect the security or protection of former Presidents or family members of a former President. But, rather, H.R. 1777 brings an end to the American taxpayer subsidizing expenditures for former Presidents.

Unfortunately, both sides of the aisle recognize that, no matter who the President is, in this modern age, they are going to have security concerns the rest of their lives.

Under this bill, all of those expenses for the Secret Service and those type of expenditures will continue to be paid for, at no expense. No matter their income, it is a duty and obligation of the Federal Government to protect these former Presidents, and they will continue to do so.

The Presidential Allowance Modernization Act modernizes the Former Presidents Act while reducing unnecessary costs to the taxpayer. The bill would amend the Former Presidents Act of 1958 to provide a $200,000 annual pension for each former President and a $100,000 annual survivor benefit to each surviving spouse. The pensions are indexed to inflation and would increase with the Social Security cost-of-living adjustment.

Currently, surviving spouses receive $20,000—an interesting disparity between the spouse and the former President—and former Presidents receive a pension equal to the pay for Cabinet Secretaries, which for 2015 is $230,700.

The bill would also provide an annual allowance of $200,000 for costs associated with post-Presidential life. The annual allowance would replace amounts currently provided for travel, staff, and office expenses, which totaled $3.25 million in fiscal year 2015 for the four living former Presidents.

The allowance would be reduced dollar for dollar for every dollar a former President earns in outside income in excess of $400,000.

So, you see, there might be no Presidential pension if the President does what most Presidents have done, which is to almost not be able to help earning outside income.

Updating the allowance ends an unnecessary government handout to former Presidents making millions of dollars after leaving office. There is little reason why American taxpayers should be subsidizing these former Presidents when they are making a comfortable living on their own work.

This legislation would not affect the funding for the security and protection of former Presidents and their spouses, and that is an important provision, considering the world in which we live today.

Last, Mr. Speaker, I want to particularly thank my good friend, Chairman CHAFFETZ, for the amendment, my amendment to the bill in committee to eliminate the prohibition on preventing a former President or surviving spouse from receiving a pension during the period of time he or she holds office in the District of Columbia.

Imagine that. When this bill was written, it was a double-dipping bill, and they thought that some President would leave office and want to, somehow, seek work in the District of Columbia. Hardly, but I can understand that provision, and I thank the chairman that this double-dipping provision, he and I both find, is no longer necessary.

While this language may have made sense in 1958, that was before the District even had home rule. The District had no mayor or city council. It was under the total dominance of the Federal Government.

Since then, of course, there have been changes that I am pleased to applaud, and the government of the District of Columbia pays for the pensions of its own employees, so the Federal Government isn’t in it at all.

There is no reason the concern that a former President would receive both a pension and a salary from the Federal Government should still be a part of our law.

This is a good-government bill that makes fiscal sense by reducing taxpayer-funded costs. I certainly urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to support H.R. 1777.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I have no additional speakers. I urge its passage. I really and truly enjoyed working with Members on both sides of the aisle to get this through and urge its adoption.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I have no additional speakers.

I want to thank the chairman. We are off to a good start in this second session of this Congress.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 1777, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS, PUBLIC DEFENDER SERVICE, AND COURT SERVICES AND OFFENDER SUPERVISION AGENCY ACT OF 2015

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 1629) to revise certain authorities of the District of Columbia courts, the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia, and the Public Defender Service for the District of Columbia, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 1629
Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
This Act may be cited as the "District of Columbia Courts, Public Defender Service, and Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency Act of 2015"

SEC. 2. AUTHORITIES OF DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS.
(a) AUTHORIZATION TO COLLECT DEBTS AND ERRONEOUS PAYMENTS FROM EMPLOYEES.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter II of chapter 17 of title 11, District of Columbia Official
Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: 

"§ 11–1733. Collection, compromise, and waiver of employee debts and erroneous payments.

(a) Authority to collect.—If the Executive Officer determines that an employee or former employee of the District of Columbia Courts is indebted to the District of Columbia Courts because of an erroneous payment made to or on behalf of the employee or former employee, or any other debt, the Executive Officer may collect the amount of the debt in accordance with this subsection.

(b) Notice and hearing required.—(A) Written notice of the nature and amount of the debt shall not be given until after the date on which the individual receives a notice under paragraph (1)(A), and in accordance with any procedures that the Executive Officer prescribes, files a petition requesting the hearing.

(B) Basis for hearing.—A hearing under this paragraph shall be on the written submission of the individual; the determination that the existence or amount of the debt—

(i) turns on an issue of credibility or veracity; or

(ii) cannot be resolved by a review of the documentary evidence.

(c) Stay of collection proceedings.—The timely filing of a petition for a hearing under subparagraph (A) shall stay the commencement of collection proceedings under this subsection.

(d) Independent officer.—An independent hearing officer appointed in accordance with regulations promulgated under subsection (e) shall conduct a hearing under this paragraph.

(e) Deadline for decision.—The hearing officer shall issue a final decision regarding the questions covered by the hearing at the earliest practicable date, and not later than 60 days after the date of the hearing.

(f) Effect on accounts of courts.—In the audit and settlement of accounts of any accountable official, full credit shall be given for any amounts with respect to which collection by the District of Columbia Courts is waived under this subsection.

(g) Validity of payments.—An erroneous payment or debt, the collection of which is waived under this subsection, shall be a valid payment for all purposes.

(h) No effect on other authorities.—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to affect the authority of the District of Columbia under any other statute to litigate, settle, compromise, or waive any claim of the District of Columbia.

(i) Regulations.—The authority of the Executive Officer under this section shall be subject to regulations promulgated by the Joint Committee.

(2) Clerical amendment.—The table of sections for subchapter II of chapter 17 of title 11, District of Columbia Official Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: "11–1733. Collection, compromise, and waiver of employee debts and erroneous payments."

(3) Effective date.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply with respect to any erroneous payment made or debt incurred before, on, or after the date of enactment of this Act.

"§ 11233. Authorization to purchase uniforms for personnel.

(a) Authority to develop and operate programmatic incentives for sentenced offenders.—Section 5901(a)(1) of title 5, United States Code (relating to the uniform allowance for employees of the Government of the United States)."

SEC. 3. Authorities of Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency.

(a) Authority to develop and operate programmatic incentives for sentenced offenders. —Section 5901(a)(1) of the National Capital Revitalization and Self-Government Improvement Act of 1997 (sec. 24—
This is in keeping with modern penology. It would allow the Public Defender Service to accept and use public grants, voluntary and uncompensated services, such as unpaid law clerks and interns of the kind, for example, that we use here every day, and private contributions made to the District of Columbia criminal justice system. It would allow the courts to collect debts owed to it by its employees.

These changes are small and they are noncontroversial, but they mean a great deal to the District of Columbia because they will modernize and improve the daily operations of the District’s criminal justice system.

If I may say so while the chairman is on the floor, these small changes, somehow I hope our committee will find a way to allow the courts, themselves, to do so that we do not have to bring such small changes before this body, which has such important work.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GRANTS OVERSIGHT AND NEW EFFICIENCY ACT

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 1115) to close out expired grants.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 1115

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Grants Oversight and New Efficiency Act” or the “GONE Act”.

SEC. 2. IDENTIFYING AND CLOSING OUT EXPIRED FEDERAL GRANT AWARDS.

(a) Expired Federal Grant Award Report.—

(1) In general.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall instruct the head of each agency, in coordination with the Secretary, to submit to Congress and the Secretary a report, not later than December 31 of the first calendar year beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act, that:

(A) lists each Federal grant award held by such agency;

(B) provides the total number of Federal grant awards, including the number of grants—

(i) by time period of expiration; and

(ii) with zero dollar balances; and

(iii) with undisbursed balances;
Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I really want to, first, thank Senator Fischer for the great work done in a bipartisan way in order to move this bill forward. That combination, working with a Member who serves on our committee, Mr. Walberg, and the Funds Act, is often referred to as the GONE Act, Grants Oversight and New Efficiency Act. It is a good piece of bipartisan, bicameral legislative effort.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve my time.
closeout process is necessary. All of this information will give agencies and Congress valuable insight into issues that agencies face when it comes to a timely closeout of grants.

It is my hope that this information will inform future efforts to streamline the grants process as specified under the closeout process. In fact, S. 1115 requires OMB and HHS to submit a report to Congress on potential legislative reforms that are necessary to improve the grants lifecycle. I look forward to hearing from OMB and HHS on this topic, and I thank those agencies for the feedback they have offered on this bill.

For months, Members of the House and Senate on both sides of the aisle have worked to develop this bill into one that will serve to advance the efficiency of the grants process. OMB, HHS, and the inspector general community have all provided helpful comments as we worked to finalize this legislation, and I am grateful for their assistance.

Mr. Speaker, spending taxpayer dollars on expired and empty grant accounts is the definition of government waste. I urge my colleagues to support this bill today and send the GONE Act to the President’s desk.

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, it is a good bipartisan bill. I urge its passage. I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

TAXPAYERS RIGHT-TO-KNOW ACT

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 598) to provide taxpayers with an annual report disclosing the cost and performance of Government programs and areas of duplication among them, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 598

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This Act may be cited as the ‘‘Taxpayers Right-To-Know Act’’.

SEC. 2. INVENTORY OF GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1122(a) of title 31, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively;

(2) by inserting before paragraph (2), as so redesignated, the following:

'(1) the President—For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘program’ means an organized set of activities by 1 or more agencies directed toward a common purpose or goal.';

(3) in paragraph (2), as so redesignated—

(A) by striking ‘‘in general.—Not later than October of the Office of Management and Budget shall’’ and inserting ‘‘WEBSITE AND PROGRAM INVENTORY.—The Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall’’;

(B) by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following:

'(C) include on the website—

(i) a program inventory that shall identify each program of the Federal Government for which there is more than $1,000,000 in annual budget authority, which shall include—

(1) any activity that is commonly referred to as a program by a Federal agency in communications with Congress, including any activity identified as a program in a budget request;

(2) any activity that is commonly referred to as a program by a Federal agency in communications with the public, including each program for which financial awards are made on a competitive basis; and

(III) any activity referenced in law as a program after June 30, 2019, and

(ii) for each program identified in the program inventory, the information required under paragraph (3) or paragraph (4), as applicable—

(1) in paragraph (3), as so redesignated—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking ‘‘INFORMATION.—Information for each program described under paragraph (1)’’ and inserting ‘‘INFORMATION FOR LARGE PROGRAMS.—Information for each program identified in the program inventory required under paragraph (2) for which there is more than $10,000,000 in annual budget authority’’;

(B) by striking subparagraph (C); and

(C) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraphs (A) and (B);

(D) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

'(A) an identification of the program activities that are aggregated, disaggregated, or consolidated as part of identifying programs;

(B) for each program activity described in subparagraph (A), the amount of funding for the current fiscal year and previous 2 fiscal years;

(C) an estimate of the amount of funding for the program inventory required under section (a);

(E) in subparagraph (D), as so redesignated, by striking ‘‘and’’ at the end; and

(F) by adding at the end the following:

'(E) in an identification of the program—

(i) a program inventory that shall identify each program of the Federal Government, an Inspector General, or the Government Accountability Office (including program performance reports required under section 1116) released during the preceding 5 years;

(ii) other individuals whose salary is paid by the program inventory required under section (a);

and

(G) links to any evaluation, assessment, or program performance reviews by the agency, an Inspector General, or the Government Accountability Office (including program performance reports required under section 1116) released during the preceding 5 years.

(5) by adding at the end the following:

'(4) INFORMATION FOR SMALLER PROGRAMS.—Information for each program identified in the program inventory required under paragraph (2) for which there is more than $100,000 and not more than $1,000,000 in annual budget authority shall, at a minimum, include—

(1) an identification of the statutes that authorize the program and any major regulations specific to the program;

(2) any program that provides grants or other financial assistance to individuals, a description of individuals served by the program and beneficiaries who received financial assistance under the program for the most recent fiscal year; and

(3) links to any evaluation, assessment, or program performance reviews by the agency, an Inspector General, or the Government Accountability Office (including program performance reports required under section 1116) released during the preceding 5 years.

(6) ARCHIVING.—After the end of each fiscal year, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall publish on a public website the total amount of undisbursed grant funding remaining in grant accounts for which the period of availability to the grantee has expired.

SEC. 3. GUIDANCE AND IMPLEMENTATION.

(a) GUIDANCE.—Not later than June 30, 2018, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget—

(1) shall prescribe guidance to implement this Act, and the amendments made by this Act;

(2) shall issue guidance to agencies to identify how the program activities used for reporting under the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (31 U.S.C. 6101 note) are associated with programs identified in the program inventory required under section 1122(a)(2)(C)(i) of title 31, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a);

and

(b) E XPIRED GRANT FUNDING.—Not later than February 1 of each fiscal year, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall publish on a public website the total amount of undisbursed grant funding remaining in grant accounts for which the period of availability to the grantee has expired.
5 years, GAO has identified 106 areas of duplication, overlap, and fragmentation; moreover, they identified an additional 72 areas for potential cost savings. While only 37 percent of recommended corrective actions have been taken, the data indicates that these actions have saved the Federal Government and the taxpayer about $20 billion.

While GAO’s work has been invaluable, their ability to look comprehensively at the Federal Government is inherently limited because of the poor reporting by agencies about their activity. Quite simply, without better data, billions more will be lost and wasted.

Current law, specifically, the Government Performance and Results Modernization Act, requires agencies to report all their programs, their funding, and their performance information to the Office of Management and Budget. However, OMB’s current inventory is incomplete and provides inconsistent information. This makes it more difficult and time consuming to identify areas of waste and inefficiency.

H.R. 598 establishes an across-the-board definition of “program” and requires the publication of detailed information on each Federal program. This change will allow American taxpayers and Federal watchdogs to better evaluate the effectiveness and utility of government programs.

The Taxpayers Right-To-Know Act is an important and necessary step forward for the government in providing programs that are accountable, effective, and efficient.

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank Senator LANKFORD for his work on the Senate companion bill.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

Mr. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, this Act may be cited as the “Presidential Library Donation Reform Act of 2016.”

This Act may be cited as the “Presidential Library Donation Reform Act of 2016.”

Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1069) to amend title 44, United States Code, to require information on contributors to Presidential library fundraising organizations, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The text of the bill is as follows:

PRESIDENTIAL LIBRARY DONATION REFORM ACT OF 2016

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1069) to amend title 44, United States Code, to require information on contributors to Presidential library fundraising organizations, and for other purposes, as amended.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The yeas and nays were ordered.

The question was taken.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.
This is a bill that has passed in three separate Congresses with overwhelming bipartisan support and very, very little opposition. In fact, this Congress, it is cosponsored by Ranking Member Elijahr Cummings. In past Congresses, it has been cosponsored by Ranking Member Edolphus Towns; and in one Congress, Chairman Waxman became the primary sponsor. So it is a very bipartisan bill.

It is a very simple bill, one that I think can be supported by anyone who opposes government secrecy and believes in an open, transparent system. The Presidential Library Donation Reform Act simply requires that donations to a President's library greater than $200 be disclosed to the public and posted online.

It is very surprising to people that there are no laws governing these donations at this time. In fact, any person, corporation, or foreign government can donate any amount, unreported, while a President is still in office.

I first introduced this bill in the 106th Congress after reading a front-page story in The Washington Times reporting that foreign governments from the Middle East were making very large donations to the proposed library for President Clinton. I was concerned about the influence of donations being made by foreign governments. However, I hasten to say this is not directed toward former President Clinton or anyone else. This bill has been introduced and passed, and I have sponsored this bill under both Republican and Democratic Presidents.

I did read at one point that after I introduced this bill that President Clinton’s library had received a $450,000 contribution from the ex-wife of Marc Rich, who had fled the country to evade $40 million in taxes. So these types of things have certainly raised concern.

In 2013, the Sunlight Foundation’s policy director endorsed my bill during a hearing of the Government Oversight and Government Reform Committee, saying: ‘‘It would provide valuable information on special interests whose donations put them in close proximity with Presidents.’’

As costs soar, clearly there is potential for abuse, no matter who is President. This is, as I said, not a partisan issue. It is simply a good government bill that I think almost everyone can support, and certainly they have in the past. I urge support for this legislation.

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume. I support this bill, Mr. Speaker.

I want to thank Representative Duncan and Ranking Member Cummings for sponsoring this legislation. Representative Duncan first sponsored a bill to improve Presidential libraries 16 years ago. What has happened that we can’t get this bill through the Congress? I hope this bill this year will pass. Let me hope that we can finally get this important reform on the President’s desk where I am sure it will be signed.

The Presidential Library Donation Reform Act would provide transparency to the public on the funding Presidential libraries. The practice of creating a Presidential library began decades ago with President Franklin Delano Roosevelt. The tradition has carried on through every President since that time, and it is going to continue. Presidential libraries have become increasingly more expensive as they
have evolved into multipurpose centers that do more than simply house Presidential records. For example, the William J. Clinton Library cost an estimated $165 million, while the George W. Bush Presidential Center cost an estimated $220 million to build, with President Bush having raised approximately half a billion dollars for his library, museum, and institute. We can expect that with each new President, these libraries are going to cost more. That is inappropriate.

Under current law, there is no requirement to disclose the identities of those who donate to a Presidential library, and a President is able to secure an unlimited amount of private donations while still in office. The bill before us would make a simple but very important change in existing law. Under this bill, organizations that raise money to build Presidential libraries would be required to disclose the identity of any individual who donates more than $200. It seems reasonable to me, Mr. Speaker. The National Archives and Records Administration would then be required to post the donation information in a manner that is free to access and downloadable. Additionally, this legislation would create criminal penalties for individuals who report false information on donations and for fundraising organizations that omit donation information.

A group of 15 good government organizations, including Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington and the Sunlight Foundation, sent a letter urging the House to support this bill. Here is a quote from it: "Under the current opaque system, Presidents raise funds privately to establish their Presidential libraries. These efforts, which often begin long before they leave office, are unregulated, unreported, and essentially creativity, opportunities for, or the appearance of, influence-peddling. Improved transparency would help reduce the appearance of impropriety and help deter inappropriate behavior."

The appearance is just as important as the behavior itself, I emphasize, Mr. Speaker. This bill was approved without opposition by the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform in March and has passed the House several times before.

As I noted, companion legislation sponsored by Senators CORKER and JOHNSON was approved by the Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee earlier this year. It looks like this bill may become law after all, Mr. DUNCAN. I urge every Member of this body to support transparency by voting for this important legislation.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 653) to amend section 522 of title 5, United States Code (commonly known as the Freedom of Information Act), to provide for greater public access to information, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk reads the title of the bill. The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 653
Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE

This Act may be cited as the "FOIA Oversight and Implementation Act of 2015" or the "FOIA Act".

SEC. 2. FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT AMENDMENTS.

(1) ELECTRONIC ACCESSIBILITY.—Section 522 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(a) by striking paragraph (15) and inserting the following new paragraph—

(15) an unlimited amount of private donations while still in office.

(b) PRESUMPTION OF OPENNESS.—

Section 552(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in paragraph (5), by striking after "with the agency" the following: "; and";

(B) in paragraph (6), by striking "similar files" and inserting "personal information such as contact information or financial information";

(C) in the matter following paragraph (9)—

(ii) by striking before "any reasonably segregable portion" the following: "An agency may not withhold under this subsection unless such agency reasonably foresees that disclosure would cause specific identifiable harm to an interest protected by an exemption, or if disclosure is prohibited by law.";

(ii) by inserting after "If technically feasible," the following: "For each record withheld in whole or in part under paragraph (3), the agency shall identify the statute that exempts the record from disclosure.";

(2) RULES OF CONSTRUCTION.—

(A) INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS.—Nothing in the amendments made by this Act to section 522(b) of title 5, United States Code, shall be construed to require the disclosure of information that—

(i) is exempt under paragraph (1) of such section;

(ii) would adversely affect intelligence sources and methods, or information protected by an exemption under such section;

(B) PERSONAL PRIVACY.—For purposes of section 522(b)(6) of title 5, United States Code, as amended by this Act, the term "personal privacy" may not be construed to include the name of a Federal employee engaged in an official duty of such employee.

Section 522(a)(6)(C)(i) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking the
fourth sentence and inserting at the end the following: “Any notification of denial or partial denial of any request for records under this section shall set forth each name and title of the person with whom notification is made, the reason for the denial or partial denial or any decision to withhold a responsive record under subsection (b).”

(c) RECORDS FROM CONGRESS.—Section 552(d) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: “In requests from Congress for information, an agency may not assert that information may be withheld from Congress under this section.”

(d) REQUESTS FROM CONGRESS.—Section 552(a)(4)(E)(i) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “The court may” and inserting “The court shall”.

(e) OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT INFORMATION SERVICES.—Section 552 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(4)(A)(i), by striking “the Director of the Office of Management and Budget” and inserting “the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, in consultation with the Director of the Office of Government Information Services,”; and

(2) by amending subsection (b) to read as follows—

“(b) OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT INFORMATION SERVICES.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established the Office of Government Information Services within the National Archives and Records Administration. The head of the Office is the Director of the Office of Government Information Services.

“(A) review policies and procedures of agencies under this section;

“(B) review compliance with this section by agencies;

“(C) identify methods that improve compliance under this section that may include—

“(i) the timely processing of requests submitted to agencies under this section;

“(ii) the system for assessing fees and fee waivers under this section; and

“(iii) the use of any exemption under subsection (b); and

“(D) review and provide guidance to agencies on the use of fees and fee waivers.

“(2) MEDIA.—The Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services to resolve disputes between persons making requests under this section and the consulted entity.

“(A) by redesignating clause (iv) as clause (v); and

“(B) in clause (ii), by striking the period at the end and inserting the following: “and”.

“(3) ANNUAL MEETING REQUIRED.—Not less than once a year, the Office of Government Information Services shall hold a meeting that is open to the public on the review and reports by the Office and permit interested persons to appear and present oral or written statements at such meeting.

“(d) ASSESSMENT OF ATTORNEY FEES AND OTHER LITIGATION COSTS.—Section 552(a)(4)(E)(ii) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “The court may” and inserting “The court shall”.

“(e) OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT INFORMATION SERVICES.—Section 552 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

“(1) in subsection (a)(4)(A)(i), by striking “the Director of the Office of Management and Budget” and inserting “the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, in consultation with the Director of the Office of Government Information Services,”; and

“(2) by amending subsection (b) to read as follows—

“(b) OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT INFORMATION SERVICES.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established the Office of Government Information Services within the National Archives and Records Administration. The head of the Office is the Director of the Office of Government Information Services.

“(A) review the records of such agency to determine whether the release of the records would be in the public interest because it is reasonably segregable and redact any information exempted from disclosure under subsection (b) pursuant to which the denial is made;

“(ii) The name of each consulted entity, unless otherwise prohibited by law;

“(iii) A list of all records requested by a person or entity with substantial interests in the determination of a request (in this clause referred to as the ‘consulted entity’);

“(1) The agency shall notify the requester of the consultation in writing, including each of the following:—

“(a) A brief description of the consultation process.

“(b) When an agency consults with an entity with substantial interests in the determination of a request (in this clause referred to as the ‘consulted entity’), the agency shall notify the consulted entity of the need to consult in writing, including each of the following:

“(a) an approximate number of pages, or other description of the volume of records, that the consulted entity is reviewing.

“(ii) The agency shall notify the consulted entity of the need to consult in writing, including each of the following:—

“(a) An approximate number of pages, or other description of the volume of records, that the consulted entity is reviewing.

“(b) A request to provide a complete response within 15 days after the date on which the notification is sent and a notice that after the expiration of that time period the agency will provide with the compliance of the request if a completed response is not received.

“(iii) The number of records in the consultation under this clause exceeds 3,000 pages, a notification that the consulted entity shall have 15 days after the date on which the notice is sent to submit a substantive response and that a response on at least 3,000 pages not less than every five days thereafter is required to continue the consultation period.

“(4) If the consulted entity is unable or anticipates that the entity will be unable to complete the consultation period described, a notification that the consulted entity may request mediation services at the Office of Government Information Services to set an alternative consultation schedule.

“(III) If the requesting agency has not received a completed request within the time period described, a notification that the consulted entity may engage in mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services. If the consulted entity is an agency, the consulted agency shall agree to participate in mediation services.

“(IV) If the consulted entity requests or agrees to engage in mediation services, the requesting agency shall notify the requester of the mediation and the opportunity to participate in the mediation, if participation is not otherwise prohibited by law.

“(V) If the consulted entity does not respond or rejects the offer to mediate an alternative schedule, the requesting agency shall complete the request described in the request.

“(VI) The previous provisions of this clause shall not apply when the consulted entity is an element of the intelligence community described in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 303(4)).];” and

(3) in subparagraph (F), by striking “any such estimate to the person making the request” and inserting “any such estimate to the person making the request unless providing such estimate would harm an interest protected by the exemption in subsection (b) pursuant to which the denial is made,” and inserting the following: “to the person making the request the following:

“(1) Any such estimate, unless providing such estimate would harm an interest protected by the exemption in subsection (b) pursuant to which the denial is made;

“(ii) The name of each consulted entity, unless otherwise prohibited by law;

“(iii) A list of all records requested by a person or entity with substantial interests in the determination of a request (in this clause referred to as the ‘consulted entity’);

“(i) the system for assessing fees and fee waivers under this section; and

“(ii) the use of any exemption under subsection (b); and

“(D) review and provide guidance to agencies on the use of fees and fee waivers.

“(3) ANNUAL MEETING REQUIRED.—Not less than once a year, the Office of Government Information Services shall hold a meeting that is open to the public on the review and reports by the Office and permit interested persons to appear and present oral or written statements at such meeting.

“(E) make available in an electronic, publicly accessible format, any records identified under subsection (b) pursuant to which the denial is made;

“(F) ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS.—Section 552 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(1) DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION FOR INCREASED PUBLIC UNDERSTANDING OF THE GOVERNMENT.—Each agency shall—

“(A) review the records of such agency to determine whether the release of the records would be in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the Government;

“(B) for records determined to be in the public interest under subparagraph (A), reasonably segregate and redact any information exempted from disclosure under subsection (b); and

“(C) make available in an electronic, publicly accessible format, any records identified under subsection (b) pursuant to which the denial is made.

“(2) INCREASED DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION.—Each agency shall—

“(A) make information public to the greatest extent possible through modern technology to—

“(ii) The name of each consulted entity, unless otherwise prohibited by law;

“(iii) A list of all records requested by a person or entity with substantial interests in the determination of a request (in this clause referred to as the ‘consulted entity’);

“(i) the system for assessing fees and fee waivers under this section; and

“(ii) the use of any exemption under subsection (b); and

“(D) review and provide guidance to agencies on the use of fees and fee waivers.

“(3) ANNUAL MEETING REQUIRED.—Not less than once a year, the Office of Government Information Services shall hold a meeting that is open to the public on the review and reports by the Office and permit interested persons to appear and present oral or written statements at such meeting.

“(E) make available in an electronic, publicly accessible format, any records identified under subsection (b) pursuant to which the denial is made;

“(F) ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS.—Section 552 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(1) DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION FOR INCREASED PUBLIC UNDERSTANDING OF THE GOVERNMENT.—Each agency shall—

“(A) review the records of such agency to determine whether the release of the records would be in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the Government;

“(B) for records determined to be in the public interest under subparagraph (A), reasonably segregate and redact any information exempted from disclosure under subsection (b); and

“(C) make available in an electronic, publicly accessible format, any records identified under subsection (b) pursuant to which the denial is made.

“(2) INCREASED DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION.—Each agency shall—

“(A) make information public to the greatest extent possible through modern technology to—

“(i) inform the public of the operations and activities of the Government; and

“(ii) ensure timely disclosure of information; and
nor March 1 of each calendar year which shall include for the prior calendar year—

(i) a listing of the number of cases arising under this section, including for each case, as applicable,

(1) each subsection under this section;

(ii) each paragraph of such such subsection;

(iii) any exemption;

(iv) the disposition of such case; and

(v) the cost, fees, and penalties assessed under subparagraphs (B), (F), and (G) of subsection (a)(4); and

(ii) a description of the efforts undertaken by the Department of Justice to encourage agency compliance with this section.

(B) ELECTRONIC AVAILABILITY.—The Attorney General of the United States—

(1) shall make each report described under subparagraph (A) available in an electronic, publicly accessible format, which shall be—

(I) made available without charge, license, or registration requirement;

(II) capable of being searched and aggregated; and

(III) permitted to be downloaded, including downloaded in bulk.

(2) may determine that the raw statistical data used in each report available in an electronic, publicly accessible format shall be—

(I) made available without charge, license, or registration requirement;

(II) capable of being searched and aggregated; and

(III) permitted to be downloaded, including downloaded in bulk.

(C) review and prepare a report on the processing of requests by agencies for information pertaining to an entity that has received assistance under title I of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (12 U.S.C. 5211 et seq.) during any period in which the Government owns or owned more than 50 percent of the stock of such entity.

(I) CHIEF FOIA OFFICER RESPONSIBILITIES; COUNCIL REVIEW.—Section 552(a)(4)(A) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting subsections (j) and (k); and

(2) by striking after subsection (i), the following new subsection:

(j) CHIEF FOIA OFFICER.—

(1) DESIGNATION.—Each agency shall designate a Chief FOIA Officer who shall be a senior official of such agency (at the Assistant Secretary or equivalent level).

(2) DUTIES.—The Chief FOIA Officer of each agency shall—

(A) conduct reviews and report to the Attorney General through the head of the agency, at such times and in such formats as the Attorney General may direct, on the agency’s performance in implementing the requirements of this section and issue reports detailing the results of such audits;

(B) review and prepare a report on the processing of requests by agencies for information pertaining to an entity that has received assistance under title I of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (12 U.S.C. 5211 et seq.) during any period in which the Government owns or owned more than 50 percent of the stock of such entity.

(II) citations to the fee schedule for each category of fee assessed; and

(III) in the case of an estimate, the basis for such estimate.

(V) the cost, fees, and penalties assessed under subparagraphs (B), (F), and (G) of subsection (a)(4); and

(vi) the number of exemptions under subsection (b)(3) and agency use of such exemptions; and

(V) review and report to the Attorney General through the head of the agency, at such times and in such formats as the Attorney General may direct, on the agency’s performance in implementing the requirements of this section and issue reports detailing the results of such audits;

(C) review and prepare a report on the processing of requests by agencies for information pertaining to an entity that has received assistance under title I of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (12 U.S.C. 5211 et seq.) during any period in which the Government owns or owned more than 50 percent of the stock of such entity.

(D) ELECTRONIC AVAILABILITY.—The Attorney General of the United States—

(1) shall make each report described under subparagraph (A) available in an electronic, publicly accessible format, which shall be—

(I) made available without charge, license, or registration requirement;

(II) capable of being searched and aggregated; and

(III) permitted to be downloaded, including downloaded in bulk.

(2) may determine that the raw statistical data used in each report available in an electronic, publicly accessible format shall be—

(I) made available without charge, license, or registration requirement;

(II) capable of being searched and aggregated; and

(III) permitted to be downloaded, including downloaded in bulk.

(B) ELECTRONIC AVAILABILITY.—The Attorney General of the United States—

(1) shall make each report described under subparagraph (A) available in an electronic, publicly accessible format, which shall be—

(I) made available without charge, license, or registration requirement;

(II) capable of being searched and aggregated; and

(III) permitted to be downloaded, including downloaded in bulk.

(2) may determine that the raw statistical data used in each report available in an electronic, publicly accessible format shall be—

(I) made available without charge, license, or registration requirement;

(II) capable of being searched and aggregated; and

(III) permitted to be downloaded, including downloaded in bulk.

(C) review and prepare a report on the processing of requests by agencies for information pertaining to an entity that has received assistance under title I of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (12 U.S.C. 5211 et seq.) during any period in which the Government owns or owned more than 50 percent of the stock of such entity.

(1) CHIEF FOIA OFFICER RESPONSIBILITIES; COUNCIL REVIEW.—Section 552(a)(4)(A) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking subsections (j) and (k); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (i), the following new subsection:

(j) CHIEF FOIA OFFICER.—

(1) DESIGNATION.—Each agency shall designate a Chief FOIA Officer who shall be a senior official of such agency (at the Assistant Secretary or equivalent level).

(2) DUTIES.—The Chief FOIA Officer of each agency shall—

(A) conduct reviews and report to the Attorney General through the head of the agency, at such times and in such formats as the Attorney General may direct, on the agency’s performance in implementing the requirements of this section and issue reports detailing the results of such audits;

(B) review and prepare a report on the processing of requests by agencies for information pertaining to an entity that has received assistance under title I of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (12 U.S.C. 5211 et seq.) during any period in which the Government owns or owned more than 50 percent of the stock of such entity.

(II) citations to the fee schedule for each category of fee assessed; and

(III) in the case of an estimate, the basis for such estimate.

(V) review and report to the Attorney General through the head of the agency, at such times and in such formats as the Attorney General may direct, on the agency’s performance in implementing the requirements of this section and issue reports detailing the results of such audits;

(C) review and prepare a report on the processing of requests by agencies for information pertaining to an entity that has received assistance under title I of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (12 U.S.C. 5211 et seq.) during any period in which the Government owns or owned more than 50 percent of the stock of such entity.

(1) CHIEF FOIA OFFICER RESPONSIBILITIES; COUNCIL REVIEW.—Section 552(a)(4)(A) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking subsections (j) and (k); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (i), the following new subsection:

(j) CHIEF FOIA OFFICER.—

(1) DESIGNATION.—Each agency shall designate a Chief FOIA Officer who shall be a senior official of such agency (at the Assistant Secretary or equivalent level).

(2) DUTIES.—The Chief FOIA Officer of each agency shall—

(A) conduct reviews and report to the Attorney General through the head of the agency, at such times and in such formats as the Attorney General may direct, on the agency’s performance in implementing the requirements of this section and issue reports detailing the results of such audits;

(B) review and prepare a report on the processing of requests by agencies for information pertaining to an entity that has received assistance under title I of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (12 U.S.C. 5211 et seq.) during any period in which the Government owns or owned more than 50 percent of the stock of such entity.

(II) citations to the fee schedule for each category of fee assessed; and

(III) in the case of an estimate, the basis for such estimate.

(V) review and report to the Attorney General through the head of the agency, at such times and in such formats as the Attorney General may direct, on the agency’s performance in implementing the requirements of this section and issue reports detailing the results of such audits;

(C) review and prepare a report on the processing of requests by agencies for information pertaining to an entity that has received assistance under title I of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (12 U.S.C. 5211 et seq.) during any period in which the Government owns or owned more than 50 percent of the stock of such entity.

(1) CHIEF FOIA OFFICER RESPONSIBILITIES; COUNCIL REVIEW.—Section 552(a)(4)(A) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking subsections (j) and (k); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (i), the following new subsection:

(j) CHIEF FOIA OFFICER.—

(1) DESIGNATION.—Each agency shall designate a Chief FOIA Officer who shall be a senior official of such agency (at the Assistant Secretary or equivalent level).

(2) DUTIES.—The Chief FOIA Officer of each agency shall—

(A) conduct reviews and report to the Attorney General through the head of the agency, at such times and in such formats as the Attorney General may direct, on the agency’s performance in implementing the requirements of this section and issue reports detailing the results of such audits;

(B) review and prepare a report on the processing of requests by agencies for information pertaining to an entity that has received assistance under title I of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (12 U.S.C. 5211 et seq.) during any period in which the Government owns or owned more than 50 percent of the stock of such entity.

(II) citations to the fee schedule for each category of fee assessed; and

(III) in the case of an estimate, the basis for such estimate.
(vi) dispute resolution services with the assistance of the Office of Government Information Services or the FOIA Public Liaison; and

(b) Make recommendations as necessary to improve agency practices and compliance with this section.

(1) The FOIA Officers Council.—

(A) Establishment.—There is established in the executive branch the Chief FOIA Officers Council (in this subsection, referred to as the Council).

(B) Members.—The Council shall consist of the following members:

(1) The Deputy Director for Management of the Office of Management and Budget.

(2) The Director of the Office of Information Policy at the Department of Justice.

(3) The Director of the Office of Government Information Services at the National Archives and Records Administration.

(4) The Chief FOIA Officer of each agency.

(5) Any other officer or employee of the United States as designated by the Co-Chairs.

(C) Co-Chairs.—The Director of the Office of Information Policy at the Department of Justice and the Director of the Office of Government Information Services at the National Archives and Records Administration shall be the Co-Chairs of the Council.

(2) Support Services.—The Administrator of General Services shall provide administrative and other support for the Council.

(3) Consultation.—In performing its duties, the Council shall consult regularly with members of the public who make requests under this section.

(4) Duties.—The duties of the Council include the following:

(A) Develop recommendations for increasing compliance and efficiency under this section.

(B) Disseminate information about agency experiences, ideas, best practices, and innovative approaches related to this section.

(C) Identify, develop, and coordinate initiatives to increase transparency and compliance with this section.

(D) Promote the development and use of common performance measures for agency compliance with this section.

(5) Periodic Review.—The Council shall meet regularly and such meetings shall be open to the public unless the Council determines that the meeting is necessary for the protection of national security or to discuss information exempt under subsection (b).

(6) Annual Meetings.—Not less than once a year, the Council shall hold a meeting that shall be open to the public and permit interested persons to appear and present oral and written statements to the Council.

(7) Notice.—Not later than 10 business days before a meeting of the Council, notice of such meeting shall be published in the Federal Register.

(D) Public Availability of Council Records.—Except as provided in subsection (b), the records, reports, transcripts, minutes, appendices, working papers, drafts, studies, agenda, or other documents that were made available to or prepared for or by the Council shall be made publicly available.

(E) Minutes.—Detailed minutes of each meeting of the Council shall be kept and shall contain a record of the persons present, a complete and accurate description of matters discussed, and a record of any decisions reached, and copies of all reports received, signed and approved by the Council.

(m) Excluded Records.—Section 552(c) of Title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

(4) An agency shall notify the Department of Justice in each instance records responsive to a request have been identified that the agency determines are not subject to the requirements of this section as appropriate.

(5) AGENCY PERFORMANCE.—

(A) Performance Reviews.—Performance appraisals under chapter 48 of this title shall include consideration of the employee’s responsibility for, and compliance with, this section as appropriate.

(B) Agency-Wide Training.—Each agency shall ensure agency employees receive annual training on the responsibilities of the agency under this section, including the specific responsibilities of each employee, such as responding promptly to requests for records and providing all records that may be responsive to the request.

(C) FOIA Officer Training.—Each agency shall ensure agency employees directly responsible for fulfilling the requirements under this section receive annual training on such requirements. The annual training shall include statutory requirements (such as time limits to respond to requests for records, limitations on exemptions, and opportunities for an agency to change or alter a record before it becomes subject to this section or any interpretation of this section (such as a regulation issued under this section).

(6) Violation of FOIA.—

(A) Intentional.—An intentional violation of any provision of this section, including any rule, regulation, or other implementing guideline, by an officer or employee of an agency, as determined by the appropriate supervisor, shall be forwarded to the Inspector General of the agency for a uniform investigation, and upon verification, such officer or employee shall be subject to suspension and removal provisions under subchapter II or V of chapter 75.

(B) Unauthorized Withholding.—The withholding of information in contravention of the requirements of this section, including any rule, regulation, or other implementing guideline, as determined by the appropriate supervisor, shall be a basis for disciplinary action in accordance with subchapter I, II, or V of chapter 75, as the case may be.

(2) Regulations.—The Office of Personnel Management shall ensure that any perform ance appraisal pursuant to chapter 43 of title 5, United States Code, including the timely processing of requests, assessment of fees and fee waivers, and the use of exemptions under subsection (b) of such section; and

(3) Make recommendations that the Inspector General determines to be necessary to the head of the agency, including recommendations for disciplinary action.

(B) Procedures for Engaging with the Office of Government Information Services.

(2) GAO Non-Custodial Study.—Not later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall—

(A) conduct a study of not less than five agencies to assess the feasibility of implementing policy recommendations for research for records to meet the requirements of section 552 of title 5, United States Code, and requests for documents from Congress; and

(B) submit a report on such assessment to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives and the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate detailing the results of such study.

(3) Office of Government Information Services Report.—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Office of Government Information Services shall submit to Congress a report on agency compliance with the requirements of this subsection.

(4) Agency System of Records Notice Required.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the head of each agency shall publish in the Federal Register a system of records notice as defined in section 552a of title 5, United States Code, which allows the Office of Government Information Services access to records for the purposes of meeting the requirements of section 552 of title 5, United States Code.

(5) Report on Noncompliance.—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the head of an agency that does not meet the requirements of paragraph (1) shall submit to Congress a report on the reason for noncompliance.

(6) Inspector General Review of Noncompliance.—Any agency that fails to comply with the requirements of this subsection shall be reviewed by the Inspector General of such agency for compliance with section 552 of title 5, United States Code.

(7) Agency Defined.—In this section, the term “agency” has the meaning given such term in section 552(f) of title 5, United States Code.

SEC. 3. INSPECTOR GENERAL REVIEW.

(a) Periodic Review.—The Inspector General of each agency (as such term is defined in section 552(f) of title 5, United States Code) shall—

(1) periodically review compliance with the requirements of section 552 of title 5, United States Code, including the timely processing of requests, assessment of fees and fee waivers, and the use of exemptions under subsection (b) of such section; and

(2) make recommendations that the Inspector General determines to be necessary to the head of the agency, including recommendations for disciplinary action.

(B) Required Procedure for Certain Agencies.—The Inspector General of each agency (as such term is defined in section 901 of title 31, United States Code) shall complete the review and make the recommendations required under subsection (a) not less than once every two years.

SEC. 4. NO ADDITIONAL FUNDS AUTHORIZED.

No additional funds are authorized to carry out the requirements of this Act and the amendments made by this Act. Such requirements shall be carried out using amounts otherwise authorized.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Utah (Mr. Chaffetz) and the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. Cummings) each will control 20 minutes.
Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include additional materials on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Utah?

There was no objection.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. Issa), the former chairman of the Oversight and Government Reform Committee and one of the lead sponsors of this bill.

Mr. Issa. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman.

It is no accident that this is one of the first bills of the new year. Like some of the other legislation, it is not a new idea. In many ways, what it really is is this body, once again, if you will, reiterating when we talk about freedom of information for the American people, whether it is a private citizen who doesn’t know what the government knows about him or her and would like to or it is an interest group, a think tank, or very, very often the press—The New York Times, The Washington Post, The LA Times, and a host more—wanting to know what the government is doing, what their government is doing with their money, their freedoms.

This bill emphasizes in no uncertain terms something that is long overdue: that the balance between the American people’s right to know about their information and the government’s right to keep a secret shall always be balanced in favor and presumed to be the American people’s right. In other words, no longer, after this bill is signed into law, will an administration, Republican or Democratic, be able to presume that they are going to say no if they possibly can. Instead, this bill shifts the burden to the presumption of yes.

Not only does it shift the burden, but it puts an outright mandate that, after 25 years, information not covered by national security requirements or classifications of secret or above, shall, in fact, simply be available.

These are fundamentally important distinctions between the current law. But more to the point of a modernization, this legislation mandates a single point of asking for FOIA, an assumption that it is long overdue for us to streamline and improve the ability to get this information and get it to everyone.

One of the aspects of the legislation is that H.R. 653 will require that information asked for again and again and again be posted and available for everyone rather than each time being a burden of somebody wanting similar or even the same information to have to put in a FOIA request.

Mr. Speaker, what I want to close with is this isn’t just bipartisan; this is universal. Members of the House and the Senate, whether there is a Republican or Democratic President, whether it is on behalf of a constituent wanting some simple information, we regularly use the Freedom of Information Act, and we regularly find ourselves frustrated in our attempts at getting it.

This is good for the administration. It builds on legislation like the DATA Act and other reforms that the Oversight and Government Reform Committee have done over a number of years.

Lastly, I want to thank my good friend from Maryland (Mr. Cummings). From the very day we began heading the committee, more than 5 years ago now, together, he has always been for FOIA reform, always been for more transparency, and always been supportive of the legislation you see here today. I want to thank Mr. Cummings, something that I don’t get enough chances to do.

And I want to thank Chairman Chaffetz for bringing this bill, not only as it was originally written, but with some important modifications to make it, hopefully, go through quickly when it is considered by the Senate.

I urge its adoption. Mr. Cummings. Mr. Speaker. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H.R. 653, the FOIA Oversight and Implementation Act.

I want to start by thanking Representative Darrell Issa for working with me on this legislation. We first introduced the FOIA Act in March 2013. The bill before us today is the product of 3 years of work—hard work—feedback, negotiation, and perseverance.

I also want to thank the chairman of the Oversight and Government Reform Committee, Jason Chaffetz, for his work on this bill and his strong support for bringing it to the House floor today.

I would say that this is a bipartisan effort, but it is more than that. We actually worked very, very hard together, all of us, to make this happen. If there was any case where we had to use this term of not moving to common ground but moving to higher ground, it would be this legislation.

Open government advocates—journalists, editorial boards, and everyday citizens—who support this bill also deserve a tremendous amount of gratitude.

The FOIA Act would strengthen the cornerstone of our open government laws and the Freedom of Information Act. This legislation builds on the historic work of the Ombudsman Administration, which I believe will go down in history as the most transparent administration to date. The bill would codify the presumption of openness standard that President Obama put in place in a memo issued on his first day in office.

This bill would modernize FOIA by requiring the Office of Management and Budget to create a central portal to allow FOIA requests to any agency through one Web site.

This bill would also put a 25-year sunset exemption 5 of FOIA—the deliberative process exemption—and limit the scope of records that agencies could withdraw under that exemption. It would modernize FOIA by requiring the Office of Management and Budget to create a central portal to allow FOIA requests to any agency through one Web site.

The Office of Government Information Services, the FOIA ombudsman created by Congress in 2007, would become more independent, which is very important under this bill, because that would be a voice to submit testimony and reports directly to Congress without going through political review.

This bill is coming to the floor with an amendment that makes a number of changes, and many of them proposed by Chairman Chaffetz. Some of these additions include requiring agencies to provide each FOIA requester with a contact name and information for an agency employee who can provide information on the status of the request. This is so very, very important.

Our bill has widespread support. A coalition of 47 open government groups sent a letter in support of this bill on February 5, 2015, that said: "Congress must act this year to ensure that FOIA stays current with people’s need to access government information and resilient in the face of attempts to subvert that access."

Numerous editorial boards have written, urging Congress to pass FOIA reform legislation.

A New York Times editorial from February 2015 adds: "This is a rare chance to log a significant bipartisan accomplishment in the public interest."

A USA Today editorial in March 2015 called for the enactment of this bill’s reforms.

A Los Angeles Times editorial read that this legislation and a similar bill in the Senate "deserves to be passed."

This is a movement called Fix FOIA by 50. That movement is aiming at getting H.R. 653 enacted before the 50th anniversary of FOIA in July of this year.

An online clearinghouse for the movement includes stories from journalists about why FOIA is critical to their work and why this legislation must be enacted.

It is important to note that, even with the enactment of this legislation, the work of Congress must continue.

Agency FOIA staff are being asked to do more than ever before. From 2009 to
2014, the overall number of FOIA requests submitted to Federal agencies increased by 28 percent with new records set in each of the past 4 years in a row. The total number of FOIA personnel, however, decreased by about 4 percent. Congress must give these agencies the resources needed to work for.

Again, we have had two meetings, and I know our staffs have had numerous meetings. We had 2 days of hearings in our committee. We heard from citizens. We heard from the media. We heard from a host of people.

I think it is fair to say that, in large part, the FOIA, the way it operates now, is broken. I do agree and concur with the ranking member that, if we are going to have such a bombardment of requests, they need to be properly funded and there needs to be the personnel in order to make sure they can fulfill these requests.

When appropriation season comes, I want to stand with Mr. CUMMINGS and with others and make sure that it is properly funded so that those good people can do their good work.

The House has a number of reforms and improvements that needed to happen. I do appreciate the flexibility of working and of offering suggestions and then another set of suggestions.

This would also have been possible. Mr. Speaker, with the same good work in the Office of Legal Counsel. Sally Walker dealt with us time and time again.

On our side of the aisle, we had it spearheaded with Kat Rother, and I know that Krista Boyd particularly, on Mr. CUMMINGS’ staff, was vital to making this happen.

There are vital pieces of information that are needed and that are rightfully requested by the American people, but this piece of legislation will make that FOIA process smoother. It will make it more effective, more efficient, and I think it is much needed as we go into the 50th year of FOIA. I look forward to its passage.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I close by highlighting a few additional provisions of FOIA

This bill would require agencies to review existing records to identify categories of records to proactively disclose rather than waiting for FOIA requests.

The bill would also require the Department of Justice to report to Congress on categories of records that would be appropriate for proactive disclosure.

Finally, the bill would tackle the proliferation of statutory FOIA exemptions by requiring the Government Accountability Office to catalog all of the statutory exemptions on the books.

Again, I urge the support of this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I appreciate the good, bipartisan work. It was through a lot of labor and a lot of listening to what the public needs and to what the media needs. I do think this will make the Freedom of Information Act better as it is the spirit by which we operate in this country.

I urge the bill’s passage.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Chairman, Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, Washington, DC.

Mr. Speaker, without some good work, an Intelligence Community element may cite to the applicable FOIA exemption to meet the list requirement.

I would appreciate your response to this letter confirming these understandings and would request that you include a copy of this letter in the Congressional Record during its floor consideration.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

DEVIN NUNES,
Chairman.
Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3231) to amend title 5, United States Code, to protect unpaid interns in the Federal government from workplace harassment and discrimination, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 3231

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECT. 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Federal Intern Protection Act of 2015”.

SEC. 2. PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 200 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

(1) All protections afforded to an employee under subparagraphs (A), (B), and (D) of section 200(b) shall be afforded, in the same manner and to the same extent, to an intern and an applicant for internship.

(2) The purpose of the application of this subsection, a reference to an employee shall be considered a reference to an intern in—

(A) section 717 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000e-16);

(B) sections 12 and 15 of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (29 U.S.C. 631 and 634); and


(3) In this subsection, the term ‘intern’ means an individual who performs uncompensated voluntary service in an agency to earn credit awarded by an educational institution or to learn a trade or occupation.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 3111(c)(1) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting “section 200(2)(g) relating to prohibited personnel practices,” before “chapter 41.”

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) and the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. CUMMINGS) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Utah.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous materials on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlemen from Utah?

There was no objection.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today in support of H.R. 3231, the Federal Intern Protection Act of 2015, as introduced by the ranking member, Mr. CUMMINGS. This is a bill brought to my attention by him. We took it through the process in our committee and marked it up, and here we are on the floor.

The bill establishes some important protections against the workplace discrimination and harassment of both unpaid Federal interns and applicants for Federal internships. Currently, there are no specific provisions in law to protect these unpaid interns.

H.R. 3231 makes it illegal to discriminate, to sexually harass, or to retaliate against unpaid Federal interns and applicants for Federal internships. Specifically, the bill protects against discrimination and harassment on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin under the Civil Rights Act of 1967, under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, and under the handicap condition under the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

Unpaid interns, similar to paid employees, are to be considered protected against discrimination and harassment.

I thank Mr. CUMMINGS for his passion on this issue to guard against this discrimination and harassment. I look forward to supporting this bill. I am glad we could bring it to the floor today.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CUMMINGS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The bill before us, the Federal Intern Protection Act, would close a loophole in Federal employment law that currently leaves unpaid interns open to discrimination and sexual harassment.

Earlier this year our committee held a hearing at which we heard testimony about sexual harassment and retaliation in the Executive Office. During that hearing, both Chairman CHAFFETZ and I expressed our disgust at the exploitation of these young women and demanded that action be taken to prevent this in the future.

Unfortunately, the act of harassing unpaid interns on the basis of race, religion, age, or, in this case, sex is not prohibited by Federal law. Under current law, victims rely on the discretion of managers to prevent the recurrence of this behavior. In 1973, the Second Circuit stated that it was not sympathetic to O’Connor’s situation and acknowledged that she was not in the same position to simply walk away from the alleged harassment, as her success at school was dependent on her successfully completing her internship.

The Second Circuit noted that Ms. O’Connor’s dependency on her employer made her vulnerable to continued harassment much as an employee dependent on a regular wage can be vulnerable to ongoing misconduct.

Despite that, the Second Circuit concluded: “It is for Congress, if it should choose to do so, and not this court to provide a remedy under either title VII or title IX for plaintiffs in O’Connor’s position.”

As ranking member of the House Committee on Education and the Workforce, I urge Congress to do more to protect unpaid interns, be it in the Federal sector, in the Halls of Congress, or in the private sector.

The House Committee on Education and the Workforce has jurisdiction
over legislation that strengthens worker protections and defends the civil rights laws of workers, including fighting against discrimination and supporting diversity in the workplace.

Now that the House is about to complete the consideration of H.R. 3231, covering Federal workers, I am calling on the leadership of the Committee on Education and the Workforce to move expeditiously to consider the companion legislation, H.R. 3232, the Unpaid Intern Protection Act. That bill would give unpaid interns, about 14 percent in the private sector, leave from discrimination and harassment as protected by the Civil Rights Act.

Extending workplace protections to nonpaid interns, who under current law lack the protections provided by civil rights laws, should be a priority for the Committee on Education and the Workforce, and that is because internships have become such an important part of the workforce.

According to the 2014 State of Internships Report from a college intern database, InternMatch, about two-thirds of surveyed said internships were important for long-term career advancement and about the same number even stated that internships should be mandatory. Student surveys showed that over 60 percent want to intern in the workplace, about 14 percent in the government sector, and 19 percent in nonprofit organizations.

As Members of Congress, our position should be clear. Regardless of whether an internship is at a Federal agency, on Capitol Hill, or at a Fortune 500 company, we must ensure that the unpaid status of interns does not leave them without a remedy when their civil rights are violated. To that end, we should begin by passing H.R. 3231, the Federal Intern Protection Act.

We should then work on legislation to provide similar protections to unpaid interns who work in the private sector.

I want to thank Ranking Member Cummings for his leadership on this bill, along with my fellow cosponsors, the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. Meng) and the Delegate from Washington, D.C. (Ms. Norton).

I urge a “yes” vote on this bill.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Madam Speaker, there are young people who are getting their education. They are excited. They have their whole life in front of them. They get this amazing opportunity to do this internship. Maybe it is a month, maybe it is 3 months, maybe it is 6 months. It is just a limited portion of time. That is where they are going to get a base of knowledge and experience that they are going to be able to then parlay and take into the workforce. It is going to help shape and mold their futures.

As Members, every one of us rely on interns. We have them in our offices in our districts and we have them in our offices in Washington, D.C. We see them in the private sector. We see them all over the place. They provide a valuable role.

Unfortunately, there are some young people—and we have heard these stories, and they are horrific—who go into this situation, and somebody in power, somebody in authority, somebody who does control their time, does ask them to do tasks—does the unforgivable and asks them—or does something to them that they should never do.

To hear this story that there isn’t a law on the books so the courts can help take care of it, that is just not an excuse. We do a lot of things in this body, and I would like to think this is one of the really good things that we do here today, is pass a piece of legislation like this so we can protect these young people, because if somebody does break the law and does go forward and does something unforgivable, they have some recourse.

If we are going to take their time and we are going to use the resources of these young people, those people in charge should be held accountable. I think that is the good we are doing here today.

So, those particularly young women—I am sure there are young men out there too, I just haven’t heard as many of their stories—to those young women, at least, I hope we are listening and we are doing something good. That is why I encourage the passage of this bill.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CUMMINGS. Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. Meng), one of the cosponsors of this bill.

Ms. MENG. Madam Speaker. I rise today to express strong support for H.R. 3231, the Federal Intern Protection Act of 2015.

Madam Speaker, internships are increasingly considered a resume necessity for entry-level positions in both the public and private sector. More and more, businesses, organizations, and government agencies consider internships a prerequisite experience to full-time employment. In fact, on college campuses across this country, career service officers push their students to obtain competitive internships because they provide valuable professional experiences and are considered essential.

When one forgets is that unpaid interns are amongst the most vulnerable of workers. They need these internships to succeed in their careers. Yet, they are powerless to protect themselves from discrimination and sexual harassment. Facing these challenges can be devastating to young interns at the beginning of their careers.

One year ago, a brave and intelligent young woman, Christina, came to my district office to talk to me about her experiences as an unpaid intern. Christine had faced sexual harassment. She had no legal recourse, but she refused to stay silent. She came to my office with a fellow college student, Anna.

They told me about the experiences of many young college students who had faced sexual harassment as unpaid interns. I stand here on their behalf today because we can do something about this.

State legislatures across this country have started to listen. New York, Oregon, Illinois, California, Connecticut, New Jersey, Washington, D.C., and New York City have all passed some form of protection for unpaid interns.

Unpaid internships at Federal agencies, in particular, are coveted and competitive positions. The Federal Intern Protection Act of 2015 directly addresses this vulnerability by extending existing Federal protections under the Civil Rights Act of 1964 to unpaid interns working for the Federal Government. We can provide vulnerable interns in the Federal Government with the protections they deserve.

I would like to thank my colleague, Representative Cummings, for his leadership on this issue. I also thank Representatives Scott of Virginia and Ms. Eleanor Holmes Norton and their staff for all of their hard work.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CUMMINGS. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume as I close.

Madam Speaker, there have been several cases where interns have tried to bring suit and the courts have said that you have no recourse, you have no remedy. I think one of the most frustrating things for anyone is when they have been harmed or when they have been treated wrongfully and there is no remedy, there is a problem.

The courts have said over and over again: Congress, if you want there to be a remedy, then you have to act.

That is exactly what we are doing today. I urge my colleagues to vote for it. It says a lot for us as a Congress, and I think it says a lot for us as a Nation.

Going back to some of the words of Chairman Chaffetz when we look at unpaid interns, they do come to these offices trying to get experience and trying to learn the duties and the responsibilities of a certain job. They realize that by doing this, it may very well change in a positive way the trajectory of their destiny. They come in here with high expectations— sometimes, I have to tell them this is not going to be the case.

Sometimes, the damage can last not for a day or for a week, but for a lifetime.

Then there is another piece that I think a lot of people don’t think about, and that is that it is not always the deed, but it is also the memory of having gone through these types of incidents.

I think this is a very important piece of legislation. I would urge my colleagues to vote for it. Again, I thank the chairman, because we sat there in a hearing and we heard about a very bad case. A lot of people wonder about the value of hearings.
sometimes, but out of that hearing came this legislation. So, again, I thank the chairman for all of his hard work in helping us get the bill to the floor.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Madam Speaker, I appreciate the Chair's remarks, and I appreciate the dedication and commitment of Mr. Cummings, Mr. Scott of Virginia, and others who care deeply about this. I do as well. To be able to play a role to help shepherd it to this point is an honor and a privilege.

I urge its passage.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. ROSENCROS). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3231, as amended.

The question was taken.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 413, nays 0, as amended by the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) to the House, the vote on the motion to suspend the rules previously postponed.

The vote will be recorded in the House Journal.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. The second electronic vote will be conducted as a 5-minute vote.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until approximately 6:30 p.m. today.

Accordingly (at 5 o’clock and 55 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

After Recess

The House having reconvened, the Speaker was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. HOLDING) at 6 o’clock and 30 minutes p.m.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1644, SUPPORTING TRANSPARENT REGULATORY AND ENVIRONMENTAL ACTIONS IN MINING ACT; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF S.J. RES. 22 PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL OF A RULE SUBMITTED BY THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3662, IRAN TERROR FINANCE TRANSPARENCY ACT; AND PROVIDING FOR PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PERIOD FROM JANUARY 14, 2016, THROUGH JANUARY 22, 2016

Mr. NEWHOUSE, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Report No. 114-302) on the resolution (H. Res. 593) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1644) to amend the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 to ensure transparency in the development of environmental regulations, and for other purposes; providing for consideration of the joint resolution (S.J. Res. 22) providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the Corps of Engineers and the Environmental Protection Agency relating to the definition of “waters of the United States” under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act; providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3662) to enhance congressional oversight over the administration of sanctions against certain Iranian terrorism financiers, and for other purposes; and providing for proceedings during the period from January 14, 2016, through January 22, 2016, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on motions to suspend the rules previously postponed. Votes will be taken in the following order:

H. R. 598, by the yeas and nays; H.R. 3231, by the yeas and nays.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. The second electronic vote will be conducted as a 5-minute vote.

TAXPAYERS RIGHT-TO-KNOW ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 598) to provide taxpayers with an annual report disclosing the cost and performance of Government programs and areas of duplication, among them, and for other purposes, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 413, nays 0, not voting 20, as follows:

[Roll No. 34]

YEAS—413

Abraham —..................................................... 3
Adams —.......................................................... 3
Adler —............................................................ 3
Aderholt —......................................................... 3
Akin —.............................................................. 3
Allen —............................................................ 3
Ashworth —....................................................... 3
Ashcroft —......................................................... 3
Baker —........................................................... 3
Baldwin —.......................................................... 3
Barrett —........................................................... 3
Beatty —......................................................... 3
Bereuter —......................................................... 3
Bera —............................................................. 3
Berman —......................................................... 3
Berman —......................................................... 3
Berman —......................................................... 3
Besse —........................................................... 3
Beshak —........................................................... 3
Bhattacharya —.................................................... 3
Bishop (GA) —..................................................... 3
Bishop (MI) —....................................................... 3
Bishop (NY) —..................................................... 3
Blinken —.......................................................... 3
Bonta —............................................................ 3
Bost —.............................................................. 3
Bowser —.......................................................... 3
Braun —............................................................ 3
Bray —............................................................ 3
Bretz —............................................................. 3
Breyer —.......................................................... 3
Brown (FL) —...................................................... 3
Brown (CA) —..................................................... 3
Brown (NY) —..................................................... 3
Brown (OH) —..................................................... 3
Brunas —.......................................................... 3
Budd —............................................................ 3
Budd —............................................................ 3
Budd —............................................................ 3
Buck —............................................................ 3
Buchanan —....................................................... 3
Budnick —.......................................................... 3
Bumgardner —.................................................... 3
Burgess —.......................................................... 3
Bustos —.......................................................... 3
Bush —............................................................ 3
Butterfield —..................................................... 3
Cafaro —.......................................................... 3
Califano —.......................................................... 3
Calderon —......................................................... 3
Cain —............................................................. 3
Cain —............................................................. 3
Caine —........................................................... 3
Cain —............................................................. 3
Cain —............................................................. 3
Caldwell —......................................................... 3
Caldwell —......................................................... 3
California —..................................................... 3
Cappelli —.......................................................... 3
Carano —.......................................................... 3
Carroll —.......................................................... 3
Carlson (IN) —....................................................... 3
Cartier (GA) —.................................................... 3
Cartier (TX) —..................................................... 3
Cartwright —...................................................... 3
Cartson (FL) —..................................................... 3
Castro (TX) —..................................................... 3
Chabot —.......................................................... 3
Chaffetz —......................................................... 3
Chakot —.......................................................... 3
Chang —........................................................... 3
Chen —............................................................. 3
Chesnutt —........................................................ 3
Chesnutt —......................................................... 3
Chesnutt —......................................................... 3
Chesnutt —......................................................... 3
Chesnutt —......................................................... 3
Clark (MA) —...................................................... 3
Clarkson (NY) —................................................. 3
Clay —.............................................................. 3
Clarkson (FL) —................................................... 3
Clay (NY) —....................................................... 3
Clarke (NY) —..................................................... 3
Cleaver —.......................................................... 3
Cleveland —....................................................... 3
Coca —............................................................. 3
Cohen —.......................................................... 3
Colbert (GA) —..................................................... 3
Collins (NY) —................................................... 3
Comstock —....................................................... 3
Cone —............................................................. 3
Conaway —....................................................... 3
Connelly —....................................................... 3
Cook —............................................................ 3
Cooper —.......................................................... 3
Costa —............................................................ 3
Costello (PA) —.................................................... 3
Courtney —....................................................... 3
Crawford —....................................................... 3
Dennard —......................................................... 3
Crowley —......................................................... 3
Cuellar —.......................................................... 3
Culbertson —....................................................... 3
Currie —........................................................... 3
Dempsey —....................................................... 3
De Lauro —....................................................... 3
De Leon —.......................................................... 3
DeMint —.......................................................... 3
DelBene —.......................................................... 3
Delgado —.......................................................... 3
Dent —............................................................. 3
DeSantis —.......................................................... 3
DeSantis —.......................................................... 3
DesJarlais —....................................................... 3
Dingell —.......................................................... 3
Dingell —.......................................................... 3
Dodd —............................................................ 3
Donovan —....................................................... 3
Dowd —............................................................ 3
Dreier —........................................................... 3
Drew —............................................................ 3
Duffy —............................................................ 3
Duncan (TN) —.................................................... 3
Duckworth —..................................................... 3
DuB主要有以下几点内容：

1. 通过了一项关于透明度在环境法规制定过程中的法案。
2. 审查了关于内华达恐怖融资透明度的修正案。
3. 批准了一项关于内华达州的法案。
4. 通过了一项关于透明度在环境法规制定过程中的法案。
5. 通过了一项关于内华达州的法案。
6. 通过了一项关于内华达州的法案。
7. 通过了一项关于内华达州的法案。
8. 通过了一项关于内华达州的法案。
9. 通过了一项关于内华达州的法案。
10. 通过了一项关于内华达州的法案。

这些内容表明，会议在推动透明度和环境法规制定方面的努力。
The Speaker pro tempore. The Clerk read the title of the bill.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

SO VOTING—19

EDEN PRAIRIE POLICE DEPARTMENT AWARDS

(Mr. PAULSEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PAULSEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the hard work and dedication of Officer Kenyon and the Department's Civilian Employee of the Year, Investigative Aide Pauline Sager. Pauline has been with the Eden Prairie Police Department for 36 years and has proven herself as a tireless advocate for the public. She is known as an expert on financial fraud crimes. She has advised law enforcement throughout Minnesota and helped bring criminals to justice.

Patrick, a 9-year veteran of the Department, worked as a patrol officer until a juvenile investigator. Officer Kenyon is known as a role model to other officers, and he is always willing to help his colleagues in their duties.

Mr. Speaker, our law enforcement officers keep our communities safe due to the commitment of people like Pauline and Patrick. I thank them both for their service to Eden Prairie, and I congratulate them on their recognition.
Mr. LANGEVIN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.

Mr. LANGEVIN. Mr. Speaker, securing our networks from cyber attack is a challenge. One of the most effective techniques is penetration testing, or turning hacking tools on one's own network to find weaknesses before bad actors have a chance to exploit them.

Unfortunately, a rule proposed by the Bureau of Industry and Security within the Department of Commerce last May has the potential to make it much harder to share existing tools and develop new ones, which could severely harm our national security and our economic competitiveness.

The rule was issued as part of the addition of “intrusion software” to the Wassenaar Arrangement, one of the principal international export control regimes. Perhaps unsurprisingly, using a 20-year-old framework—itself the successor of a three-quarter-century-old cold war agreement—to regulate cutting-edge technology has proved difficult. However, I am very thankful for the Bureau’s willingness to reexamine the initial proposal, and I am looking forward to tomorrow’s Homeland Security hearing as an important step in the process to produce a final rule that allows defenders to test their networks before they are attacked. This is a bipartisan issue, and I look forward to tomorrow’s hearing.

Mr. ASHFORD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.

Mr. ASHFORD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the important contribution women pilots have made to the service of our military in World War II. The women served a crucial defensive mission to support the war effort.

Betty’s job during World War II was to provide courier services for then-Colonel Paul Tibbets and his crew. Colonel Tibbets and his crew were training to fly Enola Gay and bring an end to the war. Betty was part of the history. She helped end the war, and she served Nebraska and her country with honor.

Betty passed away in 1965, but, under today’s law, her ashes could not be added to the Arlington National Cemetery. I think that is a shame. WASPs are分辨率 have fought for proper recognition for their service. I applaud Congresswoman McSALLY for her bill to give these women the recognition they deserve.

I thank Dr. Grace Clements, Congresswoman McSALLY, and all women pilots who have served.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to support this important legislation.

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.

Mr. THOMPSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in recognition of National Slavery and Human Trafficking Prevention Month, which is intended to draw attention to a problem which is sadly still a concern across this Nation and across the globe.

According to the National Human Trafficking Resource Center, authorities have investigated more than 500 cases of suspected human trafficking just in Pennsylvania since 2000, including 75 cases reported in 2015 alone.

Human trafficking has been called one of the fastest growing criminal industries in the world. The statistics and recent reports indicate that these types of crimes are on the rise across Pennsylvania, including those involving victims who are still teenagers.

I greatly appreciate the work of organizations in Pennsylvania’s Fifth Congressional District and across the State in assisting those hurt in human trafficking.

Last year, I supported the Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act, which was signed into law by President Barack Obama. This legislation is aimed at addressing the rise in human trafficking and to improve services for survivors.

Mr. Speaker, I am honored to represent such a dedicated public servant in Michigan’s Eighth District.

Thank you, Mrs. Dunleavy, for your commitment to Livingston County.

I will continue to work in the House towards eliminating this disturbing behavior.

Mr. LAMALFA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.

Mr. LAMALFA. Mr. Speaker, this week the House will take up new sanctions on North Korea in response to their nuclear weapons test last week. This measure will prevent those facilitating their nuclear weapons program from entering the United States. It sanctions financial institutions and seizes assets in order to halt North Korea’s nuclear weapons program.

The steps we are taking reflect the type of approach we should also be taking with Iran. Rogue states, like Iran and North Korea, cannot be trusted to respect international agreements and must be coerced into giving up their nuclear weapons ambitions. Only when Iran and North Korea feel the financial impact of our sanctions will they change course.

Iran and North Korea are also nations that both threaten key allies and friends of the United States. The sanctions we are contemplating are an important reminder to the world that the United States will not look the other way when reckless and aggressive regimes pursue the most deadly weapons in the world.
Mr. WILSON of South Carolina, asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, on the very evening the President held a town hall calling for increased gun control, Philadelphia Police Officer Jesse Hartnett was shot at 11 times. None of the President’s proposals would have prevented the attack.

More gun control will not stop criminals. The attack was carried out with a stolen police pistol. It will not stop the mentally ill. The shooter complained of hearing voices. More gun control will not stop terrorists. The attacker shrouded his support for ISIS.

To reduce shootings, we must enforce current laws, reform mental health laws, and defeat Islamic terrorists overseas. They should update the age-old bumper sticker from, “If guns are outlawed, only outlaws will have guns” to, “If guns are outlawed, only outlaws and terrorists will have guns.”

The only positive outcome of the Philadelphia attack is to identify a new American hero, Jesse Hartnett, who demonstrated the extraordinary professionalism of America’s law enforcement as recognized last Saturday during National Law Enforcement Appreciation Day.

In conclusion, God bless our troops, and may the President, by his actions, never forget September the 11th in the global war on terrorism.

Releasing terrorists from Guantánamo will allow mass murderers to secure guns to kill American families.

THE PRESIDENT’S PROPOSALS ON GUN VIOLENCE

(Ms. JACKSON LEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, I rise with a sense of concern, of sadness, and, also, of relief regarding the heinous shooting of the Philadelphia police officer. I am grateful that he managed to survive, that he is in the hospital, and that he is healing. We wish him and his family well and that he, as well, will heal.

I think it is important to note that we need to look at the rage of gun violence from a sensible and logical perspective. Yes, the President’s proposals would have had an impact on this crazed, allegedly ISIL-inspired individual who had no direct contact with ISIL, who had not been to the calligraphy to fight, and who, unfortunately, had a previous criminal record.

How would the proposals do so?

First of all, it was a stolen gun. The President has suggested there be 250 more ATF officers to enforce the law. He has provided $500 million for mental health resources, and this individual suffered from that.

In addition, he has provided for data collection, for the FBI to redo and to make more certain the inspection or the review of someone who is trying to get a gun.

Mr. Speaker, let’s look logically at what the President had in mind, and let’s not get in the way. Let’s try to help stem the tide of gun violence so that our officers, as well, are not in the line of fire.

WOMEN AIRFORCE SERVICE PILOTS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HARDY). Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 6, 2015, the gentlewoman from Arizona (Ms. MCSALLY) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Ms. MCSALLY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and that it include extraneous material on the subject of my Special Order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Arizona?

There was none.

Ms. MCSALLY. Mr. Speaker, I rise to talk about a very special group of women who were mentors to me and who were pioneering heroes of our country. These women were the Women Airforce Service Pilots, the WASPs.

Some people don’t know that much about them, but here is a picture of them as they flew airplanes in the World War II era. When we needed everybody to serve in whatever capacity they could in our country, they needed women to step up and become pilots in order to do all sorts of different missions, like towing targets for the gunners on the ground to learn how to shoot things down, like training men to go on to fly in combat, like ferrying airplanes all over the theaters to deliver them where they needed to be in the combat zone and bringing them back for maintenance. They were test pilots and engineers. You name it.

These women were asked to step up and serve. They went through training. They put on the uniform. They lived in the barracks. They learned how to march. They were pioneers for women like me, who later on served as aviators in the military.

There are a little over 1,000 of these amazing women who served in World War II. They weren’t given Active-Duty status, although that was the intent of General Arnold when they set up this program.

If you think back then, the thought of having women military pilots was a little bit of a cultural hang-up. We will let women be Rosie the Riveter, and we will let women serve in support positions. But pilots? Now, that is kind of crazy talk.

So they had a little bit of a problem culturally, but they didn’t care. They chose to serve anyway. They said, “I am going to step up and serve my country. I am going to do that as a pilot. I am going to do this with honor and with valor.” just like their male counterparts did in these very same missions before them, alongside them, and they offered.

Thirty-eight of them died in training or in conducting missions. Thirty-eight of them paid the ultimate sacrifice.

They weren’t even given veterans’ benefits or any benefits after perishing in the line of duty, but they still continued to serve because their country needed them.

It was not until 1977 that they were actually given veteran status after the fact. They were then given honorable discharges. They were given the medals that their male counterparts got for serving as Active Duty in the military.

They were allowed to be buried, with honors, in veterans’ cemeteries across the country and were given full military honors, which they deserved.

They were actually allowed, as they should be allowed, to be in Arlington National Cemetery, alongside other heroes who have gone before them. Yet, we just found out within the last few weeks that that has been rescinded by the Department of Defense.

That happened quietly back in March of 2015 to these heroes, who deserve to be recognized and who deserve to be a legacy in Arlington National Cemetery so that future generations will know what they did and will know of the doors that they opened in the way that they served. It was rescinded by the Army.

We didn’t know about this until Elaine Harmon, one of the WASPs, passed away. I saw her handwritten will when I met with her family last week. It reads, “I desire to be in Arlington National Cemetery. I want my ashes there.”

Her family put in the request like everyone else does, and they were denied. We now found out that the Army has rescinded that and that it is no longer allowing these pioneering women to be laid to rest in Arlington.

Elaine Harmon’s ashes are sitting on a shelf in a closet in her granddaughter’s home, awaiting her final resting place in Arlington, which she deserves. The Army gave us some bureaucratic answer about, oh, they are running out of space, and, by mistake, they opened it up.

In 2002, they actually allowed women to be in Arlington. Only two women took advantage of this and asked to be, in their own right, in Arlington. Then the Army turned around and rescinded it. Again, they gave some bureaucratic answer.

They are on the wrong side of this. We have looked into all of the legalities. The Army has all of the authorities that they need to allow these heroes to be laid to rest in Arlington, but they are choosing not to do so.

We have introduced legislation. We are going to make sure that it happens, but we are calling on them to actually
In 2009, as I mentioned, the WASPs were awarded the Congressional Gold Medal for flying military missions in World War II. Boy, even when they were here, they were just a strong group of women who delighted in seeing one another and in reminding themselves of the amazing stories that they brought.

More than anything else, they serve today as great role models to women who were considering going in the Air Force, flying for our country, but, also, for talking on some remarkable challenges in their lives. They truly represent that for all of us.

They fought, of course, and they died in service to their country. They trained in military style. They slept on metal cots like everybody else and marched and lived under military discipline. That is why we feel they deserve the full honors that we give our war heroes.

As has been mentioned, they were given those honors, but because we have a problem of space, it was decided that perhaps they were not at the top of the list. We need to be sure that we provide for everyone who needs to be there.

There are many WASPs who may not necessarily choose to be at Arlington National Cemetery, but for those who have chosen in working with their families—and their families have fought hard for them—this is something that we need to do.

I want to particularly mention—and I thought this was really fun to read—one of the articles about these WASPs.

This is Eddy, who is saying, “I thought it was the nastiest thing that they”—speaking of the Army Air Forces officials—“could have done to us.” This was while she was receiving visitors at her home in Coronado. “They fired us. They gave our jobs to Air Force men who didn’t want to go overseas. I would have gone overseas in a minute,” she said. “I was a (heck of) a good fighter pilot.”

In my community of San Diego, in El Cajon, I also have a woman named Joyce Secciani, who perhaps was not as famous, but who beautifully described herself as a good fighter pilot.”

When the call came to serve in World War II, the WASPs answered that call just like millions of other Americans. They logged over 60 million miles in over 12,000 aircraft. As my colleague has said, 38 WASP women died while serving their country.

That was certainly true of these WASPs, whose family members worried about them and were concerned about them as they carried on with their duties as forcibly as they did.

Let’s send that message. Let’s continue to work hard. I know that the WASPs are also planning to march to honor them and to make sure that the country never forgets the work that they did because it was necessary.

Had they not been there to do that work, many, many people would not have received the freedom that we have today. Whatever it was, they were making sure that it got to our fighting warriors during World War II.

I am so delighted that my colleague is choosing to move forward with this. I want to turn it back to her, and I know that there are other colleagues of mine over here that would like very much to join in this.

Ms. McSALLY. Thank you, Congresswoman Davis. I really appreciate your partnership on this issue. Together we can show the American people that we can be united on these things that matter to support our heroes and, again, put the pressure on the administration that we have oversight of to actually fix this wrong right now. I really look forward to continuing working with you on it.

Mr. Poliquin. Mr. Speaker, I thank the Congresswoman. I don’t think it much matters if you are a man or you are a woman, but you serve in the United States military. Anybody who has stood up for this country to protect our freedom, protect our way of life, protect our kids, they should receive the full benefits, the full honors of anybody who served in uniform.

Now, tonight, as Congresswoman McSally said, we can fix this. There is absolutely no reason whatsoever why the Pentagon should, for some reason, say there is no room at Arlington. Are you kidding me?

Over 1,000 of these brave, patriotic women, during a time where, as Congresswoman McSally and Congresswoman Davis mentioned, they were not always welcomed in doing what men were doing, they stood up, they stood up and they left their homes and they left their families. They did what was right. They served this country with honor, with dignity. They flew 78 different types of aircraft all over the world. Over 60 million miles were logged. Look at this picture.

I salute you, Congresswoman, for bringing this before us.

Now, do you think any of these WASPs would say, “Well, I don’t know why we just didn’t get this done, we just can’t perform this mission, I am sorry”? Well, the Pentagon needs to step up right now. They need to find a
way to make sure. If these WASPs want to be interred at Arlington, they should be.

Now, some of the missions that these brave women flew on included transporting these vehicles all around the world. You know what they also did? They towed targets for men on the ground that were practicing artillery. Did you hear one of these WASPs complain, “Gee, I hope that these men will hit the targets instead of us”?

The Pentagon can do is to take this seriously, listen to the will of the people, and make sure that these brave women are so honored by being interred, if they wish, at Arlington.

Now, one of these humble American heroes is a woman by the name of Betty Anne Brown, who very recently passed away at age 92. Now, wouldn’t she be proud of all of us today standing up and asking that our country, that the Pentagon does the right thing?

I salute Ms. MCSALLY for her leadership on this issue. The Pentagon can do is what is right today. As you mentioned, Congresswoman, legislation is not needed. Our Commander in Chief, or the folks who run the Pentagon stand up and do what is right.

These women deserve every right to be buried at Arlington if they so wish. Thank you very much, and I am honored to have your support on this bill.

Ms. MCSALLY. Mr. Speaker, I thank Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. I am so pleased, so honored, so humbled to be part of your Special Order. In the short time that you have been in Congress, you have been a real leader on so many important issues, and I think none as important as the one that you are spearheading today.

I rise today to support you in our mission to give due recognition to the Women Airforce Service Pilots, otherwise known as WASPs, not the other WASPs that you know about. These are the real ladies that got the job done. They are a remarkable group of women who served our country proudly during World War II.

As you heard from the other speak,
this effort. Thank you for taking this on. You are a valuable member of this institution. Thank you for the time.

Ms. McSALLY. I want to thank the gentlewoman from Florida. As soon as I mentioned it to ILEANA, she was like: This is wrong. We have got to get involved. We have got to fix this.

So I appreciate your strong advocacy before I got here, and your continued advocacy as a wingwoman in this cause.

You know, for the WASPs in this story and this cause, it is not just the right thing to do for the country. For me, it is also personal. These women opened the door for me to be able to be a pilot in the Air Force and, when the doors were opened, to transition to be a fighter pilot in the Air Force.

I will be honest with you. I didn't hear about them when I was in high school. This is one reason why it is so important that we allow them to be laid to rest in Arlington, so that it is part of the education for future generations.

It wasn't until I went off to the Air Force Academy that I actually learned about the WASPs and learned about what they did. I just didn't even imagine that we would have women military pilots in the 1940s in World War II, but we did.

I got to meet some of these amazing women when I first came to Tucson to fly the A–10 Warthog, started my training. There were several of them that lived in southern Arizona, and I got to become friends with them, and they became mentors to me and encouragers to me.

As the doors were opening up for us to transition into fighters, there was hardly anybody we could really look to who understood what it was like to be in challenging circumstances where you are the only woman. People have attitudes about whether you can or cannot or should or should not do what you are doing as an aviator. But these women understood that. They put up with the same biases and the same discrimination as they served. They flew in World War II.

As I was looking around for someone to have as a role model, these women were incredible friends to me and supporters and wingwomen to me.

Here is one picture I want to show you. This is Ruth Helm, one of the Tucson residents who, sadly, made her final flight over the last year. This is when she was inducted into the Arizona Aviation Hall of Fame. This is a picture of the two of us in civilian clothes as she was inducted there.

These women paved the way for me, but they encouraged me. Even at my most challenging times, when I was feeling discouraged, I would sit down with them, and they would just fire me up to go again and fly another day. Despite the fact that they were told to leave the military after all they did, they still were proud. They didn't have a chip on their shoulder. They were grateful for the opportunities that they had. They laughed off some of the challenges that they went through. They just started encouraging me. "Come on, you can do it. We did it." I just was able to kind of get back in there and continue with what they did before me to open up the doors for me.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Will the gentlewoman yield?

Ms. McSALLY. I yield to the gentlewoman from Texas.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Obviously we share a compassion and passion for these wonderful women. We also serve on the Committee on Homeland Security together.

First of all, let me thank you for your service and thank you so very much for bringing this very important issue, this bipartisan issue to the floor of the House and certainly to your colleagues. I am looking forward to working with you on this issue.

I just want to say that one of my greatest joys in the United States Congress was the military war zones that I had the chance to go to, starting with the Bosnian war. I came in that time and traveled to that area, Kosovo and Albania, and then, of course, Iraq and Afghanistan and certainly a number of other sites where issues of conflict were going on.

There I saw a myriad of women who stood on the shoulders of these women, who are now in a variety of the branches, not just aviators or in the Air Force in particular, but they stood on the shoulders of these women. It gave me a sense of pride and duty to say to them, "Thank you."

Women are unique. Many of them are mothers or sisters and daughters who are in the service, or they take care of children, or they are nurturers for someone else. We have a particular role, but yet they are in the military leaving their families.

Just coming in today, I read an article about the ranger who is from my constituency who just came out of ranger school and is from Houston. I simply want to say, this is the right thing to do.

Every year—and I think you have every right to do this—there is a woman who has earned that right. She will be able to rest in peace. The place that we will be able to kind of get back in there and do it."

I just wanted to come and thank you.

I want to thank my colleague SUSAN DAVIS and all of my colleagues who have been on the floor. I did not want to come this afternoon, but I do know that they are doing a chip on their shoulder. They were grateful for the opportunities that they had. In the mid-1970s or early 1980s, they finally opened up the door for women to be pilots. But they could only serve in noncombat roles.
When they had that debate, that didn’t have to be theoretical or hypothetical. They had the example of these amazing women who did what they did in World War II—again, over a thousand of them, under extraordinary circumstances, flying by themselves, often just missing sight of the ground, flying into the weather and how they were going to land and dealing with emergencies and clearances and just doing what it took in order to get the mission done, get the plane where it needed to be, train the men to go off and fly in combat down the targets, do the simulated strafing runs, all the test piloting, everything, to include risking their lives. Thirty-eight of them died.

This is personal to me. These three women pictured in this photo—Dawn Seymour, Eleanor Gunderson, and Ruth Helm—they are sitting in this photo in the front row of the change of command ceremony that I had where I took over command of an A–10 fighter squadron. This was an historic day for our country that we finally had a woman doing that. It was an historic day for me to be able to take command of a squadron. I invited them and asked them to sit in the front row. I honored them as a part of command speech because I wanted to make sure that everybody there knew that I only had the opportunities that I had in the military because they paved the way.

These three women are personal friends of my family. I knew that they would have had their final flight. Dawn Seymour is still with us, but the other two have passed away. We have to keep their legacy going. We have to make sure the next generations know how they served with honor at a time when the country needed them. We have to make sure that Elaine Harmon and any of the other WASPs who want to have their ashes in Arlington Cemetery are allowed to do that.

Let’s do that. The only reason these women were not considered Active Duty at the time was because of gender biases and discrimination against women. That is the only reason. Had they been a man doing those jobs, they would have been Active Duty in the Army Air Corps; they would have been discharged honorably; and under the current guidelines, they would have been eligible to have their ashes at Arlington. The only reason they were not eligible to have their ashes at Arlington was because of the current guidelines, which were not even in place at the time.

Now this is 2016. It is time for that to stop. We thought it was over in 1977 when we finally retroactively gave them that veteran status. They were given those honorable discharges and the medals that they deserved from serving in World War II. We opened up the door for them to have military honors and to be laid to rest in veteran cemeteries around the country.

Arlington Cemetery opened up the doors to them—they were a little late, but in 2002. Last March, without telling anybody, they quietly rescinded that. It was just the last slap of gender discrimination against these amazing pioneers. It needs to be overturned immediately. This is the right thing to do for Elaine Harmon and for the other women who are still living. There are about 100 of them who are still with us; and for the next generations who need to know that they deserve to be laid to rest next to the other heroes who are there.

The Secretary of the Army has all the authority he needs to let Elaine Harmon’s ashes be in Arlington. Let’s be clear. This does not take legislation. He has all the authority he needs to make that happen tonight. If he won’t do it, the Secretary of Defense can. If he won’t do it, then President Obama can. We should not wait another day. Mr. Secretary, Mr. President, before making the decision and calling on Elaine Harmon’s family and saying, “It is approved. Elaine can rest in peace in Arlington National Cemetery,” which is what she deserved and what she asked for. We should not be lingering another day.

As we continue to call on the administration to do the right thing, we are not going to sit by idly. We have got our legislation introduced. We have got strong advocates in the House. We have got a Senate version of the bill that was introduced today, led by Senator Mikulski and Senator Ernst, also a bipartisan bill. We are going to continue to push this forward to make this right of these three Women Airforce Service Pilots, these WASPs. It is the least that we could do for all they have done for us.

The last thing I want to say before I close out is that this just seems to be a cruel irony and a cruel contradiction if you think about it. Just last month, the Pentagon announced that they are opening up, finally, all positions in the military to women. It has been a long road to get to that place. I have been a strong advocate for that happening for a very long time.

We are a country that is about equal opportunity. We are a country that treats people as individuals. Our foundations are based on not treating people as a class. We should always, and in the military as well, pick the best man for the job, even if it is a woman.

It has been a long haul to get over our biases as a country about what we think women as a whole group could or should do in service to our military. Gradually, positions have been opened. Gradually, women have continued to show that, when called, they will serve valiantly and with honor. They will fight and they will die, if needed, for our freedoms and our liberty.

At the time that the Pentagon is opening up all positions to women in the military that they are qualified for, they are closing the doors to Arlington for the pioneers who made that happen. That is a cruel hypocrisy and contradiction and it needs to be made right tonight.

So again, I call on the Secretary of the Army, Secretary of Defense, and the President—perhaps he could announce it in his speech tomorrow night—that one of the legacy things that we are going to do for our heroes, for our pioneers, for these amazing women, is to allow them to be laid to rest in Arlington National Cemetery. We have got your back. You had mine, and I have got yours now. It is the right thing to do.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

EGYPT TALKING POINTS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 5, 2015, the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. King) is recognized for the remainder of the hour as the designee of the majority leader.

Mr. KING of Iowa. Mr. Speaker, it is my honor and privilege to be recognized to address you here on the floor of the House of Representatives.

I thank the gentlewoman for yielding and for her presentation here tonight and the collection of people who came down to support her initiative and her agenda.

I thank the men and women who have stepped up and put on the uniform and actually those, also, who have risked their lives who were not formally wearing the uniform to defend our country.

I am one who, I think you know, Mr. Speaker, has great reverence for our constitutional values and the pillars of American exceptionalism, the underpinnings that make this a great nation. One of the things that we have been able to do as a great nation is be able to inspire others.

If we look around the world, there are those who think that the only thing that could happen that is good to somebody is if we just bring them into America and give them access to our welfare benefits and maybe they will become good Americans and all will be right with the world, but I don’t know if they have done the geography very well. Mr. Speaker, and recognize that we can do a lot more good by helping people where they are so that they can help themselves.

One of the most important things we can do is not send the wealth of America over to give people money and food and housing. That goes on from time to time, and there is a good number of times it is very well justified. But the best thing we can do is inspire others to live and model after the freedom of the United States of America. Then they can help themselves. Mr. Speaker. That is the agenda that the President—perhaps the President might announce it and that is the agenda that we will be working on here in a room just off the House floor.
They were explaining to me that they had adopted Hebrew as their official language. They did that, I believe, in 1954. They formed their country in 1948.
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And I said: “Why did you establish an official language and why did you resurrect essentially a dead language”—Hebrew—“that had not been used in common discourse or business or politics”—except for prayer—“for 2,000 years?”

And they said they saw the success of the United States with the common language that we have. English is our common language.

They wanted a common language for Israelis. They wanted something that would be unique, something that would bond and bind them together, because they had seen the successful model here. They were inspired by the successful model of assimilation that came about because of a common language. So they adopted Hebrew as their official language in Israel.

I was quite impressed, Mr. Speaker. I was quite impressed that America would inspire a country that had all the wealth in the world to draw from, yet they look at the model we have here to make such a definitive thing as to bring back a language that had not been utilized in common discussion for 2,000 years.

I give you that example, Mr. Speaker, because I come here tonight and I want to talk about Egypt and how it is that the United States of America inspires people around the world in ways that we may not realize.

I come to the floor tonight, Mr. Speaker, to commemorate and celebrate and give notice to and congratulate the Egyptian people. Yesterday they swore in and convened their parliament. That is Egypt's first parliament in nearly 4 years.

It is a great day for Egypt, and it is a great day for liberty worldwide. It is a great day for the United States to see that there are others around the world who are inspired by our system of a representative form of government.

I extend my congratulations to President Abdel Fattah el-Sisi and to the new speaker and drafter of Egypt's Constitution, Ali Abdel-Al, but also to Mr. Moussa, whom I met with on at least two occasions as he chaired the committee to draft the Egyptian Constitution.

The citizens of Egypt have achieved an important foreign policy milestone, Mr. Speaker. Yesterday was that day. I was curious that they would convene on a Sunday. Only under extreme circumstances would we start our day here on a Sunday.

However, Egypt is a Muslim country. It is about 95 percent Muslim—it has got a higher percentage of Christians than people might think—and they go to mosque on Friday. In fact, I learned that the Christians have their services on Friday as well. That way, Sunday is a workday.

But, in any case, the short history and the most recent history of Egypt is really astonishing. I point out that it seems as though our administration has missed the importance of this.

So, Mr. Speaker, I will just go through some of the history Egypt as we congratulate and congratulate them for convening their Parliament now under a legitimated constitutional government of the sovereign nation-state of Egypt, a country that we need to expand and strengthen our relations with and a country that can be a central player in stabilizing the instability all throughout the Middle East.

It is important that Egypt be a significant component of that effort that is going forward not just in this administration, but into the next administration and for a long time.

Back in 1981, President Mubarak took power. He held power for 30 years. In that 30-year period of time, some people thought that he was a strong man and that he dealt harshly with some of his opposition that was there. It may be true. I am not here to defend President Mubarak.

When President Obama took office, it was clear that he had a different view of President Morsi that I have expressed here. He went to Cairo to give a speech in Egypt on June 4, 2009.

And I remind the body, Mr. Speaker, that President Obama, then-Senator Obama and a candidate for President, in the speech in 2008 made a statement roughly similar to the fact he believed his middle name means something to the rest of the world.

And when they recognize and see his middle name, they all know that he can communicate with them in a certain way that someone who doesn’t have that middle name doesn’t have that particular tool.

And so shortly after that—being elected President and then armed with that—President Obama traveled to Cairo, Egypt, and gave his speech on June 4, 2009, at Al-Azhar University in Cairo.

Now, Al-Azhar University is essentially the global center for Islamic thought. They have Islamic scholars there that are respected worldwide within the world of Islam.

So to send a message to the Muslim world, there wasn’t a place that was more effective than going to Al-Azhar University to give his June 4, 2009, speech.

It happens to be a fact, Mr. Speaker, that the seating arrangement was arranged, we have to presume, with the approval of President Obama. And who sat in the front row, Mr. Speaker?

The leaders of the Muslim Brotherhood were seated in the front row when President Obama gave his speech at Al-Azhar University. That sent a powerful signal to the Egyptian people, a signal that the President of the United States supports the Muslim Brotherhood.

Now, I don’t bring this up as speculation, Mr. Speaker. I bring it back to the floor of the Congress because I am speaking from hands-on, eye-to-eye experience in talking with the Egyptian people and some of their leadership and some of their press.

They say to us: “Why does President Obama support the Muslim Brotherhood?” That is a hard question and is a hard one to rebut when they are seated in the front row at Al-Azhar University.

Well, this brought about a significant amount of unrest. It contributed to the unrest that probably more reasonable people want to describe this, Mr. Speaker. As the unrest grew in Egypt, we also heard messages coming out of the State Department.

For example, then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton made a statement very similar to: Mubarak needs to be gone yesterday. And so the push from the Obama administration, the push from the State Department, then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, and others, began to put pressure on Mubarak.

Well, Mr. Speaker, the pressure built and the demonstrations that took place in Tahrir Square were intense. Some of them were violent. We saw on television the massive amounts of people that were on the square and weren’t going to leave.

With the trouble that was there, finally, on February 11, 2011, Mubarak stepped down. When he stepped down, that left a bit of a void that was still wrapped up in the chaos.

During that chaos, there were primarily Muslim Brotherhood activities consisting of mobs that were attacking Christian churches, attacking the Evangelical churches that are there, and attacking the Coptic Christian churches that are there. In fact, the persecution went on in multiple cities around Egypt. There were multiple churches that were burned and razed to the ground. Some were just gutted by fire.

Well, in June 2012, Mohamed Morsi came to power. He is the face and the voice—and may still be—of the Muslim Brotherhood. As Morsi came to power, they began to see how the Muslim Brotherhood would rule Egypt.

In protests died down for a while, and then they ramped back up again, Mr. Speaker and got worse and worse and worse and more intense.

And so the protests accelerated up to January 25, 2013. There were many protests. Egypt was more or less very difficult to govern and rule. Because of the protests against Morsi and because of the way that Morsi had mishandled government and the way that the Muslim Brotherhood, with their heavy hand, had worked against many of the Egyptian people.

Morsi was the duly-elected President. And I believe the number was 4.6 million Egyptians that came to the polls
out of 83 million Egyptians altogether. So it was a low percentage of turnout, but they saw him get elected.

And then, as he essentially disempowered the legislature and disempowered the judicial branch of government, he was a dictator. The election for Morsi, an election one last time. The dictator had taken over, and the Egyptian people knew it. And they began to push back, Mr. Speaker.

So the protests accelerated from January 25, throughout that spring. And then, as we watched, there was a funeral at the main Coptic church in Cairo. The Muslim Brotherhood mobs attacked the funeral and killed people. And so that is a brutal division within the society that took place. That was April 7, 2013.

Throughout that summer, the Christian groups were gathering together, Mr. Speaker, and during that period of time they would have regular prayer meetings to pray that God would bring relief to Egypt. They would pray and turn the country back over to the Egyptian people and let them govern their country and have their country back, take it away from Morsi.

As I sat and listened to Pastor Maurice, who leads a 4,000-member Evangelical church in Egypt, as they were gathering for prayers on the night of June 29, he said to the other pastors who had been regularly coming together to pray: I am going to lead the prayer tonight. I am going to be in charge of the prayer tonight.

So they agreed. They gathered together and Pastor Maurice offered this prayer. He said: God, we have been praying daily for relief from Egypt. I am tired of waiting. I don’t want to wait any longer. I want this relief to come.

That was the eve of the relief that came. By June 30, the following day, the streets and every city began to fill in Egypt. Tahrir Square became full again. People poured into the streets of Egypt, and they poured into the streets on June 30, July 1, July 2, and July 3.

The numbers of people in the streets in Egypt that came out to protest were estimated at 33 million people out of 83 million Egyptians. Now, think of that. If we had that same percentage in the United States of America, those are finally in charge of their country.

General el-Sisi demurred. He said: No. I don’t want to do this. I don’t want to step in. Finally, by the 3rd of June, he relented and stepped in with the military to bring order in Tahrir Square. That turned out to be a move that stabilized Egypt.

Shortly after that, they stabilized Egypt. They had more peace in the streets. There was still trouble. The Muslim Brotherhood was still attacking people.

There were still arrests of some of those who had been violent take place in the square that had been attacking people. But they installed an interim President and put some stability into the government. This is early July of 2013.

Myself and a couple of other Members went to Egypt over the Labor Day break in September 2013. We met with the interim President in one meeting, in a different meeting with the Pope of the Coptic Church, in a separate meeting then with General el-Sisi, and in a separate meeting with Mr. Moussa, who was the chairman of the committee that was writing a Constitution.

I remember each of those meetings in a distinct way. The Coptic Pope said: We are praying for the people who are killing us. We are not going to be sucked into a civil war in Egypt. We are praying for them and are asking God to take our enemies and turn them against those who had been violent.

I thought that was a very high level of faith that I don’t know that I could reach. Mr. Speaker, I was very impressed with the Coptic Pope.

We met with Mr. Moussa, who described the Constitution they were drafting, but he said it is up to the Egyptian people. They have got to ratify it.

And as we met with General el-Sisi, I recall asking him a series of questions: If this Constitution is ratified and a legitimate civilian government takes charge in Egypt, will the military take orders from a civilian President or a civilian prime minister and a civilian parliament?

He looked me in the eye and he said: Yes. The military will.

So I didn’t know at the time—and I think he knew at the time—that those words would become the one issuing the orders to the military. He has kept his word.

As he promised to me and others promised to me, they would ratify a Constitution, they would elect a national leader or President, and once the Constitution was ratified and the President was elected, they would then have elections and seat a parliament or a legislative assembly.

Within their Constitution they wrote the language that said, of the roughly 100 churches that have been destroyed—mostly by the Muslim Brotherhood—they would use Egyptian tax dollars to rebuild those churches.

I am going to speak tonight, Mr. Speaker, to say thank you to President el-Sisi of Egypt, thank you to Mr. Moussa and those others that worked on the Constitution, and to congratulate the Parliament in Egypt that is now seated as of yesterday. The church is put in place now so that the Egyptian people are finally in charge of their country again.

And when I am asked why does our administration support the Muslim Brotherhood, I am going to continue to give the same answer: The American people support the Egyptian people. The Egyptian people don’t support the Muslim Brotherhood. They have proven that over and over again.

The leadership that the Egyptians have elected has proven that they have given their word, they have kept their word. They have performed in the fashion that they said they would.

And as I have gone back now a couple of times since then, most recently last spring, in about March or April, at some significant expense, I might add, I remember sitting down with President el-Sisi, and he said a couple of things that I think that we should remember, and I believe he wanted me to convey them here on this floor, Mr. Speaker; and that is, that he gave a speech January 1 of last year at Al-Azhar University, in the center of Muslim thought, and here is the message that he delivered.

The message was this, he is asking a rhetorical question, and it was: Is it possible to accept the idea that the whole world must die so that Muslims can live? That is a rhetorical question, Mr. Speaker. It is a rhetorical question. It is the most powerful rhetorical question that I believe that I have heard.

And, of course, he rejected that idea. He understands that Muslims and Christians and Jews and atheists and agnostics and all the religions need to live on this world together, and he is looking for that kind of peace and stability, so that no religion is persecuted, no religion is being murdered while they are going to someone else’s funeral, or their wedding. And that happened also in Egypt, Mr. Speaker.

So I want to thank President el-Sisi for his commitment. And I would add, also, that he made another statement that I think we should think about, Mr. Speaker, and that is, he said they, speaking of the Muslim Brotherhood, they are trying to establish and impose divine law on all the world.

When he looked at me he realized it didn’t quite register, and he said, sharia law. They want to impose sharia law on the entire world. And he put his head down, almost between his knees, as he sat there, and shook his head in rejection.

I am convinced we can work with this man. He is a dedicated Muslim who is a peaceful leader, who understands this picture of the world the way it sets itself.

I look at the work that was done by Ataturk in Turkey, how he provided a bridge between the East and the West, and that has been drifting back a bit the other way under Erdogan, but I believe that President el-Sisi has the skill set, the conviction that Christians and Jews and other faiths with the right kind of support, the support of the United States of America and the free world and the Middle East,
could become the Ataturk for the world to bring about that bridge between the Muslim world and the Christian world and the West.

If we fail in that effort to do that outreach and tie these bonds together, these bonds that go back through history, a long ways back, Mr. Speaker, if we fail, then I am afraid there will be a tremendous amount of bloodshed.

If we succeed, I believe we can eliminate the significant amount of bloodshed and bridge over this division that is coming at us. And he deserves and needs our help to defend himself from terrorists that are attacking from all directions, from Sinai and everywhere else.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate your indulgence here tonight.

I yield back the balance of my time.

CONGRESSIONAL BLACK CAUCUS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 6, 2015, the gentleman from New York (Mr. JEFFRIES) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. JEFFRIES. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members be given 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and add any extraneous material relevant to the subject matter of this discussion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Mr. Speaker, it is an honor and a privilege for me to rise today and to co-anchor, along with my distinguished colleague from the great State of Ohio, Representative JOYCE BEATTY, this CBC Special Order hour, this hour of power.

Once again, we are privileged to take to the floor of the people’s House to discuss an issue that should be relevant to everyone and this institution on behalf of the 320 million-plus Americans that we represent in this great country, and that is the gun violence epidemic.

America has 5 percent of the world’s population, but 50 percent of the world’s guns. It is estimated that there are more than 300 million guns in circulation throughout this country. So it seems to me reasonable that we would do everything possible to ensure that not a single one of those guns finds themselves in the hands of individuals who would do us harm. And that in many ways is what President Obama has done as it relates to his most recent executive action.

So today members of the Congressional Black Caucus will come to the House floor to discuss those executive actions, discuss the issue of gun violence, discuss the steps that we should be taking, here in this Chamber, in order to protect the people of America that we all collectively represent safe.

It is now my honor and privilege to yield to the gentlewoman from Ohio (Mrs. BEATTY), my classmate and my co-anchor for this CBC Special Order hour. I look forward to anchoring with her throughout the entire year. She has been a tremendous champion for working families, for the middle class, for small business and, of course, for the young people who are ravaged in our communities all across this country by gun violence.

Mrs. BEATTY. Mr. Speaker, I rise this evening proud to stand with my Congressional Black Caucus Special Order hour co-anchor, Congressman JEFFRIES, from the Eighth Congressional District of New York. Mr. JEFFRIES, it is my honor to stand here today as we undertake an urgent dialogue on how we, as elected Representatives of the people, can work together to end gun violence.

I look forward to engaging with Congressman JEFFRIES and our Congressional Black Caucus colleagues in this evening proud to stand with my President on the actions he has taken to prevent gun violence in America.

Unfortunately, Mr. Speaker, whatever spirit of bipartisanship may have been present a couple of years back to Washington ready to serve our constituencies and work for the betterment of our Nation.

Unfortunately, Mr. Speaker, whatever spirit of bipartisanship may have been present a couple of years back to Washington ready to serve our constituencies and work for the betterment of our Nation.

We find ourselves confronted with startling statistics that no Nation should endure. Let me just take a moment to share just a few.

We know that the impact of gun violence affects every community and every congressional district. However, African American children and teens are 17 times more likely to die from gun homicide than White youth, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

While African Americans make up 15 percent of youth in America, African Americans accounted for 45 percent of children and teen gun deaths in 2010. According to Gun Safety, 88 Americans die every day from gun violence. Mr. Speaker. Roughly 50 percent of those killed are African American men, who comprise just 6 percent of the population. Homicidal suicide is the primary cause of death among African Americans ages 15-24.

Mr. Speaker, these numbers should be unthinkable, unimaginable, but they are the unfortunate reality in which African American communities live. In the words of Dr. Martin Luther King, whose legacy we honor next Monday, he said: We find ourselves “confronted with the fierce urgency of now.” And Mr. Speaker, it is now that our Nation is in a moment of crisis, yet we are trapped in congressional inaction. Shameful.

So our President decided he would not stand by idly while Congress did nothing to prevent another Newtown, another Charleston, another Tucson.

With tears in his eyes, he reflected on the senseless killings caused by gun violence over the course of his administration. President Obama announced new executive actions to confront the epidemic of gun violence in America.

While mocked by some Republicans for showing emotion at the loss of so many lives, I am here to say I proudly stand with my President on the actions he has taken to prevent gun violence in America.

These executive actions will save lives and make the country safer without infringing on law-abiding individuals’ rights to firearms.

You will hear from our colleagues tonight talking about the President’s actions. I look forward to continuing to work with my colleagues and to address gun violence.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Thank you, Representative BEATTY, for laying out the tremendous visionary tradition.

So our President decided he would not stand by idly while Congress did nothing to prevent another Newtown, another Charleston, another Tucson.

With tears in his eyes, he reflected on the senseless killings caused by gun violence over the course of his administration. President Obama announced new executive actions to confront the epidemic of gun violence in America.

Tonight’s conversation comes at an important time. On average, every day more than 30 people are killed by firearms, many in mass murders.

Now, rather than do nothing, they say is the country’s mass shootings. I want to talk about solutions. Last Tuesday, the President announced the executive actions that his administration will take...
to prevent gun violence. I commend the President for taking this action, in light of the current congressional leadership’s refusal to responsibly address this epidemic.

These executive actions will ensure stronger enforcement of current laws and will reduce the number of lives lost to gun violence. To begin with, the President’s executive actions will narrow the “gun show” and Internet loopholes by actually enforcing licensing requirements for gun dealers and overhauling the background check system to make it more effective and efficient.

Under current law, only licensed gun dealers are required to perform criminal background checks for all gun sales, and only those individuals deemed to be “engaged in the business” of dealing in guns are required to obtain a license from the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, the ATF.

The question of whether someone is engaged in business will be determined by normal legal standards as opposed to people just declaring themselves to be exempt, which is going on now. Some of the people are even making a living selling firearms. They need to get a license. This is the present law, and the President has said that he will enforce it.

The Federal Bureau of Investigation, as part of executive actions, will overhaul the National Instant Criminal Background Check System, the NICS system, to make it more effective and efficient by hiring more than 230 additional examiners and other staff so that the Bureau can process background checks 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, and improve its notification of local authorities when prohibited persons unlawfully attempt to purchase a gun.

These people are currently breaking the law when they illegally try to buy a firearm, and local law enforcement officials need to be informed. According to the Bureau of Justice Statistics, this system has already caught more than 2 million people trying to buy guns illegally, and they need to be held accountable for breaking the law.

Furthermore, dealers will also be required to notify law enforcement if their guns are lost or stolen in transit. This transparency and accountability will ensure that law enforcement will be notified and can begin investigations when these losses occur.

Executive actions will also leverage the buying power of the Department of Defense, the Department of Justice, and the Department of Homeland Security to conduct or sponsor research into gun technology. When the Federal Government begins buying guns using that kind of technology, it will make it more likely that this technology will be used by private industry, making it impossible for anyone other than the true owner to use weapons, and the more purchases the Federal Government makes, the more likely it is that technology will actually be installed.

The President has also directed the departments to review the availability of smart gun technology on a regular basis and to explore potential ways to further its use and to encourage research to more broadly improve gun safety.

The President’s plan also proposes a new $500 million investment to increase access to mental health treatment to ensure that people who need help do not fall through the cracks of the mental health system. This is in addition to the huge increases in mental health funding under the Affordable Care Act. Mental health services are considered essential services, and so now insurance policies include mental health coverage.

While modest and within the President’s executive authority, these executive actions will go a long way in keeping guns out of the hands of people who never should be able to purchase them in the first place. But that is executive action. Congress needs to act so that more can be done to actually protect citizens from gun violence.

The House Democratic Gun Violence Prevention Task Force has consistently reiterated that Washington has a moral obligation to do something to address our Nation’s gun violence epidemic. The most effective way to address this epidemic is through comprehensive, evidence-based policy proposals.

Our task force has put forth several proposals that will go a long way in achieving these goals. These proposals include reinstating and strengthening the assault weapon ban, reducing the size of magazines, implementing universal background checks, cracking down on illegal gun trafficking and straw purchases, improving our mental health system, and implementing comprehensive, evidence-based violence prevention and intervention programs.

The gentleman from California, Representative MIKE THOMPSON, is the chair of the House Democratic Gun Violence Prevention Task Force, and he has introduced a resolution to establish a select committee of the House to study gun violence. That resolution is cosponsored by Democratic Leader NANCY PELOSI and 11 cochairs of the House Democratic Caucus.

I yield now to Congresswoman BARBARA LEE.

Ms. LEE. First, let me thank the gentleman from New York for laying out the steps that are being taken by the President in such a compelling way in explaining why it is that we should all support as well as some of the steps that need to be taken legislatively by this Congress in order to deal with the fact that more than 10,000 Americans a year die as a result of gun violence-related homicides.

I yield to the distinguished gentleman from California (Ms. Lee). She is an incredibly eloquent and passionate voice for the voiceless. We appreciate her service here in the Congress not just on behalf of the district she represents in northern California, but certainly on behalf of the people of the United States of America. I yield now to Congresswoman BARBARA LEE.

Ms. LEE. First, let me thank the gentleman from New York for those very kind remarks. But also I want to thank you and Congresswoman BEATTY for organizing this very important Special Order and for your tremendous leadership, Congressman JEFFRIES and Congresswoman BEATTY, on ensuring public safety.

Your leadership, both Congresswoman BEATTY and Congressman
JEFFRIES, has been bold, it has been visionary, not just as the result of the very recent tragedies but for many, many years even before both of you came to Congress. So it is an honor serving with both of you in this body. Thank you very much for the opportunity to speak today.

Also, I want to just thank Congresswoman ROBIN KELLY, who is the vice chair on the Gun Violence Task Force. She also chairs the CBC’s Health Braintrust.

I thank you for your tireless work to ensure that gun violence is treated as a public health problem, which it is.

Madam Speaker, I rise this evening with my colleagues in the Congressional Black Caucus to call on Congress to do something—to do something—about the epidemic of gun violence that is harming our communities.

Since the start of the year—just 11 days ago—the violent deaths of many constituents or members of our families have already become victims of gun violence, including an elementary school teacher and an innocent mother pushing her child in a stroller. Just this weekend alone my community suffered three gun homicides. My thoughts are with the families and friends of these terrific families. We have to do something. Enough is enough.

Congress can and must do more to stop this senseless violence. Whether it is Charleston, Oak Creek, Sandy Hook, the streets of Oakland or wherever, too many people have already lost their lives, too many families have buried loved ones, and too many lives have been changed forever because of catastrophic injuries as a result of gun violence.

Madam Speaker, now is the time for action. Our constituents are demanding action. The country is demanding action. I have received hundreds of calls and emails from my constituents, and I know other Members are also hearing from their constituents. They are calling for action as well.

Earlier today in my own District, Oakland City Council Member Lynnette Gibson McElhaney buried her grandaughter, 17-year-old Torian Hughes, who was shot and killed during a robbery just days before Christmas. This has been a very difficult period for Council Member McElhaney and her family. So in addition to our prayers not only the Council member’s family, but for all of those in our country who have been victims of gun violence, we must do something. We must do something in all of their memory.

Let me be clear. Congress can no longer ignore the massive toll that this epidemic is having on our constituents, their families, and communities. Last week we joined with our colleagues and millions of Americans in applauding President Obama’s actions to reduce gun violence in our Nation. Thanks to the President’s leadership, there will be more background checks, better enforcement of existing gun laws, improved mental health services, and new research on how to end this epidemic of gun violence.

But more action is needed to stop the more than 30,000 gun deaths that occur in our Nation each and every year. Congress must pass commonsense gun reform, like closing the gun show loophole, which is supported by the vast majority of Americans and gun owners. Congress must also fund the expansion of mental health services.

But this should not be an excuse, of course, to do nothing on gun safety. We have got to provide the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives the resources it needs to enforce our Nation’s gun laws.

As a member of the Appropriations Committee, I have fought along with my colleagues to get these vital public safety resources in the appropriations bills which keep our communities safe. We must also end the extreme data restrictions that restrict law enforcement’s ability to keep our communities safe and prevent policymakers from addressing gun violence as a public health issue.

That is why I introduced last year the Tiahrt Restrictions Repeal Act, which would repeal restrictions on gun sales and background checks. These data restrictions are commonly called the Tiahrt restrictions. They prevent data on gun background checks from being released to the public.

These provisions currently impede public safety by requiring the National Criminal Background Check System records to be destroyed—mind you, destroyed—within 24 hours, prohibiting the ATF from requiring licensed dealers to conduct annual inventory checks to detect lost or stolen firearms and restricting local and State laws in order to prosecute so-called straw purchases.

Our constituents are demanding action. Our constituents are demanding action. Our constituents are demanding action. Our constituents are demanding action. Our constituents are demanding action.

This is outrageous. We have got to restrict and repeal these Tiahrt amendments right away. It will help tackle the bad apple gun dealers who provide dangerous weapons to criminals. It is estimated that just 5 percent of sellers supply the weapons used in nearly 90 percent of gun crimes. The Tiahrt restrictions block access to vital data that lawmakers, law enforcement, and Federal agencies need to tackle gun violence.

Of course, we as a country are proud to support Congresswoman KELLY’s bill, which would allow the Surgeon General to study gun violence as a public health issue and the Consumer Product Safety Commission to regulate firearms.

Madam Speaker, the time for action is now. Let’s start listening to the American people and insist that Congress do something. It is really disingenuous to criticize the President for issuing commonsense gun safety measures when we have been trying for years in this body—for years—to get these sensible bills passed. The Speak-
with an enormous amount of outrage for where we are and why we are here. I want to add my appreciation to the Congressional Black Caucus, the chairman, Mr. BUTTERFIELD, and, of course, Congresswoman Dr. KELLY, who has been on the issue dealing with health care. I just want to cite to her, a lady that came to this Congress more than a decade ago, Deborah Prothrow-Stith. You may have read her writings. She pronounced during that time that gun violence was a health crisis. That was so many years ago. Unfortunately, with all of her expert writings, we still couldn’t get movement.

I am going to take a slightly different perspective. If I could just take these few moments to give you an anecdotal story, which many of you might find absolutely with a great deal of shock, if you will. That is the limit to what I want to say on the issue—because that certainly would be the American people on any ideas for gun safety or gun regulation as taking guns away.

I was in a meeting where someone was trying to understand why President Obama picked gun violence as an issue. I will confess to you, through his process of gun testing or making sure that there are background checks for everyone, that he wants to confiscate 345 million guns, which has been determined to be located in 66 million places here in the United States.

Can I, in a public forum on this august floor of the House, say that we, as Members of Congress—and I think Republicans and Democrats—did not want to have this. We have no evidence, that the White House intends to confiscate guns—no manner of level of increased ATF officers could ever do that—why this mischaracterization is here.

But the gun rights advocates have made a lot of claims over the years that the Second Amendment they interpret means that they can buy any gun they want and take it pretty much anywhere. Well, basically, that does exist, except for the basic constraint of background checks, which now the President has expanded to ensure that if you are in a gun show—this is a gun show loophole—and you are sitting next to the stall of a licensed gun person and you are in the business of selling guns, why shouldn’t you be either licensed or require, basically, background checks?

But listen to this. In an ongoing legal battle, they lay claim to a newfangled Second Amendment right: the right not to have anyone talk to gun owners about their guns. Specifically, gun advocates don’t want doctors discussing guns or the potential harms that guns may cause with their patients.

While mere talk about guns might seem to have nothing to do with the right to keep or bear arms, the advocates contend that the Constitution is on their side. Last month, for the third time in the same suit, a Federal court of appeals agreed. This is very bizarre. The case is filed under the name of Wollschlaeger v. Governor of the State of Florida. The Second Amendment buffs may recognize it under the cutesy nickname Docs v. Glock.

It started when some gun owners and the right to a million tons to Florida legislators that their doctors were harassing them by asking about gun safety—by asking about gun safety. The legislators responded by passing a law that bars healthcare workers from discussing or recording anything about their patients’ gun ownership or safety practices that could be deemed in bad faith, irrelevant, or harassing.

Twelve other States are thinking about it, and now we have the Privacy of Firearm Owners Act. This is in the face of this codification in this country. Let me cite to my colleagues that America is the number one country out of Western nations that has the highest number of cases of homicide by firearm per 100,000. The closest that comes to them is by Italy. Then Taiwan, Canada, and Spain, 0.2; Germany, 0.2.

All the news stories that we see on violent disruptions in various places and protests, their numbers of gun violence, come from Australia, 0.1; UK, 0.1; France, 0.1; South Korea, 0.03; and finally Japan, 0.01. If that doesn’t get our attention, I don’t know what does.

Then look at this map: 333 mass shootings in America in 2015. My colleague can see, is this anything to be proud of? Mass shootings not by knives, not by throwing stones, but by guns. This is what America is to the world: a sea of red of mass shootings, gun violence, people who are killed, injured. We see much more gun background in some of the parts of this Nation. Yet there are laws that are being passed to stop health professionals from asking whether you have guns that might, in fact, endanger your children or yourselves.

On average, more than 100,000 people in the United States are shot in murders, assaults, and other crimes. More than 32,000 people die from gun violence, including 2,677 children under the age of 15. The justifiable use of force versus criminal: studies also found that for every 1 justified homicide in the United States involving a gun, guns were used in 44 criminal homicides. In all of our communities, we see young Black men being killed by gun violence, young people in our communities being killed by gun violence, or innocent storekeepers being killed by gun violence, or in the instance of the Philadelphia police officer.

All of us respect the advocates of law enforcement recognizing that we can work together by building prepared and trained law enforcement officers to avoid the violence with guns. But in the instance of this individual, who point-blank shot at an officer with a gun, who has now been determined possibly to have heard voices, though he said he was inspired by ISIS, again, someone wanted to suggest that it wasn’t anything that Obama could do. It was, again, it was a stolen gun, and it is out on the streets. Obviously, we don’t have enough people enforcing against the trafficking of stolen guns.

Mass shootings. The U.S. has a far higher number of mass shootings than anyone. I have been here. The community is over the top in frustration.

Gun regulations checks save lives. The tragedy at Mother Emanuel is the individual went to buy guns and the store owner said it is taking too long.

I support President Obama’s very astute and thoughtful approach. Out of that, I am very glad to have introduced two initiatives. One, H.R. 4315, Mental Health Access and Gun Violence Prevention Act, which is a capture of President Obama’s, along with KAREN BASS. I urge my colleagues to sign on to H.R. 4315, which authorizes $500 million for health treatment access and to assist in the reporting of relevant disqualifying mental health information to the FBI background check system, NICS—not to violate the privacy, but to give more information to the database, because that certainly would be part of saving lives.

H.R. 4316, that I am pleased to have Congresswoman KELLY join me in this, the Gun Violence Reduction Resources Act, authorizes the hiring of 200 additional ATF agents, the very point of which my Republican friends are saying, but yet they are condemning what the President has offered.

I would say to my colleagues in closing, if we don’t do this for any other reason, to take and codify the President’s initiatives on NICS data collection on background checks or closing the gun show loophole, if we don’t do it, we should do it for the children.
From December 2012 to December 2013, at least 100 children were killed in unintentional shootings, almost two every week, 61 percent higher than Federal data reflect. About two-thirds of these unintended deaths, at 65 percent, took place in the home or vehicle that belonged to the victim’s family, most often with the guns that were legally owned but not secured.

I remind you of that Supreme Court challenge or that law in Florida where doctors can’t secure information to protect the patients or the children of these families. More than two-thirds of these tragedies could be avoided if gun owners stored their guns responsibly and prevented children from accessing them.

I have introduced legislation on gun storage—I call it safety and responsibility—but yet, unfortunately, it is perceived as attacking the Second Amendment.

My good friend from New York (Mr. JEFFRIES), let me thank you for yielding. Allow me to just leave us with the point that, as the Congressional Black Caucus stands on the floor, we need partners in doing the right thing. I hope that the President leaves office, he will have the opportunity to reasonably and rationally sign bills that will save lives.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to join my colleagues of the Congressional Black Caucus, Congresswoman HAKEEM JEFFRIES (D-NY) and Congresswoman JOYCE BEATTY (D-OH) who are anchoring this Special Order on Ending Gun Violence in America.

Gun violence in America can no longer be swept under the rug, ignored or irrationally justified.

We are in a state of national crisis and it is time to act.

Upon taking office, every Member of Congress makes a solemn pledge: to protect and defend the American people. This is the most important oath we take as elected officials—and, to honor this promise, we must do everything in our power to stem gun violence in our nation.

Yet, another senseless shooting and countless acts of gun violence in communities across our country every day, House Republicans are still unwilling to act to stop gun violence and save lives in American communities.

The Democrats have been calling for an immediate vote on the bipartisan King-Thompson Public Safety and Second Amendment Rights Protection Act to strengthen the life-saving background checks that keep guns out of the wrong hands.

This Congress has a moral obligation to do our part to end the gun violence epidemic.

Now is the time for Republicans to join Democrats in protecting the lives of American citizens by taking common sense steps to save lives.

The Administration has announced two new executive actions that will help strengthen the federal background check system and keep guns out of the wrong hands.

I have introduced two bills that will hopefully enhance these executive actions and support the President’s recently announced action on gun violence.

H.R. 4315—Mental Health Access and Gun Violence Prevention Act—authorizes $500 million for mental health treatment access and to assist in the reporting of relevant disqualifying mental health information to the FBI’s background check system NICS.

H.R. 4316—Gun Violence Reduction Resources Act—authorizes the hiring of 200 additional investigators for enforcement of existing gun laws. The President included these specific requests in yesterday’s announcements and these bills respond to those requests.

Additionally, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is proposing a regulation to clarify who is prohibited from possessing a firearm under federal law for reasons related to mental health. And the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) is issuing a proposed regulation to address barriers preventing states from submitting limited information on those persons to the federal background check system.

Ending gun violence in America requires a comprehensive approach—we must come together and work towards this common goal.

Too many Americans have been severely injured or lost their lives as a result of gun violence.

While the vast majority of Americans who experience a mental illness are not violent. However, in some cases when persons with a mental illness does not receive the treatment they need, the result can be tragedies such as homicide or suicide.

We must continue to address mental health issues by:

- Supporting expanded coverage of mental health services and enhanced training and hiring of mental health professionals; and
- Continuing the national conversation on mental health to reduce stigma associated with having a mental illness and getting help; and
- We must also continue to do everything we can to making sure that anyone who may pose a danger to themselves or others does not have access to a gun.

The federal background check system is one of the most effective ways of assuring that such individuals are not able to purchase a firearm from a licensed gun dealer.

To date, background checks have prevented over two million guns from falling into the wrong hands.

The Administration’s two new executive actions will help ensure that better and more reliable information makes its way into the background check system.

The Administration, however, has acknowledged the need for collective action and continues to call upon Members of Congress to pass common-sense gun safety legislation and to expand funding to increase access to mental health care.

I too call upon my colleagues to come together and pass legislation that will help stop the loss of innocent lives.

While we have made some progress in strengthening the National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS), which is used to run background checks on those who buy guns from federally licensed gun dealers to make sure they are not prohibited by law from owning a firearm, we must do more.

I am a strong supporter of a right of privacy and I am particularly sensitive and protective of patient privacy.

I support the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act that was passed by Congress in 1996, and includes privacy protection for medical records, which includes mental healthcare information.

However, there are specific areas under federal law that allow the disclosure of medical information to authorities, and in these instances there should be an agreement that the patient poses a threat to themselves or others (as determined by a court or adjudicative authority with the medical and legal knowledge and authority to make a determination that a person poses a threat to themselves or others) should not be allowed to purchase a fire arm.

Technology that could be deployed to access court records and arrest records as they relate to mental health and violent behavior should not rely upon a list that may become out of date or could be used in ways that are not consistent with the intent of enhancing gun safety.

The ability to access information that is accurate and available for the limited purpose of affirming or rejecting a request to purchase a firearm without indicating the source of the decision or the reason for the rejection would still protect privacy rights while also protecting the public.

The president’s proposal on mental health and gun violence is to enforce the laws already in place.

Under a federal law enacted in 1968, an individual is prohibited from buying or possessing firearms for life if he/she has been "adjudicated as a mental defective" or "committed to a mental institution."

A person is “adjudicated as a mental defective” if a court—or other entity having legal authority to make adjudications—has made a determination that an individual, as a result of mental illness: 1) Is a danger to himself or to others; 2) Lacks the mental capacity to contract or manage his own affairs; 3) Is found insane by a court in a criminal case, or incompetent to stand trial, or not guilty by reason of lack of mental responsibility pursuant to the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

A person is “committed to a mental institution” if that person has been involuntarily committed to a mental institution by a court or other lawful authority. This expressly excludes voluntary commitment.

It should be noted, however, that federal law currently allows states to establish procedures for mentally ill individuals to restore their right to possess and purchase firearms (many states have done so in the best interest of the National Rifle Association, with questionable results).

It is undoubtedly true that people who are a danger to self and/or others because of mental illness should be prohibited from owning firearms.

It is less clear, however, how to tailor new policies to better protect the American public while at the same time avoiding the stigmatization of Americans with mental illness.

A strategy to address the lethal intersection between guns and mental illness should focus on the key facts:

- On average, more than 100,000 people in America are shot in murders, assaults, and other crimes.
- More than 32,000 people die from gun violence annually, including 2,677 children under the age of eighteen years old.
- Suicide is the leading cause of gun related deaths in America.
- 60 percent of deaths by guns in America are the result of individuals using these weapons as a means to commit suicide.
Some of these deaths might have been prevented if there were adequate background checks.

Each year hundreds of law enforcement officers lose their lives to gun violence been shot to death protecting their communities. Millions of guns sold every year in “no questions asked” transactions and experts estimate that 40 percent of guns now sold in America are done so without a background check.

National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) was created in 1998 to require potential gun buyers to pass an instant screening at the point of purchase.

Ensures that purchasers are not felons, domestic abusers, mentally ill, etc.

NICS has blocked sales to more than 2 million prohibited people.

NICS stops 170 felons and 53 domestic abusers from purchasing guns every day.

The most serious issue facing NICS is the “private sale loophole”.

This allows anyone who is not a federally-licensed dealer to sell guns without a background check.

An estimated 40% of gun transfers—6.6 million transfers—are conducted without a background check.

Armrist.com is the largest online seller of firearms.

66,000 gun ads are posted by private sellers on a given day, 750,000 per year.

Nearly 1/3rd of gun ads on Armrist.com are posted by high-volume unlicensed sellers (approx. 4,218 people).

High-volume sellers posted 29% of the gun ads.

High-volume sellers posted 36,069 gun ads over 2 months.

This would equate to around 243,800 guns each year by unlicensed sellers.

50% were familiar with federal laws but did not comply with them.

1/3rd of “want-to-buy” ads are posted by people with a criminal record.

More than 4 times the rate at which prohibited gun buyers try to buy guns in stores.

Approximately 25,000 guns are in illegal hands.

[From Slate, Jan. 8, 2016]

THE ABSURD LOGIC BEHIND FLORIDA’S DOCS VS. GLOCKS LAW

THE SECOND AMENDMENT TRUMPS ALL OTHER AMENDMENTS

(By Dahlia Lithwick and Sonja West)

Gun-rights advocates have made a lot of claims over the years about the broad scope of their constitutional rights. They say, in effect, that the Second Amendment means they can buy virtually any gun they want and take it pretty much anywhere. But in an ongoing legal battle in Florida, they lay claim to a newfangled Second Amendment right—the right not to have anyone talk to gun owners about their guns. Specifically, gun advocates don’t want doctors discussing guns, or the potential harms those guns may cause, with their patients.

And while mere talk about guns might seem to have nothing to do with the right to keep or bear arms, the advocates contend that the Constitution is on their side. Last month, they argued in the same suit, a federal court of appeals agreed.

This very bizarre case is filed under the name of Wollschlaeger v. Governor of the State of Florida. In First and Second amendment briefs may recognize it under the cutsey nickname Docs vs. Glocks. It started when some gun owners (and the National Rifle Association) told Florida legislators that their doctors were harassing them by asking about gun safety.

The legislature responded by passing a law that bars health care workers from discussing or recording anything about their patients’ gun ownership or safety practices that could be seen as anti-gun, irrelevant, or harassing. (Twelve other states have considered enacting similar legislation, but only Florida has actually passed such a law.)

The result was a lawsuit by the Florida chapter of the gun owners’ Privacy Act. The law provides that licensed health care practitioners and facilities: “may not intentionally enter” information concerning a person’s firearm usage into the patient’s medical record that the practitioner knows is “not relevant to the patient’s medical care or safety, or the safety of others,” and “shall respect a patient’s right to privacy and should refrain” from inquiring as to whether a patient or their family owns firearms, unless the practitioner or facility believes in good faith that the “information is relevant to the patient’s medical care or safety, or the safety of others.”

Violations of the act could lead to disciplining an individual practitioner or the revocation of a medical license. Proponents of such laws say these doctor-patient dialogues violate the patients’ Second Amendment rights.

Mr. JEFFRIES. I thank the distinguished gentlewoman for the tremendous work you continue to do on the Judiciary Committee. I look forward to partnering with you.

As you point out, the Second Amendment protects the right to bear arms. It should not protect the ability of others to utilize weapons, often of mass destruction, in doing harm to Americans without a license or any legal bases for doing so. All we want is rational gun safety and gun violence prevention. I look forward to continuing to work with you in that regard.

It is now my honor and privilege to yield to the gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. KELLY), one of my classmates. She has been a very forceful advocate for gun violence prevention measures, not only as the chair of the CBC Health Braintrust, for which she has been tireless on so many different issues, but also in her capacity within the House Democratic Caucus, as well as a chair of the CBC Gun Violence Prevention Task Force, someone who stood up countless times for the children in Chicago and the many others who have been dealing with unacceptable levels of gun violence.

Ms. KELLY of Illinois. I thank my good friends, the gentleman from New York (Mr. JEFFRIES) and the gentlewoman from Ohio (Mrs. BEATTY) for this important Special Order hour tonight.

Congressman JEFFRIES, you have chaired these Special Order hours for the Congressional Black Caucus in my first term, so it is good to see you back in the driver’s seat with our classmate, Representative BEATTY.

Last year, I had the privilege of leading the Special Order hour with our colleague, the Honorable DONALD PAYNE of New Jersey. In the course of that year, we came to this floor to reflect on gun violence on one too many occasions because it is an epidemic in communities across the country.

In fact, we are 11 days into 2016, and there have already been 80 shootings in my hometown of Chicago. Four people were shot and killed in less than 24 hours.

I applaud President Obama’s bold executive action that has been talked about tonight. I believe these policies will keep guns out of the hands of criminals and dangerous individuals.

If you listen to some, they will say they are trying to take our guns. There is nothing in the executive action that says that. The opposition is pushing fear, not fact.

With over 30 Americans killed by guns every single day inaction is not an option.

In my nearly 3 years in Congress, the majority party has refused to do anything on gun violence—not one hearing, not a single vote. To right what Congress has unfortunately, made wrong. President Obama did what was necessary to address the threat to our long-term national security and economic stability. While we can’t stop every criminal from committing every crime, we can take actions that will save lives.

While President Obama’s executive actions are crucial steps in reducing the senseless gun violence that is plaguing our Nation, they do not address the Congress’s responsibility to act. There are gaps in existing gun laws that leave us all vulnerable to gun violence. These holes are ones that only Congress can plug.

I have two commonsense bills that will complement President Obama’s executive actions and that will help bring a reduction in firearm mortality.

The first bill, H.R. 224, the Recognizing Gun Violence as a Public Health Emergency Act, would require the Surgeon General to submit an annual report to Congress on the public health impact of gun violence. The bill currently has 135 cosponsors, and I hope that this commonsense proposal can get an up-or-down vote this year.

Also, I recently introduced H.R. 225, the Firearm Safety Act, which would close the loophole which prevents the Consumer Product Safety Commission from creating rules regarding the safety of firearms.

Quite simply, if the Consumer Product Safety Commission can regulate teddy bears, bicycle helmets, and car seats, it should be able to regulate firearms. Simply improving safety lock quality and improving storage safety will reduce accidents, misfires, and will prevent theft, saving thousands of lives.

Senseless gun violence has been plaguing our Nation for far too long. It is simply unacceptable in the United States of America to submit that an epidemic in the leading cause of death for people under 24. It is time for us to come together to end the gun violence that is
taking a generation of young Americans. I often ask: Just how many and just who has to die before we take action? I urge my colleagues to attend a funeral to see and to feel the hurt and loss. For moments of silence and your sitting in silence does nothing to deal with this issue. Let’s stop the hypocrisy and take action and save lives.

Mr. JEFFRIES. I thank the distinguished gentleman from Illinois, for the very powerful presentation and for her steadfast leadership.

Madam Speaker, one of the reasons we believe that Members of Congress need to act is that State laws are so inconsistent from one jurisdiction to the other.

In New York, we experience gun violence in certain communities at unprecedented levels notwithstanding the fact that we have tremendously significant and robust gun violence prevention measures in place.

But the overwhelming majority of guns used to commit crimes in the Brooklyn communities, represented by me and YVETTE CLARKE, actually come from the neighboring States of Pennsylvania as well as up the I-95 corridor from States in the Deep South.

Chicago, as ROBIN KELLY has indicated, has been experiencing unprecedented levels of gun violence. Illinois actually has 26 smart gun safety gun violence prevention laws on the books, but the overwhelming majority of guns used to commit crimes in Chicago come from the neighboring States of Indiana and Wisconsin, which have lax laws.

Out in south central Los Angeles, the situation has gotten better over the last decade or so. California has pretty strong gun safety-gun violence prevention laws. The overwhelming majority of guns used to commit crimes in south central Los Angeles and in east LA actually come from the neighboring State of Arizona. That is why we need Congress to act in order to deal with what is a national problem.

Madam Speaker, it is now my great honor and privilege to yield to my good friend and colleague, my sister from the neighboring congressional district of mine and who has been such a forceful advocate on behalf of the communities that we have in Brooklyn, the distinguished gentlewoman from the Ninth Congressional District of New York, Congresswoman YVETTE CLARKE.

Ms. CLARKE of New York. Let me first start by thanking my brother from the neighboring district in Brooklyn, New York (Mr. JEFFRIES), alongside my sister from Ohio, Mrs. JOYCE BEatty, for their leadership in our Congressional Black Caucus Special Order hour; discussing gun violence and gun violence solutions.

Let me also commend the Honorable ROBIN KELLY of Illinois for her leadership in doing the work that she is doing not only with our Health Braintrust, but by being an outspoken and forceful advocate for the end to gun violence not only for her district in Chicago, Illinois, but for all communities across this Nation.

Madam Speaker, gun violence in the United States has reached epic proportions in the 21st century. The death, the trauma, the devastation that we are witnessing can no longer be tolerated. Congress must act now.

Over the past 13 years, in America, more than 100,000 people have been killed as a result of gun violence and millions more have been maimed by the reckless and unlawful discharging of firearms.

I applaud President Barack Obama for taking this historic executive action to address gun violence in our Nation. These actions will save lives and will make America a safer place. The Presidential directive strengthens background checks, improve mental health services, and expand smart gun technology.

We have all that we need in the United States to enforce the Second Amendment rights of Americans and, at the same time, to take our Nation into the 21st century with responsible gun ownership that leaves little room for the illegal gun activity that we see taking place in terms of gun trafficking, in terms of the use of deadly arms in the hands of those who are unlicensed to hold them.

As it relates to background checks, the proposals focus on new background check requirements that will enhance the effectiveness of the National Instant Criminal Background Check System, the NICS, and the greater education and enforcement efforts of existing laws at the State level.

Specifically, it directs the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives to require any business that engages in the sale of guns to obtain a Federal license to do so and to conduct background checks.

It calls for the increased funding for the ATF in the hiring of 200 new ATF agents and investigators to help enforce existing gun laws, and it requires the ATF to issue a rule requiring background checks for the purchasers who purchase certain dangerous firearms and other items through a trust, a corporation, or other legal entity. It encourages greater communication between Federal and State authorities on criminal history information.

What could be wrong with that? That is within the boundary of our laws, within our constitutional rights, and it makes our Nation safer.

I come to this floor today as one who considers myself as a victim of gun violence. We need to confront this right away because, for many in our communities, it is not only those who have been physically harmed by gun violence, but those who have been traumatized by being a witness to gun violence.

I had the unfortunate privilege, if you will, of being in the Council Chambers of the New York City’s City Council when my colleague, the Honorable James E. Davis, was gunned down before all of his colleagues—workplace domestic terrorism.

That incident has been with me this week forward. To this day, at a moment’s notice, I can recall the trauma of that day, what it meant to see my colleague’s life taken from him and to hear the gunplay that took place in the New York City Council’s chambers.

There are millions of Americans who are witnesses to gun violence or who may have been maimed by gun violence and who did not necessarily die as a result of it, but whose lives have been changed dramatically.

We should not have another generation of Americans who can speak to the unspeakable horror of what it is to either be impacted directly in the loss of a loved one or to be the families who have to recount the times when they have had to be at the hospital with someone who is trying to recover from being gunned down.

It is our obligation, our responsibility, as lawmakers for this Nation to get this right for future generations.

So I applaud President Obama for doing that he can within the parameters of his authority. It is now time for the United States House to do its job.

Mr. JEFFRIES. I thank my good friend and colleague for the very powerful presentation and for pointing out the sensibility of supporting all of the President’s efforts, but particularly as they relate to the ATF, which is the Federal agency charged with enforcing our Nation’s gun laws.

Two hundred additional agents is the bare minimum that we can hire to make sure that the ATF has the manpower and resources necessary to prevent the illegal trafficking of guns into places like the Brownsville and East Flatbush neighborhoods that Congresswoman YVETTE CLARKE so passionately represents.

If you block funding for the ATF, what you essentially are doing is supporting the efforts of the merchants of death who rely on underenforcement by the ATF, because of an absence of resources, in order to flood communities like Chicago; south central Los Angeles; parts of Brooklyn, Newark, New Jersey; and many other neighborhoods with illegal weapons.

Madam Speaker, I yield to my good friend and colleague, Congressman DONALD PAYNE. I thank him as well as R. KELLY, D. PAYNE and R. KELLY made a fantastic combination. We thank them for their distinguished service last year in leading the CBC Special Order hour.

I yield to the gentleman from New Jersey.

Mr. PAYNE. Let me thank the gentleman from New York, who passed the baton to R. KELLY and me in 2015. We have rounded the corner and have put it back in his capable hands, along with our classmate’s, the honorable...
gentlewoman from the great State of Ohio, JOYCE BEATTY, who is demonstrating day in and day out why she was such a great leader in the Ohio legislature. She has brought those talents to bear on the entire Nation.

Madam Speaker, these are very serious times. I want to start out by commending the President of the United States, President Obama, in the face of insurmountable odds, for not being hampered in wanting to do something with this terrible, terrible scourge that we suffer from in this Nation.

Gun violence impacts many different communities in this Nation, some more than others, but it impacts us all. I was proud to see the President step forward and not be hampered in doing something. If the obstructionists on the other side of the aisle want to continue in that manner, then let them be, but he was going to do something.

I also commend my colleagues in the Congressional Black Caucus for uniting with the President in this great effort.

We understand in our communities what this means. We are trying to articulate it to the American people, but we understand it. We live it. We feel it. We understand it.

 rifles, would distribute smart, prepaid program. Under my bill, State and local governments, the hands of the wrong people by creating the Safer Neighborhoods Gun Buyback Act. It would keep guns out of the hands of the wrong people by creating a net importer of crime guns. In 2015, there were at least 76 gun deaths throughout other African American areas. That is clear when you look at the empirical data collection, while at the same time we spend hundreds-of-millions researching and mitigating the affects of those maladies.

Every day this Congress fails to act, more American families mourn: more American lives are cut short—many in their prime—and more American cities continue to mount homicide and shooting statistics.

Even in America’s paradise: my home district of the United States Virgin Islands, tragically there were 40 homicides in the U.S. Virgin Islands. That’s a per capita homicide rate more than double that of the city of Chicago.

Gun violence in cities like Chicago, Los Angeles, and in other places, along with the United States Virgin Islands, sadly are a near daily occurrence. While we pause for moments of silence after mass shootings like the ones in Newtown or San Bernardino, the thousands of victims of mass shootings that play out daily in cities like New York City and the U.S. Virgin Islands go largely unnoticed and unrecognized.

While the President’s actions will undoubtedly save lives, we know that communities like our own and the many other minority communities across this country, there needs to be more comprehensive action to address the underlying issues that are at the root of gun violence.

I want to ask that this Congress act on these things. This Congress has in its power the ability to save thousands of lives. Let us not allow the nearly daily occurrence of mass shootings to become the new norm. We must act to pass comprehensive gun legislation in the Congress this year.

Madam Speaker, I rise today in support of our President’s action toward making our communities safer by ensuring guns are less likely to end up in the hands of people that shouldn’t have them.

I want to thank my colleagues, Congresswoman PLASKETT, and Congresswoman BEATTY, for bringing this hour here in Congress. I am thankful for the Congressional Black Caucus’ Special Order hour for taking time to educate the American people of the importance of our President’s action.

While this Congress and, in particular, our Republican colleagues have hemmed and dithered and engaged in political inertia and, at the end, failed to act in this matter, suspected terrorists are free to legally purchase combat-style weapons. American cities and other areas of this country are besieged by gun crime and thousands of lives are cut short.

According to the American Academy of Pediatrics, guns cause twice as many deaths in young people as cancer, 5 times as many as heart disease and 15 times as many as infections. Yet, we afford no funding for research and empirical data collection, while at the same time we spend hundreds-of-millions researching and mitigating the effects of other maladies.

Every day this Congress fails to act, more American families mourn: more American lives are cut short—many in their prime—and more American cities continue to mount homicide and shooting statistics.

Even in America’s paradise: my home district of the United States Virgin Islands, tragically there were 40 homicides in the U.S. Virgin Islands. That’s a per capita homicide rate more than double that of the city of Chicago.

Gun violence in cities like Chicago, Los Angeles, and the U.S. Virgin Islands, sadly, are a near daily occurrence. And while we pause for moments of silence after mass shootings like the one in New Town or San Bernardino, the thousands of victims of mass shootings that play out daily in cities like New York City and the U.S. Virgin Islands go largely unnoticed and unrecognized.

There were 353 mass shootings in this country in 2015—three of which occurred in my home district of the U.S. Virgin Islands. One occurred on a crowded boardwalk on a beautiful day in May.

The second mass shooting took place in a housing community, where children played just after 5 p.m. one afternoon this past September.

The third took place on a busy highway two days after Thanksgiving.

A mass shooting occurs just about everyday in this country, yet there are no moments of silence or thoughts and prayers extended to many of the victims.
While the President’s actions will undoubtedly save lives, we know that in communities like the U.S. Virgin Islands, and the many other minority communities across this country, there needs to be more comprehensive action to address the underlying issues that are at the root of this experience. The citizens living in these communities experience inexcusable levels of poverty. In the U.S. Virgin Islands, more than 30 percent of children are living below the poverty level and in Chicago, most of the South and West sides have 40 to 60 percent of residents living below the poverty level. If we are serious about making our communities safer and reducing gun crime, we must take comprehensive action to not only reduce the likelihood of mass shootings like San Bernardino or New Town, but also address the systemic divestment of resources, education, support in communities of color across this country that lead the scourge of gun violence that play out on our inner-city streets everyday.

Additionally, making meaningful reforms to our criminal justice system and increasing resources to stop the flow of drugs and illegal guns through our ports will help fight back the firearm black market.

This is not about the second amendment: an overwhelming number of Americans—most gun owners themselves—agree, that we must do something to stop guns from getting into the hands of people who shouldn’t have them. This Congress has in its power, the ability to pass comprehensive gun legislation.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Madam Speaker, last week, President Obama announced a series of executive actions aimed at reducing gun violence across the United States. President Obama laid out these much-needed steps in the face of Congressional inaction, which will help to reduce the senseless gun violence that affects countless communities across our nation.

In 2014, firearms claimed the lives of more than 33,000 Americans. Over 2,800 of those fatalities took place in my home state of Texas. Perhaps there will be a time when we no longer will have to read headlines about mass murders in our schools or movie theaters. But we must take concrete steps to strengthen background checks, improve mental health services, and keep firearms out of the hands of criminals and the mentally ill. This is what President Obama has sought to achieve and I truly believe that this can be done without infringing on law-abiding citizens’ rights to own guns.

There have been numerous critics of President Obama’s executive actions to reduce gun violence. However, we can no longer stand by as gun violence claims the lives of more innocent Americans. The President is limited in what he can achieve through executive actions alone. That is why Congress has the responsibility to pass comprehensive gun safety legislation now and put our nation on the path to preventing such violence from happening again.

Mr. Speaker, gun violence affects individuals of all backgrounds in communities all across the United States. It is not a Democratic issue nor is it a Republican issue. It is an issue of every American in one form or another. Successfully reducing gun violence in this country will take more than just legislative action from Congress. It will take the collective effort of every American to change the course of our history and end gun violence in America once and for all.

RADICAL ISLAMISTS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. COMSTOCK). Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 6, 2015, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GOHMERT) for half the time remaining before 10 p.m.

Mr. GOHMERT. Madam Speaker, we have now learned that the administration is releasing convicted terrorist Muhammed al-Rahman al-Shamrani, a 40-year-old citizen of Saudi Arabia. He was transferred to Saudi Arabia on January 11, 2016.

Apparently, The New York Times had gotten a hold of documents regarding—this is from October 2008—recommendation for the continued detention under the Department of Defense control for Guantanamo detainee, and then it gives the long number—it is Muhammed al-Rahman al-Shamrani. If you need to keep it secret—I don’t know how The New York Times got it—but you read over in his file that this Guantanamo detainee—that would be Mr. Shamrani—on 14 October 2007 stated: “When I get out of here, I will go to Iraq and Afghanistan and will kill as many Americans as I can. Then I will come here and kill more Americans.”

He also stated: “I love Osama bin Laden and Mullah Omar, and if I ever get out of Guantanamo, I will go back to fight the Americans and kill as many as I can.”

The detainee stated he hated all Americans and will seek revenge if ever released from Guantanamo. The detainee said that, if he is released, he would again participate in jihad against the enemies of Muslims, to include the United States. The detainee is proud of what he has done, and he is willing to do anything to fight against the enemies of Muslims. The detainee stated he decided to become more religious because of his dislike of the U.S. and its citizens.

So for those who have been confused about the rules of civilized warfare, there is nothing illegal, unconstitutional, or contrary to the Geneva Convention for holding people who are part of a group who are at war with your country until the group they are a part of announces they are no longer at war with you.

Now, war was declared, as some of my Muslim leader friends in the Middle East and Africa tell me. It is obvious to the rest of the world that radical Islam declared war on the United States back in 1979 after President Carter laid the foundation to allow what he called a man of peace to come in and take over ruling Iran. His name was Khomeini. It was after that that our American Embassy was attacked and over 50 people taken hostages, Americans. Basically, we did nothing about it.

So I know the President likes to say that Guantanamo is used as a recruiting tool, but the fact is, oh, basically, if we get rid of Guantanamo, then that pretty much eliminates anger at America.

The fact is that while President Clinton was sending American military to protect Muslims who were being unfairly treated, there were not only attacks against Americans. There was planning going on, not only to attack the USS Cole, but to attack America, our facilities, our embassies, our buildings, and they were planning 9/11. There were no detainees at Guantanamo.

Yet, all of this plotting and planning—and from my discussions with people in the Middle East and when I have been over there, with people who are from Iran, Iraq, Syria, Lebanon, when I have been in those countries—I haven’t been into Syria, but I have been right there at its border—but they all say the same thing. What they use to recruit is in 1979 we were attacked by radical Islamists. We did nothing under President Clinton.

In 83, we were attacked and around 300 marines were killed in Beirut. Congress, under Democratic control, said we are getting our people out. So President Reagan ordered the evacuation from Beirut. Instead of fighting back, we ran home. I understand that Reagan felt that was one of the big mistakes of his Presidency.

So the attacks have been ongoing. The World Trade Center attack in 1993, the attack on the Khobar Towers, so many attacks under President Clinton. He sent a lot of tow missiles, blew up some tents. It seems maybe like there was an aspirin factory.

It was not Guantanamo that was the driving force in all of those years, decades of war against the United States. It didn’t exist. The elimination of Guantanamo will not end the animosity and the desire of radical Islamists to eliminate America from the map along with Israel.

And just to be clear, today the story from Susannah George, “Islamic State Claims Responsibility for Baghdad Massacre,” they are at war. Whether they are JV or not, they are killing people.

Adam Kredo from the Free Beacon reports today, “Obama Administration Stonewalling Investigation into 113 Terrorists Inside United States.”

“Senators Ted Cruz and Jeff Sessions disclosed Monday that they had been pressuring the Obama administration for months to disclose the immigration...”
histories of these foreign-born individuals implicated in terror plots.”

Senators Cruz and Sessions wrote to the Secretaries of State and Homeland Security and the Attorney General: “The American people are entitled to information on the immigration history of illegal immigrants seeking to enter their country. We have a right to know how many of those 113 that have now been arrested for terrorism were foreign born, how many of them came in as refugees. These are all important.

Then we see the story from yesterday by Jonah Bennett that almost half of California driver’s licenses went to illegal immigrants in 2015. Wow. Under the REAL ID Act, that means nobody from California should be able to use their driver’s license to get on an airplane to travel in interstate commerce or foreign travel.

And then the story from Philadelphia, January 8, absolutely tragic. A man walks up shooting police. A discussion there may have been some people that were involved. The gunman said he shot the Philadelphia officer for the Islamic State. The police have said that. However, despite the fact that this radical Islamic terrorist has said he shot the police officer repeatedly in an ambush for Allah and for the Islamic State, here is the headline from a story by Dave Boyer from today: “Obama Administration Wondering whether Shooting of Philly Cop Was Terrorist Act,” because they don’t take the radical Islamic terrorist who shot the policeman for Allah and for the Islamic State. Perhaps they think he is confused. He doesn’t sound confused. He sounds like he knew exactly what he was doing when he walked up and ambushed, trying to kill by repeatedly shooting a Philadelphia policeman.

The story of January 8 from Jay Solomon in The Wall Street Journal, “Nuclear Deal Fuels Iran’s Hard-Liners,” and it makes clear, as it says down here: “As much as $100 billion in frozen revenues are expected to return to Iran after sanctions are lifted, which U.S. officials said could happen in coming weeks. White House hoped the cash windfall would aid Mr. Rouhani’s political fortunes.”

Madam Speaker, mark my words. If that $100 billion to $150 billion is provided by this administration here in the United States of America to Iran, to its current radical Islamic leaders who hate the United States, who have not signed the deal that President Obama is so proud of—and they have breached it repeatedly already, we know—that money, some of that money will be used to finance the killing of Americans and Israelis.

Now, back when I was a judge—years and years ago, a prosecutor—we would say, if you fund somebody who says they are going to use some of that money, as Iran has, to fund Hamas and Hezbollah, which we know are terrorist organizations, been named as such, and you know they are terrorist organizations, you know the money you are providing is going to be provided to terrorist organizations.

See, back when I was a prosecutor or judge, we would say: You know what? If you are knowingly providing money to somebody who is already said they are going to give it to terrorists who are going to kill people, well, it sounds like there is a case to be made for you being as guilty as they are. Certainly, it goes beyond the pale of gross negligence, but that is hypothetically speaking.

I am not a prosecutor. I am not a judge. I am not a chief justice anymore. But when is the sanity going to return when people who say they are your enemies who want death to America, want to bring down America, who have committed more attacks on those who we would hope to be free in Iran. It is tragic, just tragic.

But, in any event, we are living in perilous times. Many understand that there are radical Islamists who are at war with us. It is time to recognize that the release of a man who has said he wants to kill Americans and will after he is released should be taken at his word.

I know there is some claim that he may not have said the things that are attributed to him by our own officers, our own personnel that were monitoring him, but let me just say that is not my way of doing business. I would say there is something where, unless that has been lost with some of the emails that were being pursued by Congress. Unless it has been lost with emails that have been deleted to try to avoid turning them over to Congress, those videos can be consulted, and we can know for sure whether this Islamic radical that President Obama has released from Guantanamo said the things that our people said he said.

I want to mention some of my friends’ comments about the gun laws. I know we all share the desire to lessen and eliminate gun violence in America. The thousands of felony cases that came through my court caused me repeatedly to think back. I don’t recall anybody who committed a crime with a gun that got it legally. Outlaws don’t get guns legally.

It has been made clear that the things our President has proposed would not have stopped one of these mass murderers that he now says spurred him on to take action. I would encourage my friends: Let’s work to take action that will actually stop the mass murders, that will actually stop the gun violence, but that will not occur by taking guns out of the hands of law-abiding citizens.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

ARMED STANDOFF IN OREGON

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. Comstock). Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 6, 2015, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. Blumenauer) until 10 p.m.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Madam Speaker, I appreciate the opportunity to come to the floor this evening to speak about an armed standoff that is taking place in my State of Oregon.

This is the ninth day of armed occupation of the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge where we have some lawless, reckless behavior on the part of out-of-State zealots who have taken over a Federal resource.

It is really hard to comprehend for a moment. As has been mentioned by numerous commentators, imagine what would happen if armed protesters who were of a different color or of a different religion occupied a Federal facility in Chicago or Washington, D.C., or Philadelphia. We would not tolerate that behavior. We would watch people move in to remove them. And yet, here we are, talking about the ninth day with impunity these people have to put their hands on a Federal resource for an amazing region, this high desert plateau in eastern Oregon, a region of vast, arid, high desert with many key lakes and wetlands, that is the location of a wildlife refuge that was created in 1908 by President Teddy Roosevelt. It was deemed important to protect this critical flyway, this wildlife habitat. We found people there slaughtering wildlife to take the feathers to decorate women’s hats.

Now, understand that there are some people who are involved who have some frustrations about issues of management of Federal resources. I appreciate that. This is a large, vast country, with 323 million people. In much of the West, a significant portion of the land is owned, managed, and administered by the Federal Government on behalf of all 323 million of us.

I have no doubt that occasionally there is frustration, there is a difference of philosophies. Occasionally, there are mistakes made. One of the problems we face is that my Republican friends in Congress for years have refused to adequately fund these programs, being able to take care of them appropriately, and that leads to frustration as well.

But I think it is important to note that, contrary to the actions of these armed thugs, this land doesn’t belong to them. It doesn’t belong to the 7,000 residents of Malheur County or even 4 million Oregonians who are in trust for 323 million Americans.

If we overrule these interests and get the Federal Government out of this
equation, it is not going to revert to a few of the people in the region. The people who have first claim on this land are the Paiute Indians, who resided on it for thousands of years before the Federal Government came in and took it out.

This vast high desert area is worthy of protection, whether it is monument or wilderness. Many Oregonians, including people in eastern and central Oregon, agree that this is worthy of protection. I met with a number in central Oregon who were organized, Friends of the Owyhee, for instance, people who think that this largest area in the lower 48 States of pristine beauty, of great environmental import, is the largest unprotected area in the lower 48 States.

Now, I listened to my friend from Oregon who represents the area, Congressman WALDEN, express his concern and frustration. He talked about his challenges with the Steens Wilderness Area and talked about his deep concern that the administration may consider a monument in the future for this area, monument status for hundreds of thousands of these acres.

It is interesting to note, I was involved with that process, but not as deeply as my friend Congressman WALDEN, who I think can justly claim credit for having been the driving force behind protecting the Steens Wilderness Area. But it never would have achieved wilderness status without the prospect, the looming threat, of a monument status.

I was pleased in a small way to have helped facilitate that going forward. We are all better off as a result of the process that took place.

But let's be clear about this. There has to be accountability for the occupiers. This armed group of thugs occupying a refuge in the State to my north can't be allowed to do this without consequences.

Because many people—you mentioned our colleague, PETER DEFAZIO—believe correctly, in my view—that this wouldn't have happened had there been less, dangerous, and criminal actions, I admire and respect that. I know where they are coming from.

Unfortunately, despite a very similar action, despite all of the same heavily armed threats and violence and the near avoidance of a tragedy that could have cost untold numbers of lives, there really were no consequences. This armed group of thugs last week I think were probably surprised to see that this heavily armed extremist group had taken over a national wildlife refuge and that they were threatening to kill anyone who stands in their way.
again. And they will do it again and again, as long as we continue to give them a pass.

So there has to be accountability. There has to be some type of consequences for people that do this. But there has to be accountability for politicians who tacitly fuel incidents like this with their inflammatory and hyperbolic rhetoric that always casts environmental protection as an assault on individual rights and that falsely describes our national public lands as some type of a threat to State and private property owners. It is not right.

The truth is, in California and across the West, our public lands are a cornerstone of local and State economies, including those in my district. I have huge tracts of Federal public lands in the Second Congressional District of California, from vast national parks and recreational areas to three different national forests, to numerous national monuments and lots and lots of BLM lands.

For many of my constituents, Federal lands help them put dinner on the table. It helps them pay their bills. Ninety-one percent of western voters surveyed responded that they believe public lands are an essential part of their State’s economy. We need to remember this.

So I want to protect public lands, and I want to work cooperatively with the Federal agencies that manage them to iron out differences.

Our Federal Government isn’t perfect. They make mistakes. Sometimes they aren’t as responsive and respectful to the communities and individuals that live nearby.

Part of our job as Members of Congress who represent those communities is to try to make sure that the government, for its part, is doing the right thing: listening, being a good neighbor. I have seen it work time and time again. And the notion that the only way to resolve differences with Federal land management agencies is to take up arms and threaten a violent insurrection is just absolutely nonsense.

So those are a few of my thoughts. I certainly could go on at length about some of the success stories I have seen in my district, where communities have come together and actually collaborated with the Federal Government just as a neighbor, but as a partner to do things, including things that brought jobs to those communities.

I have seen it in Trinity County with a process called the Trinity County Collaboration, where, believe it or not, environmentalists are working together with folks in the forest products industry and with Federal agencies and with all sorts of other interests and they have agreed to cut thousands of acres of trees as part of a comprehensive stewardship plan.

It can work. It is very unique, but it can actually work. And it can work in other places. It almost worked in the Klamath, which is another part of southern Oregon where we saw this historic coming together of farmers and fishermen and tribes and government agencies.

The problem is that collaboration depends on an act of Congress to actually happen. Sadly, under current management, Congress is where collaboration goes to die. And so we were unable to do the right thing there. But it can be done.

I again want to thank the gentleman for his leadership in trying to interpose a little bit of sanity into this debate.

Mr. BLUMENTAER. I appreciate your joining me in this conversation on your past activity and what we need to do in the future.

You are right. These are, if done correctly—and you have had some of these experiences in California—huge economic opportunities.

There are 47 million bird watchers in this country. They spend somewhere in the neighborhood of $40 billion a year. In the Malheur Wildlife Refuge, almost 24,000 people made that long, long journey to guarantee you wouldn’t have been sightseeing there but for the wildlife refuge.

You referenced the Klamath. It is a lost opportunity if we are not on our toes. Removing those four dams that have obstructed the flow of spawning salmon, prohibiting us from meeting our obligation to Native Americans, would create hundreds and hundreds of family-wage jobs for years in northern California.

It is just one more example of where Congress is missing in action and where Congress hasn’t appropriately funded these agencies to be able to fully meet the opportunities.

It is hard for me to express my wonderment that some people will come to the floor and somehow try and celebrate the Hammond family, people who were convicted of arson and who have a record of having broken the law before. Public records show behavior that is not that of people you want for your neighbors. These folks do not have clean hands. Yet, we have out-of-State, armed thugs taking over this facility to somehow talk about these convicted felons and undercut this process.

I am hopeful that we can work together for people to focus on the opportunities and have the administration step up, act responsibly, cut these people off and evict them, and to take action against other lawbreakers like we would in other areas of the country.

I appreciate you joining me today to have a little bit of conversation here to try and round out the picture that is missing from the media. It is probably not going to get us on Fox News, but these are things that the American public needs to know.

Because there is a path forward. There has been a regional consensus that has developed. There is a vision to protect the wildlife refuge and its economic activities and future. It is one that we should support.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE
By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted for Mr. CLYBURN (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for today on account of attending a funeral.

ADJOURNMENT
Mr. BLUMENTAER. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 10 p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Tuesday, January 12, 2016, at 10 a.m. for morning-hour debate.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.
Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker’s table and referred as follows:

3961. A letter from the Under Secretary of Defense, Personnel and Readiness, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter authorizing Vice Admiral Kurt W. Tidd, United States Navy, to wear the insignia of the grade of admiral, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 777(b)(4); and Public Law 111-383 and Sec. 505(a)(1); (124 Stat. 4208); to the Committee on Armed Services.

3962. A letter from the Under Secretary of Defense, Personnel and Readiness, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter authorizing Colonels Sean A. Gainey and Patrick B. Roberson, United States Army, to wear the insignia of the brigadier general, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 777(b)(3); Public Law 104-106, Sec. 503(a)(1) (as added by Public Law 108-136, Sec. 506(a)(3); (117 Stat. 1656); to the Committee on Armed Services.


A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Legislation, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the National Health Service Corps Report to the Congress for the year 2014, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 294d; July 1, 1944, ch. 373, title III, Sec. 336A (as amended by Public Law 94-484, Sec. 407(a)(3); (92 Stat. 2277); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.


3966. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Legislation, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the “Report to Congress on President’s HIV Programs for Fiscal Years 2009-2013”, prepared by the Health Resources and Services
By Mr. PALMER:  
H.R. 3631. A bill to amend section 3545 of title 44, United States Code, to provide for enhanced security of Federal information systems, and for other purposes; and for the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Mr. ROKITA (for himself, Mr. ROYCE of Utah, Mrs. BLACK, Mrs. BLACKBURN, Mr. BRIDENSTINE, Mr. COLE, Mr. Cramer, Mr. CULIBERSON, Mr. DUNCAN of South Carolina, Mr. FRANKEN of Minnesota, Mr. HARPER, Mr. HUIZenga of Michigan, Mr. JORDAN, Mr. MEADOWS, Mr. MESSER, Mr. MOOLENAAR, Mr. MOYNIHAN, Mr. PALMER, Mr. RYMER, Mr. RYRiBLE, Mr. SCHWEIKERT, Mr. STEWART, Mr. STUTZMAN, Mr. TIPtON, Mr. WALBerg, Mr. WESTMOORELAND, and Mr. ALLEN):  
H.R. 3632. A bill to amend the Social Security Act to replace the Medicaid program and the Children's Health Insurance program with a block grant to the States, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committees on Ways and Means, Education and the Workforce, and Natural Resources, House Administration, Rules, and Appropriations, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as may fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. AUSTIN SCOTT of Georgia:  
H.R. 3633. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to exclude from gross income amounts paid by an employer on an employee's student loans; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. TAKANO (for himself, Mr. CONyers, Mr. ELLISON, Ms. JACKSON LEE, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, and Ms. LEE):  
H.R. 3634. A bill to amend title V of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 to prohibit Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grants from being made available to a State or unit of local government that has a contract with a person that charges a fee to pay-only probationers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. GRAYSON:  
H.R. Res. 89. A joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States to prohibit gerrymandering in the establishment of Congressional districts; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. COLE:  
H. Con. Res. 106. Concurrent resolution to authorize the use of United States Armed Forces against the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant and its associated forces; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. CAPUANO:  
H. Res. 984. A resolution urging the President to seek an independent investigation into the death of Tibetan Buddhist leader and social activist Tenzin Delek Rinpoche and to publicly call for an end to the repressive policies used by the People's Republic of China in Tibet; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY STATEMENT  
Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted regarding the constitutional powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill or joint resolution.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. ROYCE: Committee on Foreign Affairs.  
H.R. 757. A bill to improve the enforcement of sanctions against the Government of North Korea, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 114-392, Pt. 1), referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. ROYCE: Committee on Foreign Affairs.  
H.R. 3662. A bill to enhance congressional oversight over the administration of sanctions against certain Cuban, terrorism financiers, and for other purposes (Rept. 114-393, Pt. 1), referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. UPTON and Mr. RYMER: Committee on Energy and Commerce.  
H.R. 3242. A bill to require special packaging for liquid nicotine containers, and for other purposes (Rept. 114-394), referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. NEWHOUSE: Committee on Rules.  
House Resolution 583. Resolutions providing for consideration of bill (H.R. 1644) to amend the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 to ensure transparency in the development of new coal mining regulations, and for other purposes; providing for consideration of the joint resolution (S.J. Res. 22) providing for congressional disapproval of the rule by the Corps of Engineers and the Environmental Protection Agency relating to the definition of ‘waters of the United States’ under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act; providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3662) to enhance congressional oversight over the administration of sanctions against certain Cuban, terrorism financiers, and for other purposes; and providing for proceedings during the period from January 14, 2016, through January 22, 2016 (Rept. 114-385), referred to the House Calendar.

DISCHARGE OF COMMITTEE

Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XII, the Committees on Ways and Means, the Judiciary, Financial Services, and Oversight and Government Reform discharged from further consideration.

H.R. 757 referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XIII, the Committee on Financial Services discharged from further consideration.

H.R. 3662 referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Mr. CHAFFETZ:  
H.R. 3539. A bill to amend title 5, United States Code, to provide that Federal employees may not be placed on administrative leave for more than 14 days during any year for misconduct or poor performance, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Mr. CHAFFETZ:  
H.R. 3660. A bill to amend title 5, United States Code, to provide that a Federal employee who leaves Federal service while under personnel investigation shall have a notation of any adverse findings under such investigation placed in such employee's official personnel file, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Clause 1, Section 8, Clause 18 of the Constitution states that the Congress shall have Power "... To lay and collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts, and Excises, to pay Debts and provide for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States." The bill also makes specific changes to existing law in a manner that returns power to the States, in accord with Amendment X of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. PALMER:
H.R. 4861.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18: The Congress shall have Power * * * To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof. (Also known as the "Necessary and Proper clause").

By Mr. ROKITA:
H.R. 3692.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 18: The Congress shall have Power * * * To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.

By Mr. AUSTIN SCOTT of Georgia:
H.R. 9393.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Clause 1, Section 8 of Article 1 of the United States Constitution states that the Congress shall have Power "... To lay and collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts, and Excises, to pay Debts and provide for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States."

By Mr. TAKANO:
H.R. 3494.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 7 of rule XXI, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions, as follows:

H.R. 27: Mr. SHIMkus.
H.R. 224: Mr. VARGAS, Ms. MENG, and Mrs. DAVID of California.
H.R. 225: Mrs. LAWRENCE, Mr. FATTAH, Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts, Mr. KEATING, Mr. SCOTT of Virginia, Mr. DEUTCH, and Mr. McGovern.

H.R. 226: Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania and Mr. McGovern.
H.R. 229: Mr. MICHIGAN.
H.R. 539: Mrs. WATSON COMELE and Ms. JUDY CHU of California.
H.R. 604: Mr. SCHWEIKERT.
H.R. 610: Mr. ROONEY of Florida.
H.R. 721: Mr. Peters.
H.R. 731: Mr. LUTKEMIYER, Mr. LANGEVIN, and Ms. LOWITZEN.
H.R. 757: Mr. GUINTA, Mr. BOUSTANY, Mr. GUTIERREZ, Mr. GIBSON, Ms. DUCKWORTH, MRS. ELLIMERS of North Carolina, and Mr. POMPPEO.
H.R. 829: Mr. DAVIS of California.
H.R. 870: Mr. NORCROSS and Ms. FRANKEL of Florida.
H.R. 921: Mr. BEYER.
H.R. 923: Mr. KOKITA.
H.R. 965: Mr. Young of Iowa.
H.R. 966: Mr. HULTFRED.
H.R. 994: Mr. GALLEGO.
H.R. 1101: Mr. FATTAH.
H.R. 1116: Mrs. COMSTOCK.
H.R. 1147: Mr. ZELDIN.
H.R. 1148: Mr. SCHWEIKERT and Mr. ROSS.
H.R. 1197: Mr. KELLY of Pennsylvania and Ms. BROOKS of Indiana.
H.R. 1220: Mr. MOORE.
H.R. 1258: Mr. MULWACK of California.
H.R. 1297: Mr. ROY of Tennessee, Mr. SHERMAN, and Mr. MULVANEY.
H.R. 1298: Mr. SALZMAN.
H.R. 1358: Mr. HUNTER, Mr. JOYCE, and Ms. CLARKE of New York.
H.R. 1405: Mr. COFFMAN.
H.R. 1406: Ms. ADAMS.
H.R. 1994: Mr. SPERRY.
H.R. 2298: Mr. RIVALE and Mr. THORNberry.
H.R. 2299: Mr. BOST.
H.R. 2300: Ms. CLARKE of New York, Mr. POSEY, Mr. KILDOE, Mrs. MULWACK of California, and Mr. KENNEDY.
H.R. 2301: Mr. RYAN of Ohio.
H.R. 2342: Mr. CLAY.
H.R. 2444: Mr. CONYERS.
H.R. 2710: Mr. CULBERSON and Mr. BRADY of Texas.
H.R. 2775: Mr. GRIFFITH.
H.R. 2858: Mr. KENNEDY.
H.R. 2874: Ms. MCSALLY.
H.R. 2894: Ms. MCSALLY.
H.R. 2917: Mr. BESSING.
H.R. 2926: Mr. KEATING, Mr. VARGAS, Mr. BERA, Ms. MICHELLE LULAN GRISHAM of New Mexico, Mr. GRAYSON, Mr. KILMER, Mrs. CAPPS, Mr. MURPHY, Mr. LOWELL, Mr. SANCHEZ of California, Mr. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. FOSTER, Mr. ISRAEL, Mr. MUNICHI, Mr. CICILLINE, Mrs. DAVIS of California, Ms. GRAHAM, Mr. ROY of Tennessee, Mr. LOEBSACK, Ms. GARRAND, Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York, Mr. AGUILAR, Mr. CUMMINGS, Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts, Mrs. BUSTOS, Mr. McSweeney, Mr. SCHULTZ, Mr. HUFFMAN, Mr. TAKANO, Mr. CARDENAS, Mr. HUIZINGA of Michigan, Mr. DEUTCH, Mrs. NAPOLITANO, Mr. GARAMENDI, Mr. SARBANES, Mr. POOJA, Mr. VELAZQUEZ, Mr. BEN RAY LULAN of New Mexico, Mr. BECERRA, Mr. TONKO, Ms. SLAUGHTER, Mr. YARMUTH, Ms. ENTVY, Ms. DEGETTE, Mr. HASTINGS, Ms. DUCKWORTH, Mr. WALZ, Ms. SPEIER, Mr. HIGGINs, Mr. QUIGLEY, Mr. SWALWELL of California, Mr. RUZ, Ms. SCHAKOWSKY, Mr. HONDA, Mr. MURPHY of Florida, Mr. CASTRO of Texas, Mr. HUNTSOM, Mr. ASH福德, Mr. WILSON of Florida, Mr. LEWIS, Mr. DOUGGETT, Mr. DIANE, Mr. NOLAN, Mr. NORCROSS, Mr. DEFAZIO, Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania, Mr. ADCOCK, Mr. SCOFF, Mr. BASS, Mrs. WATSON COMELE, Mr. ESHOO, Mr. SHEWELL of Alabama, Mr. ROHRABACHER, Mr. ELLISON, Mr. GOSAR, Mr. LANGFEO and Ms. MOORE.
H.R. 2994: Mr. LYNCH.
H.R. 3046: Mr. LOEBSACK and Mr. NORCROSS.
H.R. 3068: Ms. MICHELLE LULAN GRISHAM of New Mexico.
H.R. 3381: Ms. DUCKWORTH and Mr. JEFFRIES.
H.R. 3514: Ms. CLARKE of New York.
H.R. 3520: Ms. CLARKE of New York.
H.R. 3537: Mr. PIELSTICK and Ms. KAPTUR.
H.R. 3556: Ms. SPEIER and Mr. HASTINGS.
H.R. 3684: Mr. GUTIERREZ.
H.R. 3722: Mr. KEEGAN.
H.R. 3790: Mr. KEATING.
H.R. 3872: Mr. HASTINGS, Mr. CLAY, Mrs. LAWRENCE, Mr. JEFFRIES, Ms. MEeks, Mr. JACKSON LEE, and Mr. PALMER.
H.R. 4000: Mr. HUDSON.
H.R. 4063: Ms. MCSALLY and Mrs. BLACK.
H.R. 4073: Mr. GARAMENDI.
H.R. 4084: Mr. GARAMENDI.
H.R. 4089: Mr. ROSS.
H.R. 4167: Ms. BLACK.
H.R. 4210: Ms. DELBENE.
H.R. 4247: Ms. FRANKEL of Florida and Mr. LABARDO.
H.R. 4269: Ms. TITUS.
H.R. 4279: Mr. GUINTA, Mr. VALADAR, Mr. KNIGHT, Ms. KAPTUR, MRS. MIMI WALTERS of California, and Mr. MESSER.
H.R. 4283: Ms. MICHELLE LULAN GRISHAM of New Mexico and Mr. REED.
H.R. 4294: Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia and Mr. CARTER of Georgia.
H.R. 4295: Mr. HONDA.
H.R. 4296: Mr. BOUSTANY.
H.R. 4321: Mr. ROKITA and Mr. YOHU.
H.R. 4335: Mr. CARASS, Mr. MESSER, and Mrs. HUNTER.
H.R. 4336: Mr. BRENDA SCOTT of Georgia.
H.R. 4455: Mr. BEYNE.
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The amendment filed to H.R. 1644 by me does not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of House Rule XXI.
The Senate met at 2 p.m. and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. HATCH).

PRAYER
The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

Almighty and everlasting God, the Creator of new beginnings, thank You for Your constant love and for the opportunity to learn from each other.

As we turn to a new chapter in our labors, illuminate the path of our lawmakers with Your holy light. May Your sacred Word provide them with a lamp and light in this world’s darkness, keeping them from the detours that lead to ruin. Give them a humility that seeks first to understand instead of striving to be understood.

Lord, guide us all with Your powerful hand until the kingdoms of this world acknowledge Your sovereignty and might.

We pray in Your Holy Name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
The President pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL OF A RULE SUBMITTED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY— VETO

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. COTTON). The Chair lays before the Senate the President’s veto message on S.J. Res. 23, which the clerk will read and which will be spread in full upon the Journal.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

Veto message to accompany S.J. Res. 23, a joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to “Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units.”

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the veto message on S.J. Res. 23 be considered as having been read; that it be printed in the RECORD, spread in full upon the Journal, and held at the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The veto message ordered to be printed in the RECORD is as follows:

MEMORANDUM OF DISAPPROVAL

S.J. Res. 23 is a joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5 of the United States Code of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) relating to “Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units.” This resolution would nullify EPA’s carbon pollution standards for new, modified, and reconstructed power plants. Accordingly, I am withholding my approval of this resolution. (The Pocket Veto Case, 279 U.S. 655 (1929)).

Climate change poses a profound threat to our future and future generations. Atmospheric levels of carbon dioxide, a primary greenhouse gas, are higher than they have been in at least 800,000 years. In 2009, EPA determined that greenhouse gas pollution endangers Americans’ health and welfare by causing long-lasting changes in the climate that can have, and are already having, a range of negative effects on human health, the climate, and the environment. We are already seeing the impacts of climate change, and established science confirms that we will experience stronger storms, deeper droughts, longer wildfire seasons, and other intensified impacts as the planet warms. The Pentagon has determined that climate change poses immediate risks to our national security.

Power plants are the largest source of greenhouse gas pollution on our country. Although we have limits on other dangerous pollutants from power plants, the carbon pollution standards and the Clean Power Plan ensure that we will finally have national standards to reduce the amount of carbon pollution that our power plants can emit.

The carbon pollution standards will ensure that, when we make major investments in power generation infrastructure, we also deploy available technologies to make that infrastructure as low-emitting as possible. By blocking these standards from taking effect, S.J. Res. 23 would delay our transition to cleaner electricity generating technologies by enabling continued build-out of outdated, high-polluting infrastructure. Because it would overturn carbon pollution standards that are critical to protecting against climate change and ensuring the health and well-being of our Nation, I cannot support the resolution.

To leave no doubt that the resolution is being vetoed, in addition to withholding my signature, I am returning S.J. Res. 23 to the Secretary of the Senate, along with this Memorandum of Disapproval.

The WHITE HOUSE, December 18, 2015.

BARACK OBAMA.

PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL OF A RULE SUBMITTED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY— VETO

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair lays before the Senate the President’s veto message on S.J. Res. 24, which the clerk will read and which will be spread in full upon the Journal.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

This “bullet” symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.
Veto message to accompany S.J. Res. 24, a joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5 of the United States Code, of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to “Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units.”

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the veto message on S.J. Res. 24 be considered as having been read; that it be printed in the RECORD, spread in full upon the Journal, and held at the desk.

The veto message ordered to be printed in the RECORD is as follows:

MEMORANDUM OF DISAPPROVAL

S.J. Res. 24 is a joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5 of the United States Code of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) relating to “Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units.” This resolution would nullify the Clean Power Plan, the first national standards to address climate-damaging greenhouse gas pollution from existing power plants. Accordingly, I am withholding my approval of this resolution. (The Pocket Veto Case, 279 U.S. 655 (1929)).

Climate change poses a profound threat to our future and future generations. Atmospheric levels of carbon dioxide, a primary greenhouse gas, are higher than they have been in at least 800,000 years. In 2009, EPA determined that greenhouse gas pollution endangers Americans’ health and welfare by causing long-lasting changes in the climate that can have, and are already having, a range of negative effects on human health, the climate, and the environment. We are already seeing the impacts of climate change, and established science confirms that we will experience stronger storms, deeper droughts, longer wildfire seasons, and other intensified impacts as the planet warms. The Pentagon has determined that climate change poses immediate risks to our national security.

The Clean Power Plan is a tremendously important step in the fight against global climate change. It is projected to reduce carbon pollution from power plants by 32 percent from 2005 levels by 2030. It builds on progress States and the power sector are already making to move toward cleaner energy production, and gives States the time and flexibility they need to develop tailored, cost-effective plans to reduce their emissions. By nullifying the Clean Power Plan, S.J. Res. 24 not only threatens ongoing progress toward cleaner energy, but would also eliminate public health and other benefits of up to $54 billion per year by 2030, including fewer premature deaths from air pollution and thousands fewer childhood asthma attacks each year.

The Clean Power Plan is essential in addressing the largest source of greenhouse gas pollution in our country. It is past time to act to mitigate climate impacts on American communities. Because the resolution would overturn the Clean Power Plan, which is critical to protecting against climate change and ensuring the health and well-being of our Nation, I cannot support it.

To leave no doubt that the resolution is being vetoed, in addition to withholding my signature, I am returning S.J. Res. 24 to the Secretary of the Senate, along with this Memorandum of Disapproval.

BARACK OBAMA.

THE WHITE HOUSE, December 18, 2015.

MEASURE PLACED ON THE CALENDAR—S. 2434

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I understand that there is a bill at the desk that is due a second reading.

The PRESIDENT. The clerk will read the bill by title for the second time.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 2434) to provide that any executive action that infringes on the powers and duties of Congress under section 8 of article I of the Constitution of the United States or on the Second Amendment to the Constitution of the United States has no force or effect, and to prohibit the use of funds for certain purposes.

Mr. McCONNELL. In order to place the bill on the calendar under the provisions of rule XIV, I object to further proceedings.

The PRESIDENT. Objection having been heard, the bill will be placed on the calendar.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The PRESIDENT. The majority leader is recognized.

WELCOMING COLLEAGUES BACK AND THE PRESIDENT’S ADDRESS TO CONGRESS

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I wish to welcome colleagues back to a new year in a new Senate that is back to work for the American people. It is clear we had a successful 2015. Committees began functioning again. Senators began having more of a say again. We got important things accomplished for the American people. We are looking to build upon this progress in 2016. There is, of course, much to be done, but I am optimistic about what can be achieved with a bipartisan dedication to moving back to regular order, not just this year but in the years to come.

The scale of what any Congress will be able to accomplish in a given year often depends upon the willingness of the leadership to cooperate and engage in good faith. When President Obama comes to address Congress tomorrow, he will have an important opportunity to demonstrate that to the American people. The question is, Will he rise to the moment? Based on what the White House has been saying in the media, it is unlikely we will hear a unifying message for our country tomorrow. That is unfortunate. I think the American people expect to hear a positive message from Governor Haley. Many are looking forward to hearing what she has to say. I will have much more to say on all of that tomorrow.

REMEMBERING DALE BUMPERS

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, let me again welcome all of our colleagues back. I think they will join me in remembering former Senator Dale Bumpers, who passed away over the holidays. Some called Dale Bumpers an improbable Senator. Others have remarked on his humor and wit. But what is clear about this former Senate colleague is that he was larger than life in many ways. I am sure his name will continue to be remembered by Arkansans for many years to come. The Senate sends its condolences to the family and friends Senator Bumpers leaves behind.

RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The PRESIDENT. The Democratic leader is recognized.

TRIBUTE TO CHAPLAIN DR. BLACK

Mr. REID. Mr. President, while the Chaplain is in the building, I wish to say a brief word. I have the good fortune—and have for many years—to come to the floor every day and listen to a prayer offered in sincerity by our Chaplain. The people who watch us on TV think that all he does is walk in here every day and give a little prayer. The fact is, I received information on the things he did this past year.

He represented the Senate in 27 out-of-town speaking engagements. Those speaking engagements are tremendous. He has been in Nevada on a number of occasions. He is a tremendous presenter of what he does and what is good for the country. He delivered the invocation and/or benediction to 17 different ceremonies. He spoke at 10 different Senate functions. He visited with 20 different school groups who came to visit the Capitol. He delivered the invocation at 12 local events. He spoke at 26 local events. He hosted 11 guest Chaplains. He hosted three Jewish programs. He administered premarital and marriage-enrichment counseling. He mentored 20 Senate staffers in a recurring, 10-week spiritual mentoring program. He facilitated the Wednesday morning weekly Prayer Breakfast. He hosted two men’s Prayer Breakfasts for Senate staff featuring Os Guinness and Michael Franzese as guest speakers. He hosted a special program at Easter, our 20th
annual Thanksgiving service, and a holiday open house for the Senate community.

He prayed on the Senate floor for the convening of most Senate sessions. He taught 44 Bible studies for approximately 150 Senate staff. He taught 44 Bible studies for approximately 15 staff in the Postal Square Building. He taught 40 Bible studies for 15 chiefs of staff. He engaged in hospital visitations, counseling occasions and gave weekly updates about the sick and in jured at the Senate Prayer Breakfast. He delivered the eulogy for former Senator Edward Brook at the National Cathedral. He spoke at memorial services and funerals for various Senate staff members. He ministered to Senate office staff members during times of grief. He spoke to Senate staff during staff meetings.

That is not all. In relation to his activities and duties, he hosted a ladies’ small group Bible study every Monday, consisting of Senate staff. He had a small group of men consisting of Capitol police officers and other Senate staff for Bible study every Wednesday. Mr. Speaker everyone should know that he does more than give this prayer opening the Senate every day. In fact, if that was all he did, it would be well worth the functions of the Senate Chaplain, but he does much more. I congratulate him and express the appreciation of the entire Senate for the good work and good representations this fine man does representing our country. Remember, he is a retired admiral of the U.S. Navy.

REMEMBERING DALE BUMPERS

Mr. REID. Mr. President, on another subject, I had the good fortune yesterday to attend the funeral of Dale Bumpers in Little Rock, AR. The ceremony lasted almost 2 hours. It was a stunningly spiritual, humorous, and historical occasion.

Dale Bumpers performed at that desk back there by the exit of this door. He had an extra long extension cord, and he traipsed around back there, walking back and forth, speaking only as he could do. It is what we do here in the Senate. Based on seniority, everyone moves forward. He served here for a quarter of a century, but he never wanted to leave that space back there because that was his place to inform the public about how he felt about different issues.

Coming from the Presiding Officer’s State, he was a man who didn’t fit the mold necessarily of what a lot of people expected of a Senator, but he was a giant killer politically. He defeated Orval Faubus after he had been in a governorship in the State of Arkansas for many years—a famous man; he beat him. And he went on to beat Democrat David Pryor for quite a while and talked about things they did together, the work they did on behalf of Arkansas.

At the Pryor Center, they are doing a recorded history of how people feel about Senator Bumpers. I had the ability to give my view. I said that I did not know of a Senate delegation with more power than Bumpers and Pryor had for the State of Arkansas during my more than three decades of service here in the Capitol. I have never seen two people who had as much power and prestige for a State as Bumpers and Pryor had.

I am very fortunate that Landra and I were able to attend that funeral and listen to the eulogies given by Pryor, Bumpers, and the former President Bill Clinton. It is too bad that the entire service couldn’t have been recorded because it was hilarious. He was an extremely funny man and a man who taught me a lot about the Senate. I have a lot of affection and admiration for the services of Presidents and Prime Ministers.

The President’s leadership

Mr. REID. Mr. President, in less than 300 days, the American people will head to the polls to elect the President of the United States. An election year places the health of our Nation under intense scrutiny. At this time it is important to remember just how far we have come through the leadership of President Barack Obama.

I can remember the first time I heard Barack Obama’s name. I was in the White House courier service for many years. Former Members have a little room in the back. Abner Mikva—long-time Congressman from Illinois, top lawyer for President Clinton, appellate court judge, and has had quite a remarkable career himself—was there. While we were getting dressed, he said to me: We have a Senate race in Illinois. And I asked: Well, whom are you supporting? He said: Barack Obama. I thought he was trying to be funny. Barack Obama? Come on. That is basically what I said to him, but I was wrong and he was right. This man with the unusual name was elected President of the United States.

It is important to remember just how far we have come through his leadership. After 8 years of disaster under President Bush, the American people embraced President Obama’s message of hope and change. On January 20, 2009, Barack Obama became the first African-American President in the history of our country. One of the first things he did was to work with the President to repair our economy, strengthen the middle class, and help working families.
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Now we have seen the evidence of our Nation’s job market continuing to bounce back. Last week alone almost 300,000 jobs were announced in the preceding month of December. A recent report shows that businesses have added 5.6 million jobs in the past 2 years, the most since the end of the Clinton administration. This certainly wouldn’t have been possible without President Obama’s leadership. Nevada’s unemployment rate, which I have already mentioned, was nearly the worst in the Nation. We had an ongoing struggle with the State of Rhode Island for years as to which had the worst unemployment—Rhode Island or Nevada. Neither State wanted to win, but we both won on many occasions as to which had the highest unemployment rate. Thanks to President Obama’s leadership, we are finally coming back in a very strong way.

In December, the President signed a tax bill that includes one of the biggest anti-poverty tools in generations. It will help lift 16 million modest- and low-income working families out of poverty, including 8 million children. Renewable energy is taking off like never before as a result of that legislation. President Obama and Democrats have brought our economy back from the brink of destruction. I have already talked about the auto industry. We took on Wall Street to ensure that the greed and corruption which plagued the great recession would never happen again. Republicans said no at every turn, but we succeeded in spite of their obstruction.

Health care. Before President Obama took office, tens of millions of Americans were denied health insurance. Thanks to the hard work of President Obama and the Democrats in Congress, the Affordable Care Act has banned insurance company discrimination, requiring coverage without regard to pre-existing or health status. That is just a little bit of what has been done. Since the law took effect, 17 million uninsured Americans have now gained insurance coverage. The success of ObamaCare is undeniable and made health care available to millions, slowed the rate of health care cost growth, and it did not cause any of the horrible problems that were talked about, prophesied, and that were suggested would happen by Republicans. In effect, what they said was all wrong.

Immigration was a problem before President Obama took office, but he tried to do something about it, and of course Republicans blocked that also. At the State of the Union Address 2 years ago, he said: I worked with you, I have tried, I have pleaded, and I am tired of doing this. I will have to do things on my own now because you will not do it legislatively. And he has done that.

We talked about the DREAM Act in 2010. President Obama acted to protect DREAMers, by announcing DACA, deferred action for childhood arrivals. To date, almost 700,000 young individuals have been protected from deportation. Since then, Democrats led the charge for comprehensive immigration reform to fix our Nation’s broken immigration system.

The Senate passed bipartisan immigration reform in 2013, which was important but we now have people, such as the junior Senator from Florida, for example, who helped pass that legislation, but once he started running for national office decided that everything he did during his time in the Senate floor was wrong, and he has taken a 360-degree turn and said: I did all of that, but I guess I was wrong. We haven’t been able to get it out of the House, and now we have people such as the junior Senator from Florida who is denigrating his own bill.

President Obama acted within his legal Executive authority to unite American families and strengthen our immigration system, including protecting some adults with children in a very strong way. It is a longer story than that, but that is the short story.

Energy and the environment. Climate change is one of the greatest, if not the greatest threat, the world has ever known. Because of President Obama’s leadership, the world is on track to keep temperatures from rising and avoid the most catastrophic impact of climate change. By negotiating the historic Paris climate agreement, the President has crafted a version of clean energy and climate change for our country by establishing carbon emission standards on vehicles that help consumers save money on fuel for the first time by limiting carbon pollution from powerplants.

He established or expanded 19 national monuments. Why? Because Republicans—bills we passed matter-of-factually here—always refused to allow us to have votes on them. So he moved forward, as he said he would do, with an Executive action for 19 national monuments. In Nevada, it includes the 750,000 acres of the Basin and Range National Monument, which is something that is great and all Americans can share. The President believes these lands belong to all Americans and that our children and grandchildren should be able to enjoy the beauty and bounty of our country.

Education. When President Obama took office, our Nation’s education system was in desperate need of reform. No Child Left Behind crippled schools around the country and graduation rates were at historic lows. One of the most important actions President Obama took through the recovery act was nearly $30 billion in aid for K-12 and higher education.

Today students across the country have made tremendous progress. More students have graduated than ever before, particularly low-income and minority students. President Obama also took historic steps to address extreme levels of student debt in this country. By working with Democrats, President Obama created new programs to help college graduates manage their student debt by capping their loan payments by 10 percent of their income. We wanted to do more, but obstruction raised its ugly head and Republicans refused to allow us to do even more.

The President tried to do more, but Republicans have taken place all over, and Nevada is no exception. It has happened there also. From the time he was elected President, Republicans have tried every means possible by working arm in arm and hand in hand with the NRA to stop everything the President has tried to accomplish. Even though more than 80 percent of the American people said there should be background checks for people who are crazy and criminals, it is not good enough for Republicans. They have still stopped us.

The President tried to work with Republicans and they have refused. This has brought about his new efforts to use Executive action. Last week he did just that. He addressed the epidemic of gun violence in this country through legal Executive action. Republicans have blocked this action, even in the wake of cold-blooded mass murders in schools, houses of worship, movie theaters, and many other places around the country.

Tomorrow the President will deliver his final State of the Union Address to the American people. I look forward to hearing ways in which he plans to continue and push our Nation forward during his last year in office. We will do everything in our power, as Democrats, to build on the strong legacy President Obama has established. We will continue to fight to strengthen the middle class and working families by addressing the mountain of student debt that saddles Americans’ higher education. We will continue fighting to increase the minimum wage. We will not rest until wages of women match the wages of their male counterparts, and we will continue to keep Wall Street accountable by prioritizing Main Street and protecting the good work the Dodd-Frank legislation did.

As we begin this legislative session, I hope we will find in our Republicans a willing partner to protect and strengthen our Nation. I hope it is not wishful thinking, but it probably is. We stand ready to work with our Republican colleagues to do what is right for the American people.

FEDERAL RESERVE TRANSPARENCY BILL

Mr. REID. Mr. President, for years I have supported a responsible audit of the Federal Reserve System. The American people deserve an audit of one of the most vital parts of our government. In the wake of the financial crisis that crippled our Nation’s economy, I came to more fully understand how important it is that any audit responsible and independent of the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve is crucial to our economy recovering after the disastrous debacle on Wall Street.
There were emergency provisions to address the catastrophes that only the Federal Reserve could respond to. They did it faster than the Congress could do it. Had the Federal Reserve not stepped in, the consequences of the great recession would have been tremendous. It would have been worse than the Great Depression. This Federal Reserve could act quickly to safeguard the national economy because of its independence, and it did just that.

One thing we learned from the great recession is that the Federal Reserve should not be hamstrung. It is a cornerstone of our global economy. We must maintain a Federal Reserve that is transparent, but we must also respect the independence of the Federal Reserve in order to maintain the well-being of the global economy, and that is why we included an amendment to responsibly audit the Federal Reserve while respecting its independence. The amendment passed unanimously. The bill which the Senate will vote on tomorrow, sponsored by the junior Senator from Kentucky, will critically undermine this delicate balance.

Wall Street reform ensured that the Government Accountability Office could audit the Federal Reserve, and in accordance with the law, the Government Accountability Office has carried out these audits. In the year after the passage of Dodd-Frank, the Federal Reserve was audited 29 times. Since that time, the Federal Reserve has been audited 102 times.

My colleagues don’t have to take my word for it. The 102 audits of the Federal Reserve are available to everyone. All they have to do is look at the Federal Reserve Website. Proponents of this bill know that. Their calls for audits have been answered.

So let’s be clear. This bill is not about auditing the Federal Reserve. It is not about transparency or keeping the books for the Fed. The oversight already exists. This bill is about giving tea parties and their billionaire donors the ability to control the economy of the United States. It is an attack on policies that are designed to stabilize the U.S. economy and help the middle class bounce back.

Political parties should not and cannot run monetary policy at the Federal Reserve. That would be disastrous. I am disappointed the Senate will waste its time on another misguided partisan attack such as this one. The bill is an attack on the Federal Reserve mandate to create full employment. These attacks are partisan in nature, and it is unconscionable to think that the Republican leader will begin this year attacking policies that benefit the middle class.

Some Republicans agree. Senator Bob Corker, chairman of the Foreign Relations Committee and a member of the Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs Committee, said this of the audit the Fed bill:

‘It’s obvious to me that the Audit the Fed effort is to not address auditing the Fed because the Fed is audited . . . . to me it’s an attempt to allow Congress to be able to put pressure on Fed members relative to monetary policy. And I would just advocate that that would not be a particularly good idea and it would cause us to put off tough decisions for the future, like we currently are doing with budgetary matters.

I agree with Senator Corker. Injecting politics into the Federal Reserve is a bad idea.

This bill is a sham. We should dispense with it quickly, and we should do it—if there is any word quicker than quick, let’s do it that way. I will vote against the bill, and I encourage my colleagues to do the same.

Will the Chair announce the business of the day?

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will be in a period of morning business until 5 p.m., with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I apologize to my friend, the assistant leader, for taking so much time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The assistant Democratic leader.

75TH ANNIVERSARY OF FRANKLIN DELANO ROOSEVELT’S ‘FOUR FREEDOMS’ SPEECH

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, tomorrow evening President Obama will come before Congress to deliver his annual State of the Union Address. America has changed a great deal since he first delivered his first State of the Union Address 7 years ago. We remember he inherited an economy in free fall. There was a real danger that the United States would face another Great Depression. Instead, we slid into a great recession. The President—President Obama—did all he could to bring our economy back to life. Recent economic indicators show that his strategy moved us in the right direction. More Americans are working. We are seeing prosperity and opportunity return. There are still challenges ahead. We still face income inequality, and there are many things we must do to make this a fairer nation when it comes to our economy, but we avoided a Great Depression because Americans are resilient and because of our government, under the leadership of President Obama, had the courage to take bold action to help put Americans back to work and to invest in America’s future when the private sector would not or could not do so.

Union leaders in the future—is undoubtedly stronger today than when the President first took office, and I look forward to tomorrow evening when we hear this President’s hopes and plans for his final year in service to our Nation.

This afternoon I wish to take a few minutes to talk about another President and an earlier State of the Union Address. It was 75 years ago, on January 6, 1941, when President Franklin Delano Roosevelt traveled from the White House to Capitol Hill to deliver his annual message to the Nation. FDR had been reelected weeks earlier to an unprecedented third term as President. Despite historic economic and political stress, America was still battling the Great Depression he had inherited.

Pearl Harbor was 11 months in the future. Understandably, many Americans wanted to believe that the war that was consuming Europe and beginning in the Pacific could remain their problem over there, but Franklin Delano Roosevelt sensed that would not be the case. He could see America would inevitably be drawn into this conflict.

On addressing Congress, he proposed to make America the ‘arsenal of democracy.’ He also urged Congress to create a new ‘lend lease’ program, enabling our historic ally, Great Britain, and their allies to withstand the assault of Nazi Germany, Fascist Italy, and Imperial Japan.

He did something else. FDR knew that in order for the Nation to face World War II, America needed to know not just what they would be fighting against but what they would be fighting for. So in some of the darkest days of World War II, with Adolf Hitler vowing to impose a new order on Europe at gunpoint, Franklin Roosevelt spoke of a moral order founded on four essential human freedoms that would be the right of every person everywhere.

Those four freedoms he spoke of were the freedom of speech, the freedom of worship, the freedom from want, and the freedom from fear.

Norman Rockwell was an amazing American. He was a great illustrator. It is interesting that he did so many cover drawings for great magazines of his time, such as the Saturday Evening Post. When he heard FDR’s ‘Four Freedoms’ speech given to Congress, it inspired him to create images. Those images emerged after the original speech was given, and many people credit those images created by Norman Rockwell with allowing Americans to visualize what each of the four freedoms meant in very human terms.

I brought copies of them to the floor because they so graphically illustrate the message which FDR delivered in his ‘Four Freedoms’ speech.

The freedom of speech. This Norman Rockwell illustration shows a working man standing and speaking his mind in a townhall meeting.

Freedom of worship. This photo shows a group of people from different backgrounds, each praying to God—the God of his or her understanding.

Freedom from want. This classic illustration shows a family gathered for a Thanksgiving feast.
The last of the four freedoms is the freedom from fear. This illustration shows a mother and father looking at their sleeping children tucked safely into bed.

In the coming struggle, President Roosevelt said, America would defend itself not just with arms but also with "the stamina and courage which comes from unshakeable belief in the manner of life that we are defending." That is exactly what they did.

During World War II, 16 million Americans—one out of every eight—put on a uniform and fought for the promise of the four freedoms. Tens of millions more Americans back home joined the fight by planting victory gardens, recycling everything from bacon grease to tin cans, serving as "soil soldiers" in the Civilian Conservation Corps, and working in war munitions factories as Rosie the Riveters.

After the war, the "greatest generation," as Tom Brokaw characterized them, may have given up their uniforms, but they continued their fight for FDR's four freedoms. From the earliest days of the Roosevelt administration, Franklin and Eleanor had worked to rewrite the rules of America's economy to give average workers and families a fighting chance against powerful corporations and entrenched wealthy special interests. They strengthened labor unions to improve workers' pay, working conditions, safety in the workplace, health care, retirement—things we take for granted today.

After the war, the same Americans who had endured the hardships of the Depression and who had saved the world from tyranny went to work and laid the foundation for the creation of the largest middle class and the strongest economy in the history of the world. They built new schools, new homes, new towns, an interstate highway system. At the same time, more Americans began to challenge longstanding injustices based on race, creed, color, gender, and other distinctions. They strengthened labor unions to improve workers' pay, working conditions, safety in the workplace, health care, retirement—things we take for granted today.

Under the leadership of Franklin and Eleanor Roosevelt, Americans saved our Nation's economy from ruin, saved the world from tyranny, and they did all this while making America freer, more equal, and more democratic than it had ever been.

The promise of the four freedoms would inspire not only Americans, but it inspired the world. The four freedoms became part of the preamble to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. That declaration, drafted by a committee chaired by the great stateswoman Eleanor Roosevelt, represents the first time in history that nations around the world agreed to a list of human rights to be universally protected.

My wife Loretta and I are honored to include among our friends Anna Eleanor Roosevelt, FDR and Eleanor's granddaughter. She lives in Maine now, but she spent most of her life living in my home State of Illinois. Similar to her grandparents, Anna Eleanor Roosevelt is full of optimism, energy, and a fierce love for this Nation. She has done so much over the decades to advance her grandparents' efforts to make America freer and fairer. I want to say to my friend Anna, America remembers and honors your grandparents' legacy. We are a better Nation because of what their leadership has given us.

As we celebrate the 75th anniversary of FDR's "Four Freedoms" speech, it is clear that we still have a lot of work to do to make the promise of the four freedoms real. Income inequality in America is greater today than at any time since just before the Great Depression. There are many reasons for America's growing economic inequality, including globalization and technology, but the biggest reason is nearly 40 years of willful and calculated decisions to undo the progress of FDR's New Deal and concentrate more and more income and wealth in the hands of the few. FDR was right when he said that "economic laws are not made by nature [but] by human beings."

I hope this year we can work together to pass laws that will increase economic opportunity for all Americans, rebuild America's middle class, and free more Americans from the fear of want. FDR said that we Americans believe in the four freedoms not just for ourselves but for our families, for those who vote as we do or look like we do, who live in our neighborhoods and attend our same houses of worship, but we believe in the four freedoms for everyone everywhere.

An America that believes in freedom of worship doesn't allow one religious group to deny basic rights to others. As FDR wrote in the 1936 commencement address at the University of Chicago, "We cannot expect to achieve that victory. This idea—the inherent human dignity of every person—is the belief at the heart of the four freedoms. Those freedoms remain as powerful a weapon for peace and progress today as they were 75 years ago. I hope we will remember that this year.

GUN VIOLENCE

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I rise to speak about the issue of gun violence and to commend the President for announcing last week a set of commonsense steps to make our country safer. The need for action to reduce gun violence in America is urgent. About 32,000 Americans are killed by guns each year. Every day on average 297 men, women, and children are shot, 89 of them fatally. Last year by one count there were at least 372 mass shooting incidents where 4 or more people were killed—more than one a day in America. In the city of Chicago
alone last year. 2,939 people were injured by gunfire, and at least 88 people have been shot so far this year. 2016. The 468 homicides in Chicago last year led the Nation—a number larger than the number of fatalities in the cities of New York or Los Angeles, which are much larger cities. There is an epidemic of gun violence in America.

Can you imagine if 32,000 Americans were dying each year from Ebola or from tobacco drugs or at the hands of terrorists? Law makers would pull out all the stops to bring down those deaths. Compare the death toll from gun violence to the death toll from terrorism in the United States. According to the New America Foundation, since 9/11 a total of 26 people have been killed by terrorist incidents in America—48 have been killed by rightwing extremists and 45 have been killed by Islamic terrorists. Americans are rightly concerned about the threat of ISIS terrorism. It is not as if we are ignoring the threat posed by gun violence to the citizens of our Nation.

Sadly, for years Members of Congress have just shrugged their shoulders as each day we hear another heart-breaking story of the victims of gun violence. It is baffling to me that Congress refuses to do anything about gun violence, especially since the American people overwhelmingly on a bipartisan basis agree on commonsense steps that we shut all the loopholes.

For example, about 90 percent of Americans agree that a background check should be conducted before a gun is sold. Background checks through what is known as the FBI NICS system help ensure that the buyer is not a convicted felon, a domestic abuser, or a person with a history of serious mental instability or who is otherwise prohibited from buying a gun.

Background checks work. Over 2 million guns have been denied to prohibited purchasers over the years. You think to yourself, why would a convicted felon be so stupid as to go in and try to buy a gun when he faces a background check? He does it anyway. They do it over and over. And 2 million times we have denied them weapons because they were prohibited by law because of their records.

There are still loopholes that would allow many sales to take place without this background check, especially at gun shows and over the Internet. Think about how people made Christmas and holiday purchases this year. Many of us went to the Internet. That is exactly where people are going to buy firearms without background checks. When you have loopholes like these, it is easy to understand how dangerous people can get their hands on guns.

Look at the way these loopholes have affected the city of Chicago. They got a flood of illegal guns coming into Chicago from Indiana, especially from Lake County, IN, which is right across the border from my State. Last Friday, the Chicago Tribune newspaper quoted Sheriff John Buncich of Lake County, IN, saying:

Individuals are skirting federal law, especially at these gun shows, whether they want to admit it or not. There's a lot of illegal gun sales.

The Tribune article went on to say: Buncich stressed he supports Second Amendment rights and doesn’t want to take guns from people. He noted, however, that "in recent years more guns from Lake County show up in Chicago crimes every year. "We need to do something to stem the violence," Buncich said. "It's not going to hurt the law-abiding citizen."

Last year I met with the head of the Chicago Field Division of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, the Federal agency charged with enforcing our gun laws. He told me that in the highest crime neighborhoods of Chicago, when they confiscated the crime guns after the act, they found that as much as 40 percent of those crime guns were coming in from outside of Chicago. Here is an example of how it happens. In 2014 a man named David Lewisbey of South Holland, IL, was sentenced for illegally trafficking hundreds of guns from Indiana to Chicago. The U.S. attorney said, you need to stop that. For a 4-year period, Lewisbey "routinely traveled to various gun shows in Indiana and purchased duffle bags full of guns that he brought back to Chicago." Lewisbey used a forged signature to procure an Indiana driver's license, and that was used a forged signature to procure an Indiana driver's license, and that was how he was able to buy guns. Lewisbey was sentenced to 7 years in prison. It is not going to hurt the law-abiding citizens.

Or course, the President’s actions won't close the gun show and Internet loopholes altogether. That would take some kind of congressional action to shut all those loopholes. But the President has made a move in the right direction, and it will help.

The President took other important steps last week—clearly within his constitutional authority—that will help save lives. He is working to make the background check system faster by adding more FBI examiners and improving the system’s technology. A faster system could have stopped the Charleston church shooter who killed nine worshippers last year. This person was able to avoid the requirement to conduct a background check. He was able to buy a gun under another loophole in the law because he was a convicted felon. This person was able to buy a gun under another loophole in the law because he was a convicted felon. The President is also strengthening institutional authority—that will help.

The administration is redoubling its efforts to improve mental health services and to make sure the background check system has complete records on those found to be mentally unstable.

Finally, the President has sponsored research on gun safety technology. This is critical. Right now we have security features on our phones, computers and cars to prevent thieves and unauthorized people from using them. Similar technology is available today so that an unauthorized user will not...
be able to fire a gun. That means a perso-
son can’t steal a gun and resell it and a
kid can’t play with a gun and hurt himself or someone else.

For reasons that cannot be explained, the gun lobby opposes gun safety tech-
nologies—otherwise known as a boycott of the gun industry—by any company that uses it. Now this admin-
istration is going to use its re-
search dollars and purchasing power to promote safer gun technology. This could be a game changer when it comes to preventing gun accidents and deter-
ing illegal gun trading.

I commend the President for the rea-
sonable, commonsense steps he has taken to combat the epidemic of gun violence. The steps he announced will not prevent all gun deaths—no single measure can—but they will help.

I hope my colleagues in Congress will not take a step backward and try to undermine these basic, commonsense reforms with riders or appropriations restrictions. I am going to fight hard against the gun lobby if they try. I hope Congress will instead move for-
ward, finish the job on background checks, and do all we can to reduce the high toll of gun violence in our commun-
ities.

Over the weekend, I was visiting with friends and former colleague Mark Pryor of Arkansas. I went down to Stuttgart, AR. Anyone who is a duck hunter in the Midwest or in America knows the name of that town. Stuttgart, AR. It is the center of duck hunting in the Midwest or in the United States. The local radio station there is KWAK, giving an idea of their commitment to duck season 60 days of the year when Stuttgart comes to life with hunters from all over the United States and all over the world.

Saturday afternoon I went to the largest sporting goods store, Mac’s, and watched hundreds of men and some women in camouflage clothes getting ready for the duck hunt. For them, it is not only a rite of passage, it is a way of life. They love it. You see the camouflage on everything in sight.

Of course, when you go into Mac’s, there are plenty of firearms for sale and other equipment that is needed so that you can hunt effectively and safe-
ly. You go in the store, and if you want to be a duck hunter in Arkansas, you first have to buy a license, which I did. Then you go through the ritual of mak-
ing sure you have all the right equip-
ment. And getting ready to go out to hunt for ducks.

There is not a single thing proposed by President Obama that will in any way slow down or stop those men and women who want to legally use their firearms for what they see as something that the President is trying to do is to stop convicted felons and people who are so mentally unstable that they shouldn’t be able to buy a firearm from having that opportunity.

It turns out an overwhelming major-
ity of firearm owners agree with the President. You would never know it, would you, as you hear every single Re-
publican Presidential candidate con-
demn President Obama’s actions.

What a chasm there is in the culture between the people who are firearm owners and who enjoy that opportunity and responsibility and those who are angry at the political scene and ignore the fact that right now we should pass commonsense changes in the law to make them even more effec-
tive and make certain that people who misuse firearms do not have that op-
portunity.

I hope to work with my colleagues in the Senate and both political parties to achieve the goal of protecting the rights of those who use firearms leg-
ally, safely, and responsibly within the confines of the law and to stop the illicit trafficking of guns that are tak-
ning over 30,000 lives each and every year.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mrs. ERNST). The Senator from Ohio.

TRANS-PACIFIC PARTNERSHIP

Mr. BROWN. Madam President, after months of delay, last fall we finally had before the Congress the Trans-
Pacific Partnership, text that corpor-
ate lobbyists had access to long be-
fore the American people and Members of Congress and their staffs did. After exam-
inig the provisions in this deal, it is clear that far too many of these provisions undermine American workers and American jobs.

In the months leading up to the re-
lease of this deal, I warned that too often our trade agreements as far back as the NAFTA and the Permanent Normal Trade Relations with China—not a trade agreement per se, but it had the same effect in many ways—the Central American Free Trade Agreement, the South Korea Free Trade Agreement—these trade agreements amounted to corporate worker sellouts. I warned our negotiators that they needed to do more to ensure that the deal created a truly level playing field for American workers and Amer-
ican businesses. Unfortunately, that is not what happened, particularly when it comes to standing up for the Amer-
ican auto industry.

We hear often about the supposed op-
portunities that trade agreements will create: opportunities for more jobs, opportuni-
ties for more exports, and for eco-
nomic growth. But when I look at the Trans-Pacific Partnership, I don’t see these actual—and let’s call them offensive opportunities—and by “offensive oppor-
tunities” I mean opportunities for American products to break into new markets. This is not just playing de-
fense, but playing offense so that we can export into these new markets.

Cheerleaders for this agreement—
whether it is The Wall Street Journal editorial page, most Republicans in the Senate, or whether it is Republican leadership in the House, whether it is corporate CEOs or whether it is the White House—say that new markets will be opened for American cars, but we have heard these empty promises before.

Under TPP, many of these new mar-
kets will not be opened day one—as in the case of Malaysia and Vietnam. They won’t be opened in day two or year one or year two. It will be more than a decade until American automakers have full access to these closed mar-
kets.

The TPP will do nothing to level the playing field with our top competitor, Japan, or to change Japan’s distinction as the most closed auto market in the world. We know it has been that in the past. We know it is that today. There is nothing in here that would change or open Japan’s market, to sell into the Japanese auto market.

Carmakers in Ohio and carmakers across the country will compete with huge numbers of Japanese imports. We don’t have it today, and under TPP we would have the same opportunity to ex-
port to Japan. That is because for de-
cades Japan has used barriers other than tariffs to keep their markets closed. Tariffs are one way. They charge huge tariffs, causing the price of products to skyrocket—let’s say into Japan—to be too high for the Japanese to afford, but that is not what Japan does. Their tariffs are already at zero, so an agreement on tar-
iffs will do nothing to create a level playing field. Japan keeps its products out in much more creative ways than tariffs.

We have seen this in the wake of the Korean Free Trade Agreement. Even after our trading partners promised to remove these barriers to allow Amer-
ican cars into their market, they often don’t. Opening up Japan’s market didn’t work in the 1980s, it didn’t work in the 1990s, and it didn’t seem that it will be any different under the Trans-
Pacific Partnership.

If there aren’t new offensives—
offensives in the sense of selling into those countries—then I would expect our negotiations at least make sure this trade agreement protected Amer-
ican carmakers and workers from a flood of cheap foreign competition. I would hope they made sure the benefits of the agreement would only go toward its members who have been part of the negotiating process and made conces-
sions, but it is not. It is not just the TPP countries.

That is now how I read the text, par-
ticularly when it comes to something called the rules of origin for autos. These rules of origin provide provisions to determine how much of a car is made in the TPP region, and TPP rules are weaker than NAFTA’s. That means how much of the car is actually made in the TPP countries, how much of the car must be made in the TPP countries to count as a TPP product.

That means 62.5 percent of a vehicle must be made in the NAFTA region in order for it to qualify for the benefits of the NAFTA agreement. But only 45
percent—much less than NAFTA and in some cases even less than that—of a car has to be made in the TPP region to qualify for the benefits of the agreement. Think about that. Under TPP, less than half a car has to be made in TPP, which includes Canada, Mexico, and the United States, to receive the benefits of TPP.

So what does that mean? That means more than half of the components in the car—more than half of the car—can be imported from China or any of the other TPP countries, and then much of its supply chain into the Trans-Pacific Partnership. Then these cars, mostly made in China, will get the benefits of the Trans-Pacific Partnership, even though they aren’t in the Trans-Pacific Partnership. As more countries join TPP, that 45-percent rule will become an even weaker standard, and fewer and fewer of our cars will come from the U.S. auto supply chain.

I never thought I would be able to say this, but this agreement makes NAFTA—an agreement I fought hard to defeat 20 years ago—look good. TPP’s auto rules were written for Japan’s benefit, not the benefit of the auto industry. As more countries join TPP, that 45-percent rule will become an even weaker standard, and fewer and fewer of our cars will come from the U.S. auto supply chain.

TPP will jeopardize the livelihoods of hundreds of thousands of Americans, including up to 600,000 Ohioans, whose jobs depend on the U.S. auto supply chain. These aren’t just statistics. We are talking about real workers in real plants in real communities, in Ohio and across the country, with bills to pay and families to feed. They fought hard to bring the American auto industry back to life. Their hard work made the auto rescue a success. Last year, 2015, was a record year for automakers. We can’t pull the rug out from under them now with a trade deal that sells out American auto jobs.

Think of what we have done. In 2010, only—maybe fewer than this—10 million vehicles were made in the United States. Today that number is close to 17 million, and we posted 7 percent gains in sales last year. GM and Ford were not far behind with 5 percent. I am proud to say the best-selling American vehicle for 34 years running, the Ford-150, runs on engines produced in Lima, OH. Five years ago the American President, President Obama, did the right thing when he personally committed to saving the American auto industry.

If you ask people in Ohio, in Toledo, in Avon Lake, in Cleveland, in Warren, in Lordstown, they know how important the auto rescue was. We were losing hundreds of thousands of jobs a month at the beginning of President Obama’s presidency. We had to save the auto rescue, the next year—we have seen job growth in this country for 70 months in a row, 70 consecutive months of job growth starting with the auto rescue.

Now I hope the President will do the right thing again and go back to the drawing board on the aspects of this trade deal that we know will cost American auto jobs.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Arkansas.

LAW ENFORCEMENT APPRECIATION DAY
OFFICER SHAWN BAKR AND DEPUTY SONNY SMITH

Mr. COTTON. Madam President, this past Saturday, January 9, was Law Enforcement Appreciation Day, a day set aside to honor the men and women who work in law enforcement, keeping our communities safe and enforcing the rule of law, which underpins any free and just society. Recently we have heard a great deal about controversies and scrutiny surrounding law enforce

ment in many parts of our country. It is easy to be distracted by these stories, but it is important to remember that many are inaccurate, and even the true ones are the exception, not the rule.

The rule is officers such as Little Rock Police Officer Shawn Bakr. On Saturday, Officer Bakr spent his Law Enforcement Appreciation Day and his night off working as a security guard at a local restaurant. During his shift, three armed men entered a restaurant and pointed a gun at an employee in an attempted robbery. Officer Bakr’s law enforcement instincts kicked in, and he reacted with calm dispatch. He confronted the suspects, who subsequently fled the restaurant. Yet he bravely managed to return fire and injure one of the robbers. The other two suspects fled but have since been apprehended after a standoff with Little Rock police earlier today.

The rule is also county sheriffs such as Johnson County Reserve Deputy Sonny Smith, who died in the line of duty last year after he was shot while responding to a burglary. Deputy Smith confronted danger head-on to protect his fellow Arkansans, and he gave the full measure of devotion to duty that only those called to serve in the front lines can fully understand.

The rule is also large groups of Deputy Smith’s law enforcement colleagues who stood to the right of the stage, just hours after his death—a place typically reserved for parents—and saluted during his son’s high school graduation ceremony so he would feel the support and love of the law enforcement community to which his dad belonged.

As a soldier in Iraq and Afghanistan, my soldiers and I knew what it meant to face our enemy head-on, but at the end of our tours, we went home. Many of us worked in much less dangerous jobs at military bases around the country until our next tour or we left the service.

For law enforcement officers, there is no end to the tour. They take risks every single day, often for the lengths of their careers. Officer Bakr’s and Deputy Smith’s actions are heroic by any definition, but to them and to countless other law enforcement offi-

cers across the country, that is simply part of the job description. Each day that they go to work, our law enforce-

ment personnel around the country put themselves in harm’s way to keep us and our communities safe.

So to all of our law enforcement offi-

cers, the men and women who serve with the selfless dedication of Shawn Bakr and Sonny Smith, thank you for your service and for your sacrifice. May God bless you and your families and keep you safe.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Arkansas.

REMEMBERING DALE BUMPERS

Mr. BOOZMAN. Madam President, I am here today with my colleague Senator COTTON to honor Dale Bumpers, a longtime advocate of Arkansas, who passed away on January 1 at the age of 90 after a long life of dedicated public service.

He was a soldier and a statesman who came from the small town of Charleston, AR. He did things not because of political pressure but because he believed they were the right things to do. He had a good foundation to understand the needs of Arkansans. He was a businessman, taking over operations at his father’s former hardware, furniture, and appliance store, and he was a rancher and an attorney in Charleston, service.

As he lay in state in Little Rock, the House and Senate Chambers were draped in white and served as a memorial to the legacy of his memory. As his memoirs indicate, he was “the best lawyer in a one-lawyer town.”

Following the Supreme Court’s decision in the 1954 case Brown v. Board of Education, which outlawed segregation in schools, he advised compliance with the ruling, making it the first school district in the South to fully integrate.

He ran against incumbent Governor Winthrop Rockefeller to become the 39th Governor of the State of Arkansas. Four years later, he defeated longtime Senator William Fulbright in a primary before winning a seat in the Senate, a position he held for 24 years. He served as the chairman of the committee on small business from 1987 to 1994 and has a long list of accomplishments.

While he ended his Senate service more than a decade before I started serving in this Chamber, my colleagues who served alongside him regularly recall his memories of Senator Bumpers, a legendary orator who had a true gift for public speaking and who would tell stories in a way only a Southern gentleman with a keen sense of humor from smalltown Arkansas could. He was passionate about his convictions and spoke from his heart about matters that he believed in. In tributes to him on the floor during the last days of the 105th Congress, his colleagues described him as one of the most respected Members of this body. He was a statesman, a man of peace who believed in the power of the environment, a supporter of the National Institutes of Health, funding the fight against HIV and AIDS, and a constant proponent
for Arkansans. You could tell by all of the things that bear his name—the White River National Wildlife Refuge, the Dale Bumpers National Rice Research Center. His impact on Arkansas agriculture was recognized by the University of Arkansas board of trustees, who established a college of agriculture, the “Dale Bumpers College of Agriculture, Food and Life Sciences.” These are just a few of the many things in Arkansas that reflect his dedication and commitment to our State.

Senator Bumpers leaves behind a legacy of public service, civic responsibility, and accomplishments that has undoubtedly made Arkansas a better place to live.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Arkansas.

Mr. COTTON. Madam President, today I am proud to join my fellow Senator from Arkansas, JOHN BOOZMAN, and the Senator from Maine, who is the Senators’ service, as well as our majority leader and other Senators who are reminiscing about Senator Bumpers, who passed away earlier this month. Arkansas lost one of its most distinguished public servants when former Senator and Governor Dale Bumpers died at the age of 90. As both a Governor and Senator, Dale Bumpers’ tireless dedication to our State began before I was born and spanned many decades.

As someone who grew up with Dale Bumpers already in the Senate and who was unable to ever vote for him, I asked my mom Avis about her memories of Senator Bumpers. Like so many, she was quick to remember the oratory skills for which he was so famous—not only in Arkansas but also in Washington and in the Senate, which has had its share of famous orators over its history, but she also had fond memories of him on a personal scale as well. From the Mount Nebo Chicken Fry, an annual event just outside my hometown of Dardanelle. In the early 1970s, as a young Governor, Senator Bumpers—then Governor Bumpers—always made it to our chicken fry. And if it weren’t for a few obvious clues—such as a State trooper or local photographers taking pictures—you wouldn’t have even known he was the top executive of our State, so humble and friendly was he to all the fairgoers. He spent time with each person there and made everyone feel like they had his full attention—the full attention of our Governor.

It is an honor to stand here today in the same institution from which he did so much great work for the State of Arkansas. Senator Bumpers was an Arkansas institution himself, and his legacy has outlived his tenure in office. We are grateful for his service and commitment to Arkansas. My thoughts and prayers are with the Bumpers family and with all Arkansans, whom he so faithfully served.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. HOEVEN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

Without objection, it is so ordered.

Theodore Roosevelt National Park

Mr. HOEVEN. Madam President, western North Dakota is getting a lot of attention these days because of its vibrant energy economy. But people also need to know about the spectacular landscape and natural beauty that thrives side by side with energy development in my home State. So I want to speak today for a few minutes about a remarkable asset in my home State of North Dakota that was highlighted this past weekend in the New York Times.

The Times ranked Theodore Roosevelt National Park in western North Dakota as fifth on its list of 52 worldwide destinations to visit in 2016. Only Mexico City, Bordeaux in France, the Mediterranean island of Malta, and the Caribbean city of Coral Bay St. John in the U.S. Virgin Islands ranked ahead of Theodore Roosevelt National Park.

Tim Neville for the New York Times wrote of the park:

“Few presidents have done as much for conservation as Teddy Roosevelt. Fly into Dickinson in western North Dakota to visit the park named after him, where rolling grasslands dotted with bison collapse into the spectacular red, white and gold badlands of tumbling mud coulees. The more than 70,000-square-acre park consists of three parts: The south unit, which is the largest of the two units, the north unit, and the site of Roosevelt’s Elkhorn Ranch, which lies between the north and south units. The southern unit has little that the other two units lack. The northern unit has badlands through all three sections of the park. Roosevelt captured a colorful picture of life on the Elkhorn Ranch in his 1885 book called “Hunting Trips of a Ranchman.”

My home ranch-house stands on the river brink. From the low, longer veranda, shaded by leafy cotton-woods, one looks across sand bars and shallows to a strip of meadowland, behind which rises a line of sheer cliffs and graceful groves of cottonwood. There is a pensive place in the summer evenings when a cool breeze stirs along the river and blows in the faces of the tired men, who loll back in their rocking-chairs (what true American does not enjoy a rocking-chair?), book in hand—though they do not often read the books, but rock gently to and fro, gazing sleepily out at the weird-looking buttes opposite until their sharp outlines grow indistinct and purple in the after-glow of the sunset. Theodore Roosevelt National Park has preserved what Roosevelt saw more than a century ago. For that reason, it is worth a visit this year, but more should come to see it, and I believe more will as a result of the New York Times list. Speaking of New York, the Times was the right venue to highlight Teddy Roosevelt’s National Park because Teddy Roosevelt was a native son of New York, born in the heart of Manhattan at the dawn of the age of concrete canyons and bustling good business.”

CONGRATULATING THE NORTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY BISON FOOTBALL TEAM

Mr. HOEVEN. Madam President, while I have the floor, if I might, I wish to shift gears. I know the Presiding Officer is a sports fan and that in her State they have many wonderful sports teams—football, basketball, and certainly the University of North Dakota had an outstanding year this year. I certainly wish to commend them, compliment them on their great team. As a matter of fact, the team I am going to talk about next is going to play that team. I think it is our first or second game of the year next year. I am looking forward to it. I know the Presiding Officer is looking forward to it very much as well, when the North Dakota State University Bison play the University of Iowa. I don’t know if the Presiding Officer is—I am sure she is a fan of the University of Iowa and Iowa State and Northern Iowa. They are all great sports programs. I don’t know which one is her favorite and may not name the correct team, but I said to her a few years ago. We play Northern Iowa every year. We have a great rivalry with Northern Iowa. Northern Iowa has a wonderful program—football and basketball. We enjoy playing them every year. This year it looks like they have a very good basketball team and are to be commended on beating North Carolina, the Tar Heels. We will certainly
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want to mention that to our colleagues. I am sure the Presiding Officer probably already has. North Dakota State plays Iowa every year and played Iowa State a few years back and we are very much looking forward to playing the University of Iowa.

I wish to take a minute to speak about a resolution I will submit. I am going to talk about it now. The resolution is on behalf of the North Dakota State University Bison, which won a historic fifth consecutive NCAA Division I Football Championship Subdivision title in 2011. An extraordinary and record-setting achievement in modern collegiate football.

Whereas the NDSU Bison have displayed tremendous resilience and skill over the past five seasons, with 71 wins to only 5 losses, including a streak of 33 consecutive winning games;

Whereas thousands of Bison fans attended the championship game, reflecting the tremendous spirit and dedication of Bison Nation that has helped propel the success of the team; and

Whereas the 2015 NCAA Division I Football Championship Subdivision title was a victory not only for the NDSU football team, but also for the entire State of North Dakota:

Resolved, That the Senate:

(1) congratulates the North Dakota State University Bison football team as the 2015 champion of the National Collegiate Athletic Association Division I Football Championship Subdivision;

(2) commends the North Dakota State University players, coaches, and staff for their hard work and dedication on a historic season and for fostering a continuing tradition of athletic and academic excellence; and

(3) recognizes the students, alumni, and the loyal fans who supported the Bison in their quest for a fifth consecutive Division I national championship trophy for North Dakota State University.

With that, I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. CORNYN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the passage of bills be suspended for the President pro tempore to appoint a temporary Chair to preside over the Senate during the next hour. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

Mr. CORNYN. Madam President, I understand that later today the House of Representatives will vote to pass a reform of the Freedom of Information Act, which is often referred to by its acronym, FOIA. I wish to say a few words about it and the importance of the legislation.

I applaud the effort of the House. I have long believed that it is really important to make sure that the people who actually pay the bills and whom we serve know what government is doing on their behalf. Thus the name of the legislation signed by President Johnson many years ago is the Freedom of Information Act. Too often here in Washington, DC, the people in charge of the information seem to view it as proprietary, as if it were theirs. In a political culture where information is power, they don't want to share that information with the people who actually own it and are the ones who hold the elected officials accountable. An open government is real one of the first presuppositions of democracy, and that is because an open and accessible government is absolutely necessary for Americans to hold their elected officials accountable.

Our Founding Fathers, of course, recognized that a truly democratic system depends on transparency, but the American people deserve to know the good, the bad, and the ugly, and to apply the correctives that are within their power, either in changing those officials or holding those officials accountable. The legislation that is going to pass the House later today is similar to what we have already passed here in the Senate Judiciary Committee by voice vote in February. It requires Federal agencies to operate under a presumption of openness when considering the release of government information under the Freedom of Information Act. Texas law, for example, presumes that public information held by government is presumptively open. If there is some reason why it should not be disclosed—let's say classified materials or whatever—then it is incumbent upon the agency to raise those concerns and then to have those concerns decided in the process of administering those laws. But the idea is also to reduce the number of exemptions to withhold information from the public. I hope this Chamber will soon join our colleagues in the House to consider this important legislation.

There may be some things we need to do to fine-tune it. I certainly understand that on national security, for example, or things involving proprietary information—trademark protections and property protections—there may be some areas where we have to make some slight changes. But, essentially, the idea is to change the behavior here in Washington is to shine a light on the actions of elected officials and the government. When elected officials know that the public is informed and watching, it changes the way people behave, and it usually changes it for the better. Congress has passed numerous pieces of legislation that promote this accountability and transparency of government since President Johnson signed the Freedom of Information Act into law so that good leadership and good government can flourish.

During my time in the Senate and previously when I was the attorney general of Texas, I made government transparency a priority. I pressed for more openness in the Federal Government through FOIA legislation. During the process, I found a partner in those efforts in the Senate. He is somebody who is my ideological opposite, and that is Senator PAT LEAHY of Vermont.

Mr. LEAHY and I both embrace the fact that most of the time elected officials and government officials want to trumpet their successes and they want to hide their failures. But the American people deserve to know the good, the bad, and the ugly, and to change the behavior here in Washington.
will be passed by the House Chamber later today.

**GUN CONTROL AND MENTAL ILLNESS**

Mr. CORNYN. Madam President, the main reason I come to the floor today is to talk about the President’s most recent Executive action, this time implementing gun control measures that won’t actually solve any of the problems they purport to fix and that purposefully go around Congress and ignore the will of the American people. To my mind, this is one of the most aggravating things about Washington, DC, and about how business is done here. People make symbolic acts claiming that we have to “do something” but don’t actually focus on a solution that actually helps make the problem better.

None of the President’s proposals actually would reduce any of the horrific incidents of gun violence we have seen, and that is a shame because there are bipartisan proposals that have been made that actually would help. But it is only when the President works with Congress—is the Constitution required to be a blank slate if the President is eager to go it alone, of course, the President has forsaken the constitutional process and bypassed the electorate in trying to make new policy.

He presumably is doing this as a hallmark of his tenure, and it will somehow be a legacy of his time as President. But the fact of the matter is Executive action signed by this President will not survive his own Presidency unless it is actually made into law, and then, of course, it would require another act of Congress to overcome it. That is something this President doesn’t seem to recognize. When he gets frustrated with the pace at Congress takes up legislation, for example, the immigration issue—he deicides to unilaterally issue an Executive action—which does what? Well, he offers Executive actions as a solution to a problem. But, in fact, what it does is it buys a lawsuit and it gets caught up in litigation, which is going to take years to resolve and ultimately doesn’t provide any relief to the very people the President claims to want to help.

So as a result of the President’s impatience and his eagerness to go it alone, he is actually forsaking the constitutional process that builds consensus and actually creates durable policies that will survive this President’s own administration.

This isn’t just an isolated event, as I mentioned a moment ago. According to one media report, the Obama administration aims to push almost 4,000 new regulations during his last year as President. But with his announcement last week to take up gun control, the President made clear he has little interest in working with Congress. That is actually his job—to work with Congress, to work with us to try to find consensus and to build durable solutions to the problems that confront our Nation. It also demonstrates his lack of regard for fundamental constitutional rights as spelled out in the Constitution itself. Of course, I am talking about the Second Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

I found his rhetoric particularly perplexing. First, he blamed the Congress for inaction. He said: “Congress still needs to act.” Well, actually, if what he was doing was going to solve the problem, why would Congress still need to act? And then he went on to say that he knows that this is mere symbolism and it doesn’t actually solve the problem that he says exists.

So he said Congress still needs to act on gun control measures, and he claimed that this legislative body—the Congress—is simply not being responsive to the will of the American people. He even said that he feels compelled to act without consulting Congress because America doesn’t “have a Congress that is in line with the majority of Americans.”

In other words, the President said the people of this country are demanding more symbolic gun control laws, not less. But that is not what the polling shows, the best indicator of what people are actually thinking—other than what the President is saying. He claims that the President’s party’s position on gun control was “outside the mainstream.” Only 38 percent said that it was “within the mainstream.”

It is also critical to point out that, as many media reports have indicated, the President’s measures would not have stopped any of the mass violence incidents that have tragically struck American communities over the last few years.

So my response to the President is this: If he is actually serious about trying to solve problems rather than just issue symbolic proclamations, he needs to roll up his sleeves and he needs to work with us to move legislation forward that focuses on the commonsense thread found in many of these mass incidents, and that has to do with the mental health issue. This is the 400-pound gorilla that the President doesn’t want to talk about.

The chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee, Senator GRASSLEY, has made it quite clear that this is the one issue where we would actually find consensus and help provide assistance to families and communities to help people from becoming a danger to themselves as well as the communities in which they live.

We know the facts of the cases that many times the mental health of the shooter has played a role in many of these tragedies, and it must be addressed. Many Americans, of course, agree. I think, for example, of Adam Lanza, who was the shooter at Sandy Hook in Connecticut. He was so mentally ill that he was a recluse in his own home, and the only thing his mother found she could engage him in was going out to a shooting range. Yet he basically stole his mother’s own weapons, killed her, and then tragically went to Sandy Hook Elementary School and killed a number of innocent children. If he and she had been able to get additional help—got him to a doctor and gotten him on medications that could have helped him from this increasing mental illness—then perhaps things would have turned out differently. That is speculation on all our parts, but perhaps treating the mental illness will actually reduce the likelihood that people will succumb to an impulse to do harm to themselves and to their communities.

According to a poll released just last week, more than 70 percent of Americans believe they have access to mental health treatment and screening would reduce these incidents of violence. I am part of that 70 percent. I firmly believe that time and again we are confronted with mental health tragedies that don’t and turn into tragic headlines. We can’t responsibly stand by any longer and watch this pattern repeat itself. That is why last year I introduced a piece of legislation that was my effort to try to begin this conversation and this discussion here in the Senate.

There are other ideas. The chairman of the Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions Committee, Senator ALEXANDER, and the ranking member, Senator MURRAY, are working on some mental health reform legislation. Congresswoman Tim Murphy in the House has worked on a comprehensive bill, and in the Senate Dr. BILL CASSIDY is working on that legislation. My legislation, I hope, will help to the conversation and help us build that consensus that is so important.

The legislation I have introduced would improve treatment and preventive screenings and crisis response for individuals with mental illness. It would also strengthen the existing background check system, something the President says he wants to do. However, the fact of the matter is that many States, such as the State of Virginia in the case of the Virginia Tech shooter just a short time ago, don’t even upload existing mental health adjudications into the background check system, which would have precluded the purchase of a firearm by somebody with that sort of record. So the National Instant Criminal Background Check System isn’t even a comprehensive system when it comes to identifying people who under current law should not be able to purchase a firearm.
law enforcement officers to help keep our communities safe. It has been endorsed by a diverse group of organizations, including the National Alliance on Mental Illness, the National Association of Police Organizations, and the National Association of Social Workers.

I think the thing that has perhaps offended some of our Democratic colleagues is that we have actually been able to build a consensus, where none other has existed on this topic, by getting organizations such as the one I mentioned, along with the National Rifle Association, to endorse the legislation I have introduced.

The fact of the matter is this legislation was aided by solutions borrowed from what is happening in Texas and particularly Bexar County and San Antonio, where I once served as a district judge. I firmly believe that the best way we can do this is to learn what works at the local and State level and then to scale them up here at the national level, rather than to do what the President seems to prefer, which is a national experiment and a one-size-fits-all approach in a country that is simply too diverse on issues that are so complex that we can’t really solve them with the wave of a magic wand or on a national basis. So let’s look at what works locally and in our States and then bring those experiences here and scale them up for the benefit of the rest of the country.

The fact of the matter is that Bexar County’s and San Antonio’s mental health program is now touted as the national standard for how to think strategically about those suffering from mental illness in the criminal justice system. Sheriff Pamerleau of Bexar County told me that a substantial portion of the jail population in San Antonio is people suffering from mental illness. Many times they go untreated and, thus, they try to self-medicate with drugs or alcohol, just making their condition that much worse. But the underlying cause of their problem is never being treated, which is the underlying mental illness. I have heard the same story in Houston and Austin and other places. I have asked our law enforcement professionals—we simply are seeing more and more people with mental illnesses showing up in emergency rooms or living homeless on the street or ending up in our jails without their problems adequately being addressed. My legislation is not perfect. I know other people will have other ideas, but at least it is a discussion that we need to get to work and do his job and not just to make speeches or issue Executive orders and say: Well, look, I have done my part, and the rest is up to everybody else. We need a President who is willing to work with us and alongside us to tackle important issues and hopefully help protect the individuals who are suffering from mental illness, to give families more choices when dealing with a mentally ill loved one, and also hopefully to avoid these incidents of mass violence. What we don’t need is purporting to govern by Executive edict, which is what the President seems to like and prefer.

I hope the President understands that Members on both sides of the aisle in both chambers are willing and able in good faith to work to reform our mental health system and in doing so help prevent some of the tragedies that are occurring in our communities. What we don’t need to do is to restrict the constitutional rights of law-abiding citizens, which will in no way make our communities safer but will infringe upon those constitutional rights in the Bill of Rights of the U.S. Constitution.

Many of the bills proposed, including mine, go much further than what the President announced last week in dealing with mental illness. There is a lot of work that needs to be done, and we need a President who will work with us. If he is willing to abandon this go-it-alone attitude and commit to working with the elected representatives of the American people, I think we have the opportunity to accomplish a lot for our country.

Many of the bills proposed, including mine, go much further than what the President announced last week in dealing with mental illness. There is a lot of work that needs to be done, and we need a President who will work with us. If he is willing to abandon this go-it-alone attitude and commit to working with the elected representatives of the American people, I think we have the opportunity to accomplish a lot for our country.

Madam President, I yield the floor. I suggest the absence of a quorum. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

Mr. PETERS. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TRIBUTE TO RICK CARTER

Mr. PETERS. Madam President, 8 months ago, as I delivered my maiden speech in the Senate, I discussed how honored I am to have succeeded Senator Robert C. Byrd of West Virginia and how much I appreciate what he has taught me about being a Senator from Michigan—a feeling that has only deepened during the past year that I have served in this body.

During his 36 years of service, Senator Levin personally met with thousands of Michiganders. He remained loved by many, including those who might never have had the opportunity to shake his hand or sit down next to him. This is due in no small part to his tireless commitment and accessibility in responding to questions and comments from his constituents, whether those issues arose in person, over the phone, in a letter, or—during the latter half of Senator Levin’s term—by email. Michiganders reaching out to his office knew that they would be heard and that they could expect a thoughtful, honest response about their Senator’s positions.

Three responses—hundreds of thousands a year and millions over the course of Senator Levin’s career—were made possible by his correspondence manager, Rick Carter. Rick worked for Senator Levin for almost two decades, and I have had the privilege to have him on my team since early last year.

While I have known him for only a year, this has been more than enough time to learn that Rick is a model public servant, from GWU law school to generations of congressional staffers. Rick is humble, thoughtful, and fiercely committed to working behind the scenes to help other staff succeed and to grow. He has been instrumental in establishing my Senate office, and I will be eternally grateful for this honorary Michigander’s efforts.

Rick grew up in DC. Perhaps his future career was foreshadowed by growing up in the Michigan Park neighborhood. He was a standout student at DeMatha Catholic High School and earned a scholarship at George Washington University, where he studied sociology.

During his time at GW, he interned for Congressman John Conyers, a legend of the civil rights movement, current Dean of the House of Representatives, and a man I am honored to call my friend and a Michigan colleague. We have been in touch since incurable cancer cut short Dean Conyers’ life. Rick began what would be a 19-year career with Senator Levin. He worked his way up from the front office and mastered a number of different positions before deciding that managing the correspondencia team best allowed him to balance engaging on matters of policy, serving the people of Michigan, and mentoring junior staffers.

While Rick has many skills and qualities you might expect from a seasoned staffer, including being an excellent writer, editor, and consummate professional, it is his extraordinary commitment to developing young minds that I wish to focus on for a moment.

Rick has helped dozens and perhaps hundreds of young graduates, former interns, and junior staffers find jobs in public service. Along with refining writing skills and polishing resumes, Rick has taught that generation of staffers things they did not learn in college: how to be a professional, how to show up on time, and how to simultaneously function independently as well as part of a team. His former interns are legislative directors, chiefs of staff, and chief counsels. The list of favors he is owed is extensive, but he never asks for anything in return.
He might ask you to run with him, though. As a charity marathon coach, he has helped raise money to fight AIDS. As a year-round positive influence—and not just during a New Year's resolution season—he is always looking for current and past colleagues to run with him. I will not even begin to speculate on the cumulative pounds lost due to his inspiration.

Rick has been a surrogate big brother and father figure for so many staffers. It is especially meaningful that Rick has supported his own family with run with his wife Nakia. Their son Mason and new baby Ryan are lucky to have such a loving, dedicated dad. I wish their entire family the best as Rick starts his own small business to pursue real estate development in the DC area.

It is said that the only constant in life is change. While Rick Carter has been a constant in the Michigan delegation for more than two decades and I will miss having him in my office, I deeply appreciate his two decades of service and respect his desire to take on new challenges. Rick Carter will always be a part of both Team Levin and Team Peters.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Ms. KLOBUCHAR. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

NOMINATION OF SAMUEL HEINS

Ms. KLOBUCHAR. Madam President, I rise today to call on the Senate and all of my colleagues to allow us to move forward on the nomination of Sam Heins of Minnesota to be the U.S. Ambassador to Norway. The U.S. Ambassadorship has also been held up. Coming from the State of Iowa, which I believe is over 10 percent Scandinavian—over 300,000 people—I think the thinking behind this position is that, if you give him the Presiding Officer understands the importance of our country actually having Ambassadors to these incredibly important allies and nations.

It has now been 836 days since there was last a confirmed Ambassador to Norway, one of our most important European allies. Part of this situation was due to the nominee who has some issues with the committee and with other Senators. That person has now been replaced, and it has been 166 days since a new nominee went through the Foreign Relations Committee. Mr. Heins was approved by a voice vote, without any controversy, as was the Ambassador to Sweden. I thank Senators CORKER and CARDIN and Senators MCCONNELL and Reid for their help in trying to get this through.

Unfortunately, these nominations are not held up by Senator Cruz. Based on my discussions with him, it is not because of the qualifications of these nominees; it is related to, I suppose, other issues. Yet, I note for those Scandinavians out there, Senator Cruz has allowed votes on Ambassadors to other countries. We have Ambassadors in France, in England, in nearly every European nation, but not these two Scandinavian ones.

Per perhaps people don't understand the importance of these nations because they just think these people wear sweaters all the time. I don't know what they think of Norway and Sweden, but, in fact, Senator Cruz should understand that these are two of our best allies.

Norway was a founding member of the NATO Alliance, and its military has participated with the United States in the Balkans and Afghanistan. Norwegians work alongside Americans in standing up to Russia's provocations in Ukraine, in countering ISIS and the spread of violent extremism, in providing support to those on the frontline in Afghanistan, and in strengthening regional cooperation in the Arctic. Norway has been especially strong on the issue of the Ukraine and on the issue with Russia. I know the Presiding Officer, with her background in the military, understands that and certainly my colleagues across the aisle understand how important it is to have allies that will stand up to Russia.

In addition, Norway is an important economic partner. In a letter sent this July by the American Chamber of Commerce in Norway, Norway "represented the 5th fastest growing source of foreign direct investment in the United States between 2009–2013 and is the 12th largest source of foreign direct investment in the United States overall." Right now, the United States of America for over 700 days has said to one of the top investors in our country, one of our best allies in security, "Sorry, You don't rate getting an ambassador."

There are also a total of 30 American companies with a presence in Norway, including 3M of Minnesota, Eli Lilly, General Electric, IBM, McDonald’s, and so many others. In October Norway reiterated its commitment to and leadership in the cause of advocacy for victims of torture, which provides services, research, and advocacy for victims of torture around the world, and continues to serve as a board member. This record of accomplishment is particularly appropriate for someone nominated to be our Ambassador to Norway. Norway has long been an international leader on human rights issues. Mr. Heins' extensive work on human rights and with nongovernmental organizations that support human rights will be extremely helpful in sustaining and building on the strong U.S.-Norwegian partnership in this area.

Last year, as we know, Congress was able to find common ground on so many issues. We passed a budget bill, we passed a transportation bill, a historic amount of funding, an increase in funding. We got the bill done on sex trafficking that Senator CORNYN and I worked on so hard. I can go through a list of the work we did together across the aisle.

When it comes to foreign relations, our country has always believed that a united front is most important on the world stage. We have a united front when it comes to the countries of Norway and Sweden. We understand they are our true allies. We have a united front on these two Ambassador nominations. They are not controversial. They went through the Foreign Relations Committee. Senator CORKER and Senator CARDIN have worked together to make sure they get to the floor, but
right now Senator Cruz is holding up these nominees for reasons that are completely outside of the qualifications of the nominees. I can say this is not the way we should be conducting world business. I am hopeful today on Norway. I will focus on Sweden in the future as I continue to give these speeches. I don’t think we can take these countries lightly just because it is cold there and darker in the winter. These are incredibly important allies and trading partners. We need to be treated like other European nations. They deserve to have an ambassador from the United States of America.

It is time to end this delay and do the work the Senate is supposed to do. Let’s move ahead and work to confirm these qualified nominees to represent us abroad. One is a country in Europe that just bought 22 fighter planes from Lockheed Martin. If they had bought 22 fighter planes from the Presiding Officer’s State, I believe the Presiding Officer would have looked at the fact that if it is a noncontroversial nominee to a country that invests in the United States of America, that is an ambassador we need to get confirmed, and we would get this done.

I ask my colleagues to work with Senator Cruz. The hope is that given that we have seen no other opposition of any significance to these two nominees, we will be able to get this done. He has said to me personally that this is not about the qualifications of the nominees, it is simply other issues that I hope he can resolve within the Republican caucus and with us so we can move forward and so they are not held up any longer. Norway and Sweden deserve Ambassadors.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Boozman). Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed to executive session to consider the following nomination, which the clerk will report.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read the nomination of Luis Felipe Restrepo, of Pennsylvania, to be United States Circuit Judge for the Third Circuit.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, there will be 30 minutes of debate equally divided in the usual form.

The Senator from Pennsylvania.

Mr. TOOMEY. Mr. President, I rise to speak on the upcoming confirmation vote of Judge Luis Felipe Restrepo to serve on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

I wish to thank Chairman Grassley and Ranking Member Leahy for moving Judge Restrepo’s nomination through the committee this evening.

I also thank Leader McConnell for scheduling this confirmation vote, which will take place in short order.

I also wish to thank my colleague Senator Casey. Senator Casey and I have been working very closely for 5 years now, since I joined the Senate, working to fill the vacancies that occur on the Federal bench across the states that we represent. With Judge Restrepo’s confirmation tonight, which I am hopeful and confident will occur, Senator Casey and I will have been able to play a role in filling 16 vacancies on the federal bench including 14 district court vacancies that have occurred since the time I arrived in Senate and two Third Circuit court vacancies. There are only two States in the Union that have had more vacancies filled in the last 5 years, and those two States are California and New York. They are very large States, of course, and have a large number of vacancies.

Again, I thank Senator Casey for the very constructive working relationship we have developed and I am aware that the people of Pennsylvania are able to access justice in a sensible and efficient fashion. Because we have worked closely together, not only have we filled these vacancies, but we have filled courthouses—Federal courthouses have been vacant for years—that have been vacant for years. As a result, Reading, PA, now has a Federal judge serving in that courthouse. People in the surrounding area of Williamsport, PA, had to drive to Harrisburg to have a Federal judge hear a case, and now there is a judge serving in Williamsport.

The people in North Hampton County who had to drive all the way to Philadelphia to have a case dealt with can now do that in Easton. I think, and I hope, we are close to filling an empty courthouse in Erie, PA. Erie is kind of by itself out there in the northwest corner of our great State, and there ought to be Federal judge in the Erie courthouse. I think the process of making sure that there will be, and I am sure it will come to a close soon.

Back to Judge Restrepo. The fact is Judge Restrepo is very well qualified to serve on the Third Circuit. He has served as a Federal district court judge for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania since June of 2013. I was very pleased, along with Senator Casey, to have recommended Judge Restrepo to the White House for that post and to have supported his confirmation to the district court.

In 2013, Judge Restrepo was confirmed unanimously on the Senate floor. I would love to see that occur again this evening with respect to his confirmation to the circuit court. Prior to his appointment as a district court judge, Judge Restrepo served for 7 years as a Federal magistrate judge for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and for 13 years prior to that, Judge Restrepo was a partner in the law firm that has handled cases. Before that, he worked at the public defenders’ office at the Federal and State levels.

In many ways, Judge Restrepo’s life story is a classic American dream story. He was born in Medellin, Colombia, and became a U.S. citizen in 1993. He has devoted a great deal of his time and energy and considerable intellect to serving Philadelphia, including being on the board of the Make-a-Wish Foundation for Philadelphia and Susquehanna Valley. This is a foundation that grants wishes to children who have life-threatening illnesses. Judge Restrepo also gave his time to the Russell Byers Charter School in Philadelphia.

I am very confident that Judge Restrepo has the judicial experience, legal acumen, intellect, integrity, and dedication to public service to do the job that we expect him to do on the Third Circuit Court of Appeals. The Senate Judiciary Committee apparently shares my confidence, having voted unanimously to confirm Judge Restrepo.

Mr. President, I wish to briefly address one other item this evening before I yield the floor. I want to speak about the appalling shooting that occurred in Philadelphia just last Thursday evening when a shooter attempted to assassinate a police officer in the name of ISIS on the streets of Philadelphia.

The shooter wasn’t counting on the amazing bravery of Philadelphia Police Officer Jesse Hartnett.

It was late, about 11:30 at night on Thursday, and apparently a man waved down Jesse Hartnett as he was driving along in his police cruiser. Officer Hartnett stopped the cruiser. The man who had waved him over as if to ask for directions, and instead, out of the blue, he started firing shots at pointblank range into the driver’s side window at Officer Hartnett. He kept walking up to the car. As he walked, he kept shooting. At one point, he actually got in the car, with the gun, outside the window of the car and was still shooting. In total, the shooter fired 13 shots.

Cameras that happened to be in that area captured the incident. It is absolutely amazing that Officer Hartnett managed to survive. It is amazing. But he didn’t just survive. He jumped out of his car and chased down the shooter. He shot and wounded the would-be killer, and because of his heroic action while literally under fire, the shooter was apprehended.

This is an amazing example of true grit, and the people of Pennsylvania couldn’t be more proud of Officer Hartnett. Our prayers are certainly with Officer Hartnett and his family. He has a very difficult recovery ahead of him. He has already had one surgery. My understanding is that he has undergone a second surgery today, or is in the process of undergoing that surgery. The
doctors are trying to save his arm, which was badly injured.

I want to be clear about this. What happened that Thursday night was an act of terrorism. It was an act of terrorism inspired by violent Islamic extremism. The shooter reportedly declared allegiance to the Islamic State. He said that he was targeting police officers because he believes that the police are defending and enforcing laws that are contrary to the Koran, and the shooter himself said that he acted in the name of Islam and the Islamic State.

We don’t know for sure yet whether the shooter has direct personal ties to ISIS abroad, but the FBI has reported that the shooter traveled to Saudi Arabia in 2011 and then went to Egypt for several months in 2012. Regardless of what he was doing over there or what his purpose was, we should make no mistake: this was an act of terrorism just as the shootings at Fort Hood and San Bernardino were.

Let me be abundantly clear. I think everyone obviously knows that this cop killer—this would-be cop killer—doesn’t represent all Muslims. No one would suggest that, but he does represent a strain of violent Islamic extremism, a strain that has massed millions of dollars, has followers all around the planet, and is, in fact, at war with America.

isis and the violent Islamic extremists believe that followers of ISIS pose a very serious threat to America. We have seen this repeatedly now, including in my home State of Pennsylvania in the City of Philadelphia. We are very fortunate. We have incredibly courageous law enforcement officers, such as Officer Hartnett, protecting us, but we shouldn’t in any way diminish the magnitude and gravity of this threat.

I commend Officer Jesse Hartnett for his bravery. To Officer Hartnett and his family, please know that the people of Pennsylvania are behind you, thinking of you, and praying for a full and speedy recovery.

With that, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Pennsylvania.

Mr. CASEY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak on the nomination.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. CASEY. Mr. President, I rise to offer some remarks about the vote we are going to cast on Judge Restrepo, which Senator TOOMEY spoke to earlier, and I thank him for his work on this nomination.

We are finally at the point where we are voting, and we are grateful for that opportunity. Senator TOOMEY has noted and I know others are aware of Judge Restrepo’s qualifications. I will highlight a few, some of it by way of reiteration.

I will start with the story itself. This is a great American story. An individual came to this country from Colombia and, through hard work and the benefit of a great education, has risen to the point of being a member of the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Upon a positive confirmation vote, he will be a member of the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, the second highest Federal court in the land, just below the Supreme Court.

Judge Restrepo is a 1986 graduate of Tulane University Law School. He graduated from the University of Pennsylvania in degree in economics and international relations. As I said, he has served as a member of the U.S. district court in Philadelphia, which pretty much covers the eastern half of our State. We have a Middle District and a Western District. He is a judge in one of the three districts. He started there in June of 2013, so his nomination to the appeals court was a rapid rise in the Federal judiciary. Before being on the district court, he has served as a judge from June of 2006 until his appointment to the U.S. district court.

I believe all of the other information is already in the RECORD, but I want to reiterate what I know Senator TOOMEY has said. This nominee is qualified by way of experience, intellect, and education, but maybe the most important thing is by way of integrity. He is someone who has the character to serve on the appellate court after serving with distinction on the U.S. district court.

With that, I yield the floor.

Mr. BOOKER. Mr. President, today I wish to submit the nomination of Judge Luis F. Restrepo, the President’s nominee for appointment on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

Filling a vacancy on the third circuit is important to New Jerseyans. Because only a handful of cases each year reach the Supreme Court, circuit courts often have the final word in the vast majority of Federal cases. That means, for most of my constituents who bring cases in Federal courts, the buck stops with circuit. The third circuit currently has two judicial vacancies. The vacant seat that President nominated Judge Restrepo to fill has been declared a judicial emergency. That means it has a very heavy caseload. In fact the third circuit has more than 900 weighted filings per judge. Filling a vacancy on that important Federal appellate court will lower the caseload burden and enable access to justice for more Americans.

Judge Restrepo is a well-qualified individual. There is no question about that. He has over 10 years of experience on the Federal bench. In fact the Senate unanimously confirmed him to serve as a Federal district judge for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Prior to that, he served as a Federal magistrate judge. As a member of the Federal bench, he has presided over 56 trials that have gone to verdict or judgement.

He has a wealth of experience in both public service and private practice. He was a founding member of a Philadelphia law firm, where he practiced both criminal defense and civil rights litigation. He served as an assistant Federal defender with the Community Federal Defender for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and an assistant defender with the Defender Association of Philadelphia. He has relevant experience in both criminal and civil law, which will serve him well as a Federal appellate judge.

Judge Restrepo has excellent legal credentials. He earned his undergraduate degree from the University of Pennsylvania and his law degree from Tulane University Law School.

The work of a Federal appellate judge can often be academic as the job requires a judge to address legal issues of first impression. Judge Restrepo has more than two decades of teaching experience at both the University of Pennsylvania Law School and Temple University James E. Beasley School of Law. He has also taught at National Institute for Trial Advocacy. In addition, he has written numerous articles appearing in a variety of national legal publications.

He has dedicated his time to public service and to bettering his community. He is the former president of the Hispanic Bar Association of Pennsylvania. He served on the board of directors for the Defender Association of Philadelphia and the Make-A-Wish Foundation of Philadelphia and Susquehanna Valley. As a Federal judge, he has also participated in a reentry program to assist people recently released from federal custody to reenter the community and become productive citizens.

I believe he has a wealth of relevant experience and a strong legal background. Other Senators share my confidence in Judge Restrepo. He has the bipartisan support from both Pennsylvania Senators and was voted out of the Judiciary Committee by a unanimous voice vote.

Judge Restrepo’s confirmation is also historic. He will be the first Latino judge from Pennsylvania to serve on the third circuit and only the second Latino to sit on that court. He also has the strong endorsement of the Hispanic National Bar Association. According to that distinguished organization, Judge Restrepo’s “integrity, knowledge of the law, breadth of professional experience, and intellectual capacity make him well suited to sit as a federal appellate judge.” I could not agree more.

I urge my colleagues to confirm Judge Restrepo to the third circuit today.

Thank you.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Florida.

Mr. NELSON. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that I be able to
to display on the Senate floor these two vials of liquid nicotine to tell what just passed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

LIQUID NICOTINE

Mr. NELSON. Mr. President, in the Senate last year we passed the childproofing of caps on liquid nicotine. That legislation just passed today in the House and will go to the President for signature. This is important because we found that these bottles of liquid nicotine for these e-cigarettes, or electronic cigarettes, have not been childproofed. Therefore, if a child gets one of these bottles and it does not have the cap that they can’t get off, we now know the experience of liquid nicotine, indeed it is fatal.

We have had a couple of fatalities in this country. Therefore, it was common sense for us to require—and thankfully, the liquid nicotine industry was not object to make these childproof. But that will now be in the law. Let me point out something. This is aside from the question of whether you should be inhaling this stuff in an e-cigarette. I think people are finding out that this is becoming quite dangerous as well. But aside from that issue, this was the issue of protecting children.

Look at this. It has pictures of fruit all over it, and it is called “Juicy ejuice.” It is something that is going to attract an infant’s or a child’s attention. It is the same thing over here. It has pictures of all kinds of happy things. I have seen others that have labels of juicy fruit. I have seen others that have multicolored labels that are very attractive. Common sense tells us if you are putting a product out that can kill children—just like some of the soaps that are put out for washing in these little plastic bags that disintegrate when they get into water in your dishwasher or in your washing machine, and it smells so good, and they are grape scents—a child smells that and it feels so good and it is so soft. Where is it going to end up in an infant? They are going to put it in their mouth. We have had some deaths there. But that is another battle for another day. At least we have won one little battle.

I am happy to report to the Senate that what we passed in the Senate in a bipartisan manner last year now passed the House today and will go to the President to be signed into law.

Mr. BROWN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TRANS-PACIFIC PARTNERSHIP

Mr. BROWN. Mr. President, I came from an informal hearing—not an official Senate hearing but a hearing with the President. Congresswoman LEVIN, who is the senior Democrat on the Ways and Means Committee. A number of other Members were there, including my colleague from Ohio, Representative KAPITUR, and a number of people the Presiding Officer served with in Congress, such as Senator BANES, RANGEL, PASCHEL, DOGGETT, and SCHIFF. We discussed the Trans-Pacific Partnership.

I spoke earlier on this today. I know Senator MCCONNELL has said that he will not bring it up this year, I think in large part because of the opposition from the country. Senator Lott, the Republican leader, a decade or so ago said that you can’t pass a trade agreement in an even-numbered year. He strongly felt he was a trade agreement. I believe he and most in his party supported NAFTA and CAFTA. He wasn’t here for CAFTA but he was for some of those other trade agreements. But he said that because he felt it was a vote to send money from this country to other countries for these trade agreements in large part because of corporate lobbying. But the public doesn’t want us to vote for these trade agreements.

My first year in Congress, I spent much of the year working in opposition to the North American Free Trade Agreement. I have seen a number of these: NAFTA, PNTR with China, CAFTA, the trade agreement with Korea, big promises about jobs, big claims about jobs, and exaggerated commitments about jobs. Every time we lose jobs from these trade agreements. Our trade deficit is up to a couple billion dollars a day now. But if you buy a billion dollars of products from another country rather than making them yourselves here, rather than American companies making them, we know that costs us jobs. When you think it is $2 billion—almost $2 billion every single day, well over a billion, but the numbers are not precise—in trade deficit, where we buy from other countries more than we export and sell to other countries, we know it is costing us jobs.

One of the other things that came out of this discussion with a number of Ways and Means Committee members, small business, a former trade negotiator, and a union representative there was how we have seen increasingly companies in Little Rock, in Dayton or in Toledo shut down production here and move it overseas and then sell those products back into the United States.

The auto industry has not done much of that. When the auto industry sets up in Asia and are manufacturing cars, they typically sell them in that part of the world. Unfortunately, GM just announced that they are going to be making an SUV plant in China and selling those products back into the United States. That is a terrible trend.

The reason I stopped on the floor before the vote in a couple of minutes is to say this: The Trans-Pacific Partnership has set us up in way that will make it worse. In Canada, the United States, and Mexico—I strongly oppose NAFTA. But under that trade agreement, products in automobiles—almost-two-thirds of all of the components in an automobile—had to be made in one of these three countries. We did not think that would be a good idea. Now we have 12 countries in the Trans-Pacific Partnership and fewer than half the components have to be made in one of these 12 countries.

What does that mean? It means that more than half of an automobile can come from parts made in China but sold in the United States tariff-free under the Trans-Pacific Partnership. How is it possible that makes sense as a policy? That is fundamentally why the Trans-Pacific Partnership does not make sense for our country. It doesn’t make sense for small businesses in Mansfield, OH, or in Springfield, OH, and doesn’t make sense for the up to 600,000 workers in my State—some 600,000 workers who are in the auto supply chain. We know a lot of them will lose jobs under the Trans-Pacific Partnership.

I yielded the rest of my time to Senator LEAHY.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Vermont.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I thank the distinguished Senator from Ohio.

We are finally going to vote on the long overdue confirmation of Judge Luis Felipe Restrepo to fill a judicial emergency vacancy on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the third circuit in Pennsylvania. He was nominated way over a year ago—nearly 14 months ago—with strong bipartisan support from home State Senators. This is a case where, unfortunately, the Republican leadership has subjected Judge Restrepo to totally unnecessary delay as part of their wholesale obstruction of judicial nominees. Their actions hurt not only the people of Pennsylvania, but also Americans across the country as judicial vacancies have remained unfilled nationwide after Republicans took over the Senate majority last year.

I hope that today’s vote and the agreement to vote on four district court nominees this work period signals a return to the Senate fulfilling its constitutional duty of providing advice and consent on the President’s nominees. In all of 2015, Senate Republicans allowed votes on only 11 judicial nominations. This matched the record for confirming the fewest number of judicial nominees in more than half a century. I mentioned earlier because Democrats took the majority in the last 2 years of President Bush’s term. We confirmed 40 judges during that year—
Republicans’ obstruction of highly qualified judicial nominees with strong support, like Judge Restrepo, has resulted in a sharp rise in judicial vacancies. When Senate Republicans took over the majority in January of last year, they nominated 45 judicial vacancies. After 14 months, the number of judicial vacancies deemed to be “emergencies” by the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts because caseloads in those courts are unmanageable has nearly tripled under Republican Senate leadership—from 12 when Republicans took over last year to 35 today. In his annual year-end report, even Chief Justice Roberts drew our attention to the “crushing dockets” and heavy caseloads that strain the Federal judiciary and prevent Americans from obtaining timely justice in our courts.

The high number of vacancies is entirely of the Senate Republican leadership’s making, and Senate action is required to resolve it. The first step is to confirm the recent confirmation of Judge Restrepo, which took over last year to 35 today. In his annual year-end report, even Chief Justice Roberts drew our attention to the “crushing dockets” and heavy caseloads that strain the Federal judiciary and prevent Americans from obtaining timely justice in our courts.

Judge Restrepo exemplifies the kind of consensus nominee that should have been easily confirmed at the end of the session. He is nominated to fill an emergency vacancy on the Third Circuit Court of Appeals, which has two vacant judgeships in Pennsylvania. He has the strong bipartisan support of his home state Senators, Senator CASEY and Senator TOOMEY. In fact, Senator TOOMEY, through a personal endorsement, recommended Judge Restrepo to the President for the nomination. In 2013, this body confirmed Judge Restrepo’s nomination to the Federal district court by voice vote. I have heard no objection from any Senator to Judge Restrepo’s nomination. I cannot believe this man who will be the first Hispanic judge from Pennsylvania for the third circuit was humiliated by having to wait 14 months. This highly qualified Hispanic judge was told to go to the back of the line and wait 14 months. It is wrong. It is absolutely wrong. I will vote to confirm Judge Restrepo. Since 2013, he has served as a judge on the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. For the seven years prior, he served as a Federal magistrate judge on the same court.

Judge Restrepo was in private practice as a named partner at Krasner & Restrepo. Before beginning his legal career serving as a public defender as an Assistant Defender for the Defender Association of Philadelphia before becoming an Assistant Federal Defender for the Federal Community Defender Office for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. He was appointed the Irving R. Segal Lecturer in the requirements of the position for which he was nominated. He has the required qualifications to serve in this role and will serve with distinction and integrity.

Let’s start facing up to the fact that we have enormous problems with judiciary emergencies in States where both Republicans and Democrats have supported the nominees. Let them come forward and serve. Let’s stop making the Federal courts a political pawn. It is bad enough with all the political shenanigans going on in this country anyway in an election year. Don’t do them with the Federal court system. We have the best, the most honest, the least partisan Federal court system anywhere in the world. But don’t say: Oh, you are a highly qualified Hispanic nominee, but you just wait there for 14 months, be humiliated in your appointment, and then call for a vote. I don’t care whether someone is Hispanic or non-Hispanic; we have so many men and women who are highly qualified.

In addition to the nominees pending on the floor, there are also four Pennsylvania district court nominees that the Senate Judiciary Committee is poised to report out this month. I sincerely hope the junior Senator from Pennsylvania can convince the Republican Majority Leader not to submit these four nominees to the extensive confirmation delay that Judge Restrepo endured. The people of Pennsylvania have waited long enough. I also understand that the White House has been working for months with Senator TOOMEY and Senator CASEY on the second Pennsylvania vacancy on the third circuit. I look forward to the Judiciary Committee considering that nomination at the first opportunity.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the Record, as follows:

MARCH 31, 2015
Re Hispanic National Bar Association Endorsement of Honorable Luis Felipe Restrepo to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

Hon. CHUCK GRASSLEY,
U.S. Senator,
Washington, DC.

Hon. PATRICK LEAHY,
U.S. Senator,
Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN GRASSLEY AND RANKING MEMBER LEAHY: On behalf of the Hispanic National Bar Association ("HNBA"), we write to recommend the confirmation of the Honorable Luis Felipe Restrepo to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

As explained, Judge Restrepo has all the requisite qualifications to serve in this role and will serve with distinction and integrity.

The HNBA is a non-profit, non-partisan national membership association that represents the interests of Hispanic attorneys, judges, law professors, law students, and legal professionals in the United States and Puerto Rico. One of the HNBA’s many institutional objectives is to advocate and work to ensure that the federal and state courts in our nation are diverse and reflect the citizenry that come before our courts daily.

Judge Restrepo sought the HNBA’s endorsement shortly after President Obama nominated him to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. The HNBA conducted a thorough due diligence process that included interviews of personal and professional references (including judges and attorneys), a review of his scholarly writings and legal opinions, and a thorough Internet search. We also have considered background and qualifications in the context of the requirements for which he was nominated, as well as the requirements of the HNBA’s Policies and Procedures Governing Judicial Endorsements. After a careful review, it is clear that Judge Restrepo possesses the professional expertise, experience, personal integrity and judicial temperament to distinguish himself as a federal appellate judge. Accordingly, we urge you to confirm his nomination to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

Prior to being sworn in as a District Judge for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania in 2006, Judge Restrepo was a highly regarded Philadelphia attorney and founding member of the firm of Krasner & Restrepo, concentrating on criminal defense and civil rights litigation. Before forming his law firm, he served as an assistant federal defender with the Community Federal Defender for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and an assistant defender for the Defender Association of Philadelphia. He is an adjunct professor at Temple University and adjunct professor at the University of Pennsylvania School of Law since 2004 and has taught with the National Institute for Trial Advocacy in regional and national programs since 1991. He
has been a lecturer at seminars sponsored by a number of agencies and organizations and has written numerous articles appearing in a variety of national publications. Throughout his career, Judge Restrepo has stood out as an exceptional role model for community involvement and civic participation. He has devoted his time and expertise to a variety of boards and commissions as well as the Eastern District prisoner reentry program.

The HNBA’s due diligence process has confirmed that Judge Restrepo’s integrity, knowledge of the law, breadth of professional experience, and intellectual capacity make him well suited to sit as a federal appellate judge. Accordingly, it is with great pride that we take this privilege of recommending his confirmation to serve as a Judge of the United States Circuit Court for the Third Circuit. Please do not hesitate to contact us at the HNBA National Office at (202) 223-4777, or you may contact Cynthia D. Mares directly at (720) 314-1295 or by e-mail at president@hnba.com, if we can be of any further assistance.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

CYNTHIA D. MARES,
HNBA National President.

ROBERT RABEN,
Chair, HNBA Judiciary Committee.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I know the time for the vote is upon us. Have the yeas and nays been ordered?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. They have not.

Mr. LEAHY. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

Mr. LEAHY. I yield back all time, and I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, all time is yielded back.

The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the nomination of Luis Felipe Restrepo of Pennsylvania to be United States Circuit Judge for the Third Circuit?

The yeas and nays have been ordered. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. CORNYN. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. CASSIDY), the Senator from Indiana (Mr. COATS), the Senator from Idaho (Mr. CRAPAO), the Senator from Texas (Mr. CRUZ), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. GRAHAM), the Senator from Georgia (Mr. ISAKSON), the Senator from Florida (Mr. RUBIO), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. SCOTT), and the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. VITTER).

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Minnesota (Mr. FRANKEN), the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS), and the Senator from Michigan (Ms. STABENOW) are necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. LANKFORD). Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 82, nays 6, as follows:

[Ballot Call Vote No. 1 Ex.]

YEAS—82

Alexander  Fischer  Murphy
Ayotte  Flake  Murray
Baldwin  Gardner  Nelson
Barrasso  Grassley  Paul
Bennett  Hatch  Perdue
Blenheim  Hoeven  Peters
Boozman  Harkin  Portman
Boyer  Hirono  Reed
Brown  Hoeven  Reid
Burr  Hoeven  Roberts
Calvert  Johnson  Rounds
Capito  Kaine  Sasse
Cardin  King  Schatz
Casper  Kirk  Shaheen
Cashe  Klobuchar  Schumacher
Cochran  Lankford  Shuster
Collins  Leahy  Tester
Coons  Manchin  Thune
Corker  Markley  Tillis
Coryn  McCain  Toomey
Cotton  McCaskill  Udall
Cullum  McConnel  Warner
Donnelly  Menendez  Warner
Durbin  Merkley  Whitehouse
Ehren  Mikulski  Wicker
Enet  Moran  Wyden
Feinstein  Murkowski  Wyden

NAYS—6

Blunt  Lee  Sessions
Inhofe  Risch  Shelby

NOT VOTING—12

Cassidy  Franken  Sanders
Coats  Graham  Scott
Crapo  Isakson  Stabenow
Cruz  Rubio  Vitter

The nomination was confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid upon the table and the President will be immediately notified of the Senate's action.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate will resume legislative action.

MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Rhode Island.

CLIMATE CHANGE

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Happy new year. Nothing says “Happy new year” like the “Time to Wake Up” speech, so I will kick off 2016 with my year-opener “Time to Wake Up” speech recapping some of last year’s climate change milestones.

They say you only get one chance to make a first impression, and the first chance is the one you make in 2015. I opened 2015 with a big oil bang but crept out of the year with a whimper. Things indeed changed in 2015. Of course, the scientific evidence continued to show that fossil fuel pollution was damaging our environment and our oceans and our economy. And 2015 was record-setting hot. As we will see, November shows that 2015 is on track to being the hottest year globally since we began keeping records in 1880. We can see that the 2015 running monthly global temperature average is above the 6° median years on record in every month for which data is available.

The Director of NASA’s Goddard Institute for Space Studies estimates the probability of 2015 being the hottest on record at better than 99 percent. He has labeled 2015 a “scorcher.” But that won’t be official until later this month. It is no fluke.

The World Meteorological Organization reports the recent 5-year period—2011 to 2015—as the warmest 5-year period on record, and 2015 was the first year where monthly average carbon dioxide concentrations exceeded 400 parts per million, and it did so for more than 3 months. Bear in mind that for as long as human beings have been on this planet, Earth, we have existed safely in a range of 170 to 300 parts per million. We are outside of that by almost the entire range, and we know this from ice cores which contain tiny bubbles of ancient atmospheres. I saw those ice cores last October at Ohio State University. World-renowned atmospheric scientists, the husband-and-wife team Dr. Ellen Mosley Thompson and Dr. Lonnie Thompson, worked for years to retrieve cores from around the world and to test the ancient air captured inside. The lesson of these cores is that humans have fundamentally altered the chemistry of the Earth’s air and that our greenhouse gas emissions are rapidly altering our climate. Scientists now say that we have so altered the Earth as to consider ourselves in a new geologic epoch, the Anthropocene.

In 2015, the oceans kept shouting at us to wake up. Throughout 2015, evidence continued to document our oceans warming, rising, and acidifying. And 2015 brought the first nationwide study assessing the vulnerability of America’s $1 billion shellfish industry to ocean acidification, documenting the risk to 15 coastal States, such as Louisiana, Texas, Maine, and Rhode Island.

The Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences in October reported on climate change’s threats to fish integral to human diets, predicting a dramatic collapse in the world’s largest ecosystem, our oceans. The great corrupt denial machine the fossil fuel industry supports never talks about oceans. They talk about evidence; it is just an obstacle to their fossil fuel PR campaign. They just want to create phony doubt. But since there is not much room for doubt...
In measurements of warming, rising, and acidifying seas, they won't go there. Nevertheless, 2015 was another bad year for oceans.

Mr. President, 2015 was also the year journalists, academics, and investigators took a hard look at how big, powerful, and networks of influence and fossil fuel money controlling politics. Report after report showed fossil fuel money pouring into dozens of front groups, creating phony doubt and controversy, then propagated through media outlets and into the tank to the fossil fuel industry, such as FOX News and the Wall Street Journal editorial page.

If you doubt that climate change is real, you have been had. It is really that simple. It is a racket. And 2015 brought so much change that the EPA launched the Clean Power Plan, our Nation's most ambitious effort yet. It is the first-ever plan to reduce carbon emissions. For the first time, a majority of self-identified Republicans now believe there is solid evidence of global warming. And if you doubt that climate change is real, you have been had. It is really a fraud of historic proportions.

Perhaps the biggest milestone of 2015 was the Paris agreement reached in December, with 190 countries agreeing to take action to address climate change. One key element was that more than 150 major U.S. companies signed on to the American Business Act on Climate Pledge, calling for strong outcomes in the Paris climate negotiations. These companies' operations together span all 50 States, they employ nearly 11 million people, they represent more than $4.2 trillion in annual revenue, and they have a combined market capitalization of over $7 trillion. These are blue-chip American icons such as AT&T, Coca-Cola and UPS of Georgia, Procter & Gamble of Ohio, and Walmart of Arkansas. How long can Republicans ignore them?

You know the phrase about lipstick on a pig? Well, 2015 brought so much change that even the big fossil fuel pigs felt they had to try on a little lipstick. Typical of them, it was bogus—just enough happy talk about climate change and carbon fees to get the CEOs through a public hearing without being shunned, while here in Congress, their whole brutal political apparatus, up to and including the U.S. Chamber of Commerce—which these corporations' operators, Bill Ruckelshaus, Christine Todd Whitman, Lee Thomas, and Bill Reilly, wrote: "There's no dispute among economists on the most cost-effective way to reduce emissions: a carbon tax.

Well, we have one. In 2015, the conservative American Enterprise Institute hosted the announcement of my legislation with Senator SCHATZ, creating a revenue-neutral carbon fee, with most—most—of the revenue kept with the states to reduce corporate tax reductions and personal tax rebates. We have gone to exactly where Republicans are pointing. So please, colleagues, take yes for an answer. Join us, and let's get to work.

Mr. President, 2015 was a year the tide turned in Congress, from that opening Keystone Pipeline political fanfare to the buried, quiet, end-of-the-year votes on the President’s Clean Power Plan, with the Republicans even voting to support President Obama on those votes. It was a turning year and a new year now begins. We still need to wake up. We still need to get to work. We still have a duty before us, and it is a duty we should not shirk. I pray that 2016 will be the year, and I promise to do everything in my power to make it the year.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.
The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

BUDGET SCOREKEEPING REPORT

Mr. ENZI. Mr. President, I wish to submit to the Senate the budget scorekeeping report for January 2016. The report compares current law levels.
of spending and revenues with the amounts provided in the conference re-
port to accompany S. Con. Res. 11, the budget resolution for fiscal year 2016.
This information is necessary to deter-
mine whether budget points of order lie against pending legislation. It is
preparing by the Republican staff of the Senate Budget Committee and the Con-
gressional Budget Office, CBO, pursuant
to section 308(b) of the Congress-
ional Budget Act, CBA.

The first spending report for this calendar year but the fifth re-
port I have made since adoption of the
fiscal year 2016 budget resolution on
May 5, 2015. My last filing can be found in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD on
December 2, 2015. The information con-
tained in this report is current through
January 5, 2016.

Table 1 gives the amount by which each Senate authorizing committee is
below or exceeds its allocation under the budget resolution. This informa-
tion is used for enforcing committee allocations pursuant to section 302 of
the CBA. Over the fiscal year 2016–2025 period, which is the entire period
covered by S. Con. Res. 11, Senate author-
izing committees have spent $148 bil-
lion more than the budget resolution
calls for.

Table 2 gives the amount by which the Senate Committee on Appropriations
is below or exceeds the statutory spending limits. This information is
used to determine points of order li-
ated to the spending caps found in sec-
section 312 and section 314 of the CBA.
On December 18, 2015, the President signed
H.R. 2029, the Consolidated Appropriations
Act, 2016, P.L. 114–113, into law. This bill provided regular appropria-
tions and the crime victims fund limit, $1.8
billion. This table excludes spending pursuant to adjustments to the discre-
Table 3 gives the amount by which the Senate Committee on Appropriations
is below or exceeds its allocation for overseas contingency operations/
imey, and the crime victims fund limit, $1.8
billion. This table excludes spending pursuant to adjustments to the discre-

In addition to the tables provided by the Senate Budget Committee Repub-
lican staff, I am submitting additional tables prepared by CBO that will
enforce of budget levels agreed to by the
Congress.

For fiscal year 2016, CBO estimates that current law levels are $138.9 bil-
lion and $193.6 billion above the budget resolution levels for budget authority
and outlays, respectively. Revenues are $155.2 billion below the level assumed
in the budget resolution. Finally, So-
Social Security outlays are at the levels assumed in the budget resolution for
fiscal year 2016, while Social Security revenues are $23 million below assumed
levels for the budget year.

CBO’s report also provides information needed to enforce the Senate’s
pay-as-you-go rule. The Senate’s pay-
as-you-go scorecard currently shows deficit reduction of $20.5 billion over the fiscal year 2015–2020 period and $95.6 billion over the fiscal year 2015–2025 period. Over the initial 6-year period, Congress has enacted legislation that would increase revenues by $17 billion and decrease outlays by $3.5 billion. Over the 11-year period, Congress has enacted legislation that would increase revenues by $36.7 billion and decrease outlays by $56.9 billion. The Senate’s pay-as-you-go rule is enforced by sec-
Table 2: —Senate Appropriations Committee—
Enacted Regular Discretionary Appropriations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, Nutrition, and For-\n</td>
<td>Budget Authority</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arms, and Forestry</td>
<td>Outlays</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armed Services</td>
<td>Budget Authority</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>-518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>Outlays</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs</td>
<td>Budget Authority</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and Infrastructure</td>
<td>Budget Authority</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy and Natural Resources</td>
<td>Budget Authority</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment and Public Works</td>
<td>Budget Authority</td>
<td>2,880</td>
<td>19,432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>Budget Authority</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>41,605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Relations</td>
<td>Budget Authority</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeland Security and Govern-\n</td>
<td>Budget Authority</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ment Affairs</td>
<td>Outlays</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice</td>
<td>Budget Authority</td>
<td>3,258</td>
<td>5,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health, Education, Labor, and</td>
<td>Outlays</td>
<td>1,713</td>
<td>5,862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce</td>
<td>Budget Authority</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table excludes spending pursuant to adjustments to the discre-

1 This table excludes spending pursuant to adjustments to the discre-

2 Security spending is defined as spending in the National Defense bud-
get (section 505) and nonsecurity spending as all other spending.

TABLE 2.—SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE—
Entended Regular Discretionary Appropriations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, Rural Development, and</td>
<td>Budget Authority</td>
<td>548,091</td>
<td>518,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Agencies</td>
<td>Outlays</td>
<td>21,750</td>
<td>21,750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Commerce, Justice, Science, and Re-
| lated Agencies | Budget Authority | 5,101 | 50,621 |
| Education, and Related Agencies | Outlays | 32,159 | 32,159 |
| Labor, Health and Human Services, | Budget Authority | 4,225 | 4,225 |
| Education and Related Agencies | Outlays | 162,127 | 162,127 |
| Transportation and Related Agencies | Budget Authority | 4,363 | 4,363 |
| State and Foreign Operations, and Related | Outlays | 71,698 | 71,698 |
| Programs | Budget Authority | 349 | 349 |
| Transportation and Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies | Outlays | 57,091 | 57,091 |
| Current Level Total | 548,091 | 518,491 | 518,491 |

1 This allocation may be adjusted by the Chairman of the Senate Appropriations Subcommit-
2 Security spending is defined as spending in the National Defense budget (section 505) and nonsecurity spending as all other spending.

TABLE 3.—SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE—
Enacted Regular Discretionary Appropriations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OCO/GWOT Allocation</td>
<td>73,693</td>
<td>32,079</td>
<td>32,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, Rural Development, and Related Agencies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies</td>
<td>58,638</td>
<td>27,654</td>
<td>27,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense</td>
<td>58,638</td>
<td>27,654</td>
<td>27,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy and Water Development</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Services and General Government</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeland Security</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Branch</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Military Construction and Veterans Af-
| fairs, and Related Agencies | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| State and Foreign Operations, and Related Programs | 14,905 | 4,597 | 4,597 |
| Transportation and Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Current Level Total | 73,693 | 32,079 | 32,079 |

This allocation may be adjusted by the Chairman of the Senate Appropriations Subcommit-
1 This allocation may be adjusted by the Chairman of the Senate Appropriations Subcommit-
2 Security spending is defined as spending in the National Defense budget (section 505) and nonsecurity spending as all other spending.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget Authority (in millions of dollars)</th>
<th>Outlays (in millions of dollars)</th>
<th>Revenues (in millions of dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHIMPS Limit for Fiscal Year 2016</td>
<td>19,100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate Appropriations Subcommittees</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, Rural Development, and Related Agencies</td>
<td>9,458</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Development, Housing and Urban Development</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy and Water Development</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies</td>
<td>6,799</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Branch</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Construction and Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Foreign Operations, and Related Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Level Total</td>
<td>17,786</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>-1,314</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CHIMPS Above (+) or Below (−) Budget Resolution</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>-1,314</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
- n.a. = not applicable
- P.L. = Public Law

**Source:** Congressional Budget Office.
Congressional Record — Senate

January 11, 2016

S25

HONORING TECHNICAL SERGEANT JOSEPH G. LEMM

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I would like to pay tribute today to the life of TSgt Joseph G. Lemm who was killed while serving his country in Afghanistan. Joe was born in Dubuque, IA, and lived in the nearby town of Bernard as a young child.

He was a police officer in New York City and served in the New York Air National Guard. Clearly, his was a life of public service, defending our fellow Americans both at home and abroad. His willingness to repeatedly put himself in harm’s way speaks volumes about his courage and character.

I am told that he was often called Superman, and like Superman, Joe spent his life defending “Truth, Justice, and the American Way.” He will be remembered for his extraordinary love of country and family.

My prayers are sent to his wife, Christine; his daughter, Brooke; his son, Ryan; as well as his mother, Shirley, and his father, Charles. Their premature loss will leave an enormous hole in their lives, but they can be very proud of the life Joe lived.

TRIBUTE TO REAR ADMIRAL JOAN HUNTER

Mr. DONELLY. Mr. President, today I wish to recognize the efforts of RADM Joan Hunter during her tenure as the Assistant Joint Surgeon at the National Guard Bureau, Joint Surgeon General’s Office, Psychological Health, NGB, JSG-PH.

In this capacity, RADM Hunter has served as principal staff and adviser to the Chief of the National Guard Bureau. As a member of the Joint Surgeon’s Office, RADM Hunter partnered with the JI, Manpower and Personnel Directorate, and the J32, the Counterdrug Division, to direct services to address the psychological health needs of Guard members and their families. Her most significant contribution was building the National Guard’s psychological health program, which meant placing a director of psychological health in every state, wing, and territory based on the Department

TABLE 3.—SUMMARY OF THE SENATE PAY-AS-YOU-GO SCORECARD FOR THE 114TH CONGRESS, AS OF JANUARY 5, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2015-2020</th>
<th>2015-2025</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose of enacting section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excluded Legislation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran Nuclear Agreement Review Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-17)</td>
<td>n.e.</td>
<td>n.e.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-22)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniting and Strengthening America by Fulfilling Rights and Ensuring Effective Discipline Over Monitoring Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-23)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An Act to extend the authority to carry out the replacement of the existing medical center of the Department of Veterans Affairs in Denver, Colorado (P.L. 114-24)</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bills Town Centennial Commemorative Coin Act (P.L. 114-30)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Gibson Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-40)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Transportation and Veterans Health Care Choice Improvement Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-44)</td>
<td>-1,552</td>
<td>-6,924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture Reauthorization Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-54)</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Veterans Affairs Expiring Authorities Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-58)</td>
<td>3,451</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protecting Affordable Coverage for Employees Act (P.L. 114-60)</td>
<td>-95,626</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold Star Fathers Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-62)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensuring Access to Clinical Trials Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-63)</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive Family Relief Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-69)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-70)</td>
<td>-194</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-74)</td>
<td>-15,050</td>
<td>-71,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illegal, Unreported, and Unregulated Fishing Enforcement Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-81)</td>
<td>-3,845</td>
<td>-18,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery Improvements for Small Entities After Disaster Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-84)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving Regulatory Transparency for New Medical Therapies Act (P.L. 114-88)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity in Government Compensation Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-93)</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixing America’s Surface Transportation Act (P.L. 114-94)</td>
<td>-3,845</td>
<td>-18,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving Access to Emergency Psychiatric Care Act (P.L. 114-97)</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast Cancer Research Stamp Reauthorization Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-99)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holographic International Financing Prevention Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-102)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem Cell Therapeutic and Research Reauthorization Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-104)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Perkins Loan Program Extension Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-105)</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securing Fairness in Regulatory Transitions Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-106)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Guard and Reservist Debt Relief Extension Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-107)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Improper Payments Coordination Act of 2015 (P.L. 114-109)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 (P.L. 114-113)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Access and Medicare Protection Act, 2016 (P.L. 114-115)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Balance</td>
<td>-20,481</td>
<td>-95,626</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Memorandum:

Beginning Balance | 0 | 0 |

Notes: n.e. = not able to estimate; P.L. = Public Law. * = between $500,000 and $500,000.

Source: Congressional Budget Office.

Pursuant to section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and section 4313 of S. Con. Res. 11, the Senate Pay-As-You-Go Scorecard was reset to zero.

Pursuant to S. Con. Res. 11, the Senate Pay-As-You-Go Scorecard does not include these items.

Pursuant to S. Con. Res. 11, the Senate Pay-As-You-Go Scorecard does not include budget authority, outlays, or revenues for off-budget amounts. As a result, current level does not include these items.

Pursuant to section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, as approved by the Senate, does not include budget authority, outlays, or revenues for off-budget amounts. As a result, current level does not include these items.
of Defense’s Mental Health Task Force Report recommendations. As a commissioned officer in the U.S. Public Health Service, RADM Hunter is a shining example of how the whole government can come together to address mental health issues in the military.

I have had the honor and pleasure of working closely with RADM Hunter during her time at NGB, and I am grateful for her leadership, energy, and innovation. Mental health is a critical readiness issue for all our servicemembers, and the Department of Defense has made important progress in improving the mental health and resilience of our force. Unfortunately, in the past, the unique needs and challenges faced by our Guard members and Reservists were often neglected by programs designed to serve the Active component. Under RADM Hunter’s direction, that is changing. She has made a real, tangible impact on the lives of Guard members, and in doing so, she has obvious service to our Nation and our communities.

RADM Hunter is a champion in the fight to combat military suicide, improve mental health and resiliency among our servicemembers, and field the strongest fighting force the world has ever known. She has been an especially valued partner in this undertaking, and while she will be sorely missed at NGB, I know she will continue to do great things for our country. I wish RADM Hunter the best of luck in her new assignment and thank her for her dedicated service to our men and women in the National Guard.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

RECOGNIZING THE 100TH PENNSYLVANIA FARM SHOW

Mr. TOOMEY. Mr. President, I wish to commerorate the 100th Pennsylvania Farm Show, which is being held this week in Harrisburg, PA.

Established in 1917, the Pennsylvania Farm Show is held every January and showcases the Commonwealth’s vibrant farming traditions and finest foods. With 24 acres of exhibition space, it is the Nation’s largest indoor agricultural event. This year’s show will display more than 13,000 exhibits and is anticipated to draw half a million visitors from across the Nation.

The farm show always provides free admission and allows everyone the chance to learn more about Pennsylvania agriculture. It hosts a wide variety of events and displays including livestock exhibits, art displays, and educational workshops.

In addition to its hands-on exhibits, the farm show allows visitors to sample products that are grown and produced in Pennsylvania. Farmers display their fruits and vegetables while vendors sell local favorites, including pretzels, apple butter, and shoofly pie. As the occupant of the Senate candy desk, I would be remiss not to recognize the small, family-owned candy companies that also sell their products at the farm show.

With a nod toward education, the Pennsylvania Farm Show sponsors the scholarship foundation for students pursuing their post-secondary education in the agriculture field. Since its creation, the foundation has donated over $1 million in scholarships to youth involved in 4-H, Future Farmers of America, and other agriculture organizations. It is encouraging to see such a strong commitment to agriculture’s continued success in Pennsylvania for the foreseeable future.

This week my family and I will attend the 2016 Pennsylvania Farm Show. Farming is a vital component to Pennsylvania’s economy, and I am proud of our State’s dairy, livestock, and agriculture products. I look forward to the farm show every year, and I encourage all Pennsylvanians to attend this event to experience firsthand our State’s rich agriculture history.

CONGRATULATING MIKE SULLIVAN

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, it is with great pleasure that I wish to honor my friend, former Wyoming Governor Mike Sullivan, who is being recognized as the 2016 National Western Stock Show’s Citizen of the West. It is fitting that Mike was chosen for this special award. He joins a long line of honorees known for their values, ingenuity, and hard work. Mike, a cowboy in every sense of the word, carries those traits and many more in his heart and soul.

Mike grew up in the prairie lands of Douglas, WY. His formative years were spent riding horses, shooting coffee cans, and enjoying the vast opportunities for recreation around the area. This appreciation led to a lifelong love of the State and her people.

He was enamedored with one Wyoming native in particular. Mike met Jane Metzler, who was born in Riverton and raised in Powell, during their studies at the University of Wyoming. Both of them were involved in social clubs and organizations. They even served together in the Associated Students of the University of Wyoming and the Wyoming State Senate. In 1961, the sweethearts were married. As they put down roots in Casper, they never lost sight of the important values that guide the people of our great State.

Wyoming is the first State to adopt an official code of ethics, which we proudly call our Cowboy Ethics. This list of 10 principles serves as a guide for the modern cowboy and represents the distinct values that the American West is famous for.

One of the tenets, “Take pride in your work,” brings to mind Mike and his incredible work ethic. With a petroleum engineering degree and a law degree, both earned at the University of Wyoming, Mike set out to practice law. Well-loved and respected by many in the State, he ran—and was elected—to be Wyoming’s 29th Governor in 1986. During his two terms, he governed the way he practiced law, with a common sense and general decency.

His leadership was crucial as at that time the State was experiencing one of the top school districts in the State of New Hampshire.

The town’s population has grown from 1,029 residents in 1790 to over 4,900 in 2013. The people of Lee have a strong commitment to the spirit of community and volunteerism as evidenced by the hard work and dedication of residents involved with the planning of many events to celebrate the town’s 250th anniversary.

Lee and its residents have greatly contributed to the life and growth of New Hampshire. I ask my colleagues to join me in extending congratulations to the people of Lee as they celebrate the town’s 250th anniversary.
its most economically trying periods. Falling oil and gas prices provided an opportunity for him to reach across the aisle and work with Democrats and Republicans alike to develop solutions to benefit the State and her residents. He is well known for his bipartisanship, which he brought to the helm and has resulted in a better quality of life for everyone living in the West.

His political career did not end after serving as Governor. In 1999, President Bill Clinton appointed him to serve as the U.S. Ambassador to Ireland. He graciously accepted the position, and he and Jane moved to Dublin. His service as Ambassador surpassed all expectations of success. Mike was instrumental in the implementation of the Good Friday Agreement in the United Kingdom. With his special brand of warmth, humility, and integrity, Mike dutifully served both the United States and the world in this important role.

Another of the principles listed in the Cowboy Ethics code is “Ride for the brand.” Upon meeting him, it is immediately apparent that Mike lives and breathes the spirit of the West. He has an intimate knowledge of the issues facing western States today, including the challenges balancing energy development with natural resource preservation.

He is a national leader, and his passion for the State has served him well in major important roles. Following his tenure as Governor, he was the chairman of the Western Governors’ Association, as well as the Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission, which focuses on the responsible, environmentally sound development of America’s oil and gas resources. He has won numerous awards in honor of his service and commitment to giving back, including a Distinguished Service Medal from the Wyoming National Guard, an award of merit from the Wyoming Environmental Quality and Safety Council on Human Resources from 1968–1970 as well as the Arkansas Council on Human Resources.

Ozell Sutton was named the 2016 Citizen of the West. We simply could not ask for a better leader, role model, or friend.

REMEMBERING OZELL SUTTON

- Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, today I wish to recognize the life and legacy of civil rights activist Ozell Sutton. A native of Gould, AR, Sutton paved the way for desegregation in the Natural State and throughout the South alongside Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., and other civil rights leaders. After graduating from Dubar High School in Little Rock, Sutton studied at Philander Smith College where he earned a degree in political science.

- He broke barriers as the Arkansas Democrat’s first Black journalist. In 2012, he shared the story of his hiring, saying that he didn’t know anything about journalism but was hired because of his tenure as Governor, he was the chairman of the Western Governors’ Association, as well as the Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission, which focuses on the responsible, environmentally sound development of America’s oil and gas resources. He has won numerous awards in honor of his service and commitment to giving back, including a Distinguished Service Medal from the Wyoming National Guard, an award of merit from the Wyoming Environmental Quality and Safety Council on Human Resources from 1968–1970 as well as the Arkansas Council on Human Resources.

- Following the death of Dr. King, he served Governor Winthrop Rockefeller as the director of the Governor’s Council on Human Relations from 1961 to 1966 and joined civil rights leaders to pave the way for equality across the country. He joined the historic march on Washington and marched for voting rights in Selma.

- He led integration efforts in Arkansas while serving as assistant director of the Arkansas Council on Human Relations from 1961 to 1966 and joined civil rights leaders to pave the way for equality across the country. He joined the historic march on Washington and marched for voting rights in Selma.

- Following the death of Dr. King, he served Governor Winthrop Rockefeller as the director of the Governor’s Council on Human Resources from 1961 to 1966 and joined civil rights leaders to pave the way for equality across the country. He joined the historic march on Washington and marched for voting rights in Selma.

- He led integration efforts in Arkansas while serving as assistant director of the Arkansas Council on Human Relations from 1961 to 1966 and joined civil rights leaders to pave the way for equality across the country. He joined the historic march on Washington and marched for voting rights in Selma.

- Following the death of Dr. King, he served Governor Winthrop Rockefeller as the director of the Governor’s Council on Human Relations from 1961 to 1966 and joined civil rights leaders to pave the way for equality across the country. He joined the historic march on Washington and marched for voting rights in Selma.

- He led integration efforts in Arkansas while serving as assistant director of the Arkansas Council on Human Relations from 1961 to 1966 and joined civil rights leaders to pave the way for equality across the country. He joined the historic march on Washington and marched for voting rights in Selma.

- Following the death of Dr. King, he served Governor Winthrop Rockefeller as the director of the Governor’s Council on Human Relations from 1961 to 1966 and joined civil rights leaders to pave the way for equality across the country. He joined the historic march on Washington and marched for voting rights in Selma.

- He led integration efforts in Arkansas while serving as assistant director of the Arkansas Council on Human Relations from 1961 to 1966 and joined civil rights leaders to pave the way for equality across the country. He joined the historic march on Washington and marched for voting rights in Selma.

- Following the death of Dr. King, he served Governor Winthrop Rockefeller as the director of the Governor’s Council on Human Relations from 1961 to 1966 and joined civil rights leaders to pave the way for equality across the country. He joined the historic march on Washington and marched for voting rights in Selma.

- He led integration efforts in Arkansas while serving as assistant director of the Arkansas Council on Human Relations from 1961 to 1966 and joined civil rights leaders to pave the way for equality across the country. He joined the historic march on Washington and marched for voting rights in Selma.

- Following the death of Dr. King, he served Governor Winthrop Rockefeller as the director of the Governor’s Council on Human Relations from 1961 to 1966 and joined civil rights leaders to pave the way for equality across the country. He joined the historic march on Washington and marched for voting rights in Selma.

- He led integration efforts in Arkansas while serving as assistant director of the Arkansas Council on Human Relations from 1961 to 1966 and joined civil rights leaders to pave the way for equality across the country. He joined the historic march on Washington and marched for voting rights in Selma.

- Following the death of Dr. King, he served Governor Winthrop Rockefeller as the director of the Governor’s Council on Human Relations from 1961 to 1966 and joined civil rights leaders to pave the way for equality across the country. He joined the historic march on Washington and marched for voting rights in Selma.

- He led integration efforts in Arkansas while serving as assistant director of the Arkansas Council on Human Relations from 1961 to 1966 and joined civil rights leaders to pave the way for equality across the country. He joined the historic march on Washington and marched for voting rights in Selma.

- Following the death of Dr. King, he served Governor Winthrop Rockefeller as the director of the Governor’s Council on Human Relations from 1961 to 1966 and joined civil rights leaders to pave the way for equality across the country. He joined the historic march on Washington and marched for voting rights in Selma.

- He led integration efforts in Arkansas while serving as assistant director of the Arkansas Council on Human Relations from 1961 to 1966 and joined civil rights leaders to pave the way for equality across the country. He joined the historic march on Washington and marched for voting rights in Selma.
MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT

Messages from the President of the United States were communicated to the Senate by Mr. Fate, one of his secretaries.

EXECUTIVE MESSAGES REFERRED

As in executive session the Presiding Officer laid before the Senate messages from the President of the United States submitting sundry nominations and withdrawals which were referred to the appropriate committees.

(Please refer to today’s Senate proceedings.)

PRESIDENTIAL MESSAGES

REPORT OF THE VETO OF S.J. RES. 23, PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL UNDER CHAPTER 8 OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, OF A RULE SUBMITTED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY RELATING TO “STANDARDS OF PERFORMANCE FOR GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS FROM NEW, MODIFIED, AND RECONSTRUCTED STATIONARY SOURCES: ELECTRIC UTILITY GENERATING UNITS”, RECEIVED DURING ADJOURNMENT OF THE SENATE ON DECEMBER 18, 2015—PM 34

The PRESIDING OFFICER laid before the Senate the following message from the President of the United States which was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, spread in full upon the Journal, and held at the desk:

MEMORANDUM OF DISAPPROVAL

S.J. Res. 23 is a joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5 of the United States Code of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) relating to “Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units.” This resolution would nullify the Clean Power Plan, the first national standards to address climate-disturbing greenhouse gas pollution from existing power plants. Accordingly, I am withholding my approval of this resolution. (The Pocket Veto Case, 279 U.S. 655 (1929)).

Climate change poses a profound threat to our future and future generations. Atmospheric levels of carbon dioxide, a primary greenhouse gas, are higher than they have been in at least 800,000 years. In 2009, EPA determined that greenhouse gas pollution endangers Americans’ health and welfare by causing long-lasting changes in the climate system. We are already having, a range of negative effects on human health, the climate, and the environment. We are already seeing the impacts of climate change, and established science confirms that we will experience stronger storms, deeper droughts, longer wildfire seasons, and other intensified impacts as the planet warms. The Pentagon has determined that climate change poses immediate risks to our national security.

Power plants are the largest source of greenhouse gas pollution in our country. Although we have limits on other dangerous pollutants from power plants, the carbon pollution standards and the Clean Power Plan ensure that we will maintain standards to reduce the amount of carbon pollution that our power plants can emit.

The carbon pollution standards will ensure that, when we make major investments in power generation infrastructure, we also deploy available technologies to make that infrastructure low-emitting as possible. By blocking these standards from taking effect, S.J. Res. 23 would delay our transition to cleaner electricity generation technologies enabling continued build-out of outdated, high-polluting infrastructure. Because it would overturn carbon pollution standards that are critical to protecting against climate change and ensuring the health and well-being of our Nation, I cannot support the resolution.

To leave no doubt that the resolution is being vetoed, in addition to withholding my signature, I am returning S.J. Res. 23 to the Secretary of the Senate, along with this Memorandum of Disapproval.

BARACK OBAMA.

THE WHITE HOUSE, December 18, 2015.

ONE HUNDRED FOURTEENTH CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AT THE FIRST SESSION

Began and held at the City of Washington on Tuesday, the sixth day of January, two thousand and fifteen

JOINT RESOLUTION

Providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to “Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units.”

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That Congress disapproves the rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to “Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units” (published at 80 Fed. Reg. 64510 (October 23, 2015)), and such rule shall have no force or effect.

PAUL D. RYAN, Speaker of the House of Representatives.

OREN HATCH,

President of the Senate pro tempore.

REPORT OF THE VETO OF S.J. RES. 24, PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL UNDER CHAPTER 8 OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, OF THE RULE SUBMITTED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY RELATING TO “CARBON POLLUTION EMISSION GUIDELINES FOR EXISTING STATIONARY SOURCES: ELECTRIC UTILITY GENERATING UNITS”, RECEIVED DURING ADJOURNMENT OF THE SENATE ON DECEMBER 18, 2015—PM 35

The PRESIDING OFFICER laid before the Senate the following message from the President of the United States which was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, spread in full upon the Journal, and held at the desk:

MEMORANDUM OF DISAPPROVAL

S.J. Res. 24 is a joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5 of the United States Code of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) relating to “Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units.” This resolution would nullify the Clean Power Plan, the first national standards to address climate-disturbing greenhouse gas pollution from existing power plants. Accordingly, I am withholding my approval of this resolution. (The Pocket Veto Case, 279 U.S. 655 (1929)).

Climate change poses a profound threat to our future and future generations. Atmospheric levels of carbon dioxide, a primary greenhouse gas, are higher than they have been in at least 800,000 years. In 2009, EPA determined that greenhouse gas pollution endangers Americans’ health and welfare by causing long-lasting changes in the climate that can have, and are already having, a range of negative effects on human health, the climate, and the environment. We are already seeing the impacts of climate change, and established science confirms that we will experience stronger storms, deeper droughts, longer wildfire seasons, and other intensified impacts as the planet warms. The Pentagon has determined that climate change poses immediate risks to our national security.

The Clean Power Plan is a tremendous important step in the fight against global climate change. It is projected to reduce carbon pollution by 32% from 1990 levels and 26% from 2005 levels by 2030. It builds on progress States and the power sector are already making to move toward cleaner
energy production, and gives States the time and flexibility they need to develop tailored, cost-effective plans to reduce their emissions. By nullifying the Clean Power Plan, S.J. Res. 24 not only threatens ongoing progress toward cleaner energy, but would also eliminate billions of dollars in health benefits of up to $54 billion per year by 2030, including thousands fewer premature deaths from air pollution and thousands fewer childhood asthma attacks each year.

The Clean Power Plan is essential in addressing the largest source of greenhouse gas pollution in our country. It is past time to act to mitigate climate impacts on American communities. Because the resolution would overturn the Clean Power Plan, which is critical to protecting against climate change and ensuring the health and well-being of our Nation, I cannot support it.

To leave no doubt that the resolution is being vetoed, in addition to withholding my signature, I am returning S.J. Res. 24 to the Secretary of the Senate, along with this Memorandum of Disapproval.

BARACK OBAMA,
The White House, December 18, 2015.

ONE HUNDRED FOURTEENTH CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
AT THE FIRST SESSION
Begun and held at the City of Washington on Tuesday, the sixth day of January, two thousand and fifteen

JOINT RESOLUTION
Providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to “Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units”.

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That Congress disapproves the rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to “Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units” (published at 80 Fed. Reg. 64662 (October 23, 2015)), and such rule shall have no force or effect.

PAUL D. RYAN,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.
ORRIN HATCH,
President of the Senate pro tempore.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE SUBSEQUENT TO SINE DIE ADJOURNMENT

ENROLLED BILL SIGNED
Under the order of the Senate of January 6, 2015, the Secretary of the Senate, on January 7, 2016, during the adjournment of the Senate, received a message from the House of Representatives announcing that the Speaker has signed the following enrolled bill:

H.R. 3762. An act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to section 2002 of the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2016.

The message further announced that the Speaker had signed the following enrolled bill:

H.R. 1155. An act to provide for the establishment of a process for the review of rules and sets of rules, and for other purposes.

The following bills were read the first time and placed on the calendar:
S. 2434. A bill to provide that any executive action that infringes on the powers and duties of Congress under section 8 of article I of the Constitution of the United States or on the Second Amendment to the Constitution of the United States has no force or effect, and to prohibit the use of funds for certain purposes.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

At 2:05 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mr. Novotny, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bills, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 712. An act to impose certain limitations on consent decrees and settlement agreements by agencies that require the agencies to take regulatory action in accordance with the terms thereof, and for other purposes.

EXECUTIVE AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

The following communications were laid before the Senate, together with accompanying papers, reports, and documents, and were referred as indicated:
EC–3952. A communication from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Atlantic Highly Migratory Species: Atlantic Herring Tuna Fisheries” (RIN0648–XE235) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 16, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.
EC–3953. A communication from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; 2015 Commercial Accountability Measure and Closure for South Atlantic Golden Tilefish Hook-and-Line Component” (RIN0648–XE225) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 16, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.
EC–3954. A communication from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone of the United States: Reallocations of Pacific Cod in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area” (RIN0648–XE342) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 16, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.
EC–3955. A communication from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled...
“Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska: Sablefish in the West Yakutat District of the Gulf of Alaska” (RIN0648-XE296) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 16, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-3956. A communication from the Acting Director of the Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Coastal Migratory Pelagic Resources of the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic; 2015–2016 Accountability Measure and Closure for King Mackerel in the Florida West Coast Northern Subzone” (RIN0648-XE296) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 16, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-3957. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Spinosad; Pesticide Tolerances” (FRL No. 9933–41–OCSP) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 17, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-3958. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Pesticides; Revisions to Minimum Risk Exemption” (FRL No. 9934–41–OCSP) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 17, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-3959. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Aminomycin Acetate; Exemptions from the Requirement of a Tolerance” (FRL No. 9939–39–OCSP) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 17, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-3960. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “2-propenoic Acid Homopolymer, Referred to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Amendments to the Capital Plan and Stress Test Rules” (RIN3804–AE32) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-3961. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Propiconazole; Pesticide Tolerances” (FRL No. 9939–71–OCSP) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-3962. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Review Group, Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Payment Limitation and Payment Eligibility; Actively Engaged in Farming” (RIN0560–A131) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Science, and Transportation.

EC-3963. A communication from the Regulatory Specialist of the Legislative and Regulatory Activities Division, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Margin and Capital Requirements for Covered Swap Entities; Final Rule” (RIN1557–AD43) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 17, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-3964. A communication from the Regulatory Specialist of the Legislative and Regulatory Activities Division, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Margin and Capital Requirements for Covered Swap Entities; Interim Final Rule” (RIN1557–AD60) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 17, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-3965. A communication from the Acting Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness), transmitting the report of an officer authorized to wear the insignia of the grade of admiral in accordance with title 10, United States Code, section 777, for a period not to exceed 14 days before assuming the duties of the position for which the higher grade is authorized; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-3966. A communication from the Acting Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness), transmitting the report of an officer authorized to wear the insignia of the grade of admiral in accordance with title 10, United States Code, section 777; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-3967. A communication from the Senior Comptroller of the Legislative and Regulatory Specialist of the Legislative and Regulatory Activities Division, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Home Mortgage Disclosure (Regulation C) Adjustments to Asset-Size Exemption Threshold” (12 CFR Part 1003) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-3968. A communication from the Assistant to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Amendments to the Capital Plan and Stress Test Rules” (RIN3804–AE32) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-3969. A communication from the Director of Legislative Affairs, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Treatment of Financial Assets Transferred in Connection With a Securitization or Participation” (RIN3804–AE32) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-3970. A communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to terrorists who threaten to disrupt the Middle East peace process that was declared in Executive Order 12947 of January 23, 1996; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-3971. A communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to the Western Balkans that was declared in Executive Order 13209 of June 26, 2001; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-3972. A communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to North Korea that was declared in Executive Order 13466 of June 26, 2008; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-3973. A communication from the General Counsel of the Federal Housing Finance Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Suspended Counterparty Program” (RIN2506–AA9) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 17, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-3974. A communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Air Plan Approval; SD; Update to OCSPP” (FRL No. 9939–87–Region 8) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-3975. A communication from the Secretary of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Department of Commerce’s Bureau of Industry and Security Annual Report for fiscal year 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-3976. A communication from the Assistant to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Technical Amendments: FHFA Address and Zip Code Change,” (RIN2500–AA79) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 17, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-3977. A communication from the General Counsel of the Federal Housing Finance Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Truth in Lending Act (Regulation Z) Adjustment to Asset-Size Exemption Threshold” (12 CFR Part 1026) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-3978. A communication from the Senior Congressional Liaison, Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Determination of Attainment; Texas; Houston-Galveston-Brazoria 1997 Ozone Nonattainment Area; Determination of Attainment of the 1997 Ozone Standard” (FRL No. 9940–63–Region 6) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-3979. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Removal of Obsolete Regulations” (FRL No. 9940–93–Region 10) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-3980. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans: Washington; Removal of Obsolete Regulations” (FRL No. 9940–93–Region 6) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-3981. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Air Plan Approval; SD; Update to Air Plan” (FRL No. 9939–87–Region 8) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 17,
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2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–3982. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, Bureau of the Fiscal Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Offset of Tax Refund Payments to Collect Past-Due Support” ((RIN 1510–AA19) (31 CFR Part 1205) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–3983. A communication from the Deputy Director, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Department of Health and Human Services, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Medicare Program: Prior Authorization Process for Certain Durable Medical Equipment, Prosthetics, Orthotics, and Supplies” ((RIN 0938–AK85) (CMS–6505–F)) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–3984. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Inflation-Adjusted Items for 2015 for Certain Civil Penalties Under the Internal Revenue Code” (Rev. Proc. 2015–1) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on January 4, 2016; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–3985. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Applicable Federal Rates—January 2016” (Rev. Rul. 2016–1) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on January 4, 2016; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–3986. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Standard Mileage Rate” (Notice 2016–1) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on January 4, 2016; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–3987. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Current Federal Acquisition Regulation; Sole Source Contracts for Women-Owned Small Businesses” ((RIN 0900–AN18) (FAC 2015–80)) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–3994. A communication from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Federal Acquisition Regulation; Sole Source Contracts for Women-Owned Small Businesses” ((RIN 0900–AN18) (FAC 2015–80)) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–3995. A communication from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Federal Acquisition Regulation; New Designated Countries— Montenegro and New Zealand” ((RIN 0900–AN12) (FAC 2016–1)) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–3996. A communication from the District of Columbia Auditor, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Federal Acquisition Regulation; Annual Report on Advisory Neighborhood Commissions”; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–3997. A communication from the Acting Commissioner of Social Security Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards Technical Amendment” ((RIN 0950–AF45) (CMS–6435–F)) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC–4000. A communication from the Chairman, Merit Systems Protection Board, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled “Training and Development for the Senior Executive Service: A Necessary Investment”; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–4001. A communication from the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, Department of Housing and Urban Development, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Semiannual Report of the Inspector General for the period from April 1, 2015, through September 30, 2015; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–4002. A communication from the Director of the Bureau of the Fiscal Service, Veterans Benefits Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Payment of Emergency Medication by VA” (RIN2990–AP34) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as follows:

By Ms. MIKULSKI (for herself and Mrs. ERNST):

S. 2437. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to provide for the cremation of the cremated remains of persons who served as Women’s Air Force Service Pilots in Arlington National Cemetery, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs.

SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mr. BOOZMAN (for himself, Mr. COTTON, Mr. MCDONNELL, Mr. REID, Mr. ALEXANDER, Ms. AYotte, Mr. BALDWIN, Mr. BARRASSO, Mr. BENNETT, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Mr. BLUNT, Mr. BOOKER, Mrs. BOXER, Mr. BROWN, Mr. BURD, Ms. CARSON, Mr. CAPITO, Mr. CARDIN, Mr. CARPER, Mr. CASEY, Mr. CASIDY, Mr. COATS, Mr. COCHRAN, Mr. COLLINS, Mr. COONS, Mr. COOK, Mr. CORNYN, Mr. CRAPO, Mr. CRUZ, Mr. DAINES, Mr. DONELLY, Mr. DURBAN, Mr. ENZI, Mrs. ERNST, Ms. FEINSTEIN, Mrs. FISCHER, Mr. FLAKE, Mr. FRANKEN, Mr. GARDEIN, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. GRAHAM, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. HATCH, Mr. HEINRICH, Ms. HERTKAMP, Mr. HELLER, Ms. HIRONO, Mr. HORVEN, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. ISAKSON, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. KAINK, Mr. KING, Mr. KIRK, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. LANKFORD, Mr. LEVINE, Mr. LEMM, Mr. MANCHIN, Mr. MARKEY, Mr. MCCAIN, Mrs. MCCASSILL, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. MEREKLY, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. MORAID, Ms. MURkowski, Mr. MURPHY, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. NELSON, Mr. PAUL, Mr. PERDUE, Mr. PETERS, Mr. PORTMAN, Mr. REED, Mr. RISCH, Mr. ROBERTS, Mr. ROUNDS, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. SANDERS, Mr. Sasse, Mr. SCHAFER, Mr. SCHUMER, Mr. SCOTT, Mr. SESSIONS, Mrs. SHEAHER, Mr. SHELBY, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. SULLIVAN, Mr. THUNE, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. TOOMEY, Mr. UDALL, Mr. VITTER, Mr. WARNER, Ms. WARREN, Mr. WHITTEE, Mr. WICKER, and Mr. WYDEN):

S. Res. 343. A resolution relative to the death of Dale Bumpers, former United States
ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 224

At the request of Mrs. Boxer, the name of the Senator from Vermont (Mr. Sanders) was added as a cosponsor of S. 224, a bill to ensure the United States promotes women’s meaningful inclusion and participation in mediation and negotiation processes undertaken in order to prevent, mitigate, and resolve violent conflict and implements the United States National Action Plan on Women, Peace, and Security.

S. 290

At the request of Mr. Moran, the name of the Senator from Illinois (Mr. Kirk) was added as a cosponsor of S. 290, a bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve the accountability of employees of the Department of Veterans Affairs, and for other purposes.

S. 503

At the request of Mr. Cardin, the names of the Senator from Ohio (Mr. Brown), the Senator from New York (Mrs. Gillibrand), the Senator from California (Ms. Feinstein), the Senator from Oregon (Mr. Merkley) and the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. Murphy) were added as cosponsors of S. 503, a bill to marshal resources to undertake a concerted, transformative effort that seeks to bring an end to modern slavery, and for other purposes.

S. 711

At the request of Ms. Ayotte, the name of the Senator from New York (Mrs. Gillibrand) was added as a cosponsor of S. 711, a bill to amend section 520J of the Public Service Health Act to authorize grants for mental health first aid training programs.

S. 901

At the request of Mr. Moran, the name of the Senator from Delaware (Mr. Carper) was added as a cosponsor of S. 901, a bill to establish in the Department of Veterans Affairs a national center for research on the diagnosis and treatment of health conditions of the descendants of veterans exposed to toxic substances during service in the Armed Forces that are related to that exposure, to establish an advisory board on such health conditions, and for other purposes.

S. 1315

At the request of Mr. Enzi, the names of the Senator from Idaho (Mr. Risch) and the Senator from Washington (Ms. Cantwell) were added as cosponsors of S. 1315, a bill to protect the right of law-abiding citizens to transport knives interstate, notwithstanding a law-abiding citizens to transport weapons across state lines.

S. 1473

At the request of Mr. Markey, the name of the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. Reed) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1473, a bill to authorize the appropriation of funds to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for conducting or supporting research on firearm safety or gun violence prevention.

S. 1631

At the request of Mr. Brown, the names of the Senator from Washington (Ms. Murray), Senator from Vermont (Mr. Sanders) were added as cosponsors of S. 1631, a bill to amend title II of the Social Security Act to repeal the Government pension offset and windfall elimination provisions.

S. 1651

At the request of Ms. Hirono, the name of the Senator from Delaware (Mr. Coons) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1651, a bill to provide an exception from certain group health plan requirements to allow small businesses to use pre-tax dollars to assist employees in the purchase of policies in the individual health insurance market, and for other purposes.

S. 1709

At the request of Ms. Warren, the name of the Senator from Maryland (Ms. Mikulski) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1709, a bill to reduce risks to the financial system by limiting banks’ ability to engage in certain risky activities and limiting conflicts of interest, to reinstate certain Glass-Steagall Act protections that were repealed by the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, and for other purposes.

S. 1747

At the request of Mr. Menendez, the name of the Senator from Illinois (Mr. Durbin) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1747, a bill to improve the enforcement of sanctions against the Government of North Korea, and for other purposes.

S. 1890

At the request of Mr. Hatch, the name of the Senator from Hawaii (Ms. Hirono) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1890, a bill to amend chapter 90 of title 18, United States Code, to provide Federal jurisdiction for the theft of trade secrets, and for other purposes.

S. 2034

At the request of Mr. Toomey, the name of the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. Tillis) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2034, a bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to provide additional aggravating factors for the imposition of the death penalty based on the status of the victim.

S. 2067

At the request of Mr. Wicker, the name of the Senator from Minnesota (Mr. Franken) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2067, a bill to establish EURA Prize Competitions to accelerate discovery and development of disease-modifying, preventive, or curative treatments for Alzheimer’s disease and related dementia, to encourage efforts to enhance detection and diagnosis of such diseases, or to enhance the quality and efficiency of care of individuals with such diseases.

S. 2144

At the request of Mr. Gardner, the names of the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. Cotton) and the Senator from Montana (Mr. Daines) were added as cosponsors of S. 2144, a bill to improve the enforcement of sanctions against the Government of North Korea, and for other purposes.

S. 2200

At the request of Mrs. Fischer, the name of the Senator from Maine (Ms. Collins) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2200, a bill to amend the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 to strengthen equal pay requirements.

S. 2232

At the request of Mr. Paul, the names of the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. Burr) and the Senator from Illinois (Mr. Kirk) were added as cosponsors of S. 2232, a bill to require a full audit of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and the Federal reserve banks by the Comptroller General of the United States, and for other purposes.

S. 2233

At the request of Mr. Markey, the name of the Senator from New Hampshire (Mrs. Shaheen) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2233, a bill to repeal debt collection amendments made by the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015.

S. 2426

At the request of Mr. Kirk, the name of the Senator from Kansas (Mr. Moran) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2426, a bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to establish procedures within the Department of Veterans Affairs for the processing of whistleblower complaints, and for other purposes.

S. 2427

At the request of Mr. Markey, the name of the Senator from Georgia (Mr. Perdue) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2427, a bill to posthumously award the Congressional Gold Medal to each of J. Christopher Stevens, Glen Doherty, Tyrone Woods, and Sean Smith in recognition of their contributions to the Nation.

S. 2426

At the request of Mr. Gardner, the names of the Senator from Oregon (Mr. Wyden) and the Senator from Florida (Mr. Rubio) were added as cosponsors of S. 2426, a bill to direct the Secretary of State to develop a strategy to obtain observer status for Taiwan in the International Criminal Police Organization, and for other purposes.

S. 2434

At the request of Mr. Schumers, the name of the Senator from New York (Mrs. Gillibrand) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2434, a bill to prohibit discrimination against individuals with disabilities who need long-term services and supports, and for other purposes.

S. 2447

At the request of Mr. Paul, the name of the Senator from Missouri (Mr. Blunt) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2447, a bill to provide that any executive action that infringes on the powers and duties of Congress under section 8 of article I of the Constitution of
the United States or on the Second Amendment to the Constitution of the United States has no force or effect, and to prohibit the use of funds for certain purposes.

At the request of Mr. Enzi, the name of the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. Menendez) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2436, a bill to provide for certain assistance and reforms relating to the territories, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. Flake, the name of the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. Udall) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 143, a resolution supporting efforts to ensure that students have access to debt-free higher education.

At the request of Mr. Blumenthal, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. Murphy) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 337, a resolution expressing support for the designation of February 12, 2016, as “Darwin Day” expressing support for the designation of February 12, 2016, as “Darwin Day”.

Resolved, That the Senate has heard with profound sorrow and deep regret the announcement of the death of the late Dale Bumpers, former member of the United States Senate.

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Senate communicate these resolutions to the House of Representatives and transmit an enrolled copy thereof to the family of the deceased.

The preamble was agreed to.

The resolution (S. Res. 338) was agreed to.

The resolution (S. Res. 338) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to. (The resolution, with its preamble, is printed in today’s RECORD under “Submitted Resolutions.”)

Orders for Tuesday, January 12, 2016

Mr. McConnell. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it adjourn until 10 a.m., Tuesday, January 12; that following the prayer and pledge, the morning hour be deemed expired, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, and the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day; further, that following leader remarks, the Senate be in a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each until 12:30 p.m., with the first hour equally divided and with the majority controlling the first half and the Democrats controlling the final half; further, that the Senate recess from 12:30 p.m. until 2:15 p.m. to allow for the weekly conference meetings; further, that at 2:15 p.m., the Senate resume consideration of the motion to proceed to S. 2232, with the time until 2:30 p.m. equally divided between the two leaders or their designees. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Adjournment until 10 A.M. tomorrow

Mr. McConnell. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that it stand adjourned under the
provisions of S. Res. 343 as a further mark of respect to the late Dale Bumpers, former United States Senator from Arkansas.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 6:46 p.m., adjourned until Tuesday, January 12, 2016, at 10 a.m.

NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate:

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REGISTERED AGENTS AND BROKERS

RAYMOND G. FARMER, of South Carolina, to be a member of the Board of Directors of the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers for a term of one year. (New position)

THOMAS MCMANUS, of Illinois, to be a member of the Board of Directors of the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers for a term of two years. (New position)

MICHAEL J. ROTTMAN, of Minnesota, to be a member of the Board of Directors of the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers for a term of two years. (New position)

HEATHER ANN STEINMILLER, of Pennsylvania, to be a member of the Board of Directors of the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers for a term of two years. (New position)

OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

Nelson Dewey, of Washington, to be a member of the Board of Directors of the Overseas Private Investment Corporation for a term expiring December 17, 2018, vice Matthew Maxwell Taylor, term expired.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

TO THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 12203:

COL. KEVIN C. WULFHORST

IN THE AIR FORCE

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 12203:

To be brigadier general

COL. ROBERT G. MIEUNOWICZ

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 12203:

COL. JEFFREY C. COGGIN

IN THE AIR FORCE

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 12203:

COL. BRYAN A. WILLIAMS


To be brigadier general

COL. ROBERT G. MIEUNOWICZ

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 12203:

COL. JEFFREY C. COGGIN

IN THE AIR FORCE

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 12203:

COL. KEVIN C. WULFHORST

IN THE AIR FORCE

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 12203:

To be major general

BRIG. GEN. JAMES R. BAKER

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 12203:

To be colonel

BRIG. GEN. JAMES R. BAKER

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 12203:

Col. Paige P. Hunter


To be lieutenant general


Col. Thomas J. Owens II

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE AIR FORCE TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 12203:

To be brigadier general

Col. Robert G. Mieunowicz

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 12203:

Col. Jeffrey C. Coggin

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 12203:

Col. Kevin C. Wulfhorst

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 12203:

To be major general

Brig. Gen. James R. Baker

The following named officers for appointment in the reserve of the air force to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., sections 12203 and 12211.

To be brigadier general

Col. Thomas F. Spencer

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be major general

Brig. Gen. James R. Baker

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be colonel

Brig. Gen. James R. Baker

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be lieutenant general


The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the air force to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., sections 12203 and 12211.

Col. Thomas J. Owens II

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the air force to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

Col. Jeffrey C. Coggin

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

Col. Kevin C. Wulfhorst

The following named officers for appointment to the grade indicated in the united states air force under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.

To be general

Col. Robert G. Mueunowicz

The following named officer for appointment in the reserve of the army to the grade indicated under title 10, u.s.c., section 12203.
The following named officers for appointment to the grade indicated in the United States Air Force under Title 10, U.S.C., Section 624:

To be lieutenant colonel

To be major

John R. Yancey

Wayne J. Merback

Marcene R. McVay

Ryan S. McHugh

Toriée M. McGowan

Renee I. Matos

Jason A. Massignan

Andrew S. Malin

Thomas W. Mahoney

Nancy W. Lo

Zhi V. Lau

Brian D. Larson

Michael S. Laidlaw

Thomas J. Kyzyak

Michael A. Laidlaw

Brian D. Larson

Zhi V. Lau

Brian David Layton

April L. Young

Nancy W. Lo

William L. Lutken

Doug C. Keltner

Thomas W. Manroyen

Andrea K. Malin

Jamil A. Massie

Jaron D. Massignan

Renée I. Matos

Jeffrey O. McKeen

Torrey M. Mogowar

Peschun Mukherjee

Ryan A. Mobley

Marcene R. McVay

Alexander J. Menier

Wayne J. Merbeck

Lisa E. Michaels

Charles B. Miller

Diana L. Mitchell

Jeremy D. Moli

Christophino S. Monkenendik

Tylan A. Muny

Joseph D. Novak

Valerie C. O'Brien

Justin P. Olsen

Robert M. Orr

Bruce M. Palmer

Benjamin J. Park

Jason D. Pauley

Joshua B. Peed

Candace S. Persival

Sarah P. Press

Kristine K. Pierce

Brandon W. Propper

Clayton J. Rabin

Andrew G. Ricks

Steven M. Rowan

Tighe C. Richardson

Paul C. Rodgers

Justin P. Rowberry

Drake A. Sager

Kara S. Schultz

Tristan L. Sevy

Jonathan R. Sherlock

Meidi C. Shih

Chris R. Sherrill

Trimple, J. Snyder

Gregory C. Stancil

Travis A. Stephens

Brittany L. Stewart

Kristin F. Stremel, Stille

Norman E. Stone III

Sarah J. Striebig

Jamie M. Swartz

Roger S. Thomas

Katherine S. Tinkle

Paul A. Tilton

Jenni L. Tomlin

Douglas R. Villard

Trenton E. Waid

Dennis D. Walker

Andrew L. Wallace

Lahsa F. Weir

Dally J. Wences

Brad R. Wheeler

Caleen N. Wegner

Audrea D. Williams

Philip A. Wixom

The following named officers for appointment to the grade indicated in the United States Air Force under Title 10, U.S.C., Section 624:
IN THE NAVY

THE FOLLOWING NAMED INDIVIDUALS FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE RANK INDICATED IN THE REGULAR NAVY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 624:

To be lieutenant commander

DENNIS M. VEYVODA
ROBERT G. WEST

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 531 AND 716:

TO BE MAJOR

JEREMY D. ADAMS
STEVEN G. ADOCK
MARK A. ADOLPHSEN, JR.
TRAVIS A. AIELLO
NICHOLAS H. ANDRAJA
ERIC D. ALBRECHT
IAN D. ALCANTARA
JASON D. ALBRECHT
CARLTON D. ALLEN
CHRISTOPHER W. ALLEN
JEFFREY P. ANDREWS
NICHOLAS J. ARMENDARIZ
JAMIS R. ARMSTRONG
JAMES R. ARNOLD
MARTHA E. ASHBY
JARIED R. ATKINSON
JESSE T. ATTEG
ADAM J. AYERS
MAURO I. ABBAS
CHARLIE A. BAHK
DAVID S. BAKER
M. R. BAKER
SCOTT W. BALLENGER
KEVIN W. BALTZER
JON C. BANKS
KENNETH L. BARGER, JR.
JASON D. BARNES
WILLIAM M. BARTERT
KATHRYN A. BASSO
ALAND Y. BATATANII
MATT B. BAXLEY
ANDREW M. BAXTER
ALEXANDER J. BRACHY
MARTIN R. BELL
STEVEN R. BERTHEL
JESSE E. BELLAMY
RYAN E. BENSEN
GLEN V. BERCHELDA, JR.
NICK J. BERG
DANIEL J. BIER
JOSHUA G. BIER
JOHN N. BIERCIAK
BRIAN J. BIERSCHER
RAFAEL J. BILLIARD
JASON W. BILLINGS
BRENDAN R. BILBRICK
SHANE A. BLADEC
MARY C. BLAIR
WALLY A. BLAIR
NATHAN D. BLADEGOTT
MICHAEL D. BLUMENTHIER
GABRIEL D. BONNECOURS
REBECCA A. BOOZ
CHAD E. BONECUTTER
DAVID A. BOROSEN
JUDREY A. BORCAZ
COURTNEY J. BOSTON
JOHNATHAN M. BOCHEK
DAVID L. BOUCHARD
RAILA J. BOUSKA
JASON P. BOYER
KEVIN P. BOWLES
TONY J. BOWMAN
DANIEL R. BOWRING
TRAVIS S. BOWSER
HABERLY L. BOYD
JOHN P. BOYER
COLIN P. BOYTON
RYAN P. BRADLEY
JAMIES M. BRANUM
CHRISTOPHER J. BRIDGER
JAMIS M. BRISBY
DANIEL L. BROWN
MICHAEL R. BROWN
PHILIPPUS C. BUSHL
AARON P. BRUCKNER
BRADLEY N. BUCK
CHRISTOPHER C. BUMGARDNER
TIMOTHY L. BURR
DAVID C. BUTCHER
BRADY J. BUTTEN
ANDREW T. BUTLER
JASON D. BUTLER
JEFFREY V. BUTLER
SETH D. BYCRO
CHRISTOPHER K. CALDWELL
CLIFF M. CAMPBELL
CHRISTOPHER N. CAPASO
SERRA N. CARDONA

IN THE MARINE CORPS

THE FOLLOWING NAMED INDIVIDUALS FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 624:

To be major

JAMIS A. TROTTER

The following officers for appointment to the grade indicated in the United States Marine Corps under Title 10, U.S.C., Sections 12203 and 12211:

MARK L. COBLE

The following named officer for appointment to the grade indicated in the Reserve of the United States Marine Corps under Title 10, U.S.C., Section 12208:

DAVID M. SMITH

The following officer appointed as permanent professor at the United States Military Academy in the grade indicated under Title 10, U.S.C., Sections 4333(B) and 4336A:

RICHARD M. HAWKINS
AND 3064:

MEDICAL CORPS UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 624

JAIME R. ALAMO
GREGORY B. ALFORD
JIMMY W. ALLEN
DAVID L. ALLEN
DAVID M. ALLEN
DEBRA L. ALLEN
JASON M. ALLEN
JONATHAN C. ALLEN
ERICA A. ALLEN
HUNTER W. ALLEN
CRAIG A. BOEDEKER
ANDREW T. BOWERS
AARON R. CARLSON
JAMES R. CARR
JOSHUA B. CASSELL
JESSICA M. CARTER
MICHAEL R. CARTER
JORDAN C. CASTER
Brooke L. CASSIDY
NICHOLAS M. CASTILLON
JACQUES A. CATHEY
VINCENT A. CAULFIELD
BRAD W. CAVIN
MATTHEW H. CAVIN
SHERI A. CAVIN
ANTHONY B. CAYON
CHRISTOPHER C. CEDER
ANDREW J. CERUTI
KENNETH A. CHERWICK
JOSHUA J. CHEN
CHAD T. CHESTERS
AARON B. CHILTON
MATTHEW D. CHILTON
BRIAN D. CHLOCH
STEVE S. CHOW
JASON R. CHRISTENSON
JESSICA L. CHRISTIAN
MARK A. CHRISTIAN
MICHAEL J. CHRISTY
MATT M. CHIU
JOHN R. CIUSICA
BRYAN J. CLAUSIN
JASON A. CLAYTON
BRIAN B. CLAYTON
MATTHEW R. CLAYTON
SCOTT J. CLAYTON
ALVIN N. CLAYTON
MICHAEL D. COLLINS
JASON C. COOK
PAUL C. CORDAS
CHRISTOPHER M. COTTON
GRANT R. COVBY
ERIC D. CRAZER
BRIAN L. CREIGH
ROBERT P. CROHN
LAURA E. CROWE
JOHN A. CROWE
MIGUEL A. CROZ
GLENN A. CRUZONICHLER, JR.
SABRIN R. CRUZONICHLER, JR.
SCOTT C. CULBERTSON
COLIN J. CURDIN
DANIEL M. CURDIN
JEFFREY A. CUMMINGS
THOMAS P. CUMMINGS
GREGG F. CULLEY
TIMOTHY J. CURWELL
PATRICK J. DALY
JOSEPH P. DAVIDOSKI
DANIEL J. DAVIS
JEFFREY C. DAVIS
NOLAN G. DEAN
ROBERT C. DRENNADATO
LUKE D. DRENNADATO
ALEXANDER A. DRENNADATO
WILLIAM R. DRENNADATO
JAMES E. DREW
ROBERT D. DREW
JASON C. DREW
ERIK T. DREYER
CAIO D. DREW
MICHAEL W. DREW
BRAD W. DREW
KEVIN D. DREW
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THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICERS FOR REGULAR APPOINTMENT IN THE GRADES INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 531:

To be lieutenant colonel

George L. Roberts

To be major

Niall C. Cartier, Traege C. Delaplaine, Scott A. Martin, Janel L. Neville, Stephen A. Ritchie

CONFIRMATION

Executive nomination confirmed by the Senate January 11, 2016:

The Judiciary

Luis Felipe Restrepo, of Pennsylvania, to be United States Circuit Judge for the Third Circuit

Withdrawals

Executive Message transmitted by the President to the Senate on January 11, 2016 withdrawing from further Senate consideration the following nominations:

Patricia M. Lounibos, of Hawaii, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the Export-Import Bank of the United States for a term expiring January 30, 2016, (reappointment), which was sent to the Senate on March 18, 2015.

Phillip H. Cullom, of Illinois, to be an Assistant Secretary of Defense, Vice Sharon R. Burke, resigned, which was sent to the Senate on November 19, 2015.
RECOGNIZING MR. DARRELL CREAMER FOR DECADES OF PUBLIC SERVICE WITH THE PLEASANT HALL VOLUNTEER FIRE COMPANY

HON. BILL SHUSTER
OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Mr. Darrell Creamer, a longtime volunteer firefighter and former President of the Pleasant Hall Volunteer Fire Company.

Mr. Creamer began his career with Pleasant Hall Volunteer Fire Company in 1968. In the subsequent 47 years he has been with the company, Darrell has earned his ascent through the department. Over his career, he has held many positions, including: Secretary, Deputy Fire Chief, Fire Chief, Vice President, and is currently retiring from his position as President, which he has held since 1985.

Mr. Creamer has been a dedicated public servant, overseeing many of the station’s needs, and has helped countless Pennsylvanians as a priceless asset for the greater community. To this day, he still serves as the department’s Business Manager, continuing to lend his decades of experience for the betterment of the community and the station he has long served.

On behalf of the Ninth District of Pennsylvania, I want to thank Mr. Creamer for his selfless service, and moreover highlight the sense of purpose with which he has served the community. His leadership and dedication to Pennsylvania will live on, and his retirement is well-deserved.

It is with great pleasure that I congratulate Darrell Creamer on his many accomplishments and well-deserved retirement.

BERACA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION’S HAITI EARTHQUAKE MEMORIAL SERVICE AND AWARD CEREMONY

HON. HAKEEM S. JEFFRIES
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. JEFFRIES. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in celebration of Beraca Community Development Corporation (BCDC). In 2010, BCDC’s mission to rebuild, repair and restore the lives of the disadvantaged led them to Haiti after the tragic 7.0 magnitude earthquake. On January 10, 2016, this year’s awardees were honored at Beraca Baptist Church in Brooklyn, NY, for their invaluable service.

BCDC opened offices in the towns of Leogane, Cabaret, Jeremie and Cape, Haiti where BCDC volunteer efforts are wide-reaching. The corporation travels to Haiti several times a year and since its conception has returned over 50 times in an effort to improve the lives of others. BCDC also provides microloans to help support local small businesses, employs over 400 individuals through a taxi company and equips teachers with resources to improve the lives of Haitian youth.

At this year’s Beraca Community Development Corporation’s Haiti Earthquake Memorial Service and Award Ceremony, 4 dynamic individuals were recognized for their outstanding work, Mackenzie Pier, New York City Leadership Center was the recipient of the Awareness and Mobilization Award, Michael Scales, Nyack College was the recipient of the Excellence in Education Award, Marie-Yolane Toms, Community2Community was the recipient of the Community Development Award and Michael Fromer, Millennium Capital Resources was the recipient of the Philanthropic Services Award. I commend these honorees for their commitment to serving others.

Mr. Speaker, I ask that you and my other distinguished colleagues join me in celebrating the Beraca Community Development Corporation’s Haiti Earthquake Memorial Service and Award Ceremony and these 4 great honorees.

TRIBUTE TO MRS. SUZANNE WRIGHT

HON. CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH
OF NEW JERSEY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, last April I had the privilege of joining with Autism Speaks to ring the closing bell at the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) marking World Autism Awareness Day.

World Autism Awareness Day is an opportunity to highlight the progress we have made to better understand Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) and assist impacted families, but to also raise awareness of the significant challenges that remain—both in the U.S. and abroad. World Autism Awareness Day is one of only seven U.N.-sanctioned ‘world days.’

For eight years in a row, major landmarks, organizations and businesses have lit “it up blue,” to raise awareness and bring additional resources to assist families impacted by autism. This year’s events are scheduled for Saturday, April 2nd.

The light it up blue campaign was launched by Autism Speaks co-founder and board member, Suzanne Wright—a tenacious, dedicated and committed leader whose contributions have made, and continue to make, a real and tangible difference in the lives of individuals with ASD and their families.

By way of background, in 2005 Suzanne and Bob Wright co-founded Autism Speaks after their grandson Christian was diagnosed with autism. Every day since, for over a decade now, Suzanne has led through her work at the organization and through personal examples of generosity and compassion.

As a Co-chair of the Congressional Coalition for Autism Research and Education and Chairman of the House Subcommittee that oversees global health, I have worked with Suzanne and Bob Wright to craft, and shepherd into law, legislation that will boost research, services and support for individuals with ASD, including the Autism Collaboration, Accountability, Research, Education and Support Act of 2014 (Autism CARES/Public Law 113–157) and the Combating Autism Authorization Act (PL 112–32).

I recently learned that Suzanne Wright has been diagnosed with pancreatic cancer and is taking a leave of absence from her work with Autism Speaks to manage her medical care.

While there are many talented professionals who will carry the torch during Suzanne’s leave and build on Suzanne’s legacy at Autism Speaks, there will be a gaping hole only she can fill.

I am hopeful that my colleagues will join me in keeping Suzanne, her husband Bob, and the entire Wright family in our thoughts and prayers during these difficult days. And in Suzanne’s honor, I call on all of us to redouble our efforts and work even harder to ensure that we do everything within our power to assist families touched by autism.

HONORING FREDERICK ALBERT LANGILLE, JR.

HON. MICHELLE LUJAN GRISHAM
OF NEW MEXICO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Ms. MICHELLE LUJAN GRISHAM of New Mexico. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the life of Frederick “Fred” Albert Langille, Jr., a devoted husband and father, an outstanding social worker, and a dear friend.

Fred was born on January 15, 1942. In 1959, Fred graduated from Kailua High School, Oahu, where, in his senior year, he was a mile track record holder in Hawaii. This feat earned him a scholarship to the University of Michigan where he worked diligently to earn a master’s degree in social work in 1971.

After graduation, Fred began his career as an engineer in Lincoln, Nebraska. However, he switched his focus to public policy and social work, which he pursued in Illinois and Colorado. Throughout an accomplished career, Fred held many titles and positions. During his time in Illinois, he was the Chief of the Welfare Division, Illinois Institute for Social Policy and Assistant Director for Welfare and Manpower Programs, Illinois Bureau of the Budget. In 1975, after moving to Colorado, Fred became the Executive Administrator/Deputy Director of the Colorado Department of Social Services. Three years later, he served as the State Planning Director, Office of State Planning and Budgeting, for the State of Colorado. From 1978 to 1996, Fred served as the Executive Vice President/Chief Operating Officer at National Jewish Hospital. In 2000, after a distinguished career, Fred retired as the President of the Privatization Partnerships Division for Policy Studies.
In addition to these tremendous professional accomplishments, Fred was a family man and pursued many activities outside of the office. He enjoyed biking, hiking, running, photography, reading, art, music, traveling and spending time with his family, friends and many pets. Fred and his wife of 35 years, Rita Barreto, have two children, Michael Victor Langille (Shelly George) and Heather Marie Coffey and have a grandson, Dylan Michael Langille.

On August 6, 2015, Fred passed away in his home after a brave fight against prostate and bladder cancer. He was 73.

Mr. Speaker, I join family, friends and all those who have felt Fred’s warm embrace in celebrating the wonderful life he lived. We will continue fighting to eradicate these terrible diseases that take our loved ones away with all the strength we have.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. BRUCE WESTERMAN
OF ARKANSAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. WESTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, on roll call no. 23, I was present on the floor, but the vote closed before I was able to cast a vote.

Had I been present, I would have voted “No”.

RECOGNIZING MR. GORDON SNYDER FOR BEING NAMED THE 2015 NATIONAL MUSIC EDUCATOR OF THE YEAR

HON. RICHARD HUDSON
OF NORTH CAROLINA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. HUDSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Mr. Gordon Snyder for being named by Music and Arts the 2015 National Music Educator of the Year. Music and Arts is a national retail chain dedicated to providing musicians with the instruments and products they need to enhance their musical talents.

Mr. Snyder currently serves as Director of Instrumental Music at A.L. Brown High School, located in Kannapolis, North Carolina. He was nominated for the award by fellow educators, and had several students write letters of recommendation on his behalf. This is a testament to the respect Mr. Snyder has earned from both his peers and his students, and I am extremely grateful for his commitment to ensuring our community’s students receive a high-quality education.

Although the National Music Educator of the Year award has been given for several years by Music and Arts, Mr. Snyder is the first recipient from the state of North Carolina. This is a particularly impressive accomplishment, as this year nearly 2,000 educators were nominated for this award. The winner of this award was selected for their ingenuity in their academic programs, and was also judged on the educator’s impact in the community and their band’s performance. Our community is fortunate to have Mr. Snyder dedicate his time and talents to educating our students.

Mr. Speaker, please join me today in congratulating Mr. Snyder for being named the 2015 National Music Educator of the Year and wish him well as he continues to make a positive difference in the lives of his students.

CELEBRATING LESTER WOLFF’S 9TH BIRTHDAY

HON. CHARLES B. RANGEL
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. RANGEL. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the life, legacy, and the work of our esteemed former colleague, Congressman Lester Lionel Wolff, who is an exceptional father, husband, lawmaker, war hero and dear friend to many of us. Lester is not only an inspiration to future political leaders and public servants, but also an embodiment of diligence, persistence and success.

It is well known to those who know Lester that he will never stop working to make our country better. Lester, who has recently turned 97, is still working hard as chairman of the International Trade and Development Agency and The Inter-American Agency and frequently travels to Washington, DC from New York City to visit congressional offices.

Lester was born on January 4, 1919 and is a life-long New Yorker. Married to the late Mrs. Wolff, he has three loving children, Bruce, a prominent Washington lawyer, and Diane, an Adjunct Professor at the State University. He has four grandchildren and six great-grandchildren.

Elected to the United States Congress in 1964, Lester served 16 years before retiring. It was an honor to work with him on a number of bills throughout the years. His service as Chairman of Foreign Policy Planning, Chairman of Asian Pacific Affairs, Chairman of the Select Committee on Narcotics Abuse and Control and Ranking Member of the Foreign Affairs Middle East subcommittee will not be forgotten.

One of his notable bills was the Foreign Assistance Act of 1969, which restored the initiative for direct peace talks between Israel and the Arab States. He also led the congressional delegation to meet with Deng Xiaoping, Father of Modern China. The Deng-Wolff conversation was credited by the Department of State for its particular importance in the establishment of formal diplomatic relations between the People’s Republic of China and the United States. He is the author of the Taiwan Relations Act signed into law on April 10, 1979. This landmark law has undoubtedly helped the United States maintain and enhance its ties with Taiwan for more than three decades. Thanks to Lester, Taiwan is the United States’ 10th largest trading partner, and the United States is Taiwan’s largest foreign investor.

Anyone who works with Lester is well aware of his prudence and expertise in foreign policy. Despite his retirement, he and I went on a trip to Taiwan to speak to government officials on U.S.-Taiwan relations, attended the Democratic Pacific Assembly—The Common Future of the Pacific in the 21st Century.

Mr. Speaker, I ask you and my distinguished colleagues to join me in recognizing and honoring Lester Wolff—the man who inspired us with his wisdom and long-serving dedication to strengthening our country. I am pleased to see the fruits of his labor in Congress and as a public servant.

SENSELESS CHRISTMASTMIDE KILLINGS BY BOKO HARAM AND THE NEED FOR THE WORLD’S RECOGNITION TO RECOVER THE CHIBOK GIRLS

HON. SHEILA JACKSON LEE
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, over the Christmas 2015 break, 50 people were murdered and 114 others wounded in the northeastern Nigerian cities of Maiduguri and Madagali, Borno State, which is the birthplace of Boko Haram.

Today also marks 633 days since 276 Chibok girls were kidnapped from their dormitories in the middle of the night. Violence on the citizens of the world in sacred places such as our homes, places of worship, educational institutions and recreational venues is unacceptable and detestable.

To keep the Chibok girls on our minds, all of us here in Congress have worn red every Wednesday to signal the urgency of rescuing, recovering and reintegrating these young women back into the arms of their parents. Sadly, while we grapple with the senselessness of kidnapping of the Chibok girls, I watched on the news, violence wreaked by Boko Haram during the holidays.

As we all know, Boko Haram has claimed responsibility for the massacres.

I have met with the Nigerien President and was part of a delegation to Nigeria to engage local leaders, activists, businesses and families of victims of Boko Haram on strategies for recovering and reintegrating the Chibok girls and many others who have been kidnapped or suffered violence. These senseless killings and kidnappings by Boko Haram must stop.

The Chibok girls are not throwaways and the world cannot and should not forget them. Those who lost their lives during the Christmas massacres have families and loved ones whose hearts have been broken because of the pain and anguish they must now feel.

We must continue to press on in our concerted efforts to assure victims that Boko Haram will be combated and assure our Chibok daughters that we still care and that we are committed to bringing them back home and will work to protect them and reintegrate them back into our community with open arms.

As founder and Co-Chair of the Caucus on Nigeria and Co-Chair of the Congressional Caucus of Children’s Caucus, the rescue, return and reintegration of the kidnapped Chibok girls continue to be my top priority.

I believe that with our commitment, just as the Aboke girls were recovered after being kidnapped in Northern Uganda by the Lord’s Resistance Army, the Chibok girls will be rescued and reintegrated back into the human family.

I am committed to the protection of the Nigerien people and it is my view that the people of Nigeria and others in the Lake Chad Basin in Africa should be afforded the protection they deserve and the opportunity to live their lives free of terrorism and fear.

This is why I introduced H. Res. 528, legislation that enjoyed bipartisan support of my

My resolution seeks to create a Victims of Terror Protection Fund for the protection of the Chibok girls when they return home as well as provision of much needed support for them and other displaced refugees, migrants and the victims of Boko Haram’s terror such as those of the Christmastime 2015 massacres.

All persons of the world from Syria to Nigeria to Colombia and everywhere in between possess the inalienable fundamental human right to freedom of movement and full realization of their human potential without fear of violence upon their person.

Last month, in our celebrations of the United Nations Human Rights Day, the global community rededicated itself to the key International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Covenants that serve as the bedrock of the International Bill of Rights: protecting the right of all human beings.

Indeed, we must continue to fight for the freedoms of our neighbors whether those for whom we fight are out of sight such as the murdered and wounded in northeastern Nigeria or the kidnapped Chibok teenage girls or educated medical doctors fleeing violent extreme- mity in Syria.

The bottom line is that our obligations in the human family must revolve around and be grounded in our conviction and commitment to the rights to freedom of movement, freedom of speech, freedom of worship, freedom from want, and the freedom from fear or terrorism, among others. We must remain steadfast in guaranteeing these fundamental freedoms and protect the human rights of all to achieve peace and prosperity in our world.

Mr. Speaker, those murdered and wounded during the Christmastime massacres included a lot of youth. When they were kidnapped, the Chibok youth were 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 years old. They were captured by Boko Haram’s terror such as those of the Christmastime 2015 massacres.

Our silence is a waste of time and this is why we must keep speaking, keep tweeting, keep seeking to recover our daughters and denouncing the atrocious actions of Boko Haram.

So let me assure you that you remain in our prayers and thoughts. To President Buhari of Nigeria, you have our support and you have my support in all your efforts to destroy and dismantle Boko Haram. To the people of Nige- ria, we are counting on you to keep holding up your faith strong and we are here to stand by your side of history and that the arc of the moral universe always tips on the right side of justice.

Today, let me offer that it is important to de- nounce the actions of Boko Haram and re- commit ourselves to the protection of the Ni- gerian people and the recovery of the Chibok girls.

HONORING DEBORAH SELIGMAN
HON. MICHELLE LUJAN GRISHAM
OF NEW MEXICO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016
Ms. MICHELLE LUJAN GRISHAM of New Mexico. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor a native New Mexican and dear friend, Deborah “Deb” Seligman.

Deb can trace her New Mexican roots back to the 1800s when her ancestors owned a large number of land grants in New Mexico long before it became a state. Deb attended college at the Washington University of St. Louis and returned home to the University of New Mexico to study law—graduating in 1978. Today, she is a sole practicing attorney and represents business and small businesses. In 2011, the New Mexico Business Weekly in- cluded her in the “Best of the Bar”—a list of the top attorneys in the state. She was recog- nized for her excellence in business and cor- porate law.

Deb is an exemplary citizen and has volun- teered her time on numerous boards and charities. She is a board member of the local Casa Angelica (a home for children and young adults with developmental disabilities), the Jewish Community Center of Greater Albu- querque and the Jewish Historical Society.

Deb is also the Commissioner and Chair- woman of the Village of Los Ranchos Plan- ning and Zoning Commission. Furthermore, she is extremely generous in her donations to animal charities, including the Save the Manatees Club.

Above all, I want to honor Deb for her latest feat, running in the Chicago marathon this past year. Deb took up running about 6 years ago and has run in numerous marathons since, including the New York City, San Fran- cisco and Phoenix races. Deb runs with Albu- querque Fit, which recently awarded her for her tremendous improvement since joining the group. In the Chicago marathon, after exten- sive training and a refusal to quit, Deb achieved a personal best of four hours and fifty-five minutes.

Deb and her husband, Judge Robert Mawe met in 1986 and were married three years later in 1989. Together, they are active in their community and the Democratic Party in New Mexico.

Deb is one of the most determined and gener- ous people I have met. Nothing can stop her. I am confident that she will continue to be a leader in our community and I look forward to hearing about her continued successes in the future. Congratulations Deb.
of blacks reported having their electricity disconnected in the previous year because they had been unable to pay. For whites, the number was less than 4 percent, according to an analysis of the survey by the National Consumer Law Center.

And sometimes the consequence of unmanageable debt is to fall further into debt. In 2013, an Consumer Law Center survey, about three times as many blacks reported taking out a high-interest payday loan in the previous year as did whites at the same income level. Desperate consumers turn to these loans as a way to catch up on bills, but often get tripped up by unaffordable interest payments.

When combined with discriminatory policing practices, the effect of the asset gap is to magnify the racial disparity. In its report on the Ferguson, Mo., Police Department, the Justice Department found that officers disproportionately stopped and ticketed black citizens. For a “manner of walking” violation, it was $302; for “high grass and weeds,” $531.

Blacks accounted for about 67 percent of Ferguson’s population and around 85 percent of the municipal court cases. But the numbers were even more lopsided when it came to the harshest consequences. Blacks accounted for 92 percent of the cases where an arrest warrant had been issued to compel payment.

And this wasn’t a problem only in Ferguson. Earlier this year, the American Civil Liberties Union sued DeKalb County, Ga., which includes part of Atlanta, for jailing citizens over unpaid court fines and unpaid fees charged by a for-profit company that runs probation services for the government.

About 55 percent of DeKalb County’s population is black, but the A.C.L.U. found that nearly all probationers jailed for failure to pay their fines and fees were black.

The racial wealth gap “creates this cyclical effect,” said Nusrat Choudhury, an A.C.L.U. attorney. An unpaid speeding ticket may result in a suspended driver’s license, which may lead to a more severe violation. Unable to pay their fines, black defendants become more crassly entangled in debt.

Cort Winfield, a single mother in St. Louis, got caught up in this cycle.

After she was unable to keep up the payments on a subprime auto loan she took out in 2009, the car was repossessed the next year, but the consequences didn’t stop there. Because the debt continued to be bloated by interest and fees, she was returned to court over and over again to pay her wages in 2012. The garnishment continues today. Because she was unable to repay, she will end up paying far more than she owed in the first place.

Making matters worse for Ms. Winfield, while her wages were being garnished, she was arrested for driving with a license that had been suspended because she had failed to pay a speeding ticket. She ended up spending a weekend in jail and having to pay the cost of bail.

Ms. Winfield has a decent clerical job, earning about $30,000 a year. But she lives month to month. When hit with an unexpected expense, she is left reeling.

Her vulnerability is typical. In a recent survey by the Pew Charitable Trusts, the typical black household earning between $25,000 and $50,000 reported having emergency savings of $400. The typical white household in that range had $2,100.

Black families were much more likely to report difficulty in recovering from a financial setback or to have fallen behind on a bill in the past year. This financial insecurity extended up the income scale. Of black households with income between $50,000 and $85,000, 30 percent said they had been unable to pay a bill. By contrast, only white house-
But the loss neither discouraged nor deterred Dale Bumpers from seeking elective office so he could continue to serve others.

Opportunity presented itself in the 1970 Arkansas gubernatorial race.

The Democratic primary field included racist former governor Orval Faubus, who had served six terms from 1954 to 1966, Attorney General Joe Edward Purcell, and Arkansas House Speaker Hayes McClurkin.

An early poll showed Dale Bumpers with about one percent of the vote but compelling television and radio advertisements featuring his integrity, winning personality, progressivism attracted broad and enthusiastic public support, especially in western Arkansas, and earned him a spot in the run-off election with Orval Faubus, which he won with 62% of the vote.

In the general election, Dale Bumpers soundly defeated the incumbent Republican governor, Winthrop Rockefeller, who was seeking a third term.

During his first term as Arkansas Governor, Dale Bumpers guided to passage laws that gave more powers to the cities, created a consumer protection division in the Attorney General's office, repealed the "fair trade" liquor law, expanded the state park system, improved social services for elderly, disabled, and developmentally challenged citizens.

During his second term Dale Bumpers continued to pursue a progressive reform agenda and won passage of legislation creating state-supported kindergarten, providing for free textbooks for high school students, authorizing a major construction program at the state's colleges, eliminating the prison "trusty" system, and increased support of the community college system through increased state payments of operational costs.

Despite the fact Dale Bumpers governorship was widely viewed as a success, by friends and critics alike, he did not enjoy the position, writing in his autobiography that he, "intensely disliked most of my time as governor" because "I spent more time trying to make sure bad things didn't happen than I spent trying to make good things happen."

In 1974, as he was completing his second term, Dale Bumpers decided to challenge the incumbent U.S. senator, the legendary J. William Fulbright, in the Democratic senatorial primary.

Because of his admiration, support, and friendship, Dale Bumpers was reluctant to enter the race against the politically vulnerable Senator Fulbright, writing in his memoir:

I didn't want to oppose him; on the other hand, I would never forgive myself if he was defeated by someone whose views were an anathema to me.

Dale Bumpers won the Democratic primary with 65% percent of the vote and went on to win the general election against Orval Faubus, taking the leadership of President Harry Ott, selects two youth Ambassadors each year to highlight youth involvement and interest in agriculture. I am grateful to the South Carolina Farm Bureau for their critical work celebrating and supporting family farmers in the Second Congressional District and across the State.

In conclusion, God Bless Our Troops and may the President by his actions never forget September 11th in the Global War on Terrorism. Congratulations Carson Buzhardt.
Mr. Rumble first took over the Close Up program at Stone Mountain High School in 1985. In 1993 he led the Close Up program at Heritage High School. Later in his career, Mr. Rumble chartered the program at both Roswell and Cambridge High Schools. Most recently Bob brought Close Up to his current position as a history teacher at Kings Ridge Christian School in Alpharetta. Through the Close Up program, Mr. Rumble has given his students a hands-on experience of what he taught in the classroom. Some of his former students and Close Up participants were able to join him on what may be Bob’s last trip. Mr. Speaker I want to commend Mr. Rumble for his years of dedication and service to our community. Democracy requires active and informed participation and through these Close Up trips Mr. Rumble has been able to share that with his students. Due to educators like Mr. Rumble, our Nation’s future is bright.

IN RECOGNITION OF MIGUEL C. MIRANDA
HON. JUAN VARGAS
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. VARGAS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Miguel C. Miranda, a true leader and servant of the community of Brawley. Mr. Miranda passed away on Tuesday, December 29, 2015, in his beloved city of Brawley. Miguel was born on August 30, 1957, in Brawley to his parents, Miguel and Hipolita Miranda. He attended Miguel Hidalgo School, Barbara Worth Junior High School and Brawley Union High School, where he graduated in 1976. In high school he was in the cadets, and on the football and wrestling team. Mr. Miranda attended Imperial Valley College.

From a young age, Miguel was an active member in the Brawley community. He served as an altar boy at St. Margaret Mary Church. Later, he would serve as the church’s Hospitality Ministry member and usher.

Throughout his life, Miguel played many roles within the Brawley community. He was actively involved with the Brown Society, Poor Side of Town and Latin Cruisers Low Riders Car Clubs. He was an honorary member of Hidalgo Society and during his 40 years, he was an active member, past president and served in other board roles. Other public service memberships included Brawley Parks and Recreation Commission, Imperial Valley College, 19th Century Club, Brawley American Citizens Club Member and past political chairman, California Rural Legal Assistance board member, Imperial County Manpower Panning Council, North End Optimist Club and Imperial Valley Housing Authority. He was a past member and Grand Knight of the Knights of Columbus Council 2130, and a member of the Brawley Elementary School District Advisory Committee.

Miguel was also a coach, supporter, fan, and friend of the Brawley Junior Gladitors Wrestling and Brawley Union High School Wrestling Programs. He participated in the Los Camperos Camping Group. Miguel also served a judge for community contests, such as the Chili Cook-Off and the Jalapeno Eating Contest, a contest Miguel won for several years in a row.

Miguel was employed by Friends Outside as a Family Liaison Specialist at Calapatria State Prison and was previously employed by the Institute for Social Economic Justice, SER Jobs for Progress, Work Training Center, Campesinos Unidos Inc., and Brawley Elementary School District. In 2001, Miguel was Board President of the Clinicas del Salud del Pueblo, and in November 2015, he was voted by his constituents to serve as the City of Brawley Treasurer. He had previously served the City of Brawley as a Council Member and Mayor pro-tempore.

Miguel’s constant involvement in the community earned him the friendly title, “Amigo de la Comunidad” (Friend of the Community).

Miguel was an outstanding individual, husband, father, papa Mike, brother, brother-in-law (cuñado), son-in-law (yerno), uncle (tío), buddy (compa) and friend to many. He was considerate, genuine, devoted, and an avid Raiders Booster Club fan. He loved spending time with his family. In 1981, he met the love of his life, Estela Robles, and was married in April of 1982. He was enormously proud of his family, and they of him.

Miguel will be missed by his family—his wife, Estela; son and daughter-in-law, Miguel Jr. and Danitza, son, Alex; daughter, Vanessa; future son-in-law, Andres; and his new grandson, Michael Angel—and his Brawley community.

I want to commemorate Miguel Miranda for all lifetime of service to his community. His leadership is sure to leave a lasting legacy.

SENATE COMMITTEE MEETINGS
Title IV of Senate Resolution 4, agreed to by the Senate of February 4, 1977, calls for establishment of a system for a computerized schedule of all meetings and hearings of Senate committees, subcommittees, joint committees, and committees of conference. This title requires all such committees to notify the Office of the Senate Daily Digest—designated by the Rules Committee—of the time, place and purpose of the meetings, when scheduled and any cancellations or changes in the meetings as they occur. As an additional procedure along with the computerization of this information, the Office of the Senate Daily Digest will prepare this information for printing in the Extensions of Remarks section of the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD on Monday and Wednesday of each week.

Meetings scheduled for Tuesday, January 12, 2016 may be found in the Daily Digest of today’s RECORD.

MEETINGS SCHEDULED
JANUARY 19
10 a.m. Committee on Energy and Natural Resources
To hold hearings to examine the near-term outlook for energy and commodity markets. SD-366

JANUARY 20
2:30 p.m. Committee on Armed Services
Subcommittee on Readiness and Management Support
To hold an oversight hearing to examine Task Force for Business and Stability Operations projects in Afghanistan. SR-232A

JANUARY 21
9:30 a.m. Committee on Energy and Natural Resources
To hold hearings to examine the status of innovative technologies within the automotive industry. SD-366

JANUARY 26
10 a.m. Committee on Energy and Natural Resources
To hold an oversight hearing to examine the presidential memorandum issued on November 3, 2015 entitled, “Mitigating Impacts on Natural Resources from Development and Encouraging Related Private Investment.” SD-366

FEBRUARY 4
10 a.m. Committee on Energy and Natural Resources
To hold hearings to examine energy-related trends in advanced manufacturing and workforce development. SD-366

FEBRUARY 23
10 a.m. Committee on Energy and Natural Resources
To hold hearings to examine the President’s proposed budget request for fiscal year 2017 for the Department of the Interior. SD-366

MARCH 3
10 a.m. Committee on Energy and Natural Resources
To hold hearings to examine the President’s proposed budget request for fiscal year 2017 for the Department of Energy. SD-366

MARCH 8
10 a.m. Committee on Energy and Natural Resources
To hold hearings to examine the President’s proposed budget request for fiscal year 2017 for the Forest Service. SD-366
Senate

Chamber Action

Routine Proceedings, pages S3–S38

Measures Introduced: One bill and one resolution were introduced, as follows: S. 2437, and S. Res. 343. Pages S31–32

Measures Passed:

Relative to the Death of Former Senator Dale Bumpers: Senate agreed to S. Res. 343, relative to the death of Dale Bumpers, former United States Senator for the State of Arkansas. Page S33

Escort Committee—Agreement: A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing that the Presiding Officer of the Senate be authorized to appoint a committee on the part of the Senate to join with a like committee on the part of the House of Representatives to escort the President of the United States into the House Chamber for the joint session to be held at 9 p.m., on Tuesday, January 12, 2016. Page S33

Federal Reserve Transparency Act—Agreement: A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing that Senate resume consideration of the motion to proceed to consideration of S. 2232, to require a full audit of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and the Federal reserve banks by the Comptroller General of the United States, with the time until 2:30 p.m., equally divided between the two Leaders or their designees. Page S33

Messages from the President: Senate received the following messages from the President of the United States:

Transmitting, pursuant to the Constitution, the report of the veto of S.J. Res. 24, providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to “Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units”, received during adjournment of the Senate on December 18, 2015; ordered to be printed in the Record, spread in full upon the Journal, and held at the desk. (PM–35) Pages S3–4, S28–29

Transmitting, pursuant to the Constitution, the report of the veto of S.J. Res. 23, providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to “Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units”, received during adjournment of the Senate on December 18, 2015; ordered to be printed in the Record, spread in full upon the Journal, and held at the desk. (PM–34) Pages S3, S28–29

Nomination Confirmed: Senate confirmed the following nomination:

By 82 yeas to 6 nays (Vote No. EX. 1), Luis Felipe Restrepo, of Pennsylvania, to be United States Circuit Judge for the Third Circuit. Pages S17–21, S38

Nomination Confirmed:

By 82 yeas to 6 nays (Vote No. EX. 1), Luis Felipe Restrepo, of Pennsylvania, to be United States Circuit Judge for the Third Circuit.

Nominations Received: Senate received the following nominations:

Raymond G. Farmer, of South Carolina, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers for a term of one year.

Thomas McLeary, of Illinois, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers for a term of two years.

Michael J. Rothman, of Minnesota, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers for a term of two years.

Heather Ann Steinmiller, of Pennsylvania, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers for a term of two years.

Nelson Reyneri, of Washington, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the Overseas Private Investment Corporation for a term expiring December 17, 2018.

Todd A. Weiler, of Virginia, to be an Assistant Secretary of Defense.

John Mark McWatters, of Texas, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the Export-Import Bank

  Kelly Keiderling-Franz, of Virginia, to be Ambas-
  sador to the Oriental Republic of Uruguay.

  Stephen Michael Schwartz, of Maryland, to be
  Ambassador to the Federal Republic of Somalia.

  12 Air Force nominations in the rank of general.
  4 Army nominations in the rank of general.

  Routine lists in the Air Force, Army, Marine
  Corps, and Navy.

  Nominations Withdrawn: Senate received notifica-
  tion of withdrawal of the following nominations:

  Patricia M. Loui-Schmicker, of Hawaii, to be a
  Member of the Board of Directors of the Export-Im-
  port Bank of the United States for a term expiring
  January 20, 2019, which was sent to the Senate on
  March 16, 2015.

  Phillip H. Cullom, of Illinois, to be an Assistant
  Secretary of Defense, which was sent to the Senate
  on November 19, 2015.

  Messages from the House:

  Measures Referred:

  Measures Placed on the Calendar:

  Executive Communications:

  Additional Cosponsors:

  Additional Statements:

House of Representatives

Chamber Action

Public Bills and Resolutions Introduced: 6 public
bills, H.R. 4359–4364; and 3 resolutions, H.J. Res.
80; H. Con. Res. 106; and H. Res. 584, were intro-
duced.

Additional Cosponsors:

Reports Filed: Reports were filed today as follows:

  H.R. 757, to improve the enforcement of sanctions
  against the Government of North Korea, and for
  other purposes, with an amendment (H. Rept.
  114–392, Part 1);

  H.R. 3662, to enhance congressional oversight
  over the administration of sanctions against certain
  Iranian terrorism financiers, and for other purposes
  (H. Rept. 114–393, Part 1);

  H.R. 3242, to require special packaging for liquid
  nicotine containers, and for other purposes (H. Rept.
  114–394); and

H. Res. 583, providing for consideration of the
bill (H.R. 1644) to amend the Surface Mining Con-
trol and Reclamation Act of 1977 to ensure trans-
parency in the development of environmental regula-
tions, and for other purposes; providing for consider-
ation of the joint resolution (S.J. Res. 22) providing
for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title
5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the
Corps of Engineers and the Environmental Protec-
tion Agency relating to the definition of “waters of
the United States” under the Federal Water Pollu-
tion Control Act; providing for consideration of the
bill (H.R. 3662) to enhance congressional oversight
over the administration of sanctions against certain
Iranian terrorism financiers, and for other purposes;
and providing for proceedings during the period
from January 14, 2016, through January 22, 2016
(H. Rept. 114–395).

Speaker: Read a letter from the Speaker wherein he
appointed Representative Smith (NE) to act as
Speaker pro tempore for today.
Recess: The House recessed at 12:09 p.m. and reconvened at 2 p.m.  

Page H226

Recess: The House recessed at 2:07 p.m. and reconvened at 3:48 p.m.  

Page H227

Suspensions: The House agreed to suspend the rules and pass the following measures:

Child Nicotine Poisoning Prevention Act: S. 142, to require the Consumer Product Safety Commission to promulgate a rule to require child safety packaging for liquid nicotine containers;

Pages H227–29

Presidential Allowance Modernization Act: H.R. 1777, amended, to amend the Act of August 25, 1958, commonly known as the “Former Presidents Act of 1958”, with respect to the monetary allowance payable to a former President;

Pages H241–42

District of Columbia Courts, Public Defender Service, and Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency Act: S. 1629, to revise certain authorities of the District of Columbia courts, the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia, and the Public Defender Service for the District of Columbia;

Pages H242–44

GONE Act: S. 1115, to close out expired, empty grant accounts;

Pages H244–46

Taxpayers Right-To-Know Act: H.R. 598, amended, to provide taxpayers with an annual report disclosing the cost and performance of Government programs and areas of duplication among them, by a 2⁄3 yea-and-nay vote of 413 yeas with none voting “nay”, Roll No. 34;

Pages H246–47, H257–58

Presidential Library Donation Reform Act of 2016: H.R. 1069, amended, to amend title 44, United States Code, to require information on contributors to Presidential library fundraising organizations;

Pages H247–49

FOIA Act: H.R. 653, amended, to amend section 552 of title 5, United States Code (commonly known as the Freedom of Information Act), to provide for greater public access to information; and

Pages H249–55


Pages H255–57, H258

Agreed to amend the title so as to read: “To amend title 5, United States Code, to protect unpaid interns in the Federal Government from workplace harassment and discrimination, and for other purposes.”.

Page H258

Recess: The House recessed at 5:55 p.m. and reconvened at 6:30 p.m.

Page H257

Suspension—Proceedings Postponed: The House debated the following measure under suspension of the rules. Further proceedings were postponed.


Pages H229–41

Quorum Calls—Votes: Two yea-and-nay votes developed during the proceedings of today and appear on pages H257–58 and H258. There were no quorum calls.

Adjournment: The House met at 12 noon and adjourned at 10 p.m.

Committee Meetings

STREAM ACT; IRAN TERROR FINANCE TRANSPARENCY ACT; SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL UNDER CHAPTER 8 OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, OF THE RULE SUBMITTED BY THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY RELATING TO THE DEFINITION OF “WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES” UNDER THE FEDERAL WATER POLLUTION CONTROL ACT

Committee on Rules: Full Committee held a hearing on H.R. 1644, the “STREAM Act”; H.R. 3662, the “Iran Terror Finance Transparency Act”; and S.J. Res. 22, providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the Corps of Engineers and the Environmental Protection Agency relating to the definition of “waters of the United States” under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act. The committee granted, by record vote of 8–4, granted a structured rule for H.R. 1644. The rule provides one hour of general debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Natural Resources. The rule waives all points of order against consideration of the bill. The rule makes in order as original text for the purpose of amendment the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Natural Resources now printed in the bill and provides that it shall be considered as read. The rule waives all points of order against the amendment in the nature of a substitute. The rule makes in order only those further amendments printed in the Rules Committee report. Each such amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, may
be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in the report. The rule provides one motion to recommit with or without instructions. Additionally, the rule grants a closed rule for S.J. Res. 22. The rule provides one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure. The rule waives all points of order against consideration of the joint resolution. The rule provides that the joint resolution shall be considered as read. The rule waives all points of order against provisions in the joint resolution. The rule provides one motion to commit. Furthermore, the rule grants a closed rule for H.R. 3662. The rule provides one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Foreign Affairs. The rule waives all points of order against consideration of the bill. The rule provides that the bill shall be considered as read. The rule waives all points of order against provisions in the bill. The rule provides one motion to recommit. In section 4, the rule provides that on any legislative day during the period from January 14, 2016, through January 22, 2016: the Journal of the proceedings of the previous day shall be considered as approved; and the Chair may at any time declare the House adjourned to meet at a date and time to be announced by the Chair in declaring the adjournment. Lastly, in section 5 the rule provides that the Speaker may appoint Members to perform the duties of the Chair for the duration of the period addressed by section 4. Testimony was heard from Chairman Royce and Representatives Engel, Gibbs, Mooney of West Virginia, and Lowenthal.

SBA'S OFFICE OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE: GOOD FOR BUSINESS?

Committee on Small Business: Subcommittee on Agriculture, Energy and Trade held a hearing entitled "SBA’s Office of International Trade: Good for Business?". Testimony was heard from Eileen Sanchez, Associate Administrator, Office of International Trade, Small Business Administration.

Joint Meetings

No joint committee meetings were held.

NEW PUBLIC LAWS

(For last listing of Public Laws, see DAILY DIGEST, p. D1333)

H.R. 2270, to redesignate the Nisqually National Wildlife Refuge, located in the State of Washington, as the Billy Frank Jr. Nisqually National Wildlife Refuge, to establish the Medicine Creek Treaty National Memorial within the wildlife refuge. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–101)

H.R. 2297, to prevent Hizballah and associated entities from gaining access to international financial and other institutions. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–102)

H.R. 2693, to designate the arboretum at the Hunter Holmes McGuire VA Medical Center in Richmond, Virginia, as the ‘‘Phyllis E. Galanti Arboretum’’. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–103)


H.R. 3594, to extend temporarily the Federal Perkins Loan program. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–105)

H.R. 3831, to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to extend the annual comment period for payment rates under Medicare Advantage. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–106)

H.R. 4246, to exempt for an additional 4-year period, from the application of the means-test presumption of abuse under chapter 7, qualifying members of reserve components of the Armed Forces and members of the National Guard who, after September 11, 2001, are called to active duty or to perform a homeland defense activity for not less than 90 days. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–107)

H.J. Res. 76, appointing the day for the convening of the second session of the One Hundred Fourteenth Congress. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–108)

S. 614, to provide access to and use of information by Federal agencies in order to reduce improper payments. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–109)

S. 808, to establish the Surface Transportation Board as an independent establishment. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–110)

S. 1090, to amend the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act to provide eligibility for broadcasting facilities to receive certain disaster assistance. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–111)

S. 1461, to provide for the extension of the enforcement instruction on supervision requirements for outpatient therapeutic services in critical access
and small rural hospitals through 2015. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–112)

H.R. 2029, making appropriations for military construction, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2016. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–113)

H.R. 1321, to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to prohibit the manufacture and introduction or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce of rinse-off cosmetics containing intentionally-added plastic microbeads. Signed on December 28, 2015. (Public Law 114–114)

S. 2425, to amend titles XVIII and XIX of the Social Security Act to improve payments for complex rehabilitation technology and certain radiation therapy services, to ensure flexibility in applying the hardship exception for meaningful use for the 2015 EHR reporting period for 2017 payment adjustments. Signed on December 28, 2015. (Public Law 114–115)

COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR TUESDAY, JANUARY 12, 2016

(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)

Senate

Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions: business meeting to consider the nomination of Robert McKinnon Califf, of South Carolina, to be Commissioner of Food and Drugs, Department of Health and Human Services, 10 a.m., SD–430.

Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs: to hold hearings to examine the nominations of Michael Joseph Missal, of Maryland, to be Inspector General, Department of Veterans Affairs, and Carolyn N. Lerner, of Maryland, to be Special Counsel, Office of Special Counsel, for the term of five years (Reappointment), 10 a.m., SD–342.

Committee on Veterans’ Affairs: business meeting to consider the nomination of Michael Joseph Missal, of Maryland, to be Inspector General, Department of Veterans Affairs, Time to be announced, S–216, Capitol.

Select Committee on Intelligence: to receive a closed briefing on certain intelligence matters, 2:30 p.m., SH–219.

House

Committee on Agriculture, Subcommittee on Nutrition, hearing entitled “Past, Present, and Future of SNAP: Addressing Special Populations”, 10 a.m., 1300 Longworth.

Committee on Armed Services, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Outside Views on the U.S. Strategy for Iraq and Syria and the Evolution of Islamic Extremism”, 10 a.m., 2118 Rayburn.

Subcommittee on Strategic Forces, hearing entitled “National Academies Study on Peer Review and Design Competition in the NNSA National Security Laboratories”, 3:30 p.m., 2118 Rayburn.


Subcommittee on Communications and Technology, hearing entitled “A Legislative Hearing on Four Communications Bills”, 10:15 a.m., 2123 Rayburn.

Committee on Financial Services, Subcommittee on Housing and Insurance, hearing entitled “Opportunities and Challenges Facing the National Flood Insurance Program”, 10 a.m., 2128 Rayburn.

Committee on Foreign Affairs, Subcommittee on Asia and the Pacific, markup on H. Res. 339, expressing the sense of the House of Representatives regarding the 25th anniversary of democracy in Mongolia; H. Res. 343, expressing concern regarding persistent and credible reports of systematic, state-sanctioned organ harvesting from non-consenting prisoners of conscience in the People’s Republic of China, including from large numbers of Falun Gong practitioners and members of other religious and ethnic minority groups; and H. Res. 374, recognizing the 50th anniversary of Singaporean independence and reaffirming Singapore’s close partnership with the United States; and hearing entitled “Human Rights in China: The 2015 Annual Report of the Congressional-Executive Commission on China”, 2:15 p.m., 2172 Rayburn.

Committee on the Judiciary, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 3406, the “Second Chance Reauthorization Act of 2015”; H. R. 4240, the “No Fly for Foreign Fighters Act”; and H.R. 1854, the “Comprehensive Justice and Mental Health Act of 2015”, 10:15 a.m., 2141 Rayburn.


Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 1671, the “Government Neutrality in Contracting Act”; H.R. 3023, to amend title 5, United States Code, to modify probationary periods with respect to positions within the competitive service and the Senior Executive Service, and for other purposes; the “Senior Executive Service Accountability Act”; H.R. 3406, the “Second Chance Reauthorization Act of 2015”; H.R. 374, recognizing the 50th anniversary of Singaporean independence and reaffirming Singapore’s close partnership with the United States; and hearing entitled “Human Rights in China: The 2015 Annual Report of the Congressional-Executive Commission on China”, 2:15 p.m., 2172 Rayburn.


Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 4084, the “Nuclear Energy Innovation Capabilities Act”, 10 a.m., 2318 Rayburn.


Subcommittee on Health and Technology, hearing entitled “Oversight of the Office of Innovation and Investment at the SBA”, 3 p.m., 2360 Rayburn.
Committee on Veterans’ Affairs, Full Committee, hearing entitled “1988 to 2016: VETSNET to VBMS; Billions Spent, Backlog Grinds On”, 10:30 a.m., 334 Cannon.

CONGRESSIONAL PROGRAM AHEAD
Week of January 12 through January 15, 2016

Senate Chamber

On Tuesday, at approximately 2:15 p.m., Senate will resume consideration of the motion to proceed to consideration of S. 2232, Federal Reserve Transparency Act, with a vote on the motion to invoke cloture on the motion to proceed to consideration of the bill at 2:30 p.m.

During the balance of the week, Senate may consider any cleared legislative and executive business.

Senate Committees

(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)

Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions: January 12, business meeting to consider the nomination of Robert McKinnon Califf, of South Carolina, to be Commissioner of Food and Drugs, Department of Health and Human Services, 10 a.m., SD–430.

Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs: January 12, to hold hearings to examine the nominations of Michael Joseph Missal, of Maryland, to be Inspector General, Department of Veterans Affairs, and Carolyn N. Lerner, of Maryland, to be Special Counsel, Office of Special Counsel, for the term of five years (Reappointment), 10 a.m., SD–342.

Committee on Veterans’ Affairs: January 12, business meeting to consider the nomination of Michael Joseph Missal, of Maryland, to be Inspector General, Department of Veterans Affairs, Time to be announced, S–216, Capitol.

Select Committee on Intelligence: January 12, to receive a closed briefing on certain intelligence matters, 2:30 p.m., SH–219.

House Committees

Committee on Armed Services, January 13, Subcommittee on Readiness, hearing entitled “Effects of Reduced Infrastructure and Base Operating Support Investments on Air Force Readiness”, 9 a.m., 2212 Rayburn.

January 13, Subcommittee on Military Personnel, hearing entitled “Views on Commissary Reform”, 10:30 a.m., 2118 Rayburn.

Committee on Financial Services, January 13, Subcommittee on Housing and Insurance, hearing entitled “How to Create a More Robust and Private Flood Insurance Marketplace”, 9:15 a.m., 2128 Rayburn.

Committee on Foreign Affairs, January 13, Subcommittee on Asia and the Pacific, hearing entitled “The U.S. Response to North Korea’s Nuclear Provocations”, 9 a.m., 2172 Rayburn.

Committee on the Judiciary, January 13, Subcommittee on the Constitution and Civil Justice, hearing entitled “The Original Meaning of the Origination Clause”, 9 a.m., 2141 Rayburn.

Committee on Small Business, January 13, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 4341, the “Defending America’s Small Contractors Act of 2016”, 10 a.m., 2360 Rayburn.
Next Meeting of the SENATE
10 a.m., Tuesday, January 12

Senate Chamber

Program for Tuesday: After the transaction of any morning business (not to extend beyond 12:30 p.m.), Senate will resume consideration of the motion to proceed to consideration of S. 2232, Federal Reserve Transparency Act, with a vote on the motion to invoke cloture on the motion to proceed to consideration of the bill at 2:30 p.m.

(Senate will recess from 12:30 p.m. until 2:15 p.m. for their respective party conferences.)

(Senators will gather in the Senate Chamber at 8:20 p.m. and proceed as a body to the Hall of the House of Representatives at 8:25 p.m., to receive a State of the Union Address from the President.)

Next Meeting of the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
10 a.m., Tuesday, January 12

House Chamber

Program for Tuesday: Consideration of H.R. 1655—STREAM Act (Subject to a Rule). Joint Session with the Senate to receive the State of the Union Address from the President of the United States.

Extensions of Remarks, as inserted in this issue

HOUSE

Buck, Ken, Colo., E30
Coffman, Mike, Colo., E31
Hudson, Richard, N.C., E28
Jackson Lee, Sheila, Tex., E28, E30
Jeffries, Hakeem S., N.Y., E27
Kind, Ron, Wisc., E31
Lujan Grisham, Michelle, N.M., E27, E29
Price, Tom, Ga., E31
Rangel, Charles B., N.Y., E28
Shuster, Bill, Pa., E27
Smith, Christopher H., N.J., E27
Vargas, Juan, Calif., E32
Waters, Maxine, Calif., E29
Westerman, Bruce, Ark., E28
Wilson, Joe, S.C., E31

The Congressional Record is the official source of legislative activity and public comment in the United States Congress. The text is printed pursuant to directions of the Joint Committee on Printing as authorized by appropriate provisions of Title 44, United States Code, and published for each day that one or both Houses are in session, except very infrequent instances when two or more unusually small consecutive issues are printed one time. Public access to the Congressional Record is available online through the U.S. Government Publishing Office, at www.fdsys.gov, free of charge to the user. The information is updated online each day the Congressional Record is published. For more information, contact the GPO Customer Contact Center, U.S. Government Publishing Office, at 202-512-1800, or 866-512-1800 (toll-free). E-Mail, contactcenter@gpo.gov. To place an order for any of these products, visit the U.S. Government Online Bookstore at: bookstore.gpo.gov. Mail orders to: Superintendent of Documents, P.O. Box 979050, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000, or phone orders to 866-512-1800 (toll-free), 202-512-1800 (D.C. area), or fax to 202-512-2104. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, or use VISA, MasterCard, Discover, American Express, or GPO Deposit Account. Following each session of Congress, the daily Congressional Record is revised, printed, permanently bound and sold by the Superintendent of Documents in individual parts or by sets. With the exception of copyrighted articles, there are no restrictions on the republication of material from the Congressional Record.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to the Superintendent of Documents, Congressional Record, U.S. Government Publishing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, along with the entire mailing label from the last issue received.