The House met at noon and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. SMITH of Nebraska).

DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC, January 11, 2016.

I hereby appoint the Honorable ADRIAN SMITH to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

PAUL D. RYAN,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

MORNING-HOUR DEBATE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of January 5, 2016, the Chair will now recognize Members from lists submitted by the majority and minority leaders for morning-hour debate.

The Chair will alternate recognition between the parties, with each party limited to 1 hour and each Member other than the majority and minority leaders and the minority whip limited to 5 minutes, but in no event shall debate continue beyond 1:50 p.m.

RECOGNIZING UNIFI MANUFACTURING, INCORPORATED FOR ITS COMMITMENT TO RECYCLING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina (Ms. FOXX) for 5 minutes.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, today, I rise to commend Unifi Manufacturing, Incorporated for its commitment to recycling.

Headquartered in Greensboro, Unifi is a leading producer and processor of multifilament polyester and nylon textured yarns. They provide innovative, global textile solutions and unique branded yarns for customers at every level of the supply chain.

Unifi employs about 950 people in North Carolina’s Fifth District at its Repreve Recycling Center in Yadkinville. The company is currently constructing an 85,000-square-foot expansion that will more than double the size of the facility.

Repreve is polyester yarn made from chips that come mainly from recycled plastic bottles and industrial fiber waste. These environmentally friendly yarns have been used in products for customers that include Ford, The North Face, Nike, Haggar, Quiksilver, Volcom, and Patagonia. For example, a classic fit casual dress pant by Haggar features seven recycled bottles. Seat covers in a Ford F-150 truck contain 16 recycled bottles.

Unifi is currently converting about 42 million pounds of recycled products a year into chips at its Yadkinville facility. That includes 31 million pounds of post-consumer plastic bottles and 11 million pounds of post-industrial fiber and fabric waste. Once the expansion is complete, it will recycle 72 million pounds annually.

At current production levels, the Yadkinville center accounts annually for the conversion of 900 million recycled plastic bottles and saves the equivalent of 16 million gallons of gasoline that would be required to make new polyester and nylon.

Last spring, Unifi also opened a 1-megawatt solar farm onsite in Yadkinville. The solar farm is projected to provide about 10 percent of the energy needed to run the recycling center.

Additionally, Unifi is expanding the Repreve brand through its 60 percent interest in Repreve Renewables, a bio-mass feedstock company that focuses on the direct sales of Freedom Giant Miscanthus to farmers. Some analysts believe this type of grass is extremely efficient in converting sunlight to bio-mass energy. It also produces more fuel than any other biofuel source.

Repreve Renewables has had significant commercial success with Thrivez, its poultry bedding brand. Thrivez regrows annually without replanting, reducing soil erosion, improving water quality, and minimizing water, herbicide, and fertilizer needs.

Unifi has been profitable for 5 consecutive years, and Repreve has expanded from two main apparel customers in 2007 to 32 in 2015. I commend Unifi for achieving economic success through sustainability.

MALHEUR WILDLIFE REFUGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. BLUMENAUER) for 5 minutes.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Speaker, today is the ninth day of armed occupation of the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge in Oregon—lawless, reckless behavior. As the Audubon Society points out: putting one of America’s most important wildlife refuges at risk and threatening Federal employees.

David Jenkins, president of Conservatives for Responsible Stewardship, points out they are trampling on the rights of every American, they are the opposite of conservatives, and they will continue to bully, threaten, and test the limits of civil society until they are stopped. Jenkins urged the Obama administration to follow Teddy Roosevelt’s advice that the law must be enforced with resolute firmness.

I fully understand policy differences, that compromises must be made and that there will be mistakes. I have worked with my Republican colleague GREG WALDEN, whose neighboring district goes all the way to the Idaho border, as we struggled to make broad Federal policy work better for everyone as we spent several years developing a vision for Mount Hood that included protections for wilderness and
practices for infrastructure and management. It is an ongoing effort. But with 323 million Americans, diverse landscapes, and philosophies that are buried, there are going to be struggles and differences that continue.

The answer is to keep working to find common ground, like we did with our staff and families on a 3-day hike around that magnificent mountain. For that moment, Mount Hood wasn’t the dividing line between our districts; it was a point around which we could come together to agree and work to make things better. It brought us together. That is exactly what needs to happen now.

There are tremendous challenges in our State of Oregon. We have a wildlife refuge in the Klamath Basin with a historic opportunity to remove unnecessary dams that even the private owner doesn’t feel it could maintain, to help restore damage to salmon runs, to be able to deal with a parched wildlife basin in the middle of a desert.

The Federal Government has promised far more in that basin to the stakeholders than it can deliver. There is a huge responsibility for all of us in the Federal Government to help unwind this unsustainable situation.

Native Americans, particularly in the Northwest, despite solemn treaty rights promised to them by the Federal Government and ratified by Congress, have long been abused and ignored. They deserve to be taken seriously and their rights respected.

There are opportunities, like dam removal, that signal a winning opportunity to keep faith with our environmental responsibilities and treaty obligations to Native Americans, to wildlife, and to the surrounding area.

Far from being a threat to the region’s economy, the removal, in an environmentally responsible way, of the four manmade dams in the Klamath Basin will generate little energy will provide hundreds of family-wage jobs for years that will inject badly needed money into the region in the deconstruction phase, to say nothing of the long-term benefits for tourism, recreation, and enhanced environment.

Let’s seize the opportunity in the Klamath. Let’s take the opportunity to implement the long-term vision and water restoration for the Malheur Basin. These are items where hundreds and hundreds of people have labored in good faith for tens of thousands of hours. They don’t need armed outsiders to come to Oregon, threatening public safety and the precious resources for their own political gains.

We ought to be able, in our region, to snatch victory from the jaws of defeat, discard, and the specter of dissenison, anger, and a continued sense of victimhood and loss. We don’t have to do this. We can build on the progress that we have established and work together to make these people and ourselves winners.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore, pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until 2 p.m. today.

Accordingly (at 12 o’clock and 9 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess. ☐ 1400

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by Speaker Denham (Mr. DENHAM) at 2 p.m.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Patrick J. Conroy, offered the following prayer:

Merciful God, we give You thanks for giving us another day.

Bless this place, this Chamber of the House of Representatives.

In the opening weeks of this new session, surround us with Your spirit. Encompass with Your power all the walls and the dome of this building, truly a symbol to the world of unalienable rights and the freedom of people.

May Your divine blessing shield and protect this place from all attack, destruction, storm, sickness, and all that might bring evil to Your people or shake the soul of this Nation.

Guide and protect the Members of this assembly and all servants in government, including all who work in this place. May the comings and goings of Your people be under the seal of Your loving care, and may all that is done be for Your greater honor and glory.

Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore, pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Will the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. POE of Texas led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

THE WASPS ARE BEING DENIED BURIAL AT ARLINGTON CEMETERY

(Mr. POE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, the great World War II was at its peak. So, on September 11, 1943, 28-year-old Sandy Thompson left her teaching job and volunteered for the Women Airforce Service Pilots, better known as the WASP. As a pilot, she towed targets for live antiaircraft practice, helped deliver planes to overseas bases, and tested new aircraft.

Of the 1,000 women who were WASPs, 38 were killed during their missions. Sixteen of these unsung heroes still live in Texas, and these pilots are part of the Greatest Generation.

WASP was considered civilians until 1977. Then Congress granted them veteran status. In 2002, the WASPs were allowed to be cremated and have their ashes placed in Arlington National Cemetery, but now bureaucrats have decided that these veterans are not worthy of having a proper military burial and have revoked burial rights in Arlington. The reason they say is a lack of space. This is disgraceful. A lack of space is a sorry excuse to dishonor these veterans.

Mr. Speaker, the government owns 23 percent of the land mass in the United States. Find space to permanently honor these female veterans. And that is just the way it is.

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT MUST HELP CORRECT MANMADE DISASTER IN FLINT, MICHIGAN

(Mr. Kildee asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. KILDEE. Mr. Speaker, I rise to talk to this Congress about my hometown of Flint, Michigan. This morning I wrote to the President and wrote a letter to our Governor, asking for help for my hometown.

Almost beyond belief, for a year and a half, the city of Flint has had water running through the pipes and into the homes of the people in Flint. The water has extraordinarily high levels of lead, which can affect the trajectory of a child’s life permanently.

This was a decision made by the State government when it took over the city of Flint because of its financial situation. To save a few dollars, it switched from Lake Huron as its primary water source to the Flint River. Without even any science or thought as to how the river might be treated. As a result, that corrosive river has put lead into the water source and into the bodies of young children.

Today, finally, after months and months of months, apparently, our Governor is going to announce some sort of response at the State level. I can assure you this: There is no confidence of the people of the city of Flint and of the people of Michigan—I have, certainly, no confidence myself—that the State’s going to be adequate. I am asking the Federal Government to step in and help correct this manmade disaster in Flint, Michigan.
HONORING THE LIFE OF CARLYLE FARNSWORTH

(Mr. McKinley asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. MCKINLEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise to recognize and honor the life of Carlyle Farnsworth from Wheeling, who passed away on Christmas Eve. I was honored to have known him as a friend. Carlyle was a member of the Greatest Generation in America, and he served in the United States Marine Corps during World War II. When he returned home, he built a career, raised a family, and was a community leader for a number of years.

He served on the board of the Wheeling Hospital for 29 years and was a past president. He was president of the Wheeling Area Chamber of Commerce, active in scouting with the local valley Scout council, and served as the vice president of the Scouts for over 20 years. Carlyle attended the very first National Scouting Jamboree right here in Washington in 1937. He was a bank officer, banker for over 40 years, and served as the bank president for many of those years. He belonged to numerous State and national banking associations and served on the West Virginia State Board of Investments.

My lasting impression of Carlyle was how cheerful, upbeat, and positive he was. I offer my condolences to his loving wife C.J., to his daughter, Betsy Ann; to his son, Thomas, and his family, and was a community leader for a number of years.
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Liquid nicotine, the product that is used in vaping pipes, is getting into the hands of children at a startling rate. I witnessed this firsthand when I had the opportunity to visit the Indiana Poison Control Centers last year.

The Surgeon General Dr. Jim Mowry, shared with me that exposures to e-cigarettes in Indiana alone have increased eightfold from 2011 to 2014. The numbers nationwide are even more startling, with poison control centers across the country showing a 14-fold increase in the exposure to e-cigarettes, from 271 cases in 2011 to just under 4,000 cases in 2014.

Attracted by flavors like Skittles and Apple jacks, curious children are often tempted to taste this liquid. Unfortunately, a single teaspoon of this liquid can be deadly to a child if it is either ingested or absorbed through the skin.

Since there are no safety packaging requirements currently under Federal law, children aren’t hindered in any way from having access to this potentially lethal product. With vaping becoming even more popular across the country and with an estimated 36 percent of e-cigarette users not locking up bottles of liquid nicotine or using childproof caps, I fear these calls to the poison control centers will only continue to rise.

That is why the bill in front of us today is so important. Very simply, it solves the problem that we have by applying to liquid nicotine the existing childproofing requirements found in the Poison Prevention Act. We shield our children from hazardous products. Liquid nicotine should be no exception.

Now, I know that the FDA also plans to regulate in this space and some have expressed worry about the overlapping regulations that this bill might impose. I am hopeful that the savings clause that we have added to the bill will allay the fears of those skeptics since it explicitly allows the FDA to continue its regulatory authority.

There is a significant amount of debate about the FDA’s authority in this area and when it will act. Regardless, since the FDA hasn’t even produced a proposed rule yet, a final rule will likely not be finalized for over a year. That is a year of more calls to poison control centers across the country and a year of kids being needlessly exposed to an easily preventable danger. Let’s solve the problem right now by passing this legislation and sending it to the President’s desk today.

In closing, I express my thanks to my colleagues, the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. ESTY). This is something that I know she has worked on for quite some time; so, I thank her for helping to spearhead this effort and for helping us to craft a bill that will protect children for generations to come.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SARBANES. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of S. 142, the Child Nicotine Poisoning Prevention Act, which would protect children from exposure to liquid nicotine.

Liquid nicotine comes in a variety of flavors, like orange, grape, bubblegum, and cotton candy, which appeal to kids, and many of these liquid nicotine products are accessible to children for contact and consumption. At this time, there is no existing standard to protect against accidental poisoning.

The threat of poisoning is not an imagined threat. About a year ago the United States saw its first American child died from liquid nicotine poisoning. The number of calls to poison control centers about liquid nicotine continues to rise, and more than half of those reported exposures occurred in children who were under 6 years of age.

This bill, as you heard, takes the commonsense step of directing the Consumer Product Safety Commission to limit the risks of child liquid nicotine poisoning by requiring special packaging for liquid nicotine containers.

At the same time, it allows the Food and Drug Administration to continue with its rules on tobacco products, including the requirement for the childproof packaging of liquid nicotine. The FDA’s authority to do so is clear, and I strongly encourage the Office of Management and Budget to finish its review of the tobacco rule so the rules can go into effect quickly.

I hope and expect this will be as widely supported in the House as it was in the Senate. I salute Representative BROOKS. I also thank Representative ELIZABETH ESTY for her important leadership on this critical issue and for working across the aisle, from the outset, to advance this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SARBANES. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as she may consume to the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. ESTY).

Ms. ESTY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of the Child Nicotine Poisoning Prevention Act.

Along with Senator NELSON, I proudly introduced the Child Nicotine Prevention Act last year. This year it has been a real pleasure to work with my good friend SUSAN BROOKS.

I would like to thank her as well as Representative SCHAKOWSKY, Representative SARBANES, Chairman UPTON, Ranking Member PALLONE, and all of the staff for their help on this commonsense, important—literally, lifesaving—legislation that I hope we will pass today and put on the President’s desk tonight.

As a mom, I can only imagine the pain felt by parents whose children have been poisoned by a substance that, so far, the Federal Government has done nothing from which to protect their children.

It is understandable that children are attracted by the liquid nicotine that is being sold right now through e-cigarettes. The packages are brightly colored. They look like candy. They have flavors like strawberry, gummy bears, cotton candy, peppermint, chocolate. Once you open the package, it smells like candy.

It is not surprising, particularly at the holidays, that children who are seeing brightly colored food flavorings and who are dyeing cookies and making them bright colors would be curious. With all of it, with all of it, and we want to taste it. Just a little over a year ago a 2-year-old died in New York from ingesting this.

Even a small bottle of liquid nicotine has enough poison to kill four small children; so, I am grateful to my colleagues on both sides of the aisle for having joined us to reduce the risk of these poisonings by adding the simple packaging that we are all familiar with, those plastic wrappings that are on every bottle of eyedrops, on every bottle of contact lenses so that, on all poisons and common sense household products that we know could endanger an adult.

But here we are talking about children and they deserve protection.

Liquid nicotine, which is just as dangerous, deserves to have that packaging.

This bipartisan legislation will require that all liquid nicotine quantities be childproofed. It is a simple, commonsense measure. It will save lives. I ask that all of my colleagues support this legislation today so as to ensure that liquid nicotine packaging in all sizes and shapes and colors and flavors is childproofed.

We have worked very hard to ensure that we are working within the FDA’s authority, giving them time to develop final rules. But, frankly, we have already waited over a year. We have already had a death; and, there has been a huge increase in the number of calls to poison centers. So it is past time for us to act.

Again I thank my colleagues, particularly the chairman and SUSAN BROOKS, for their leadership.

I urge my colleagues to join us today. Let’s get this on the President’s desk for signature. Let’s get our children protected from the dangers of liquid nicotine.

Mr. SARBANES. Mr. Speaker, I urge support of this important bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mrs. BROOKS of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

In closing, as the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. ESTY) so eloquently stated, I also commend my colleagues on the Committee on Energy and Commerce for seeing the importance of this.

I thank Mr. SARBANES, the chairman, and the ranking member for moving on this commonsense legislation. I thank Ms. ESTY for being a champion of the Child Nicotine Poisoning Prevention Act.

I urge all of my colleagues to support this bill.
Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support to S. 142, the “Child Nicotine Poisoning Prevention Act,” which requires any nicotine provided in a liquid nicotine container sold, offered for sale, manufactured for sale, distributed in commerce, or used in special packaging that is difficult for children under five years of age to open or access harmful contents.

As the founding member and Chair of the Congressional Children’s Caucus, I am in support of this bill because it places the safety of children first.

Today, small children are at risk of injury and death from easily accessed liquid nicotine used to refill electronic cigarettes.

Nicotine liquids used in e-cigarettes are sold without child proof packaging.

Further, these nicotine products are attractive to children because they come in a wide range of candy flavors such as gummy bear, cotton candy and chocolate.

Liquid nicotine is highly toxic and sold in a highly concentrated form.

Many liquid nicotine products contain nearly 36 mg of nicotine per milliliter of liquid.

According to the Campaign for Tobacco Free Kids, the concentrated form of nicotine in liquid format is intended for use in smokeless cigarettes and would only take a small 15 milliliter dose to kill four toddlers.

According to the Centers for Disease Control the number of calls to poison centers involving e-cigarette liquids containing nicotine rose from one per month in September 2010 to 215 per month in February 2014.

Data from the American Association of Poison Control Centers (AAPCC) showed nearly 4,000 adverse incidents related to e-cigarette exposures in 2014, a 145 percent increase from 2013 and a 14-fold increase since 2011.

In 2015, there were 1,499 calls to Poison Control Centers through May 31, 2015 that were liquid nicotine related.

This bill would save children’s lives by allowing the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) the authority to require the use of child-proof packaging on liquid nicotine containers sold to consumers.

The CPSC currently requires such packaging on many common toxic household substances like bleach, as well as FDA-regulated products like prescription drugs.

S. 142 is needed to save children from unnecessary poisonings from liquid nicotine.

The most recent National Youth Tobacco Survey showed e-cigarette use is growing fast, and now this report shows e-cigarette related poisonings are also increasing rapidly,” said Tim McDade, M.D., Director of CDC’s Office on Smoking and Health.

We all must do our part to reduce liquid nicotine poisoning of children.

It will take the efforts of members of the House in voting to pass this bill, health care providers, e-cigarette companies and distributors, and the public need to join efforts to keep our children safe from potential health risk from e-cigarettes.

Strategies to monitor and prevent future poisonings are critical given the rapid increase in e-cigarette related poisonings and the first step is voting for S. 142.

I ask my colleagues to join me in support of S. 142, “Child Nicotine Poisoning Prevention Act.”

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Indiana (Mrs. Brooks) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 142.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

NORTH KOREA SANCTIONS ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 2016

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass this bill (H.R. 757) to improve the enforcement of sanctions against the Government of North Korea, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 757

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the “North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2016”.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
Sec. 2. Findings.
Sec. 3. Definitions.

TITLE I—INVESTIGATIONS, PROHIBITED CONDUCT, AND PENALTIES

Sec. 101. Statement of policy.
Sec. 102. Investigations.
Sec. 103. Briefing to Congress.
Sec. 104. Designation of persons for prohibited conduct and mandatory and discretionary designation and sanctions authorities.
Sec. 105. Forfeiture of property.

TITLE II—SANCTIONS AGAINST NORTH KOREAN PROLIFERATION, HUMAN RIGHTS ABUSES, ILlicit ACTIVITIES, AND SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITIES UNDERMINING CYBER SECURITY

Sec. 201. Designations with respect to North Korea as a jurisdiction of primary money laundering concern.
Sec. 203. Proliferation prevention sanctions.
Sec. 204. Procurement sanctions.
Sec. 205. Enhanced inspections authorities.
Sec. 206. Travel sanctions.
Sec. 207. Exemptions, waivers, and removals of designation.
Sec. 208. Report on those responsible for knowingly engaging in significant activities undermining cyber security.

Sec. 209. Sense of Congress that trilateral cooperation among the United States, Japan, and the Republic of Korea is crucial to the stability of the Asia-Pacific region.


TITLE III—PROMOTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

Sec. 301. Information technology.

Sec. 303. Report on persons who are responsible for serious human rights abuses or censorship in North Korea.

TITLE IV—GENERAL AUTHORITIES

Sec. 401. Suspension of sanctions and other measures.
Sec. 402. Termination of sanctions and other measures.
Sec. 403. Authority to consolidate reports.
Sec. 404. Regulations.
Sec. 405. No additional funds authorized.
Sec. 406. Effective date.

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) The Government of North Korea has repeatedly violated its commitments to the complete, verifiable, irreversible dismantlement of its nuclear weapons programs, and has willfully violated multiple United Nations Security Council resolutions calling for it to cease its development, testing, and production of weapons of mass destruction.

(2) North Korea poses a grave risk for the proliferation of nuclear weapons and other weapons of mass destruction.

(3) The Government of North Korea has been implicated repeatedly in money laundering and illicit activities, including prohibited arms sales, narcotics trafficking, the counterfeiting of United States currency, and the counterfeiting of intellectual property of United States persons.

(4) The Government of North Korea has, both historically and recently, repeatedly sponsored acts of international terrorism, including attempts to assassinate defectors and human rights activists, repeated threats of violence against foreign persons, leaders, newspapers, and cities, and the shipment of weapons to terrorists and state sponsors of terrorism.

(5) North Korea has unilaterally withdrawn from the 1963 Armistice Agreement that ended the Korean War, and committed provocations against South Korea in 2010 by sinking the warship Cheonan and killing 46 of her crew, and by shelling Yeonpyeong Island, killing four South Koreans.

(6) North Korea maintains a system of brutal political prison camps as many as 120,000 men, women, and children, who live in atrocious living conditions with insufficient food, clothing, and medical care, and under constant fear of torture or arbitrary execution.


(8) North Korea has prioritized weapons programs and the procurement of luxury goods, in defiance of United Nations Security Council resolutions, and in gross disregard of the needs of its people.

(9) The President has determined that the Government of North Korea is responsible for knowingly engaging in significant activities undermining cyber security with respect to United States persons and interests, and for threats of violence against the civilian population of the United States.

(10) Persons, including financial institutions, who engage in transactions with, or provide financial services to, the Government of North Korea and its financial institutions without establishing sufficient financial safeguards against North Korea’s use of these transactions to promote proliferation, weapons trafficking, human rights violations, illicit activity, and the purchase of luxury goods, aid and abet North Korea’s misuse of the international financial system, and also violate the intent of relevant United Nations Security Council resolutions.
SEC. 2. DESIGNATION AND SANCTIONS AUTHORITY.—
(a) Prohibited conduct and mandatory designations and sanctions authority.—
(1) Conduct described.—Except as provided in section 207, the President shall designate under this subsection any person the President determines to—
(A) have knowingly engaged in significant activities or transactions with the Government of North Korea that have materially contributed to the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction or their means of delivery (including missiles capable of delivering such weapons), including any efforts to manufacture, acquire, possess, develop, transport, transfer, or use such items;
(B) have knowingly engaged in significant activities or transactions with the Government of North Korea, including exporting, reexporting, or reexported to, or from North Korea any significant arms or related material, whether directly or indirectly;
(C) have knowingly provided significant training, advice, or other services or assistance, or engaged in significant transactions, related to the manufacture, maintenance, or distribution of any arms or any technology that has been imported, exported, reexported to, or from North Korea, whether directly or indirectly;
(D) have knowingly, directly or indirectly, imported, exported, or reexported significant luxury goods, or other stores of value, to or into North Korea;
(E) have knowingly engaged in or been responsible for censorship by the Government of North Korea, including prohibiting, limiting, or penalizing the exercise of freedom of expression or assembly, limiting access to print, radio or other broadcast media, Internet or other electronic communications, or any other activity that stimulates or supports significant manipulation that would jam or restrict an international signal;
(F) have knowingly engaged in or been responsible for serious human rights violations by the Government of North Korea, including torture or cruel, inhuman, or degrading...
treatment or punishment, prolonged detention without charges and trial, forced labor or trafficking in persons, causing the disappearance of persons by the abduction and clandestine detention of the person, or other denial of the right to life, liberty, or the security of a person; and

(G) knowingly, directly or indirectly, engaged in money laundering, the counterfeiting of goods or currency, bulk cash smuggling, narcotics trafficking, or other illicit activity that involves or supports, or is attributable to, activities prohibited by section 207 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 or a United Nations Security Council resolution; or

(H) knowingly attempted to engage in any of the conduct described in subparagraphs (A) through (G) of this paragraph.

(2) EFFECT OF DESIGNATION.—With respect to any person designated under this subsection, the President—

(A) shall exercise the authorities of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1705 et seq.) to block all property and interests in property of any person designated under this subsection that are in the United States, that hereafter come within the possession or control of any United States person, including any foreign branch; and

(B) may apply any of the sanctions described in sections 204, 205(c), and 206.

(3) PENALTIES.—The penalties provided for in section 207 of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1705) shall apply to a person who violates, at-home or abroad, any of the conduct described in subparagraphs (A) through (F) of paragraph (1) of this section or the conduct described in subparagraphs (A) through (G) of this paragraph or the conduct described in subparagraphs (A) through (F) of this paragraph.

(4) DEFINITION.—In paragraph (1)(F), the term "trafficking in persons" has the meaning given the term in section 103(9) of the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000 (22 U.S.C. 7102(9)).

(b) DISCRETIONARY DESIGNATION AND SANCTIONS AUTHORITY.—

(1) CONDUCT DESCRIBED.—Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this subsection, the President may designate under this subsection any person that the President determines to—

(A) engaged in, contributed to, assisted, sponsored, or provided financial, material, or technological support for, or goods and services in support of, any violation of an applicable United Nations Security Council resolution;

(B) have knowingly facilitated the transfer of any funds, financial assets, or economic resources, or any property or interests in property of a person designated under an applicable Executive order, or by the United Nations Security Council pursuant to an applicable United Nations Security Council resolution;

(C) have knowingly facilitated the transfer of any funds, financial assets, or economic resources, or any property or interests in property derived from, involved in, or that has materially contributed to conduct prohibited by subsection (a) or an applicable United Nations Security Council resolution;

(D) have knowingly facilitated any transfer, including any transaction in bulk cash or other stores of value, without applying adequate measures to ensure that such transaction does not contribute materially to conduct described in subsection (a) an applicable Executive order, or an applicable United Nations Security Council resolution; and

(E) have knowingly facilitated any transaction in cash or monetary instruments or other stores of value, including through cash couriers transiting to or from North Korea, used to facilitate any conduct prohibited by an applicable United Nations Security Council resolution.

(F) have knowingly, directly or indirectly, engaged in significant activities undermining cyber security for, in support of, or at the direction of the Government of North Korea or any senior official thereof, or have knowingly contributed to the bribery of an official of the Government of North Korea, the misappropriation of public funds by, or for the benefit of, an official of the Government of North Korea, or the use of any proceeds of any such conduct;

(G) have knowingly and materially assisted, sponsored, or provided significant financial, material, or technological support for, or goods or services to or in support of, the conduct described in subparagrapghs (A) through (F) of this paragraph or the conduct described in subparagraphs (A) through (G) of this paragraph or the conduct described in subparagraphs (A) through (F) of this paragraph.

(2) EFFECT OF DESIGNATION.—With respect to any person designated under this subsection, the President—

(A) may apply the sanctions described in section 204;

(B) may apply any of the special measures described in section 204(a), 205(c), and 206;

(C) may prohibit any transactions in foreign exchange that are subject to the jurisdiction of the United States and in which such person has any interest;

(D) may prohibit any transfers of credit or payments between financial institutions or by, through, or to any financial institution, to the extent that such transfers or payments are subject to the jurisdiction of the United States and involve any interest of the person; and

(E) may exercise the authorities of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1705 et seq.) without regard to section 202 of such Act to block any property and interests in property of any person designated under this subsection that are in the United States, that hereafter come within the United States, or that are or hereafter come within the possession or control of any United States person, including any foreign branch.

(3) LIMITATION.—If the President determines that a person has engaged in any conduct described in subparagraphs (A) through (F) of paragraph (1) that may also be construed to constitute conduct described in subparagraphs (A) through (G) of paragraph (1), the President may designate the person under this subsection but rather shall designate the person under subsection (a).

(c) BLOCKING OF ALL PROPERTY AND INTERESTS IN PROPERTY OF THE GOVERNMENT OF NORTH KOREA.—

(1) CONDUCT DESCRIBED.—Except as provided in section 207, the President shall exercise the authorities of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1705 et seq.) to block all property and interests in property of the Government of North Korea and the Worker's Party of Korea. Except as provided in section 207, the President shall exercise the authorities of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1705 et seq.) to block all property and interests in property of the Government of North Korea and the Worker's Party of Korea that on or after the date of the enactment of this Act come within the jurisdiction of the United States, or that come within the possession or control of any United States person, including any foreign branch.

(2) APPLICATION.—In paragraph (1)(A) through (G) of this subsection, the term "United States person" includes any foreign branch.

(3) LIMITATION.—If the President determines that a person has engaged in any conduct described in subparagraphs (A) through (G) of paragraph (1) that may also be construed to constitute conduct described in subparagraphs (A) through (G) of paragraph (1), the President may designate the person under this subsection but rather shall designate the person under subsection (a).

(d) BLOCKING OF ALL PROPERTY AND INTERESTS IN PROPERTY OF THE GOVERNMENT OF NORTH KOREA AND THE WORKER'S PARTY OF NORTH KOREA.—Except as provided in section 207, the President shall exercise the authorities of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1705 et seq.) to block all property and interests in property of the Government of North Korea and the Worker's Party of Korea that are attributable to, or are attributable to, the provision of weapons of mass destruction, has repeatedly expressed concern about North Korea's misuse of the international financial system as follows:

(1) In 2006, the Undersecretary stated that, given North Korea's "counterfeiting of U.S. currency, narcotics trafficking and use of acounting services worldwide to evade United States sanctions-related transactions, the line between illicit and licit North Korean money is nearly invisible" and urged financial institutions to "think carefully about the risks of doing any North Korea-related business."
(B) In 2011, the Undersecretary stated that “North Korea remains intent on engaging in proliferation, selling arms as well as bringing in material,” and was “aggressively pursuing the effort to establish front companies.”

(C) In 2013, the Undersecretary stated, in reference to North Korea’s distribution of high-end counterfeit United States currency, that “North Korea is continuing to try to pass a supernote into the international financial system,” and that the Department of the Treasury would soon introduce new currency with improved security features to protect against counterfeiting by the North Koreans.

(2) The Financial Action Task Force, an intergovernmental body whose purpose is to develop and promote national and international cooperation on combating terrorist financing, has repeatedly—

(A) expressed concern at deficiencies in North Korea’s regime to combat money laundering and terrorist financing;

(B) urged North Korea to adopt a plan of action to address significant deficiencies in these areas and to implement the serious threats they pose to the integrity of the international financial system;

(C) urged all jurisdictions to apply countermeasures to protect the international financial system from ongoing and substantial money laundering and terrorist financing risks emanating from North Korea;

(D) urged all financial institutions to give special attention to business relationships and transactions with North Korea, including North Korean companies and financial institutions; and

(E) called on all jurisdictions to protect against the significant risk that sanctions related to proliferation, and its mitigation practices, and take into account money laundering and terrorist financing risks when considering requests by North Korean financial institutions to open branches and subsidiaries in their jurisdiction.

(3) On March 7, 2013, the United Nations Security Council unanimously adopted Resolution 2094, which—

(A) welcomed the Financial Action Task Force’s adoption of a classified annex.

(B) called on Member States to prohibit, including the use of deceptive financial practices for facilitating transactions on behalf of persons linked to North Korea’s proliferation network, and for serving as “a key financial node”;

(C) urged all jurisdictions to apply countermeasures to protect the international financial system from the risks of money laundering and terrorist financing, has repeat-

(D) called on Member States to prohibit financial institutions that could contribute to activities prohibited by applicable United Nations Security Council resolutions; and

(E) called on all jurisdictions to protect against the significant risk that sanctions related to proliferation, and its mitigation practices, and take into account money laundering and terrorist financing risks when considering requests by North Korean financial institutions to open branches and subsidiaries in their jurisdiction.

(4) On March 7, 2013, the United Nations Security Council unanimously adopted Resolution 2094, which—

(A) welcomed the Financial Action Task Force’s adoption of a classified annex.

(B) called on Member States to prohibit, including the use of deceptive financial practices for facilitating transactions on behalf of persons linked to North Korea’s proliferation network, and for serving as “a key financial node”;

(C) urged all jurisdictions to apply countermeasures to protect the international financial system from the risks of money laundering and terrorist financing, has repeat-

(D) called on Member States to prohibit financial institutions that could contribute to activities prohibited by applicable United Nations Security Council resolutions; and

(E) called on all jurisdictions to protect against the significant risk that sanctions related to proliferation, and its mitigation practices, and take into account money laundering and terrorist financing risks when considering requests by North Korean financial institutions to open branches and subsidiaries in their jurisdiction.

(5) On January 11, 2016, the Undersecretary of the Treasury would soon introduce new currency with improved security features to protect against counterfeiting by the North Koreans.

(C) prevented the use of deceptive financial practices to facilitate transactions on behalf of persons linked to North Korea’s proliferation network.

(2) the cessation of any financial services for persons, including financial institutions, that present unacceptable risks of facilitating money laundering and illicit activity by the Government of North Korea;

(3) the blocking by all states and jurisdictions, in accordance with the legal process of the state or jurisdiction in which the property is held, of any property required to be blocked under applicable United Nations Security Council resolutions;

(4) the blocking of any property derived from illicit activity, from significant activities undermining cyber security, from the misappropriation, theft, or embezzlement of public funds by, or for the benefit of, officials of the Government of North Korea;

(5) the blocking of any property involved in significant activities undermining cyber security by the Government of North Korea, directly or indirectly, against United States persons, or the theft of intellectual property by the Government of North Korea, directly or indirectly from United States persons; and

(6) the blocking of any property of persons domiciled or indirectly under the ownership or control of, or having any interest in, North Korea;
SEC. 202. PROLIFERATION PREVENTION SANC- 
TIONS.
(a) EXPORT OF CERTAIN GOODS OR TECH- 
NOLOGY.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to section 207(a)(2)(C) of this Act, a license shall be re- 
quired for the export to North Korea of any goods, services, or technology subject to the Export Administration Regulations (part 730 of title 15, Code of Federal Regulations) with respect to which the Secretary of the United States has granted a waiver authority.

(b) TRACTIONS WITH COUNTRIES SUPPORTING ACTS OF INTERNATIONAL TERRORISM.—
(1) ARMS EXPORT CONTROL ACT PROHIBITIONS.—The prohibitions and restrictions described in section 49 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2780), and other provisions provided for in that Act, shall apply to exports of goods or services (including credit, lease, or loan, or other means) directly or indirectly, from the United States, to any country that provides lethal military equipment to the Government of North Korea without regard to whether or not North Korea is a country with respect to which the interests of the United States. If the head of an executive agency waives the requirement under paragraph (1) for a person, the head of the agency shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees, the Com- 
mittee on Homeland Security of the House of 
Representatives, and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Af- fairs of the Senate, a report containing the rationale for the waiver and relevant information supporting the waiver decision.

(2) INITIATION OF SUSPENSION AND DEBARMENT PROCEEDINGS.—The President may, on a case-by-case basis, waive the prohibitions described in subsection (a)(1) of this section for a person to the extent that such penalties apply to a United States citizen that commits an unlawful act described in subsection (a)(2) of this section.

(c) TRANSACTION IN LETHAL MILITARY EQUIPMENT.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—The President shall withhold assistance under the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2151 et seq.) to any country that provides lethal military equipment to the Government of North Korea.

(2) APPLICABILITY.—The prohibition under this subsection with respect to a country shall terminate on the date that is 1 year after the date on which such country ceases to provide lethal military equipment to the Government of North Korea.

(d) PROCEDURAL AUTHORITY.—The President may, on a case-by-case basis, waive the prohibitions under this subsection with respect to a country for a period of not more than 180 days, and may renew such waiver, with respect to any country, for additional periods of not more than 180 days, if the President determines and so reports to the appropriate congressional committees that it is in the national interests of the United States to exercise such waiver authority.

SEC. 204. PROCUREMENT SANCTIONS.
(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in this section, no funds are available to the United States Government for the construction, purchase, or lease of any military equipment or armament, to the extent that such equipment or armament is provided for by the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1975, to any country the payment of which by the United States is necessary to permit the procurement of eligible products, as defined in section 306(b) of the Trade Agreement Act of 1979 (19 U.S.C. 2518(b)), or of any foreign country or instrumentality designated by the President, acting through the Secretary of Homeland Security, pursuant to Executive Order 12591 (31 U.S.C. 6101 note; relating to debarment and suspension), or 12689 (31 U.S.C. 6101 note; relating to debarment and suspension).

(b) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section may be construed to limit the use of other remedies available to the head of an executive agency or any other officer or employee of the Government in order to achieve a determination of a false certification under subsection (a).

(c) EXECUTIVE AGENCY AUTHORITY.—In this section, the term "executive agency" has the meaning given such term in section 133 of title 41, United States Code.

SEC. 205. ENHANCED INSPECTION AUTHORITY.
(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, the President, acting through the Secretary of Home- 
land Security, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees, the Com- 
mittee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate, a report identifying for- 

dereign nations (or any appropriate official thereof) are uncooperative in facilitating or effec- tively preventing the facilitation of any of the activities described in section 104(a).

(b) ENHANCED SECURITY TARGETING REQUIRE- 
MENTS.—Not later than 180 days after the identification of any sea port or airport pursuant to subsection (a), the Secretary of Homeland Security shall, utilizing the Auto- 
mated Targeting System operated by the Na- tional Targeting Center in U.S. Customs and Border Protection, require enhanced screen- 
ing of all vessels, aircraft, or conveyances used to facilitate any of the activities described in section 104(a) that comes within the jurisdiction of the United States or the Secretary of Homeland Security (or a designee of one of such Secretaries) knows, or has reasonable grounds to believe, is engaged in any of those prohibited activities.

(c) SEIZURE AND FORFEITURE.—A vessel, 
aircraft, or conveyance used to facilitate any of the prohibited activities described in section 104(a) that comes within the jurisdiction of the United States may be seized and forfeited pursuant to section 464(e) of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act, or under the Tariff Act of 1930.

SEC. 206. TRAVEL SANCTIONS.
(a) ALIENS INELIGIBLE FOR VISAS, ADMISSION, OR PAROLE.—An alien (or an alien who is a corporate officer of a person) who the Secretary of State or the Secretary of Homeland Security (or a designee of one of such Secretaries) knows, or has reasonable grounds to believe, is described in subsection (a)(1) or (b)(1) of section 104 is—

(A) inadmissible to the United States; 

(B) ineligible to receive a visa or other docu- 
mentation to enter the United States; and

(C) ineligible to be admitted, or paroled into the United States or to receive any other benefit under the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1181 et seq.).

(b) EFFECT OF REVOCATION.—A revocation under subparagraph (A)—

(i) shall take effect immediately; and

(ii) shall automatically cancel any other valid visa or entry documentation that is in the alien’s possession.

(c) EXCEPTION TO COMPLY WITH UNITED NA- 
TIONS HEADQUARTERS AGREEMENT.—Sanc- 
tions under subsection (a) shall not apply to an alien if admitting the alien into the United States is necessary to permit the United States to comply with the Agreement regarding the Headquarters of the United Nations, signed at Lake Success June 26, 1947, and entered into force November 21, 1947, between the United Nations and the United States, or any applicable international obligations.

SEC. 207. EXEMPTIONS, WAIVERS, AND REMOV- 
ALS OF DESIGNATION.
(a) EXEMPTIONS.—

(1) MANDATORY EXEMPTIONS.—The follow- 
ing activities shall be exempt from sanc- 
tions under section 104:

(A) Activities subject to the reporting re- 
quirements of title V of the National Secu- 
rity Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 413 et seq.), or to any authorized intelligence activities of the United States.

(B) Any transaction necessary to comply with United States obligations under the Agreement between the United Nations and the United States of America regarding the Headquarters of the United Nations, signed June 26, 1947, and entered into force on No- vember 21, 1947, or under the Vienna Conven- 
tion for Consular Relations, signed April 24, 1963, and entered into force on March 19, 1967, or under other international agreements.

(2) DISCRETIONARY EXEMPTIONS.—The fol- 
lowing activities may be exempt from san- 
tions under section 104 as determined by the President:
(A) Any financial transaction the exclusive purpose for which is to provide humanitarian assistance to the people of North Korea.

(B) Any financial transaction the exclusive purpose for which is to provide food products, clothing, medicine, or medical devices into North Korea, provided that such supplies or equipment are classified as designated "EAR 99" under the Export Administration Regulations (part 730 of title 15, Code of Federal Regulations) and not controlled under:


(ii) the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2771 et seq.);

(iii) part B of title VIII of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Prevention Act of 1994 (22 U.S.C. 6301 et seq.); or

(iv) the Chemical and Biological Weapons Control and Warfare Elimination Act of 1991 (22 U.S.C. 5601 et seq.).

(b) Waiver.—The President may waive, on a case-by-case basis, the imposition of sanctions for a period of not more than one year, and may renew that waiver for additional periods not exceeding one year, for any sanction or other measure under section 104, 204, 205, 206, or 303 if the President submits to the appropriate congressional committees a written statement that the waiver fulfills one or more of the following requirements:

(1) The waiver is important to the economic or national security interests of the United States;

(2) The waiver will further the enforcement of this Act or is for an important law enforcement purpose.

(3) The waiver would be for an important humanitarian purpose, including any of the purposes described in section 4 of the North Korean Human Rights Act of 2004 (22 U.S.C. 7802);

(b) REMOVALS OF SANCTIONS.—The President may prescribe rules and regulations for the removal of sanctions on a person that is designated under subsection (a) or (b) of section 104 and the removal of designations of a person with respect to such sanctions if the President determines that the designated person has verifiably ceased its participation in any of the activities described in subsection (a) or (b) of section 104, as the case may be, and has given assurances that it will abide by the terms of this Act.

(d) FINANCIAL SERVICES FOR CERTAIN ACTIVITIES.—The President may promulgate rules, regulations, and policies as may be necessary to facilitate the provision of financial services by a foreign financial institution that is not controlled by the Government of North Korea in support of the activities specified in this section.

SEC. 208. REPORT ON THOSE RESPONSIBLE FOR KNOWINGLY ENGAGING IN SIGNIFICANT ACTIVITIES UNDERMINING CYBER SECURITY.

(a) In General.—The President shall submit to the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate a report on cooperation between the United States and Japan on their nuclear programs, including the identity of Iranian and North Korean persons that have knowingly engaged in or directed the provision of material support or the exchange of information between North Korea and Iran on their respective nuclear programs.

(b) Submission and Form.—The report required under subsection (a) shall be submitted not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(c) Recommendation.—The report required under subsection (a) shall be submitted in an unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex.

SEC. 209. SENSE OF CONGRESS THAT TRIANGULAR COOPERATION AMONG THE UNITED STATES, JAPAN, AND THE REPUBLIC OF KOREA IS CRUCIAL TO THE STABILITY OF THE ASIA-PACIFIC REGION.

(a) Findings.—Congress finds the following:

(1) The United States, Japan, and the Republic of Korea (South Korea) share the values of democracy, free and open markets, the rule of law, and respect for human rights.

(2) The alliance relationship between the United States and South Korea are critical to peace and security in the Asia-Pacific region.

(3) The United States, Japan, and South Korea are committed to continuing diplomatic efforts to ensure continued peace and stability in the Asia-Pacific region.

(4) On December 28, 2014, the United States, Japan, and South Korea issued a trilateral military intelligence-sharing arrangement concerning the nuclear and missile threats posed by North Korea.

(5) The trilateral military intelligence-sharing arrangement reinforces and strengthens the commitment between the United States, Japan, and South Korea toward a Korean Peninsula free of nuclear weapons.

(b) Sense of Congress.—It is the sense of Congress that North Korea’s nuclear and ballistic missile programs are of mutual concern to the United States, Japan, and South Korea and a trilateral military intelligence-sharing arrangement is essential to the security of each nation and the Asia-Pacific region.

SEC. 210. REPORT ON NUCLEAR PROGRAM COOPERATION BETWEEN NORTH KOREA AND IRAN.

(a) In General.—The President shall submit to the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate a report on cooperation between North Korea and Iran on their nuclear programs, including the identity of Iraqi and North Korean persons that have knowingly engaged in or directed the provision of material support or the exchange of information between North Korea and Iran on their respective nuclear programs.

(b) Submission and Form.—The report required under subsection (a) shall be submitted not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(c) Recommendation.—The report required under subsection (a) shall be submitted in an unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex.

TITLE III—PROMOTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

SEC. 301. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.

Section 104 of the North Korean Human Rights Act of 2004 (22 U.S.C. 7814) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “radio, Internet, and electronic mass communications capable of receiving content” and—

(2) by adding after subsection (c) the following:

"(d) INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STUDY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report setting forth a detailed plan for making unclassified, unmonitored, and inexpensive, Internet and electronic mass communications available to the people of North Korea.

“(2) Final Report.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex.”

SEC. 302. REPORT ON NORTH KOREAN PRISON CAMPS.

(a) In General.—The Secretary of State shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report describing, with respect to each political prison camp in North Korea to the extent information is available—

(1) the camp’s estimated prisoner population;

(2) the camp’s geographical coordinates;

(3) the reasons for confinement of the prisoners;

(4) the camp’s primary industries and products, and the end users of any goods produced in such camp;

(5) the natural persons and agencies responsible for conditions at such camps;

(6) the conditions under which prisoners are confined, with respect to the adequacy of food, shelter, medical care, working conditions, and reports of ill-treatment of prisoners; and

(7) imagery, to include satellite imagery of each such camp, in a format that, if publicized, would not compromise the sources and methods used by the intelligence agencies of the United States to capture geospatial imagery.

(b) Form.—The report required under subsection (a) may be included in the first report required to be submitted to Congress after the date of the enactment of this Act under sections 116(d) and 5622(b) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2151n(d) and 2309(b)) (relating to the annual human rights report).

SEC. 303. REPORT ON PERSONS WHO ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR SERIOUS HUMAN RIGHTS ABUSES OR CENSORSHIP IN NORTH KOREA.

(a) In General.—The Secretary of State shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that contains an identification of each person the Secretary determines to be responsible for serious human rights abuses or censorship in North Korea, and a description of such abuses or censorship engaged in by such person. The report shall include a description of actions taken by the Department of State to implement the recommendations of the Commission of Inquiry’s Report on Human Rights in the Democratic People’s Republic of North Korea, including efforts to press countries to implement Commission recommendations.

(b) Consideration.—In preparing the report required under subsection (a), the Secretary of State shall reference the findings of the United Nations Commission of Inquiry on Human Rights in North Korea, and shall make specific findings respecting the responsibility of Kim Jong Un, and of each natural person who is a member of the National Defense Commission of North Korea, or the Organization and Guidance Department of the Workers’ Party of Korea, for serious human rights abuses and censorship.
The legislation that we are considering here is the most comprehensive North Korea sanctions legislation to come before this body. Importantly, what this bill does is use targeted financial and economic pressure to isolate Kim Jong-un and his top officials from the assets that they maintain in foreign banks and from the hard currency that sustains their rule. These assets are derived primarily from illicit activities, such as counterfeiting U.S. currency, something that North Korea has been caught doing with hundred-dollar bank notes, such as selling their missile systems around the world, conducting forgeries, drugs, and other illicit activities. And all of that is used to advance North Korea’s nuclear program.

They also pay for the luxurious lifestyle of the ruling elites, and as we have seen in some of the recent reports that came out of North Korea, and it is used to repress the people. In other words, the money from that hard currency pays for the generals, pays for the secret police, pays for the missile program and the nuclear weapons program.

A strategy of financial pressure is the approach we took a decade ago when the previous administration targeted Banco Delta Asia. That was a Macau-based bank. This was in 2005. The way they targeted the role in launderering money for North Korea, and this cut it off from the financial system, really. This led other banks in the region to shun North Korean business, because when the option is out there whether or not you are going to bank with North Korea or bank with the U.S. and the rest of the world, it is a fairly easy choice for these banks to make. At that point, they freeze the accounts, and that, obviously, isolates the regime.

At that time, according to one former top U.S. official who was speaking to the issue of what the North Koreans would say when they would come into the meetings with the State Department, at every conversation we had with some of our allies, he said, every one of them began and ended with the same question: “When do we get our money back?”

Now, the part that got my interest at the time was not only the report that, because he couldn’t pay his generals, there were problems for the regime—it is not a good position for a dictator to be in—but also that missile production...
lines had come to a halt because they couldn’t buy on the black market; they didn’t have the hard currency anymore to do it, the parts that they needed for their programs.

Unfortunately, the pressure at the time was lifted. I think it was lifted primarily because of Kim Jong Il’s sudden death. The representation was made that Kim Jong Il was going to make concessions on his nuclear program, concessions that ultimately were never made. From my standpoint, what a mistake. From the standpoint of the people that I talk to over at Treasury, what a mistake. They had a different vision on how those sanctions should be maintained.

Today, the Obama administration has let its North Korea policy drift. A year ago, it promised a proportional response to the massive cyber terrorist attack against the United States. But to date, the administration’s response has been dangerously weak. A mere 18 low-level arms dealers have been sanctioned. That has been it. Failing to respond to North Korea’s belligerence, I think, only emboldens their leader.

Disrupting North Korea’s illicit activities will place tremendous strain on that regime’s ruling elite who have so brutalized the people of North Korea. I spoke to the defector who used to run their propaganda machinery about this. He defected through China. And he discussed this issue. He said: Look, that hard currency goes, now to the people; it goes for the military apparatus and the political apparatus of the regime. So we have got to go after those illicit activities like we went after organized crime in the United States: identify the network, interdict shipments, disrupt the flow of money.

North Korea, after all, has been called a “gangster regime.” You have seen that term in the press. Well, it is pretty apt. This regime is a critical threat not only to our national security. Under this bill’s framework, any laundering money, counterfeiting goods, smuggling, or trafficking narcotics will be subject to significant sanctions.

It is also important to remember the deplorable state of human rights in North Korea. Two years ago, a U.N. Commission of Inquiry released the most comprehensive report on North Korea to date, finding that the Kim regime “has for decades,” in their words, “pursued policies involving crimes that shock the conscience of humanity.” So this bill requires the State Department to use this report’s findings to identify the individuals responsible for these abuses and to press for more ways in which to get information into North Korea so as to move the attitudes of the population inside the country.

Mr. Speaker, a return to the strategy of effective financial pressure on North Korea is our best bet to end North Korea’s threat to its own people, to our South Korean allies, and ultimately to us.

I reserve the balance of my time.

---

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: I write concerning H.R. 757, the North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2015. As you know, the Committee on Foreign Affairs received an original referral and the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform a secondary referral when the bill was introduced on February 5, 2015. I recognize and appreciate your desire to bring this bill to the House of Representatives in an expeditious manner, and accordingly, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform will forego action on the bill.

The Committee takes this action with our mutual understanding that by foregoing consideration of H.R. 757, the North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2015, we do not waive any jurisdiction over the subject matter contained in this or similar legislation, and the Committee will be appropriately consulted and involved as the bill or similar legislation moves forward so that we may address any remaining issues that fall within our jurisdiction. The Committee also reserves the right to seek appointment of an appropriate number of conferees to any House-Senate conference involving this or similar legislation, and requests your support for such request.

Finally, I would appreciate your response to this letter confirming this understanding, and would ask that a copy of our exchange of letters on this matter be included in the Congressional Record during floor consideration thereof.

Sincerely,

PAUL RYAN,
Chairman.
I would appreciate a response to this letter confirming this understanding with respect to H.R. 757, and would ask that a copy of our exchange of letters on this matter be included in the Congressional Record during Floor consideration of H.R. 757.

Sincerely,

BOB GOODLATTE, Chairman.

Chairman, Committee on Financial Services,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you for consulting with the Committee on Foreign Affairs concerning this legislation. I appreciate your cooperation regarding this legislation and look forward to continuing to work with your Committee as this measure moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

EDWARD R. ROYCE, Chairman.

Chairman, Committee on Financial Services,
Washington, DC.

I rise in support of this measure.

I want to commend Chairman ROYCE for authoring this very good, bipartisan bill. I am very pleased to be the lead Democrat. I think this is an important bill, and it ties in with what we have tried to do for these past years on the Foreign Affairs Committee, being bipartisan and letting the House-Senate conference involving this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, last week’s nuclear test in North Korea was a stark reminder of just how dangerous the Kim regime is. A nuclear weapon in the hands of a rogue state presents a threat to peace and stability around the world. North Korea continues to have a destabilizing influence on the peninsula and across the region, and the potential for nuclear fuel from North Korea to end up on the black market in the hands of violent extremists only compounds the threat.

Yet, despite the burden of some of the toughest sanctions imaginable, despite constant pressure from the global community, despite the increasing isolation of North Korea from the rest of the world, leaders in Pyongyang persist on this dangerous and destabilizing course.

The latest test demands a response. We need to work with our allies, particularly South Korea and Japan. We need to make sure this issue is at the top of the agenda in our engagement with China. China can have a lot of influence and does have a lot of influence over North Korea. It is important to point this out because our quarrel is not with the North Korean people. It is with the despots and his aides that run North Korea.

Mr. ROYCE, Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. SMITH), chairman of the Foreign Affairs Subcommittee on Africa, Global Health, Global Human Rights, and International Organizations.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I thank the distinguished chairman for yielding.

I rise in strong support of H.R. 757, the North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2016.

Mr. Speaker, there is a compelling need to pass tough and effective legislation to freeze the assets of the Kim Jong-un regime.

I want to commend Chairman ROYCE for his long and hard work on North Korea and his determination to bring this bill to the floor. I again thank the Member for EVANS for his good, strong sense of bipartisanship.

This is a one-two punch against a cruel dictatorship, and this legislation has to get to the President as soon as possible.

Mr. Speaker, whether it be North Korea or Iran, when will we learn the hard lesson that totalitarian states do not negotiate in good faith, cannot be trusted to hold up their end of the bargain, and use our goodwill and our foreign capital to keep on proliferating? These will not allow intrusive inspections because they cheat and because it weakens their status at home. They use nuclear weapons negotiations to
enhance their own diplomatic status and to gain concessions.

In the end, nuclear negotiations earn rogue nations like Iran and North Korea foreign capital and other investments from the West. They use that to fund additional missile technology, to fund criminal terrorist activities, and to continue with clandestine nuclear programs.

During the Bush administration, the most effective tools in bringing the North Korea dictatorship to heel were the freezing of its assets in the Banco Delta Asia in Macao and the building of an international coalition to interdict suspect North Korea shipping. These should be our priorities now, especially in the shadow of North Korea's nuclear tests, by imposing mandatory sanctions on the perpetrators of human rights abuses, censorship, arms and human trafficking, money laundering, as well proliferation.

Nearly 2 years ago, the U.N. Commission of Inquiry reported that the ongoing crimes against humanity in North Korea have no "parallel in the contemporary world." These crimes include extermination, murder, enslavement, torture, imprisonment, rape, forced abortions and other sexual violence, persecution on political, religious, and racial, and grounds, the forcible transfer of populations, the enforced disappearance of persons, and the inhumane act of knowingly causing prolonged starvation."

Kim Jong-un cares not at all about the welfare of his own people. We should expect that he cares even less about the welfare of the people of Japan, South Korea, or even U.S. citizens who face the threat of North Korean nuclear weapons.

The U.N. Commission recommended that the U.N. impose targeted sanctions against Korean leaders responsible for its human rights crimes. However, China blocks U.N. action.

Without U.N. action, the U.S. must act, using our position as the steward of the global financial system. The U.N. Special Rapporteur on North Korea welcomes such action, supporting targeted sanctions of those most responsible for these heinous crimes against humanity.

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. CONNOLLY), my friend and colleague and a valued member of the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

Mr. CONNOLLY. Mr. Speaker, I thank my dear friend from New York, the distinguished ranking member of the House Committee on Foreign Affairs.

I rise today in support of the North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2016. I want to thank the chairman and ranking member for their leadership in bringing this legislation before us.

I especially appreciate the inclusion of two of my amendments, one to provide for the reunification of Korean families separated by the 38th parallel, and another to ensure that U.S. policy toward North Korea is informed by the recommendations made in the landmark Commission of Inquiry on Human Rights in North Korea conducted by the United Nations.

Amidst the tense geopolitical standoff and irresponsible actions of the North Korean regime, we must always remember the human cost of this enduring conflict. I believe this bill, through these amendments and important enhancements to sanctions for humanitarian relief organizations, does just that. This is timely, if not overdue, legislation.

North Korea is a reckless, paranoid state devoid of virtually all aspects of human autonomy, now armed with a nuclear umbrella. That makes the Korean peninsula one of the most dangerous flash points on the globe.

There have been recent developments in North Korea that are profoundly troubling and immediate response from this Congress. Reports that North Korea has conducted its fourth nuclear weapons test confirm that the regime in Pyongyang is committed to defying international norms and risks destabilizing the entire Asia-Pacific region.

As co-chairman of the Congressional Caucus on Korea, I remain deeply concerned with the volatility and the ever-present potential of conflict on the Korean Peninsula.

It is a specter that looms over 75 million Koreans and, for their sake and that of the region, the U.S., the Republic of Korea, China, and other regional stakeholders must demonstrate commitment to addressing this threat.

By targeting the individuals and entities that support the Kim regime through illicit activities, this bill will hopefully weaken the resolve and capability of Pyongyang to endanger regional stability.

Mr. Speaker, I urge passage of the bill.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Poe), chairman of the Subcommittee on Terrorism, Nonproliferation, and Trade of the Committee on Foreign Affairs. He is also an original cosponsor with me on this legislation.

Mr. POE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman and the ranking member for bringing this piece of legislation up to the House floor.

Mr. Speaker, North Korea is a world threat, a nuclear world threat. Its leaders are outlaws with no redeeming social character in their souls, and we need to operate with them knowing this.

Last week, North Korea tested another nuclear weapon. As chairman of the Subcommittee on Terrorism, Nonproliferation, and Trade, I held a hearing in October and predicted that this test would happen again.

With Iran about to receive hundreds of billions of dollars for its illegal nuclear program, we shouldn't be surprised that North Korea wants a piece of the pie, too. Illegal nuclear programs and material can bring a lot of money to a regime.

In the hearing that we had in October, we learned of deep connections between Iran and North Korea. Both nations, among other things, sponsor worldwide terror. They have a history of working together on missile development. There is mounting evidence that they have worked together on their nuclear weapons programs. We should expect Iran to keep working with North Korea to advance its own nuclear weapons program.

We have sanctions on North Korea, but all those sanctions have not been fully implemented. The administration's policy of strategic patience is not working because this barbaric regime continues to develop nuclear weapons and ICBMs. I say our patience has run out in dealing with them.

This bill is Congress showing North Korea that there are consequences for their testing of nuclear weapons. We cannot let North Korea develop its nuclear program even more.

North Korea already has submarines with missiles on them that can reach the United States, over 10 nuclear bombs, and for some reason has Austin on its hit list. I take that personally, Mr. Speaker, that Austin is their number one target in the United States.

North Korea is a state that imprisons Christians for their faith, starves its citizens, controls the Internet and the media, tortures anyone in its domain, who dares to disagree with the regime, and is engaged in cyberterrorism.

Dangerous actions by a ruthless dictator must be met by forceful responses. I am glad to be an original cosponsor of this bill. I urge its passage. It is time for them to pay the price for going rogue.

And that is just the way it is. Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentlewoman from Hawaii, Ms. GABBARD, the ranking member on the Committee on Foreign Affairs, a rising star in our committee.

Ms. GABBARD. Mr. Speaker, I am rising today in strong support of H.R. 757, the North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act, which I am proud to be a cosponsor of.

North Korea continues to pose a serious and dangerous threat to my constituents in Hawaii, the Pacific, and the West Coast of the United States. Our communities and our families lie within range of North Korea's intercontinental ballistic missiles.

North Korea's nuclear tests just a week ago and their continued pursuit of developing more nuclear weapons and long-range missiles must serve as a reminder of the threat that North Korea poses to our country, which my constituents in Hawaii know all too well.

There are some necessary steps that the United States must take to deal with this threat: We need to increase the strength and capabilities of our Pacific fleet and forces. We need to stop
the downward trend in investment of ballistic missile defense development and capabilities, and strengthen our ballistic missile defense capabilities, specifically in Hawaii and the Pacific, to counter this threat. We need to completely reexamine our strategy of so-called strategic patience with North Korea, recognizing that North Korea has continued to grow in their nuclear and missile capabilities, telling us that the status quo is not working.

This bill, however, deals with another important area where we need to act, and that is sanctions. It gives us the tools to respond to North Korea’s provocations. One provision would apply sanctions that prohibit the export of munitions to North Korea and severely restrict export licenses for controlled goods and technologies. It would prohibit financial transactions between U.S. persons and the Government of North Korea and sanction those who send or receive lethal military equipment to or from North Korea.

The bill will also give us the tools to reapply some of the most effective sanctions that we have ever had against hard currency for those who do business with North Korea. We saw how these sanctions were effective before.

Following U.S. action against the Banco Delta Asia based in Macao in 2005, the assets of North Korean banks and leaders were frozen and completely blocked from the international financial system. This directly affected the money being used to develop these nuclear and ballistic missile capabilities, and the money also supported the regime’s leadership and its elites and their lifestyle.

This severely increased the pressure in North Korea, causing them to engage with the international community, coming to an agreement to lift the sanctions in 2007—prematurely, in my view—in exchange for shutting down and sealing the Yongbyon nuclear facilities and discussing a list of its nuclear-related activities with the U.S. and other parties in the region.

The agreement was violated by North Korea in 2009 when they tested a missile, and the sanctions on Banco Delta showed us earlier a way to impact North Korean leadership and business directly. Those sanctions should have been immediately reinstated upon North Korea breaching that agreement, but that is why we are here today—to act.

While sanctions alone are not enough, this bill could provide some very important tools to countering North Korea’s aggression and ultimately achieving our objective of a denuclearized North Korea.

Lastly, this bill recognizes the terrible human rights abuses inflicted on the people of North Korea. For many years, State Department human rights reports, as well as private organizations’ reports, have depicted a pattern of extreme human rights abuses by the tyrannical North Korean regime, including the denial of basic human freedoms: withheld access to food and deplorable prison camps where extrajudicial killings, enslavement, torture, and sexual abuse are widespread.

I would like to thank our Chairman ROYCE and our Ranking Member ENGEL for their steadfast, bipartisan dedication and leadership to taking action on this global and domestic security issue. This bill provides a critical step forward.

Mr. ROYCE, Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN), chairman emeritus of the Committee on Foreign Affairs and author of multiple North Korea human rights and sanctions laws. She is also a cosponsor of this bill.

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN, Mr. Speaker, I am so proud and pleased to be here speaking on behalf of this bill, H.R. 757, the North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act. I thank Chairman ROYCE and Ranking Member ENGEL for introducing this important bill which, once again, is presented in their usual bipartisan manner.

While initial reports, Mr. Speaker, cast doubt on North Korea’s claims that it carried out a hydrogen bomb test, any enhancement of the regime’s nuclear capability should be—a cause for concern. Both U.S. and South Korean intelligence assessments indicate that North Korea already possesses the capability to install a nuclear warhead on a missile that can reach United States territory or that of our allies.

Despite some doubt about that capability’s effectiveness, it is just a matter of time before North Korea finishes developing this dangerous technology that it is seeking or, worse, shares this technology with Iran, as rogue regime leaders do. We NK and its buddies and have long been known to collaborate on their ballistic missile programs.

What is clear is that our current policy toward North Korea is not working. Administrations from both parties, Mr. Speaker, have made mistakes with North Korea over the years. They have failed to respond to North Korea’s violation of its nuclear deal and have failed to hold the regime accountable for its illicit activities. After administration have removed North Korea off the State Sponsors of Terrorism list and continue to keep the regime off that list despite mounting evidence that would support its inclusion back on the terrorism list. Various administrations have utterly failed to enforce the North Korea sanc-

The Obama administration’s so-called strategic patience policy with North Korea has proven to be a disaster, and it is time that we fully and vigorously enforce the existing sanctions and expand upon those to implement new sanctions on Pyongyang until its nuclear program is dismantled.

By some estimates, North Korea might already have 10 to 15 nuclear weapons, and Kim Jong-un has shown that he will stop at nothing to get the weapons and the technology that he desires. This bill would help ensure that our sanctions on North Korea are finally being enforced the way they always should have been, but we can’t forget that North Korea cannot make peace on the Peninsula alone.

North Korea has a long history of collaborating with other rogue regimes, and we must ensure that we are enforcing sanctions on all of its collaborators. Any government entity or individual that has sold or transferred weapons or technology to North Korea in violation of U.S. law or U.N. Security Council resolution should also be targeted for sanctions.

Mr. Speaker, I yield with this note: North Korea has been writing the playbook for rogue regimes to follow, and unless this administration gets serious about confronting Pyongyang’s aggressions, I worry that it will continue to allow Iran to take advantage of us, that we would enforce sanctions on Tehran, just like we are not enforcing them on North Korea.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, I urge all of my colleagues to vote for this important bill and urge its passage.

I thank the chairman and the ranking member for this bill.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.
would crack down on North Korean elite who do business through shell companies to evade detection and go after anyone helping to prop up the Kim regime through illegal activities. This bill would include important exceptions for the humanitarian aid that benefits the North Korean people.

North Koreans deserve much more than what its leaders are providing, which is why we need to pass this legislation. We cannot allow North Korea to continue to be dangerous and frivolous. We have to stand up and say no. They have to understand that we mean business. They have to understand that what they have done is unacceptable and will not stand.

I urge my colleagues to support this measure.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

We have the opportunity today to show Americans and the world that Congress is willing to lead on this vital national security issue. This is an issue that Congress has been focused on, obviously, for some time.

I have spent much of my time on the Foreign Affairs Committee focused on the North Korean threat. Ranking Member ELLIOT ENGEL and I, in one of our first trips together as chairman and ranking member of the committee, traveled to visit our South Korean ally and viewed the wreckage of the Cheonan.

This was a corvette ship that was hit and split right in half by a torpedo fired by a North Korean submarine, costing the lives of 46 sailors. It is a reminder of the attitude that North Korea has in terms of its provocative action.

Both ELLIOT ENGEL and I have been to North Korea on separate trips, and we can tell you it is a totalitarian state with a government that correlates personal liberties. If you have ever read Orwell's book, “Nineteen Eighty-Four,” the society in that book seemed almost more rational than this police state.

I was talking to the former Minister of Propaganda. In the no-go areas, 1.9 million were starved to death in North Korea. You ask why. Well, with the paranoia of the police state, they are not considered particularly loyal out there.

Besides, the food can be sold on the food exchange in the capital for hard currency. Donated food often is used in this way to support what he calls “juche,” to support this philosophy which leads them forward with this desire to have a nuclear weapon and the capability to deliver it.

This bipartisan bill, which I authored with ELLIOT ENGEL as our principal co-author, is based on legislation that unanimously passed the House last Congress. Its implementation will help sever key subsidy for North Korea’s weapons of mass destruction program, for only when the North Korean leadership realizes that its criminal activities are untenable do prospects for peace and security in Northeast Asia improve.

This bill will return us to the one strategy that has worked to pressure North Korea at a time when Kim Jong-un is trying to blackmail his way to consolidate power at home.

Congress must send the message to the Kim regime that they can either reform and disarm or the system can implode. Without hard currency, without being able to pay the generals, that system would be undermined. By cutting off Kim Jong-un’s access to the hard currency he needs for his army and his weapons, this bill, H.R. 757, will squarely present the North Korean regime with that choice.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, recent news of North Korea’s claim that it successfully conducted an H-bomb test for the first time in defiance of United Nations’ resolutions upon the world must remain steadfast in expeditiously addressing insecurity in our nation and across the globe, as anticipated in this bill by Representative ROYCE of California, entitled the North Korean Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2,315 which has enjoyed bi-partisan support.

Our world today is faced with resurgent and evolving threats from weapons of mass destruction to destructive nuclear ambitions.

Indeed, news events inform us of the far-ranging spectrum we must contend with, ranging from persistent nation state-based precarious nuclear ambitions in North Korea, to continued chemical weapons used in Syria, to terrorist organizations such as Daesh ramping up their destructive capabilities through virulently recruitment strategies, that pose an existential threat beyond the borders from which ISIS is operating.

I am confident that these are issues that President Obama will be addressing and proposing durable solutions to during his last state of the Union Address as our nation’s Commander in Chief.

Under his leadership, our nation has achieved foreign policy feats that have worked to maintain our security, promote our geo-political objectives and advance our diplomatic relationships with key allies.

Let’s just take a quick look back at some of the President’s foreign policy achievements:

- The capture and neutralization of Osama Bin Laden which brought an end to a nearly decade long manhunt.
- The withdrawal of U.S. forces from Iraq which helped to bring an end to a costly war, helping our country save billions of dollars in U.S. taxpayer funds.
- The current Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action, which has been instrumental in deterring Iran’s nuclear ambitions and enabling security in the global society.
- The repeal of Don’t Ask, Don’t Tell, an aspersion on the personal private matters of those who have dedicated their lives to protect our nation.
- Signing into law the New Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty (START), an important treaty that would limit the U.S. nuclear arsenal example by signing a treaty that requires both the United States and Russia to reduce their nuclear warhead arsenals to 1,550 each, a 30 percent reduction from the 2002 Treaty of Moscow and a 74 percent reduction from the 1991 START treaty.

Neutralization of al Qaeda propagandist and foreign fighter recruiter Anwar Al Awlaki, one of the main leaders in the Al Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula (AQAP).

Indeed, under President Obama’s leadership, our country’s military aid to Israel has increased remarkably with the eye towards deepening and expanding U.S./Israel relations—an important aspect of our nation’s foreign policy and geopolitical efforts to promote peace in the region.

This President’s foreign policy achievements in promoting the security of our nation are irrefutable and this is why I support the North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2015 because it will empower him to continue his impressive work in this arena.

Much like the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA) championed by this Administration, this bill encourages our President to investigate any credible information of sanctorable activities involving North Korea.

Furthermore, this bill would authorize and implement sanctions against persons and entities who knowingly engage in or contribute to activities in North Korea whether it is through their exporting or importing of weapons of mass destruction, significant arms, significant luxury goods, money laundering, censorship, or engage in human rights abuses.

Pursuant to the bill, the President is empowered to exercise authorities under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (IEEPA) as it relates to persons, entities and the government of North Korea.

This bill empowers our President with discretionary authority to designate and apply sanctions to persons involved in certain other kinds of conduct.

This bill will facilitate civil forfeiture of assets, real or personal, if said properties inure from any attempted or actual violation of this Act, or which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to such a violation.

Other core provisions of the bill is the empowerment of our Treasury Secretary to determine whether reasonable grounds exist for concluding that North Korea is a jurisdiction of primary money laundering concern; and

In the event our Treasury Secretary makes this determination, he is empowered to impose one or more special measures with respect to the jurisdiction of North Korea.

Finally, our sense of Congress in this bill is in comity with and ensures the consistent enforcement of United Nations Security Council resolutions and financial restrictions on North Korea.

Through this bill, our president will be empowered to withhold assistance under the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 to any country that provides lethal military equipment to, or receives it from the government of North Korea.

This bill is also important because it will put into place an enhanced screening procedure whereby our Secretary of Homeland Security (DHS) will be able to determine if physical inspections are warranted of any cargo bound for or landed in the United States that has been transported through a foreign seaport or airport whose inspections are deficient if there are reasonable grounds to believe that such cargo contains goods prohibited under this Act.
This will facilitate expedient seizure of vessels or aircraft used to facilitate sanctions.

The President will also be supported in his efforts to produce progress reports on significant activities undermining cyber security conflict, or otherwise ordered or controlled, directly or indirectly, by the government of North Korea.

Our Secretary of State will be supported in his human rights efforts on reporting on each political prison camp in North Korea, which will include a detailed description of those abuses or crimes.

Again, I thank Chairman ROYCE for championing this bill and look forward to working with him and other members of this House in promoting our national security and supporting our President’s objective of establishing us as a credible and trusted leader in the global landscape.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 757, as amended.

Amended. The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

PRESIDENTIAL ALLOWANCE MODERNIZATION ACT

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1777) to amend the Act of August 25, 1958, commonly known as the “Former Presidents Act of 1958”, with respect to the monetary allowance payable to a former President, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 1777

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Presidential Allowance Modernization Act”.

SEC. 2. AMENDMENTS.

(a) RELATING TO A FORMER PRESIDENT.—The first section of the Act entitled “An Act to provide retirement, clerical assistants, and free mailing privileges to former Presidents of the United States, and for other purposes”, approved August 25, 1958 (3 U.S.C. 102 note), is amended by striking the matter before subsection (c) and inserting the following:

“(a) Each former President shall be entitled for the remainder of his or her life to receive from the United States—

“(1) an annuity at the rate of $200,000 per year, subject to subsection (c); and

“(2) the monetary allowance at the rate of $200,000 per year, subject to subsections (c) and (d).

“(b) The annuity and allowance under subsection (a) shall each—

“(1) terminate on the last day of the month before the former President dies; and

“(2) be payable by the Secretary of the Treasury on a monthly basis.

“(2) The annuity and allowance under subsection (a) shall not be payable for any period during which the former President holds an appointive or elective position in or under the Federal Government and attached a rate of pay other than a nominal rate.

“(c) Effective December 1 of each year, each annuity and allowance under subsection (a) having a commencement date that precedes such December 1 shall be increased by the same percentage as the percentage by which section 4(a) of the Presidential Allowance Modernization Act (42 U.S.C. 415 and following) are increased, effective as of such December 1, as a result of a determination under subsection 215(i) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 415(i)).

“(d) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the monetary allowance payable under subsection (a)(2) to a former President for any 12-month period may not exceed the amount by which—

“(A) the monetary allowance which (but for this subsection) would otherwise be so payable for such 12-month period (if at all); and

“(B) the applicable reduction amount for such 12-month period.

“(2) For purposes of paragraph (1), the ‘applicable reduction amount’ is, with respect to any former President and in connection with any 12-month period, the amount by which—

“(i) the sum of (I) the adjusted gross income (as defined by section 62 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) of the former President for the last taxable year ending before the start of such 12-month period, plus (II) any interest excluded from the gross income of the former President under section 103 of such Code for such taxable year, exceeds (if at all)

“(ii) $200,000, subject to subparagraph (C).

“(B) In the case of joint returns, subclauses (i) and (ii) of subparagraph (A)(ii) shall be applied by taking into account both the amounts properly allocable to the former President and the amounts properly allocable to the spouse of the former President.

“(C) The dollar amount specified in subparagraph (A)(ii) shall be adjusted at the same time that, and by the same percentage as the percentage by which, the monetary allowance of the former President is increased under subsection (c) (disregarding this subsection).

“(b) RELATING TO THE SURVIVING SPOUSE OF A FORMER PRESIDENT.—

“(1) INCREASE IN AMOUNT OF MONETARY ALLOWANCE.—Subsection (e) of the section amended by this Act is amended—

“(A) in the first sentence, by striking “$20,000 per annum,” and inserting “$100,000 per year (subject to paragraph (4))”,; and

“(B) in the second sentence—

“(i) by striking “and” at the end;

“(ii) in paragraph (3)—

“(I) by striking “or the government of the District of Columbia”; and

“(II) by striking and inserting “and”; and

“(iii) by adding after paragraph (3) the following:

“(4) shall, after its commencement date, be increased at the same time that, and by the same percentage as the percentage by which, annuities of former Presidents are increased under subsection (c).”.

“(2) COVERAGE OF WIDOWER OF A FORMER PRESIDENT.—Such subsection (e), as amended by paragraph (1), is further amended—

“(A) by striking “widow” each place it appears and inserting “widow or widower”; and

“(B) by striking “she” and inserting “she or he”.

SEC. 2. RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.

Nothing in this Act shall be considered to affect—

(1) any provision of law relating to the security or protection of a former President or a member of the family of a former President; or

(2) funding, under the law amended by this section, under any other provision of law described in paragraph (1).

SEC. 4. EFFECTIVE DATE; TRANSITION RULES.

(a) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This Act shall take effect on the date of enactment of this Act.

(b) TRANSITION RULES.—

(1) FORMER PRESIDENTS.—In the case of any individual who is a former President on the date of enactment of this Act, the amendments made by section 2(a) shall be applied as if the commencement date referred to in subsection (b)(1)(A) of this Act coincided with such date of enactment.

(2) WIDOWS.—In the case of any individual who is the widow of a former President on the date of enactment of this Act, the amendments made by section 2(b)(1) shall be applied as if the commencement date referred to in subsection (c)(1) of the section amended by this Act coincided with such date of enactment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to review and extend their remarks and include extraneous materials on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Utah?

There was no objection.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today in support of H.R. 1777, the Presidential Allowance Modernization Act. The act updates an arcane law which no longer reflects day-to-day reality in order to reduce unnecessary costs to the taxpayers. H.R. 1777 decreases the pension of former Presidents, increases the pension of surviving spouses, and limits the allowances provided for post-Presidential expenditures.

This important piece of legislation amends and modernizes the Former Presidents Act of 1958 by authorizing a $200,000 annual pension for each former President and a $100,000 annual survivor benefit for each surviving spouse.

We thank these Presidents and their spouses for the unbelievable toll and service that they have given to their country. Currently, former Presidents receive an annual pension of roughly $203,700, and a surviving spouse’s pension is $20,000.

The Presidential Allowance Modernization Act also sets an annual allowance of $200,000 for costs such as travel, staff, and office expenses that are associated with post-Presidential life.

For those former Presidents that earn outside income, which most do, the $200,000 annual allowance is reduced dollar for dollar for every dollar a former President earns outside income in excess of $400,000.
So, in essence, if former Presidents want to ride off into the sunset and go fishing and enjoy the Utah sunsets, they can go do that. They will be very healthily compensated to lead that kind of lifestyle.

If they choose to go out and sell books and give speeches and do all those things, more power to them. If that is what they choose to do, they can go out and make that type of money. For some, they make millions of dollars doing so. At that point, I just don’t think that the taxpayers should necessarily supplement their income. They don’t need it at that point.

So we worked in a very good, bipartisan way with Ranking Member Elijah Cummings from Maryland. We worked to do this together. We introduced this in a bipartisan way. I want our Members to know that, if this bill passes, it would save nearly $10 million in the first 5 years.

In fiscal year 2015, Congress appropriated $3.2 million on pensions, office staff, and related expenses for former Presidents. Of that amount, the General Services Administration made $1.1 million in rental payments for office space.

The annual allowance provision under H.R. 1777 replaces the millions of dollars currently provided for travel, staff, and office expenses for former Presidents and ends an unnecessary government handout to former Presidents. Of that amount, the Government hands out millions of dollars doing so. At that point, I just don’t think that the taxpayers should necessarily supplement their income.

Under this bill, all of those expenses for the Secret Service and those type of expenditures will continue to be paid for, at no expense. No matter their income, it is a duty and obligation of the Federal Government to protect these former Presidents, and they will continue to do so.

The Presidential Allowance Modernization Act modernizes the former Presidents Act while reducing unnecessary costs to the taxpayer. Again, I want to thank Ranking Member Cummings, who was an original cosponsor of this bill. I also want to thank Representative Grothman from Wisconsin, who cosponsored and worked on this piece of legislation. I urge Members to vote in favor of this.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 1629) to revise certain authorities of the District of Columbia courts, the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia, and the Public Defender Service for the District of Columbia, and for other purposes.

The Speaker pro tempore. The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the motion was agreed to, and the bill amended.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 1777, as amended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS, PUBLIC DEFENDER SERVICE, AND COURT SERVICES AND OFFENDER SUPERVISION AGENCY ACT OF 2015

S. 1629

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "District of Columbia Courts, Public Defender Service, and Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency Act of 2015".

SECTION 2. AUTHORITIES OF DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS.

(a) AUTHORIZATION TO COLLECT DEBITS AND ERRONEOUS PAYMENTS FROM EMPLOYEES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—


SEC. 2. AUTHORITIES OF DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS.

MINGS of the Oversight Committee, for their work on this important update of Presidential legislation.

This is what this bill would do: It would update what has become an arcane law and reduce unnecessary costs to the taxpayer. The bill would amend the Former Presidents Act of 1958 to provide a $200,000 annual pension for each former President and a $100,000 annual survivor benefit to each surviving spouse. The pensions are indexed to inflation and would increase with the Social Security cost-of-living adjustment.

Currently, surviving spouses receive $20,000—an interesting disparity between the spouse and the former President—and former Presidents receive a pension equal to the pay for Cabinet Secretaries, which for 2015 is $203,700.

The bill would also provide an annual allowance of $200,000 for costs associated with post-Presidential life. The annual allowance would replace amounts currently provided for travel, staff, and office expenses, which totaled $3.25 million in fiscal year 2015 for the four living former Presidents.

The allowance would be reduced dollar for dollar for every dollar a former President earns outside income in excess of $400,000.

So, you see, there might be no Presidential pension if the President does what most Presidents have done, which is to almost not be able to help earning outside income.

Updating the allowance ends an unnecessary government handout to former Presidents, making millions of dollars after leaving office.

This bill does not affect the security or protection of former Presidents or family members of a former President. But, rather, H.R. 1777 brings an end to the American taxpayer subsidizing expenditures for former Presidents.

Unfortunately, both sides of the aisle recognize that, no matter who the President is, in this modern age, they are going to have security concerns the rest of their lives.

Under this bill, all of those expenses for the Secret Service and those type of expenditures will continue to be paid for, at no expense. No matter their income, it is a duty and obligation of the Federal Government to protect these former Presidents, and they will continue to do so.

The Presidential Allowance Modernization Act modernizes the Former Presidents Act while reducing unnecessary costs to the taxpayer. Again, I want to thank Ranking Member Cummings, who was an original cosponsor of this bill. I also want to thank Representative Grothman from Wisconsin, who cosponsored and worked on this piece of legislation. I urge Members to vote in favor of this.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 1629) to revise certain authorities of the District of Columbia courts, the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia, and the Public Defender Service for the District of Columbia, and for other purposes.

The Speaker read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

SEC. 2. AUTHORITIES OF DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS.

(a) AUTHORIZATION TO COLLECT DEBITS AND ERRONEOUS PAYMENTS FROM EMPLOYEES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—


SEC. 2. AUTHORITIES OF DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS.
"(A) AVAILABILITY OF HEARING UPON REQUEST.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), the Executive Officer shall provide a hearing under this paragraph if an individual, not later than 60 days after the date on which the individual receives a notice under paragraph (1)(A), and in accordance with any procedures that the Executive Officer prescribes, files a petition requesting the hearing.

"(B) BASIS FOR HEARING.—A hearing under this paragraph shall be on the written submissions of the individual and the Executive Officer that determinates that the existence or amount of the debt—

(i) turns on an issue of credibility or veracity; or

(ii) cannot be resolved by a review of the documentary evidence.

"(C) STAY OF COLLECTION PROCEEDINGS.—The timely filing of a petition for a hearing under subparagraph (A) shall stay the commencement of collection proceedings under this section.

"(D) INDEPENDENT OFFICER.—An independent hearing officer appointed in accordance with regulations promulgated under subsection (e) shall conduct a hearing under this paragraph.

"(E) DEADLINE FOR DECISION.—The hearing officer shall issue a final decision regarding the questions set forth by the earliest practicable date, and not later than 60 days after the date of the hearing.

"(F) EFFECT OF COMPROMISE.—A compromise under paragraph (3) and (2) shall not apply to a request for intra-Courts adjustment of pay that is attributable to a clerical or administrative error or delay in processing pay documents that occurred within the 4 pay periods preceding the adjustment or to any adjustment that amounts to not more than $50. If at the time of the adjustment or as soon thereafter as practical, the Executive Officer provides the individual—

(A) written notice of the nature and amount of the adjustment; and

(B) a point of contact for contesting the adjustment.

"(G) COMPROMISE.—

(i) AUTHORITY TO COMPROMISE CLAIMS.—The Executive Officer may—

(A) compromise a claim to collect a debt under this section if the amount involved is not more than $500;

(B) suspend or end collection action on a claim described in subparagraph (A) if the Executive Officer determines that—

(i) no person liable on the claim has the present or prospective ability to pay a significant amount of the claim; or

(ii) the cost of collecting the claim is likely to be more than the amount recovered.

(ii) EFFECT OF COMPROMISE.—A compromise under this subsection shall be final and conclusive unless obtained by fraud, misrepresentation, or mutual mistake of fact.

(iii) LIABILITY OF OFFICIAL RESPONSIBLE FOR COMPROMISE.—An accountable official shall not be liable for an amount paid or for the value of property lost or damaged if the amount or value is not recovered because of a compromise under this subsection.

(i) WAIVER OF CLAIM.—

(1) AUTHORITY TO WAIVE CLAIMS.—Upon application from a person liable on a claim to collect a debt under this section, the Executive Officer may, with written justification, waive the claim if collection would be—

(A) against equity; or

(B) against good conscience; and

(C) not in the best interests of the District of Columbia Courts.

(2) DETERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The Executive Officer may not waive a claim under this subsection if the Executive Officer—

(A) determines that there exists, in connection with the claim, an indication of fraud, misrepresentation, fault, or lack of good faith on the part of the employee, the individual involved, the former employee, or any other person that has an interest in obtaining a waiver of the claim; or

(B) receives the application for waiver later than 3 years after the date on which the erroneous payment was discovered or the date of enactment of this section, unless the claim involves money owed for Federal health benefits, Federal life insurance, or Federal retirement benefits.

(3) DENIAL OF APPLICATION FOR WAIVER.—A decision by the Executive Officer to deny an application for a waiver under this subsection shall be the final administrative decision of the District government.

(4) REFUND OF AMOUNTS ALREADY COLLECTED AGAINST CLAIM SUBSEQUENTLY WAIVED.—If the Executive Officer waives a claim against an employee or former employee under this section after the District of Columbia Courts have been reimbursed for the claim in whole or in part, the Executive Officer shall provide the employee or former employee a refund of the amount of the reimbursement upon application for the refund, if the Executive Officer receives the application not later than 2 years after the effective date of the waiver.

(5) NO EFFECT ON OTHER AUTHORITIES.—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to affect the authority of the District of Columbia under any other statute to litigate, settle, compromise, or waive any claim of the District of Columbia.

(6) REGULATIONS.—The authority of the Executive Officer under this section shall be subject to regulations promulgated by the Joint Committee.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for subchapter II of chapter 17 of title 11, District of Columbia Official Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: "11–1733. Collection, compromise, and waiver of employee debts and erroneous payments."

(3) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this subsection shall apply with respect to any erroneous payment made or debt incurred before, on, or after the date of enactment of this Act.
Wisconsin, and it gives judicial offici-
als in the District of Columbia the
authority they need to make personnel
and managerial decisions.

In 1997, Congress reorganized the Dis-
trict of Columbia judicial agencies, mak-
ing them Federal agencies with Fede-
ral employees. This bill improves
the efficiency and functions of the D.C.
judicial branch by extending them au-
thorities that are available to other
Federal agencies.

S. 1629 allows the D.C. courts system
to collect debts and erroneous pay-
ments made to employees through in-
stallment plans of reasonable amounts.
Additionally, the courts will be able to
provide uniforms to nonjudicial em-
ployees. This helps address safety con-
cerns by giving these employees great-
er visibility in the courthouse and in
the community.

Further, these reforms will allow the
D.C. judicial offices to operate certain
incentive programs, make use of the
donations and contributions, and uti-

ize unpaid volunteers. It brings sens-
able authorities to the District’s judi-
cial agencies that will allow these offi-
cers to increase efficiencies and con-
duct their work more effectively.

We had an opportunity to mark up
this bill, and I appreciate the input of
Ms. NORTON certainly, being from the
District of Columbia. And we would
urge its final passage here on the floor
now.

I reserve the balance of my time.
Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield
myself such time as I may consume.

First, I need to thank Senate Home-
land Security and Governmental Af-
fairs Committee Chairman Ron JOHN-
son and Ranking Member Tom CARPER
for sponsoring the District of Columbia
Courts, Public Defender Service, and
Court Services and Offender Supervi-
sion Agency Act, and for all their
hard work in getting it passed in the
Senate.

Thanks also are due to my good
friend, the chairman of the Oversight
Committee, JASON CHAFFETZ, and its
Ranking Member, ELLIJAH CUMMINGS,
for bringing this bill to the floor and
working so closely with us in the Dis-
trict of Columbia.

This bill may seem small, but its
technical changes will improve the op-
erations and effectiveness of three Dis-
trict of Columbia criminal justice
agencies that are under that jurisdic-
tion of the Federal Government, and
they are under that jurisdiction be-
cause of the Revitalization Act, which
took over the funding of certain Dis-

trict of Columbia agencies because
they are State agencies, to improve the
financial condition of the District of
Columbia, which was the only city that
carried State functions.

This bill gives these agencies some
modest new authorities that are al-
ready available to comparable Federal
agencies. This bill would authorize
CSOSA to use incentives-based pro-
grams for offenders, instead of only
sanctions to get compliance.

This is in keeping with modern pen-
ology. It would allow the Public De-
defender Service to accept and use public
grants, voluntary and uncompensated
services, such as unpaid law clerks and
interns of the kind, for example, that
we use here every day, and private con-
tributions to the District of the Public
Defender Service’s work. It would
allow the courts to collect debts owed to
it by its employees.

These changes are small and they are
noncontroversial, but they mean a great
deal to the District of Columbia
because they will modernize and im-
prove the daily operations of the Dis-
trict’s criminal justice system.

If I may say so while the chairman is
on the floor, these small changes,
somehow I hope our committee will
find a way to allow the courts, them-

selves, to do so that we do not have to
bring such small changes before this
body, which has such important work.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance
of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, this is
a good bipartisan piece of legislation.
It is common sense. We should pass it.
Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance
of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The
question is on the motion offered by
the gentleman from Utah (Mr.
CHAFFETZ) that the House suspend
the rules and pass the bill, S. 1629.

The question was taken; and (two-
thirds being in the affirmative) the
rules were suspended and the bill was
passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on
the table.

GRANTS OVERSIGHT AND NEW
EFFICIENCY ACT

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I move
to suspend the rules and pass the bill
(S. 1115) to close out expired grants.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 1115

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Rep-
resentatives of the United States of America in Congress
assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Grants Over-
sight and New Efficiency Act” or the “GONE
Act”.

SEC. 2. IDENTIFYING AND CLOSING OUT EXPIRED
FEDERAL GRANT AWARDS.

(a) EXPIRED FEDERAL GRANT AWARD RE-
PORT. —

(1) In general.—Not later than 180 days
after the date of the enactment of this Act,
the Director of the Office of Management
and Budget shall instruct the head of each
agency, in coordination with the Secretary,
to submit to Congress and the Secretary a
report, not later than December 31 of the
first calendar year beginning after the date
of the enactment of this Act, that

(A) lists each Federal grant award held by
such agency;
(B) provides the total number of Federal
grant awards, including the number of grants—
(i) by time period of expiration; and
(ii) with zero dollar balances; and
(iii) with undisbursed balances;
The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) and the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia (Ms. NORTON) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Utah.

**General Leave**

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous materials on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Utah?

There was no objection.

Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I really want to, first, thank Senator FISCHER for the great work done in a bipartisan way in order to move this bill forward. That combination, working with a Member who serves on our committee, Mr. WALBERG, and the relentless work on this piece of legislation, it is often referred to as the GONE Act, Grants Oversight and New Efficiency Act. It is a good piece of bipartisan, bicameral legislative effort.

I believe the bill will be effective in bringing about greater reforms for the grants closeout process, allowing agencies to save dollars and make better use of constrained resources. We cannot afford to allow grants to remain open year after year of their expiration date. The GONE Act is an important step in addressing this issue.

Again, I want to thank the gentleman from Michigan for championing this bill and working through this through his work on H.R. 3089, as well as working with the Senate in order to bring it to this point today.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, the bill before us, the Grants Oversight and New Efficiency Act, or GONE Act—and I like that name, it is a very catchy name, and you will see why in a moment—it seeks to improve the grant management process by requiring Federal agencies to report on expired grants. The Government Accountability Office has found that the total number of grants that are not always closed out properly. Indeed, GAO found that nearly $1 billion in undischarged balances remained in expired and dormant grant accounts; therefore, the GONE Act’s name.

But, Mr. Speaker, I would call this process, not gone money. It is still there. Improving the grant closeout process will help protect taxpayer dollars and ensure that those dollars can be redirected to better uses.

This act may also incline agencies and localities to use funds they have asked for. This legislation would require agencies to report to the Secretary of Health and Human Services and to Congress on the number of expired grants and those with undischarged balances. For the oldest expired grants, agencies will need to explain why those grants have not been closed.

The bill would also require agencies to report a year after the initial report on progress made on grant closeout. Hopefully, this increased accountability will bring improvement to grant management.

I especially want to thank the Senate champion of this bill, my colleague in the Senate, Senator Dennis FISCHER, and also my Michigan colleague, Congresswoman BRENDA LAWRENCE, along with the staff who have worked so hard to bring this bill to the House floor.

Last year, we marked up this legislation in the Oversight and Government Reform Committee and passed it on to the House floor. After some additional fine-tuning made by our colleagues in the Senate, I am pleased to have the opportunity to see the GONE Act take the final step toward becoming law.

Even as we debate this bill today, the Federal Government is racking up service fees to administer thousands of expired empty grant accounts—costing taxpayers millions of dollars per year. I introduced the GONE Act to bring some common sense to the grant management process and require Federal agencies to finally take action to identify these accounts with a zero balance which should be closed out.

Specifically, the GONE Act will direct agencies to work with the Department of Health and Human Services to require Federal agencies to close out grants that remain open but have been expired for 2 years or more. HHS was chosen for this role because of the work it has done in closing out expired accounts—good work—and for its role as the agency which houses the Payment Management System.

In addition to the total number of expired grants, the bill requires each agency to explain to Congress why the 30 oldest grants that remain open have not been closed. The bill also directs inspectors general at larger grant-making agencies to conduct a risk assessment to determine if a further review of that agency’s grant management system, including the timely closeout of a Federal grant award, is warranted.

(c) for an agency with Federal grant awards, describes the challenges leading to delays in grant closeout; and

(d) for the 30 oldest Federal grant awards of any, for legislation to improve accountability with respect to the head of the agency which houses the Pay-
closeout process is necessary. All of this information will give agencies and Congress valuable insight into issues that agencies face when it comes to a timely closeout of grants.

It is my hope that this information will inform future efforts to streamline the process. One section specifically under the closeout process. In fact, S. 1115 requires OMB and HHS to submit a report to Congress on potential legislative reforms that are necessary to improve the grants lifecycle. I look forward to hearing from OMB and HHS on this topic, and I thank those agencies for the feedback they have offered on this bill.

For months, Members of the House and Senate on both sides of the aisle have worked to develop this bill into one that will serve to advance the efficiency of the grants process. OMB, HHS, and the inspector general community have all provided helpful comments as we worked to finalize this legislation, and I am grateful for their assistance.

Mr. Speaker, spending taxpayer dollars on expired and empty grant accounts is the definition of government waste. I urge my colleagues to support this bill today and send the GONE Act to the President’s desk.

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, it is a good bipartisan bill, I urge its passage. I yield back the balance of my time.

The Speaker pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 1115.

The motion was taken, and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

TAXPAYERS RIGHT-TO-KNOW ACT

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 598) to provide taxpayers with an annual report disclosing the cost and performance of Government programs and areas of duplication among them, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 598

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Taxpayers Right-To-Know Act”.

SEC. 2. INVENTORY OF GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1122(a) of title 31, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively;

(2) by inserting before paragraph (2), as so redesignated, the following:

"(1) the term ‘program’ means an organized set of activities by 1 or more agencies directed toward a common purpose or goal;"

(3) in paragraph (2), as so redesignated—

(A) by striking “IN GENERAL.—Not later than October 1 of each fiscal year, the Office of Management and Budget shall” and inserting “WEBSITE AND PROGRAM INVENTORY.—The Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall”;

(B) by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting the following:

"(C) on the website referenced in subsection (a) by inserting “INFORMATION.—The program inventory that shall identify each program of the Federal Government for which there is more than $1,000,000 in annual budget authority, shall include—"

(4) in paragraph (3), as so redesignated—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “INFORMATION.—Information for each program described under paragraph (1)” and inserting “INFORMATION FOR LARGER PROGRAMS.—Information for each program identified in the program inventory required under paragraph (2) for which there is more than $10,000,000 in annual budget authority;”;

(B) by striking subparagraph (C);

(C) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (C);

(D) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

"(A) an identification of the program activities that are aggregated, disaggregated, or consolidated as part of identifying programs;''

(5) by adding at the end the following:

"(4) INFORMATION FOR SMALLER PROGRAMS.—Information for each program identified in the program inventory required under paragraph (2) for which there is more than $1,000,000 and not more than $10,000,000 in annual budget authority shall, at a minimum, include—"

(A) an identification of the program activities that are aggregated, disaggregated, or consolidated as part of identifying programs;

(B) for each program activity described in subparagraph (A), a description of the individuals or other financial assistance to individuals or other financial assistance to individual or entities, a description of the individuals or other financial assistance to individual or entities, a description of the individuals or other financial assistance to individual or entities, a description of the individuals or other financial assistance to individual or entities, a description of the individuals or other financial assistance to individual or entities, or a description of the individuals or other financial assistance to individual or entities, for the most recent fiscal year—

"(i) a description of the individuals served by the program and beneficiaries who received financial assistance under the program for the most recent fiscal year;

(ii) for each program identified in the program inventory required under paragraph (2) relating to that fiscal year—

(II) other individuals whose salary is paid through a grant, contract, or other form of financial award or assistance who administer or assist in any way in administering the program, including full-time equivalents associated with multiple programs; and

"(II) other individuals whose salary is paid in part or full by the Federal Government through a grant, contract, or other form of financial award or assistance who administer or assist in any way in administering the program, including full-time equivalents associated with multiple programs; and

(6) by adding at the end the following:

"(E) in subparagraph (D), as so redesignated, by striking “and” and inserting “and";

(f) in subparagraph (E), as so redesignated, by adding at the end the following:

"(ii) for each program identified in the program inventory required under section 1116 released during the preceding 5 years;

(5) ARCHIVING.—After the end of each fiscal year, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall publish on a public website the total amount of undisbursed grant funding remaining in grant accounts for which the period of availability to the grantee has expired.

SEC. 3. GUIDANCE AND IMPLEMENTATION.

(a) GUIDANCE.—Not later than June 30, 2018, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget—

(1) shall prescribe guidance to implement this Act, and the amendments made by this Act;

(2) shall issue guidance to agencies to identify how the program activities used for reporting under the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (31 U.S.C. 6101 note) are associated with programs identified in the program inventory required under section 1122(a)(2)(C) of title 31, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a); and

(3) may issue guidance to agencies to ensure that the programs identified in the program inventory required under section
The Government Accountability Office is tasked with reporting on duplication and continues to find new areas of duplication across government. Over 5 years, GAO has identified 106 areas of duplication, overlap, and fragmentation; moreover, they identified an additional 72 areas for potential cost savings. While only 37 percent of recommended corrective actions have been taken, the data indicates that these actions have saved the Federal Government and the taxpayer about $20 billion.

While GAO’s work has been invaluable, their ability to look comprehensively at the Federal Government is inherently limited because of the poor quality of information. This makes it more difficult and time consuming to identify areas of waste and inefficiency. H.R. 598 establishes an across-the-board definition of “program” and requires agencies to report all their programs, their funding, and their performance information to the Office of Management and Budget. However, OMB’s current inventory is incomplete and provides inconsistent information. This makes it more difficult and time consuming to identify areas of waste and inefficiency. H.R. 598 establishes an across-the-board definition of “program” and requires the publication of detailed information on each Federal program. This change will allow American taxpayers and Federal watchdogs to better evaluate the effectiveness and utility of government programs.

The Taxpayers Right-To-Know Act is an important and necessary step forward for the government in providing programs that are accountable, effective, and efficient. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank Senator LANKFORD for his work on the Senate companion bill. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 598, the Taxpayers Right-To-Know Act. This bill is a bipartisan and bicameral effort to provide more information about Federal programs and their activities online. I want to thank my colleague JIM COOPER for all his work in pushing this legislation forward.

The American people deserve to know what their government does with their hard-earned dollars, don’t you think? H.R. 598 will make it easier to evaluate Federal Government spending by requiring Federal agencies to identify their programs and provide basic information like what their programs do, how they perform, and how much they cost. Agencies must do a better job of managing their programs and identifying areas where taxpayer dollars are wasted.

The Government Accountability Office is tasked with reporting on duplication and continues to find new areas of duplication across government. Over 5 years, GAO has identified 106 areas of duplication, overlap, and fragmentation; moreover, they identified an additional 72 areas for potential cost savings. While only 37 percent of recommended corrective actions have been taken, the data indicates that these actions have saved the Federal Government and the taxpayer about $20 billion.

While GAO’s work has been invaluable, their ability to look comprehensively at the Federal Government is inherently limited because of the poor quality of information. This makes it more difficult and time consuming to identify areas of waste and inefficiency. H.R. 598 establishes an across-the-board definition of “program” and requires agencies to report all their programs, their funding, and their performance information to the Office of Management and Budget. However, OMB’s current inventory is incomplete and provides inconsistent information. This makes it more difficult and time consuming to identify areas of waste and inefficiency. H.R. 598 establishes an across-the-board definition of “program” and requires the publication of detailed information on each Federal program. This change will allow American taxpayers and Federal watchdogs to better evaluate the effectiveness and utility of government programs.

The Taxpayers Right-To-Know Act is an important and necessary step forward for the government in providing programs that are accountable, effective, and efficient. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank Senator LANKFORD for his work on the Senate companion bill. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, this bill builds upon two existing laws that came through our committee: the Government Performance and Results Modernization Act of 2010 and the DATA Act, which was signed into law in 2014. The Obama administration launched the performance.gov Web site to implement the GPRA Modernization Act, and this bill would enhance the information available through that Web site.

The bill would require the Office of Management and Budget to make available on a central Web site an inventory of all Federal agency programs that have a budget authority of more than $1 million. The bill also would require OMB to include on this Web site links to any evaluation, assessment, or program performance reviews by an agency, an inspector general, or the Government Accountability Office released during the preceding 5 years.

The Taxpayers Right-To-Know Act would require agencies to disclose how much agency staff are administering each covered program, as well as other individuals whose salary is paid by the government through a contract, grant, or other agreement.

The Office of Management and Budget raised serious concerns about its ability to implement the requirements of the bill as it was reported by the committee. I want to thank the chair for making changes to help address those concerns in the amended version of the bill before us today. It is important that we continue to work together to ensure the bill will work as intended.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, this is a good bipartisan, bicameral bill.

Again, I thank the good work of our colleague Mr. WALBERG in helping to champion this through, the good work on both sides of the aisle in a bipartisan, bicameral way. I urge its passage.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.
“(2) DURATION OF REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—The requirement to submit information under paragraph (1) shall continue until the later of the following occurs: 

(A) the Archivist has accepted, taken title to, or entered into an agreement to use any land or facility for the Presidential archival depository for the President for whom the Presidential archival fundraising organization was established; or 

(B) the President whose archives are contained in the deposit no longer holds the Office of President.

“(3) INFORMATION REQUIRED TO BE PUBLISHED.—The Archivist shall publish on the website of the National Archives and Records Administration, within 30 days after each quarterly filing, any information that is submitted under paragraph (1), without a fee or other access charge in a downloadable database.

“(4) SUBMISSION OF FALSE MATERIAL INFORMATION PROHIBITED.—

(A) INDIVIDUAL.—

(i) PROHIBITION.—It shall be unlawful for any person who makes a contribution described in paragraph (1) to knowingly and willfully—

(A) knowingly and willfully—

(i) make a contribution described in paragraph (1) in the name of another person; or 

(ii) permit his or her name to be used to make a contribution described in paragraph (1) in the name of another person; 

(B) PRESIDENTIAL LIBRARY FUNDRAISING ORGANIZATION.—

(i) PROHIBITION.—It shall be unlawful for any Presidential library fundraising organization to knowingly and willfully submit false material information or omit material information with respect to the contribution to an organization described in such paragraph.

(ii) PENALTY.—The penalties described in section 1001 of title 18, United States Code, shall apply with respect to a violation of clause (i) in the same manner as a violation described in such section.

(B) ORGANIZATION.—

(i) PROHIBITION.—It shall be unlawful for any Presidential library fundraising organization to knowingly and willfully submit false material information or omit material information under paragraph (1).

(ii) PENALTY.—The penalties described in section 1001 of title 18, United States Code, shall apply with respect to a violation of clause (i) in the same manner as a violation described in such section.

“(5) PROHIBITION ON CONTRIBUTION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—It shall be unlawful for a person to knowingly and willfully—

(i) make a contribution described in paragraph (1) in the name of another person; 

(ii) permit his or her name to be used to effect a contribution described in paragraph (1); or 

(iii) accept a contribution described in paragraph (1) that is made by one person in the name of another person.

(B) PENALTY.—The penalties set forth in section 309(d) of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 (2 U.S.C. 437g(d)) shall apply to a violation of subparagraph (A) in the same manner as if such violation were a violation of section 316(b)(3) of such Act (2 U.S.C. 441b(b)(3)).

“(6) REGULATIONS REQUIRED.—The Archivist shall promulgate regulations for the purpose of carrying out this subsection.

“(7) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

(A) Contribute.—The term ‘contribute’ means the following:

(i) The amount or value of each contribution made by a contributor referred to in paragraph (1) in the quarter covered by the submission.

(ii) The source of each such contribution, and the address of the entity or individual that is the source of the contribution.

(iii) If the source of such a contribution is an individual, the occupation of the individual.

(iv) The date of each such contribution.

(B) PRESIDENTIAL LIBRARY FUNDRAISING ORGANIZATION.—The term ‘Presidential library fundraising organization’ means an organization that has been established for the purpose of raising funds for creating, maintaining, expanding, or conducting activities at—

(i) a Presidential archival depository; or 

(ii) any facilities relating to a Presidential archival depository.

(C) APPLICABILITY.—Section 212(h) of title 44, United States Code (as added by subsection (a))—

(1) shall apply to an organization established for the purpose of raising funds for creating, maintaining, expanding, or conducting activities at a Presidential archival depository or any facilities relating to a Presidential archival depository before, on, or after the date of the enactment of this Act; and 

(2) shall only apply with respect to contributions (whether monetary or in-kind) made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 3. NO ADDITIONAL FUNDS AUTHORIZED.

No additional funds are authorized to carry out the requirements of this Act and the amendments made by this Act. Such requirements shall be carried out using amounts otherwise authorized.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) and the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia (Ms. NORTON) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Utah.

General LEAVE

Mr. CHAFFETZ. I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Utah?

There is no objection.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. DUNCAN), the gentleman who has championed this issue as the prime sponsor.

Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairman CHAFFETZ for his support and for yielding me this time.

This is a bill that has passed in three separate Congresses with overwhelming bipartisan support and very little opposition. In fact, in this Congress, it is cosponsored by Ranking Member ELIJAH CUMMINGS. In past Congresses, it has been cosponsored by Ranking Member Edolphus Towns; and in one Congress, Chairman Waxman became the primary sponsor. So it is a very bipartisan bill.

It is a very simple bill, one that I think can be supported by anyone who is opposed to secrecy in government and Government Reform Committee, saying: ‘It would provide valuable information on special interests whose donations put them in close proximity with Presidents.’

As costs soar, clearly there is potential for abuse, no matter who is President. This is, as I said, not a partisan issue. It is simply a good government reform of the Library of Congress. It is not directed at any President in any way.

It is my desire to see the bill pass and be signed.

The New York Times noted that President Obama’s library could end up costing $1 billion. As costs soar, clearly there is potential for abuse, no matter who is President. This is, as I said, a bipartisan issue. It is simply a good government reform of the Library of Congress. It is not directed at any President in any way.

It is a very simple bill, one that I think can be supported by anyone who is opposed to secrecy in government and Government Reform Committee, saying: ‘It would provide valuable information on special interests whose donations put them in close proximity with Presidents.’

As costs soar, clearly there is potential for abuse, no matter who is President. This is, as I said, a bipartisan issue. It is simply a good government reform of the Library of Congress. It is not directed at any President in any way.

It is a very simple bill, one that I think can be supported by anyone who is opposed to secrecy in government and Government Reform Committee, saying: ‘It would provide valuable information on special interests whose donations put them in close proximity with Presidents.’

Mr. CHAFFETZ. I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Utah?

There is no objection.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. DUNCAN), the gentleman who has championed this issue as the prime sponsor.

Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairman CHAFFETZ for his support and for yielding me this time.

This is a bill that has passed in three separate Congresses with overwhelming bipartisan support and very, very little opposition. In fact, in this Congress, it is cosponsored by Ranking Member ELIJAH CUMMINGS. In past Congresses, it has been cosponsored by Ranking Member Edolphus Towns; and in one Congress, Chairman Waxman became the primary sponsor. So it is a very bipartisan bill.

It is a very simple bill, one that I think can be supported by anyone who is opposed to secrecy in government and Government Reform Committee, saying: ‘It would provide valuable information on special interests whose donations put them in close proximity with Presidents.’

As costs soar, clearly there is potential for abuse, no matter who is President. This is, as I said, a bipartisan issue. It is simply a good government reform of the Library of Congress. It is not directed at any President in any way.

It is a very simple bill, one that I think can be supported by anyone who is opposed to secrecy in government and Government Reform Committee, saying: ‘It would provide valuable information on special interests whose donations put them in close proximity with Presidents.’

As costs soar, clearly there is potential for abuse, no matter who is President. This is, as I said, a bipartisan issue. It is simply a good government reform of the Library of Congress. It is not directed at any President in any way.

It is a very simple bill, one that I think can be supported by anyone who is opposed to secrecy in government and Government Reform Committee, saying: ‘It would provide valuable information on special interests whose donations put them in close proximity with Presidents.’

As costs soar, clearly there is potential for abuse, no matter who is President. This is, as I said, a bipartisan issue. It is simply a good government reform of the Library of Congress. It is not directed at any President in any way.

It is a very simple bill, one that I think can be supported by anyone who is opposed to secrecy in government and Government Reform Committee, saying: ‘It would provide valuable information on special interests whose donations put them in close proximity with Presidents.’

As costs soar, clearly there is potential for abuse, no matter who is President. This is, as I said, a bipartisan issue. It is simply a good government reform of the Library of Congress. It is not directed at any President in any way.
I urge the passage. It is high time we urge its adoption.

FOIA OVERSIGHT AND IMPLEMENTATION ACT OF 2015

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 653) to amend section 525 of title 5, United States Code (commonly known as the Freedom of Information Act), to provide for greater public access to information, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 653

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “FOIA Oversight and Implementation Act of 2015” or the “FOIA Act”.

SEC. 2. FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT AMENDMENTS.

(a) ELECTRONIC ACCESSIBILITY.—Section 525 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) AMENDMENTS.—Section 552(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking “(m) Electronic submission of requests” and inserting “(m) Electronic submission of requests; and”;

(ii) by adding at the end of the section the following new paragraph:

“(n) The President, the Attorney General, or the Administrator, as the case may be, shall ensure the operation of a consolidated online request portal that allows a member of the public to submit a request for records held by an agency from a single website. The portal may include any additional tools the Director of the Office of Management and Budget finds will improve the implementation of this section.

(2) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—This subsection shall not be construed to alter the power of any other agency to create or maintain an independent online portal for the submission of a request for records under this section. The Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall establish standards for the portal required under paragraph (1) and other request processing software used by agencies subject to this section.

(b) PRESUMPTION OF OPENNESS.—

(1) AMENDMENTS.—Section 525(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in paragraph (5), by inserting after “with the agency” the following: “; and”;

(B) in paragraph (6), by striking “similar files” and inserting “personal information such as contact information or financial information”;

(C) in the matter following paragraph (9)—

(i) by inserting before “in the matter following paragraph (9)—

(ii) by adding at the end of the section the following:

“(o) The OMB shall ensure that the FOIA Act is not applied in a manner that would require, encourage, or require the appointment of an Attorney General, when an Attorney General is not appointed under this subsection unless such agency reasonably foresees that disclosure would cause specific identifiable harm to an interest protected by an exemption, or if disclosure is prohibited by law.”;

and

(ii) by adding after “in the matter following paragraph (9)—

(ii) by adding after “For each record withheld in whole or in part under paragraph (3), the agency shall identify the statute that exempts the record from disclosure.”

(2) RULES OF CONSTRUCTION.—

A. INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS.—

B. PERSONAL PRIVACY.—

C. ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF REQUESTS.

D. RULES OF CONSTRUCTION.—

E. INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS.—

F. PERSONAL PRIVACY.—

G. ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF REQUESTS.
fourth sentence and inserting at the end the following: "Any notification of denial or partial denial of any request for records under this subsection shall set forth each name and title of any officer or employee outside of the Office of Government Information Services, the name and title of any consulted entity, and a description of the volume of records, that each consulted entity is reviewing.

(1) in subsection (a)(4)(A)(i), by striking "the Office of the Management and Budget" and inserting "the Director of the Office of Government Information Services.''; and

(2) by amending subsection (b) to read as follows:

"(b) Office of Government Information Services.—

(1) in subsection (a)(4)(A)(i), by striking "the Office of the Management and Budget" and inserting "the Director of the Office of Government Information Services.''; and

(2) by amending subsection (h) to read as follows:

"(h) Office of Government Information Services.—

(1) Review of FOIA Policy, Procedure, and Compliance.—The Office of Government Information Services shall—

(A) review policies and procedures of agencies under this section;

(B) review compliance with this section by agencies.

(C) identify methods that improve compliance under this section that may include—

(i) the timely processing of requests submitted to agencies under this section;

(ii) the system for assessing fees and fee waivers under this section; and

(iii) the use of any exemption under subsection (b); and

(D) review and provide guidance to agencies on the use of fees and fee waivers.

(2) Services Provided by the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services to resolve disputes between persons making requests under this section and the responding agency. The Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services to set an alternative consultation schedule.

(3) in subparagraph (F), by striking "any" and inserting "an"; and

(4) in subparagraph (G), by striking "public" and inserting "publicly".

(5) in subparagraph (H), by striking paragraph; and

(6) in subparagraph (I), by striking paragraph.

A request to provide a complete response or any other communication under paragraph (A), any testimony, or any other communication shall be submitted directly to the committees and the President that the Director determines to be appropriate.


(b) Direct Submission of Reports and Other Information.—

(1) in subsection (b), by striking subparagraph (A), any testimony, or any other communication shall be submitted directly to the committees and the President that the Director determines to be appropriate.

(2) in subparagraph (F), by striking paragraph; and

(3) in subparagraph (H), by striking paragraph.

The Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

"(a) if a request is received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services.

(b) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(c) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(3) in subparagraph (H), by striking paragraph.

The Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

"(a) if a request is received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services.

(b) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(c) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(3) in subparagraph (H), by striking paragraph.

The Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

"(a) if a request is received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services.

(b) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(c) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(3) in subparagraph (H), by striking paragraph.

The Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

"(a) if a request is received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services.

(b) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(c) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(3) in subparagraph (H), by striking paragraph.

The Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

"(a) if a request is received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services.

(b) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(c) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(3) in subparagraph (H), by striking paragraph.

The Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

"(a) if a request is received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services.

(b) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(c) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(3) in subparagraph (H), by striking paragraph.

The Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

"(a) if a request is received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services.

(b) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(c) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(3) in subparagraph (H), by striking paragraph.

The Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

"(a) if a request is received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services.

(b) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(c) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(3) in subparagraph (H), by striking paragraph.

The Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

"(a) if a request is received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services.

(b) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(c) if a request is not received, the Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.

(3) in subparagraph (H), by striking paragraph.

The Director of the Office of Government Information Services shall offer mediation services with the Office of Government Information Services.
“(B) establish procedures for identifying categories of records that may be disclosed regularly and additional records of interest to the public that are appropriate for public disclosure, including such records in an electronic, publicly accessible format.”.

(h) REPORT ON CATEGORIES OF INFORMATION FOR DISCLOSURE.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every two years thereafter, the Director of the Office of Information Policy of the Department of Justice, after consultation with agencies selected by the Director, shall submit to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform the House of Representatives and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs and the Judiciary of the Senate a report that identifies categories of records that would be appropriate for proactive disclosure, and shall make such report available in an electronic, publicly accessible format.

(i) AGENCY FOIA REPORT.—Section 552(e) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by inserting “and to the Director of the Office of Government Information Services” after “the Attorney General of the United States”;

(B) in subparagraph (N), by striking “and” and inserting a semicolon;

(C) in subparagraph (O), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon;

(D) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

(P) the number of times the agency invoked an agency enforcement exclusion under subsection (c);

(Q) the number of times the agency engaged in dispute resolution with the assistance of the Office of Government Information Services or the FOIA Public Liaison;

(R) the number of records that were made available in an electronic, publicly accessible format, and

(S) the number of times the agency assessed a search or duplication fee under subsection (a)(4)(A) and did not comply with a time limit under subsection (a)(6); and

(2) by adding paragraph (3) to read as follows:

(3) ELECTRONIC ACCESSIBILITY OF REPORTS.—Each agency shall make each such report available in an electronic, publicly accessible format. In addition, each agency shall make the raw statistical data used in its report available in a timely and understandable manner in an electronic, publicly accessible format. Such data shall be—

(A) made available without charge, license, or registration requirement;

(B) capable of being searched and aggregated; and

(C) permitted to be downloaded and downloaded in bulk;

(3) in paragraph (4)—

(A) by striking “Committee on Government Reform and Oversight” and inserting “Committee on Oversight and Government Reform”;

(B) by striking “Governmental Affairs” and inserting “Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs”;

(C) by striking “April 1” and inserting “March 1”;

(4) in paragraph (5)—

(A) by inserting “and the Director of the Office of Government Information Services” after “the Director of the Office of Management and Budget”; and

(B) by inserting before the period “as of October 1, 1997”; and

(5) by amending paragraph (6) to read as follows:

(6) ATTORNEY GENERAL FOIA REPORT.—“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Attorney General of the United States shall submit to Congress and the President an annual report on or before March 1 of each calendar year which shall include for the prior calendar year—

(i) a listing of the number of cases arising under this section, including for each case, as applicable—

(ia) each request accepted;

(ib) requests in subpart (A) disposed of;

(ic) requests in subpart (B) disposed of;

(id) requests in subpart (C) disposed of;

(ii) any exemption;

(iv) the disposition of such case; and

(v) the cost, fees, and penalties assessed under subparagraphs (E), (F), and (G) of subsection (a); and

(ii) a description of the efforts undertaken by the Department of Justice to encourage agency compliance with this section;

(B) ELECTRONIC AVAILABILITY.—The Attorney General of the United States—

(i) shall make each report described under subsection (a)(5) of title 5, United States Code, available in an electronic, publicly accessible format, and

(ii) shall make the raw statistical data used in each report available in an electronic, publicly accessible format, which shall be—

(I) made available without charge, license, or registration requirement;

(II) capable of being searched and aggregated; and

(III) permitted to be downloaded, including downloaded in bulk.

(C) SEARCH OR DUPLICATION FEES.—Section 552(a)(4)(A) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking clause (viii) and inserting the following new clause:

(viii)(I) Except as provided in clause (II), an agency shall not assess any search fees (or in the case of a requester described in subclause (aa) of this subparagraph, duplication fees) under this subparagraph if the agency fails to comply with any time limit described in paragraph (6).

(ii) If an agency has determined that unusual circumstances apply (as the term is defined in paragraph (6)(B)) and the agency provided a timely written notice to the requester in accordance with paragraph (6)(B), a failure described in subclause (I) is excused for an additional 10 days. If the agency fails to comply with the extended time limit, the agency may not assess any search fees (or in the case of a requester described under clause (ii)(II) of this subparagraph, duplication fees) if the agency has provided a timely written notice to the requester in accordance with paragraph (6)(B) and the agency has discussed with the requester via written mail, electronic mail, or telephone (or made not less than three good-faith attempts to do so) how the requester could effectively limit the scope of the request in accordance with paragraph (6)(B).

(cc) If a court has determined that exceptional circumstances exist (as that term is defined in paragraph (6)(C)), a failure described in subclause (I) shall be excused for the length of time provided by the court order.

(ix) When assessing or estimating fees, agencies shall provide a detailed explanation of the fee calculation, including—

(a) the actual or estimated number, as appropriate, of—

(aa) records searched;

(bb) hours of searching;

(cc) files searched;

(dd) records searched;

(ee) custodians searched;

(ff) records reviewed; and

(gg) hours of review;

(II) citations to the fee schedule for each category of fee assessed; and

(III) in the case of an estimate, the basis for such estimate.

(D) GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE.—Subsection (i) of section 552 of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

(i) GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE.—The Government Accountability Office shall—

(1) conduct audits of administrative agencies on compliance with the implementation of the requirements of this section and issue reports detailing the results of such audits;

(2) catalog the number of exemptions under subsection (b)(3) and agency use of such exemptions; and

(3) review and prepare a report on the processing of requests by agencies for information pertaining to an entity that has received assistance under title I of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (12 U.S.C. 5211 et seq.) during any period in which the Government owns or owned more than 50 percent of the stock of such entity.;

(l) CHIEF FOIA OFFICER RESPONSIBILITIES; COUNCIL REVIEW.—Section 552 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking subsections (j) and (k); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (i), the following new subsection:

(j) CHIEF FOIA OFFICER.—

(1) DESIGNATION.—Each agency shall designate a Chief FOIA Officer who shall be a senior official of such agency (at the Assistant Secretary or equivalent level).

(2) DUTIES.—The Chief FOIA Officer of each agency shall—

(A) have agency-wide responsibility for efficient and appropriate compliance with this section;

(B) monitor implementation of this section throughout the agency and keep the head of the agency, the chief legal officer of the agency, and the Attorney General appropriately informed of the agency’s performance in implementing this section;

(C) recommend to the head of the agency such adjustments to agency practices, policies, personnel, and funding as may be necessary to improve the implementation of this section;

(D) review and report to the Attorney General, through the head of the agency, at such times and in such formats as the Attorney General may direct, on the agency’s performance in implementing this section;

(E) facilitate public understanding of the purposes of the statutory exemptions of this section by including concise descriptions of the exceptions in both the agency’s handbook issued under subsection (g), and the agency’s annual report on this section, and by providing an overview, where appropriate, of the certain general categories of agency records to which those exemptions apply;

(F) serve as the primary agency liaison with the Office of Government Information Services and the Office of Information Policy;

(G) designate one or more FOIA Public Liaisons.

(E) COMPLIANCE REVIEW REQUIRED.—The Chief FOIA Officer of each agency shall—

(A) review, not less than annually, all aspects of the agency’s administration of this section to ensure compliance with the requirements of this section, including—

(i) agency regulations;

(ii) disclosure of records required under paragraphs (2) (a) and (b) and subsection (a); and

(iii) assessment of fees and determination of eligibility for fee waivers;

(iv) the timely processing of requests for information under this section;

(v) the use of exemptions under subsection (b); and
“(vi) dispo...resolution services with the assistance of the Office of Government Information Services or the FOIA Public Liaison; and

“(B) make recommendations as necessary to improve agency practices and compliance with this section.

“(C) establishment. There is established in the executive branch the Chief FOIA Officer Council in this subsection, referred to as the Council.

“(2) Members. The Council shall consist of the following members:


“(B) The Director of the Office of Information Policy at the Department of Justice.

“(C) The Director of the Office of Government Information Services at the National Archives and Records Administration.

“(D) The Chief FOIA Officer of each agency.

“(E) Any other officer or employee of the United States as designated by the Co-Chairs.

“(3) Co-Chairs. The Director of the Office of Information Policy at the Department of Justice and the Director of the Office of Government Information Services at the National Archives and Records Administration shall be the Co-Chairs of the Council.

“(4) Support Services. The Administrator of General Services shall provide administrative and other support for the Council.

“(5) Consultation. In performing its duties, the Council shall consult regularly with members of the public who make requests under this section.

“(6) Duties. The duties of the Council include the following:

“(A) Develop recommendations for increasing compliance and efficiency under this section.

“(B) Disseminate information about agency experiences, ideas, best practices, and innovative approaches related to this section.

“(C) Identify, develop, and coordinate initiatives to increase transparency and compliance with this section.

“(D) Promote the development and use of common performance measures for agency compliance with this section.

“(7) Consultation with Other Agencies. The Council shall actively consult and coordinate with other agencies to improve administrative, legislative, judicial, and executive practices.

“(8) Annual Meetings. Not less than once a year, the Council shall hold a meeting that shall be open to the public and permit interested persons to appear and present oral and written statements to the Council.

“(9) Notice. Not later than 10 business days before a meeting of the Council, notice of such meeting shall be published in the Federal Register.

“(D) Public Availability of Council Records. Except as provided in subsection (b), the records, reports, transcripts, minutes, appendices, working papers, drafts, studies, agenda, or other documents that were made available to or prepared for or by the Council shall be made publicly available.

“(E) Minutes. Detailed minutes of each meeting of the Council shall be kept and shall contain a record of the persons present, a complete and accurate description of matters discussed at the meetings, records reached, and copies of all reports received, issued, approved by the Council.

“(m) Excluded Records. Section 552(c) of Title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) An agency shall notify the Department of Justice in each instance records responsive to a request have been identified that the agency determines are not subject to the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), or (3) and shall provide the Department of Justice with a detailed justification and such determination for each such instance. The Department of Justice shall maintain records of each notification and justification received. An agency may treat records responsive to a request as not subject to the requirements under this section.

“(b) Agency Performance; Adverse Actions.

“(1) Performance Reviews. Performance appraisals under chapter 45 of this title shall include consideration of the employee’s responsibility for, and compliance with, this section as appropriate.

“(2) Agency-Wide Training. Each agency shall ensure agency employees receive annual training on the responsibilities of the agency under this section, including the specificity of the employee’s responsibilities, such as responding promptly to requests for records and providing all records that may be responsive to the request.

“(3) FOIA Officer. Each agency shall ensure agency employees are responsible for fulfilling the requirements under this section receive annual training on such requirements. The annual training shall include statutory requirements (such as time limits to respond to requests for records, limitations on exemptions, and opportunities for disciplinary action) and changes to this section or any interpretation of this section (such as a regulation issued under this section).

“(4) Violation of FOIA. (A) Intentional. An intentional violation of any provision of this section, including any rule, regulation, or other implementing guideline, by an officer or employee of an agency, as determined by the appropriate supervisor, shall be forwarded to the Inspector General of the agency for a thorough, objective, and independent investigation, and upon verification, such officer or employee shall be subject to the suspension and removal provisions under subchapter II or V of chapter 75.

“(B) Unauthorized Withholding. The withholding of information in contravention of the requirements of this section, including any rule, regulation, or other implementing guideline, as determined by the appropriate supervisor, shall be a basis for disciplinary action in accordance with subchapters I, II, or V of chapter 75, as the case may be.

“(2) Regulations. The Office of Personnel Management shall ensure that any performance appraisal pursuant to chapter 45 of this title, United States Code, includes as a basis for performance appraisal the extent to which an employee or employee’s supervisor complied with the requirements of this section.

“(4) Requirements; GAO Study; System of Record Notice.

“(1) Revision of regulations. Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the head of each agency shall review the regulations of such agency and shall issue regulations on procedures for the disclosure of records under section 552 of title 5, United States Code, in accordance with the amendments made by this section. The regulations of each agency shall include—

“(A) procedures for engaging in dispute resolution; and

“(B) procedures for engaging with the Office of Government Information Services.

“(2) GAO non-custody study. Not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall—

“(A) conduct a study of not less than five agencies to assess the feasibility of implementing policy reforms to search for records to meet the requirements of section 552 of title 5, United States Code, and requests for documents from Congress; and

“(B) submit a report on such assessment to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives and the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate detailing the results of such study.

“(3) Office of Government Information Services report. Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Office of Government Information Services shall submit to Congress a report on agency compliance with the requirements of this subsection.

“(4) Agency System of Records Notice Required. Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the head of each agency shall publish in the Federal Register a system of records notice as defined in section 552a of title 5, United States Code, which allows the Office of Government Information Services access to records to the extent necessary to meet the requirements of this Act, and the amendments made by this Act.

“(5) Report on Noncompliance. Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the head of an agency that does not meet the requirements of paragraph (1) shall submit to Congress a report on the reason for noncompliance.

“(6) Inspector General Review for Noncompliance. Any agency that fails to comply with the requirements of this subsection shall be reviewed by the Inspector General of such agency for compliance with section 552 of title 5, United States Code.

“(7) Agency Defined. In this section, the term ‘agency’ means the term defined in section 552(f) of title 5, United States Code.

“SEC. 3. INSPECTOR GENERAL REVIEW.

“(a) Periodic Review. The Inspector General of each agency (as such term is defined in section 552(f) of title 5, United States Code) shall—

“(1) periodically review compliance with the requirements of section 552 of title 5, United States Code, including the timely processing of requests, assessment of fees and fee waivers, and the use of exemptions under subsection (b) of such section; and

“(2) make recommendations to the Inspector General determinates to be necessary to the head of the agency, including recommendations for disciplinary action.

“(b) Required Review for Certain Agencies. The Inspector General of each agency (as such term is defined in section 901 of title 31, United States Code) shall complete the review and make the recommendations required under subsection (a) not less than once every two years.

“SEC. 4. NO ADDITIONAL FUNDS AUTHORIZED.

“No additional funds are authorized to carry out the requirements of this Act and the amendments made by this Act. Such requirements shall be carried out using amounts otherwise authorized.

“The SPEAKER. Mr. Speaker.

Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) and the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. CUMMINGS) each will control 20 minutes.
The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Utah.

**GENERAL LEAVE**

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include on my remarks on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Utah?

There was no objection.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. ISSA), the former chairman of the Oversight and Government Reform Committee and one of the lead sponsors of this bill.

Mr. ISSA. Mr. Speaker, I thank the chairman.

It is no accident that this is one of the first bills of the new year. Like some of the other legislation, it is not a new idea. In many ways, what it really is is this body, once again, if you will, reiterating when we talk about freedom of information for the American people, whether it is a private citizen who doesn’t know what the government knows about him or her and would like to or it is an interest group, a think tank, or very, very often the press—The New York Times, The Washington Post, the LA Times, and a host more—wanting to know what the government is doing, what their government is doing with their money, their freedoms.

This bill emphasizes in no uncertain terms something that is long overdue: that the balance between the American people’s right to know about their information and the government’s right to keep a secret shall always be balanced in favor and presumed to be the American people’s right. In other words, no longer, after this bill is signed into law, will an administration, Republican or Democratic, be able to presume that they are going to say no if they possibly can. Instead, this bill would modernize FOIA by requiring the Office of Management and Budget to create a central portal to allow FOIA requests to any agency through one Web site. This provision would not require agencies to disclose classified information, it would not require agencies to disclose anything they are prohibited from disclosing by law, and it would not remove any of FOIA’s existing time exemptions. It would, however, put the responsibility on agencies where it should be: to justify keeping government information secret.

The bill would also put a 25-year sunset exemption 5 of FOIA—the deliberative process exemption—and limit the scope of records that agencies could withhold under that exemption. It would modernize FOIA by requiring the Office of Management and Budget to create a central portal to allow FOIA requests to any agency through one Web site.

The Office of Government Information Services, the FOIA ombudsman created by Congress in 2007, would become more independent, which is very important under this bill, because that would be allowed to submit testimony and reports directly to Congress without going through political review.

This bill is coming to the floor with an amendment that makes a number of changes, and many of them proposed by Chairman CHAFFETZ. Some of these additions include requiring agencies to provide each FOIA requester with a contact name and information for an agency employee who can provide information on the status of the request. This is so very, very important.

Our bill has widespread support. A coalition of 47 open government groups sent a letter in support of this bill on February 5, 2015, that said:

“Congress must act this year to ensure that FOIA stays current with people’s need to access government information and resilient in the face of attempts to subvert that access.”

Numerous editorial boards have written, urging Congress to pass FOIA reform legislation.

A New York Times editorial from February 2015 reads: “This is a rare chance to log a significant bipartisan accomplishment in the public interest.”

A USA Today editorial in March 2015 called for the enactment of this bill’s reforms.

A Los Angeles Times editorial read that this legislation and a similar bill in the Senate “deserves to be passed.”

This is a movement called Fix FOIA by 50. That movement is aimed at getting H.R. 653 enacted before the 50th anniversary of FOIA in July of this year.

An online clearinghouse for the movement includes stories from journalists about why FOIA is critical to their work and why this legislation must be enacted.

It is important to note that, even with the enactment of this legislation, the work of Congress must continue.

Agency FOIA staff are being asked to do more than ever before. From 2009 to
On our side of the aisle, we had it spearheaded with Katy Rother, and I know that Krista Boyd particularly, on Mr. CUMMINGS’ staff, was vital to making this happen.

There are vital pieces of information that are needed and that are rightfully requested by the American people, but this piece of legislation will make that FOIA process smoother. It will make it more effective, more efficient, and I think it is much needed as we go into the 50th year of FOIA. I look forward to its passage.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I close by highlighting a few additional provisions of FOIA

This bill would require agencies to review existing records to identify categories of records to proactively disclose rather than waiting for FOIA requests.

The bill would also require the Department of Justice to report to Congress on categories of records that would be appropriate for proactive disclosure.

Finally, the bill would tackle the proliferation of statutory FOIA exemptions by requiring the Government Accountability Office to catalog all of the statutory exemptions on the books.

Again, I urge the support of this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I appreciate the good, bipartisan work. It was through a lot of labor and a lot of listening to what the public needs and to what the media needs. I do think this will make the Freedom of Information Act better as it is the spirit by which we operate in this country.

I urge the bill’s passage.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

H.R. 653 has been crafted to strengthen FOIA by establishing a strong presumption in favor of disclosure, while also recognizing the need to avoid compelling the disclosure of any properly classified information, or other information where disclosure would adversely affect intelligence sources and methods protected by an existing FOIA exemption. The bill, as reported, does not require agency FOIA staff to disclose IC records or information protected by the age of the records or information, if such disclosure would adversely affect intelligence sources and methods. Further, the bill does not alter an IC element’s discretion over the language it chooses to use in denying records or information sought pursuant to FOIA. Specifically, the requirement in Section 2(f)(3) for federal agencies to include “a list” of all denied records preserves an Intelligence Community element’s discretion regarding the contents of the required “list.” To the extent that elaboration of any list would adversely affect intelligence sources and methods, an IC element may cite to the applicable FOIA exemption to meet the list requirement.

I would appreciate your response to this letter confirming these understandings and would request that you include a copy of this letter in the Congressional Record during its floor consideration. Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

DEVIN NUNES,
Chairman.
A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

FEDERAL INTERN PROTECTION ACT OF 2015

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3231) to amend title 5, United States Code, to protect unpaid interns in the Federal government from workplace harassment and discrimination, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 3231

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa- tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, 

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Federal Intern Protection Act of 2015”.

SEC. 2. PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2002 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

(g)(1) All protections afforded to an employee under subparagraphs (A), (B), and (D) of subsection (b) shall be afforded, in the same manner and to the same extent, to an intern and an applicant for internship.

(2) The purpose of the application of this subsection, a reference to an employee shall be considered a reference to an intern in—

(A) section 717 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000e-16);

(B) sections 12 and 15 of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (29 U.S.C. 631, 633a); and


(3) In this subsection, the term ‘intern’ means an individual who performs uncompensated voluntary service in an agency to earn credit awarded by an educational institution or to learn a trade or occupation.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 3111(c)(1) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting “section 2002(g) (relating to prohibited personnel practices),” before “chapter 45.”

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) and the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. CUMMINGS) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Utah.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous materials on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Utah?

There was no objection.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of H.R. 3231, the Federal Intern Protection Act of 2015, as introduced by the ranking member, Mr. CUMMINGS. This is a bill brought to my attention by him. We took it through the process in our committee and marked it up, and here we are on the floor.

The bill establishes some important protections against the workplace dis-
over legislation that strengthens worker protections and defends the civil rights laws of workers, including fighting against discrimination and supporting diversity in the workplace.

Now that the House is about to complete the consideration of H.R. 3323, covering Federal interns, I urge my colleagues to vote for the legislation to move expeditiously to consider the companion legislation, H.R. 3232, the Unpaid Intern Protection Act. That bill would extend protections to unpaid interns in the private sector, about 14 percent in the private sector are free from discrimination and harassment as prohibited by the Civil Rights Act.

Extending workplace protections to nonpaid interns, who under current law lack the protections provided by civil rights laws, should be a priority for the Committee on Education and the Workforce, and that is because internships have become such an important part of the workforce.

According to the 2014 State of Internships Report from a college intern database, InternMatch, about two-thirds of respondents said internships were important for long-term career advancement and about the same number even stated that internships should be mandatory. Student surveys showed that over 60 percent want to intern in the government, about 1 percent in the government sector, and 19 percent in nonprofit organizations.

As Members of Congress, our position should be clear. Regardless of whether an internship is at a Federal agency, on Capitol Hill, or at a Fortune 500 company, we must ensure that the unpaid status of interns does not leave them without a remedy when their civil rights are violated. To that end, we should begin by passing H.R. 3231, the Federal Intern Protection Act.

We should then start working on legislation to provide similar protections to unpaid interns who work in the private sector.

I want to thank Ranking Member Cummings for his leadership on this bill, along with my fellow cosponsors, the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. Meng) and the Delegate from Washington, D.C. (Ms. Norton).

I urge a "yes" vote on this bill.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Madam Speaker, there are some young people who are getting their education. They are excited. They have their whole life in front of them. They get this amazing opportunity to do this internship. Maybe it is a month, maybe it is 3 months, maybe it is 6 months. It is just a limited portion of time. That is where they are going to get a base of knowledge and experience that they are going to be able to then parlay and take into the workforce. It is going to help shape and mold their futures.

As Members, every one of us rely on interns. We have them in our offices in our districts and we have them in our offices in Washington, D.C. We see them in the private sector. We see them all over the place. They provide a valuable role.

Unfortunately, there are some young people—and we have heard these stories, and they are horrific—who go into this situation, and somebody in power, someone with a check, someone who does control their time, does ask them to do tasks—does the unforgivable and asks them—or does something to them that they should never do.

To hear this story that there isn’t a law on the books so the courts can help take care of it, that is just not an excuse. We do a lot of things in this body, and I would like to think this is one of the really good things that we do here today, is pass a piece of legislation like this so we can protect these young people, because if somebody does break the law and does go forward and does something unforgivable, they have some recourse.

If we are going to take their time and we are going to use the resources of these young people, those people in charge should be held accountable. I think that is the good we are doing here today.

So those particularly young women—I am sure there are young men out there too, I just haven’t heard as many of their stories—to those young women, at least, I hope we are listening and we are doing something good. That is why I encourage the passage of this bill.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CUMMINGS. Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. Meng), one of the cosponsors of this bill.

Ms. MENG. Madam Speaker, I rise today to express strong support for H.R. 3231, the Federal Intern Protection Act of 2015.

Madam Speaker, internships are increasingly considered a resume necessity for entry-level positions in both the public and private sector. More and more, businesses, organizations, and government agencies consider internships a prerequisite experience to full-time employment. In fact, on college campuses across this country, career service officers push their students to obtain competitive internships because they provide valuable professional experiences and are considered essential. Yet, too often forget is that unpaid interns are amongst the most vulnerable of workers. They need these internships to succeed in their careers.

Yet, they are powerless to protect themselves from discrimination and sexual harassment. Facing these challenges can be devastating to young interns at the beginning of their careers.

One year ago, a brave and intelligent young woman, Christina, came to my district office to talk to me about her experiences as an unpaid intern. Christina had faced sexual harassment. She had no legal recourse, but she refused to stay silent. She came to my office with a fellow college student, Anna. They told me about the experiences many young college students who had faced sexual harassment as unpaid interns. I stand here on their behalf today because we can do something about this.

State legislatures across this country have started to listen. New York, Oregon, Illinois, California, Connecticut, New Jersey, Washington, D.C., and New York City have all passed some form of protection for unpaid interns.

Unpaid internships in the public sector are more, businesses, organizations, and government agencies consider internships covetted and competitive positions. The Federal Intern Protection Act of 2015 directly addresses this vulnerability by extending existing Federal protections under the Civil Rights Act of 1964 to unpaid interns working for the Federal Government. We can provide vulnerable interns in the Federal Government with the protections they deserve.

I would like to thank my colleague, Representative Cummings, for his leadership on this issue. I also thank Representative Scott of Virginia and Ms. Eleanor Holmes Norton and their staff for all of their hard work.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CUMMINGS. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume as I close.

Madam Speaker, there have been several cases where interns have tried to bring suit and the courts have said that you can't do it. We have got to make sure that interns in the Federal Government with the protections they deserve. I think that is the good we are doing here today.

Mr. CUMMINGS. Madam Speaker, I rise to extend the bill that strengthens workplace protections for unpaid interns to the private sector. I would urge my colleagues to vote for it.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Madam Speaker, I think this is a very important piece of legislation. I would urge my colleagues to vote for it.

Mr. CUMMINGS. Madam Speaker, I rise to extend the bill that strengthens workplace protections for unpaid interns to the private sector. I would urge my colleagues to vote for it.

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.
sometimes, but out of that hearing came this legislation. So, again, I thank the chairman for all of his hard work in helping us get the bill to the floor.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CHAFFETZ, Madam Speaker, I appreciate the dedication and effort of Mr. Cummings, Mr. Scott of Virginia, and others who care deeply about this. I do as well. To be able to play a role to help shepherd it to this point is an honor and a privilege.

I urge its passage.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. Ros-Lehtinen). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3231, as amended.

The question was taken.

The Speaker ordered the Yeas and Nays, among them, and for other purposes, as amended, on which the Yeas and Nays were ordered.

The Yeas and Nays were ordered.

The Speaker pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

RECESS

The Speaker pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until approximately 6:30 p.m. today.

Accordingly (at 5 o’clock and 55 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

After recess

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. HOLDING) at 6 o’clock and 30 minutes p.m.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1644, SUPPORTING TRANSPARENT REGULATORY AND ENVIRONMENTAL ACTIONS IN MINING ACT; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF S.J. RES. 22 PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL OF A RULE SUBMITTED BY THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3662, IRAN TERROR FINANCE TRANSPARENCY ACT; AND PROVIDING FOR PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PERIOD FROM JANUARY 14, 2016, THROUGH JANUARY 22, 2016

Mr. NEWHOUSE, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 114-393) on the resolution (H. Res. 583) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1644) to amend the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 to ensure transparency in the development of environmental regulations, and for other purposes; providing for consideration of the joint resolution (S.J. Res. 22) providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the Corps of Engineers and the Environmental Protection Agency relating to the definition of “waters of the United States” under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act; providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3662) to enhance congressional oversight over the administration of sanctions against certain Iranian terrorism financiers, and for other purposes; and providing for proceedings during the period from January 14, 2016, through January 22, 2016, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1644) to support the Trans-Pacific Partnership, to amend the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 to ensure transparency in the development of environmental regulations, and for other purposes; providing for consideration of the joint resolution (S.J. Res. 22) providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the Corps of Engineers and the Environmental Protection Agency relating to the definition of “waters of the United States” under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act; providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3662) to enhance congressional oversight over the administration of sanctions against certain Iranian terrorism financiers, and for other purposes; and providing for proceedings during the period from January 14, 2016, through January 22, 2016, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

TAXPAYERS RIGHT-TO-KNOW ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on motions to suspend the rules previously postponed.

VOTES ON THE YEA’S AND NAY’S

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. The second electronic vote will be conducted as a 5-minute vote.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CHAFFETZ) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Speaker read the title of the bill.

The Speaker pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until approximately 6:30 p.m. today.

Accordingly (at 5 o’clock and 55 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

The Speaker ordered the Yeas and Nays, among them, and for other purposes, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.
So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

**FEDERAL INTERNSHIP PROTECTION ACT OF 2015**

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Newhouse). The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3231) to amend title 5, United States Code, to protect unpaid interns in the Federal government from workplace harassment and discrimination, and for other purposes, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question before the motion offered by the gentleman from Utah (Mr. Chaffetz) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 414, nays 0, not voting 19, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yeas</th>
<th>Nays</th>
<th>Not Voting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>414</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EDEN PRAIRIE POLICE DEPARTMENT AWARDS

(Mr. PAULSEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PAULSEN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the hard work and dedication of Eden Prairie Officer of the Year Patrick Kenyon and the Department’s Civilian Employee of the Year, Investigative Aide Pauline Sager. Pauline has been with the Eden Prairie Police Department for 36 years and has proven herself as a tireless advocate for the public. She is known as an expert on financial fraud crimes. She has advised law enforcement throughout Minnesota and helped bring criminals to justice.

Patrick, a 9-year veteran of the Department, worked as a patrol officer and is known as an expert on financial fraud crimes. She has advised law enforcement throughout Minnesota and helped bring criminals to justice.

Mr. Speaker, our law enforcement officials keep our communities safe due to the commitment of people like Pauline Sager and Patrick Kenyon. I thank them both for their service to Eden Prairie, and I congratulate them on their recognition.
INTRUSION SOFTWARE AND THE WASSENAAR ARRANGEMENT
(Mr. LANGEVIN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LANGEVIN. Mr. Speaker, securing our networks from cyber attack is a challenge. One of the most effective techniques is penetration testing, or turning hacking tools on one’s own network to find weaknesses before bad actors have a chance to exploit them.

Unfortunately, a rule proposed by the Bureau of Industry and Security within the Department of Commerce last May has the potential to make it much harder to share existing tools and develop new ones, which could severely harm our national security and our economic competitiveness.

The rule was issued as part of the addition of “intrusion software” to the Wassenaar Arrangement, one of the principal international export control regimes. Perhaps unsurprisingly, using a 20-year-old framework—itself the successor of a three-quarter-century-old cold war agreement—to regulate cutting-edge technology has proved difficult. However, I am very thankful for the Bureau’s willingness to reexamine the initial proposal, and I am looking forward to tomorrow’s Homeland Security hearing as an important step in the process to produce a final rule that will not cut-edge technology has proved difficult. However, I am very thankful for the Bureau’s willingness to reexamine the initial proposal, and I am looking forward to tomorrow’s Homeland Security hearing as an important step in the process to produce a final rule that allows defenders to test their networks before they are attacked. This is a bipartisan effort tomorrow, and I look forward to tomorrow’s hearing.

RECOGNIZING WOMEN PILOTS
(Mr. ASHFORD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. ASHFORD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the important contribution women pilots have made to the service of our military in World War II. The time deserves a proper military resting place.

In 1942, Betty Grace Clements of Elmwood, Nebraska, entered into the Women Airforce Service Pilots. Betty was one of only 1,100 women who had earned her noncommissioned missions to support the war effort.

Betty’s job during World War II was to provide courier services for then-Colonel Paul Tibbets and his crew. Colonel Tibbets and his crew were training to fly Enola Gay and bring an end to the war. Betty was part of the history. She helped end the war, and she served Nebraska and her country with honor.

Betty passed away in 1965, but, under today’s law, her ashes could not be added to the Arlington National Cemetery. I think that is a shame. WASPs have fought for proper recognition for their service. I applaud Congresswoman McSALLY for her bill to give these women the recognition they deserve.

I thank Dr. Grace Clements, Congresswoman McSALLY, and all women pilots who have served. I ask my colleagues to support this important legislation.

HUMAN TRAFFICKING AWARENESS MONTH
(Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. THOMPSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in recognition of National Slavery and Human Trafficking Prevention Month, which is intended to draw attention to a problem which is sadly still a concern across this Nation and across the globe.

According to the National Human Trafficking Resource Center, authorities have investigated more than 500 cases of suspected human trafficking just in Pennsylvania since 2000, including 75 cases reported in 2015 alone.

Human trafficking has been called one of the fastest-growing criminal industries in the world. The statistics and recent reports indicate that these types of cases are on the rise across Pennsylvania, including those involving victims who are still teenagers.

I greatly appreciate the work of organizations in Pennsylvania’s Fifth Congressional District and across the State in assisting those hurt in human trafficking.

Last year, I supported the Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act, which was signed into law by President Barack Obama. This legislation is aimed at addressing the rise in human trafficking and to improve services for survivors.

NORTH KOREA NEEDS DISABLING
(Mr. LAMALFA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LAMALFA. Mr. Speaker, this week the House will take up new sanctions on North Korea in response to their nuclear weapons test last week. This measure will prevent those facilitating their nuclear weapons program from entering the United States. It sanctions financial institutions and seizes assets in order to halt North Korea’s nuclear weapons program.

The steps we are taking reflect the type of approach we should also be taking with Iran. Rogue states, like Iran and North Korea, cannot be trusted to respect international agreements and must be coerced into giving up their nuclear weapons ambitions. Only when Iran and North Korea feel the financial impact of our sanctions will they change course.

Iran and North Korea are also nations that both threaten key allies and friends of the United States. The sanctions we are contemplating are an important reminder to the world that the United States will not look the other way when reckless and aggressive regimes pursue the most deadly weapons in the world.
In addition, he has provided for data collection, for the FBI to redo and to make more certain the inspection or the review of someone who is trying to get a gun.

Mr. Speaker, let’s look logically at what the President has done, and let’s not get in the way. Let’s try to help stem the tide of gun violence so that our officers, as well, are not in the line of fire.

WOMEN AIRFORCE SERVICE PILOTS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HARDY). Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 6, 2015, the gentlewoman from Arizona (Ms. MCSALLY) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Ms. MCSALLY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days in which to review an extraneous material on the subject of my Special Order.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HARDY). Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 6, 2015, the gentlewoman from Arizona (Ms. MCSALLY) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Ms. MCSALLY. Mr. Speaker, I rise to talk about a very special group of women who were mentors to me and who were pioneering heroes of our country. These were the Women Airforce Service Pilots, the WASPs.

Some people don’t know that much about them, but here is a picture of them as they flew airplanes in the World War II era. When we needed everybody else to go on to fly in combat, like ferrying airplanes all over the theaters to deliver them where they needed to be in the combat zone and bringing them back for maintenance. They were test pilots and engineers. You name it.

Ms. MCSALLY. Mr. Speaker, I rise to talk about a very special group of women who were mentors to me and who were pioneering heroes of our country. These were the Women Airforce Service Pilots, the WASPs.

Some people don’t know that much about them, but here is a picture of them as they flew airplanes in the World War II era. When we needed everybody else to go on to fly in combat, like ferrying airplanes all over the theaters to deliver them where they needed to be in the combat zone and bringing them back for maintenance. They were test pilots and engineers. You name it.

These women were asked to step up and serve. They went through training. They put on the uniform. They lived in the barracks. They learned how to march. They were pioneers for women like me, who later on served as aviators in the military.

There are just a little over 1,000 of these amazing women who served in World War II. They weren’t given Active-Duty status, although that was the intent of General Arnold when they set up this program.

If you think back then, the thought of having women military pilots was a little bit of a cultural hang-up. We will let women be Rosie the Riveter, and we will let women serve in support positions. But pilots? Now, that is kind of crazy talk.

So they had a little bit of a problem culturally, but they didn’t care. They chose to serve anyway. They said, “I am going to step up and serve my country. I am going to do that as a pilot. I am going to do this with honor and with valor,” just like their male counterparts did in these very same missions before them, alongside them, and they offered.

Thirty-eight of them died in training or in conducting missions. Thirty-eight of them paid the ultimate sacrifice. They weren’t even given veterans’ benefits or any benefits after perishing in the line of duty, but they still continued to serve because their country needed them.

It was not until 1977 that they were actually given veteran status after the fact. They were then given honorable discharges. They were given the medals that their male counterparts got for serving as Active Duty in the military. They were allowed to be buried, with honors, in veterans’ cemeteries across the country and were given full military honors, which they deserved.

They were actually allowed, as they should be allowed, to be in Arlington National Cemetery, alongside other heroes who have gone before them. Yet, we just found out within the last few weeks that that has been rescinded by the Department of Veterans Affairs.

That happened quietly back in March of 2015 to these heroes, who deserve to be recognized and who deserve to be a legacy in Arlington National Cemetery so that future generations will know what they did and will know of the doors that they opened in the way that they served. It was rescinded by the Army.

We didn’t know about this until Elaine Harmon, one of the WASPs, passed away. I saw her handwritten will when I met with her family last week. It reads, “I desire to be in Arlington National Cemetery. I want my ashes there.”

Her family put in the request like everybody else does, and they were denied. We now found out that the Army has rescinded that and that it is no longer allowing these pioneering women to be laid to rest in Arlington.

Elaine Harmon’s ashes are sitting on a shelf in a closet in her granddaughter’s home, awaiting her final resting place in Arlington, which she derives. The Army gave us some bureaucratic answer about, oh, they are running out of space, and, by mistake, they opened it up.

In 2002, they actually allowed women to be in Arlington. Only two women took advantage of this and asked to be, in their own right, in Arlington. Then the Army turned around and rescinded it. Again, they gave some bureaucratic answer.

They are on the wrong side of this. We have looked into all of the legalities. The Army has all of the authorities that they need to allow these heroes to be laid to rest in Arlington, but they are choosing not to do so.

We have introduced legislation. We are going to make sure that it happens, but we are calling on them to actually...
In 2009, as I mentioned, the WASPs were awarded the Congressional Gold Medal for flying military missions in World War II. Boy, even when they were here, they were just a strong group of women who delighted in seeing one another and in reminding themselves of the amazing stories that they brought. More than anything else, they serve today as great role models to women who were considering going in the Air Force, flying for our country, but, also, for taking on some remarkable challenges in their lives. They really represent that for all of us.

They fought, of course, and they died in service to their country. They trained in military style. They slept on metal cots like everybody else and marched and lived under military discipline. That is why we feel they deserve the full honors that we give our war heroes. As has been mentioned, they were given those honors, but because we have a problem of space, it was decided that perhaps they were not at the top of the list. We need to work on that to provide for everyone who needs to be there.

There are many WASPs who may not necessarily choose to be at Arlington National Cemetery, but for those who have chosen to work with their families—and their families have fought hard for them—this is something that we need to do.

I want to particularly mention—and I thought this was really fun to read—one of the articles about these WASPs. This is Eddy, who is saying, “I thought it was the nastiest thing that they”—speaking of the Army Air Forces officials—“could have done to us.” This was while she was receiving visitors at her home in Coronado. “They fired us. They gave our jobs to men who didn’t want to go overseas. I would have gone overseas in a minute.” No, it wasn’t that. “I was a (heck of) a good fighter pilot.”

In my community of San Diego, in El Cajon, I also have a woman named Joyce Secciani, who perhaps was not as forthright as Eddy.

But despite some fading memories, at 87, she still shares Vivian’s passion for the WASPs and her disappointment with its demise. She was also one of the 1,102 women who flew in the all-volunteer program between 1942 and 1944. She remarked, “All of us felt bad to lose (our flying jobs)—all of us wanted to keep up our ability to fly,” because they knew that, with prevailing chauvinistic attitudes, there would be no pilots’ work for them in the civilian realm.

We need to be sure that we don’t lose our perspective about the work that these women did and that we honor them in this way, that we honor them and their families who supported them as well because, now, with all of our military families, it is not just the person who serves, but it is the entire family who serves as well.
way to make sure, if these WASPs want to be interred at Arlington, they should be.

Now, some of the missions that these brave women flew on included transporting these vehicles all around the world. You know what they also did? They towed targets for men on the ground that were practicing artillery. Did you hear one of these WASPs complain, "Gee, I hope that these men will hit the targets instead of us."

The Pentagon can do is to take this seriously, listen to the will of the people, and make sure that these brave women are so honored by being interred, if they wish, at Arlington.

Now, one of these humble American heroes is a woman by the name of Betty Anne Brown, who very recently passed away at age 92. Now, wouldn't she be proud of all of us today standing up and asking that our country, that the Pentagon does the right thing?

I salute Ms. MCSALLY for her leadership on this issue. The Pentagon can do what is right today. As you mentioned, Congresswoman, legislation is not needed. Our Commander in Chief or the folks who run the Pentagon stand up and do what is right.

These women deserve every right to be buried at Arlington if they so wish. Thank you very much, and I am honored to cosponsor this bill.

Mr. POLiquin. I really appreciate his strong support and strong words in support of this effort here.

I rise today to support you in your mission to give due recognition to the Women Airforce Service Pilots, otherwise known as WASPs, not the other WASPs that you know about. These are the real ladies that got the job done. They are a remarkable group of women who served our country proudly during World War II.

As you heard from the other speakers, our country turned to female pilots to deliver planes to our military air bases overseas, tow targets for live antiaircraft artillery practice, and simulate strafing missions. They became the first women in U.S. history to fly with our finest passing away.

I am proud to serve with her on the House Armed Services Committee. I know she is very proud to represent the people of Arizona in the Second Congressional District there.

McSALLY's roots are from my home State of Rhode Island. She and I grew up in the neighborhood, and I am proud to have worked with her on several issues since she has arrived in Congress. I was proud, again, back then to have her as my guest to the State of the Union Message as she mentioned.

Again, I appreciate your support on this bill and your friendship over the years. I look forward to working together to get this mission done and then additional things in the future. Thank you so much for your strong support for this issue.

I yield to the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. LANGEVIN), my good friend. I think back to how many years ago it was this week, actually, when I was your guest at the State of the Union Address. So I have appreciated your support to me when I was in the military and the fights that we had to make sure that women were treated fairly and, also, your strong support on this particular effort.

Mr. LANGEVIN. Mr. Speaker, I thank Congresswoman MCSALLY for yielding. I want to thank her for bringing our attention to this important issue this evening.

I am proud to serve with her on the House Armed Services Committee. I know she is very proud to represent the people of Arizona in the Second Congressional District there.

I might make note that Ms. MCSALLY's roots are from my home State of Rhode Island. She and I grew up in the neighborhood, and I am proud to have worked with her on several issues since she has arrived in Congress. I was proud, again, back then to have her as my guest to the State of the Union Message as she mentioned.

Again, I appreciate her raising this important issue. I find it completely disheartening that the Women Airforce Service Pilots have been denied interment in one of our Nation's most sacred national burial grounds where we honor our men and women who have served.

These brave female aviators of World War II embody courage, resiliency, and patriotism. Again, I am proud to support Congresswoman MCSALLY's efforts to reinstate their interment eligibility in Arlington National Cemetery. Without these women, some of whom made the ultimate sacrifice for our country in one of its greatest times of need, our Nation would not stand where it does in the world today. We are indebted to them for their service.

The very least that we can do, Mr. Speaker, is to honor them with the dignity and the respect that they have earned and they have got to see this policy reversed. I know that we will. It is a bipartisan effort. I am proud to join with my colleagues on both sides of the aisle in raising attention to this issue and insisting that we ensure that these brave female aviators of World War II, again, who embody the courage and resiliency and patriotism that this country so admires and that we are grateful for, and that we see that they are properly given the honor that they so richly deserve.

Again, I want to thank Congresswoman MCSALLY for shedding this light on this misguided injustice.

Ms. MCSALLY. Mr. Speaker, I thank Mr. LANGEVIN. Again, I appreciate your support on this bill and your friendship over the years. I look forward to working together to get this mission done and then additional things in the future. Thank you so much for your strong support for this issue.

I yield to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN). She has been a strong advocate, as others who have spoken today, for the WASPs and especially the push for the Congressional Gold Medal. I am just honored to have you as a cosponsor and a strong advocate on this bill.

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. I am so pleased, so honored, so humbled to be part of your Special Order. In the short time I have in Congress, I hope you and I could be a real leader on so many important issues, and I think none as important as the one that you are spearheading today.

I rise today to support you in your mission to give due recognition to the Women Airforce Service Pilots, otherwise known as WASPs, not the other WASPs that you know about. These are the real ladies that got the job done. They are a remarkable group of women who served our country proudly during World War II.

As you heard from the other speakers, our country turned to female pilots to deliver planes to our military air bases overseas, tow targets for live antiaircraft artillery practice, and simulate strafing missions. They became the first women in U.S. history to fly for our proud military.

Out of more than 25,000 women who applied for the program, only 1,704 were accepted in noncombat roles. These courageous American women logged in more than 60 million miles between 1942 and 1944, but it wasn't until 1977 that Congress passed legislation that gave these patriotic women their much-deserved veteran recognition.

In 2002, Arlington National Cemetery decided to allow WASPs, among others listed as Active Duty designees, to receive benefits consistent with the standards they had so rightly earned. However, the Department of the Army recently rescinded this decision and made these brave women aviators of World War II ineligible for burial at Arlington National Cemetery.

As you know, there is a generation—and the gentlewoman and I have talked about this repeatedly—awarding WASPs the Congressional Gold Medal in the year of 2009, I am honored to stand with my friend and colleague, Congresswoman MARTHA MCSALLY, a true patriot in her own right, to ensure that the WASPs have the right to have these services alongside the rest of our war heroes. These patriotic women selflessly helped defend our country. They deserve full military honors. They are humbled and proud to represent south Florida, and I would like to inform the gentlewoman that this has been home to some of these remarkable heroine women. I am going to mention some of their names: Frances Rohrer, Helen Wenz, Ruth Schafer Flescher, Shirley Kruse, and Bee Haydu. Some are with us, and some are no longer with us. Some are not in great shape because they served in World War II. It is happening throughout our Nation where we see our finest passing away.

In this time of great challenges to women, those women that you have there before us, they pushed beyond the boundaries. They brought new opportunities for women to come.

My daughter-in-law, Lindsay, she flew combat missions in Iraq and Afghanistan for the Marines, but she would not have been able to do so without the women who came before her. If you are an example of a gentlewoman I say thank you for your patriotic duty—but you stand also on their shoulders. These pioneers fought for the values of freedom and democracy. It is our duty to ensure that they are not denied the recognition for their service.

We shouldn't be begging for this. With the valiant efforts of these American heroines, the United States and our allies were able to successfully defeat the Axis Powers during World War II.

I thank you, Congresswoman MCSALLY, for introducing this important legislation that would make the Women Airforce Service Pilots eligible, once again, for the services in Arlington National Cemetery with full military honors. I agree with you that we don't need the legislation; that tonight, the Secretary of the Army could do the right thing, as he had done before, sign the order making this happen.

We will continue the battle in their names. Thank you so much to the gentlewoman. Thank you for spearheading...
this effort. Thank you for taking this on. You are a valuable member of this institution. Thank you for the time.

Ms. MCALSSY. I want to thank the gentlewoman from Florida. As soon as I mentioned it to ILEANA, she was like: This is wrong. We have got to get involved. We have to fix this.

So I appreciate your strong advocacy before I got here, and your continued advocacy as a wingwoman in this cause.

You know, for the WASPs in this story and this cause, it is not just the right thing to do for the country. For me, it is also personal. These women opened the door for me to be able to be a pilot in the Air Force and, when the doors were opened, to transition to be a fighter pilot in the Air Force.

I will be honest with you. I didn’t hear about them when I was in high school. This is one reason why it is so important that we allow them to be laid to rest in Arlington, so that it is part of the education for future generations.

It wasn’t until I went off to the Air Force Academy that I actually learned about the WASPs and learned about what they did. I just didn’t even imagine that we would have women military pilots in the 1940s in World War II, but we did.

I got to meet some of these amazing women when I first came to Tucson to fly the A-10 Warthog, started my training. There were several of them that lived in southern Arizona, and I got to become friends with them, and they became mentors to me and encouraged me to me.

As the doors were opening up for us to transition into fighters, there was hardly anybody we could really look to who understood what it was like to be in challenging circumstances where you are the only woman. People have attitudes about whether you can or cannot or should or should not do what you are doing as a pilot. But these women understood that. They put up with the same biases and the same discrimination as they served. They flew in World War II.

As I was looking around for someone to have as a role model, these women were incredible friends to me and supporters and wingwomen to me.

Here is one picture I want to show you. This is Ruth Helm, one of the Tucson residents who, sadly, made her final flight over the last year. This is when she was inducted into the Arizona Aviation Hall of Fame. This is a picture of the two of us in civilian clothes as she was inducted there.

These women paved the way for me, but they encouraged me. Even at my most challenging times, when I was feeling discouraged, I would sit down with them, and they would just fire me up to go and do even more and fly another day.

Despite the fact that they were told to leave the military after all they did, they still were proud. They didn’t have a chip on their shoulder. They were grateful for the opportunities that they had. They laughed off some of the challenges that they went through. They just started encouraging me, “Come on, you can do it. We did it.” Just was able to kind of get back in there and continue to be a pilot, because I knew what they did before me to open up the doors for me.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Will the gentlewoman yield? Ms. MCALSSY. I yield to the gentlewoman from Texas.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Obviously we share a compassion and passion for these wonderful women. We also serve on the Committee on Homeland Security together.

First of all, let me thank you for your service and thank you so very much for bringing this very important issue, this bipartisan issue to the floor of the House and certainly to your colleagues. I am looking forward to working with you on this issue.

I just want to say that one of my greatest joys in the United States Congress was the military war zones that I had the chance to go to, starting with the Bosnian war. I came in in that time and really traveled to that area, Kosovo and Albania, and then, of course, Iraq and Afghanistan and certainly a number of other sites where issues of conflict were going on.

There I saw a myriad of women who stood on the shoulders of these women, who are now in a variety of the branches, not just aviators or in the Air Force in particular, but they stood on the shoulders of these women. It gave me a sense of pride and duty to say to them, “Thank you.”

Women are unique. Many of them are mothers or sisters and daughters who are in the service, or they take care of children, or they are nurturers for someone else. We have a particular role, but yet they are in the military leaving their families.

Just coming in today, I read an article about the ranger who is from my constituency who just came out of ranger school and is from Houston. I simply want to say, this is the right thing to do.

Every year—and I think you have joined us now as you have come to Congress—we go on Memorial Day week to Arlington and lay a wreath for women who died in the line of duty or in the service of their country. Does anyone realize the numbers of women? We have been doing this now for more than a decade, and the women of the House join us. They do that because this is a valuable part of America’s history.

To the lady, the aviator that now, I think, as I was mentioning, this isn’t just the right thing to do, but this is personal for me. As I transitioned into flight, these women were pioneers who opened up the door for me to even have the opportunity to become a fighter pilot, they mentored me. They walked alongside me. They encouraged me. They gave me some perspectives from their own training and their own experiences. They made me laugh. They made me cry. They were friends who just paved the way for me.

You think about the debates we have had in this body over the years. I mean, women couldn’t be pilots again until the early 1980s, they finally opened up the door for women to be pilots. But they could only serve in noncombat roles.
When they had that debate, that didn’t have to be theoretical or hypothetical. They had the example of these amazing women who did what they did in World War II—again, over a thousand of them, under extraordinary circumstances, flying by themselves, often just by themselves in the weather and how they were going to land and dealing with emergencies and clearances and just doing what it took in order to get the mission done, get the plane where it needed to be, train the men to go off and fly in combat, bomb the targets, do the simulated strafing runs, all the test piloting, everything, to include risking their lives. Thirty-eight of them died.

This is personal to me. These three women pictured in this photo—Dawn Seymour, Eleanor Gunderson, and Ruth Helm—they are sitting in this photo in the front row of the change of command ceremony that I had where I took over command of an A-10 fighter squadron and I had an historic day for our country that we finally had a woman doing that. It was an historic day for me to be able to take command of a squadron. I invited them and asked them to sit in the front row. I honored them with a change of command speech because I wanted to make sure that everybody there knew that I only had the opportunities that I had in the military because they paved the way.

These three women are personal friends of mine. They have flown with them since they have had their final flight. Dawn Seymour is still with us, but the other two have passed away. We have to keep their legacy going. We have to make sure the next generations know how they served with honor at a time when the country needed them. We have to make sure that Elaine Harmon and any of the other WASPs who want to have their ashes in Arlington Cemetery are allowed to do that.

Let’s be clear. The only reason these women were not considered Active Duty at the time was because of gender biases and discrimination against women. That is the only reason. Had they been a man doing those jobs, they would have been Active Duty in the Army as well, pick the best man for the job, even if it is a woman.

It has been a long haul to get over our biases as a country about what we think women as a whole group could or should do in service to our military. Gradually, positions have been opened. Gradually, women have continued to show that, when called, they will serve valiantly and with honor. They will fight and they will die, if needed, for our freedoms and our liberty.

At the time that the Pentagon is opening up all positions to women in the military that they are qualified for, they are closing the doors to Arlington for the pioneers who made that happen. That is a cruel hypocrisy and contradiction, and it needs to be made right tonight.

So again, I call on the Secretary of the Army, Secretary of Defense, and the President—perhaps he could announce it in his speech tomorrow night—that one of the legacy things that we are going to do for our heroes, for our pioneers, for these amazing women, is to allow them to be laid to rest in Arlington National Cemetery. We have got to be able to rest alongside the other heroes who are there.

The Secretary of the Army has all the authority he needs to let Elaine Harmon’s ashes be in Arlington. Let’s do this. Let’s do this the right way. We have all the authority to do the right thing. If he won’t do it, the Secretary of Defense can. If he won’t do it, then President Obama can. We should not wait another day.

This is personal to me. These three were a personal example to me of how powerful women are in America over to give people money and food and housing. That goes on from time to time, and there is a good number of times it is very well justified. But the best thing we can do is inspire others to live and model after the freedom of the United States of America. Then they can help themselves. Mr. Speaker, and recognize that we do a lot more good by helping people where they are so that they can help themselves.

One of the most important things we can do is not send the wealth of America over to give people money and food and housing. That goes on from time to time, and there is a good number of times it is very well justified. But the best thing we can do is inspire others to live and model after the freedom of the United States of America. Then they can help themselves. Mr. Speaker, and recognize that we do a lot more good by helping people where they are so that they can help themselves.
They were explaining to me that they had adopted Hebrew as their official language. They did that, I believe, in 1954. They formed their country in 1948.

And I said: “Why did you establish an official language and why did you resurrect essentially a dead language”—Hebrew—“that had not been used in common discourse or business or politics”—except for prayer—for 2,000 years?”

And they said they saw the success of the United States with the common language that we have. English is our common language.

They want a common language for Israelis. They wanted something that would be unique, something that would bond and bind them together, because they had seen the successful model here. They were inspired by the successful model of assimilation that came about because of a common language. So they adopted Hebrew as their official language in Israel.

I was quite impressed, Mr. Speaker. I was quite impressed that America would inspire a country that had all the very best you can draw from, yet they look at the model we have here to make such a definitive thing as to bring back a language that had not been utilized in common discussion for 2,000 years.

I give you that example, Mr. Speaker, because I come here tonight and I want to talk about Egypt and how it is that the United States of America inspires people around the world in ways that we may not realize.

I come to the floor tonight, Mr. Speaker, to commemorate and celebrate and give notice to and congratulate the Egyptian people. Yesterday they swore in and convened their parliament. That is Egypt’s first parliament in nearly 4 years.

It is a great day for Egypt, and it is a great day for liberty worldwide. It is a great day for the United States to see that there are others around the world who are inspired by our system of a representative form of government.

I extend my congratulations to President Abdel Fattah el-Sisi and to the new speaker and drafter of Egypt’s Constitution, Ali Abdel-Al, but also to Mr. Moussa, whom I met with on at least two occasions as he chaired the committee to draft the Egyptian Constitution.

The citizens of Egypt have achieved an important foreign policy milestone, Mr. Speaker. Yesterday was that day. I was curious that they would convene on a Sunday. Only under extreme circumstances would we start our day here on a Sunday.

However, Egypt is a Muslim country. It is about 95 percent Muslim—it has got a higher percentage of Christians than people might think—and they go to mosque on Friday. In fact, I learned that the Christians have their services on Friday as well. That way, Sunday is a workday.

But, in any case, the short history and the most recent history of Egypt is really astonishing. I point out that it seems as though our administration has missed the importance of this.

So, Mr. Speaker, I will just go through much of the history of Egypt as we commemorate and congratulate them for convening their Parliament now under a legitimized constitutional government of the sovereign nation-state of Egypt, a country that we need to expand and strengthen our relations with and that can be a central player in stabilizing the instability all throughout the Middle East.

It is important that Egypt be a significant component of that effort that is going forward not just in this administration, but into the next administration and for a long time.

Back in 1981, President Mubarak took power. He held power for 30 years. In that 30-year period of time, some people thought that he was a strong man and that the persecution and harshly with some of his opposition that was there. It may be true. I am not here to defend President Mubarak.

When President Obama took office, it was clear that he had a different view of President Mubarak than I have expressed here. He went to Cairo to give a speech in Egypt on June 4, 2009.

And I remind the body, Mr. Speaker, that President Obama, then-Senator Obama and a candidate for President, in the speech that he made there, said roughly similar to the fact he believed his middle name means something to the rest of the world.

And when they recognize and see his middle name, they all know that he can communicate with them in a certain way that someone who doesn’t have that middle name doesn’t have that particular tool.

And so shortly after that—being elected President and then armed with the bully pulpit, if you will—President Obama traveled to Cairo, Egypt, and gave his speech on June 4, 2009, at Al-Azhar University in Cairo.

Now, Al-Azhar University is essentially the global center for Islamic thought. They have Islamic scholars there that are respected worldwide within the world of Islam.

So to send a message to the Muslim world, there wasn’t a place that was more effective than going to Al-Azhar University to give his June 4, 2009, speech.

It happens to be a fact, Mr. Speaker, that the seating arrangement was arranged, we have to presume, with the approval of President Obama. And who sat in the front row, Mr. Speaker?

The leaders of the Muslim Brotherhood were seated in the front row when President Obama gave his speech at Al-Azhar University. That sent a powerful signal to the Egyptian people, a signal that the President of the United States supports the Muslim Brotherhood.

Now, I don’t bring this up as speculation, Mr. Speaker. I bring it back to the floor of the Congress because I am speaking from hands-on, eye-to-eye experience in talking with the Egyptian people and some of their leadership and some of their press.

They say to us: “Why does President Obama support the Muslim Brotherhood?” That is a hard question and is a hard one to rebut when they are seated in the front row at Al-Azhar University.

Well, this brought about a significant amount of unrest. It contributed to the unrest that was probably more realizable way to describe this, Mr. Speaker. As the unrest grew in Egypt, we also heard messages coming out of the State Department.

For example, then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton made a statement very similar to: Mubarak needs to be gone yesterday. And so the push from the Obama administration, the push from the State Department, then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, and others, began to put pressure on Mubarak.

Well, Mr. Speaker, the pressure built and the demonstrations that took place in Tahrir Square were intense. Some of them were violent. We saw on television the massive amounts of people that were on the square and weren’t going to leave.

With the trouble that was there, finally, on February 11, 2011, Mubarak stepped down. When he stepped down, that left a bit of a void that was still wrapped up in the chaos.

During that chaos, there were primarily Muslim Brotherhood activities consisting of mobs that were attacking Christian churches, attacking the Evangelical churches that are there, and attacking the Coptic Christian churches that are there. In fact, the persecution went on in multiple cities around Egypt. There were multiple churches that were burned and razed to the ground. Some were just gutted by fire.

Well, in June 2012, Mohamed Morsi came to power. He is the face and the voice—and may still be—of the Muslim Brotherhood. As Morsi came to power, they began to see how the Muslim Brotherhood would rule Egypt.

Protests died down for a while, and then they ramped back up again, Mr. Speaker and got worse and worse and worse and more intense.

And so the protests accelerated up to January 25, 2013. There were many protests. Egypt was more or less very difficult to govern and reassuring of the protests against Morsi and because of the way that Morsi had mishandled government and the way that the Muslim Brotherhood, with their heavy hand, had worked against many of the Egyptians as a people.

Morsi was the duly-elected President. And I believe the number was 4.6 million Egyptians that came to the polls
out of 83 million Egyptians altogether. So it was a low percentage of turnout, but they saw him get elected.

And then, as he essentially disempowered the legislature and disempowered the judicial branch of government, there was a democratic election for Morsi, an election one last time. The dictator had taken over, and the Egyptian people knew it. And they began to push back, Mr. Speaker.

So the protests accelerated from January to spring throughout that spring. And then, as we watched, there was a funeral at the main Coptic church in Cairo. The Muslim Brotherhood mobs attacked the funeral and killed people. And so that is a brutal division within the society that took place. That was April 7, 2013.

Throughout that summer, the Christian groups were gathering together, Mr. Speaker, and during that period of time they would have regular prayer meetings to pray that God would bring relief and turn the country back over to the Egyptian people and let them govern their country and have their country back, take it away from Morsi.

As I sat and listened to Pastor Maurice, who leads a 4,000-member Evangelical church in Egypt, as they were gathering for prayers on the night of June 29, he said to the other pastors who had been regularly coming together to pray: I am going to lead the prayer tonight. I am going to be in charge of the prayer tonight.

So they agreed. They gathered together and Pastor Maurice offered this prayer. He said: God, we have been praying daily for relief from Egypt. I am tired of waiting. I don't want to wait any longer. I want this relief tomorrow.

"It is the night of June 29, 2013. 'God, bring us this relief tomorrow.'"

That was the eve of the relief that came. By June 30, the following day, the streets and every city began to fill in Egypt. Tahrir Square became full again. People poured into the streets of Egypt, and they poured into the streets on June 30, July 1, July 2, and July 3.

The numbers of people in the streets in Egypt that came out to protest were estimated at 33 million people out of 83 million Egyptians. Now, think of that.

If we had that percentage come out in the streets of America, we would have had a million people in the streets of America, Mr. Speaker.

It was a massive turnout in Egypt. And something had to happen. They pleaded with General el-Sisi: Will you take over in this country? We can't take this any longer. We have got to have some leadership. We have got to have somebody in charge of our country, Egypt.

General el-Sisi demurred. He said: No. I don't want to do this. I don't want to step in. Finally, by the 3rd of June, he relented and stepped in with the military to bring order in Tahrir Square. That turned out to be a move that stabilized Egypt.

Shortly after that, they stabilized Egypt. They had more peace in the streets. There was still trouble. The Muslim Brotherhood was still attacking people.

There were still arrests of some of those who had been violent take place in the square that had been attacking people. But they installed an interim President and put some stability into the government. This is early July of 2013.

Myself and a couple of other Members went to Egypt over the Labor Day break in September 2013. We met with the interim President in one meeting, in a separate meeting with the Pope of the Coptic Church, in a separate meeting then with General el-Sisi, and in a separate meeting with Mr. Moussa, who was the chairman of the committee that was writing a Constitution.

I remember each of those meetings in a distinct way. The Coptic Pope said: We are praying for the people who are killing us. We are not going to be sucked into a civil war in Egypt. We are praying for them and are asking God for peace. I thought was a very high level of faith that I don't know that I could reach, Mr. Speaker. I was very impressed with the Coptic Pope.

We met with Mr. Moussa, who described the Constitution they were drafting, but he said it is up to the Egyptian people. They have got to ratify it.

And as we met with General el-Sisi, I recall asking him a series of questions: If this Constitution is ratified and a legitimized civilian government takes charge in Egypt, will the military take orders from a civilian President or a civilian prime minister and a civilian parliament?

He looked me in the eye and he said: Yes. The military will.

So I didn't know at the time—and I don't think he knew at the time—that the军事 would give him the candidate for President and actually be the one issuing the orders to the military. But he has kept his word.

As he promised to me and others promised to me, they would ratify a Constitution, they would elect a national leader or President, and once the Constitution was ratified and the President was elected, they would then have elections and seat a parliament or a legislative body.

Within their Constitution they wrote the language that said, of the roughly 100 churches that have been destroyed—mostly by the Muslim Brotherhood—they would use Egyptian tax dollars to rebuild the churches. I am tonight, Mr. Speaker, to say thank you to President el-Sisi of Egypt, thank you to Mr. Moussa and those others that worked on the Constitution, and to congratulate the Parliament in Egypt that is now seated as of yesterday. The churches are put in place now so that the Egyptian people are finally in charge of their country again.

And when I am asked why does our administration support the Muslim Brotherhood, I am going to continue to give the same answer: The American people support the Egyptian people. The Egyptian people don’t support the Muslim Brotherhood. They have proven over and over the leadership that the Egyptians have elected has proven that they have given their word, they have kept their word, they have performed in the fashion that they said.

And as I have gone back now a couple of times since then, most recently last spring, in about March or April, at some significant expense, I might add, I remember sitting down with President el-Sisi, and he said a couple of things that I think that we should remember, and I believe he wanted me to convey them here on this floor, Mr. Speaker; and that is, that he gave a speech January 1 of last year at Al-Azhar University, in the center of Muslim thought, and here is the message that he delivered.

The message was this, he is asking a rhetorical question, and it was: Is it possible to accept the idea that the whole world must die so that Muslims can live? That is, is it possible to accept the idea that the whole world must die so that Muslims can live? That is, is it possible to accept the idea that the whole world must die so that Muslims can live? That is, is it possible to accept the idea that the whole world must die so that Muslims can live? That is, is it possible to accept the idea that the whole world must die so that Muslims can live? That is, is it possible to accept the idea that the whole world must die so that Muslims can live?

And, of course, he rejected that idea. He understands that Muslims and Christians and Buddhists and atheists and agnostics and all the religions need to live on this world together, and he is looking for that kind of peace and stability, so that no religion is persecuted, no religion is being murdered while they are going to someone else’s funeral, or their wedding. And that happened also in Egypt, Mr. Speaker.

So I want to thank President el-Sisi for his commitment. And I would add, also, that he made another statement that I think we would need to think about, Mr. Speaker, and that is, he said they, speaking of the Muslim Brotherhood, they are trying to establish and impose divine law on all the world.

When he looked at me he realized it didn’t quite register, and he said, sharia law. They want to impose sharia law on the entire world. And he put his head down, almost between his knees, as he sat there, and shook his head in rejection.

I am convinced we can work with this man. He is a dedicated Muslim who is a peaceful leader, who understands this picture of the world the way it sets.

I look at the work that was done by Atatürk in Turkey, how he provided a bridge between the East and the West, and that has been drifting back a bit the other way under Erdogan, but I believe that President el-Sisi has the skill set, the conviction, and the ability to push forward, with the right kind of support, the support of the United States of America and the free world and the Middle East.
could become the Ataturk for the world to bring about that bridge between the Muslim world and the Christian world and the West.

If we fail in that effort to do that outreach and tie these bonds together, these bonds that go back through history, a long ways back, Mr. Speaker, if we fail, then I am afraid there will be a tremendous amount of bloodshed.

If we succeed, I believe we can eliminate a significant amount of bloodshed and bridge over this division that is coming at us. And he deserves and needs our help to defend himself from terrorists that are attacking from all directions, from Sinai and everywhere else.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate your indulgence here tonight.

I yield back the balance of my time.

CONGRESSIONAL BLACK CAUCUS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2015, the gentleman from New York (Mr. JEFFRIES) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. JEFFRIES. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members be given 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and add any extraneous material relevant to the subject matter of this discussion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Mr. Speaker, it is an honor and a privilege for me to rise today and to co-anchor, along with my distinguished colleague from the great State of Ohio, Representative Joyce Beatty, this CBC Special Order hour, this hour of power.

Once again, we are privileged to take to the floor of the people's House to discuss an issue that should be relevant to every American, that is the epidemic of gun violence.

Mr. Speaker, it is my honor to stand here today as we undertake an urgent dialogue on how we, as elected Representatives of the people, can work together to end gun violence.

I look forward to engaging with Congressman JEFFRIES and our Congressional Black Caucus colleagues in this evening's discussion. It is my honor to stand here today as we undertake an urgent dialogue on the problems our constituents face.

As the conscience of the Congress, the Congressional Black Caucus will remain on the forefront of issues that affect Black Americans in particular, and the Nation, in general. For tonight, our anchor, Congressman JEFFRIES, has pointed out the CBC will continue to shed light on the epidemic of gun violence, standing our ground, ending gun violence in America.

Mr. Speaker, last week we opened the Second Session of the 114th Congress. Four hundred thirty of us traveled back to Washington ready to serve our constituents and work for the betterment of our Nation.

Unfortunately, Mr. Speaker, whatever spirit of bipartisanship may have been present a year ago has dissipated. Republicans and Democrats worked together on key issues of legislation have disappeared at the precise time our Nation is calling on Congress to pass commonsense legislation to keep guns out of the wrong hands.

We find ourselves confronting with startling statistics that we as Members of Congress should understand. Mr. Speaker, let me just take a moment to share just a few.

We know that the impact of gun violence affects every community and every congressional district. However, African American children and teens are 17 times more likely to die from gun homicide than White youth, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

While African Americans make up 15 percent of youth in America, African Americans accounted for 45 percent of children and teen gun deaths in 2016.

While African Americans make up 15 percent of youth in America, African Americans accounted for 45 percent of children and teen gun deaths in 2016.

According to the Centers for Gun Safety, 88 Americans die every day from gun violence, Mr. Speaker. Roughly 50 percent of those killed are African American men, who comprise just 6 percent of the population. Homicide is the primary cause of death among African Americans ages 15–24.

Mr. Speaker, these numbers should be unthinkable, unimaginable, but they are the unfortunate reality in which African American communities live. In the words of Dr. Martin Luther King, whose legacy we honor next Monday, he said: We find ourselves “confronted with the fierce urgency of the moment.” And Mr. Speaker, it is now that our Nation is in urgent crisis, yet we are trapped in congressional inaction. Shameful.

So our President decided he would not stand by idly while Congress did nothing to prevent another Newtown, another Charleston, another Tucson.

With tears in his eyes, he reflected on the senseless killings caused by gun violence over the course of his administration. President Obama announced new executive actions to confront the epidemic of gun violence in America.

While mocked by some Republicans for showing emotion at the loss of so many lives, I am here to say I proudly stand with my President on the actions he has taken to prevent gun violence in America.

These executive actions will save lives and make the country safer without infringing on law-abiding individuals' rights to firearms.

You will hear from our colleagues tonight talking about the President's actions. I look forward to continuing to work with my colleagues and to address gun violence.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Thank you, Representative BEATTY, for laying out the problems that we faced when it was an integral part of our party platform.
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to prevent gun violence. I commend the President for taking this action, in light of the current congressional leadership's refusal to responsibly address this epidemic. These executive actions will ensure stronger enforcement of criminal laws and to reduce the number of lives lost to gun violence. To begin with, the President's executive actions will narrow the "gun show" and Internet loopholes by actually enforcing licensing requirements for gun dealers and overhauling the background check system to make it more effective and efficient.

Under current law, only licensed gun dealers are required to perform criminal background checks for all gun sales, and only those individuals deemed to be "engaged in the business" of dealing in guns are required to obtain a license from the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, the ATF. The executive actions make it clear that the commonsense proposition that anyone making a profit from the sale of guns, or who regularly makes gun sales or earns a livelihood from gun sales, is, in fact, engaged in the business and therefore must obtain a license and conduct required criminal background checks, even if those sales occur at gun shows or over the Internet.

The question of whether someone is engaged in business will be determined by normal legal standards as opposed to people just declaring themselves to be exempt, which is going on now. Some of the people are even making a living selling firearms. They need to get a license. This is the present law, and the President has said that he will enforce it.

The Federal Bureau of Investigation, as part of executive actions, will overhaul the National Instant Criminal Background Check System, the NICS system, to make it more effective and efficient by hiring more than 230 additional examiners and other staff so that the Bureau can process background checks 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, and improve its notification of local authorities when prohibited persons unlawfully attempt to purchase a gun.

These people are currently breaking the law when they illegally try to buy a firearm, and local law enforcement officials need to be informed. According to the Bureau of Justice Statistics, this system has already caught more than 2 million people trying to buy guns illegally, and they need to be held accountable for breaking the law.

Furthermore, dealers will also be required to notify law enforcement if their guns are lost or stolen in transit. This transparency and accountability will ensure that law enforcement will be notified and can begin investigations when these losses occur.

Executive actions will also leverage the buying power of the Department of Defense, the Department of Justice, and the Department of Homeland Security to conduct or sponsor research into gun technology. When the Federal Government begins buying guns using that kind of technology, it will make it more likely that this technology will be used that makes it impossible for anyone other than the true owner to use weapons, and the more purchases the Federal Government makes, the more likely it is that technology will actually be installed.

The President has also directed the departments to review the availability of smart gun technology on a regular basis and to explore potential ways to further its use and to encourage research to more broadly improve gun safety.

The President's plan also proposes a new $500 million investment to increase access to mental health treatment to ensure that people who need help do not fall through the cracks of the mental health system. This is in addition to the huge increases in mental health funding under the Affordable Care Act. Mental health services are considered essential services, and so now all preventive policies include mental health coverage.

While modest and within the President's executive authority, these executive actions will go a long way in keeping guns out of the hands of people who never should be able to purchase them in the first place. But that is executive action. Congress needs to act so that more can be done to actually protect citizens from gun violence.

The House Democratic Gun Violence Prevention Task Force has consistently reiterated that Washington has a moral obligation to do something to address our Nation's gun violence epidemic. The most effective way to address this epidemic is through comprehensive, evidence-based policy proposals.

Our task force has put forth several proposals that will go a long way in achieving these goals. These proposals include reinstating and strengthening the assault weapon ban, reducing the size of magazines, implementing universal background checks, cracking down on illegal gun trafficking and straw purchases, improving our mental health system, and implementing comprehensive, evidence-based violence prevention and intervention programs.

The gentleman from California, Representative MIKE THOMPSON, is the chair of the House Democratic Gun Violence Prevention Task Force, and he has introduced a resolution to establish a select committee of the House to study gun violence. That resolution is cosponsored by Democratic Leader NANCY PELOSI and 11 cochairs of the task force. The proposed select committee would be independent of both Republicans and six Democrats who would study the research and issue a final report and recommendations, including legislative proposals, within 60 days of its establishment.

It would study and make recommendations to address many issues, including the causes of mass shootings, methods to improve the Federal fire-arms purchasers background check system, restrictions between firearms and dangerously mentally ill individuals, Federal penalties for trafficking and straw purchasing of firearms, loopholes that allow some domestic abusers continued access to firearms, linkages between firearms and suicide, gun violence's effect on public health, the correlation between State gun violence prevention laws and the incidence of gun violence, the importance of having reliable, accurate information on gun violence and its toll on our Nation, the implementation of effective gun violence prevention laws in accordance with the Second Amendment to our Constitution, and the rates of gun violence in large metropolitan areas.

Mr. Speaker, by taking a deliberate, research-based approach to gun violence, treating it as we would a public health challenge, we can significantly reduce the ravages of gun violence. The President is leading by his executive authority on what alone he can do to address this epidemic. Long-term reforms can only be achieved through congressional action. I hope that the leadership of the Congress will follow the President's lead and act in a bipartisan basis to address this critical issue using public health strategies and evidence-based proposals.

Again, Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from New York and the gentlewoman from Ohio for coordinating this Special Order.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Thank you. Representative SCOTT, for laying out the steps that are being taken by the President in such a compelling way in light of why we should all support as well as some of the steps that need to be taken legislatively by this Congress in order to deal with the fact that more than 10,000 Americans a year die as a result of gun violence-related homicides.

I yield to the distinguished gentlewoman from California (Ms. LEE). She is an incredibly eloquent and passionate voice for the voiceless. We appreciate her service here in the Congress not just on behalf of the district that she represents in northern California, but certainly on behalf of the people of the United States of America. I yield now to Congresswoman BARBARA LEE.

Ms. LEE. First, let me thank the gentlewoman from New York for those very kind remarks. But also I want to thank you and Congresswoman BEATTY for organizing this very important Special Order and for your tremendous leadership, Congressman JEFFRIES and Congressman BEATTY, on ensuring public safety.

Your leadership, both Congresswoman BEATTY and Congressman
JEFFRIES, has been bold, it has been visionary, not just as the result of the very recent tragedies but for many years even before both of you came to Congress. So it is an honor serving with both of you in this body. Thank you very much for the opportunity to speak this evening.

Also, I want to just thank Congresswoman ROBIN KELLY, who is the vice chair on the Gun Violence Task Force. She also chairs the CBC’s Health Braintrust.

I thank you for your tireless work to ensure that gun violence is treated as a public health problem, which it is.

Madam Speaker, I rise this evening with my colleagues in the Congressional Black Caucus to call on Congress to do something—to do something—about the epidemic of gun violence that is harming our communities.

Since the start of the year—just 11 days ago—constituents who I have already served with both of you in this body. Thank you very much for the opportunity to speak this evening. Thank you very much for the opportunity to speak this evening.
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Congresswoman Dr. K. ELLEó, who has been on the issue dealing with health care. I just want to cite to her, a lady that came to this Congress more than a decade ago, Deborah Prothrow-Stillit. You may have read her writings. She pronounced during that time the dangers of gun violence a health crisis. That was so many years ago. Unfortunately, with all of her expert writings, we still couldn't get movement.

I am going to take a slightly different perspective. If I could just take these few moments to give you an anecdotal story, which many of you might find absolutely with a great deal of shock, if you will. That is the limit to which gun rights—this is a story of an American people on any ideas for gun safety or gun regulation as taking guns away.

I was in a meeting where someone was trying to understand why President Obama's position on gun violence was failing. He wanted to know what kind of evidence, that the White House intended to confiscate guns—no manner of level of increased ATF officers could ever do that—why this mischaracterization is here.

But gun rights advocates have made a lot of claims over the years that the Second Amendment they interpret means that they can buy any gun they want and take it pretty much anywhere. Well, basically, that does exist, except for the basic constraint of background checks, which now the President has expanded to ensure that if you are in a gun show—this is a gun show loophole—and you are sitting next to the stall of a licensed gun person and you are in the business of selling guns, why shouldn't you be either licensed or require, basically, background checks?

But listen to this. In an ongoing legal battle they lay claim to a newfangled Second Amendment right: the right not to have anyone talk to gun owners about their guns. Specifically, gun advocates don't want doctors discussing guns or the potential harms that guns may cause with their patients.

While mere talk about guns might seem to have nothing to do with the right to keep or bear arms, the advocates contend that the Constitution is on their side. Last month, for the third time in the same suit, a Federal court of appeals agreed. This is very bizarre. The case is filed under the name of Wellschaefer v. Governor of the State of Florida. The Second Amendment buffs may recognize it under the cutesy nickname Docs v. Glock.

It started when some gun owners and the National Rifle Association told Florida legislators that their doctors were harassing them by asking about gun safety—by asking about gun safety. The legislators responded by passing a law that bars healthcare workers from discussing or recording anything about their patients' gun ownership or safety practices that could be deemed in bad faith, irrelevant, or harassing.

Twelve other States are thinking about it, and now we have the Privacy of Firearm Owners Act. This is in the face of the unprecedented epidemic of gun violence in this country. Let me cite to my colleagues that America is the number one country out of Western nations that has the highest number of cases of homicide by firearm per 100,000. The closest that comes them selves by Italy. Then Taiwan, Canada, and Spain, 0.2; Germany, 0.2.

All the news stories that we see on violent disruptions in various places and protests, their numbers of gun violence, there is no end. We see in Australia, 0.1; UK, 0.1; France, 0.1; South Korea, 0.03; and finally Japan, 0.01. If that doesn't get our attention, I don't know what does.

Then look at this map; 353 mass shootings in America in 2015. My colleague can see, is this anything to be proud of? Mass shootings not by knives, not by throwing stones, but by guns. This is what America is to the world: a sea of red of mass shootings, world: a sea of red of mass shootings, the community is over the top in frustration.

Background checks save lives. The tragedy at Mother Emanuel is the individual went to buy guns and the store owner said it is taking too long.

I support President Obama's very astute and thoughtful approach. Out of that, I am very glad to have introduced two initiatives. One, H.R. 4315, Mental Health Access and Gun Violence Prevention Act, which is a capture of President Obama's, along with KAREN BASS. I urge my colleagues to sign on to H.R. 4315, which authorizes $500 million for health treatment access and to assist in the reporting of relevant disqualifying mental health information to the FBI background check system, NICS—not to violate the privacy, but to give more information to the database, because that certainly would be part of saving lives.

H.R. 4316, that I am pleased to have Congressman KELLY join me in this, the Gun Violence Reduction Resources Act, authorizes the hiring of 200 additional ATF agents, the very point of which my Republican friends are saying, but yet they are condemning what the President has offered.

I would say to my colleagues in closing, if we don't do this for any other reason, to take and codify the President's initiatives on NICS—data collection on background checks or closing the gun show loophole, if we don't do it, we should do it for the children.
From December 2012 to December 2013, at least 100 children were killed in unintentional shootings, almost two every week, 61 percent higher than Federal data reflect. About two-thirds of these unintended deaths, at 65 percent, took place in the home or vehicle that belonged to the victim's family, most often with the guns that were legally owned but not secured.

I remind you of that Supreme Court challenge or that law in Florida where doctors can’t secure information to protect the patients or the children of these families. More than two-thirds of these tragedies could be avoided if gun owners stored their guns responsibly and prevented children from accessing them.

I have introduced legislation on gun storage—I call it safety and responsibility—but yet, unfortunately, it is perceived as attacking the Second Amendment.

My good friend from New York (Mr. JEFFRIES), let me thank you for yielding. Allow me to just leave us with the point that, as the Congressional Black Caucus stands on the floor, we need partners in doing the right thing. I hope that person leaves office, he will have the opportunity to reasonably and rationally sign bills that will save lives.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to join my colleagues of the Congressional Black Caucus, Congressman HAKEEM JEFFRIES (D–NY) and Congresswoman JOYCE BEATTY (D–OH) who are anchoring this Special Order on Ending Gun Violence in America.

Gun violence in America can no longer be swept under the rug, ignored or irrationally justified. We are in a state of national crisis and it is time to act.

Upon taking office, every Member of Congress makes a solemn pledge: to protect and defend the American people. This is the most important oath we take as elected officials—and, to honor this promise, we must do everything in our power to stem gun violence in our nation.

Yet, another mass shooting and countless acts of gun violence in communities across our country every day, House Republicans are still unwilling to act to stop gun violence and save lives in American communities.

The Democrats have been calling for an immediate vote on the bipartisan King-Thompson Public Safety and Second Amendment Rights Protection Act to strengthen the life-saving background checks that keep guns out of the wrong hands. This Congress has a moral obligation to do our part to end the gun violence epidemic.

Now is the time for Republicans to join Democrats in protecting the lives of Americans by taking common sense steps to save lives. The Administration has announced two new executive actions that will help strengthen the federal background check system and keep guns out of the wrong hands.

I have introduced two bills that will hopefully enhance these executive actions and support the President’s recently announced action on gun violence.

H.R. 4315—Mental Health Access and Gun Violence Prevention Act—authorizes $500 million for mental health treatment access and to assist in the reporting of relevant disqualifying mental health information to the FBI’s background check system NICS.

H.R. 4316—Gun Violence Reduction Resources Act—authorizes the hiring of 200 additional investigators for enforcement of existing gun laws. The President included these specific requests in yesterday’s announcements and these bills respond to those requests.

Additionally, the Department of justice (DOJ) is proposing a regulation to clarify who is prohibited from possessing a firearm under federal law for reasons related to mental health. And the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) is issuing a proposed regulation to address barriers preventing states from submitting the limited information on those persons to the federal background check system.

Ending gun violence in America requires a comprehensive approach—we must come together and work towards this common goal.

Too many Americans have been severely injured or lost their lives as a result of gun violence.

While the vast majority of Americans who experience a mental illness are not violent. However, in some cases when persons with a mental illness does not receive the treatment they need, the result can be tragedies such as homicide or suicide.

We must continue to address mental health issues by: Supporting expanded coverage of mental health services and enhanced training and hiring of mental health professionals; and Continuing the national conversation on mental health to reduce stigma associated with having a mental illness and getting help; and We must also continue to do everything we can to making sure that anyone who may pose a danger to themselves or others does not have access to a gun.

The federal background check system is one of the most effective ways of assuring that such individuals are not able to purchase a firearm from a licensed gun dealer.

To date, background checks have prevented over two million guns from falling into the wrong hands.

The Administration’s two new executive actions will help ensure that better and more reliable information makes its way into the background check system.

The Administration, however, has acknowledged the need for collective action and continues to call upon Members of Congress to pass common-sense gun safety legislation and to expand funding to increase access to mental health services.

I also call upon my colleagues to come together and pass legislation that will help stop the loss of innocent lives.

While we have made some progress in strengthening the National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS), which is used to run background checks on those who buy guns from federally licensed gun dealers to make sure they are not prohibited by law from owning a firearm, we must do more.

I am a strong supporter of a right of privacy and I am particularly sensitive and protective of patient privacy.

I support the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act that was passed by Congress in 1996, and includes privacy protection for medical records, which includes mental healthcare information.

However, there are specific areas under federal law that allow the disclosure of medical information to authorities, and in these instances there should be an agreement that when a person poses a threat to themselves or others (as determined by a court or adjudicative authority with the medical and legal knowledge and authority to make a determination that a person poses a threat to themselves or others) should not be allowed to purchase a firearm.

Technology that could be deployed to access court records and arrest records as they relate to mental health and violent behavior should not rely upon a list that may become out of date or could be used in ways that are not consistent with the intent of enhancing gun safety.

The ability to access information that is accurate and available for the limited purpose of affirming or rejecting a request to purchase a firearm without indicating the source of the decision or the reason for the rejection would still protect privacy rights while also protecting the public.

The president’s proposal on mental health and gun violence is to enforce the laws already in place.

Under a federal law enacted in 1968, an individual is prohibited from buying or possessing firearms for life if he/she has been "adjudicated as a mental defective" or "committed to a mental institution."

A person is "adjudicated as a mental defective" if a court—or other entity having legal authority to make adjudications—has made a determination that an individual, as a result of mental illness: 1) Is a danger to himself or to others; 2) Lacks the mental capacity to contract or manage his own affairs; 3) Is found insane by a court in a criminal case, or incompetent to stand trial, or not guilty by reason of lack of mental responsibility pursuant to the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

A person is “committed to a mental institution” if that person has been involuntarily committed to a mental institution by a court or other lawful authority. This expressly excludes voluntary commitment.

It should be noted, however, that federal law currently allows states to establish procedures for mentally ill individuals to restore their right to possess and purchase firearms (many states have done so on the behest of the National Rifle Association, with questionable results).

It is undoubtedly true that people who are a danger to self and/or others because of mental illness should be prohibited from owning firearms.

It is less clear, however, how to tailor new policies to better protect the American public while at the same time avoiding the stigmatization of Americans with mental illness.

Strategy to address the lethal intersection between guns and mental illness should focus on the key facts:

- On average, more than 100,000 people in America are shot in murders, assaults, and other crimes.
- More than 32,000 people die from gun violence annually, including 2,677 children under the age of eighteen years old.
- Suicide is the leading cause of gun related deaths in America.
- 60 percent of deaths by guns in America are the result of individuals using these weapons as a means to commit suicide.
Some of these deaths might have been prevented if there were adequate background checks.

Each year hundreds of law enforcement officers lose their lives to gun violence been shot to death protecting their communities. Millions of guns sold every year in "no questions asked" transactions and experts estimate that 40 percent of guns now sold in America are done so without a background check.

National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) was created in 1998 to require potential gun buyers to pass an instant screening at the point of purchase. Ensures that purchasers are not felons, domestic abusers, mentally ill, etc.

NICS has blocked sales to more than 2 million prohibited people. NICS stops 170 felons and 53 domestic abusers from purchasing guns every day.

The most serious issue facing NICS is the "private sale loophole". This allows anyone who is not a federally licensed dealer to sell guns without a background check.

An estimated 40% of gun transfers—6.6 million transfers—are conducted without a background check.

Armslist.com is the largest online seller of firearms. 66,000 gun ads are posted by private sellers on a given day, 750,000 per year. Nearly 1/3rd of gun ads on Armslist.com are posted by high-volume unlicensed sellers (approx. 4,218 people).

High-volume sellers posted 29% of the gun ads. High-volume sellers posted 36,069 gun ads over 2 months. This would equate to around 243,800 guns each year by unlicensed sellers.

50% were familiar with federal laws but did not want the information is relevant to the patient's medical care or safety, or the safety of others." Violations of the act could lead to disciplining firearm practitioners, including a license to dispense firearms into the patient's medical record that the practitioner knows is "not relevant to the patient's medical care or safety, or the safety of others," and "shall respect a patient's right to privacy and should refrain" from inquiring as to whether a patient or their family owns firearms, unless the practitioner or facility believes in good faith that the "information is relevant to the patient's medical care or safety, or the safety of others." Proponents of such laws say these doctor-patient dialogues violate the patients' Second Amendment rights.

Mr. JEFFRIES. I thank the distinguished gentleman for the tremendous work you continue to do on the Judiciary Committee. I look forward to partnering with you.

As you point out, the Second Amendment protects the right to bear arms. It should not protect the ability of others to utilize weapons, often of mass destruction, in doing harm to Americans without a license or any legal bases for doing so. All we want is rational gun safety and gun violence prevention. I look forward to continuing to work with you in that regard.

It is now my honor and privilege to yield to the gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. KELLY), one of my classmate. She has been a forceful advocate for gun violence prevention measures, not only as the chair of the CBC Health Braintrust, for which she has been tireless on so many different issues, but also in her capacity within the House Democratic Caucus, as well as a chair of the CBC Gun Violence Prevention Task Force, someone who stood up countless times for the children in Chicago and the many others who have been dealing with unacceptable levels of gun violence.

Ms. KELLY of Illinois. I thank my good friends, the gentleman from New York (Mr. JEFFRIES) and the gentlewoman from Ohio (Mrs. BEATTY) for this important Special Order hour tonight.

Congressman JEFFRIES, you have chaired these Special Order hours for the Congressional Black Caucus in my first term, so it is good to see you back in the driver's seat with our classmate, Representative BEATTY.

Last year, I had the privilege of leading the Special Order hour with our colleague, the Honorable DONALD PAYNE of New Jersey. In the course of that year, we came to this floor to reflect on gun violence on one too many occasions because it is an epidemic in communities across the country.

In fact, we are 11 days into 2016, and there have already been 80 shootings in my hometown of Chicago. Four people were shot and killed in less than 24 hours.

I applaud President Obama's bold executive action that has been talked about tonight. I believe these policies will keep guns out of the hands of criminals and dangerous individuals.

If you listen to some, they will say they are trying to take our guns. There is nothing in the executive action that says that. The opposition is pushing fear, not fact.

With over 30 Americans killed by guns every single day inaction is not an option.

In my nearly 3 years in Congress, the majority party has refused to do anything on gun violence—not one hearing, not a single vote. To right what Congress has, unfortunately, made wrong, President Obama did what was necessary to address the threat to our long-term national security and economic stability. While we can't stop every criminal from committing every crime, we can take actions that will save lives.

While President Obama's executive actions are crucial steps in reducing the senseless gun violence that is plaguing our Nation, they do not absolve Congress of responsibility to act. There are gaps in existing gun laws that leave us all vulnerable to gun violence. These holes are ones that only Congress can plug.

I have two commonsense bills that will complement President Obama's executive actions and that will help bring a reduction in firearm mortality.

The first bill, H.R. 224, the Recognizing Gun Violence as a Public Health Emergency Act, would require the Surgeon General to submit an annual report to Congress on the public health impact of gun violence. The bill currently has 135 cosponsors, and I hope that this commonsense proposal can get an up-or-down vote this year.

Also, I recently introduced H.R. 225, the Firearm Safety Act, which would close the loophole which prevents the Consumer Product Safety Commission from creating rules regarding the safety of firearms.

Quite simply, if the Consumer Product Safety Commission can regulate teddy bears, bicycle helmets, and car seats, it should be able to regulate firearms. Simply improving safety lock quality and improving storage safety will reduce accidents, misfires, and will prevent theft, saving thousands of lives.

Senseless gun violence has been plaguing our Nation for far too long. It is simply unacceptable in the United States of America. The leading cause of death for people under 24. It is time for us to come together to end the gun violence that is
Madam Speaker, it is now my great honor and privilege to yield to my good friend and colleague, Ms. CLARKE of New York. Let me first start by thanking my brother and sister from Illinois, for their distinguished service and for pointing out its job.

Ms. CLARKE of New York. Let me first start by thanking my brother and sister from Illinois, for their distinguished service and for their steadfast leadership.

Madam Speaker, one of the reasons we believe that Members of Congress need to act is that State laws are so inconsistent from one jurisdiction to the other.

In New York, we experience gun violence in certain communities at unprecedented levels notwithstanding the fact that we have tremendously significant and robust gun violence prevention measures in place.

But the overwhelming majority of guns used to commit crimes in the Brooklyn communities, represented by my good friend from Illinois, for the very powerful presentation and for her steadfast leadership.

Madam Speaker, gun violence in the United States has reached epic proportions in the 21st century. The death, the trauma, the devastation that we are witnessing can no longer be tolerated. Congress must act now.

Over the past decade in America, more than 100,000 people have been killed as a result of gun violence and millions more have been maimed by the reckless and unlawful discharging of firearms.

I applaud President Barack Obama for taking this historic executive action to address gun violence in our Nation. These actions will save lives and will make America a safer place. The President's efforts, but particularly as he takes power and resources necessary to prevent the illegal trafficking of guns into places like the Brownsville and East Pittsburgh neighborhoods, that Congresswoman YVETTE CLARKE so passionately represents.

If you block funding for the ATF, what you essentially are doing is supporting the efforts of the merchants of death who rely on underenforcement by the ATF, because of an absence of resources, in order to flood communities like Chicago; south central Los Angeles; parts of Brooklyn; Newark, New Jersey; and many other neighborhoods with illegal weapons.

Madam Speaker, I yield to my good friend and colleague, Congressman DONALD PAYNE. I thank him as well as R. KELLY, D. PAYNE and R. KELLY made a fantastic combination. We thank them for their distinguished service last year in leading the CBC Special Order hour.

I yield to the gentleman from New Jersey.

Mr. PAYNE. Let me thank the gentleman from New York, who passed the bill to R. KELLY and me in 2015. We have rounded the corner and have put it back in his capable hands, along with our classmate's, the honorable James E. Davis, was gunned down before all of his colleagues—workplace domestic terrorism.

That incident has been with me from that day forward. To this day, at a moment's notice, I can recall the trauma of that day, what it meant to see my colleague's life taken from him and to hear the gunplay that took place in the New York City Council's chambers.

There are millions of Americans who are witnesses to gun violence or who may have been maimed by gun violence and who did not necessarily die as a result of it, but whose lives have been changed dramatically.

We should not have another generation of Americans who can speak to the unspeakable horror of what it is to either be impacted directly in the loss of a loved one or to be the families who have to recount the times when they have had to be at the hospital with someone who is trying to recover from being gunned down.

It is our obligation, our responsibility, as lawmakers for this Nation to get this right for future generations.

So I applaud President Obama for doing what he can within the parameters of his authority. It is now time for the United States House to do its job.

Mr. JEFFRIES. I thank my good friend and colleague, my good friend and colleague, my sister from Ohio, Mrs. J OYCE ROBIN KELLY of Illinois for her leadership in doing the work that she is doing not only with our Health Braintrust, but by being an outspoken and forceful advocate for the end to gun violence not only for her district in Chicago, Illinois, but for all communities across this Nation.

Madam Speaker, gun violence in the United States has reached epic proportions in the 21st century. The death, the trauma, the devastation that we are witnessing can no longer be tolerated. Congress must act now.

Over the past decade in America, more than 100,000 people have been killed as a result of gun violence and millions more have been maimed by the reckless and unlawful discharging of firearms.

I applaud President Barack Obama for taking this historic executive action to address gun violence in our Nation. These actions will save lives and will make America a safer place. The President's efforts, but particularly as he takes power and resources necessary to prevent the illegal trafficking of guns into places like the Brownsville and East Pittsburgh neighborhoods, that Congresswoman YVETTE CLARKE so passionately represents.

If you block funding for the ATF, what you essentially are doing is supporting the efforts of the merchants of death who rely on underenforcement by the ATF, because of an absence of resources, in order to flood communities like Chicago; south central Los Angeles; parts of Brooklyn; Newark, New Jersey; and many other neighborhoods with illegal weapons.

Madam Speaker, I yield to my good friend and colleague, Congressman DONALD PAYNE. I thank him as well as R. KELLY, D. PAYNE and R. KELLY made a fantastic combination. We thank them for their distinguished service last year in leading the CBC Special Order hour.

I yield to the gentleman from New Jersey.

Mr. PAYNE. Let me thank the gentleman from New York, who passed the bill to R. KELLY and me in 2015. We have rounded the corner and have put it back in his capable hands, along with our classmate's, the honorable
gentlewoman from the great State of Ohio, JOYCE BEATTY, who is demonstrat- ing day in and day out why she was such a great leader in the Ohio legis- lature. She has brought those talents to bear on the entire Nation.

Madam Speaker, these are very seri- ous times. I want to start out by commen- ding the President of the United States, President Obama, in the face of insurmountable odds, for not being hampered in wanting to do something with this terrible, terrible scourge that we suffer from in this Nation.

Gun violence impacts many different communities in this Nation, some more than others, but it impacts us all. I was proud to see the President step forward and not be hampered in doing some- thing. If the obstructionists on the other side of the aisle want to continue in that manner, then let them be, but he was going to do something.

I also commend my colleagues in the Congressional Black Caucus for uniting with the President in this great effort.

We understand in our communities what this means. We are trying to ar- ticulate it to the American people, but we understand it. We live it. We feel it. We understand it.

Mr. JEFFRIES. I yield to the gentle- woman from the Virgin Islands (Ms. PLASKETT), a dynamic new Member of the House.

Ms. PLASKETT. Madam Speaker, I rise today in support of our President’s actions toward our communities safer by ensuring guns are less likely to end up in the hands of people that shouldn’t have them.

I want to thank my colleagues, Congres- sman JEFFRIES and Congress- woman BEATTY, for bringing this hour here in Congress. I am thankful for the Congressional Black Caucus’ Special Order hour for taking time to educate the American people of the importance of our President’s action.

While this Congress and, in par- ticular, our Republican colleagues have hemmed and dithered and engaged in political inertia and, at the end, failed to act in this matter, suspected terror- ists are free to legally purchase combat-style weapons. American cities and other areas of this country are besieged by gun crime and thousands of lives are cut short.

According to the American Academy of Pediatrics, guns cause twice as many deaths in young people as cancer, 5 times as many as heart disease, and 15 times as many as infections. Yet, we afford no funding for research and empirical data collection, while at the same time we spend hundreds-of-millions research- ing and mitigating the affects of those maladies.

Gun violence in cities like Chicago, Los An- geles and the U.S. Virgin Islands, sadly, are a near daily occurrence. And while we pause for moments of silence after mass shootings like the one in Newtown or San Bernardino, the thou- sands of victims of mass shootings that play out daily in cities like New York City and the U.S. Virgin Islands go largely unnoticed and unrecognized.

While the President’s actions will un- doubtedly save lives, we know that communities like our own and the many other minority communities across this country, there needs to be more comprehensive action to address the underlying issues that are at the root of gun violence.

I want to ask that this Congress act on these things. This Congress has in its power the ability to save thousands of lives. Let us not allow the nearly daily occurrence of mass shootings to become the new norm. We must act to pass comprehensive gun legislation in the Congress this year.

Madam Speaker, I rise today in support of the President’s action toward making our communities safer by ensuring guns are less likely to end up in the hands of people who shouldn’t have them.

While this Congress fails to act on this mat- ter, suspected terrorists are free to legally pur- chase combat-style weapons. American cities are besieged by gun crime and thousands of lives are cut short.

According to the American Academy of Pediatrics, guns cause twice as many deaths in young people as cancer, 5 times as many as heart disease and 15 times as many as infec- tions.

Yet we afford no funding for research and empirical data collection, while at the same time we spend hundreds-of-millions research- ing and mitigating the affects of those maladies.

Every day this Congress fails to act, more American families mourn; more American lives are cut short—many in their prime—and more American cities continue to mount homicide and shooting statistics.

Even in America’s paradise: my home dis- trict of the U.S. Virgin Islands, there were 40 homicides in the U.S. Virgin Islands. That’s a per capita homicide rate more than double that of the city of Chicago.

Gun violence in cities like Chicago, Los An- geles and the U.S. Virgin Islands, sadly, are a near daily occurrence. And while we pause for moments of silence after mass shootings like the one in New Town or San Bernadino, the thou- sands of victims of mass shootings that play out daily in cities like New York City and the U.S. Virgin Islands go largely unnoticed and unrecognized.

There were 353 mass shootings in this country in 2015—three of which occurred in my home district of the U.S. Virgin Islands. One occurred on a crowded boardwalk on a beautiful day in May.

The second mass shooting took place in a housing community, where children played just after 5 p.m. one afternoon this past Sep- tember.

The third took place on a busy highway two days after Thanksgiving.

A mass shooting occurs just about everyday in this country, yet there are no moments of silence or thoughts or prayers extended to many of the victims.
While the President’s actions will undoubtedly save lives, we know that in communities like the U.S. Virgin Islands, and the many other minority communities across this country, there needs to be more comprehensive action to address the underlying issues that are at root of the experience.

The children living in these communities experience inexcusable levels of poverty. In the U.S. Virgin Islands, more than 30 percent of children are living below the poverty level and in Chicago, most of the South and West sides have 40 to 60 percent of residents living below the poverty level.

If we are serious about making our communities safer and reducing gun crime, we must take comprehensive action to not only reduce the likelihood of mass shootings like San Bernardino or New Town, but also address the systemic divestment of resources, education, support in communities of color across this country that lead the scourge of gun violence that play out on our inner-city streets everyday.

In addition to President’s action, this congress needs to make it a priority to make adequate investments in early childhood education and other programs aimed at lifting children out of poverty.

Additionally, making meaningful reforms to our criminal justice system and increasing resources to stop the flow of drugs and illegal guns through our ports will help fight back the firearm black market.

This is not about the second amendment: an overwhelming number of Americans—most gun owners themselves—agree, that we must do something to stop guns from getting into the hands of people who shouldn’t have them.

This Congress has in its power, the ability to save thousands of lives. Let us not allow the near daily occurrence of mass shootings to become the new norm.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Madam Chair, I yield the balance of my time.

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas.

Madam Speaker, last week, President Obama announced a series of executive actions aimed at reducing gun violence across the United States. President Obama laid out these much-needed steps in the face of Congressional inaction, which will help to reduce the senseless gun violence that affects countless communities across our nation.

In 2014, firearms claimed the lives of more than 33,000 Americans. Over 2,800 of those fatalities took place in my home state of Texas. Perhaps there will be a time when we no longer will have to read headlines about mass murders in our schools or movie theaters.

This nation must take concerted steps to strengthen background checks, improve mental health services, and keep firearms out of the hands of criminals and the mentally ill.

This is what President Obama has sought to achieve and I truly believe that this can be done without infringing on law-abiding citizens’ rights to self-defense.

There have been numerous critics of President Obama’s executive actions to reduce gun violence. However, we can no longer stand by as gun violence claims the lives of more innocent people.

President Obama is limited in what he can achieve through executive actions alone. That is why Congress has the responsibility to pass comprehensive gun safety legislation now and put our nation on the path to preventing such violence from happening again.

Mr. Speaker, gun violence affects individuals of all backgrounds in communities all across the United States. It is not a Democratic issue nor is it a Republican issue. It is an issue that we must face in one form or another. Successfully reducing gun violence in this country will take more than just legislative action from Congress. It will take the collective effort of every American to change the course of our history and end gun violence in America once and for all.

RADICAL ISLAMISTS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. COMSTOCK). Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 6, 2015, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GOHMERT) for half the time remaining before 10 p.m.

Mr. GOHMERT. Madam Speaker, we have now learned that the administration is releasing radicalized Muhammed al-Rahman al-Shamrani, a 40-year-old citizen of Saudi Arabia. He was transferred to Saudi Arabia on January 11, 2016.

Appropriately, the New York Times had gotten hold of documents regarding—ultimately this is from October 2008—recommendation for the continued detention under the Department of Defense control for Guantanamo detainee, and then it gives the long number—it is Muhammed al-Rahman al-Shamrani. If you read the detainer, you must do so to be secret—I don’t know how The New York Times got it—but you read over in his file that this Guantanamo detainee—that would be Mr. Shamrani—on 14 October 2007 stated: “When I get out of here, I will go to Iraq and Afghanistan and will kill as many Americans as I can. Then I will come here and kill more Americans.”

He also stated: “I love Osama bin Laden and Mullah Omar, and if I ever get out of Guantanamo, I will go back to fight the Americans and kill as many as I can.”

The detainee stated he hated all Americans and will seek revenge if ever released from Guantanamo. The detainee said that, if he is released, he would again participate in jihad against the enemies of Muslims, to include the United States. The detainee is proud of what he has done, and he is willing to do anything to fight against the enemies of Muslims. The detainee stated he decided to become more religious because of his dislike of the U.S. and its citizens.

So for those who have been confused about the rules of civilized warfare, there is nothing illegal, unconstitutional against the Geneva Convention for holding people who are part of a group who are at war with your country until the group the are a part of announces they are no longer at war with you.

Now, war was declared, as some of my Muslim leader friends in the Middle East and Africa tell me. It is obvious to the rest of the world that radical Islam declared war on the United States back in ’79 after President Carter laid the foundation to allow what he called a man of peace to come in and take over ruling Iran. His name was Khomeini. It was after that that our American Embassy was attacked and over 50 people taken hostages, Americans. Basically, we did nothing about it.

So I know the President likes to say that Guantanamo is used as a recruiting tool, but the fact is, oh, basically, if we get rid of Guantanamo, then that pretty much eliminates anger at America.

The fact is that while President Clinton was sending American military to protect Muslims who were being unfairly treated, there were not only attacks against Americans. There was planning going on, not only to attack the USS Cole, but to attack America, our facilities, our embassies, our buildings, and they were planning 9/11.

There were no detainees at Guantanamo.

Yet, all of this plotting and planning—and from my discussions with people in the Middle East when I have been over there, with people who are from Iran, Iraq, Syria, Lebanon, when I have been in those countries—I haven’t been into Syria, but I have been right there at its border—but they all say the same thing. What they use to recruit is in 1979 we were attacked by radical Islamists. We did nothing under President Carter.

In ’83, we were attacked and around 300 marines were killed in Beirut. Congress, under Democratic control, said we are getting our people out. So President Reagan ordered the evacuation from Beirut. Instead of fighting back, we ran home. I understand that Reagan felt that was one of the big mistakes of his Presidency.

So the attacks have been ongoing. The World Trade Center attack in 1993, the attack on the Khobar Towers, so many attacks under President Clinton. He sent a lot of tow missiles, blew up some tents. It seems maybe like there was an aspirin factory.

It was not Guantanamo that was the driving force in all of those years, decades of war against the United States. It didn’t exist. The elimination of Guantanamo will not end the animosity and the desire of radical Islamists to eliminate America from the map along with Israel.

□ 2130

And just to be clear, today the story from Susannah George, “Islamic State Claims Responsibility for Baghdad Massacre,” “They are at war now. Whether they are JY or not, they are killing people.

Adam Kredo from the Free Beacon reports today, “Obama Administration Stonewalling Investigation into 113 Terrorists Inside United States”.

“Senators Ted Cruz and Jeff Sessions disclosed Monday that they had been pressuring the Obama administration for months to disclose the immigration
say, if you fund somebody who says they are going to use some of that money, as Iran has, to fund Hamas and Hezbollah, which we know are terrorist organizations, been named as such, and you know they are terrorist organizations, you know the money you are providing is going to be provided to terrorist organizations.

See, back when I was a prosecutor or judge, we would say: You know what? If you are knowingly providing money to someone who has already said they are going to give it to terrorists who are going to kill people, well, it sounds like there is a case to be made for you being as guilty as they are. Certainly, it goes beyond the pale of gross negligence, but that is hypothetically speaking.

I am not a prosecutor. I am not a judge. I am not a chief justice anymore. But when is the sanity going to return when people who say they are your enemies who want death to America, to America, to America,” continue to say we are going to provide more money, once you give us that $100 billion, $150 billion, once you give us that, we are going to fund more terrorism, and it is already being reported that the money is coming has already stimulated more attacks on those who would hope to be free in Iran. It is tragic, just tragic.

But, in any event, we are living in perilous times. Many understand that there are radical Islamists who are at war with us. It is time to recognize that the release of a man who has said he wants to kill Americans and will after he is released should be taken at his word.

I know there is some claim that he may not have said the things that are attributed to him by our own officers, our own personnel that were monitoring him, but let me just say that is a very easy one. The already said they were going to kill us, unless that has been lost with some of the emails that were being pursued by Congress. Unless it has been lost with emails that have been deleted to try to avoid turning them over to Congress, those videos can be conclusively seen, and we can know for sure whether this Islamic radical that President Obama has released from Guantanamo said the things that our people said he said.

I was wondering some of my friends’ comments about the gun laws. I know we all share the desire to lessen and eliminate gun violence in America. The thousands of felony cases that came through my court caused me repeatedly to think back. I don’t recall anybody who committed a crime with a gun that got it legally. Outlaws don’t get guns legally.

It has been made clear that the things our President has proposed would not have stopped one of these mass murderers that he now says spurs him on to take action. I would encourage my friends: Let’s work to take action that will actually stop the mass murders, that will actually stop the gun violence, but that will not occur by taking guns out of the hands of law-abiding citizens.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

ARMED STANDOFF IN OREGON

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. COMSTOCK). Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 6, 2015, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. BLUMENAUER) until 10 p.m.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Madam Speaker, I appreciate the opportunity come to the floor this evening to speak about an armed standoff that is taking place in my State of Oregon.

This is the ninth day of armed occupation of the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge where we have some lawless, reckless behavior on the part of out-of-State zealots who have taken over a Federal resource.

This is really hard to comprehend for a moment. As has been mentioned by numerous commentators, imagine what would happen if armed protesters who were of a different color or of a different religion occupied a Federal facility in Chicago or Washington, D.C., or Philadelphia. We would not tolerate that behavior. We would watch people move in to remove them. And yet, here, we are talking about the ninth day with impunity these people have occupied their own Federal facility. When America has a need for an amazing region, this high desert plateau in eastern Oregon, a region of vast, arid, high desert with many key lakes and wetlands, that is the location of a wildlife refuge that was created in 1908 by President Teddy Roosevelt. It was deemed important to protect this critical flyway, this wildlife habitat.

We found people there slaughtering wildlife to take the feathers to decorate women’s hats.

Now, we understand that there are some people who are involved who have some frustrations about issues of management of Federal resources. I appreciate that. This is a large, vast country, with 323 million people. In much of the West, a significant portion of the land is owned, managed, and administered by the Federal Government on behalf of all 323 million of us.

I have no doubt that occasionally there is frustration, there is a difference of philosophy. Occasionally, there are mistakes made. One of the problems we face is that my Republican friends in Congress for years have refused to adequately fund these programs, being able to take care of them appropriately, and that leads to frustrations as well. Occasionally, there is a difference of philosophy.

But I think it is important to note that, contrary to the actions of these armed thugs, this land doesn’t belong to them. It doesn’t belong to the 7,000 residents of Malheur County or even 4 million Oregonians. Our land is in trust for 323 million Americans.

If we overrule these interests and get the Federal Government out of this...
equation, it is not going to revert to a few of the people in the region. The people who have first claim on this land are the Paiute Indians, who resided on it for thousands of years before the Federal Government came in and took it.

This vast high desert area is worthy of protection, whether it is monument or wilderness. Many Oregonians, including people in eastern and central Oregon, agree that this is worthy of protection. I met with a number in central Oregon who were organized, Friends of the Owyhee, for instance, people who think that this largest area in the lower 48 States of pristine beauty, of great environmental import, is the largest unprotected area in the lower 48 States.

Now, I listened to my friend from Oregon who represents the area, Congressman WALDEN, express his concern and frustration. He talked about his challenges with the Steens Wilderness Area and talked about his deep concern that the administration may consider a monument in the future for this area, monument status for hundreds of thousands of these acres.

It is interesting to note, I was involved with that process, but not as deeply as my friend Congressman WALDEN, who I think can justly claim credit for having been the driving force behind protecting the Steens Wilderness Area. But it never would have achieved wilderness status without the prospect, the looming threat, of a monument status.

I was pleased in a small way to have helped facilitate that going forward. We are all better off as a result of the process that took place.

I was rather surprised that, in the course of his extensive comments on the floor of the House a week ago, while I was the cooperative effort and the value of the work for Steen's Wilderness, he did not reference at all the process that has been taking place in the Malheur Basin, where we have seen advocates for local ranching interests, environmentalists, and people in the refuge management itself all come together from 2010 to 2013, developing a vision to protect this area, having one of the largest water projects in the country over the next 15 years: a plan, a vision, a commitment. And it was done on a cooperative basis.

You can review what is going on with the ongoing media coverage or with these armed, out-of-State thugs who have invaded the wildlife refuge with no hint of what has happened there to be able to build a consensus, a vision, to protect and enhance this area.

The notion somehow that government ought to get out of the way and turn this all over to the private sector is a bit straitened. First and all, it should be noted that about half the jobs in this little county of 7,000 people are themselves government jobs. Many of them in the wildlife refuge are some of the best jobs in the region.

They may not make much difference in Portland, Eugene, Seattle, or Washington, D.C., but in a region like this, it is having hundreds of family-wage jobs with good benefits pensions. It makes a huge difference to the local economy.

I am concerned that we are just passing over this expectation that we have an opportunity to work with the affected people, move it forward, protecting this area as opposed to having folks who are threatening public employees and who have engaged on a personal basis in threatening people. We have had to shut down a number of government operations. It is sad, it is unfortunate, and it is wrong.

We don't need outsiders coming into Oregon or politicians enabling or encouraging people to behave in this reckless, unbridled way, as I should, as a matter of fact, cut them off.

There should be no electricity to the compound. They shouldn't be using the computers of public employees. We shouldn't have them firing out for pizzas or delivering food. This is goofy. It wouldn't happen in any other area if armed thugs took over a Federal facility.

I have great sympathy with my friend and colleague from California, Congressman HUFFMAN, who, prior to coming to Congress, had a long, distinguished career dealing with environmental protection and dealing with balancing these interests and solving problems while we protect public integrity.

I yield to the gentleman for his comments this evening.

Mr. HUFFMAN. I want to thank my friend and colleague from California, Congressman HUFFMAN, who, prior to coming to Congress, had a long, distinguished career dealing with environmental protection and dealing with balancing these interests and solving problems while we protect public integrity.

I yield to the gentleman for his comments this evening.

Mr. HUFFMAN. I want to thank my friend from Oregon for his leadership and advocacy and calling us together for this important discussion tonight. I want to thank him also for bringing up our great conservation hero, Teddy Roosevelt, a Republican President who I can't help but think is rolling in his grave over the fact that cornerstones of his legislation—his legislation of the public lands, the protection of wildlife—are under constant assault by too many of our friends across the aisle and, for the last 2 weeks, by some very wrong-headed individuals who are heavily armed at a wildlife refuge in southern Oregon.

Many Americans who turned on their TVs last week I think were probably surprised to see that this heavily armed extremist group had taken over a national wildlife refuge and that they were threatening to kill anyone who stands in their way.

They were led, of course, by Ammon Bundy, the son of the infamous Cliven Bundy, that great philosopher who romanticizes slavery, refuses to pay legally required grazing fees, and organized his own armed insurrection in Nevada a couple of years ago.

Americans were surprised to see that this group, which was part of a larger protest against Federal policies on public land policy, and environmental land violations, was so violent and so heavily armed and so extreme in their demands.

I think so many Americans are just surprised to find that people would be so violently opposed to our Federal Government's role in protecting public lands and wildlife that they would do this kind of thing.

But as a member of the House Natural Resources Committee, I have to tell you I am disgusted by these reckless, dangerous, and criminal actions, but I am not totally surprised. I am not totally surprised.

Because on any given week in the Natural Resources Committee, you can hear the historical underpinnings of these dangerous, violent actions. You hear the divisive, over-the-top antigovernment rhetoric that is spewed by too many of our colleagues across this aisle. Members of Congress who may now be criticizing ever so gently the tactics of the armed criminals in southern Oregon.

But out of the other side of their mouth they justify their actions by arguing that their armament and confrontation with the government is somehow justified and legitimate and that we should essentially sympathize with them rather than be outraged by their seditious, violent actions.

I am amazed and grateful for the fact that our Federal land management and law enforcement authorities have been so patient and so passive and so deferential because of their determination to try to bring this to a peaceful resolution. I admire that that know where they are coming from.

But let's be clear about this. There has to be accountability for the occupiers. This armed group of thugs occupying a refuge in the State to my north can't be allowed to do this without consequences.

Because many people—you mentioned our colleague, Peter DeFazio—believe—correctly, in my view—that this wouldn't have happened had there been some consequences.

Unfortunately, despite a very similar action, despite all of the same heavily armed threats and violence and the near avoidance of a tragedy that could have cost untold numbers of lives, there really were no consequences to the Bundy ranch standoff 2 years ago.

And because there have been no consequences, his son and the current gang that is occupying the refuge obviously took the lesson that they could do it
Again. And they will do it again and again, as long as we continue to give them a pass.

So there has to be accountability. There has to be some type of consequences for people that do this. But there has to be accountability for politicians who tacitly fuel incidents like this with their inflammatory and hyperbolic rhetoric that always casts environmental protection as an assault on individual rights and that falsely describes our national public lands as some place that is not of State and private property owners. It is not right.

The truth is, in California and across the West, our public lands are a cornerstone of local and State economies, including those in my district. I have huge tracts of Federal public lands in the Second Congressional District of California, from vast national parks and recreational areas to three different national forests, to numerous national monuments and lots and lots of BLM lands.

For many of my constituents, Federal lands help them put dinner on the table. It helps them pay their bills. Ninety-one percent of western voters surveyed responded that they believe public lands are an essential part of their State’s economy. We need to remember this.

So I want to protect public lands, and I want to work cooperatively with the Federal agencies that manage them to iron out their differences.

Our Federal Government isn’t perfect. They make mistakes. Sometimes they aren’t the best neighbors. Sometimes they aren’t always as responsive and respectful to the communities and individuals that live nearby.

Part of our job as Members of Congress who represent those communities is to try to make sure that the Government, for its part, is doing the right thing: listening, being a good neighbor. I have seen it work time and time again. And the notion that the only way to resolve differences with Federal land management agencies is to take up arms and threaten a violent insurrection is just absolutely nonsense.

I am hopeful that we can work together for people to focus on the opportunities and have the administration step up, act responsibly, cut these people off and give them, and to take action against other lawbreakers like armed thugs taking over this facility. They have obstructed the flow of spawning salmon, prohibiting us from meeting our obligation to Native Americans, would create hundreds and hundreds of family-wage jobs for years in northern California.

It is just one more example of where Congress is missing in action and where Congress hasn’t appropriately funded these agencies to be able to do their jobs.

It is hard for me to express my wonderment that some people will come to the floor and somehow try and celebrate the Hammond family, people who were convicted of arson and who have a record of crimes before.

Public records show behavior that is not that of people you want for your neighbors. These folks do not have clean hands. Yet, we have out-of-State, armed thugs taking over this facility. And somehow talk about these convicted felons and undercut this process.

I am hopeful that we can work together for people to focus on the opportunities and have the administration step up, act responsibly, cut these people off and give them, and to take action against other lawbreakers like we would in other areas of the country.

I appreciate you joining me today to have a little bit of conversation here to somehow talk about these convicted felons and undercut this process. I am hopeful that we can work together for people to focus on the opportunities and have the administration step up, act responsibly, cut these people off and give them, and to take action against other lawbreakers like we would in other areas of the country.

I appreciate you joining me today to have a little bit of conversation here to somehow talk about these convicted felons and undercut this process. I am hopeful that we can work together for people to focus on the opportunities and have the administration step up, act responsibly, cut these people off and give them, and to take action against other lawbreakers like we would in other areas of the country.

I appreciate you joining me today to have a little bit of conversation here to somehow talk about these convicted felons and undercut this process.

There has been a regional consensus that has developed. There is a vision to protect the wildlife refuge and its economic activities and future. It is one that we should support.
Administration, pursuant to Sec. 2681(b) of the Public Health Service Act, 42 U.S.C. 300ff-81; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3976. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final direct rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Plan Modifications; Washington; Removal of Obsoleteness Regulations [EPA-R10-OAR-2015-0131; FRL-9949-93-Region 6] received December 30, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1); Added by Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.


3978. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Commerce, transmitting a report certifying that the export of the listed items to the People's Republic of China is not determined to be in the national interest, pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2778 note; Public Law 105-261, Sec. 1512 (as amended by Public Law 106-205); (112 Stat. 2174); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3979. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to Belarus that was declared in Executive Order 13405 of June 18, 2006, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1703(c); Public Law 105-261, Sec. 1512; (110 Stat. 2174); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3980. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration, Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce, transmitting the Department's final rule — Updated Statements of Legal Authority for the Export Administration Regulations to Include Continuance of Emergency Declared in Executive Order 12938 (Docket No.: BIS-2015-0133; FRL-9948-89) received December 22, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1); Added by Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3981. A letter from the Assistant Director for Regulatory Affairs, Office of Foreign Assets Control, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Cyber-Related Sanctions Regulations received December 29, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1); Added by Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

3982. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Export Administration and Trade, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's final rule — Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards; Technical Amendments (RIN: 1205-AB71) received December 30, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1); Added by Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

3983. A letter from the Chief Financial Officer, Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service, Department of Labor, transmitting the Board's report on competitive sourcing efforts for FY 2015, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 501 note; (118 Stat. 361); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

3984. A letter from the Chairman, National Transportation Safety Board, transmitting the Board's report on competitive sourcing efforts for FY 2015, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 501 note; (118 Stat. 361); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

3985. A letter from the Director, Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's temporary final rule — Safety Zone, Delaware River; New Castle, DE [Docket No.: USCG-2015-0102] (RIN: 1625-AO00) received December 22, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1); Added by Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3986. A letter from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's temporary final rule — Special Local Regulations; Temporary Change for Recurring Marine Event in the Fifth Coast Guard District [Docket No.: USCG-2015-0440] (RIN: 1625-AO00) received December 22, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1); Added by Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3987. A letter from the Attorney-Advisor, Office of the General Counsel, Bureau of the Fiscal Service, transmitting the Department's final rule — Final Rule — Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 501 note; Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

3988. A letter from the Attorney-Advisor, Office of the General Counsel, Bureau of the Fiscal Service, transmitting the Department's final rule — Final Rule — Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 501 note; Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

3989. A letter from the Attorney-Advisor, Office of the General Counsel, Bureau of the Fiscal Service, transmitting the Department's final rule — Final Rule — Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 501 note; Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

3990. A letter from the Federal Register Liaison Officer, Office of Protective Services, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — NASA Final Rule — National Trademark Classification Changes [Docket No.: NASSAG-2015-0099; FRL-9949-39] received December 30, 2015, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1); Added by Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology.
Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Mr. CHAFFETZ:
H. R. 3599. A bill to amend title 5, United States Code, to provide for Federal employees who leave the Federal service while under personnel investigation shall have a notation of any adverse findings under such investigation placed in such employee's official personnel file, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Mr. PALMER:
H. R. 3631. A bill to amend section 354 of title 44, United States Code, to provide for the President to seek an independent investigation into the death of Tibetan Buddhist leader Gedhun Choekyi Nyima, and to publicly call for an end to the repression of religious freedom that has taken place in the Tibet Autonomous Region of China in Tibet; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. BISHOP of Utah, Mrs. BLACK, Ms. BLACKBURN, Mr. BRIDENSTINE, Mr. COLE, Mr. CRAMER, Mr. CULBERSON, Mr. DUNCAN of South Carolina, Mr. FRANK, Mr. GAGG, Mr. HARPER, Mr. HUZENGA of Michigan, Mr. JORDAN, Mr. MEADOWS, Mr. MISSISSIPPI, Mr. MOOLENAAR, Mr. MOORE, Mr. MURPHY, and Mr. RYAN: H. R. 3642. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to exclude from gross income amounts paid by an employer on an employee’s student loans; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. TAKANO (for himself, Mr. CONYERS, Mr. ELLISON, Ms. JACKSON LEE, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, and Ms. LIEU):
H. R. 3634. A bill to amend title V of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 to prohibit Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grants from being made available to a State or unit of local government that has a contract with a person that charges a fee to pay-only probationers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. GRAYSON:
H. J. Res. 99. A joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States to prohibit gerrymandering in the establishment of Congressional districts; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. COLE:
H. Con. Res. 106. Concurrent resolution to authorize the use of United States Armed Forces against the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant and its associated forces; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. CAPUANO:
H. Res. 984. A resolution urging the President to seek an independent investigation into the death of Tibetan Buddhist leader and social activist Tenzin Delek Rinpoche and to publicly call for an end to the repressive policies used by the People’s Republic of China in Tibet; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY STATEMENT

Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted regarding the powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill or joint resolution.
The amendment filed to H.R. 1644 by me does not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of House Rule XXI.
The Senate met at 2 p.m. and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. HATCH).

PRAYER
The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

Almighty and everlasting God, the Creator of new beginnings, thank You for Your constant love and for the opportunity to learn from each other.

As we turn to a new chapter in our labors, illuminate the path of our lawmakers with Your holy light. May Your sacred Word provide them with a lamp and light in this world’s darkness, keeping them from the detours that lead to ruin. Give them a humility that seeks first to understand instead of striving to be understood.

Lord, guide us all with Your powerful hand until the kingdoms of this world acknowledge Your sovereignty and might.

We pray in Your Holy Name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
The President pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL OF A RULE SUBMITTED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY—VETO

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. CORZINE). The Chair lays before the Senate the President’s veto message on S.J. Res. 23, which the clerk will read and which will be spread in full upon the Journal.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

Veto message to accompany S.J. Res. 23, a joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to “Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units.”

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the veto message on S.J. Res. 23 be considered as having been read; that it be printed in the RECORD, spread in full upon the Journal, and held at the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The veto message ordered to be printed in the RECORD is as follows:

MEMORANDUM OF DISAPPROVAL
S.J. Res. 23 is a joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5 of the United States Code of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) relating to “Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units.” This resolution would nullify EPA’s carbon pollution standards for new, modified, and reconstructed power plants. Accordingly, I am withholding my approval of this resolution. (The Pocket Veto Case, 279 U.S. 655 (1929)).

Climate change poses a profound threat to our future and future generations. Atmospheric levels of carbon dioxide, a primary greenhouse gas, are higher than they have been in at least 800,000 years. In 2009, EPA determined that greenhouse gas pollution endangers Americans’ health and welfare by causing long-lasting changes in the climate that can have, and are already having, a range of negative effects on human health, the climate, and the environment. We are already seeing the impacts of climate change, and established science confirms that we will experience stronger storms, deeper droughts, longer wildfire seasons, and other intensified impacts as the planet warms. The Pentagon has determined that climate change poses immediate risks to our national security.

Power plants are the largest source of greenhouse gas pollution in our country. Although we have limits on other dangerous pollutants from power plants, the carbon pollution standards and the Clean Power Plan ensure that we will finally have national standards to reduce the amount of carbon pollution that our power plants can emit.

The carbon pollution standards will ensure that, when we make major investments in power generation infrastructure, we also deploy available technologies to make that infrastructure as low-emitting as possible. By blocking these standards from taking effect, S.J. Res. 23 would delay our transition to cleaner electricity generating technologies by enabling continued build-out of outdated, high-polluting infrastructure. Because it would overturn carbon pollution standards that are critical to protecting against climate change and ensuring the health and well-being of our Nation, I cannot support the resolution.

To leave no doubt that the resolution is being vetoed, in addition to withholding my signature, I am returning S.J. Res. 23 to the Secretary of the Senate, along with this Memorandum of Disapproval.

THE WHITE HOUSE, December 18, 2015.

BARACK OBAMA.

PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL OF A RULE SUBMITTED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY—VETO

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair lays before the Senate the President’s veto message on S.J. Res. 24, which the clerk will read and which will be spread in full upon the Journal.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:
The Clean Power Plan is essential in addressing the largest source of greenhouse gas pollution in our country. It is past time to act to mitigate climate impacts on American communities. Because the resolution would overturn the Clean Power Plan, which is critical to protecting against climate change and ensuring the health and well-being of our Nation, I cannot support it.

To leave no doubt that the resolution is being vetoed, in addition to withholding my signature, I am returning S.J. Res. 24 to the Secretary of the Senate, along with this Memorandum of Disapproval.

BARACK OBAMA.

THE WHITE HOUSE, December 18, 2015.

MEASURE PLACED ON THE CALENDAR—S. 2434

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I understand that there is a bill at the desk that is due a second reading.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will read the bill by title for the second time.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 2434) to provide that any executive action that infringes on the powers and duties of Congress under section 8 of article I of the Constitution of the United States or on the Second Amendment to the Constitution of the United States has no force or effect, and to prohibit the use of funds for certain purposes.

Mr. McCONNELL. In order to place the bill on the calendar under the provisions of rule XIV, I object to further proceedings.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection having been heard, the bill will be placed on the calendar.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader is recognized.

WELCOMING COLLEAGUES BACK AND THE PRESIDENT’S ADDRESS TO CONGRESS

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I wish to welcome colleagues back to a new year in a new Senate that is back to work for the American people. It is clear we had a successful 2015. Committees began functioning again. Senators began having more of a say again. We got important things accomplished for the American people. We are looking to build upon this progress in 2016. There is, of course, much to be done, but I am optimistic about what can be achieved with a bipartisan dedication to moving back to regular order, not just this year but in the years to come.

The scale of what any Congress will be able to accomplish in a given year often depends upon the willingness of the President to cooperate and engage in good faith. When President Obama comes to address Congress tomorrow, he will have an important opportunity to demonstrate that to the American people. The question is, Will he rise to the moment? Based on what the White House has been saying in the media, it is unlikely we will hear a unifying message for our country tomorrow. That is unfortunate. I think the American people expect to hear a positive message from Governor Haley. Many are looking forward to hearing what she has to say. I will have much more to say on all of that tomorrow.

REMEMBERING DALE BUMPERS

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, let me again welcome all of our colleagues back. I think they will join me in remembering former Senator Dale Bumpers, who passed away over the holidays. Some called Dale Bumpers an improbable Senator. Others have remarked on his humor and wit. But what is clear about this former Senate colleague is that he was larger than life in many ways. I am sure his name will continue to be remembered by Arkansans for many years to come. The Senate sends its condolences to the family and friends Senator Bumpers leaves behind.

TRIBUTE TO CHAPLAIN DR. BLACK

Mr. REID. Mr. President, while the Chaplain is in the building, I wish to say a brief word. I have the good fortune—and have for many years—to come to the floor every day and listen to a prayer offered in sincerity by our Chaplain. The people who watch us on TV think that all he does is walk in here every day and give a little prayer. The fact is, I received information on the things he did this past year.

He represented the Senate in 27 out-of-town speaking engagements. Those speaking engagements are tremendous. He has been in Nevada on a number of occasions. He is a tremendous preserver of what he does and what is good for the country. He delivered the invocation and/or benediction to 17 different ceremonies. He spoke at 10 different Senate functions. He visited with 20 different school groups who came to visit the Capitol. He delivered the invocation at 12 local events. He spoke at 26 local events. He hosted 11 guest Chaplains. He hosted three Jewish programs. He administered premarital and marriage-enrichment counseling. He mentored 20 Senate staffers in a recurring, 10-week spiritual mentoring program. He facilitated the Wednesday morning weekly Prayer Breakfast. He hosted two men’s Prayer Breakfasts for Senate staff featuring Os Guinness and Michael Franzese as guest speakers. He hosted a special program at Easter, our 20th
annual Thanksgiving service, and a holiday open house for the Senate community.

He prayed on the Senate floor for the convening of most Senate sessions. He taught 44 Bible studies for approximately 150 Senate staff. He taught 44 Bible studies for approximately 15 staff in the Postal Square Building. He taught 40 Bible studies for 15 chiefs of staff. He engaged in hospital visitations and special occasions and gave weekly updates about the sick and injured in the Senate Prayer Breakfast. He delivered the eulogy for former Senator Edward Brook at the National Cathedral. He spoke at memorial services and funerals for various Senate staff members. He ministered to Senate office staff members during times of grief. He spoke to Senate staff during staff meetings.

That is not all. In relation to his activities and duties, he hosted a ladies’ small group Bible study every Monday, consisting of Senate staff. He had a small group of men consisting of Capitol police officers and other Senate staff for Bible study every Wednesday.

Mr. President, everyone should know that he does more than give this prayer opening the Senate every day. In fact, if that was all he did, it would be well worth the functions of the Senate Chaplain, but he does much more. I congratulate him and express the appreciation of the entire Senate for the good work and good representations this fine man does representing our country. Remember, he is a retired admiral of the U.S. Navy.

REMEMBERING DALE BUMPERS

Mr. REID. Mr. President, on another subject, I had the good fortune yesterday to attend the funeral of Dale Bumpers, a longtime Member of the Senate. Based on seniority, everyone—a famous man; he beat Orval Faubus after he had been in a quarter of a century, but he never traipsed around back there, walking back there by the exit of this door. He spoke to Senate staff during staff meetings.

That is not all. In relation to his activities and duties, he hosted a ladies’ small group Bible study every Monday, consisting of Senate staff. He had a small group of men consisting of Capitol police officers and other Senate staff for Bible study every Wednesday.

Mr. President, everyone should know that he does more than give this prayer opening the Senate every day. In fact, if that was all he did, it would be well worth the functions of the Senate Chaplain, but he does much more. I congratulate him and express the appreciation of the entire Senate for the good work and good representations this fine man does representing our country. Remember, he is a retired admiral of the U.S. Navy.

THE PRESIDENT’S LEADERSHIP

Mr. REID. Mr. President, in less than 300 days, the American people will head to the polls to elect the President of the United States. An election year places the President under intense scrutiny. At this time it is important to remember just how far we have come through the leadership of President Barack Obama.

I can remember the first time I heard Barack Obama’s name. I was in the Senate courthouse back in 1973. Former Members have a little room in the back. Abner Mikva—long-time Congressman from Illinois, top lawyer for President Clinton, appellate court judge, and has had quite a remarkable career himself—was there. While we were getting dressed, he said to me: We have a Senate race in Illinois. And I asked: Who’s running? He said: Barack Obama. I thought he was trying to be funny. Barack Obama? Come on. That is basically what I said to him, but I was wrong and he was right. This man with the unusual name was elected President of the United States.

It is important to remember just how far we have come through his leadership. After 8 years of disaster under President Bush, the American people embraced President Obama’s message of hope and change. On January 20, 2009, Barack Obama became the first African-American President in the history of the United States. In my office, with the President to repair our economy, strengthen the middle class, and help working families, Republicans have chosen a path, for 7 years, of relentless obstruction.

In fact, during the President’s first term, the Republican leader publicly said: “The single most important thing we want to achieve is for President Obama to be a one-term President.” As we look back over 7 years of the Obama Presidency, one thing is clear: Republicans have failed in their radical crusade against him. President Obama in hindsight the worst recession since the Great Depression. He acted immediately to address the economic crisis and begin rebuilding our economy. Because of President Obama, our economy has fought back from the brink of destruction, which is what it really was.

Last month, record car sales were announced for the year 2015. How did it come about? Because President Obama went against the Republicans every step of the way by saving Chrysler and GM and giving Ford a great boost. The most significant car and truck sales in the history of our country occurred last year. Millions of Americans now have health care. President Obama made sure he fulfilled his promise of getting Osama bin Laden, and he did. He was killed. The President has taken bold action to address our broken immigration system, doubled our country’s production of renewable energy, and expanded access to higher education for millions of Americans.

I have a lot of affection and admiration for President Obama and most everyone knows that. I have had the good fortune of working with him for the past 9 years in the Senate and as President. His rescuing the Nation from crisis, his bold legislative achievement, and his refusal to back down in the face of Republican obstruction have made him one of the best Presidents of all time.

No State was hit harder by the recession and foreclosure crisis than Nevada. President Obama provided the resources necessary to shattered housing markets, keep responsible borrowers in their homes, and reduce foreclosures. Through the efforts he made, the President and his administration were able to provide about $200 million to Nevada’s hardest hit homes, and there were lots of them. It didn’t take care of all the problems, but it certainly helped a great deal. These were programs that provided unemployed and underemployed homeowners financial assistance.

Nevada’s unemployment rate reached almost 14 percent. Across the country, the rate of unemployment was about 10 percent. Today we have seen over 70 consecutive months of job growth, and over 5 million private sector jobs have come about? Because President Obama went against the Republicans every step of the way by saving Chrysler and GM and giving Ford a great boost. The most significant car and truck sales in the history of our country occurred last year. Millions of Americans now have health care. President Obama made sure he fulfilled his promise of getting Osama bin Laden, and he did. He was killed. The President has taken bold action to address our broken immigration system, doubled our country’s production of renewable energy, and expanded access to higher education for millions of Americans.

I have a lot of affection and admiration for President Obama and most everyone knows that. I have had the good fortune of working with him for the past 9 years in the Senate and as President. His rescuing the Nation from crisis, his bold legislative achievement, and his refusal to back down in the face of Republican obstruction have made him one of the best Presidents of all time.

No State was hit harder by the recession and foreclosure crisis than Nevada. President Obama provided the resources necessary to shattered housing markets, keep responsible borrowers in their homes, and reduce foreclosures. Through the efforts he made, the President and his administration were able to provide about $200 million to Nevada’s hardest hit homes, and there were lots of them. It didn’t take care of all the problems, but it certainly helped a great deal. These were programs that provided unemployed and underemployed homeowners financial assistance.

Nevada’s unemployment rate reached almost 14 percent. Across the country, the rate of unemployment was about 10 percent. Today we have seen over 70 consecutive months of job growth, and over 5 million private sector jobs have come about? Because President Obama went against the Republicans every step of the way by saving Chrysler and GM and giving Ford a great boost. The most significant car and truck sales in the history of our country occurred last year. Millions of Americans now have health care. President Obama made sure he fulfilled his promise of getting Osama bin Laden, and he did. He was killed. The President has taken bold action to address our broken immigration system, doubled our country’s production of renewable energy, and expanded access to higher education for millions of Americans.

I have a lot of affection and admiration for President Obama and most everyone knows that. I have had the good fortune of working with him for the past 9 years in the Senate and as President. His rescuing the Nation from crisis, his bold legislative achievement, and his refusal to back down in the face of Republican obstruction have made him one of the best Presidents of all time.

No State was hit harder by the recession and foreclosure crisis than Nevada. President Obama provided the resources necessary to shattered housing markets, keep responsible borrowers in their homes, and reduce foreclosures. Through the efforts he made, the President and his administration were able to provide about $200 million to Nevada’s hardest hit homes, and there were lots of them. It didn’t take care of all the problems, but it certainly helped a great deal. These were programs that provided unemployed and underemployed homeowners financial assistance.

Nevada’s unemployment rate reached almost 14 percent. Across the country, the rate of unemployment was about 10 percent. Today we have seen over 70 consecutive months of job growth, and over 5 million private sector jobs have come about? Because President Obama went against the Republicans every step of the way by saving Chrysler and GM and giving Ford a great boost. The most significant car and truck sales in the history of our country occurred last year. Millions of Americans now have health care. President Obama made sure he fulfilled his promise of getting Osama bin Laden, and he did. He was killed. The President has taken bold action to address our broken immigration system, doubled our country’s production of renewable energy, and expanded access to higher education for millions of Americans.
Now we have seen the evidence of our Nation’s job market continuing to bounce back. Last week alone almost 300,000 jobs were announced in the preceding month of December. A recent report shows that businesses have added 5.6 million jobs in the past 2 years. We have lost since the end of the Clinton administration. This certainly wouldn’t have been possible without President Obama’s leadership.

Nevada’s unemployment rate, which I have already mentioned, was the worst in the Nation. We had an ongoing struggle with the State of Rhode Island for years as to which had the worst unemployment—Rhode Island or Nevada. Neither State wanted to win, but we both won on many occasions as to which had the highest unemployment rate. Thanks to President Obama’s leadership, we are finally coming back in a very strong way.

In December, the President signed a tax bill that includes one of the biggest anti-poverty tools of our generation. It will help lift 16 million modest- and low-income working families out of poverty, including 8 million children. Renewable energy is taking off like never before as a result of that legislation. Obama and the Democrats have brought our economy back from the brink of destruction. I have already talked about the auto industry. We took on Wall Street to ensure that the greed and corruption which arose in the great recession would never happen again. Republicans said no at every turn, but we succeeded in spite of their obstruction.

Health care. Before President Obama took office, tens of millions of Americans were denied health insurance. Thanks to the hard work of President Obama and the Democrats in Congress, the Affordable Care Act has banned insurance company discrimination, requiring coverage without regard to pre-existing health conditions or health status. The President believes these anti-poverty tools in a generation. It was not the greatest threat, the world has many other threats, President Obama’s leadership, the world is on track to keep temperatures from rising and avoid the most catastrophic impact of climate change. By negotiating the historic Paris climate agreement, the United States has crafted a version of clean energy and climate change for our country by establishing carbon emission standards on vehicles that help consumers save money on fuel for the first time by limiting carbon pollution from power plants.

He established or expanded 19 national monuments. Why? Because Republicans—bills we passed matter of factually here—always refused to allow us to have votes on them. So he moved forward, as he said he would do, with an Executive action for 19 national monuments. In Nevada, it includes the 750,000 acres of the Basin and Range National Monument, which is something that is great and all Americans can share. The President believes these lands belong to all Americans and that our children and grandchildren should be able to enjoy the beauty and bounty of our country.

Education. When President Obama took office, our Nation’s education system was in desperate need of reform. No Child Left Behind crippled schools around the country and graduation rates were at historic lows. One of the most important actions President Obama took through the recovery act was nearly $100 billion in aid for K-12 and higher education.

Today students across the country have made tremendous progress. More students have graduated than ever before, particularly low-income and minority students. President Obama also took historic steps to address extreme levels of student debt in this country. By working with Democrats, President Obama created new programs to help college graduates manage their student debt by capping their loan payments by 10 percent of their income. We wanted to do more, but obstruction raised its ugly head and Republicans refused to allow us to do even more.

Unfortunately, we have taken place all over, and Nevada is no exception. It has happened there also. From the time he was elected President, Republicans have tried every means possible by working arm in arm and hand in hand with the NRA to make sure that the President has tried to accomplish. Even though more than 80 percent of the American people said there should be background checks for people who are crazy and criminals, it is not good enough for Republicans. They have still stopped us.

The President tried to work with Republicans and they have refused. This has brought about his new efforts to use Executive action. Last week he did just that. He addressed the epidemic of gun violence in this country through legal Executive action. Republicans have blocked this action, even in the wake of cold-blooded mass murders in schools, houses of worship, movie theaters, and many other places.

Tomorrow the President will deliver his final State of the Union Address to the American people. I look forward to hearing ways in which he plans to continue and push our Nation forward during his last year in office. We will do everything in our power, as Democrats, to build on the strong legacy President Obama has established. We will continue to fight to strengthen the middle class and working families by addressing the mountain of student debt that saddles Americans’ higher education. We will continue fighting to increase the minimum wage. We will not rest until wages of women match the wages of their male counterparts, and we will continue to keep Wall Street accountable by prioritizing Main Street and protecting the good work the Dodd-Frank legislation did.

As we begin this legislative session, I hope we will find in our Republicans a willing partner to protect and strengthen our Nation. I hope it is not wishful thinking, but it probably is. We stand ready to work with our Republican colleagues to do what is right for the American people.
There were emergency provisions to address the catastrophes that only the Federal Reserve could respond to. They did it faster than the Congress could do it. Had the Federal Reserve not stepped in, the consequences of the great recession would have been tremendously worse. It would have been worse than the Great Depression. This Federal Reserve could act quickly to safeguard the national economy because of its independence, and it did just that.

One of the lessons we learned from the great recession is that the Federal Reserve should not be hamstrung. It is a cornerstone of our global economy. We must maintain a Federal Reserve that is transparent, but we must also respect the independence of the Federal Reserve in order to maintain the well-being of the global economy, and that is why we included an amendment to responsibly audit the Federal Reserve while respecting its independence. The amendment passed unanimously. The bill which the Senate will vote on tomorrow, sponsored by the junior Senator from Kentucky, will critically undermine this delicate balance.

Wall Street reform ensured that the Government Accountability Office could audit the Federal Reserve, and in accordance with the law, the Government Accountability Office has carried out those audits. In the year after the passage of Dodd-Frank, the Federal Reserve was audited 29 times. Since that time, the Federal Reserve has been audited 102 times.

My colleagues don’t have to take my word for it. The 102 audits of the Federal Reserve are available to everyone. All they have to do is look at the Federal Reserve Website. Proponents of this bill know that. Their calls for audits have been answered.

So let’s be clear. This bill is not about auditing the Federal Reserve. It is not about transparency or keeping the books for the Fed. The oversight already exists. This bill is about giving tea party types and their billionaire donors the ability to control the economy of the United States. It is an attack on policies that are designed to stabilize the U.S. economy and help the middle class bounce back.

Political parties should not and cannot run monetary policy at the Federal Reserve. That would be disastrous. I am disappointed the Senate will waste its time on another misguided partisan attack such as this one. The bill is an attack on the Federal Reserve mandate to create full employment. These attacks are partisan in nature, and it is unconscionable to think that the Republican leader will begin this year attacking policies that benefit the middle class.

Some Republicans agree. Senator Bob Corker, chairman of the Foreign Relations Committee and a member of the Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs Committee, said this of the audit the Fed bill:

> It’s obvious to me that the Audit the Fed effort is to not address auditing the Fed because the Fed is audited. . . . to me it’s an attempt to allow Congress to be able to put pressure on Fed members relative to monetary policy. And I would just advocate that that would not be a particularly good idea and it would cause us to put off tough decisions for the future, like we currently are doing with budgetary matters.

I agree with Senator Corker. Injecting politics into the Federal Reserve is a bad idea. This bill is a sham. We should dispense with it quickly, and we should do it—if there is any word quicker than quick, let’s do that way. I will vote against the bill, and I encourage my colleagues to do the same.

Will the Chair announce the business of the day?

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will be in a period of morning business until 5 p.m., with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I apologize to my friend, the assistant leader, for taking so much time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The assistant Democratic leader.

75TH ANNIVERSARY OF FRANKLIN DELANO ROOSEVELT’S ‘FOUR FREEDOMS’ SPEECH

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, tomorrow evening President Obama will come before Congress to deliver his annual State of the Union Address. America has changed a great deal since his first State of the Union Address 7 years ago. We remember he inherited an economy in free fall. There was a real danger that the United States would face another Great Depression. Instead, we slid into a great recession. The President—President Obama—did all he could to bring our economy back to life. Recent economic indicators show that his strategy moved us in the right direction. More Americans are working. We are seeing prosperity and opportunity return. There are still challenges ahead. We still face income inequality, and there are many things we must do to make this a fairer nation when it comes to our economy, but we avoided a Great Depression because our government, under the leadership of President Obama, had the courage to take bold action to help put Americans back to work and to invest in America’s future when the private sector would not or could not.

One of the lessons of our future—surely it is undoubtedly stronger today than when the President first took office, and I look forward to tomorrow evening when we hear this President’s hopes and plans for his final year in service to our Nation.

This afternoon I wish to take a few minutes to talk about another President and an earlier State of the Union Address. It was January 6, 1941, when President Franklin Delano Roosevelt traveled from the White House to Capitol Hill to deliver his annual message to the Nation. FDR had been reelected weeks earlier to an unprecedented third term as President. Despite historic congressional progress, America was still battling the Great Depression he had inherited.

Pearl Harbor was 11 months in the future. Understandably, many Americans wanted to believe that the war that was consuming Europe and beginning in the Pacific could remain their problem over there, but Franklin Delano Roosevelt sensed that would not be the case. He could see America would inevitably be drawn into this conflict. In addressing Congress and the American people, FDR proposed to make America the “arsenal of democracy.” He also urged Congress to create a new “lend lease” program, enabling our historic ally, Great Britain, and their allies to withstand the assault of Nazi Germany, Fascist Italy, and Imperial Japan.

He did something else. FDR knew that in order for the Nation to face World War II, America needed to know not just what they would be fighting against but what they would be fighting for. So in some of the darkest days of World War II, with Adolf Hitler voicing to impose a new order on Europe at gunpoint, Franklin Roosevelt spoke of a moral order founded on four essential human freedoms that would be the right of every person everywhere. Those four freedoms he spoke of were the freedom of speech, the freedom of worship, the freedom from want, and the freedom from fear.

Norman Rockwell was an amazing American. He was a great illustrator. It is interesting that he did so many cover drawings for great magazines of his time, such as the Saturday Evening Post. When he heard FDR’s “Four Freedoms” speech given to Congress, it inspired him to create images. Those images emerged after the original speech was given, and many people credit those images created by Norman Rockwell with allowing Americans to visualize what each of the four freedoms meant in very human terms.

I brought copies of them to the floor because they so graphically illustrate the message which FDR delivered in his “Four Freedoms” speech.

The freedom of speech. This Norman Rockwell illustration shows a working man standing and speaking his mind in a town hall meeting.

Freedom of worship. This photo shows a group of people from different backgrounds, each praying to God—the God of his or her understanding.

Freedom from want. This classic illustration shows a family gathered for a Thanksgiving feast.
The last of the four freedoms is the freedom from fear. This illustration shows a mother and father looking at their sleeping children tucked safely into bed.

In the coming struggle, President Roosevelt said, America would defend itself not just with arms but also with “the stamina and courage which comes from an unshakeable belief in the manner of life that we are defending.” That is exactly what they did.

During World War II, 16 million Americans—one out of every eight—put on a uniform and fought for the promise of the four freedoms. Tens of millions more Americans back home joined the fight by planting victory gardens, recycling everything from bacon grease to tin cans, serving as “soil soldiers” in the Civilian Conservation Corps, and working in war munitions factories as Rosie the Riveters.

After the war, the “greatest generation,” as Tom Brokaw characterized them, may have given up their uniforms, but they continued their fight for FDR’s four freedoms. From the earliest days of the Roosevelt administration, Franklin and Eleanor had worked to rewrite the rules of America’s economy to give average workers and families a fighting chance against powerful corporations and entrenched wealthy special interests. They strengthened labor unions to improve workers’ pay, working conditions, safety in the workplace, health care, retirement—things we take for granted today.

After the war, the same Americans who had endured the hardships of the Depression and who had saved the world from tyranny went to work and laid the foundation for the creation of the largest middle class and the strongest economy in the history of the world. They built new schools, new homes, new towns, an interstate highway system. At the same time, more Americans began to challenge long-standing injustices based on race, creed, color, or gender. They fought to undo the progress of FDR’s New Deal and concentrate more and more income and wealth in the hands of the few. FDR was right when he said that “economic laws are not made by nature but by human beings.”

I hope this year we can work together to pass laws that will increase economic opportunity for all Americans, rebuild America’s middle class, and free more Americans from the fear of want.

FDR said that we Americans believe in the four freedoms not just for ourselves but for our families, for those who vote as we do or look like we do, who live in our neighborhoods and attend our same houses of worship, but we believe in the four freedoms for everyone everywhere.

An America that believes in freedom of worship doesn’t allow one religious group to deny basic rights to others. As we know, the war ended officially in Tokyo Bay. A member of Japan’s delegation who attended the surrender went to the ceremony fully expecting to hear how the allies intended to take their vengeance on the defeated Japanese people. Instead, he heard General MacArthur speak about the future of freedom for Japan. Years later, he wrote that it was at that ceremony that he understood perhaps more clearly than any other the battlefield by the dint of superior arms; we were defeated in the spiritual conquest by virtue of a nobler idea.”

That idea—the inherent human dignity of every person—is the belief at the heart of the four freedoms. Those freedoms remain as powerful a weapon for peace and progress today as they were 75 years ago. I hope we will remember that this year.

GUN VIOLENCE

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I rise to speak about the issue of gun violence and to commend the President for announcing last week a set of commonsense steps to make our country safer. The need for action to reduce gun violence in America is urgent. About 32,000 Americans are killed by guns each year. Every day on average 297 men, women, and children are shot, 89 of them fatally. Last year by one count there were at least 372 mass shooting incidents where 4 or more people were shot—more than one a day in America. In the city of Chicago...
alone last year, 2,939 people were injured by gunfire, and at least 88 people have been shot so far this year. 2016. The 468 homicides in Chicago last year alone led the Nation—a number larger than the number of fatalities in the cities of New York or Los Angeles, which are much larger cities. This is an epidemic of gun violence in America.

Can you imagine if 32,000 Americans were dying each year from Ebola or from tacit drugs or at the hands of terrorists? Law makers would pull out all the stops to bring down those deaths. Compare the death toll from gun violence to the death toll from terrorism in the United States. According to the New America Foundation, since 9/11 a total of 32 people have been killed by terrorist incidents in America—48 have been killed by rightwing extremists and 45 have been killed by Islamic terrorists. Americans are rightly concerned about the threat of ISIS terrorism. But are we ignoring the threat posed by gun violence to the citizens of our Nation?

Sadly, for years Members of Congress have just shrugged their shoulders as each day we hear another heart-breaking story of the victims of gun violence. It is baffling to me that Congress refuses to do anything about gun violence, especially since the American people overwhelmingly on a bipartisan basis agree on commonsense steps that we should take to enforce our gun laws. He told me that in the highest crime neighborhoods of Chicago, when they confiscated the crime guns after the act, they found that as much as 40 percent of those crime guns were coming in from Indiana.

Here is an example of how it happens. In 2014 a man named David Lewisbey of South Holland, IL, was sentenced for illegally trafficking hundreds of guns from Indiana to Chicago. The U.S. attorneys, when you need to fill up the trunk of his car with ammunition and guns and to drive that Skyway over into the State of Illinois and to sell those guns in Chicago to kill innocent people.

During just one 48-hour period in 2012, Lewisbey bought 43 guns in Indiana and delivered them to a convicted felon on Chicago’s South Side. Does anyone believe he had a Second Amendment right to buy 43 guns with an illegal ID and sell them to a convicted felon? The answer is no.

If everyone who sells guns for profit at Indiana gun shows had conducted background checks, it is highly unlikely that a trafficker like this would be able to get away with this for years. The system would have caught him. But because of the loopholes in the system, the weaknesses in the law, this individual was able to avoid detection and literally supply hundreds of crime guns in Chicago. Of course, we know what happened to those guns—they turned into tragedy and havoc in the neighborhoods around that great city.

I listened so many times when critics said: Well, look at Chicago, which has the toughest gun laws in the Nation, and look at all that gun violence. Here it is: in some parts of Chicago up to 40 percent of those crime guns are coming across the border with no background checks and sold in alleyways and dark corridors of our city. This is because of weak or ineffective Illinois and Chicago laws; it is because of our inability to make the Federal law stronger.

Let’s be clear. Background checks are not a heavy burden for law-abiding gun owners. At most, they would cause a short delay in buying a gun. But when we have gaping holes in the background check system, we are basically handing guns to criminals on a silver platter.

Sadly, this Congress has so far failed to even address this problem. We weren’t able to overcome a Republican filibuster of the Manchin-Toomey legislation in 2013. We tried again last month and fell short.

The President decided to do what he can within his lawful authority to close gaps in the system. Last week the President put forth guidance that makes clear that you can be engaged in the business of selling firearms even if you aren’t a storefront operation. For too long people who sell guns for profit at gun shows or online have been able to avoid the requirement to conduct background checks. They were claiming, as we just did, that it was just a hobby. This man bought 43 guns at a gun show as a hobby and sold them to convicted felons in Chicago. The President’s guidance makes clear that if you are repetitively buying or selling guns for profit, you need to get a gun dealer license and do background checks or you are breaking the law.

Of course, the President’s actions won’t close the gun show and Internet loopholes altogether. That would take legislation. But the President’s leadership has made a move in the right direction, and it will help.

The President took other important steps last week—clearly within his constitutional authority—that will help save lives. He is working to make the background check system faster by adding more FBI examiners and improving the system’s technology. A faster system could have stopped the Charleston church shooter who killed nine people and the horrific terrorist attack. This person was able to buy a gun under another loophole in the law because the background check hadn’t been finished in 3 days. The default position, if you haven’t cleared a background check, is that the gun is sold to you. That meant that this man picked up the gun when the background check wasn’t completed and went out and caused this mayhem and took so many innocent lives.

The President is also strengthening the gun show requirements so law enforcement will know when guns are lost or stolen during shipment.

The administration is redoubling its efforts to improve mental health services and to make sure the background check system has complete records on those found to be mentally unstable.

Finally, the President has sponsored research on gun safety technology. This is critical. Right now we have security features on our phones, computers and cars to prevent thieves and unauthorized people from using them. Similar technology is available today so that an unauthorized user will not
be able to fire a gun. That means a person can’t steal a gun and resell it and a kid can’t play with a gun and hurt himself or someone else.

For reasons that cannot be explained, the gun lobby opposes gun safety technology. It is essentially saying for a boycott of any company that uses it. Now this administration is going to use its research dollars and purchasing power to promote safer gun technology. This could be a game changer when it comes to preventing gun accidents and determining who might also like to buy a firearm from having that opportunity.

I commend the President for the reasonable, commonsense steps he has taken to combat the epidemic of gun violence. The steps he announced will not prevent all gun deaths—no single measure can—but they will help.

I hope my colleagues in Congress will not take a step backward and try to undermine these basic, commonsense reforms with riders or appropriations restrictions. I am going to fight hard against the gun lobby if they try. I hope Congress will instead move forward, finish the job on background checks, and do all we can to reduce the high toll of gun violence in our communities.

Over the weekend, I was visiting with friends and former colleague Mark Pryor of Arkansas. I went down to Stuttgart, AR. Anyone who is a duck hunter in the Midwest or in America knows the name of that town. Stuttgart, AR, is probably the capital of duck hunting in the Midwest or in the United States. The local radio station there is KWAK, giving an idea of their commitment to duck season 60 days of the year when Stuttgart comes to life with hunters from all over the United States and all over the world.

Saturday afternoon I went to the largest sporting goods store, Mac’s, and watched hundreds of men and some women in camouflage clothes getting ready for the duck hunt. For them, it is not only a rite of passage, it is a way of life. They love it. You see the camouflage on everything in sight.

Of course, when you go into Mac’s, there are plenty of firearms for sale and other equipment that is needed so that you can hunt effectively and safely. You go in the store, and if you want to be a duck hunter in Arkansas, you first have to buy a license, which I did. Then you go through the ritual of making sure you have all the right equipment and getting ready to go out to hunt for ducks.

There is not a single thing proposed by President Obama that will in any way slow down or stop those men and women who want to legally use their firearms for that purpose nothing. What the President is trying to do is to stop convicted felons and people who are so mentally unstable that they shouldn’t be able to buy a firearm from having that opportunity.

It turn out an overwhelming majority of firearm owners agree with the President. You would never know it, would you, as you hear every single Republican Presidential candidate condemn President Obama’s actions.

What a chasm there is in the culture between the people who are firearm owners and who enjoy that opportunity and responsibility and those who are opposed to the political scene and ignore the fact that right now we should pass commonsense changes in the law to make them even more effective and make certain that people who misuse firearms do not have that opportunity.

I hope to work with my colleagues in the Senate and both political parties to achieve the goal of protecting the rights of those who use firearms legally, safely, and responsibly within the confines of the law and to stop the illicit trafficking of guns that are taking over 30,000 lives each and every year.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mrs. ERNST). The Senator from Ohio.

TRANS-PACIFIC PARTNERSHIP

Mr. BROWN. Madam President, after months of delay, last fall we finally came aboard the Trans-Pacific Partnership, text that corporate lobbyists had access to long before the American people and Members of Congress and their staffs did. After examining the provisions in this deal, it is clear that far too many of these provisions favor American workers and American jobs.

In the months leading up to the release of this deal, I warned that too often our trade agreements as far back as NAFTA and the Permanent Normal Trade Relations with China—not a trade agreement per se, but it had the same effect in many ways—the Central American Free Trade Agreement, the South Korea Free Trade Agreement—these trade agreements amounted to corporate welfare for some workers and to sellouts. I warned our negotiators that they needed to do more to ensure that the deal created a truly level playing field for American workers and American businesses. Unfortunately, that is not what happened, particularly when it comes to standing up for the American auto industry.

We hear often about the supposed opportunities that trade agreements will create: opportunities for more jobs, opportunities for economic growth. But when I look at the Trans-Pacific Partnership, I don’t see these actual—let’s call them offensive opportunities—and by “offensive opportunities” I mean opportunities for American products to break into new markets. This is not just playing defense, but playing offense so that we can export into these new markets.

Cheerleaders for this agreement—whether it is the Wall Street Journal editorial page, most Republicans in the Senate, or whether it is Republican leadership in the House, whether it is corporate CEOs or whether it is the White House—say that new markets will be opened for American cars, but we have heard these empty promises before.

Under TPP, many of these new markets will not be opened day one—as in the case of Malaysia and Vietnam. They won’t be open in day two or year one or year two. It will be more than a decade until American automakers have full access to these closed markets.

The TPP will do nothing to level the playing field with our top competitor, Japan, or to change Japan’s distinction as the most closed auto market in the world. We know it has been that in the past. We know it is that today. There is nothing in here that would change or open Japan’s market, to sell into the Japanese auto market.

Carmakers in Ohio and carmakers across the country will compete with huge numbers of Japanese imports. We don’t have it today, and under TPP we won’t have the same opportunity to export to Japan. That is because for decades Japan has used barriers other than tariffs to keep their markets closed. Tariffs are one way. They charge huge tariffs, causing the price of the product that—we’ll say into Japan—to be too high for the Japanese to afford, but that is not what Japan does. Their tariffs are already at zero, so an agreement on tariffs will do nothing to create a level playing field. Japan keeps our products out in much more creative ways than tariffs.

We have seen this in the wake of the Korean Free Trade Agreement. Even after our trading partners promised to remove these barriers to allow American cars into their market, they often don’t. Opening up Japan’s market didn’t work in the 1980s, it didn’t work in the 1990s, and it didn’t seem that it will be any different under the Trans-Pacific Partnership.

If there aren’t new offensives—offensives in the sense of selling into those countries—then I would expect our negotiations at least make sure this trade agreement protected American carmakers and workers from a flood of cheap foreign competition. I would hope they made sure the benefits of the agreement would only go toward its members who have been part of the negotiating process and made concessions, but it is not. It is not just the TPP countries.

That is now how I read the text, particularly when it comes to something called the rules of origin for autos. These rules of origin provide provisions to determine how much of a car is made in the TPP region, and TPP rules are weaker than NAFTA’s. That means how much of the car is actually made in the TPP countries, how much of the car must be made in the TPP countries to count as a TPP product.

That means 62.5 percent of a vehicle must be made in the NAFTA region in order for it to qualify for the benefits of the NAFTA agreement. But only 45
percent—much less than NAFTA and in some cases even less than that—of a car has to be made in the TPP region to qualify for the benefits of the agreement. Think about that. Under TPP, less than half a car has to be made in TPP to which includes Canada, Mexico, and the United States, to receive the benefits of TPP.

So what does that mean? That means more than half of the components in the car—more than half of the car—can be made in China or Mexico or even under much of its supply chain into the Trans-Pacific Partnership. Then these cars, mostly made in China, will get the benefits of the Trans-Pacific Partnership, even though they aren’t in the Trans-Pacific Partnership. As more countries join TPP, that 45-percent rule will become an even weaker standard, and fewer and fewer of our cars will come from the U.S. auto supply chain.

I never thought I would be able to say this, but this agreement makes NAFTA—an agreement I fought hard to defeat 20 years ago—look good. TPP’s auto rules were written for Japanese automakers to the benefit of Japan and at the expense of American auto jobs.

TPP will jeopardize the livelihoods of thousands of Americans, including up to 600,000 Ohioans, whose jobs depend on the U.S. auto supply chain. These aren’t just statistics. We are talking about real workers in real plants in real communities, in Ohio and across the country, with bills to pay and families to feed.

They fought hard to bring the American auto industry back to life. Their hard work made the auto rescue a success. Last year, 2015, was a record year for automakers. We can’t pull the rug out from under them now with a trade deal that sells out American auto jobs.

Think of what we have done. In 2010, only—maybe fewer than this—10 million vehicles were made in the United States. Today that number is close to 17 million. We posted 7 percent gains in sales last year. GM and Ford were not far behind with 5 percent. I am proud to say the best-selling American vehicle for 34 years running, the Ford-150, runs on engines produced in Lima, OH. Five years ago the American President, President Obama, did the right thing when he personally committed to saving the American auto industry.

If you ask people in Ohio, in Toledo, in Avon Lake, in Cleveland, in Warren, in Lordstown, they know how important the auto rescue was. We were losing hundreds of thousands of jobs a month at the beginning of President Obama’s term. We had the auto rescue the next year—we have seen job growth in this country for 70 months in a row, 70 consecutive months of job growth starting with the auto rescue.

Now I hope the President will do the right thing again and go back to the drawing board on the aspects of this trade deal that we know will cost American auto jobs.

I yield the floor. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Arkansas.

LAW ENFORCEMENT APPRECIATION DAY
OFFICER SHAWN BAKR AND DEPUTY SONNY SMITH

Mr. COTTON. Madam President, this past Saturday, January 9, was Law Enforcement Appreciation Day, a day set aside to honor the men and women who work in law enforcement, keeping our communities safe and enforcing the rule of law, which underpins any free and just society. Recently we have heard a great deal about controversies and scrutiny surrounding law enforcement in many parts of our country. It is easy to be distracted by these stories, but it is important to remember that many are inaccurate, and even the true ones are the exception, not the rule.

The rule is officers such as Little Rock Police Officer Shawn Bakr. On Saturday, Officer Bakr spent his Law Enforcement Appreciation Day and his night off working as a security guard at a local restaurant. During his shift, three armed men entered a restaurant and pointed a gun at an employee in an attempted robbery. Officer Bakr’s law enforcement instincts kicked in, and he reacted with calm dispatch. He confronted the suspects, who subsequently fled, but he bravely managed to return fire and injure one of the robbers. The other two suspects fled but have since been apprehended after a standoff with Little Rock police earlier today.

The rule is also county sheriffs such as Johnson County Reserve Deputy Sonny Smith, who died in the line of duty last year after he was shot while responding to a burglary. Deputy Smith confronted danger head-on to protect his fellow Arkansans, and he gave the full measure of devotion to duty that only those called to serve in the front lines can fully understand.

The rule is also the large group of Deputy Smith’s law enforcement colleagues who stood to the right of the stage, just hours after his death—a place typically reserved for parents—and saluted during his son’s high school graduation ceremony so he would feel the support and love of the law enforcement community to which his dad belonged.

As a soldier in Iraq and Afghanistan, my soldiers and I knew what it meant to face our enemy head-on, but at the end of our tours, we went home. Many of us worked in much less dangerous jobs at military bases around the country until our next tour or we left the service.

For law enforcement officers, there is no end to the tour. They take risks every single day, often for the lengths of their careers. Officer Bakr’s and Deputy Smith’s actions are heroic by any definition, but to them and to countless other law enforcement officers across the country, that is simply part of the job description. Each day that they go to work, our law enforcement personnel around the country put themselves in harm’s way to keep us and our communities safe.

I yield the floor. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Arkansas.

REMEMBERING DALE BUMPERS

Mr. BOOZMAN. Madam President, I am here today with my colleague Senator COTTON to honor Dale Bumpers, a longtime advocate of Arkansas, who passed away on January 1 at the age of 90 after a long life of dedicated public service.

He was a soldier and a statesman who came from the small town of Charleston, AR. He did things not because of political pressure but because he believed what he had to do. He had a good foundation to understand the needs of Arkansans. He was a businessman, taking over operations at his father’s former hardware, furniture, and appliance store, and he was a rancher and an attorney in Charleston, service. As his memoirs indicate, he as “the best lawyer in a one-lawyer town.”

Following the Supreme Court’s decision in the 1954 case Brown v. Board of Education, which outlawed segregation in schools, he advised compliance with the ruling, making it the first school district in the South to fully integrate.

He ran against incumbent Governor Winthrop Rockefeller to become the 38th Governor of the State of Arkansas. Four years later, he defeated longtime Senator William Fulbright in a primary before winning a seat in the Senate, a position he held for 24 years. He served as the chairman of the committee on small business from 1997 to 1994 and has a long list of accomplishments.

While he ended his Senate service more than a decade before I started serving in this Chamber, my colleagues who served alongside him regularly recall their memories of Senator Bumpers, a legendary orator who had a true gift for public speaking and who would tell stories in a way only a Southern gentleman with a keen sense of humor from smalltown Arkansas could. He was passionate about his convictions and spoke from his heart about matters that he believed in. In tributes to him on the floor during the last days of the 105th Congress, his colleagues described him as one of the most respected Members of this body. He was a statesman and a leader who served with the selfless dedication of Shawn Bakr and Sonny Smith, thank you for your service and for your sacrifice. May God bless you and your families and keep you safe.

I yield the floor.
for Arkansans. You could tell by all of the things that bear his name—the White River National Wildlife Refuge, the Dale Bumpers National Rice Research Center. His impact on Arkansas agriculture was recognized by the University of Arkansas board of trustees, who renamed the college of agriculture the “Dale Bumpers College of Agriculture, Food and Life Sciences.” These are just a few of the many things in Arkansas that reflect his dedication and commitment to our State.

Senator Bumpers leaves behind a legacy of public service, civic responsibility, and accomplishments that has undoubtedly made Arkansas a better place to live. I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Arkansas.

Mr. COTTON. Madam President, today I am proud to join my fellow Senator from Arkansas, JOHN BOOZMAN, and Senator Bumpers’ service, as well as our majority leader and other Senators who are reminiscing about Senator Bumpers, who passed away earlier this month. Arkansas lost one of its most distinguished public servants when former Senator and Governor Dale Bumpers died at the age of 90. As both a Governor and Senator, Dale Bumpers’ tireless dedication to our State began before I was born and spanned many decades.

As someone who grew up with Dale Bumpers already in the Senate and who was unable to ever vote for him, I asked my mom Avis about her memories of Senator Bumpers. Like so many, she was quick to remember the oratory skills for which he was so famous—not only in Arkansas but also in Washington and in the Senate, which has had its share of famous orators over its history. But she also had fond memories of him on a personal scale as well from the Mount Nebo Chicken Fry, an annual event just outside my home town of Dardanelle. In the early 1970s, as a young Governor, Senator Bumpers—then Governor Bumpers—always made it to our chicken fry. And if it weren’t for a few obvious clues—such as a State trooper or local photographers taking pictures—you wouldn’t have even known he was the top executive of our State, so humble and friendly was he to all the fairgoers. He spent time with each person there and made everyone feel like they had his full attention—the full attention of our Governor.

It is an honor to stand here today in the same institution from which he did so much great work for the State of Arkansas. Senator Bumpers was an Arkansas institution himself, and his legacy has outlived his tenure in office. We are grateful for his service and commitment to Arkansas. My thoughts and prayers are with the Bumpers family and with all Arkansans, whom he so faithfully served.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

THEODORE ROOSEVELT NATIONAL PARK

Mr. HOEVEN. Madam President, western North Dakota is getting a lot of attention these days because of its vibrant energy economy. But people also need to know about the spectacular landscape and natural beauty that thrives side by side with energy development in my home State. So I want to speak today for a few minutes about a remarkable asset in my home State of North Dakota that was highlighted this past weekend in the New York Times.

The Times ranked Theodore Roosevelt National Park in western North Dakota as fifth on its list of 52 worldwide destinations to visit in 2016. Only Mexico City, Bordeaux in France, the Mediterranean island of Malta, and the Caribbean city of Coral Bay St. John in the U.S. Virgin Islands ranked ahead of Theodore Roosevelt National Park.

Tim Neville for the New York Times wrote of the park:

"Few presidents have done as much for conservation as Teddy Roosevelt. Fly into Dickinson in western North Dakota to visit the park named after him, where rolling grasslands dotted with bison collapse into the spectacular red, white and gold badlands of tumbling mud coulees.

The more than 70,000-square-acre park consists of three parts: The south unit, which is the largest of the two units, the north unit, and the site of Roosevelt’s Elkhorn Ranch, which lies between the north and south units. The park can be traversed by lakes and rivers, as well as a dirt road. Roosevelt captured a colorful picture of life on the Elkhorn Ranch in his 1885 book called “Hunting Trips of a Ranchman.”

My home ranch-house stands on the river brink. From the low, longer veranda, shaded by leafy cotton-woods, one looks across sand bars and shallow to a strip of meadowland, behind which rises a line of sheer cliffs and grizzly mountains. The Elkhorn in western North Dakota to visit the park named after him, where rolling grasslands dotted with bison collapse into the spectacular red, white and gold badlands of tumbling mud coulees.
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congratulate them on a tremendous season as well. With Saturday’s win, the Bison became the first football team in the modern era of college football to win five consecutive championships—five titles in a row. The championships aren’t won in a single game but as a result of years of hard work. The Bison overcame injury and adversity to make it back to the title game, an achievement tremendously proud of our team, our players, our coaches, and all of their accomplishments. It was a thrill for my wife Mikey and me to join Bison Nation down in Frisco, TX—a wonderful venue for the game. Having a dedicated fan base helped make their stadium feel a lot like one of our home games at the FARGODOME. It was an amazing experience. The game started with a flyover of a B-52 bomber from the Minot North Dakota Air Force Base. In addition to the thousands of dedicated NDSU fans, Thundar, the Bison mascot, and Corso, an actual bison—an unofficial mascot of the team—made the 1,000-mile trek down to Texas. The Bison had a loyal crew cheering them on, and it helped make this “drive for five” season very memorable.

Five championships in a row is unprecedented. I want to congratulate the entire Bison community—NDSU’s leaders, the coaches, the staff, and these tremendous student athletes, as well as Bison Nation, a wonderful loyal following wherever the Bison team goes.

In recognition, I will submit the following resolution in their honor:

Whereas the North Dakota State University (referred to in this preamble as “NDSU”) Bison won the 2015 National Collegiate Athletic Association (referred to in this preamble as “NCAA”) Football Championship Subdivision title; and

Whereas the North Dakota State University Bison football team as the 2015 champion of the National Collegiate Athletic Association Division I Football Championship Subdivision;

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) congratulates the North Dakota State University Bison football team as the 2015 champion of the NCAA Division I Football Championship Subdivision;

(2) commends the North Dakota State University players, coaches, and staff for their hard work and dedication on a historic season and for fostering a continuing tradition of athletic and academic excellence; and

(3) recognizes the students, alumni, and the loyal fans who supported the Bison in their quest for a fifth consecutive Division I national championship trophy for North Dakota State University.

With that, I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

Mr. CORNYN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the roll call of the senators be suspended.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

Mr. CORNYN. Madam President, I understand that later today the House of Representatives will vote to pass a reform of the Freedom of Information Act, which is often referred to by its acronym, FOIA. I wish to say a few words about that legislation.

I applaud the effort of the House. I ask unanimous consent that the order for the roll call of the senators be suspended.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.
will be passed by the House Chamber later today.

**GUN CONTROL AND MENTAL ILLNESS**

Mr. CORNYN. Madam President, the main reason I come to the floor today is to talk about the President's most recent Executive action, this time implementing gun control measures that won't actually solve any of the problems they set out to fix and that purposely go around Congress and ignore the will of the American people. To my mind, this is one of the most aggravating things about Washington, DC, and about how business is done here. People make symbolic acts claiming that we have to “do something” but don’t actually focus on a solution that actually helps make the problem better.

None of the President’s proposals actually would reduce any of the horrific incidents of gun violence we have seen, and that is a shame because there are bipartisan proposals that have been made that actually would help. But it is only when the President works with the Congress as the Constitution requires a bill can become law. In his eagerness to go it alone, of course, the President has forsaken the constitutional process and bypassed the electorate in trying to make new policy.

He presumably is doing this as a hallmark of his tenure, and it will somehow be a legacy of his time as President. But the fact of the matter is Executive action signed by this President will not survive his own Presidency unless it is actually made into law, and then, of course, it would require another act of Congress to overcome it. That is something this President doesn’t seem to recognize. When he gets frustrated with the pace at which Congress takes up legislation, an example, the immigration issue—he decides to unilaterally issue an Executive action—which does what? Well, he offers Executive actions as a solution to a problem. But, in fact, what it does is it buys a lawsuit and it gets caught up in litigation, which is going to take years to resolve and ultimately doesn’t provide any relief to the very people the President claims to want to help.

So as a result of the President’s impatience and his eagerness to go it alone, he is actually forsaking the constitutional process that builds consensus and actually creates durable policies that will survive this President’s own administration.

This isn’t just an isolated event, as I mentioned a moment ago. According to one media report, the Obama administration aims to push almost 4,000 new regulations during his last year as President. But with his announcement last week, it is clear he has little interest in working with Congress. That is actually his job—to work with Congress, to work with us to try to find consensus and to build durable solutions to the problems that confront our Nation. It also demonstrates his lack of regard for fundamental constitutional rights as spelled out in the Constitution itself. Of course, I am talking about the Second Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

I found him particularly perplexing. First, he blamed the Congress for inaction. He said: “Congress still needs to act.” Well, actually, if what he was doing was going to solve the problem, why would Congress still need to act? And the question that he always avoided is that he knows that this is mere symbolism and it doesn’t actually solve the problem that he says exists.

So he said Congress still needs to act on gun control measures, and he claimed that this legislative body—the Congress—is simply not being responsive to the will of the American people. He even said that he feels compelled to act without consulting Congress because America doesn’t “have a Constitution that is in line with the majority of Americans.”

In other words, the President said the people of this country are demanding more symbolic gun control laws, not less. But that is not what the polling shows, the best indicator of what people are actually thinking—other than what the President’s party’s position on gun control was “outside the mainstream.” Only 38 percent said that it was “within the mainstream.”

It is also critical to point out that, as many media reports have indicated, the President’s measures would not have stopped any of the mass violence incidents that have tragically struck American communities over the last few years.

So my response to the President is this: If he is actually serious about trying to solve problems rather than just issue symbolic proclamations, he needs to roll up his sleeves and he needs to work with us to move legislation forward that focuses on the commonsense thread found in many of these mass incidents, and that has to do with the mental health issue. This is the 800-pound gorilla that is the one the President doesn’t want to talk about.

The chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee, Senator GRASSLEY, has made it quite clear that this is the issue where we would actually find consensus and help provide assistance to families and communities to help people from becoming a danger to themselves as well as the communities in which they live.

We know the facts of the cases that many times the mental health of the shooter has played a role in many of these tragedies, and it must be addressed. Many Americans, of course, agree. I think, for example, of Adam Lanza, who was the shooter at Sandy Hook in Connecticut. He was so mentally ill that he was a recluse in his own home, and the only thing his mother found she could engage him in was going out to work. Yet he basically stole his mother’s own weapons, killed her, and then tragically went to Sandy Hook Elementary School and killed a number of innocent children. If he and she had been able to go to a doctor and gotten him on medications that could have helped him from this increasing mental illness—then perhaps things would have turned out differently. That is speculation on all our parts, but perhaps treating the mental illness will actually reduce the likelihood that people will succumb to an impulse to do harm to themselves and to their communities.

According to a poll released just last week, more than 70 percent of Americans say they believe access to mental health treatment and screening would reduce these incidents of violence. I am part of that 70 percent. I firmly believe that time and again we are confronted with mental illnesses that exist, and turn into tragic headlines. We can’t responsibly stand by any longer and watch this pattern repeat itself. That is why last year I introduced a piece of legislation that was my effort to try to begin this conversation and this discussion here in the Senate.

There are other ideas. The chairman of the Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions Committee, Senator ALEXANDER, and the ranking member, Senator MURRAY, are working on some mental health reform legislation. Congressman TIM MURPHY in the House has worked on a comprehensive bill, and in the Senate Dr. BILL CASSIDY is working on that legislation. My legislation, however, will help to start the conversation and help us build that consensus that is so important.

The legislation I have introduced would improve treatment and preventive screenings and crisis response for individuals with mental illness. It would also strengthen the existing background check system, something the President says he wants to do. However, the fact of the matter is that many States, such as the State of Virginia, in the case of the Virginia Tech shooter just a short time ago, don’t even upload existing mental health adjudications into the background check system, which would have precluded the purchase of a firearm by somebody with that sort of record. So the National Instant Criminal Background Check System isn’t even a comprehensive system when it comes to identifying people who under current law should not be able to purchase a firearm.

This legislation I have offered is a step forward that will help those with mental illness get the support they need while also equipping our Nation’s
law enforcement officers to help keep our communities safe. It has been endorsed by a diverse group of organizations, including the National Alliance on Mental Illness, the National Association of Police Organizations, and the National Association of Social Workers.

I think the thing that has perhaps offended some of our Democratic colleagues is that we have actually been able to bring about a consensus, where none other has existed on this topic, by getting organizations such as the ones I mentioned, along with the National Rifle Association, to endorse the legislation I have introduced.

The fact of the matter is this legislation was aided by solutions borrowed from what is happening in Texas and particularly Bexar County and San Antonio, where I once served as a district judge.

I firmly believe that the best way we can do the work here is to learn what works at the local and State level and then to scale them up here at the national level, rather than try to do what the President seems to prefer, which is a national experiment and a one-size-fits-all approach in a country that is simple in issues that are too complex that we can’t really solve them with the wave of a magic wand or on a national basis. So let’s look at what works locally and in our States and then bring those experiences here and scale them up for the benefit of the rest of the country.

The fact of the matter is that Bexar County’s and San Antonio’s mental health program is now touted as the national standard for how to think strategically about those suffering from mental illness in the criminal justice system. Sheriff Pamerleau of Bexar County told me that a substantial portion of the jail population in San Antonio is people suffering from mental illness. Many times they go untreated and, thus, they try to self-medicate with drugs or alcohol, just making their condition that much worse. But the underlying cause of their problem is never being treated, which is the underlying mental illness.

I have heard the same story in Houston and Austin and other places. I have asked our law enforcement professionals—we simply are seeing more and more people with mental illnesses showing up in emergency rooms or living homeless on the street or ending up in our jails without their problems adequately being addressed. My legislation does try to take a crack at that. It may not be perfect. I know other people will have other ideas, but at least it is a constructive suggestion and will, I hope, fully begin a conversation that we need to have and the President says he wants to have but so far has neglected to engage in.

Congress has a role to play because we represent the American people and we represent the States where we are elected to serve. It is our responsibility to try to bring about successful reforms that we have seen work at the local and State levels. I am hopeful the Senate Judiciary Committee will hold a hearing soon. I understand we may well begin by the end of this month, and it is not a minute too soon.

We need a President who is willing to get to work and do his job and not just to make speeches or issue Executive orders and say: Well, look, I have done my part, and the rest is up to everybody else. We need a President who is willing to work with us and alongside us to tackle important issues and hopefully help protect the individuals who are suffering from mental illness, to give families more choices when dealing with a mentally ill loved one, and also hopefully to avoid these incidents of mass violence. What we don’t need is purporting to govern by Executive edict, which is what the President seems to like and prefer.

I hope the President understands that Members on both sides of the aisle in both Chambers are willing and able in good faith to work to reform our mental health system and in doing so help prevent some of the tragedies that are occurring in our communities. What we don’t need to do is to restrict the constitutional rights of law-abiding citizens, which will in no way make our communities safer but will infringe upon those constitutional rights in the Bill of Rights of the U.S. Constitution.

Many of the bills proposed, including mine, go much further than what the President announced last week in dealing with mental illness. There is a lot of work that needs to be done, and we need a President who will work with us. If he is willing to abandon this go-it-alone attitude and commit to working with the elected representatives of the American people, I think we have the opportunity to accomplish a lot for our country.

Madam President, I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. PETERS. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TRIBUTE TO RICK CARTER

Mr. PETERS. Madam President, 8 months ago, as I delivered my maiden speech in the Senate, I discussed how honored I am to have succeeded Senator Carl Levin, a mentor to me and a man who defined what it meant to be a Senator from Michigan—a feeling that has only deepened during the past year that I have served in this body.

During his 36 years of service, Senator Levin personally met with thousands of Michiganders. He remained beloved by many, including those who might never have had the opportunity to shake his hand or sit down next to him. This is due in no small part to his tireless commitment and accessibility in responding to questions and comments from his constituents, whether those issues arose in person, over the phone, in a letter, or—during the latter half of Senator Levin’s tenure—via the Senate mail. Michigan’s reaching out to his office knew that they would be heard and that they could expect a thoughtful, honest response about their Senator’s positions.

These responses—hundreds of thousands a year and millions over the course of Senator Levin’s career—were made possible by his correspondence manager, Rick Carter. Rick worked for Senator Levin for almost two decades, and I have had the privilege to have him on my team since early last year.

While I have known him for only a year, this has been more than enough time to learn that Rick is a model public servant from GW. As the great generations of congressional staffers. Rick is humble, thoughtful, and fiercely committed to working behind the scenes to help other staff succeed and to grow. He has been instrumental in establishing my Senate office, and I will be eternally grateful for this honorary Michigander’s efforts.

Rick grew up in DC. Perhaps his future career was foreshadowed by growing up in the Michigan Farm neighborhood. He was a standout student at DeMatha Catholic High School and earned a scholarship at George Washington University, where he studied sociology.

During his time at GW, he interned for Congressman John Conyers, a legend of the civil rights movement, current Dean of the House of Representatives, and a man I am honored to call my friend and a Michigan colleague.

Changing from a career in social work to focusing on issues related to mental health, Rick began what would be a 19-year career with Senator Levin. He worked his way up from the front office and mastered a number of different positions before deciding that managing the correspondence team best allowed him to balance engaging on matters of policy, serving the people of Michigan, and mentoring junior staffers.

While Rick has many skills and qualities you might expect from a seasoned staffer, including being an excellent writer, editor, and consummate professional, it is his extraordinary commitment to developing young minds that I wish to focus on for a moment. Rick has helped dozens and perhaps hundreds of young graduates, former interns, and junior staffers find jobs in public service. Along with refining writing skills and polishing resumes, Rick has taught that generation of staffers things they did not learn in college: how to be a professional, how to show up on time, and how to simultaneously function independently as well as part of a team. His former interns are legislative directors, chiefs of staff, and chief counsels. The list of favors he is owed is extensive, but he never asks for anything in return.
He might ask you to run with him, though. As a charity marathon coach, he has helped raise money to fight AIDS. As a year-round positive influence—and not just during a New Year’s resolution season—he is always looking for new ways to make a difference with his family. He is not new to the Senate, and I have even begun to speculate on the cumulative pounds lost due to his inspiration.

Rick has been a surrogate big brother and father figure for so many staffers. It is especially meaningful that Rick has supported his own family with running. The son Mason and new baby Ryan are lucky to have such a loving, dedicated dad. I wish their entire family the best as Rick starts his own small business to pursue real estate development in the DC area.

It is said that the only constant in life is change. While Rick Carter has been a constant in the Michigan delegation for more than two decades and I will miss having him in my office, I deeply appreciate his two decades of service and respect his desire to take on new challenges. Rick Carter will always be a part of both Team Levin and Team Peters.

I suggest the absence of a quorum. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Ms. KLOBUCHAR. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order of the day be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

NOMINATION OF SAMUEL HEINS

Ms. KLOBUCHAR. Madam President, I rise today to call on the Senate and all of my colleagues to allow us to move forward on the nomination of Sam Heins of Minnesota to be the U.S. Ambassador to Norway. The U.S. Ambassador position has also been held up. Coming from the State of Iowa, which I believe is over 10 percent Scandinavian—over 300,000 people—I think the Presiding Officer understands the importance of our country actually having Ambassadors to these incredibly important allies and nations.

It has now been 836 days since there was last a confirmed Ambassador to Norway, one of our most important European allies. Part of this situation was due to the noncontroversial nominees; it is related to, I suppose, other issues. Yet, I note for those Scandinavians out there, Senator Cruz has allowed votes on Ambassadors to other countries. We have Ambassadors in France, in England, in nearly every European nation, but not these two Scandinavians.

Perhaps people don’t understand the importance of these nations because they just think these people wear sweaters all the time. I don’t know what they think of Norway and Sweden, but, in fact, Senator Cruz should understand that they are two of our best allies. Norway is one of our country’s strongest and most dependable allies. I will speak more about Sweden at another time.

I plan to take to the floor repeatedly in the next month to talk about the importance of these allies and to ask Senator Cruz what he does not understand, that these are important allies.

Norway was a founding member of the NATO Alliance, and its military has played a major role in the United States in the Balkans and Afghanistan. Norwegians work alongside Americans in standing up to Russia’s provocations in Ukraine, in countering ISIS and the spread of violent extremism. Norway has allowed us to work more effectively to bring regional cooperation in the Arctic. Norway has been especially strong on the issue of the Ukraine and on the issue with Russia. I know the Presiding Officer, with her background in the military, understands that this is important that is, and certainly my colleagues across the aisle understand how important it is to have allies that will stand up to Russia.

In addition, Norway is an important economic partner. In a letter sent this July by the American Chamber of Commerce in Norway, Norway “represented the 5th fastest growing source of foreign direct investment in the United States between 2009-2013 and is the 12th largest source of foreign direct investment into the United States overall.” Right now, the United States of America for over 700 days has said to one of the top investors in our country, one of our best allies in security, “Sorry. You don’t rate getting an ambassador.”

There are also over 300 American companies with a presence in Norway, including 3M of Minnesota, Eli Lilly, General Electric, IBM, McDonald’s, and so many others.

In October Norway reiterated its commitment to Lockheed Martin with the purchase of an additional 22 F-35s. These Lockheed Martin warplanes will be built at a facility in Fort Worth, TX. I have called this to Senator Cruz’s attention. In fact, this is an enormous purchase, the biggest purchase made in the history of the country of Norway.

These companies, however, are hindered without a strong ambassador to help facilitate and strengthen economic ties between our two countries. Norway is also playing an important role in addressing the Syrian refugee crisis. Norway has a proud history of providing support to those fleeing conflict. It expects to take in as many as 25,000 refugees this year and has already provided millions of dollars to Greece to help that country respond to the influx of refugees seeking a way to enter Europe. Norway is basically on the frontline of the refugee crisis.

All of us on both sides of the aisle have talked about the importance of a strong Europe during this very difficult time. Yet, right now we have no Ambassadors in two of the countries on the frontline involved in these refugee crises, and those are Sweden and Norway.

Norway deserves a U.S. Ambassador who understands the country and is deeply committed to the relationship. I believe Mr. Heins is the right person for the job. No one has seriously questioned his qualifications for the job.

As a Senator from the State that is home to more people—more than 800,000—of Norwegian heritage than anywhere except Norway, I think it is only fitting that the nominee to be the U.S. Ambassador to Norway hail from Minnesota.

Of course, there is much more to Sam Heins than his Minnesota heritage. In addition to being a lawyer, he has demonstrated his devotion to and leadership in the cause of advancing human rights. He founded, organized, and served as the first board chair for the Minnesota Advocates for Human Rights, which monitors and reports on human rights abuses throughout the world. He also co-founded the Center for Victims of Torture, which provides services, research, and advocacy for victims of torture around the world, and continues to serve as a board member. This record of accomplishment is particularly appropriate for someone nominated to be our Ambassador to Norway. Norway has long been an international leader on human rights issues. Mr. Heins’ extensive work on human rights and with nongovernmental organizations that support human rights will be extremely helpful in sustaining and building on the strong U.S.-Norwegian partnership in this area.

Last year, as we know, Congress was able to find common ground on so many issues. We passed a budget bill, we passed a transportation bill, a historic amount of funding, an increase in funding. We got the bill done on sex trafficking that Senator CORNYN and I fought for, and I can go through a list of the work we did together across the aisle.

When it comes to foreign relations, our country has always believed that a united front is most important on the world stage. We have a united front when it comes to the countries of Norway and Sweden. We understand they are our true allies. We have a united front on these two Ambassador nominees. They are not controversial. They got through the Foreign Relations Committee. Senator CORR and Senator CARDIN have worked together to make sure they get to the floor, but...
right now Senator Cruz is holding up these nominees for reasons that are completely outside of the qualifications of the nominees. I can say this is not the way we should be conducting world business.

I am on vacation today in Norway. I will focus on Sweden in the future as I continue to give these speeches. I don’t think we can take these countries lightly just because it is cold there and darker in the winter. These are incredibly important allies and trading partners. I really need to be treated like other European nations. They deserve to have an ambassador from the United States of America.

It is time to end this delay and do the work the Senate is supposed to do. Let’s move ahead and work to confirm these qualified nominees to represent us abroad. One is a country in Europe that just bought 22 fighter planes from Lockheed Martin. If they had bought 22 fighter planes from the Presiding Officer’s State. I believe the Presiding Officer would have looked at the fact that if it is a noncontroversial nominee to a country that invests in the United States of America, that is an ambassador we need to get confirmed, and we would get this done.

I ask my colleagues to work with Senator Cruz. The hope is that given that we have seen no other opposition of any significance to these two nominees, we will be able to get this done. He has said to me personally that this is not about the qualifications of the nominees, it is simply other issues that are California and New York. They are very large States, of course, and have a large number of vacancies.

Again, I thank Senator Casey for the very constructive working relationship we have developed. I hope that the people of Pennsylvania are able to access justice in a sensible and efficient fashion. Because we have worked closely together, not only have we filled these vacancies, but we have filled courthouses—Federal courthouses have been Federal judges—that have been vacant for years. As a result, Reading, PA, now has a Federal judge serving in that courthouse. People in the surrounding area of Williamsport, PA, had to drive to a Federal magistrate judge for a Federal court, and now there is a judge serving in Williamsport. Easton, PA—likewise, the people in North Hampton County who had to drive all the way to Philadelphia to have a case dealt with can now do that in Easton. I think, and I hope, we are close to filling an empty courthouse in Erie, PA. Erie is kind of by itself out there in the northwest corner of our great State, and there ought to be a Federal judge in the Erie courthouse. It is the process of making sure that there will be, and I am sure it will come to a close soon.

Back to Judge Restrepo. The fact is Judge Restrepo is very well qualified to serve on the Third Circuit. He has served as a Federal district court judge for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania since June of 2013. I was very pleased, along with Senator Casey, to have recommended Judge Restrepo to the White House for that post and to have supported his confirmation to the district court.

In 2013, Judge Restrepo was confirmed unanimously on the Senate floor. I would love to see that occur again this evening with respect to his confirmation to the circuit court. Prior to his appointment as a district court judge, Judge Restrepo served for 7 years as a Federal magistrate judge for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and for 13 years prior to that, Judge Restrepo was a partner in the law firm of Reed Smith and handled criminal defense cases. Before that, he worked at the public defenders’ office at the Federal and State levels.

In many ways, Judge Restrepo’s life story is a classic American dream story. He was born in Medellin, Colombia, and became a U.S. citizen in 1993. He has devoted a great deal of his time and energy and considerable intellect to serving his community. He served on the board of the Make-a-Wish Foundation for Philadelphia and Susquehanna Valley. This is a foundation that grants wishes to children who have life-threatening illnesses. Judge Restrepo also gave his time to the Russell Byers Charter School in Philadelphia.

I am very confident that Judge Restrepo has the judicial experience, legal acumen, intellect, integrity, and dedication to public service to do the job that we expect him to do on the Third Circuit Court of Appeals. The Senate Judiciary Committee apparently shares my confidence, having voted overwhelmingly to confirm him with a voice vote.

I am pleased to speak on behalf of this highly qualified nominee, and I urge all of my colleagues to support his confirmation.

TRIBUTE TO OFFICER JESSE HARTNETT

Mr. President, I wish to briefly address one other item this evening before I yield the floor. I want to speak about the appalling shooting that occurred in Philadelphia just last Thursday evening when a shooter attempted to assassinate a police officer in the name of ISIS on the streets of Philadelphia. The shooter wasn’t counting on the amazing bravery of Philadelphia Police Officer Jesse Hartnett.

It was late, about 11:30 at night on Thursday, and apparently a man waved down Jesse Hartnett as he was driving along in his police cruiser. Officer Hartnett stopped the cruiser. The man got out of his car and started shooting. In total, the shooter fired 13 shots.

Cameras that happened to be in that area captured the incident. It is absolutely amazing that Officer Hartnett managed to survive. It is amazing. But he didn’t just survive. He jumped out of his patrol car. He had been hit three times and was very seriously injured. His arm was bleeding profusely. He got out of his car and chased down the shooter. He shot and wounded the would-be killer, and because of his heroic action while literally under fire, the shooter was apprehended.

This is an amazing story. It shows that there is a lot of true grit, and the people of Pennsylvania couldn’t be more proud of Officer Hartnett. Our prayers are certainly with Officer Hartnett and his family. He has a very difficult recovery ahead of him. He has already had one surgery. My understanding is that he has undergone a second surgery today, or is in the process of undergoing that surgery. The
The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate is now ready for the nomination of Judge Luis F. Restrepo, the President's nominee for appointment on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. 

I believe all of the other information is already in the RECORD, but I want to reiterate what I know Senator TOOMEY has said. This nominee is qualified by way of experience, intellect, and education, but maybe the most important thing is by way of integrity. He is someone who has the character to serve on the appellate court after serving with distinction on the U.S. district court.

Mr. BOOKER. Mr. President, today I wish to submit the unanimous consent of Judge Luis F. Restrepo, the President's nominee for appointment on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

Filling a vacancy on the third circuit is important to New Jerseyans. Because only a handful of cases each year reach the Supreme Court, circuit courts often have the final word in the vast majority of Federal cases. That means, for most of my constituents who bring cases in Federal courts, the third circuit will lower the caseload burden on that important Federal appellate court.

The third circuit currently has two judicial vacancies. The vacant seat that President nominated Judge Restrepo to fill has been declared a judicial emergency. That means it has a very heavy caseload. In fact the third circuit has more than 900 weighted filings per judge. Filling a vacancy on that important Federal appellate court will lower the caseload burden and enable access to justice for more Americans.

Judge Restrepo is a well-qualified individual. There is no question about that. He has over 10 years of experience on the Federal bench. In fact the Senate unanimously confirmed him to serve as a Federal district judge for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Prior to that, he served as a Federal magistrate judge. As a member of the Federal bench, he has presided over 56 trials that have gone to verdict or judgement.

He has a wealth of experience in both public service and private practice. He was a founding member of a Philadelphia law firm, where he practiced both criminal defense and civil rights litigation. He served as an assistant Federal defender with the Community Federal Defender for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and an assistant defender for the Defender Association of Philadelphia. He has relevant experience in both criminal and civil law, which will serve him well as a Federal appellate judge.

Judge Restrepo has excellent legal credentials. He earned his undergraduate degree from the University of Pennsylvania and his law degree from Tulane University Law School.

The work of a Federal appellate judge can often be academic as the job requires a judge to address legal issues of first impression. Judge Restrepo has more than two decades of teaching experience at both the University of Pennsylvania Law School and Temple University James E. Beasley School of Law. He also taught at a national Institute for Trial Advocacy. In addition, he has written numerous articles appearing in a variety of national legal publications.

He has dedicated his time to public service and to bettering his community. He is the former president of the Hispanic Bar Association of Pennsylvania. He served on the board of directors for the Defender Association of Philadelphia and the Make-A-Wish Foundation of Philadelphia and Susquehanna Valley. As a Federal judge, he has also participated in a reentry program to assist people recently released from federal custody to reenter the community and become productive citizens.

I believe he has a wealth of relevant experience and a strong legal background. Other Senators share my confidence in Judge Restrepo. He has the bipartisan support from both Pennsylvania Senators and was voted out of the Judiciary Committee by a unanimous voice vote.

Judge Restrepo's confirmation is also historic. He will be the first Latino judge from Pennsylvania to serve on the third circuit and only the second Latino to sit on that court. He also has the strong endorsement of the Hispanic National Bar Association. According to that distinguished organization, Judge Restrepo's "integrity, knowledge of the law, breadth of professional experience, and intellectual capacity make him well suited to sit as a federal appellate judge." I could not agree more.

I urge my colleagues to confirm Judge Restrepo to the third circuit today.

Thank you.

Mr. NELSON. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak on the nomination.

Mr. CASEY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak on the nomination.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. CASEY. Mr. President, I rise to offer some remarks about the nominee we are going to cast on Judge Restrepo, which Senator TOOMEY spoke to earlier, and I thank him for his work on this nomination.

We are finally at the point where we are voting, and we are grateful for that opportunity. Senator TOOMEY has noted and I know others are aware of Judge Restrepo's qualifications. I will highlight a few, some of it by way of reiterating some statements he has already made.

I will start with the story itself. This is a great American story. An individual came to this country from Colombia and, through hard work and the benefit of a great education, has risen to the point of being a member of the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Upon a positive confirmation vote, he will be a member of the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, the second highest Federal court in the land, just below the Supreme Court.

Judge Restrepo is a 1986 graduate of Tulane University Law School. He graduated from the University of Pennsylvania in degree in economics and international relations. As I said, he has served as a member of the U.S. district court in Philadelphia, which pretty much covers the eastern half of our state. We have a Middle District and a Western District, and his is a judge in one of the three districts.

He started there in June of 2013, so his nomination to the appeals court was a rapid rise in the Federal judiciary. Before being on the district court, he had served as a judge from April of 2006 until his appointment to the U.S. district court.

I believe all of the other information is already in the RECORD, but I want to reiterate what I know Senator TOOMEY has said. This nominee is qualified by way of experience, intellect, and education, but maybe the most important thing is by way of integrity. He is someone who has the character to serve on the appellate court after serving with distinction on the U.S. district court.

With that, I yield the floor.

Mr. BOOKER. Mr. President, today I wish to submit the unanimous consent of Judge Luis F. Restrepo, the President's nominee for appointment on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

Filling a vacancy on the third circuit is important to New Jerseyans. Because only a handful of cases each year reach the Supreme Court, circuit courts often have the final word in the vast majority of Federal cases. That means, for most of my constituents who bring cases in Federal courts, the circuit courts often have the final word in the vast majority of Federal cases. That means, for most of my constituents who bring cases in Federal courts, the circuit courts often have the final word in the vast majority of Federal cases.

The third circuit currently has two judicial vacancies. The vacant seat that President nominated Judge Restrepo to fill has been declared a judicial emergency. That means it has a very heavy caseload. In fact the third circuit has more than 900 weighted filings per judge. Filling a vacancy on that important Federal appellate court will lower the caseload burden and enable access to justice for more Americans.

Judge Restrepo is a well-qualified individual. There is no question about that. He has over 10 years of experience on the Federal bench. In fact the Senate unanimously confirmed him to serve as a Federal district judge for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Prior to that, he served as a Federal magistrate judge. As a member of the Federal bench, he has presided over 56 trials that have gone to verdict or judgement.

He has a wealth of experience in both public service and private practice. He was a founding member of a Philadelphia law firm, where he practiced both criminal defense and civil rights litigation. He served as an assistant Federal defender with the Community Federal Defender for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and an assistant defender for the Defender Association of Philadelphia. He has relevant experience in both criminal and civil law, which will serve him well as a Federal appellate judge.

Judge Restrepo has excellent legal credentials. He earned his undergraduate degree from the University of Pennsylvania and his law degree from Tulane University Law School.

The work of a Federal appellate judge can often be academic as the job requires a judge to address legal issues of first impression. Judge Restrepo has more than two decades of teaching experience at both the University of Pennsylvania Law School and Temple University James E. Beasley School of Law. He also taught at a national Institute for Trial Advocacy. In addition, he has written numerous articles appearing in a variety of national legal publications.

He has dedicated his time to public service and to bettering his community. He is the former president of the Hispanic Bar Association of Pennsylvania. He served on the board of directors for the Defender Association of Philadelphia and the Make-A-Wish Foundation of Philadelphia and Susquehanna Valley. As a Federal judge, he has also participated in a reentry program to assist people recently released from federal custody to reenter the community and become productive citizens.

I believe he has a wealth of relevant experience and a strong legal background. Other Senators share my confidence in Judge Restrepo. He has the bipartisan support from both Pennsylvania Senators and was voted out of the Judiciary Committee by a unanimous voice vote.

Judge Restrepo's confirmation is also historic. He will be the first Latino judge from Pennsylvania to serve on the third circuit and only the second Latino to sit on that court. He also has the strong endorsement of the Hispanic National Bar Association. According to that distinguished organization, Judge Restrepo's "integrity, knowledge of the law, breadth of professional experience, and intellectual capacity make him well suited to sit as a federal appellate judge." I could not agree more.

I urge my colleagues to confirm Judge Restrepo to the third circuit today.

Thank you.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Florida?

Mr. NELSON. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that I may be recognized as in morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. NELSON. Mr. President, I also ask unanimous consent that I be able to...
Mr. NELSON. Mr. President, in the Senate last year we passed the childproofing of caps on liquid nicotine. That legislation just passed today in the House and will go to the President for signature. This is important because it means that these bottles of liquid nicotine for these e-cigarettes, or electronic cigarettes, have not been childproofed. Therefore, if a child gets one of these bottles and it does not have the cap that they can’t get off, we now know the experience of liquid nicotine, indeed it is fatal.

We have had a couple of fatalities in this country. Therefore, it was common sense for us to require—and thankfully, the liquid nicotine industry was not opposed to make these childproof. But that will now be in the law. Let me point out something. This is aside from the question of whether you should be inhaling this stuff in an e-cigarette. I think people are finding out that this is becoming quite dangerous as well. But aside from that issue, this was the issue of protecting children.

Look at this. It has pictures of fruit all over it, and it is called “Juicy Juce.” It is something that is going to attract an infant’s or a child’s attention. It is the same thing over here. It has pictures of all kinds of happy things. I have seen others that have labels of juicy fruit. I have seen others that have multicolored labels that are very attractive. Common sense tells us if you are putting a product out that can kill children—just like some of the soaps that are put out for washing in these little plastic bags that disintegrate when they get into water in your dishwasher or in your washing machine, and it smells so good, and they are grape scents—a child smells that and it feels so good and it is so soft. Where is it going to end up in an infant? They are going to put it in their mouth. We have had some deaths there. But that is another battle for another day. At least we have won one little battle.

I am happy to report to the Senate that what we passed in the Senate in a bipartisan manner last year now passed the House today and will go to the President to be signed into law.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. BROWN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. BROWN. Mr. President, I came from an informal hearing—not an official Senate hearing but a hearing anyway. Senator Levin, who is the senior Democrat on the Ways and Means Committee. A number of other Members were there, including my colleague from Ohio, Representative Kaptur, and a number of people the Presiding Officer served with in Congress. After Senator BAYH, BUNES, RANGEL, PASCRELL, DOGGETT, and SCHIFF. We discussed the Trans-Pacific Partnership.

I spoke earlier on this today. I know Senator McConnell has said that he will not bring it up this year, I think in large part because of the opposition from the country. Senator Lott, the Republican leader, a decade or so ago said that you can’t pass a trade agreement in an even-numbered year. He stopped us a few trade agreements. I believe he and most in his party supported NAFTA and CAFTA. He wasn’t here for CAFTA but he was for some of those other trade agreements. But he said that because of what we are voting for Senate today. I do think the public doesn’t want us to vote for these trade agreements.

My first year in Congress, I spent much of the year working in opposition to the North American Free Trade Agreement. I have seen a number of these: NAFTA, PNTR with China, CAFTA, the trade agreement with Korea, big promises about jobs, big claims about jobs, and exaggerated commitments about jobs. Every time we lose jobs from these trade agreements. Our trade deficit is up to a couple billion dollars a day now. But if you buy a billion dollars of products from other countries more than we export and sell, every single day, well over a billion, think it is $2 billion—almost $2 billion every single day, well over a billion, but the numbers are not precise—in trade deficit, where we buy from other countries more than we export and sell to other countries, we know it is costing us jobs.

One of the other things that came out of this discussion was a number of ways and means committee members, small business, a former trade negotiator, and a union representative there was how we have seen increasingly companies in Little Rock, in Dayton or in Toledo shut down production here and move it overseas and then sell those products back into the United States.

The auto industry has not done much of that. When the auto industry sets up in Asia and are manufacturing cars, they typically sell them in that part of the world. Unfortunately, GM just announced that they are going to be making an SUV plant in China and selling those products back into the United States. That is a terrible trend.

The reason I stopped on the floor before the vote in a couple of minutes is to say this: The Trans-Pacific Partnership has set us up in a way that will make us worse. We are not doing anything that will make it worse. We are in Canada, the United States, and Mexico—I strongly oppose NAFTA. But under that trade agreement, products in automobiles—almost two-thirds of all of the components in an automobile—had to be made in one of these three countries, in order to get benefits from NAFTA for those companies, those products. Now there are 12 countries in the Trans-Pacific Partnership and fewer than half the components have to be made in one of these 12 countries.

What does that mean? It means that more than half of an automobile can come from parts made in China but sold in the United States tariff-free under the Trans-Pacific Partnership. How can we possibly think that makes sense as a policy? That is fundamentally why the Trans-Pacific Partnership does not make sense for our country. It doesn’t make sense for small businesses in Mansfield, OH, or in Chippewa, WI. Or doesn’t make sense for the up to 600,000 workers in my State—some 600,000 workers who are in the auto supply chain. We know a lot of them will lose jobs under the Trans-Pacific Partnership.

I yield the rest of my time to Senator Leahy.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I thank the distinguished Senator from Ohio.

We are finally going to vote on the long overdue confirmation of Judge Luis Felipe Restrepo to fill a judicial emergency vacancy on the United States Court of Appeals for the third circuit in Pennsylvania. He was nominated way over a year ago—nearly 14 months ago—with strong bipartisan support from home State Senators. This is a case where, unfortunately, the Republican leadership has subjected Judge Restrepo to totally unnecessary delay as part of their wholesale obstruction of judicial nominees. Their actions hurt not only the people of Pennsylvania, but also Americans across the country as judicial vacancies have remained unfilled nationwide after Republicans took over the Senate majority last year.

I hope that today’s vote and the agreement to vote on four district court nominees this week portends a return to the Senate fulfilling its constitutional duty of providing advice and consent on the President’s nominees. In all of 2015, Senate Republicans allowed votes on only 11 judicial nominations. This matched the record for confirming the fewest number of judicial nominees in more than half a century. I mentioned because Democrats took the majority in the last 2 years of President Bush’s term. We confirmed 40 judges during that year—
40. I was chairman, I remember that very well. I didn’t want to repeat the things that we saw during the Clinton administration, where the Republicans came in and the then-Republican chairmam of the Senate Judiciary Committee allowed the Republican senator to提名 nominee by not allowing them to have a vote in committee. I said: Let’s move faster. I moved 40 through. Did the Republicans do the same? No, they allowed 11.

Republicans also left town at the end of last year with 19 judicial nominees still pending on the floor, including Judge Restrepo. Each of the nominees has the support of their home state Senators and their nominations were reported out of the Judiciary Committee by voice vote. These are the kind of noncontroversial judicial nominees that the Senate has traditionally confirmed at the end of a session. During the Obama administration, however, Republicans have rejected this practice.

Judge Restrepo exemplifies the kind of consensus nominee that should have been easily confirmed at the end of the session. He is nominated to fill an emergency vacancy on the Third Circuit Court of Appeals, which has two vacant judgeships in Pennsylvania. He has the strong bipartisan support of his home state Senators, Senator CASEY and Senator TOOMEY. In fact, Senator TOOMEY personally recommended Judge Restrepo to the President for the nomination. In 2013, this body confirmed Judge Restrepo’s nomination to the Federal district court by voice vote. I have heard no objection from any Senator to Judge Restrepo’s nomination. I cannot believe this man who will be the first Hispanic judge from Pennsylvania for the third circuit was humiliated by having to wait 14 months. This highly qualified Hispanic judge was told to go to the back of the line and wait 14 months. It is wrong. It is absolutely wrong.

I will vote to confirm Judge Restrepo. Since 2013, he has served as a judge on the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. For the seven years prior, he served as a Federal magistrate judge on the same court. Before joining the bench, Judge Restrepo was in private practice as a named partner at Krasner & Restrepo. He began his legal career serving as a public defender as an Assistant Defender for the Defender Association of Philadelphia before becoming an Assistant Federal Defender for the Federal Community Defender Office for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. He was appointed the Irving R. Segal Lecturer of the HNBA’s Policies and Procedures Governing Judicial Endorsements. After a careful review, it is clear that Judge Restrepo possesses the professional expertise, experience, personal integrity and judicial temperament to distinguish himself as a federal appellate judge. Accordingly, we urge you to confirm his nomination to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

In addition to the nominees pending on the floor, there are also four Pennsylvania district court nominees that the Senate Judiciary Committee is poised to report out this month. I sincerely hope the juniorSenator from Pennsylvania can convince the Republican Majority Leader not to submit these nominees to the extensive confirmation delay that Judge Restrepo endured. The people of Pennsylvania have waited long enough. I also understand that the White House has been working for months with Senator TOOMEY and Senator CASEY on the second Pennsylvania vacancy on the third circuit. I look forward to the Judiciary Committee confirming that nominee next week.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

**MARCH 31, 2015**

Re Hispanic National Bar Association Nomination of The Honorable Luis Felipe Restrepo to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

Hon. CHUCK GRASSLEY, U.S. Senator, Washington, DC.

Hon. PATRICK LEAHY, U.S. Senator, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN GRASSLEY AND RANKING MEMBER LEAHY: On behalf of the Hispanic National Bar Association ("HNBA"), we write to recommend the confirmation of the Honorable Luis Felipe Restrepo to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. As explained, Judge Restrepo exemplifies the kind of noncontroversial judicial nominee that should have been confirmed at the end of last year, the Majority Leader will schedule confirmation votes on four district court nominees between now and the President’s Day recess. After a voice vote on those nominees, there will likely be vacancies from Tennessee, Maryland, New Jersey, Nebraska, New York, and California pending on the floor, nearly all of whom would fill emergency vacancies. Votes on these nominees must be scheduled without further delay.

Let’s start facing up to fact that we have enormous problems with judiciary emergencies in States where both Republicans and Democrats have supported the nominees. Let them come forward without delay. Let’s stop making the Federal courts a political pawn. It is bad enough with all the political shenanigans going on in this country anyway in an election year. Don’t do them with the Federal court system. We have the best, the most honest, the least partisan Federal court system anywhere in the world. But don’t say: Oh, you are a highly qualified Hispanic nominee, but you just wait there for 14 months, be humiliated, and then we will allow you to have a vote. I don’t care whether someone is Hispanic or non-Hispanic; we have so many men and women who are highly qualified.

The HNBA is a non-profit, non-partisan national membership association that represents the interests of Hispanic attorneys, judges, law professors, law students, and legal professionals in the United States and Puerto Rico. One of the HNBA’s many institutional objectives is to advocate and work to ensure that the federal and state courts in our nation are diverse and reflect the citizenry that come before our courts daily.

Judge Restrepo sought the HNBA’s endorsement shortly after President Obama nominated him to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. The HNBA conducted a thorough due diligence process that included interviews of personal and professional references (including judges and attorneys), a review of his scholarly writings and legal opinions, and a thorough Internet search. We also have considered his background and qualifications in the context of the requirements of the position for which he was nominated, as well as the requirements of the HNBA’s Policies and Procedures Governing Judicial Endorsements. After a careful review, it is clear that Judge Restrepo possesses the professional expertise, experience, personal integrity and judicial temperament to distinguish himself as a federal appellate judge. Accordingly, we urge you to confirm his nomination to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

Prior to being sworn in as a District Judge for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania in 2006, Judge Restrepo was a highly-regarded Philadelphia attorney and founding member of the firm of Kramer & Restrepo, concentrating on criminal defense and civil rights litigation. Before forming his law firm, he served as an assistant federal defender with the Community Federal Defender Office for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. He is an adjunct professor at Temple University James E. Beasley School of Law and an adjunct professor at the University of Pennsylvania Law School from 1997-2009 where he was appointed the Irving R. Segal Lecturer in diversity, and has taught with the National Institute for Trial Advocacy in regional and national programs since 1991. He
has been a lecturer at seminars sponsored by a number of agencies and organizations and has written numerous articles appearing in a variety of national publications. Throughout his career as Judge Restrepo, he has devoted his time and expertise to a variety of boards and commissions as well as the Eastern District prisoner reentry program.

The HNBA’s due diligence process has confirmed that Judge Restrepo’s integrity, knowledge of the law, breadth of professional experience, and intellectual capacity make him well suited to sit as a federal appellate judge. Accordingly, it is with great pride that we humbly offer our privilege of endorsing the Honorable Luis Felipe Restrepo and recommend his confirmation to serve as a Judge on the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. Please do not hesitate to contact us at the HNBA National Office at (202) 223-4777, or may contact Cynthia D. Mares directly at (720) 314-2956 or by e-mail at president@hnba.com, if we can be of any further assistance.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

CYNTHIA D. MARES,
HNBA National President
ROBERT RABEN,
Chair, HNBA Judiciary Committee.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I know the time for the vote is upon us.

Have the yees and nays been ordered?

Mr. LEAHY. I ask for the yees and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The yees and nays are ordered.

The question is, Will the Senate adjourn to vote on the Budget Resolution?

Mr. LEAHY. I yield back all time, and I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, all time is yielded back.

The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the nomination of Luis Felipe Restrepo, of Pennsylvania, to be United States Circuit Judge for the Third Circuit?

The yees and nays have been ordered.

The clerk will call the roll.

Mr. CORNYN. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. CASSIDY), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. GRAHAM), the Senator from Mississippi (Mr. FRANKEN), the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS), and the Senator from Michigan (Ms. STABENOW) are necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. LANKFORD). Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 82, nays 6, as follows:

Alexander        Blumenthal       Brown            Burr             Cantwell        Capito         Cassidy        Cornyn       Coats
Aytote           Booker           Boxman           Boxer           Brown           Burr           Cantwell       Cornyn       Crapo
Baldwin          Barrasso        Bennet           Blumenental     Booneman        Brown          Brown          Burr          Capito
Barrasso         Booker           Bennet           Blumenthal      Boozean         Brown          Brown          Burr          Cantwell
Bennet           Booker           Bennet           Blumenthal      Boxman          Brown          Brown          Burr          Cantwell
Boozman          Brown           Brown            Burr            Cantwell       Capito         Cassidy        Cornyn       Coats
Boxer            Brown           Brown            Burr            Cantwell       Capito         Cassidy        Cornyn       Coats
Boxman           Brown           Brown            Burr            Cantwell       Capito         Cassidy        Cornyn       Coats
Broun            Burr            Burr             Burr            Burr
BYEAS—82

The nomination was confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid upon the table and the President will be immediately notified of the Senate’s action.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate will resume legislative action.

MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Rhode Island.

CLIMATE CHANGE

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Happy new year. Nothing says “Happy new year” like the “Time to Wake Up” speech, so I will kick off 2016 with my year-opener “Time to Wake Up” speech recapping some of last year’s climate change milestones.

They say you only get one chance to make a first impression, and the first impression Senate Republicans chose to make in 2015 was to use their first 3 weeks of floor time—3 full weeks of precious floor time—to help a foreign oil company’s tar sands pipeline. Even though it meant the government condemned American farms, even though the President was sure to veto it, that was their opener.

By the end of the year, things had changed. The Republican leader was warning Senate Republicans against the clear Power Plan deep in the news of the terrible Paris massacres and collapsing votes together to minimize floor time on this issue. The Republican majority opened 2015 with a big oil bang but crept out of the year with a whimper.

Things indeed changed in 2015. Of course, the scientific evidence continued to show that fossil fuel pollution was damaging our environment and our oceans and our economy. And 2015 was record-setting hot. As of November it shows that 2015 is on track to be the hottest year globally since we began keeping records in 1880. We can see that the 2015 running monthly global temperature average is above the 6th warmest years on record in every month for which data is available.

The Director of NASA’s Goddard Institute for Space Studies estimates the probability of 2015 being the hottest on record at better than 99 percent. He has labeled 2015 a “scorcher.” But that won’t be official until later this month. It is no fluke.

The World Meteorological Organization reports the recent 5-year period—2011 to 2015—as the warmest 5-year period on record, and 2015 was the first year where monthly average carbon dioxide concentrations exceeded 400 parts per million, and it did so for more than 3 months. Bear in mind that for as long as human beings have been on this planet Earth, we have existed safely in a range of 170 to 300 parts per million. We are outside of that by almost the entire range, and we know this from ice cores which contain tiny bubbles of ancient atmospheres. I saw these ice cores last October at Ohio State University. World-renowned atmospheric scientists, the husband-and-wife team Dr. Ellen Mosley-Thompson and Dr. Lonnie Thompson, worked for years to retrieve cores from around the world and to test the ancient air captured inside. The lesson of these cores is clear. Humans have fundamentally altered the chemistry of the Earth’s air and that our greenhouse gas emissions are rapidly altering our climate. Scientists now say that we have so altered the Earth as to consider ourselves in a new geologic epoch, the Anthropocene.

In 2015, the oceans kept shoving at us to wake up. Throughout 2015, evidence continued to document our oceans warming, rising, and acidifying. And 2015 brought the first nationwide study assessing the vulnerability of America’s $1 billion shellfish industry to ocean acidification, documenting the risk to 15 coastal States, such as Louisiana, Texas, Maine, and Rhode Island.

The Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences in October reported on climate change’s threats to fish integral to human diets, predicting a dramatic collapse in the world’s largest ecosystem, our oceans. The great corrupt denial machine the fossil fuel industry supports never talks about our oceans’ fate. It’s all about evidence: it is just an obstacle to their fossil fuel PR campaign. They just want to create phony doubt. But since there is not much room for doubt...
in measurements of warming, rising, and acidifying seas, they won’t go there. Nevertheless, 2015 was another bad year for oceans.

Mr. President, 2015 was also the year journalists, academics, and investigators took a hard look at the big, phone, climate denial apparatus. The year 2015 brought reports that Exxon knew climate change was real but funded the climate denial apparatus real, reports of how fossil fuel money influenced the front groups’ language and networks of influence and fossil fuel money controlling politics. Report after report showed fossil fuel money pouring into dozens of front groups, creating phony doubt and controversy, then propagated through media outlets such as FOX News and the Wall Street Journal editorial page.

If you doubt that climate change is real, you have been had. It is really that simple. It is a racket. And 2015 was the year when many voices began asking for a racketeering investigation into a fraud of historic proportions.

Mr. President, 2015 was a year of growing public recognition across America that we need to act. A 2015 Stanford poll found that 83 percent of Americans, including 6 in 10 Republicans, want action to reduce carbon emissions. For the first time, a majority of self-identified Republicans now believe there is solid evidence of global warming. The Tea Party cohort, among sensible Republicans, the number goes even higher. Among young Republican voters—Republican voters under age 35—most said they would describe a climate denier as “ignorant,” “out of touch,” or “crazy.”

In 2015, the EPA launched the Clean Power Plan, our Nation’s most ambitious effort yet. It is the first-ever plan to reduce carbon pollution from the largest emitting sources within the U.S., carbon emissions from our electric system—powerplants. The Clean Power Plan is projected to both cut carbon emissions and save Americans money on their annual energy bills.

In 2015, the Obama administration at last rejected the Keystone XL Pipeline—a great victory for the environmental movement after the 400,000-person climate march in New York City. In 2015, Pope Francis—the world leader of the Catholic Church—added his holy voice to this call. “Humanity,” Pope Francis said, “is called . . . to combat this warming or at least the human causes which produce or aggravate it.” Specifically, the Pope said, “[T]echnology based on the use of highly polluting fossil fuels, needs to be progressively replaced without delay.”

Pope Francis’s encyclical said something to Congress:

To take up these responsibilities, and the costs they entail, politicians will inevitably clash with the most potent interest group of short-term economics and politics. But if they are courageous, they will attest to their God-given dignity and leave behind a testimony of selfless responsibility.

And 2015 showed some signs of political courage, dignity, and responsibility. Republican Congressman Bob Inglis said a beating at the hands of the fossil fuel industry, but he did not give up the fight. Our colleague LINDSEY GRAHAM ran for the Republican nomination on a sensible climate change platform. He and other Senate Republicans, led by Congresswoman LISA SENATE Republican study group. Twelve House Republicans, led by Congressman CHRIS GIBSON of New York, broke with their party’s Orthodoxy and sponsored a resolution committing to address climate change by promoting ingenuity, innovation, and exceptionalism. It is not much yet, but it is a start. It is a turn.

Perhaps the biggest milestone of 2015 was the Paris agreement reached in December, with 190 countries agreeing to a global effort to address climate change. One key element was that more than 150 major U.S. companies signed on to the American Business Act on Climate Pledge, calling for strong outcomes in the Paris climate negotiations. These companies’ operations constitute 24 percent of the country’s emissions. They employ nearly 11 million people, they represent more than $1.2 trillion in annual revenue, and they have a combined market capitalization of over $7 trillion. These are blue-chip American icons such as AT&T, Coca-Cola and UPS of Georgia, Procter & Gamble of Ohio, and Walmart of Arkansas. How long can Republicans ignore them?

You know the phrase about lipstick on a pig? Well, 2015 brought so much change that even the big fossil fuel pigs felt they had to try on a little lipstick. Typical of them, it was bogus—just enough happy talk about climate change and carbon fees to get the CEOs through a party without being shunned, while here in Congress, their whole brutal political apparatus, up to and including the U.S. Chamber of Commerce—which these days should probably be called the U.S. Chamber of Carbon—kept relentlessly hammering against any prospect of meaningful climate legislation. Real or not, it is noteworthy that the big oil tycoons at least felt the need for some lipstick.

Speaking of piggy, 2015 was also the year the International Monetary Fund calculated the effective public subsidy of the fossil fuel industry at $700 billion per year just in the United States alone. Remember when the costs of carbon pollution are not factored into the price, those costs become a public subsidy—a market failure. This subsidy climbs into the trillions of dollars worldwide. If that is not piggy, nothing is.

My biggest prayer for 2016 is the American business coalition from Paris helping Republican colleagues acknowledge publicly what many have concluded privately; that it is time for Congress to address climate change. If Republicans can get some relief from the brutal political pressure of the fossil fuel industry, there are conservative-friendly solutions at hand. Every Republican who has thought this problem through to a solution comes to the Senate with a friendlier face. Treasurer Secretary and Secretary of State George Shultz, President Reagan’s economic adviser Art Laffer, President George W. Bush’s Treasury Secretary Hank Paulson, and his Council of Economic Advisers Chair Greg Mankiw, former Congressman Bob Inglis, and many others, all advocated last year that a carbon fee is the efficient way to correct the market failure that lets the fossil fuel industry pollute for free.

Four former Republican EPA Administrators, Bill Ruckelshaus, Christine Todd Whitman, Lee Thomas, and Bill Reilly, wrote: “A market-based approach, like a carbon tax, would be the best path to reducing greenhouse-gas emissions.”

Even a columnist at the Wall Street Journal, whose editorial page is notoriously fossil fuel friendly, wrote: “There’s no dispute among economists on the most cost-effective way to reduce emissions: a carbon tax.”

Well, we have one. In 2015, the conservative American Enterprise Institute hosted the announcement of my legislation with Senator SCHATZ, creating a revenue-neutral carbon fee, with make-overs of the revenues kept within the Federal Government but instead being used to provide massive corporate tax reductions and personal tax rebates. We have gone to exactly where Republicans are pointing. So please, colleagues, take yes for an answer. Join us, and let’s get to work.

Mr. President, 2015 was a year the tide turned in Congress, from that opening Keystone Pipeline political fanfare to the buried, quiet, end-of-the-year votes on the President’s Clean Power Plan, to the Republicans even voting to support President Obama on those votes. It was a turning year and a new year now begins. We still need to wake up. We still need to get to work. We still have a duty before us, and it is a duty we should not shirk. I pray that 2016 will be the year, and I promise to do everything in my power to make it the year.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. McCONNEL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

BUDGET SCOREKEEPING REPORT

Mr. ENZI. Mr. President, I wish to submit to the Senate the budget scorekeeping report for January 2016. The report compares current law levels...
of spending and revenues with the amounts provided in the conference report to accompany S. Con. Res. 11, the budget resolution for fiscal year 2016. This information is necessary to determine whether budget points of order lie against pending legislation. It was prepared by the Republican staff of the Senate Budget Committee and the Congressional Budget Office, CBO, pursuant to section 308(b) of the Congressional Budget Act, CBA.

The first scorekeeping report for this calendar year but the fifth report I have made since adoption of the fiscal year 2016 budget resolution on May 5, 2015. My last filing can be found in the Congressional Record on December 2, 2015. The information contained in this report is current through January 5, 2016.

Table 1 gives the amount by which each Senate authorizing committee is below or exceeds its allocation under the budget resolution. This information is used for enforcing committee allocations pursuant to section 302 of the CBA. Over the fiscal year 2016–2025 period, which is the entire period covered by S. Con. Res. 11, Senate authorizing committees have spent $148 billion more than the budget resolution calls for.

Table 2 gives the amount by which the Senate Committee on Appropriations is below or exceeds the statutory spending limits. This information is used to determine points of order related to the spending caps found in section 312 and section 314 of the CBA. On December 18, 2015, the President signed H.R. 2029, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016, P.L. 114–113, into law. This bill provided regular appropriations in section 251(b)(2) of BBEDCA. The first scorekeeping report for this calendar year but the fifth report I have made since adoption of the fiscal year 2016 budget resolution on May 5, 2015. My last filing can be found in the Congressional Record on December 2, 2015. The information contained in this report is current through January 5, 2016.
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The following table shows the amount that is below or exceeds the statutory spending limits. This information is used to determine points of order related to the spending caps found in section 312 and section 314 of the CBA. On December 18, 2015, the President signed H.R. 2029, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016, P.L. 114–113, into law. This bill provided regular appropriations in section 251(b)(2) of BBEDCA. The first scorekeeping report for this calendar year but the fifth report I have made since adoption of the fiscal year 2016 budget resolution on May 5, 2015. My last filing can be found in the Congressional Record on December 2, 2015. The information contained in this report is current through January 5, 2016.
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Table 2 gives the amount by which the Senate Committee on Appropriations is below or exceeds the statutory spending limits. This information is used to determine points of order related to the spending caps found in section 312 and section 314 of the CBA. On December 18, 2015, the President signed H.R. 2029, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016, P.L. 114–113, into law. This bill provided regular appropriations in section 251(b)(2) of BBEDCA. The first scorekeeping report for this calendar year but the fifth report I have made since adoption of the fiscal year 2016 budget resolution on May 5, 2015. My last filing can be found in the Congressional Record on December 2, 2015. The information contained in this report is current through January 5, 2016.

Table 1 gives the amount by which each Senate authorizing committee is below or exceeds its allocation under the budget resolution. This information is necessary to determine whether budget points of order lie against pending legislation. It was prepared by the Republican staff of the Senate Budget Committee and the Congressional Budget Office, CBO, pursuant to section 308(b) of the Congressional Budget Act, CBA.

The following table shows the amount that is below or exceeds the statutory spending limits. This information is used to determine points of order related to the spending caps found in section 312 and section 314 of the CBA. On December 18, 2015, the President signed H.R. 2029, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016, P.L. 114–113, into law. This bill provided regular appropriations in section 251(b)(2) of BBEDCA. The first scorekeeping report for this calendar year but the fifth report I have made since adoption of the fiscal year 2016 budget resolution on May 5, 2015. My last filing can be found in the Congressional Record on December 2, 2015. The information contained in this report is current through January 5, 2016.

Table 2 gives the amount by which the Senate Committee on Appropriations is below or exceeds the statutory spending limits. This information is used to determine points of order related to the spending caps found in section 312 and section 314 of the CBA. On December 18, 2015, the President signed H.R. 2029, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016, P.L. 114–113, into law. This bill provided regular appropriations in section 251(b)(2) of BBEDCA. The first scorekeeping report for this calendar year but the fifth report I have made since adoption of the fiscal year 2016 budget resolution on May 5, 2015. My last filing can be found in the Congressional Record on December 2, 2015. The information contained in this report is current through January 5, 2016.
### TABLE 4.—SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE—ENACTED CHANGES IN MANDATORY SPENDING PROGRAMS (CHIMPS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHIMPS Limit for Fiscal Year 2016</th>
<th>19,100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senate Appropriations Subcommittees</td>
<td>Agriculture, Rural Development, and Related Agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies</td>
<td>9,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy and Water Development</td>
<td>725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Services and General Government</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies</td>
<td>6,799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Construction and Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Foreign Operations, and Related Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current Level Total | 17,786 |
Total CHIMPS Above (+) or Below (−) Budget Resolution | −1,314 |

### TABLE 5.—SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE—ENACTED CHANGES IN MANDATORY SPENDING PROGRAM (CHIMP) TO THE CRIME VICTIMS FUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget authority, millions of dollars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homeland Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Branch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Construction and Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Foreign Operations, and Related Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current Level Total | 9,000 |
Total CVF CHIMP Above (+) or Below (−) Budget Resolution | −1,800 |

### CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE,

U.S. CONGRESS,


Hon. MIKE ENCE, Chairman, Committee on the Budget, U.S. Senate, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: The enclosed report shows the effects of Congressional action on the fiscal year 2016 budget and is submitted through January 5, 2016. This report is submitted under section 506(b) and in aid of section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, as amended.

The estimates of budget authority, outlays, and revenues are consistent with the technical and economic assumptions of S. Con. Res. 11, the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2016. Since our last letter dated December 2, 2015, the Congress has cleared and the President has signed the following acts that affect budget authority, outlays, or revenues for fiscal year 2016:

- Federal Perkins Loan Program Extension Act of 2015 (Public Law 114–105);
- Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 (Public Law 114–113); and
- Patient Access and Medicare Protection Act (Public Law 114–115).

Sincerely,

KEITH HALL,
Director.

Enclosure.

### CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE,

U.S. CONGRESS,


Hon. MIKE ENCE, Chairman, Committee on the Budget, U.S. Senate, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: The enclosed report shows the effects of Congressional action on the fiscal year 2016 budget and is submitted through January 5, 2016. This report is submitted under section 506(b) and in aid of section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, as amended.

The estimates of budget authority, outlays, and revenues are consistent with the technical and economic assumptions of S. Con. Res. 11, the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2016. Since our last letter dated December 2, 2015, the Congress has cleared and the President has signed the following acts that affect budget authority, outlays, or revenues for fiscal year 2016:

- Federal Perkins Loan Program Extension Act of 2015 (Public Law 114–105);
- Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 (Public Law 114–113); and
- Patient Access and Medicare Protection Act (Public Law 114–115).

Sincerely,

KEITH HALL,
Director.

Enclosure.

### TABLE 2.—SUPPORTING DETAIL FOR THE SENATE CURRENT LEVEL REPORT FOR ON-BUDGET SPENDING AND REVENUES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016, AS OF JANUARY 5, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(In millions of dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budget Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriation legislation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate Current Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Budget Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security Revenues</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Congressional Budget Office.

* Excludes emergency funding that was not designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.

* Excludes administrative expenses paid from the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund of the Social Security Administration, which are off-budget, but are appropriated annually.

### TABLE 5.—SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE—ENACTED CHANGES IN MANDATORY SPENDING PROGRAM (CHIMP) TO THE CRIME VICTIMS FUND—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget authority, millions of dollars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homeland Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislative Branch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Construction and Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Foreign Operations, and Related Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current Level Total | 9,000 |
Total CVF CHIMP Above (+) or Below (−) Budget Resolution | −1,800 |

### APPENDIX

**Notes:**
- n.a. = not applicable
- P.L. = Public Law

* The following acts that affect budget authority, outlays, or revenues, were cleared by the Congress during this session, but before the adoption of S. Con. Res. 11, the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2016:
HOONRING TECHNICAL SERGEANT JOSEPH G. LEMM

MR. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I would like to pay tribute today to the life of TSgt Joseph G. Lemm who was killed while serving his country in Afghanistan. Joe was born in Dubuque, IA, and lived in the nearby town of Bernard as a young child. He was a police officer in New York City and served in the New York Air National Guard. Clearly, his was a life of public service, defending his fellow Americans both at home and abroad. His willingness to repeatedly put himself in harm’s way speaks volumes about his courage and character.

I am told that he was often called Superman, and like Superman, Joe spent his life defending "Truth, Justice, and the American Way." He will be remembered for his extraordinary love of country and family.

My prayers go out to his wife, Christine; his daughter, Brooke; his son, Ryan; as well as his mother, Shirley, and his father, Charles. Their premature loss will leave an enormous hole in their lives, but they can be very proud of the life Joe lived.

TRIBUTE TO REAR ADMIRAL JOAN HUNTER

MR. DONNELLY. Mr. President, today I wish to recognize the efforts of RADM Joan Hunter during her tenure as the Assistant Joint Surgeon at the National Guard Bureau, Joint Surgeon General’s Office, Psychological Health, NGB, JSG-PH.

In this capacity, RADM Hunter has served as principal staff and adviser to the Chief of the National Guard Bureau. As a member of the Joint Surgeon’s Office, RADM Hunter partnered with the J1, Manpower and Personnel Directorate, and the J32, the Counterdrug Division, to direct services to address the psychological health needs of Guard members and their families. Her most significant contribution was building the National Guard’s psychological health program, which meant placing a director of psychological health in every State, wing, and territory based on the Department...
of Defense’s Mental Health Task Force Report recommendations. As a commissioned officer in the U.S. Public Health Service, RADM Hunter is a shining example of how the whole government can come together to address mental health issues in the military.

I have had the honor and pleasure of working closely with RADM Hunter during her time at NGB, and I am grateful for her leadership, energy, and innovation. Mental health is a critical readiness issue for all our servicemembers, and the Department of Defense has made important progress in improving the mental health and resilience of our force. Unfortunately, in the past, the unique needs and challenges faced by our Guard members and Reservists were often neglected by programs designed to serve the Active component. Under RADM Hunter’s direction, that is changing. She has made a real, tangible impact on the lives of Guard members, and in doing so, she has distinguished service to our Nation and our communities.

RADM Hunter is a champion in the fight to combat military suicide, improve mental health and resiliency among our servicemembers, and field the strongest fighting force the world has ever known. She has been an especially valued partner in this undertaking, and while she will be sorely missed at NGB, I know she will continue to do great things for our country. I wish RADM Hunter the best of luck in her new assignment and thank her for her dedicated service to our men and women in the National Guard.

RECOGNIZING THE 100TH PENNSYLVANIA FARM SHOW

Mr. TOOMEY. Mr. President, I wish to commemorate the 100th Pennsylvania Farm Show, which is being held this week in Harrisburg, PA.

Established in 1917, the Pennsylvania Farm Show is held every January and showcases the Commonwealth’s vibrant farming traditions and finest foods. With 24 acres of exhibition space, it is the Nation’s largest indoor agricultural event. This year’s show will display more than 13,000 exhibits and is anticipated to draw half a million visitors from across the Nation.

The farm show always provides free admission and allows everyone the chance to learn more about Pennsylvania agriculture. It hosts a wide variety of events and displays including livestock exhibits, art displays, and educational workshops.

In addition to its hands-on exhibits, the farm show allows visitors to sample products that are grown and produced in Pennsylvania. Farmers display their fruits and vegetables while vendors sell local favorites, including pretzels, apple butter, and shoofly pie. As the occupant of the Senate candy desk, I would be remiss not to recognize the small, family-owned candy companies that also sell their products at the farm show.

With a nod toward education, the Pennsylvania Farm Show sponsors the scholarship foundation for students pursuing their post-secondary education in the agriculture field. Since its creation, the foundation has donated over $1 million in scholarships to youth involved in 4-H, Future Farmers of America, and other agriculture organizations. It is encouraging to see such a strong commitment to agriculture’s continued success in Pennsylvania for the foreseeable future.

This family and I will attend the 2016 Pennsylvania Farm Show. Farming is a vital component to Pennsylvania’s economy, and I am proud of our State’s dairy, livestock, and agriculture products. I look forward to the farm show every year, and I encourage all Pennsylvanians to attend this event to experience firsthand our State’s rich agriculture history.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

RECOGNIZING THE 250TH ANNIVERSARY OF LEE, NH

Ms. AYOTTE. Mr. President, today I wish to honor Lee, NH, a town in Strafford County that is celebrating the 250th anniversary of its founding. I am proud to join citizens across New Hampshire in recognizing this special milestone.

Lee was originally settled in 1657 and was officially incorporated in 1766 by colonial Governor Benning Wentworth. In the century leading up to its incorporation, Lee was part of Durham and the Oyster River Plantation. Lee was also one of the last towns to be incorporated by Governor Wentworth.

Wadleigh Falls, located in Lee, is a historic landmark and one of the oldest areas in New Hampshire to be inhabited by humans. Abenaki and Penacook tribes would come to the falls for hunting, fishing, and farming as far back as 8,000 years ago. Upon settlement, the Europeans would follow in the Native Americans’ footsteps and use the falls to their economic advantage. The settlers started using this site in 1637, and the first mill was built in 1665. Mills in Lee processed timber, grain, leather, wooden buckets, and herbal medicines. Generous clay deposits and the town’s lumber mill system allowed Lee to become a valued location for industry in the early history of the United States. The town’s agricultural tradition has also been very important to Lee and its many farms that are still operating today.

In addition to its agricultural advantages, Lee is also known for its unique landscape features including scenic plains, meadows, winding streams, brooks, and Wheelwright Pond, named after Reverend John Wheelwright.

Today Lee’s students and families enjoy one of the most exceptional education systems, thanks in large part to a tradition of learning and knowledge that has long been ingrained in the community. The Oyster River Cooperative School District is consistently regarded as one of the top school districts in the State of New Hampshire.

The town’s population has grown from 1,029 residents in 1790 to over 4,300 in 2013. The people of Lee have a strong commitment to the spirit of community and volunteerism as evidenced by the hard work and dedication of residents involved with the planning of many events to celebrate the town’s 250th anniversary.

Lee and its residents have greatly contributed to the life and growth of New Hampshire. I ask my colleagues to join me in extending congratulations to the people of Lee as they celebrate the town’s 250th anniversary.

CONGRATULATING MIKE SULLIVAN

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, it is with great pleasure that I wish to honor my friend, former Wyoming Governor Mike Sullivan, who is being recognized as the 2016 National Western Stock Show’s Citizen of the West. It is fitting that Mike was chosen for this special award. He joins a long line of honorees known for their values, ingenuity, and hard work.

Mike, a cowboy in every sense of the word, carries these traits and many more in his heart and soul.

Mike grew up in the prairie lands of Douglas, WY. His formative years were spent riding horses, shooting coffee cans, and enjoying the vast opportunities for recreation around the area. This appreciation led to a lifelong love of the State and her people.

He was enamored with one Wyoming native in particular. Mike met Jane Metzler, who was born in Riverton and raised in Powell, during their studies at the University of Wyoming. Both of them were involved in social clubs and organizations. They even served together in the Associated Students of the University of Wyoming and the Student Senate. In 1961, the sweethearts were married. As they put down roots in Casper, they never lost sight of the important values that guide the people of our great State.

Wyoming is the first State to adopt an official code of ethics, which we proudly call our Cowboy Ethics. This list of 10 principles serves as a guide for the modern cowboy and represents the distinct values that the American West is famous for.

One of the tenets, “Take pride in your work,” brings to mind Mike’s incredible work ethic. With a petroleum engineering degree and a law degree, both earned at the University of Wyoming, Mike set his sights on practicing law. Well-loved and respected by many in the State, he ran—and was elected—to be Wyoming’s 29th Governor in 1986. During his two terms, he governed the way he practiced law, with common sense and general decency.

His leadership was crucial as at that time the State was experiencing one of
its most economically trying periods. Falling oil and gas prices provided an opportunity for him to reach across the aisle and work with Democrats and Republicans alike to develop solutions to benefit the State and her residents. He is well known for his bipartisanship, which he brought to a new level of challenge and has resulted in a better quality of life for everyone living in the West.

His political career did not end after serving as Governor. In 1999, President Bill Clinton appointed him to serve as the U.S. Ambassador to Ireland. He graciously accepted the position, and he and Jane moved to Dublin. His service as Ambassador surpassed all expectations of success. Mike was instrumental in the implementation of the Good Friday Agreement in the United Kingdom. With his special brand of warmth, humility, and integrity, Mike dutifully served both the United States and the world in this important role.

Another of the principles listed in the Cowboy Ethics code is “Ride for the brand.” Upon meeting him, it is immediately apparent that Mike lives and breathes the spirit of the West. He has an intimate knowledge of the issues facing western States today, including the challenges of balancing energy development with natural resource preservation.

He is a national leader, and his passion for the State has served him well in many important roles. After his tenure as Governor, he was the chairman of the Western Governors’ Association, as well as the Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission, which focuses on the responsible, environmentally sound development of America’s oil and gas resources. He has won numerous awards in honor of his service and commitment to giving back, including a Distinguished Service Medal from the Wyoming National Guard, an award of merit from the Wyoming Bar Association, and the National Governors Association’s Award from the Wyoming State Bar. Despite these grand honors, Mike remains humble, choosing to spend time with his wife and family while enhancing his community and State. He certainly does ride for the brand.

Mike’s accomplishments are numerous, and for every one of them, his beloved wife, Jane Metzler Sullivan, has been by his side. As a third generation Wyoming native, Jane possesses an incredible commitment to reflecting Wyoming’s State moral compass. Every bit a presence as her husband, Jane prides herself on making contributions to her community and State. She once said, “Communities give us the opportunity to make our lives meaningful.” The couple has been married for 54 years. Today, they enjoy the company of their three children and their spouses: Michelle Sullivan and Bryan Kuehl, Patrick and Ming Sullivan, and Theresa and JR Twiford. They adore their grandchildren: Caitie, Caitlyn, Michael, Jack, and Julia. I am confident that both Mike and Jane deligh

As a member of Alpha Phi Alpha, Sutton served seven years as vice president of the southwest region and southern region before going on to serve as the 26th general president.

In 2012, Sutton was presented a Congressional Gold Medal as one of the first African Americans to serve in the U.S. Marine Corps.

Ozell Sutton dedicated his life to bettering the lives of future generations. He was a true American hero and will forever be remembered as a passionate advocate for Wyoming. He champions Wyoming’s cowboy spirit, and his mission to preserve and share the legacy of the American West with others is truly outstanding.

I invite my colleagues to join me in celebrating this incredible man as he is named the 2016 Citizen of the West. We simply could not ask for a better leader, role model, or friend.

REMEMBERING OZELL SUTTON

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, today I wish to recognize the life and legacy of civil rights activist Ozell Sutton. A native of Gould, AR, Sutton paved the way for desegregation in the Natural State and throughout the South alongside Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., and other civil rights leaders.

After graduating from Dubar High School in Little Rock, Sutton studied at Philander Smith College where he earned a degree in political science. He broke barriers as the Arkansas Democrat’s first Black journalist. In 2012, he shared the story of his hiring, saying that he didn’t know anything about the newspaper business, but he knew the Democrat “wanted to reach the black community.”

He worked at the newspaper for 7 years where he made a difference in how the newspaper covered the African-American community. He challenged the status quo, inspiring change in the news stories to reflect Black men and women as “Mr.” and “Mrs.,” just as it did with the White population.

Sutton was an activist serving as a decoy at Central High School in 1957 when the Little Rock Nine integrated the school. He recalled being beaten after the mob figured out he was a decoy.

He led integration efforts in Arkansas while serving as assistant director of the Arkansas Council on Human Relations from 1961 to 1966 and joined civil rights leaders to pave the way for equality across the country. He joined the historic march on Washington and marched for voting rights in Selma.

Following the death of Dr. King, he served Governor Winthrop Rockefeller as the director of the Governor’s Council on Human Resources from 1968-1970 and continued his public service with the U.S. Department of Justice Community Relations Services. In 1972 he was appointed the director in the southeast region. He held that position until his retirement in 2003.

As a member of Alpha Phi Alpha, Sutton served seven years as vice president of the southwest region and southern region before going on to serve as the 26th general president.

In 2012, Sutton was presented a Congressional Gold Medal as one of the first African Americans to serve in the U.S. Marine Corps.

Ozell Sutton dedicated his life to bettering the lives of future generations. He was a true American hero and will forever be remembered as a passionate advocate for Wyoming. He champions Wyoming’s cowboy spirit, and his mission to preserve and share the legacy of the American West with others is truly outstanding.

I invite my colleagues to join me in celebrating this incredible man as he is named the 2016 Citizen of the West. We simply could not ask for a better leader, role model, or friend.

HONOR FLIGHT NORTHERN COLORADO

Mr. GARDNER. Mr. President, I ask to have printed in the RECORD a copy of my remarks to honor the veterans of Honor Flight Northern Colorado.

The CHAIRMAN. Without objection, it is so ordered.

HONOR FLIGHT NORTHERN COLORADO

Mr. GARDNER. Mr. President, I rise today to honor the veterans of Honor Flight Northern Colorado and the organization’s 15th trip to Washington, DC. This group includes veterans from various war generations, but all are linked by their service to our country.

Ten years ago, the Honor Flight was created to fly veterans that had served in World War II to Washington, DC so they could visit the World War II memorial. Now, the Honor Flight welcomes veterans from across the country to fly to Washington, DC, free of charge, to visit the memorials of the wars these heroic veterans fought. Currently, there are more than 21.8 million veterans living in the United States, and this growing population is continuously deserving of recognition. No matter the conflict, these veterans made exceptional sacrifices in order to serve and defend our country.

Of the 123 veterans on the most recent Honor Flight, 13 served in World War II, 43 served in Korea, and 87 served in Vietnam.

REPORT OF THE VETO OF S.J. RES. 23, PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL UNDER CHAPTER 8 OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, OF THE RULE SUBMITTED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY RELATING TO "STANDARDS OF PERFORMANCE FOR GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS FROM NEW, MODIFIED, AND RECONSTRUCTED STATIONARY SOURCES: ELECTRIC UTILITY GENERATING UNITS", RECEIVED DURING ADJOURNMENT OF THE SENATE ON DECEMBER 18, 2015—PM 34

The PRESIDING OFFICER laid before the Senate the following message from the President of the United States which was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, spread in full upon the Journal, and held at the desk:

MEMORANDUM OF DISAPPROVAL

S.J. Res. 23 is a joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5 of the United States Code of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) relating to "Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units." This resolution would nullify EPA's carbon pollution standards for new, modified, and reconstructed power plants. Accordingly, I am withholding my approval of this resolution. (The Pocket Veto Case, 279 U.S. 655 (1929)).

Climate change poses a profound threat to our future and future generations. Atmospheric levels of carbon dioxide, a primary greenhouse gas, are higher than they have been in at least 800,000 years. In 2009, EPA determined that greenhouse gas pollution endangers Americans' health and welfare by causing long-lasting changes in the climate. We are already deriving, a range of negative effects on human health, the climate, and the environment. We are already seeing the impacts of climate change, and established science confirms that we will experience stronger storms, deeper droughts, longer wildfire seasons, and other intensified impacts as the planet warms. The Pentagon has determined that climate change poses immediate risks to our national security.

Power plants are the largest source of greenhouse gas pollution in our country. Although we have limits on other dangerous pollutants from power plants, the carbon pollution standards and the Clean Power Plan ensure that we will meet national standards to reduce the amount of carbon pollution that our power plants can emit.

The carbon pollution standards will ensure that, when we make major investments in power generation infrastructure, we also depend on available technologies to make that infrastructure as low-emitting as possible. By blocking these standards from taking effect, S.J. Res. 23 would delay our transition to cleaner electricity generating technologies by enabling continued build-out of outdated, high-polluting infrastructure. Because it would overturn carbon pollution standards that are critical to protecting against climate change and ensuring the health and well-being of our Nation, I cannot support the resolution.

To leave no doubt that the resolution is being vetoed, in addition to withholding my signature, I am returning S.J. Res. 23 to the Secretary of the Senate, along with this Memorandum of Disapproval.

BARACK OBAMA.

THE WHITE HOUSE, December 18, 2015.

ONE HUNDRED FOURTEENTH CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AT THE FIRST SESSION

Began and held at the City of Washington on Tuesday, the sixth day of January, two thousand and fifteen

JOINT RESOLUTION

Providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to "Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units". Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That Congress disapproves the rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to "Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units" (published at 80 Fed. Reg. 64510 (October 23, 2015)), and such rule shall have no force or effect.

PAUL D. RYAN.
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

OREN HATCH.
President of the Senate pro tempore.

REPORT OF THE VETO OF S.J. RES. 24, PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL UNDER CHAPTER 8 OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, OF THE RULE SUBMITTED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY RELATING TO "CARBON POLLUTION EMISSION GUIDELINES FOR EXISTING STATIONARY SOURCES: ELECTRIC UTILITY GENERATING UNITS", RECEIVED DURING ADJOURNMENT OF THE SENATE ON DECEMBER 18, 2015—PM 35

The PRESIDING OFFICER laid before the Senate the following message from the President of the United States which was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, spread in full upon the Journal, and held at the desk:

MEMORANDUM OF DISAPPROVAL

S.J. Res. 24 is a joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5 of the United States Code of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) relating to "Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units." This resolution would nullify the Clean Power Plan, the first national standards to address climate destabilizing greenhouse gas pollution from existing power plants. Accordingly, I am withholding my approval of this resolution. (The Pocket Veto Case, 279 U.S. 655 (1929)).

Climate change poses a profound threat to our future and future generations. Atmospheric levels of carbon dioxide, a primary greenhouse gas, are higher than they have been in at least 800,000 years. In 2009, EPA determined that greenhouse gas pollution endangers Americans' health and welfare by causing long-lasting changes in the climate that can have, and are already having, a range of negative effects on human health, the climate, and the environment. We are already seeing the impacts of climate change, and established science confirms that we will experience stronger storms, deeper droughts, longer wildfire seasons, and other intensified impacts as the planet warms. The Pentagon has determined that climate change poses immediate risks to our national security.

The Clean Power Plan is a tremendously important step in the fight against global climate change. It is projected to reduce carbon pollution from power plants by 26% from 2005 levels by 2030. It builds on progress States and the power sector are already making to move toward cleaner
energy production, and gives States the time and flexibility they need to develop tailored, cost-effective plans to reduce their emissions. By nullifying the Clean Power Plan, S.J. Res. 24 not only threatens ongoing progress toward cleaner energy, but would also eliminate health and economic benefits of up to $54 billion per year by 2030, including thousands fewer premature deaths from air pollution and thousands fewer childhood asthma attacks each year.

The Clean Power Plan is essential in addressing the largest source of greenhouse gas pollution in our country. It is past time to act to mitigate climate impacts on American communities. Because the resolution would overturn the Clean Power Plan, which is critical to protecting against climate change and ensuring the health and well-being of our Nation, I cannot support it.

To leave no doubt that the resolution is being vetoed, in addition to withholding my signature, I am returning S.J. Res. 24 to the Secretary of the Senate, along with this Memorandum of Disapproval.

BARACK OBAMA.

THE WHITE HOUSE, December 18, 2015.

ONE HUNDRED FOURTEENTH CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AT THE FIRST SESSION

Begun and held at the City of Washington on Tuesday, the sixth day of January, two thousand and fifteen

JOINT RESOLUTION

Providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to “Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units”.

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That Congress disapproves the rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to “Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units” (published at 80 Fed. Reg. 64662 (October 23, 2015)), and such rule shall have no force or effect.

PAUL D. RYAN,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

OREN HATCH.
President of the Senate pro tempore.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE SUBSEQUENT TO SINE DIE ADJOURNMENT

ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

Under the order of the Senate of January 6, 2015, the Secretary of the Senate, on January 7, 2016, during the adjournment of the Senate, received a message from the House of Representatives announcing that the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. UPTON) has signed the following enrolled bills:

S. 2423. An act to amend titles XVIII and XIX of the Social Security Act to provide for payments for complex rehabilitation technology and certain radiation therapy services, to ensure flexibility in applying the hardship exception for meaningful use for the 2015 EHR reporting period for 2017 payment adjustments, and for other purposes.

H.R. 1321. An act to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to prohibit the manufacture and introduction or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce of rinseoff cosmetics containing intentionally-added plastic microbeads.

Under the authority of the order of the Senate of January 6, 2015, the enrolled bills were signed on December 22, 2015, subsequent to the sine die adjournment of the Senate, by the President pro tempore (Mr. HATCH).

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE RECEIVED DURING ADJOURNMENT

Under the order of the Senate of January 6, 2015, the Secretary of the Senate, on January 7, 2016, during the adjournment of the Senate, received a message from the House of Representatives announcing that the Speaker has signed the following enrolled bill:

H.R. 3762. An act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to section 202 of the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2016.

ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

The message further announced that the Speaker had signed the following enrolled bill:

H.R. 3762. An act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to section 202 of the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2016.

Under the authority of the order of the Senate of December 18, 2015, the enrolled bill was signed on January 7, 2016, during the adjournment of the Senate, by the Acting President pro tempore (Mr. COTTON).

The message also announced that the House agreed to the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3762) to provide for reconciliation pursuant to section 202 of the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2016.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

At 2:05 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mr. Novotny, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bills, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 712. An act to impose certain limitations on consent decrees and settlement agreements by agencies that require the agencies to take regulatory action in accordance with the terms thereof, and for other purposes.

MESSAGE OF THE PRESIDENT RECEIVED DURING ADJOURNMENT

Under the order of the Senate of December 18, 2015, the enrolled bills were signed on December 22, 2015, subsequent to the sine die adjournment of the Senate, by the President pro tempore (Mr. HATCH).

H.R. 1155. An act to provide for the establishment of a process for the review of rules and sets of rules, and for other purposes.

H.R. 1257. An act to amend title 28, United States Code, to improve fairness in class action litigation.

MEASURES REFERRED

The following bills were read the first and the second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

H.R. 712. An act to impose certain limitations on consent decrees and settlement agreements by agencies that require the agencies to take regulatory action in accordance with the terms thereof, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 1155. An act to provide for the establishment of a process for the review of rules and sets of rules, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

H.R. 1257. An act to amend title 28, United States Code, to improve fairness in class action litigation; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

MEASURES PLACED ON THE CALENDAR

The following bill was read the second time, and placed on the calendar:

S. 2434. A bill to provide that any executive action that infringes on the powers and duties of Congress under section 8 of article I of the Constitution of the United States, or on the Second Amendment to the Constitution of the United States has no force or effect, and to prohibit the use of funds for certain purposes.

EXECUTIVE AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

The following communications were laid before the Senate, together with accompanying papers, reports, and documents, and were referred as indicated:

EC–3952. A communication from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Atlantic Highly Migratory Species: Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fisheries” (RIN0648-XE235) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 16, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–3953. A communication from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; 2015 Commercial Accountability Measure and Closure for South Atlantic Golden Tilefish Hook-and-Line Component” (RIN0648-XE221) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 16, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–3954. A communication from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone of the United States; Reallocation of Pacific Cod in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area” (RIN0648-XE242) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 16, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–3955. A communication from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled...
“Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska: Sablefish in the West Yakutat District of the Gulf of Alaska” (RIN0564–XE296) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 16, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–3956. A communication from the Acting Director, Office of Compliance, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Coastal Migratory Pelagic Resources of the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic; 2015–2016 Accountability Measure and Closure for King Mackerel in the Florida West Coast Northern Subzone” (RIN0669–XE296) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 16, 2015; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–3957. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Office of the Under Secretary of Commerce for Economic Development, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Propiconazole; Pesticide Tolerances” (RIN0993–41–OCSP) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 17, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–3958. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Office of the Under Secretary of Commerce for Economic Development, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Pesticides; Revisions to Minimum Risk Exemption” (RIN No. 9934–41–OCSP) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 17, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–3959. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Office of the Under Secretary of Commerce for Economic Development, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Ammonium Acetate; Exemption from the Requirement for Tolerance” (RIN No. 9939–39–OCSP) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 17, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–3960. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “2-propenoic Acid Homopolymer, Action Products with poly(oxy-1,2-ethylene) (polyoxyethyleneoxyhydroxy)-tria(2-hydroxyethyl)ammonium salt; Tolerance Exemption” (RIN No. 9939–71–OCSP) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 17, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–3961. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Propiconazole; Pesticide Tolerances” (RIN0993–OCSP) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 17, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–3962. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Review Group, Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Payment Limitation and Payment Eligibility; Actively Engaged in Farming” (RIN9650–A1) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–3963. A communication from the Acting Director, Office of Compliance, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Margin and Capital Requirements for Covered Swap Entities; Final Rule” (RIN1557–A43) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 17, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–3964. A communication from the Regulatory Specialist of the Legislative and Regulatory Activities Division, Office of the Under Secretary of Commerce for Economic Development, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Margin and Capital Requirements for Covered Swap Entities; Final Rule” (RIN1557–A60) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 17, 2015; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–3965. A communication from the Acting Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness), transmitting the report of an officer authorized to wear the insignia of the grade of admiral in accordance with title 10, United States Code, section 777a, for a period not to exceed 14 days before assuming the duties of the position for which the higher grade is authorized; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC–3966. A communication from the Acting Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness), transmitting the report of an officer authorized to wear the insignia of the grade of admiral in accordance with title 10, United States Code, section 777; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC–3967. A communication from the Senior Counsel of the Federal Housing Finance Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Amendment to the Capital Plan and Stress Test Rules” (RIN3064–AE32) (12 CFR Parts 225 and 229) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–3968. A communication from the Assistant to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Amendments to the Capital Plan and Stress Test Rules” (RIN3064–AE32) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–3969. A communication from the Director of Legislative Affairs, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Treatment of Financial Assets Transferred in Connection With a Securitization or Participation” (RIN3064–AE22) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–3970. A communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to North Korea that was declared in Executive Order 13666 of June 26, 2008; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–3971. A communication from the General Counsel of the Federal Housing Finance Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Suspended Requirements Program” (RIN2590–A9A) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 17, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–3972. A communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, a six-month periodic report on the national emergency that was declared in Executive Order 13405 of June 16, 2006, with respect to Belarus; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–3974. A communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, a six-month periodic report on the national emergency that was declared in Executive Order 13465 of June 16, 2006, with respect to Korea, Democratic People’s Republic of; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–3975. A communication from the Secretary of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Technical Amendments: FHFA Address and Zip Code Change,” (RIN2590–A79) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 17, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–3976. A communication from the Assistant to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Truth in Lending Act (Regulation Z) Adjustment to Asset–Size Exemption Threshold” (12 CFR Part 1026) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 31, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–3977. A communication from the Senior Congressional Liaison, Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Determination of Attainment; Texas; Houston-Galveston-Brazoria 1997 Ozone Nonattainment Area; Determination of Attainment of the 1997 Ozone Standard” (FRL No. 9940–63–Region 6) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 31, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–3978. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Office of the Under Secretary of Commerce for Economic Development, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Determination of Attainment; Texas; Houston-Galveston-Brazoria 1997 Ozone Nonattainment Area; Determination of Attainment of the 1997 Ozone Standard” (FRL No. 9940–63–Region 6) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 31, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–3979. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Office of the Under Secretary of Commerce for Economic Development, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Air Plan Approval; SD; Update to the North Dakota Implementation Plan” (RIN14900–63–Region 3) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 31, 2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–3980. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Office of the Under Secretary of Commerce for Economic Development, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Air Plan Approval; SD; Update to the North Dakota Implementation Plan” (RIN14900–63–Region 3) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 31, 2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–3981. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Treatment of Financial Assets Transferred in Connection With a Securitization or Participation” (RIN3064–AE22) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.
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2015; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–3982. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, Bureau of the Fiscal Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Offset of Tax Refund Payments to Collect Past-Due Support” ((RIN1310–AA19) (SI CFR Part 805) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–3983. A communication from the Deputy Director, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Medicare Program: Prior Authorization Process for Certain Durable Medical Equipment, Prosthetics, Orthotics, and Supplies” ((RIN0560–F28) (CMS–0605–F)) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on December 30, 2015; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–3984. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Inflation-Adjusted Items for 2015 for Certain Civil Penalties Under the Internal Revenue Code” (Rev. Proc. 2015–62) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on January 4, 2016; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–3985. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Applicable Federal Rates—January 2016” (Rev. Rul. 2016–1) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on January 4, 2016; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–3986. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Standard Mileage Rate” (Notice 2016–1) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on January 4, 2016; to the Committee on Finance.

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Ms. Mikulski (for herself and Mrs. Ernst):

S. 2487. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to provide for the cremation of the cremated remains of persons who served as Women’s Air Forces Service Pilots in Arlington National Cemetery, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs.

SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mr. Boozman (for himself, Mr. Cotton, Mr. McConnell, Mr. Reid, Mr. Alexander, Ms. Ayotte, Mr. Baldwin, Mr. Barrasso, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Blumenthal, Mr. Blunt, Mr. Booker, Mrs. Boxer, Mr. Brown, Mr. Burr, Ms. Cantwell, Mr. Capito, Mr. Cardin, Mr. Carper, Mr. Casey, Mr. Cassidy, Mr. Coats, Mr. Cochran, Mr. Collins, Mr. Coons, Mr. Cornyn, Mr. Corker, Mr. Cruz, Mr. Daines, Mr. Donnelly, Mr. Durbin, Mr. Enzi, Mrs. Ernst, Mr. Feinstein, Mrs. Fischer, Mr. Flake, Mr. Franken, Mr. Gardner, Mrs. Gillibrand, Mr. Graham, Mr. Grassley, Mr. Hatch, Mr. Heinrich, Ms. Hirono, Mr. Inhofe, Mr. Inouye, Mr. Isakson, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Kaine, Mr. King, Mr. Kirk, Ms. Klobuchar, Mr. Lankford, Mr. Lee, Mr. Levin, Mr. Machin, Mr. Markey, Mr. McCain, Mrs. McCaskill, Mr. Menendez, Mr. Merkley, Ms. Mikulski, Mr. Moran, Ms. Murkowski, Mr. Murphy, Mrs. Murray, Mr. Nelson, Mr. Paul, Mr. Perdue, Mr. Peters, Mr. Portman, Mr. Reed, Mr. Risch, Mr. Roberts, Mr. Rounds, Mr. Rubio, Mr. Sanders, Mr. Sasse, Mr. Schatz, Mr. Schumer, Mr. Scott, Mr. Sessions, Ms. Shaheen, Mr. Shelby, Ms. Stabenow, Mr. Sullivan, Mr. Tester, Mr. Thune, Mr. Tillis, Mr. Tooney, Mr. Udall, Mr. Vitter, Mr. Warner, Ms. Warren, Mr. Whitehouse, Mr. Wicker, and Mr. Wyden):

S. Res. 343. A resolution relative to the death of Dalm Bumpers, former United States
At the request of Mr. Moran, the name of the Senator from Illinois (Mr. Kirk) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2291, a bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to establish procedures under the Department of Veterans Affairs for the processing of whistle-blower complaints, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. Gardner, the name of the Senator from Oregon (Mrs. Gillibrand) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2426, a bill to direct the Secretary of State to develop a strategy to obtain observer status for Taiwan in the International Criminal Police Organization, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. Schumer, the name of the Senator from New York (Mrs. Gillibrand) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2427, a bill to prohibit discrimination against individuals with disabilities who need long-term services and supports, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. Paul, the name of the Senator from Missouri (Mr. Blunt) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2434, a bill to provide that any executive action that infringes on the powers and duties of Congress under section 8 of article I of the Constitution of
the United States or on the Second Amendment to the Constitution of the United States has no force or effect, and to prohibit the use of funds for certain purposes.

S. 2436

At the request of Ms. Warren, the name of the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. Menendez) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2436, a bill to provide for certain assistance and reforms relating to the territories, and for other purposes.

S.J. RES. 25

At the request of Mr. Flake, the name of the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. Boozman) was added as a cosponsor of S. J. Res. 25, a joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the final rule of the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency relating to “National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Ozone”.

S. RES. 143

At the request of Mr. Schatz, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. Murphy) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 143, a resolution supporting efforts to ensure that students have access to debt-free higher education.

S. RES. 377

At the request of Mr. Blumenthal, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. Murphy) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 377, a resolution expressing support for the designation of February 12, as “Darwin Day,” and recognizing the importance of science in the betterment of humanity.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 343—RELATIVE TO THE DEATH OF DALE BUMPERS, FORMER UNITED STATES SENATOR FOR THE STATE OF ARKANSAS

Mr. Boozman (for himself, Mr. Cotton, Mr. McConnell, Mr. Reid of Nevada, Mr. Alexander, Ms. Ayotte, Ms. Baldwin, Mr. Barrasso, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Blumenthal, Mr. Blunt, Mr. Booker, Mrs. Boxer, Mr. Brown, Mr. Burre, Ms. Cantwell, Ms. Capito, Mr. Cardin, Mr. Carper, Mr. Casey, Mr. Cassidy, Mr. Coats, Mr. Cochran, Ms. Collins, Mr. Coons, Mr. Coker, Mr. Cornyn, Mr. Cruz, Mr. Daines, Mr. Donnelly, Mr. Durbin, Mr. Enzi, Mrs. Ernst, Ms. Feinstein, Mrs. Fischer, Mr. Flake, Mr. Franken, Mr. Gardner, Mrs. Gillibrand, Mr. Graham, Mr. Grassley, Mr. Harkin, Mr. Heinrich, Ms. Heitkamp, Mr. Heller, Ms. Hirono, Mr. Hoeven, Mr. Inhofe, Mr. Isakson, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Kaine, Mr. King, Mr. Kirk, Ms. Klobuchar, Mr. Lankford, Mr. Leahy, Mr. Lee, Mr. Manchin, Mr. McCain, Mr. McCaskill, Mr. Menendez, Mr. Merkley, Ms. Mikulski, Mr. Moran, Ms. Murkowski, Mr. Murphy, Ms. Murray, Mr. Nelson, Mr. Paul, Mr. Perdue, Mr. Peters, Mr. Portman, Mr. Reed of Rhode Island, Mr. Risch, Mr. Roberts, Mr. Rounds, Mr. Rubio, Mr. Sanders, Mr. Sasse, Mr. Schatz, Mr. Schumer, Mr. Scott, Mr. Sessions, Mrs. Shaheen, Mr. Shelby, Ms. Stabenow, Mr. Sullivan, Mr. Tester, Mr. Thune, Mr. Tillis, Mr. Toomey, Mr. Udall, Mr. Vitter, Mr. Warner, Ms. Warren, Mr. Whitehouse, Mr. Wicker, and Mr. Wyden) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 343

Whereas Dale Bumpers was born in Franklin County, Arkansas, attended the public schools of Arkansas, and the University of Arkansas;

Whereas Dale Bumpers was admitted to the Arkansas bar in 1962 and commenced practice in Charleston, Arkansas, where he was born, and where he proudly proclaimed he was the best lawyer in a one-lawyer town; Whereas Dale Bumpers served in the United States Marine Corps during World War II;

Whereas Dale Bumpers served his beloved State of Arkansas as Special Justice of the Arkansas Supreme Court in 1968, and the Governor of Arkansas from 1970 to 1974;

Whereas Dale Bumpers was elected to the United States Senate in 1974 and served four terms as a Senator from the State of Arkansas with honor and distinction; Whereas Dale Bumpers served the Senate as Chairman of the Committee on Small Business in the One Hundredth through One Hundred Third Congresses;

Whereas Dale Bumpers was remembered fondly in the Senate for his story-telling style of oratory and his use of the full length of his extended microphone cord, which allowed him to walk up and down the aisles of the Senate chamber as he spoke: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate has heard with profound sorrow and deep regret the announcement of the death of the late Dale Bumpers, former member of the United States Senate.

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Senate communicate these resolutions to the House of Representatives and transmit an enrolled copy thereof to the family of the deceased.

Resolved, That when the Senate adjourns today, it stand adjourned as a further mark of respect to the memory of the late Dale Bumpers.

AUTHORITY FOR COMMITTEES TO MEET

Mr. Cornyn. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Foreign Relations be authorized to meet during the session of the Senate on January 11, 2016, at 5 p.m., to conduct a classified briefing entitled “Assessing the Recent North Korea Nuclear Event.”

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

AUTHORIZING APPOINTMENT OF ESCORT COMMITTEE

Mr. McConnell. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Presiding Officer of the Senate be authorized to appoint a committee on the part of the Senate to join with a like committee on the part of the House of Representatives to escort the President of the United States into the House Chamber for the joint session to be held at 9 p.m. on Tuesday, January 12, 2016.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

RELATIVE TO THE DEATH OF DALE BUMPERS

Mr. McConnell. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the consideration of S. Res. 343, submitted earlier today.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 343) relative to the death of Dale Bumpers, former United States Senator for the State of Arkansas.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. McConnell. I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, and the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 343) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

(The resolution, with its preamble, is printed in today’s Record under “Submitted Resolutions.”)

ORDERS FOR TUESDAY, JANUARY 12, 2016

Mr. McConnell. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it adjourn until 10 a.m., Tuesday, January 12; that following the prayer and pledge, the morning hour be deemed expired, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, and the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day; further, that following leader remarks, the Senate be in a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each until 12:30 p.m., with the first hour equally divided and with the majority controlling the first half and the Democrats controlling the final half; further, that the Senate recess from 12:30 p.m. until 2:15 p.m. to allow for the weekly conference meetings; finally, that at 2:15 p.m., the Senate resume consideration of the motion to proceed to S. 2232, with the time until 2:30 p.m. equally divided between the two leaders or their designees.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 10 A.M. TOMORROW

Mr. McConnell. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that it stand adjourned under the
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JENNIFER M. DEPEW
JAN R. CARLSON
JEFFREY R. BURROUGHS
ELIZABETH A. BOWMAN
BRIAN J. ALENT
BARRY F. MORRIS
KELLI C. MACK
JEROME D. MEANS
JANUO L. C. NIEL
DrAGOS STEFANODAR
RACHEL A. WEBER
BRYAN A. WILLIAMS
HANLING H. JOSWICK
BENJAMIN J. GANTT
JOHN G. MILLS
BRIAN S. KREBS
JONGSUEN KIM
AARON T. KRAUSE
LOUIS JOSEPH MARCONYAK, JR.
AMY G. MAISON
SHAWN P. MCMAHON
TAMARA A. MURRAY
KEVIN B. NICHOLS
JERILDA C. SEIBOLD
PALLA A. SMITH

To be general officer

COL. BRADLEY R. SABREY

THE FOLLOWING NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12211:

To be major general

BRIG. GEN. JAMES R. BARKLEY
BRIG. GEN. KIMBERLY A. CRIDER
BRIG. GEN. DAVID B. GREEN
BRIG. GEN. AMBER J. MILLER
BRIG. GEN. WALTER J. SAMS
BRIG. GEN. JOHN F. STOKES
BRIG. GEN. CURTIS L. WILLIAMS
BRIG. GEN. EDWARD P. YARISH

THE FOLLOWING NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12211:

To be lieutenant general

MAJ. GEN. ROBERT S. WILLIAMS

THE FOLLOWING NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12211:

To be brigadier general

COL. THOMAS J. OWENS II

THE FOLLOWING OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12211:

To be major

BRIG. GEN. JAMES R. BARKLEY
BRIG. GEN. KIMBERLY A. CRIDER
BRIG. GEN. DAVID B. GREEN
BRIG. GEN. AMBER J. MILLER
BRIG. GEN. WALTER J. SAMS
BRIG. GEN. JOHN F. STOKES
BRIG. GEN. CURTIS L. WILLIAMS
BRIG. GEN. EDWARD P. YARISH

THE FOLLOWING NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12211:

To be lieutenant general

MAJ. GEN. ROBERT S. WILLIAMS

THE FOLLOWING NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12211:

To be brigadier general

COL. THOMAS J. OWENS II

THE FOLLOWING OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12211:

To be major

BRIG. GEN. JAMES R. BARKLEY
BRIG. GEN. KIMBERLY A. CRIDER
BRIG. GEN. DAVID B. GREEN
BRIG. GEN. AMBER J. MILLER
BRIG. GEN. WALTER J. SAMS
BRIG. GEN. JOHN F. STOKES
BRIG. GEN. CURTIS L. WILLIAMS
BRIG. GEN. EDWARD P. YARISH

THE FOLLOWING NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12211:

To be lieutenant general

MAJ. GEN. ROBERT S. WILLIAMS

THE FOLLOWING NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12211:

To be brigadier general

COL. THOMAS J. OWENS II

THE FOLLOWING OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12211:

To be major

BRIG. GEN. JAMES R. BARKLEY
BRIG. GEN. KIMBERLY A. CRIDER
BRIG. GEN. DAVID B. GREEN
BRIG. GEN. AMBER J. MILLER
BRIG. GEN. WALTER J. SAMS
BRIG. GEN. JOHN F. STOKES
BRIG. GEN. CURTIS L. WILLIAMS
BRIG. GEN. EDWARD P. YARISH

THE FOLLOWING NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12211:

To be lieutenant general

MAJ. GEN. ROBERT S. WILLIAMS

THE FOLLOWING NATIONAL GUARD OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12211:

To be brigadier general

COL. THOMAS J. OWENS II

THE FOLLOWING OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE RESERVE OF THE ARMY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 12203 AND 12211:

To be major
THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 624

To be lieutenant colonel

ERIC R. ABBOTT
BRANDON B. ABRAHAM
WALT W. ADAMSON
CHRISTOPHER L. ALLAM
MICHAEL A. AROCHO
MICAH J. ARTHUR
KEVIN J. AJDULICH
JAMES R. ALBRECHT
ROBERT R. ALBIL
CHRISTOPHER W. BATES
GAL B. BATTEN
TIMOTHY B. BAUMGARTNER
ELIZABETH H. BEAL
SCOTT J. BENTLEY
DAVID K. BERGE
BRANDON R. BINGHAM
LEAH G. BIRR
FAMILIA J. BODRICKER
MICHAEL R. BROUGH
AMY N. BROWN
BRUCE A. BURKETT
SUSAN J. CARBONN
NICOLE CHAFFEILL
WILLIAM Y. CHU
NATHAN P. CLEMENT
TIMOTHY J. COKER
MATT H. CAPO
TARA L. CONNOR
DOUGLAS S. COONEY
JAMES R. COONEY
EUGENIA C. COONEY
SCOTT J. COOK
MARK L. DORSEY
SCOTT A. DURACK
NGA T. DO
MARET B. DUDLEY
SCOTT A. EBHNUTH
STEPHEN T. ELLIOTT
JONATHAN E. ELIAS
JOEL R. ELTMAN
DAVID E. FARNsworth
MELINDA G. FIERROS
JAMES M. FIGHETTE
ANDREW Y. FISHER
JULIETTE A. FISA
AMY M. DAVIS
RICHARD F. DAVID
JONATHAN A. DAY
WILLIAM Y. DELEHURST
ASHELY D. DORR
ELIZABETH D. DORSEY
ALBERT S. DUDLEY
JAMES E. DUDLEY
JULIETTE A. DUNGREN
ALAN ALDERSON DUPRE
JOEL D. DUNN
DANIELLE A. DUFRESNE
KIM R. DRIFTMIER
LISA M. DOZOBARA GRIFFITH
DANIEL M. DIERFELDT
VINCENT A. DIAZ
ROSALY M. DIAZ TORRUELLAS
RUSSELL G. DAY
GINA M. DATTOLI
LISA DANG
ELEANOR E. CURTIS
BLAIR K. CURTIS
LYNSEY R. COX
RYAN A. COLLINS
LEE T. CHURCH
CONNIE Y. CHUNG
EVA RODRIGUEZ CHATMAN
LISA R. CHASTANT
MERIMA BUCAJ
RAMON A. BROWN
DAVID F. BRANDT
ADAM BRADEN
FELIX S. BOECKER
MICHAEL C. BLANCANEAUX
REBECCA K. BICKFORD
ADRIAN R. BERSABE
ALEXIS ANTHON BEAUVAIS
JONATHAN S. BEASLY
JESSICA A. PREEDY
JACOB R. POWELL
PIOTR W. PODLESNY
SON PHUONG PHAM
DANIEL J. PEARSON
BRYAN K. PAYNE
MARY T. PAWLAK
ANDREW O. PAULUS
NICHOLAS C. PAPACOSTAS
ALICIA C. PALLETT
DANIEL C. OPRIS
MICHAEL R. ODOM
KRISTINE TIU NORRIS
KY V. NGUYEN
HENRY HAO V. NGUYEN
TIMOTHY A. NETTERS
BRETT A. NANCE
BRIAN P. MURRAY
FAITH ROSE MURRAY
BRITT A. NANCE
BRIDGET SANDSON VISITOR AR : JUN
TIMOTHY A. NITTLER
DANE M. NIEUEL
HENRY RAO V. NUYN
KY V. NUYN
KIRSTINE NOBRES
MICHAEL B. ODOM
DANIEL C. OPEK
MIGRAN COLLEEN H. OZCAN
ALICIA C. PALETT
ALLISON A. PALombo
MICHAEL F. PAFACOSTAS
NICHOLAS C. PAFACOSTAS
MATTHEW M. PARKER
MELONIE A. FARMELY
ANDREW O. PAULUS
MARY T. PARSON
BRYAN K. PAYNE
JANET M. PEARSON
JOHN M. PECK
ANNE J. PEYER
SANYA RAMES
SHELLEY M. RASKA
BRIAN T. SAUCH
MATTHEW D. READ
KATERINE M. RIVERA
ALEXANDER L. RHYNDOS
IN THE NAVY

To be lieutenant commander

DENNIS M. VEVYODA
ROBERT W. WEST


To be major

JEREMY D. ADAMS
STEVEN G. ADLOCK
MARK A. ADOLPHSEN, JR.
TRAVIS A. AIELLO
NICHOLAS H. AGUIAII:KA
ERIC D. ALBRIGHT
IAN R. ALCANTARA
JASON D. ALBRECHT
CARLTON D. ALLEN
CHRISTOPHER W. ALLEN
JEFFREY P. ANDREWS
NICHOLAS J. ARMENDARIZ
JAMES R. ARMSTRONG
MARTIN E. ARSTON
JARED R. ATKINSON
JESSE T. ATTES
ADAM J. AYRES
MARCO A. AZA
CHARLIE A. BAHK
ISAAC S. BAKKER
MICHAEL R. BAKER
SCOTT W. BALLARD
KEVIN W. BALTERSCHEK
JON C. BANKS
KENNETH L. BARBER, JR.
BLAINE N. BARRY
JASON A. BARNES
WILLIAM M. BARRITT
KATHRYN A. BASSO
ANDREW J. BATASTI
MATTHEW B. BAXLEY
ANDREW M. BAXTER
ALEXANDER J. BEACHTY
MARTIN B. BEELL
STEVEN R. BIECHTEL
LINDSAY D. BELLAMY
RYAN E. BREES
GLINN W. BERGELA, JR.
DANIEL N. BERGER
JORDAN D. BEHR
DAVID M. BEHRENS
ROBERT L. BESKE
RONALD K. BISS, JR.
JOSSEPH R. BIES
CHRISTOPHER M. BIERAU
STEVEN W. BISSON
JOHN M. BILLINGS
MARC G. BICKROW
SHANE A. BLAIS
MARY C. BLAIR
WALLY A. BLAIR
NATHAN D. BLADGETT
MICHAEL D. BLUMENSCHEIN
GABRIEL D. BONNECKE
ERDAGA A. BOLZ
CHAD E. BONECUTTER
DAVID A. BORDEN, JR.
COURTNEY J. BOSTON
JOHNATHAN M. BOUCÉ
DAVID L. BOUCHARD
RAJAA R. BOUSKA
JASON P. BOWERS
KEVIN P. BOWLES
JASON J. BOWMAN
DANIEL R. BOWRING
TRAVIS S. BOWSER
HABIL T. BOYD
JEMP P. BOYER
COLIN P. BOYNTON
RAN S. BREDLAND
JAMIE R. BREESE
MICHAEL W. BROWN
PHILIPPUS C. BRUL
AARON P. BRUNNER
BRADLEY N. BUCK
CHRISTOPHER C. BUMGAARDNER
TIMOTHY L. BURG
DAVID C. BURTON
BRADY J. BUSSEL
ANDREW T. BUTLER
JASON D. BUTLER
JEFFREY V. BUTLER
SETSI D. BYWOM
CHRISTOPHER K. CAILDWELL
CLIFF M. CAMPBELL
CHRISTOPHER N. CAPARSO
SERENA N. CARDONA
AARON R. CARLSON
HARLEY R. CARLTON
JUSTIN B. CARRERE
CREDER T. CARTER
MATTHEW G. CARTER
MARTIN D. CARTON
CHARLES A. CAVUCCIO
CHAD D. CASSADY
JASON R. CATHRIN
MARTIN A. CAVRZEN
BLAIR T. CEBRAL
CHRISTOPHER R. CIREN
ANTHONY J. CIRILLO
WASON W. CHAN
MIGUEL K. CHAND, JR.
RYETT W. CHRISTENSEN
NICHOLAS J. CHRISTY
ADAM M. CHU
JASON C. CIARCIA
BRYAN J. CLAUSNIR
JASON A. CLAYTON
ROBERT B. CLEMENTS
KEF P. COLEY
MATTHEW A. COLLIER
EMILY C. COLLINS
GREGORY L. COLLINS
MICHAEL D. COLLINS
FREDERICK J. COLUMBUS
NICHOLAS J. CORNER
FREDERICK J. COLUMBUS
PAUL C. CORDES
CHRISTOPHER M. COTTIER
GRANT B. COVRY
ERIC D. CRAIGER
RONNIE L. CREZ
ROBERT P. CROHN
LAURA E. CROOK
JOHN A. CRUTCHFIELD
MIGUEL A. CRUZ
GLENN A. CRUZANCHOR
SABRA M. CULBERTSON
SCOTT C. CULBERTSON
COLIN J. CULGIN
ERIKA D. CUMMINGS
JEFFREY A. CUMMINGS
THOMAS P. CUMMINGS
GREG K. CURLEY
TIMOTHY A. CURTIS
FREDERICK M. CURTIS
JACK P. DAILY
JONATHAN P. DAVIES
DANIEL J. DAVIS
JEFFREY C. DAVIS
NOLAN G. DEAN
ROBERT C. DEBRADAO
LUKE D. DELANEY
ALLEN C. DELBON
MELISSA A. DIETRICK
SARAH J. DESIBRY
CHRISTOPHER S. DIEFENBACH
DANIEL D. DIENTZ
ANTHONY J. DIVOTNI
HONEY DEBOIS
LUIS R. DILLON
PAUL M. DINSARO
JOSEPH N. DINIZOA
JAMES E. DITCH, JR.
JAY P. DODGE
STEVEN R. DODSON
KOLIN B. DORMAN
JASON J. DORSIN
PETER J. DORN
CHARELS W. DOUTREBERRY
CHRISTOPHER M. DOTT
ALEXIS A. DOURA
JOHN M. DOVE
ANTON D. DRAGASO
CHRISTOPHER D. DRISCOLL
PETER A. DRISCOLL, II
THOMAS E. DREISCHEL
ERIC A. DUCRENT
ROBERT M. DUDAK
ROBERT R. DUSH
RONALD O. DUTZ, II
ERIC S. DUYER
CAESAR D. EAMES
JOSEPH W. EASTERLING
JUSTIN M. EASTMAN
JONATHAN T. EDMONDSON
PAUL J. EICKHOFF III
KARL J. EISENMANN
JASON G. ELLIS
ZACHARY N. EMERSON
GEORGE F. EMUNSON
JEFFREY M. ENGLUND
HERITON N. ESPADA
MICHAEL E. EUBANKS
ALBERT L. EVANS III
DANIEL L. EVANS
DADIAV A. EVANS
JAMES E. EVANS
CHRISTINE A. EVANSON
CHRISTINE M. FERRETT
GEORGE A. FERRETT
CHRISTOPHER M. FERGUSON
MICHAEL P. FISHER
PHILIP O. FLAMBERT
THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICERS FOR REGULAR APPOINTMENT IN THE GRADES INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 531:

To be lieutenant colonel

GEORGE L. ROBERTS

To be major

NIRAL C. CARTIN

EXECUTIVE NOMINATIONS

CONFIRMATION

Executive nomination confirmed by the Senate January 11, 2016:

THE JUDICIARY

LUIS FELIPE RESTREPO, OF PENNSYLVANIA, TO BE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT.

WITHDRAWALS

Executive Message transmitted by the President to the Senate on January 11, 2016 withdrawing from further Senate consideration the following nominations:


PHILLIP H. CULLOM, OF ILLINOIS, TO BE AN ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE, VICE SHARON E. BURKE, REIGNED, WHICH WAS SENT TO THE SENATE ON NOVEMBER 19, 2015.
RECOGNIZING MR. DARRELL CREAMER FOR DECADES OF PUBLIC SERVICE WITH THE PLEASANT HALL VOLUNTEER FIRE COMPANY

HON. BILL SHUSTER
OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. SHUSTER, Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Mr. Darrell Creamer, a longtime volunteer firefighter and former President of the Pleasant Hall Volunteer Fire Company.

Mr. Creamer began his career with Pleasant Hall Volunteer Fire Company in 1968. In the subsequent 47 years he has been with the company, Darrell has earned his ascent through the department. Over his career, he has held many positions, including: Secretary, Deputy Fire Chief, Fire Chief, Vice President, and is currently retiring from his position as President, which he has held since 1985.

Mr. Creamer has been a dedicated public servant, overseeing many of the station’s needs, and has helped countless Pennsylvanians as a priceless asset for the greater community. To this day, he still serves as the department’s Business Manager, continuing to lend his decades of experience for the betterment of the community and the station he has long served.

On behalf of the Ninth District of Pennsylvania, I want to thank Mr. Creamer for his selfless service, and moreover highlight the sense of purpose with which he has served the community. His leadership and dedication to Pennsylvania will live on, and his retirement is well-deserved.

It is with great pleasure that I congratulate Darrell Creamer on his many accomplishments and well-deserved retirement.

BERACA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION’S HAITI EARTHQUAKE MEMORIAL SERVICE AND AWARD CEREMONY

HON. HAKEEM S. JEFFRIES
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. JEFFRIES. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in celebration of Beraca Community Development Corporation (BCDC). In 2010, BCDC’s mission to rebuild, repair and restore the lives of the disadvantaged led them to Haiti after the tragic 7.0 magnitude earthquake. On January 10, 2016, this year’s awardees were honored at Beraca Baptist Church in Brooklyn, NY, for their invaluable service.

BCDC opened offices in the towns of Leogane, Cabaret, Jeremie and Cape, Haiti where BCDC volunteer efforts are wide-reaching. The corporation travels to Haiti several times a year and since its conception has returned over 50 times in an effort to improve the lives of others. BCDC also provides microloans to help support local small businesses, employs over 400 individuals through a taxi company and equips teachers with resources to improve the lives of Haitian youth.

At this year’s Beraca Community Development Corporation’s Haiti Earthquake Memorial Service and Award Ceremony, 4 dynamic individuals were recognized for their outstanding work, Mackenzie Pier, New York City Leadership Center was the recipient of the Awareness and Mobilization Award, Michael Scales, Nyack College was the recipient of the Excellence in Education Award, Marie-Yolaine Toms, Community2Community was the recipient of the Community Development Award and Michael Fromer, Millennium Capital Resources was the recipient of the Philanthropic Services Award. I commend these honorees for their commitment to serving others.

Mr. Speaker, I ask that you and my other distinguished colleagues join me in celebrating the Beraca Community Development Corporation’s Haiti Earthquake Memorial Service and Award Ceremony and these 4 great honorees.

TRIBUTE TO MRS. SUZANNE WRIGHT

HON. CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH
OF NEW JERSEY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, last April I had the privilege of joining with Autism Speaks to ring the closing bell at the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) marking World Autism Awareness Day.

World Autism Awareness Day is an opportunity to highlight the progress we have made to better understand Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) and assist impacted families, but to also raise awareness of the significant challenges that remain—both in the U.S. and abroad. World Autism Awareness Day is one of only seven U.N.-sanctioned ‘world days.’

For eight years in a row, major landmarks, organizations and events have flooded the airwaves with “light it up blue,” to raise awareness and bring additional resources to assist families impacted by autism. This year’s events are scheduled for Saturday, April 2nd.

The light it up blue campaign was launched by Autism Speaks co-founder and board member, Suzanne Wright—a tenacious, dedicated and committed leader whose contributions have made, and continue to make, a real and tangible difference in the lives of individuals with ASD and their families.

By way of background, in 2005 Suzanne and Bob Wright co-founded Autism Speaks after their grandson Christian was diagnosed with autism. Every day since, for over a decade now, Suzanne has led through her work at the organization and through personal examples of generosity and compassion.

As the Co-chair of the Congressional Coalition for Autism Research and Education and Chairman of the House Subcommittee that oversees global health, I have worked with Suzanne and Bob Wright to craft, and shepherd into law, legislation that will boost research, services and support for individuals with ASD, including the Autism Collaboration, Accountability, Research, Education, and Support Act of 2014 (Autism CARES/Public Law 113–157) and the Combating Autism Reauthorization Act (PL 112–32).

I recently learned that Suzanne Wright has been diagnosed with pancreatic cancer and is taking a leave of absence from her work with Autism Speaks to manage her medical care.

While there are many talented professionals who will carry the torch during Suzanne’s leave and build on Suzanne’s legacy at Autism Speaks, there will be a gaping hole only she can fill.

I am hopeful that my colleagues will join me in keeping Suzanne, her husband Bob, and the entire Wright family in our thoughts and prayers during these difficult days. And in Suzanne’s honor, I call on all of us to redouble our efforts and work even harder to ensure that we do everything within our power to assist families touched by autism.

HONORING FREDERICK ALBERT LANGILLE, JR.

HON. MICHELLE LUJAN GRISHAM
OF NEW MEXICO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, January 11, 2016

Ms. LUJAN GRISHAM of New Mexico. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the life of Frederick “Fred” Albert Langille, Jr., a devoted husband and father, an outstanding social worker, and a dear friend.

Fred was born on January 15, 1942. In 1959, Fred graduated from Kailua High School, Oahu, where, in his senior year, he was a mile track record holder in Hawaii. This feat earned him a scholarship to the University of Michigan where he worked diligently to earn a master’s degree in social work in 1971.

After graduation, Fred began his career as an engineer in Lincoln, Nebraska. However, he switched his focus to public policy and social work, which he pursued in Illinois and Colorado. Throughout an accomplished career, Fred held many titles and positions. During his time in Illinois, he was the Chief of the Welfare Division, Illinois Institute for Social Policy and Assistant Director for Welfare and Manpower Programs, Illinois Bureau of the Budget. In 1975, after moving to Colorado, Fred became the Executive Administrator/Deputy Director of the Colorado Department of Social Services. Three years later, he served as the State Planning Director, Office of State Planning and Budgeting, for the State of Colorado. From 1978 to 1996, Fred served as the Executive Vice President/Chief Operating Officer at National Jewish Hospital. In 2000, after a distinguished career, Fred retired as the President of the Privatization Partnerships Division for Policy Studies.
In addition to these tremendous professional accomplishments, Fred was a family man and pursued many activities outside of the office. He enjoyed biking, hiking, running, photography, reading, art, music, traveling and spending time with his family, friends and many pets. Fred and his wife of 35 years, Rita Barreto, had two children, Michael Victor Langille (Shelly George) and Heather Marie Coffey and have a grandson, Dylan Michael Langille.

On August 6, 2015, Fred passed away in his home after a brave fight against prostate and bladder cancer. He was 73.

Mr. Speaker, I join family, friends and all those who have felt Fred's warm embrace in celebrating the wonderful life he lived. We will continue fighting to eradicate these terrible diseases that take our loved ones away with all the strength we have.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. BRUCE WESTERMAN
OF ARKANSAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. WESTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, on roll call no. 23, I was present on the floor, but the vote closed before I was able to cast a vote. Had I been present, I would have voted "No".

RECOGNIZING MR. GORDON SNYDER FOR BEING NAMED THE 2015 NATIONAL MUSIC EDUCATOR OF THE YEAR

HON. RICHARD HUDSON
OF NORTH CAROLINA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. HUDSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Mr. Gordon Snyder for being named by Music and Arts the 2015 National Music Educator of the Year. Music and Arts is a national retail chain dedicated to providing musicians with the instruments and products they need to enhance their musical talents.

Mr. Snyder currently serves as Director of Instrumental Music at A.L. Brown High School, located in Kannapolis, North Carolina. He was nominated for the award by fellow educators, and had several students write letters of recommendation on his behalf. This is a testament to the respect Mr. Snyder has earned from both his peers and his students, and I am extremely grateful for his commitment to ensuring our community's students receive a high-quality education.

Although the National Music Educator of the Year award has been given for several years by Music and Arts, Mr. Snyder is the first recipient from the state of North Carolina. This is a particularly impressive accomplishment, as this year nearly 2,000 educators were nominated for this award. The winner of this award was selected for their ingenuity in their academic programs, and was also judged on the educator's impact in the community and their band's performance. Our community is fortunate to have Mr. Snyder dedicate his time and talents to educating our students.

Mr. Speaker, please join me today in congratulating Mr. Snyder for being named the 2015 National Music Educator of the Year and wish him well as he continues to make a positive difference in the lives of his students.

CELEBRATING LESTER WOLFF'S 9TH BIRTHDAY

HON. CHARLES B. RANGEL
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. RANGEL. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the life, legacy, and the work of our esteemed, former Congressman Lester Lionel Wolff, who is an exceptional father, husband, lawmaker, war hero and dear friend to many of us. Lester is not only an inspiration to future political leaders and public servants, but also an embodiment of diligence, persistence and success.

It is well known to those who know Lester that he will never stop working to make our country better. Lester, who has recently turned 97, is still working hard as chairman of the International Trade and Development Agency and The International Agency and frequently travels to Washington, DC from New York City to visit congressional offices.

Lester was born on January 4, 1919 and is a life-long New Yorker. Married to the late on U.S.-Taiwan has had great-children, Bruce, a prominent Washington lawyer, and Diane, an Adjunct Professor at the State University. He has four grandchildren and six great-grandchildren.

Elected to the United States Congress in 1964, Lester served 16 years before retiring. It was an honor to work with him on a number of bills throughout the years. His service as Chairman of Foreign Policy Planning, Chairman of Asian Pacific Affairs, Chairman of the Select Committee on Narcotics Abuse and Control and Ranking Member of the Foreign Affairs Middle East subcommittee will not be forgotten.

One of his notable bills was the Foreign Assistance Act of 1969, which restored the initiative for direct peace talks between Israel and the Arab States. He also led the congressional delegation to meet with Deng Xiaoping, Father of Modern China. The Deng-Wolff conversation was credited by the Department of State for its particular importance in the establishment of formal diplomatic relations between the People’s Republic of China and the United States. He is the author of the Taiwan Relations Act signed into law on April 10, 1979. This landmark law has undoubtedly helped the United States maintain and enhance its ties with Taiwan for more than three decades. Thanks to Lester, Taiwan is the United States’ 10th largest trading partner, and the United States is Taiwan’s largest foreign investor. Anyone who works with Lester is well aware of his prudence and expertise in foreign policy.

Despite his retirement, he and I went on a trip to Taiwan to speak to government officials on U.S.-Taiwan relations. We also attended the Democratic Pacific Assembly—The Common Future of the Pacific in the 21st Century.

Mr. Speaker, I ask you and my distinguished colleagues to join me in recognizing and honoring Lester Wolff—the man who inspired us with his wisdom and long-serving dedication to strengthening our country. I am pleased to see the fruits of his labor in Congress and as a public servant.

SENSELESS CHRISTMASTIME KILLINGS BY BOKO HARAM AND THE NEED FOR THE WORLD'S RECOGNITION TO RECOVER THE CHIBOK GIRLS

HON. SHEILA JACKSON LEE
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, over the Christmas 2015 break, 50 people were murdered and 114 others wounded in the northeastern Nigerian cities of Maiduguri and Madagali, Borno State, which is the birthplace of Boko Haram.

Today also marks 633 days since 276 Chibok girls were kidnapped from their dormitories in the middle of night. Violence on the citizens of the world in sacred places such as our homes, places of worship, educational institutions and recreational venues is unacceptable and detestable.

To keep the Chibok girls on our minds, all of us here in Congress have worn red every Wednesday to signal the urgency of rescuing, recovering and reintegrating these young women back into the arms of their parents. Sadly, while we grapple with the horror of the kidnapping of the Chibok girls, with horror, we watched on the news, violence wreaked by Boko Haram during the holidays.

As we all know, Boko Haram has claimed responsibility for the massacres.

I have met with the Nigerian President and was part of a delegation to Nigeria to engage local leaders, activists, businesses and families of victims of Boko Haram on strategies for recovering and reintegrating the Chibok girls and many others who have been kidnapped or suffered violence. These senseless killings and kidnappings by Boko Haram must stop.

The Chibok girls are not throwaways in the world and should not forget them. Those who lost their lives during the Christmastime massacres have families and loved ones whose hearts have been broken because of the pain and anguish they must now feel.

We must continue to press on in our concerted efforts to assist victims that Boko Haram will be combated and assure our Chibok daughters that we still care and that we are committed to bringing them back home and will work to protect them and reintegrate them back into our community with open arms.

As founder and Co-Chair of the Caucus on Nigeria and Co-Chair of the Congressional Children’s Caucus, the rescue, return and reintegration of the kidnapped Chibok girls continue to be my top priority.

I believe that with our commitment, just as the Aboke girls were recovered after being kidnapped in Northern Uganda by the Lord’s Resistance Army, the Chibok girls will be rescued, returned and reintegrated back into the human family.

I am committed to the protection of the Nigerian people and it is my view that the people of Nigeria and others in the Lake Chad Basin in Africa should be afforded the protection they deserve and the opportunity to live their lives free of terrorism and fear.

This is why I introduced H. Res. 528, legislation that enjoyed bipartisan support of my

My resolution seeks to create a Victims of Terror Protection Fund for the protection of the Chibok girls when they return home as well as provision of much needed support for them and other displaced refugees, migrants and the victims of Boko Haram’s terror such as those of the Christmastime 2015 massacres.

All persons of the world from Syria to Nigeria to Colombia and everywhere in between possess the inalienable fundamental human right to freedom of movement and full realization of their human potential without fear of violence upon their person.

Last month, in our celebrations of the United Nations Human Rights Day, the global community rededicated itself to the key International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Covenants that serve as the bedrock of the International Bill of Rights: protecting the right of all human beings to freedom.

Indeed, we must continue to fight for the freedoms of our neighbors whether those for whom we fight are out of sight such as the murdered and wounded in northeastern Nigeria or the kidnapped Chibok teenage girls or educated medical doctors fleeing violent extremism in Syria.

The bottom line is that our obligations in the human family must revolve around and be grounded in our conviction and commitment to the right to freedom of movement, freedom of speech, freedom of worship, freedom from want, and the freedom from fear or terrorism, among others. We must remain steadfast in guaranteeing these fundamental freedoms and protect the human rights of all to achieve peace in our world.

Mr. Speaker, those murdered and wounded during the Christmastime massacres included a lot of youth. When they were kidnapped, the Chibok youth were 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 years old. Our hearts go out to them as they suffered the Boko Haram’s terror such as those of the Christmastime 2015 massacres.

To the Chibok girls, notwithstanding your captivity, let me assure you that your spirits, souls and bodies are sacred to us, no matter what attacks the enemies of peace may have perpetrated upon you. Like your sister from Pakistan, Malala, who was shot in the head for seeking her education and who continues to fight for your recovery, your best days are ahead because we know that when your girls thrive our world thrives.

So let me assure you that you remain in our prayers and thoughts. To President Buhari of Nigeria, you have our support and you have my support in all your efforts to destroy and dismantle Boko Haram. To the people of Nigeria, we are counting on you to keep holding on, keep your faith strong and be assured that you are on the right side of history and that the arc of the moral universe always tips on the right side of justice.

Today, let me offer that it is important to denote the actions of Boko Haram and recommit ourselves to the protection of the Nigerian people and the recovery of the Chibok girls.

HONORING DEBORAH SELIGMAN
HON. MICHELLE LUIJAN GRISHAM
OF NEW MEXICO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Ms. MICHELLE LUIJAN GRISHAM of New Mexico. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor a native New Mexican and dear friend, Deborah “Deb” Seligman.

Deb can trace her New Mexican roots back to the 1800s when her ancestors owned a large number of sheep in New Mexico long before it became a state. Deb attended college at the Washington University of St. Louis and returned home to the University of New Mexico to study law—graduating in 1978. Today, she is a sole practicing attorney and represents handwriting and small businesses. In 2011, the New Mexico Business Weekly included her in the “Best of the Bar”—a list of the top attorneys in the state. She was recognized for her excellence in business and corporate law.

Deb is an exemplary citizen and has volunteered her time on numerous boards and charities. She is a board member of the local Casa Angelica (a home for children and young adults with developmental disabilities), the Jewish Community Center of Greater Albuquerque and the Jewish Historical Society. Deb is also the Commissioner and Chairwoman of the Village of Los Ranchos Planning and Zoning Commission. Furthermore, she is extremely generous in her donations to animal charities, including the Save the Manatees Club.

Above all, I want to honor Deb for her latest feat, running in the Chicago marathon this past year. Deb took up running about 6 years ago and has run in numerous marathons since, including the New York City, San Francisco and Phoenix races. Deb runs with Albuquerque Fit, which recently awarded her for her tremendous improvement since joining the group. In the Chicago marathon, after extensive training and a refusal to quit, Deb achieved a personal best of four hours and fifty-five minutes.

Deb and her husband, Judge Robert Mawe met in 1986 and were married three years later in 1989. Together, they are active in their community and the Democratic Party in New Mexico.

Deb is one of the most determined and generous people I have met. Nothing can stop her. I am confident that she will continue to be a leader in our community and I look forward to hearing about her continued successes in the future. Congratulations Deb.

HON. MAXINE WATERS
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Ms. MAXINE WATERS of California. Mr. Speaker, I would like to submit the following:

[From the New York Times, December 31, 2015]

DEBT AND THE RACIAL WEALTH GAP
(By Paul Kiel)

If you are black, you’re far more likely to see your electricity cut, more likely to be sued over a debt, and more likely to land in jail because of a parking ticket.

It is not unreasonable to attribute these perils to discrimination. But there’s no question that the main reason small financial problems can have such a disproportionate effect on black families is that, for largely historical reasons rooted in racism, they have far smaller financial reserves to fall back on than white families.

The most recent federal survey in 2013 put the difference in net worth between the typical white and black family at $131,000. That’s a big number, but even more troubling statistic: About one-quarter of African-American families had less than $5 in reserve. Low-income whites had about $375.

Any setback, from a medical emergency to the unexpected loss of hours at work, can be devastating. It means that harsh punishments for the failure to pay small debts harm black families inordinately. Sometimes, the consequence is jail. Other times, electricity is cut, or wages garnished.

The modern roots of the racial wealth gap can be traced back to the post-World War II housing boom, when federal agencies blocked loans to black Americans, locking them out of the greatest wealth accumulation this country has ever experienced. More recently, the bursting of the housing bubble and subsequent recession slammed minorities. In 2013, the median wealth of white households was 13 times the median wealth of black households, the widest gap since 1989.

Earlier this year, my colleague Annie Waldman and I took a close look at debt-collection lawsuits in three major American cities. We expected to see a pattern driven by income, with collectors and credit card lenders suing people most often in lower-income areas.

But income was just half the story. Even accounting for income, the rate of court judgments from these lawsuits was twice as high in mostly black communities as it was in mostly white ones. In some neighborhoods in Newark and St. Louis, we found more than one judgment for every four residents over a five-year period. Many were families who, knocked off their feet by medical bills or job loss or other problems, had simply been unable to recover.

When debts turn into court judgments, plaintiffs gain the power to collect by cleaning out bank accounts and seizing wages. Federal and state laws generally don’t protect anyone but the poorest debtors, and bestowing this power goes out. In a 2009 national survey of lower-income households by the federal Energy Information Administration, 9 percent
of blacks reported having their electricity disconnected in the previous year because they had been unable to pay. For whites, the number was less than 4 percent, according to an analysis of the survey by the National Consumer Law Center.

And sometimes the consequence of unmanageable debt is to fall further into debt. In a 2015 Pew Charitable Trusts survey, almost three times as many blacks reported taking out a high-interest payday loan in the previous year as did whites at the same income level. Desperate consumers turn to these loans as a way to catch up on bills, but often get tripped up by unaffordable interest payments.

When combined with discriminatory policing practices, the effect of the asset gap is to magnify the racial disparity. In its report on the Ferguson Police Department, the Justice Department found that officers disproportionately stopped and ticketed black citizens. For a “manner of walking” violation, it was $322; for “high grass and weeds,” $531.

Blacks accounted for about 67 percent of Ferguson’s population and around 65 percent of the municipal court cases. But the numbers were even more lopsided: When it came to the harshest consequences. Blacks accounted for 92 percent of the cases where an arrest warrant had been issued to compel payment.

And this wasn’t a problem only in Ferguson. Earlier this year, the American Civil Liberties Union of DeKalb County, Ga., which includes part of Atlanta, for jailing citizens over unpaid court fines and unpaid fees charged by a for-profit company that runs probation services for the government. About 55 percent of DeKalb County’s population is black, but the A.C.L.U. found that nearly all probationers jailed for failure to pay fines and fees were black.

The racial wealth gap “creates this cyclical effect,” said Nusrat Choudhury, an A.C.L.U. attorney. An unpaid speeding ticket can result in a suspended driver’s license, which may lead to a more severe violation. Unable to pay their fines, black defendants become more crushingly entangled in debt.

Cort Winfield, a single mother in St. Louis, got caught up in this cycle. After she was unable to keep up the payments on a subprime auto loan she took out in 2009, the car was repossessed the next year, but the consequences didn’t stop there. Because the debt continued to be bated by interest, the legal fees charged began garnishing her wages in 2012. The garnishment continues today. Because she was unable to repay, she will end up paying far more than she owed in the first place.

Making matters worse for Ms. Winfield, while her wages were being garnished, she was arrested for driving with a license that had been suspended because she had failed to pay a speeding ticket. She ended up spending a weekend in jail and having to pay the cost of bail.

Ms. Winfield has a decent clerical job, earning about $30,000 a year. But she lives month to month. When hit with an unexpected expense, she is left reeling.

Her vulnerability is typical. In a recent survey by the Pew Charitable Trusts, the typical black household earning between $25,000 and $50,000 reported having emergency savings of $400. The typical white household in that range had $2,100.

Black families were much more likely to report difficulty in recovering from a financial setback or to have fallen behind on a bill in the past year. This financial insecurity extended up the income scale. Of black households with income between $50,000 and $85,000, 30 percent said they had been unable to pay a bill. By contrast, only white house-
But the loss neither discouraged nor deterred Dale Bumpers from seeking elective office so he could continue to serve others.

Opportunity presented itself in the 1970 Arkansas gubernatorial race. The Democratic primary field included racist former Governor Orval Faubus, who had served six terms from 1954 to 1966, Attorney General Joe Edward Purcell, and Arkansas House Speaker Hayes McClearkin.

An early poll showed Dale Bumpers with about one percent of the vote but compelling television and TELEVISION media personality, progressive. Bumpers won the primary with 65 percent of the vote and went on to win the run-off election with Orval Faubus, whom he won with 62 percent of the vote.

In the general election, Dale Bumpers soundly defeated the incumbent Republican governor, Winthrop Rockefeller, who was seeking a third term.

During his first term as Arkansas Governor, Dale Bumpers guided passage laws that gave more powers to cities, created a consumer protection division in the Attorney General’s office, repealed the “fair trade” liquor law, expanded the state park system, improved social services for elderly, disabled, and developmentally challenged citizens.

During his second term Dale Bumpers continued to pursue a progressive reform agenda and won passage of legislation creating state-supported kindergartens, providing for free textbooks for high school students, authorizing a major construction program at the state’s colleges, eliminating the prison “trustee” system, and increased support of the community college system through increased state payments of operational costs.

Despite the fact Dale Bumpers’ governorship was widely viewed as a success, by friends and critics alike, he did not enjoy the position, writing in his autobiography that he, “intensely disliked most of my time as governor” because “I spent more time trying to make sure bad things didn’t happen than I spent trying to make good things happen.”

In 1974, as he was completing his second term as governor, Dale Bumpers decided to challenge the incumbent U.S. senator, the legendary J. William Fulbright, in the Democratic senatorial primary.

Because of his admiration, support, and friendship, Dale Bumpers was reluctant to enter the race against the politically vulnerable Senator Fulbright, writing in his memoir:

I didn’t want to oppose him; on the other hand, I would never forgive myself if he was defeated by someone whose views were an anathema to me.

Dale Bumpers won the Democratic primary with 65% percent of the vote and went on to win the general election against John Harris Jones with 85% of the vote, the largest margin of victory in a statewide election in 30 years.

Dale Bumpers was sworn in as United States Senator in January 1975; he was easily reelected in 1980, 1986, and 1992.

In the course of his 28 year career, Dale Bumpers, nicknamed “the giant killer” by the New York Times, would defeat former or future Arkansas governors: Orval Faubus, Winthrop Rockefeller, Asa Hutchinson, and Mike Huckabee.

During his twenty-four-year career in the United States Senate, Dale Bumpers served as Chairman and Ranking Member of the Small Business Committee and was a senior member of the Committees on Appropriations and on Energy and Natural Resources from which perch he championed environmental legislation and efforts to expand and fund the National Park System.

Though as a fiscal conservative, Senator Bumpers was an early supporter of efforts to reduce the national debt and was often a critic of excessive military spending.

Dale Bumpers retired from the Senate in 1998 but one of the greatest orators ever to serve in the Senate returned to the chamber the following year to deliver the speech for which he is perhaps best known, the powerful, persuasive, compelling, and widely praised closing argument leading to acquittal in the Senate impeachment trial of President Bill Clinton.

Mr. Speaker, Dale Leon Bumpers was a legislator’s legislator and our prayers and condolences go out to his widow, Betty Lou Flanagan, his children, Brent, Bill, and Brooke. Dale Leon Bumpers touched so many lives in so many helpful ways that he will always be remembered as one of the finest public servants of the 20th century.

I ask that the House observe a moment of silence in memory of the distinguished United States Senator from Arkansas, the late Dale Leon Bumpers.

OUR UNCONSCIONABLE NATIONAL DEBT

HON. MIKE COFFMAN
OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. COFFMAN. Mr. Speaker, on January 20, 2009, the day President Obama took office, the national debt was $10,626,877,048,913.08.

Today, it is $18,888,640,000,429.69. We’ve added $8,261,762,951,516.61 to our debt in 7 years. This is over $8 trillion in debt our nation, our economy, and our children could have avoided with a balanced budget amendment.

CONGRATULATIONS CARSON BUZHARDT

HON. JOE WILSON
OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, today, I am fortunate to recognize Carson Buzhardt as the female statewide winner of the South Carolina Farm Bureau Youth Ambassador Contest. A resident of Lexington County, Carson graduated from Wyman King Academy and now attends Clemson University where she majors in Agribusiness and aspires to become part of the food industry. Carson was selected as the winner after her essay and presentation on farm life in South Carolina impressed the panel of judges.

Her parents, Daryl and Pamela Buzhardt of Lexington, join me in recognizing her achievement and I am confident in her future success.

The South Carolina Farm Bureau, under the leadership of President Harry Ott, selects two Youth Ambassadors each year to highlight youth involvement and interest in agriculture. I am grateful to the South Carolina Farm Bureau for their critical work celebrating and supporting family farmers in the Second Congressional District and across the State.

In conclusion, God Bless Our Troops and may the President by his actions never forget September 11th in the Global War on Terrorism. Congratulations Carson Buzhardt.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. RON KIND
OF WISCONSIN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. KIND. Mr. Speaker, I was unable to have my votes recorded on the House floor on Thursday, January 7, 2016 and Friday, January 8, 2016. Had I been present, I would have voted aye on Johnson (GA) Amendment Number 2 (Roll No. 7), Cummings/Connolly Amendment (Roll No. 8), Lynch Amendment (Roll No. 9), Johnson (GA)/Jackson-Lee Amendment Number 6 (Roll No. 10), the motion to recommit with instructions (Roll No. 11), Johnson (GA) Part B Amendment Number 4 (Roll No. 15), Cummings Part B Amendment Number 6 (Roll No. 14), Cicilline Part B Amendment Number 7 (Roll No. 15), DeLange Part B Amendment Number 8 (Roll No. 16), Cicilline Part B Amendment Number 9 (Roll No. 17), Pocan Part B Amendment Number 10 (Roll No. 18), and the motion to recommit with instructions (Roll No. 19).

I would have voted no on passage of H.R. 712 (Roll No. 12), passage of H.R. 1155 (Roll No. 20), on ordering the previous question (Roll No. 21), passage of H. Res. 581 (Roll No. 22).

On Friday, January 8th, I would have voted aye on Cohen Amendment Number 1 (Roll No. 23), Conyers Amendment Number 3 (Roll No. 24), Deutch Amendment Number 4 (Roll No. 25), Moore Amendment Number 5 (Roll No. 26), Moore Amendment Number 6 (Roll No. 27), Waters Amendment Number 7 (Roll No. 28), Johnson Amendment Number 8 (Roll No. 29), Jackson Lee Amendment Number 9 (Roll No. 30), Nadler Amendment Number 10 (Roll No. 31), and the motion to recommit with instructions (Roll No. 32).

I would have voted no on passage of H.R. 1927 (Roll No. 33).

HONORING GEORGINA BOB RUMBLE

HON. TOM PRICE
OF GEORGIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. PRICE of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to recognize and celebrate an outstanding educator, Bob Rumble. For more than 30 years, Bob has taught history and civics in high schools around Atlanta. Over the years Mr. Rumble has been an active participant in Close Up, an organization that brings students and teachers from around the country to Washington D.C. to promote interactive civic engagement. This week marks Mr. Rumble’s 30th student trip to D.C.
Mr. Rumble first took over the Close Up program at Stone Mountain High School in 1985. In 1993 he led the Close Up program at Heritage High School. Later in his career, Mr. Rumble chartered the program at both Roswell and Cambridge High Schools. Most recently Bob brought Close Up to his current position as a history teacher at Kings Ridge Christian School in Alpharetta. Through the Close Up program, Mr. Rumble has given his students a hands-on experience of what he taught in the classroom. Some of his former students and Close Up participants were able to join him this week on what may be Bob’s last trip. Mr. Speaker I want to commend Mr. Rumble for his years of dedication and service to our community. Democracy requires active and informed participation and through these Close Up trips Mr. Rumble has been able to share that with his students. Due to educators like Mr. Rumble, our Nation’s future is bright.

IN RECOGNITION OF MIGUEL C. MIRANDA

HON. JUAN VARGAS
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, January 11, 2016

Mr. VARGAS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Miguel C. Miranda, a true leader and servant of the community of Brawley. Mr. Miranda passed away on Tuesday, December 29, 2015, in his beloved city of Brawley.

Miguel was born on August 30, 1957, in Brawley to his parents, Miguel and Hipolita Miranda. He attended Miguel Hidalgo School, Barbara Worth Junior High School and Brawley Union High School, where he graduated in 1976. In high school he was in the cadets, Barbara Worth Junior High School and Brawley Union High School, where he graduated in 1976. In high school he was in the cadets, and on the football and wrestling team. Mr. Miranda attended Imperial Valley College.

From a young age, Miguel was an active member in the Brawley community. He served as an altar boy at St. Margaret Mary Church. Later, he would serve as the church’s Hospitality Ministry member and parishioner.

Throughout his life, Miguel played many roles within the Brawley community. He was actively involved with the Brown Society, Poor Side of Town and Latin Cruisers Low Riders Car Clubs. He was an honorary member of Hidalgo Society and during his 40 years, he was an active member, past president and served in other board roles. Other public service memberships included Brawley Parks and Recreation Commissioner, Imperial Valley College Affirmative Action Advisory, Pioneers Memorial Hospital Intensive Care Foundation Advisory Council, Brawley American Citizens Club Member and past political chairman, California Rural Legal Assistance board member, Imperial County Manpower Planning Council, North End Optimist Club and Imperial Valley Housing Authority. He was a past member and Grand Knight of the Knights of Columbus Council 2130, and a member of the Brawley Elementary School District Advisory Committee.

Miguel was also a coach, supporter, fan, and friend of the Brawley Junior Gladiators Wrestling and Brawley Union High School Wrestling Programs. He participated in the Los Camperos Camping Group. Miguel also served a judge for community contests, such as the Chili Cook-Off and the Jalapeño Eating Contest, a contest Miguel won for several years in a row.

Miguel was employed by Friends Outside as a Family Liaison Specialist at Calapathia State Prison and was previously employed by the Institute for Social Economic Justice, SER Jobs for Progress, Work Training Center, Campesinos Unidos Inc., and Brawley Elementary School District. In 2001, Miguel was Board President of the Clinicas del Salud del Pueblo, and in November 2015, he was voted by his constituents to serve as the City of Brawley Treasurer. He had previously served the City of Brawley as a Council Member and Mayor pro-tempore.

Miguel’s constant involvement in the community earned him the friendly title, “Amigo de la Comunidad” (Friend of the Community).

Miguel was an outstanding individual, husband, father, papa Mike, brother, brother-in-law (cuñado), son-in-law (yerno), uncle (tío), buddy (compa) and friend to many. He was considerate, genuine, devoted, and an avid Raiders Booster Club fan. He loved spending time with his family. In 1981, he met the love of his life, Estela Robles, and was married in April of 1982. He was enormously proud of his family, and they of him.

Miguel will be missed by his family—his wife, Estela; son and daughter-in-law, Miguel Jr. and Danitza, son, Alex; daughter, Vanessa; future son-in-law, Andres; and his new grandson, Michael Angel—and his Brawley community.

I want to commemorate Miguel Miranda for all lifetime of service to his community. His leadership is sure to leave a lasting legacy.

SENATE COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Title IV of Senate Resolution 4, agreed to by the Senate of February 4, 1977, calls for establishment of a system for a computerized schedule of all meetings and hearings of Senate committees, subcommittees, joint committees, and committees of conference. This title requires all such committees to notify the Office of the Senate Daily Digest—designated by the Rules Committee—of the time, place and purpose of the meetings, when scheduled and any cancellations or changes in the meetings as they occur.

As an additional procedure along with the computerization of this information, the Office of the Senate Daily Digest will prepare this information for printing in the Extensions of Remarks section of the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD on Monday and Wednesday of each week.

Meetings scheduled for Tuesday, January 12, 2016 may be found in the Daily Digest of today’s RECORD.

MEETINGS SCHEDULED

JANUARY 19

10 a.m.
Committee on Energy and Natural Resources
To hold hearings to examine the near-term outlook for energy and commodity markets.

SD-366
Daily Digest

Senate

Chamber Action
Routine Proceedings, pages S3–S38

Measures Introduced: One bill and one resolution were introduced, as follows: S. 2437, and S. Res. 343. Pages S31–32

Measures Passed:

Relative to the Death of Former Senator Dale Bumpers: Senate agreed to S. Res. 343, relative to the death of Dale Bumpers, former United States Senator for the State of Arkansas. Page S33

Escort Committee—Agreement: A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing that the Presiding Officer of the Senate be authorized to appoint a committee on the part of the Senate to join with a like committee on the part of the House of Representatives to escort the President of the United States into the House Chamber for the joint session to be held at 9 p.m., on Tuesday, January 12, 2016. Page S33

Federal Reserve Transparency Act—Agreement: A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing that at 2:15 p.m., on Tuesday, January 12, 2016, Senate resume consideration of the motion to proceed to consideration of S. 2232, to require a full audit of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and the Federal reserve banks by the Comptroller General of the United States, with the time until 2:30 p.m., equally divided between the two Leaders or their designees. Page S33

Messages from the President: Senate received the following messages from the President of the United States:

Transmitting, pursuant to the Constitution, the report of the veto of S.J. Res. 24, providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to “Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units”, received during adjournment of the Senate on December 18, 2015; ordered to be printed in the Record, spread in full upon the Journal, and held at the desk. (PM–34) Pages S3, S28–29

Transmitting, pursuant to the Constitution, the report of the veto of S.J. Res. 23, providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to “Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units”, received during adjournment of the Senate on December 18, 2015; ordered to be printed in the Record, spread in full upon the Journal, and held at the desk. (PM–35) Pages S3–4, S28–29

Nomination Confirmed: Senate confirmed the following nomination:

By 82 yeas to 6 nays (Vote No. EX. 1), Luis Felipe Restrepo, of Pennsylvania, to be United States Circuit Judge for the Third Circuit. Pages S17–21, S38

Nominations Received: Senate received the following nominations:

Raymond G. Farmer, of South Carolina, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers for a term of one year.

Thomas McLeary, of Illinois, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers for a term of two years.

Michael J. Rothman, of Minnesota, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers for a term of two years.

Heather Ann Steinmiller, of Pennsylvania, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the National Association of Registered Agents and Brokers for a term of two years.

Nelson Reyneri, of Washington, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the Overseas Private Investment Corporation for a term expiring December 17, 2018.

Todd A. Weiler, of Virginia, to be an Assistant Secretary of Defense.

John Mark McWatters, of Texas, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the Export-Import Bank
Kelly Keiderling-Franz, of Virginia, to be Ambassador to the Oriental Republic of Uruguay.
Stephen Michael Schwartz, of Maryland, to be Ambassador to the Federal Republic of Somalia.
12 Air Force nominations in the rank of general.
4 Army nominations in the rank of general.
Routine lists in the Air Force, Army, Marine Corps, and Navy.

Nominations Withdrawn: Senate received notification of withdrawal of the following nominations:
Patricia M. Loui-Schmicker, of Hawaii, to be a Member of the Board of Directors of the Export-Import Bank of the United States for a term expiring January 20, 2019, which was sent to the Senate on March 16, 2015.
Phillip H. Cullom, of Illinois, to be an Assistant Secretary of Defense, which was sent to the Senate on November 19, 2015.

Messages from the House:

Measures Referred:

Measures Placed on the Calendar:

Executive Communications:

Additional Cosponsors:

Additional Statements:

House of Representatives

Chamber Action

Public Bills and Resolutions Introduced: 6 public bills, H.R. 4359–4364; and 3 resolutions, H.J. Res. 80; H. Con. Res. 106; and H. Res. 584, were introduced.

H. Res. 583, providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1644) to amend the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 to ensure transparency in the development of environmental regulations, and for other purposes; providing for consideration of the joint resolution (S.J. Res. 22) providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the Corps of Engineers and the Environmental Protection Agency relating to the definition of “waters of the United States” under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act; providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3662) to enhance congressional oversight over the administration of sanctions against certain Iranian terrorism financiers, and for other purposes; and providing for proceedings during the period from January 14, 2016, through January 22, 2016 (H. Rept. 114–395).

Speaker: Read a letter from the Speaker wherein he appointed Representative Smith (NE) to act as Speaker pro tempore for today.
Recess: The House recessed at 12:09 p.m. and reconvened at 2 p.m.  

Recess: The House recessed at 2:07 p.m. and reconvened at 3:48 p.m.  

Suspensions: The House agreed to suspend the rules and pass the following measures:  
  
  **Child Nicotine Poisoning Prevention Act:** S. 142, to require the Consumer Product Safety Commission to promulgate a rule to require child safety packaging for liquid nicotine containers;  
  
  **Presidential Allowance Modernization Act:** H.R. 1777, amended, to amend the Act of August 25, 1958, commonly known as the “Former Presidents Act of 1958”, with respect to the monetary allowance payable to a former President;  
  
  **District of Columbia Courts, Public Defender Service, and Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency Act:** S. 1629, to revise certain authorities of the District of Columbia courts, the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia, and the Public Defender Service for the District of Columbia;  
  
  **GONE Act:** S. 1115, to close out expired, empty grant accounts;  
  
  **Taxpayers Right-To-Know Act:** H.R. 598, amended, to provide taxpayers with an annual report disclosing the cost and performance of Government programs and areas of duplication among them, by a 2/3 yea-and-nay vote of 413 yeas with none voting “nay”, Roll No. 34;  
  
  **Presidential Library Donation Reform Act of 2016:** H.R. 1069, amended, to amend title 44, United States Code, to require information on contributors to Presidential library fundraising organizations;  
  
  **FOIA Act:** H.R. 653, amended, to amend section 552 of title 5, United States Code (commonly known as the Freedom of Information Act), to provide for greater public access to information; and  
  

Agreed to amend the title so as to read: “To amend title 5, United States Code, to protect unpaid interns in the Federal Government from workplace harassment and discrimination, and for other purposes.”.  

Recess: The House recessed at 5:55 p.m. and reconvened at 6:30 p.m.  

Suspension—Proceedings Postponed: The House debated the following measure under suspension of the rules. Further proceedings were postponed.  
  
  **North Korea Sanctions Enforcement Act of 2016:** H.R. 757, amended, to improve the enforcement of sanctions against the Government of North Korea.  

Quorum Calls—Votes: Two yea-and-nay votes developed during the proceedings of today and appear on pages H257–58 and H258. There were no quorum calls.  

Adjournment: The House met at 12 noon and adjourned at 10 p.m.  

**Committee Meetings**  

STREAM ACT; IRAN TERROR FINANCE TRANSPARENCY ACT; SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL UNDER CHAPTER 8 OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, OF THE RULE SUBMITTED BY THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY RELATING TO THE DEFINITION OF “WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES” UNDER THE FEDERAL WATER POLLUTION CONTROL ACT  

Committee on Rules: Full Committee held a hearing on H.R. 1644, the “STREAM Act”; H.R. 3662, the “Iran Terror Finance Transparency Act”; and S.J. Res. 22, providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the Corps of Engineers and the Environmental Protection Agency relating to the definition of “waters of the United States” under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act. The committee granted, by record vote of 8–4, granted a structured rule for H.R. 1644. The rule provides one hour of general debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Natural Resources. The rule waives all points of order against consideration of the bill. The rule makes in order as original text for the purpose of amendment the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Natural Resources now printed in the bill and provides that it shall be considered as read. The rule waives all points of order against the amendment in the nature of a substitute. The rule makes in order only those further amendments printed in the Rules Committee report. Each such amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, may
be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in the report. The rule provides one motion to recommit with or without instructions. Additionally, the rule grants a closed rule for S.J. Res. 22. The rule provides one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure. The rule waives all points of order against consideration of the joint resolution. The rule provides that the joint resolution shall be considered as read. The rule waives all points of order against provisions in the joint resolution. The rule provides one motion to commit. Furthermore, the rule grants a closed rule for H.R. 3662. The rule provides one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Foreign Affairs. The rule waives all points of order against consideration of the bill. The rule provides that the bill shall be considered as read. The rule waives all points of order against provisions in the bill. The rule provides one motion to recommit. In section 4, the rule provides that on any legislative day during the period from January 14, 2016, through January 22, 2016: the Journal of the proceedings of the previous day shall be considered as approved; and the Chair may at any time declare the House adjourned to meet at a date and time to be announced by the Chair in declaring the adjournment. Lastly, in section 5 the rule provides that the Speaker may appoint Members to perform the duties of the Chair for the duration of the period addressed by section 4. Testimony was heard from Chairman Royce and Representatives Engel, Gibbs, Mooney of West Virginia, and Lowenthal.

SBA’S OFFICE OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE: GOOD FOR BUSINESS?

Committee on Small Business: Subcommittee on Agriculture, Energy and Trade held a hearing entitled “SBA’s Office of International Trade: Good for Business?” Testimony was heard from Eileen Sanchez, Associate Administrator, Office of International Trade, Small Business Administration.

Joint Meetings

No joint committee meetings were held.

NEW PUBLIC LAWS

(For last listing of Public Laws, see Daily Digest, p. D1333)

H.R. 2270, to redesignate the Nisqually National Wildlife Refuge, located in the State of Washington, as the Billy Frank Jr. Nisqually National Wildlife Refuge, to establish the Medicine Creek Treaty National Memorial within the wildlife refuge. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–101)

H.R. 2297, to prevent Hizballah and associated entities from gaining access to international financial and other institutions. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–102)

H.R. 2693, to designate the arboretum at the Hunter Holmes McGuire VA Medical Center in Richmond, Virginia, as the “Phyllis E. Galanti Arboretum”. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–103)


H.R. 3594, to extend temporarily the Federal Perkins Loan program. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–105)

H.R. 3831, to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to extend the annual comment period for payment rates under Medicare Advantage. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–106)

H.R. 4246, to exempt for an additional 4-year period, from the application of the means-test presumption of abuse under chapter 7, qualifying members of reserve components of the Armed Forces and members of the National Guard who, after September 11, 2001, are called to active duty or to perform a homeland defense activity for not less than 90 days. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–107)

H.J. Res. 76, appointing the day for the convening of the second session of the One Hundred Fourteenth Congress. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–108)

S. 614, to provide access to and use of information by Federal agencies in order to reduce improper payments. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–109)

S. 808, to establish the Surface Transportation Board as an independent establishment. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–110)

S. 1090, to amend the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act to provide eligibility for broadcasting facilities to receive certain disaster assistance. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–111)

S. 1461, to provide for the extension of the enforcement instruction on supervision requirements for outpatient therapeutic services in critical access
and small rural hospitals through 2015. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–112)

H.R. 2029, making appropriations for military construction, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2016. Signed on December 18, 2015. (Public Law 114–113)

H.R. 1321, to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to prohibit the manufacture and introduction or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce of rinse-off cosmetics containing intentionally-added plastic microbeads. Signed on December 28, 2015. (Public Law 114–114)

S. 2425, to amend titles XVIII and XIX of the Social Security Act to improve payments for complex rehabilitation technology and certain radiation therapy services, to ensure flexibility in applying the hardship exception for meaningful use for the 2015 EHR reporting period for 2017 payment adjustments. Signed on December 28, 2015. (Public Law 114–115)

COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR TUESDAY, JANUARY 12, 2016

(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)

Senate

Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions: business meeting to consider the nomination of Robert McKinnon Califf, of South Carolina, to be Commissioner of Food and Drugs, Department of Health and Human Services, 10 a.m., SD–430.

Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs: to hold hearings to examine the nominations of Michael Joseph Missal, of Maryland, to be Inspector General, Department of Veterans Affairs, and Carolyn N. Lerner, of Maryland, to be Special Counsel, Office of Special Counsel, for the term of five years (Reappointment), 10 a.m., SD–342.

Committee on Veterans’ Affairs: business meeting to consider the nomination of Michael Joseph Missal, of Maryland, to be Inspector General, Department of Veterans Affairs, Time to be announced, S–216, Capitol.

Select Committee on Intelligence: to receive a closed briefing on certain intelligence matters, 2:30 p.m., SH–219.

House

Committee on Agriculture, Subcommittee on Nutrition, hearing entitled “Past, Present, and Future of SNAP: Addressing Special Populations”, 10 a.m., 1300 Longworth.

Committee on Armed Services, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Outside Views on the U.S. Strategy for Iraq and Syria and the Evolution of Islamic Extremism”, 10 a.m., 2118 Rayburn.

Subcommittee on Strategic Forces, hearing entitled “National Academies Study on Peer Review and Design Competition in the NNSA National Security Laboratories”, 3:30 p.m., 2118 Rayburn.


Subcommittee on Communications and Technology, hearing entitled “A Legislative Hearing on Four Communications Bills”, 10:15 a.m., 2123 Rayburn.

Committee on Financial Services, Subcommittee on Housing and Insurance, hearing entitled “Opportunities and Challenges Facing the National Flood Insurance Program”, 10 a.m., 2128 Rayburn.

Committee on Foreign Affairs, Subcommittee on Asia and the Pacific, markup on H. Res. 339, expressing the sense of the House of Representatives regarding the 25th anniversary of democracy in Mongolia; H. Res. 343, expressing concern regarding persistent and credible reports of systematic, state-sanctioned organ harvesting from non-consenting prisoners of conscience in the People’s Republic of China, including from large numbers of Falun Gong practitioners and members of other religious and ethnic minority groups; and H. Res. 374, recognizing the 50th anniversary of Singaporean independence and reaffirming Singapore’s close partnership with the United States; and hearing entitled “Human Rights in China: The 2015 Annual Report of the Congressional-Executive Commission on China”, 2:15 p.m., 2172 Rayburn.

Committee on the Judiciary, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 3406, the “Second Chance Reauthorization Act of 2015”; H.R. 4240, the “No Fly for Foreign Fighters Act”; and H.R. 1854, the “Comprehensive Justice and Mental Health Act of 2015”, 10:15 a.m., 2141 Rayburn.


Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 1671, the “Government Neutrality in Contracting Act”; H.R. 3023, to amend title 5, United States Code, to modify probationary periods with respect to positions within the competitive service and the Senior Executive Service, and for other purposes; the “Senior Executive Service Accountability Act”; the “Federal Information Systems Safeguards Act of 2016”; the “Official Personnel File Enhancement Act”; and the “Administrative Leave Reform Act”, 10 a.m., 2154 Rayburn.


Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 4084, the “Nuclear Energy Innovation Capabilities Act”, 10 a.m., 2318 Rayburn.


Subcommittee on Health and Technology, hearing entitled “Oversight of the Office of Innovation and Investment at the SBA”, 3 p.m., 2360 Rayburn.
Committee on Veterans’ Affairs, Full Committee, hearing entitled “1988 to 2016: VETSNET to VBMS; Billions Spent, Backlog Grinds On”, 10:30 a.m., 334 Cannon.

CONGRESSIONAL PROGRAM AHEAD
Week of January 12 through January 15, 2016

Senate Chamber
On Tuesday, at approximately 2:15 p.m., Senate will resume consideration of the motion to proceed to consideration of S. 2232, Federal Reserve Transparency Act, with a vote on the motion to invoke cloture on the motion to proceed to consideration of the bill at 2:30 p.m.

During the balance of the week, Senate may consider any cleared legislative and executive business.

Senate Committees
(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)

Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions: January 12, business meeting to consider the nomination of Robert McKinnon Califf, of South Carolina, to be Commissioner of Food and Drugs, Department of Health and Human Services, 10 a.m., SD–430.

Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs: January 12, to hold hearings to examine the nominations of Michael Joseph Missal, of Maryland, to be Inspector General, Department of Veterans Affairs, and Carolyn N. Lerner, of Maryland, to be Special Counsel, Office of Special Counsel, for the term of five years (Reappointment), 10 a.m., SD–342.

Committee on Veterans’ Affairs: January 12, business meeting to consider the nomination of Michael Joseph Missal, of Maryland, to be Inspector General, Department of Veterans Affairs, Time to be announced, S–216, Capitol.

Select Committee on Intelligence: January 12, to receive a closed briefing on certain intelligence matters, 2:30 p.m., SH–219.

House Committees

Committee on Armed Services, January 13, Subcommittee on Readiness, hearing entitled “Effects of Reduced Infrastructure and Base Operating Support Investments on Air Force Readiness”, 9 a.m., 2212 Rayburn.

January 13, Subcommittee on Military Personnel, hearing entitled “Views on Commissary Reform”, 10:30 a.m., 2118 Rayburn.

Committee on Financial Services, January 13, Subcommittee on Housing and Insurance, hearing entitled “How to Create a More Robust and Private Flood Insurance Marketplace”, 9:15 a.m., 2128 Rayburn.

Committee on Foreign Affairs, January 13, Subcommittee on Asia and the Pacific, hearing entitled “The U.S. Response to North Korea’s Nuclear Provocations”, 9 a.m., 2172 Rayburn.

Committee on the Judiciary, January 13, Subcommittee on the Constitution and Civil Justice, hearing entitled “The Original Meaning of the Origination Clause”, 9 a.m., 2141 Rayburn.

Committee on Small Business, January 13, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 4341, the “Defending America’s Small Contractors Act of 2016”, 10 a.m., 2360 Rayburn.
Next Meeting of the SENATE
10 a.m., Tuesday, January 12

Senate Chamber

Program for Tuesday: After the transaction of any morning business (not to extend beyond 12:30 p.m.), Senate will resume consideration of the motion to proceed to consideration of S. 2232, Federal Reserve Transparency Act, with a vote on the motion to invoke cloture on the motion to proceed to consideration of the bill at 2:30 p.m.

(Senate will recess from 12:30 p.m. until 2:15 p.m. for their respective party conferences.)

(Senators will gather in the Senate Chamber at 8:20 p.m. and proceed as a body to the Hall of the House of Representatives at 8:25 p.m., to receive a State of the Union Address from the President.)

Next Meeting of the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
10 a.m., Tuesday, January 12

House Chamber

Program for Tuesday: Consideration of H.R. 1655—STREAM Act (Subject to a Rule). Joint Session with the Senate to receive the State of the Union Address from the President of the United States.
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