The House met at noon and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. BERGMAN).

DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC, June 6, 2017.

I hereby appoint the Honorable J ACK BERGMAN to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

PAUL D. RYAN,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

MORNING-HOUR DEBATE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of January 3, 2017, the Chair will now recognize Members from lists submitted by the majority and minority leaders for morning-hour debate.

The Chair will alternate recognition between the parties. All time shall be equally allocated between the parties, and in no event shall debate continue beyond 1:50 p.m. Each Member, other than the majority and minority leaders and the minority whip, shall be limited to 5 minutes.

D-DAY TRIBUTE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. HILL) for 5 minutes.

Mr. HILL. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in honor of the bravery and courage of so many men who sacrificed everything on D-Day. At 5:52 in the morning on June 6, 1944, in a position about 4,000 yards from Omaha Beach, one of the oldest ships in the U.S. Navy, a World War I battleship, the USS Arkansas, opened fire on German positions at Omaha Beach in support of more than 30,000 American soldiers tasked with securing the beachhead. The countless heroes who stormed the beaches of Normandy on that fateful day 73 years ago will never be forgotten.

I had the honor of visiting this hallowed ground over Memorial Day, and while I was paying tribute to the brave soldiers who made the ultimate sacrifice at the Normandy American Cemetery and Memorial, an older Frenchman by the name of Mr. Vonclair approached me simply wanting to honor his liberators. He said that he just wanted to thank an American. He was 10 years old when D-day occurred, and he will never forget how the United States of America came to his and so many others’ aid in the liberation of Europe from the Nazi menace.

Mr. Vonclair’s love for America and our GIs speaks volumes about the goodwill and exceptional character of our great Nation. It is a privilege to offer my sincere tribute to the brave men who laid down their lives in the defense of those French and American shared convictions of liberty, equality, and fraternity.

RECOGNIZING STAFF SERGEANT ROBERT DALE VAN FOSSEN

Mr. HILL. Mr. Speaker, today I rise in recognition of one of Arkansas’ finest, Staff Sergeant Robert Dale Van Fossen of Greenbrier, Arkansas. On November 22, 1952, a Douglas C-124A Globemaster II took off from McChord Air Base in Tacoma, Washington, headed for Elmendorf Air Force Base near Anchorage. Staff Sergeant Robert Dale Van Fossen, along with 51 others, was onboard. And near Middleton Island, in the Gulf of Alaska, the plane disappeared.

Eight days after the plane’s disappearance, part of the wreckage was located some 50 miles east of Anchorage. Unfortunately, a week into the search, the recovery team had to call off the effort due to weather conditions. The families of the victims were notified they would not have any remains to bury.

Finally, in March 2016, after 64 years and extensive recovery efforts, Staff Sergeant Van Fossen’s remains were confirmed found and returned to his home in Heber Springs, Arkansas.

I would like to extend my deepest condolences to the family of Staff Sergeant Van Fossen and hope that they are now able to find peace that he is finally home and in his final resting place.

CONWAY BIKESHARE PROGRAM

Mr. HILL. Mr. Speaker, last month we recognized National Bike Month and the many bicycling benefits that we have as citizens. I rise today to recognize the city of Conway, Arkansas, for its installation of their successful public bikesharing program and to emphasize its leading example of advocacy for an active lifestyle.

As a long-time biker, I am pleased to see the city work to provide an alternative and convenient source of transportation for residents of Conway to help relieve congestion and promote a healthier city.

As a former board member of the Bicycle Advocacy of Central Arkansas, I am glad to see Conway’s bikesharing program as one more step on the path towards fulfilling the mayor’s vision of a vibrant, healthy, and bike friendly city.

RECOGNIZING RICHIE ARNOLD ON HIS RETIREMENT

Mr. HILL. Mr. Speaker, today I rise in recognition of a great Arkansan, a long-time Conway resident, and one of the pillars of the Conway Corporation, Mr. Richie Arnold. Mr. Arnold is retiring from his post as CEO after 39 years with the company. He hails from Harrison and is a University of Arkansas graduate with a degree in accounting. He joined Conway Corporation as a manager of data processing before becoming manager of finance and accounting and then ultimately the chief executive officer in 1998.

During his tenure at Conway Corporation, he always worked to keep the
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company ahead of the curve and was responsible for transitioning the utility to full computerization. Mr. Arnold was instrumental in assisting Conway Corporation in leading a path to diversifying services by adding new services for the citizens, such as digital cable, internet, telephone, security services, and water.

Mr. Arnold recently earned a national recognition after being listed in the “Regional 50” in Cablefax magazine. My congratulations and best wishes for Mr. Arnold’s future endeavors.

IN HONOR OF THE 100TH BIRTHDAY OF MR. HERMAN HIGGS

Mr. HILL. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in honor of Mr. Herman Higgins, a World War II veteran who celebrated his 100th birthday last month. Born in Calhoun County, Arkansas, Herman was drafted into the Army and served the entire span of World War II, including as a POW in the last year. He was a platoon sergeant of a transportation convoy that was captured in December 1944 during the Battle of the Bulge.

In the spring of 1945, Patton’s army arrived, and Herman was freed, along with other American GIs and the Jewish woman who had survived the atrocities of the Third Reich. He was granted an honorable discharge a few months later and returned to Calhoun County, where he served 29 years as a police officer and 24 years as a U.S. marshal.

The city of North Little Rock, Arkansas, dedicated May 13 as Herman Higgins Day. Herman’s example is one that all Americans and Arkansans can admire, and I would like to extend my congratulations to Herman for his life of service and this remarkable milestone of a centennial birthday.

HONORING DR. GAIL CARBERRY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN) for 5 minutes.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the accomplishments of Dr. Gail Carberry of Quinsigamond Community College in Worcester, Massachusetts, who will be retiring after a decade of tireless service to the QCC family and over 40 years of inspiring students across the Commonwealth.

From early on, Dr. Carberry recognized the impact one committed teacher can make in a young person’s life. She understands at her core that community colleges present a transformative opportunity for students to be recognized for their talents and encouraged toward their goals.

Dr. Carberry is a passionate advocate for expanding access to the opportunities that community colleges can provide. Because of Dr. Carberry’s conviction that every student can achieve, QCC implemented a high school dropout prevention program, and Dr. Carberry personally endowed over $200,000 in scholarships for local community college students. She diversified the students, faculty, and staff populations to better reflect the community and implemented award-winning STEM programs to prepare students for the rapidly changing 21st century workforce.

In keeping with her desire to equip her students with the skills they need to compete in the modern workforce, Dr. Carberry successfully opened a 72,000-square-foot campus in downtown Worcester dedicated to the college’s healthcare program, which not only addressed the needs of QCC students, but reinvigorated a large portion of downtown Worcester.

As a result of Dr. Carberry’s leadership, QCC has become a leading institution of higher learning in Massachusetts. This year, QCC graduated almost double the number of students it did only 10 years ago. Dr. Carberry has expanded QCC’s footprint and increased enrollment by nearly 40 percent.

Mr. Speaker, on behalf of the city of Worcester, on behalf of the people of Massachusetts, and most importantly, on behalf of all, on behalf of the students she has helped to achieve their dreams, I want to say thank you to Dr. Gail Carberry. We all owe her a heartfelt debt of gratitude and wish her great satisfaction in her endeavors to come.

TAX REFORM

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. FITZPATRICK) for 5 minutes.

Mr. FITZPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, while our Nation faces many challenges, perhaps none is more pressing than that of growing our economy. The positive impact of strong, sustained economic growth has the potential not only to help families make ends meet, but it will also address the many other pressures we face now for Congress and this administration to act on meaningful tax reform.

The model is simple and straightforward. We need to simplify the ridiculously complex Internal Revenue Code, eliminate the loopholes that allow corporations and individuals to avoid paying their fair share, lower the rates for middle class families and small businesses, and broaden the tax base.

As a certified public accountant, an independent voice for the people of Bucks and Montgomery Counties, I intend to push vehemently to make tax reform a reality in this Congress. Moreover, this can and must be a bipartisan effort.

A 1.5 percent growth in GDP is simply unsustainable. If that trend continues, we will not be able to fund priorities such as bolstering our national security, taking care of our veterans, eliminating the deficit crisis, funding public education, preserving our environment, and a whole host of other priorities.

Economic growth has three essential aspects: tax reform, regulatory reform, and a balanced budget. The REINS Act and the upcoming vote on the CHOICE Act will start this process on the regulatory side, which will revive the ability of community banks to support local economies and advance infrastructure investment that puts Americans back to work by rebuilding roads, schools, bridges, as well as our IT infrastructure and the electrical grid.

The other critical component is tax reform, and we owe it to the American people to get this done. I call on my good colleagues from both sides to put politics aside and do what we were sent here to do, and that is to stand up for hardworking families and unleash the power of the American economy.

TYPE 1 DIABETES

Mr. FITZPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, over a million Americans, including 200,000 young Americans, are living with type 1 diabetes, an autoimmune disease in which a person’s pancreas stops producing insulin. This disease is fatal without strict adherence to a regimented schedule of multiple daily insulin injections or continuous pump infusions, along with close monitoring of blood glucose levels.

My constituent Aislinn Keenan was diagnosed with type 1 diabetes when she was just 2 years old. She does not remember a day when she did not need to lance her finger multiple times a day to check her blood sugar or receive insulin injections. Despite these challenges, Aislinn and her family serve as an inspiration to all those facing this disease. Through courage and perseverance, they refuse to let type 1 diabetes stand in their way. Aislinn just finished her sophomore year at Villanova University.

The Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation projects that 5 million Americans will have type 1 diabetes by 2050, including 600,000 young people, yet only one-third of the people with type 1 diabetes are achieving safe blood glucose levels. Accordingly, I am urging my colleagues to fully fund the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases.

Presently, there is no way to prevent type 1 diabetes and no cure for it once diagnosed. Only through robust funding and exhaustive research at the NIH can we continue to drive scientific progress to make possible living with type 1 diabetes safer and healthier.

HONORING SPECIALIST KERRY M.G. DANYLUK

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. FARENTHOLD) for 5 minutes.

Mr. FARENTHOLD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to tell the story of a new Army Specialist Kerry M.G. Danylik Memorial in Yoakum, Texas, which was unveiled last week on Memorial Day.

□ 1215
This new memorial, planned and funded by the Yoakum Rotary Club, honors Yoakum High School and Victoria College graduate Kerry Danyluk, who made the ultimate sacrifice for our Nation on April 15, 2014.

As a member of the 10th Mountain Division based at Fort Drum, New York, 27-year-old Army Specialist Danyluk was serving his second tour of duty in Afghanistan in support of Operation Enduring Freedom. While conducting operations in the Logar province, enemy forces attacked Specialist Danyluk’s unit with small arms fire, critically wounding him. Despite an evacuation to Landstuhl Regional Medical Center in Germany, Specialist Danyluk succumbed to his injuries.

Danyluk’s awards include the Bronze Star, the Purple Heart, the Army Commendation Medal, the Army Achievement Medal, the Army Good Conduct Medal, and more.

Thanks to the generous actions of the Yoakum Rotary Club, Specialist Danyluk’s memory will live on.

A special thank-you is also due all involved in helping plan and implement the memorial. I am honored to represent communities like Yoakum and many others that are so grateful to those who serve our Nation.

COACH JAMES McMinn NAMED ALL-SOUTH TEXAS COACH OF THE YEAR

Mr. FARENTHOLD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize one of my constituents, Coach McMinn, who serves as the assistant athletic director and head girls basketball coach at Flour Bluff High School and was recently named the All-South Texas Coach of the Year.

Born and raised in Corpus Christi, Texas, Coach McMinn graduated from W. B. Ray High School in 1961 and continued his education at Del Mar College and Corpus Christi State University, now Texas A&M University Corpus Christi.

Coach McMinn started his career at my alma mater, Incarnate Word Academy in Corpus Christi, and he coached the Lady Angels to two separate State appearances.

He began working in Flour Bluff in the fall of 1993 and recently concluded his 27th year as head basketball coach. Over that 27-year career, he accumulated an impressive record. His teams have advanced to postseason play 19 of those 27 seasons. He has taken the Lady Hornets to the regional tournament five times and advanced to the State tournament final four in the 2016–2017 season.

Congratulations, Coach McMinn, on your outstanding coaching achievement.

TEXAS DELEGATES TO CONGRESS OF FUTURE MEDICAL LEADERS AND CONGRESS OF FUTURE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY LEADERS

Mr. FARENTHOLD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize four outstanding high school students from the 27th District of Texas who will be delegates to the Congress of the Future Medical Leaders and Congress of the Future Science and Technology Leaders later this month.

Brittney Goodwin, a junior at Rockport-Fulton High School, excels in science and technology and was nominated for the Congress of Future Science and Technology Leaders for her academic achievement, leadership potential, and passion for science and technology.

Austin Thompson from Callallen High School, Nicole Morrison, a student at Incarnate Word Academy, and John Handleman from Corp Christi will all be delegates at the Congress of Future Medical Leaders. These students were nominated by their teachers for their academic success and their desire to become future STEM leaders and medical professionals.

Our country needs more doctors. Our country needs more science, technology, and mathematics students to continue the technological revolution and continued innovation that our country needs.

Congratulations, Brittney, Austin, Nicole, and John. America is proud of you all.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until 2 p.m. today.

Accordingly (at 12 o’clock and 19 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

☐ 1400

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. HOLDING) at 2 p.m.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Patrick J. Conroy, offered the following prayer:

We give You thanks, God of the Universe, for giving us another day.

As the various Members of this people’s House return, we ask Your blessing upon each as they resume the difficult responsibilities that await them. Give each the wisdom and good judgment needed to give credit to the office they have been honored by their constituents to fill.

Bless the work of all who serve in their various capacities here in the United States Capitol.

Bless all those who visit the Capitol this very day, be they American citizens or visitors or guests of our Nation. May they be inspired by this monument to the noble idea of human freedom and its guarantee by the experiment that is the United States’ democratic Republic.

God bless America, and may all that is done this day be for Your greater honor and glory. Amen.

BIASED JOURNALISM IS UNACCEPTABLE

Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.

Mr. DUNCAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the great men and women and their families that drive Minnesota’s dairy industry. This month is National Dairy Month. In fact, considering the amount of milk and other dairy products we consume on a daily basis in the United States and beyond, one could argue that we already remember and recognize our dairy farmers every day.

In Minnesota, our dairy farmers produce nearly 10 billion pounds of milk each year. Our dairy farmers, along with their industry colleagues across the country, not only bring billions of dollars to our economy, they are vital to a strong and healthy population.

Our dairy farmers work extremely hard. Many, in addition to their dairy operation, have to maintain a job off the farm to make ends meet.

So, during National Dairy Month, when you drink a glass of milk, have a milk-filled bowl of cereal, eat a piece of cheese or that cup of yogurt, let’s stop and remember the hardworking men and women of our dairy industry and their important role to our economy and their commitment to our health.
paragraph in a “news” story than one that was on the front page of yesterday’s Washington Post. Philip Rucker does not now deserve the title of journalist but, instead, should be referred to as a Democratic or leftwing hack.

He wrote that a traditional President would have reacted carefully “to the London attacks by instilling calm, being judicious . . . and appealing to the country’s better angels.” Instead, he accused President Trump of reacting “impulsively . . . stroking panic and fear, being indiscrimate with details . . . and capitalizing on it to advocate for one of his more polarizing policies and to advance a personal feud.”

Apparenty, Mr. Rucker is so blinded by hatred for the President he cannot see straight and has written one of the most unfair, one-sided articles I have ever read in a “news” story in what used to be a newspaper.

50TH ANNIVERSARY OF REUNIFICATION OF JERUSALEM

(Mr. MESSER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. MESSER. Mr. Speaker, on the 50th anniversary of the reunification of Jerusalem and on behalf of Hoosiers from Indiana’s Sixth Congressional District, I rise today to send my prayers and best wishes to the Jewish state.

It is our hope that the special relationship between America and Israel continues to be strengthened and to grow. Israel is our most important friend in that region of the world and among America’s strongest allies anywhere in the world. On this anniversary, let it be reaffirmed that Indiana and America stand with Israel.

The Holy City is a place of prayer for all people, and this day provides us with an opportunity to pray for the Jewish state. May it remain a beacon of light, representing democracy and freedom, and may peace and prosperity reign for its people.

The eternal capital of the State of Israel, Jerusalem, is also a seat of parliament, and from one representative body to another, we say, “Shalom” and “God bless.” Our prayers are with Israel today, tomorrow, and always.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE DEMOCRATIC LEADER

The SPEAKER pro tempore said before the House the following communication from the Honorable NANCY PELOSI, Democratic Leader:

JUNE 5, 2017.

HON. PAUL RYAN,
Speaker of the House of Representatives, U.S. Capitol, Washington, DC.

DEAR SPEAKER RYAN: Pursuant to Section 603 of the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (Pub. L. 114-323), I am pleased to appoint the following individuals to the Western Hemisphere Drug Policy Commission:

Mr. Pete Gallego of Alpine, Texas

Thank you for your attention to this appointment.

Sincerely,

NANCY PELOSI, Democratic Leader.

REAPPOINTMENT OF MEMBER TO BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE HARRY S. TRUMAN SCHOLARSHIP FOUNDATION

THE SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair announces the Speaker’s reappointment, pursuant to 20 U.S.C. 2004(b), and the order of the House of January 3, 2017, of the following Member on the part of the House to the Board of Trustees of the Harry S. Truman Scholarship Foundation:

Mr. DEUTCH, Florida.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until approximately 4:30 p.m. today. Accordingly (at 2 o’clock and 8 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

☐ 1633

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee) at 4 o’clock and 33 minutes p.m.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on motions to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote incurs objection under clause 6 of rule XX.

The House will resume proceedings on postponed questions at a later time.

CONDEMNING VIOLENCE AGAINST PEACEFUL PROTESTERS OUTSIDE THE TURKISH AMBASSADOR’S RESIDENCE

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 354) condemning the violence against peaceful protesters outside the Turkish Ambassador’s residence on May 16, 2017, and calling for the perpetrators to be brought to justice and measures to be taken to prevent similar incidents in the future, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The text of the resolution is as follows:

H. RES. 354

Whereas, on May 16, 2017, President Donald J. Trump hosted President Tayyip Erdogan of Turkey, a longstanding NATO ally, for an official meeting at the White House to discuss counterterrorism cooperation and bilateral issues;

Whereas, on the evening of May 16, 2017, over two dozen protesters gathered outside of the Turkish Ambassador’s residence in Washington, DC, to demonstrate opposition to Turkish government policies;

Whereas after hours of peaceful protest, violence erupted when pro-Erdogan supporters and individuals from the Turkish Embassy pushed past District of Columbia police officers to brutally attack the demonstrators;

Whereas those Turkish officials blatantly suppressed the First Amendment rights of United States citizens, and multiple armed Turkish security officials beat, kicked, and chased unarmed demonstrators;

Whereas multiple video recordings of the violence and reports by the Metropolitan Police Department of the District of Columbia and the Department of State confirm that the demonstrators did not instigate the violence;

Whereas at least 11 individuals were seriously injured in the ensuing brawl, with two individuals requiring immediate hospitalization;

Whereas separately, two armed Turkish security officers attached to a security detail were detained for physically assaulting Federal agents;

Whereas those two Turkish security officers were later released and subsequently allowed to leave the United States because they held Derived Head of State immunity;

Whereas the Department of State did not request that Turkey waive the immunity for these two security officers in order to fully investigate the assault prior to their being released from custody;

Whereas a joint criminal investigation into the incident is ongoing with the combined efforts of the Washington Metropolitan Police Department, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the Department of State Diplomatic Security Service;

Whereas at no point was President Erdogan in danger;

Whereas immunity for diplomatic personnel and certain other foreign officials is a core principle, as is the right to protest peacefully and freely in the United States;

Whereas this is the third instance of violence perpetrated by members of Turkish President Erdogan’s security detail in United States territory;

Whereas in 2011, a brawl erupted in the halls of the United Nations General Assembly between members of Turkish President Erdogan’s security detail and United Nations security officers, resulting in one United Nations security officer being hospitalized due to serious injuries;

Whereas in 2016, members of Turkish President Erdogan’s security detail engaged in unwarranted violence against journalists reporting on an event at the Brookings Institution;

Whereas Secretary of State Rex Tillerson said on May 21, 2017, that the violence outside the Turkish Embassy was “outrageous” and “simply unacceptable”; and

Whereas the right to assembly, peaceful protest, and freedom of speech are essential and protected rights in the United States:

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of the House of Representatives that—

(1) the rights to peacefully assemble and freely express one’s views are essential to the fabric of American democracy;

(2) the Turkish security forces acted in an unprofessional and brutal manner, reflecting poorly on President Erdogan and the Government of Turkey;

(3) any Turkish security officials who disregarded, oversaw, or participated in Turkey’s security forces to illegally suppress peaceful protests on May 16, 2017, should be
One woman was beaten unconscious. She testified before the Foreign Affairs Committee that she sustained brain damage as a result. A protester near her was beaten to the ground and repeatedly kicked in the face. His front teeth were smashed.

Mr. Speaker, what was the reason these and other American citizens were harshly beaten?

They chose to exercise their constitutional right to free speech and assembly. They chose to criticize actions of President Erdogan's government. The protesters got under the Turkish delegation’s skin.

Let us be clear: at no time was President Erdogan in danger. This was not an act of protection. It was an act of suppression on our American soil.

The actions of the Turkish security detail were unprofessional and dangerous. You had armed security personnel creating a melee. The actions were unjustified and, up to this point, have gone largely unchallenged.

H. Res. 334 puts Congress firmly on record in clear, unmistakable terms condemning the actions of the Turkish security guards last month. The resolution also demands that Turkey immediately lift diplomatic immunity for all those who assaulted U.S. citizens and law enforcement officers.

This resolution also calls for the U.S. State Department and the U.S. Secret Service to review their security procedures and for them to convey to Turkish officials in clear terms the expectations for the behavior of their security teams when they are operating in the U.S.

Mr. Speaker, one of the most disturbing aspects of last month’s attack is that this assault by Turkish security officials was not an isolated incident. Rather, it was the third instance of violence they have engaged in while operating in the United States in recent years.

Passage of this resolution is an appropriate, strong response by this House to those brutal actions by Turkish forces.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. Norton. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this resolution. I thank the Democratic and Republican leaders who introduced this resolution, and the ranking member of this committee, Mr. Engel, for working with us on this clear condemnation of the violence against peaceful protesters outside the Turkish Ambassador’s residence in Washington, D.C., on May 16.

That day, President Erdogan of Turkey, a longstanding NATO ally, met at the White House to discuss counterterrorism cooperation and to discuss bilateral issues. This was an important meeting. But that evening, armed members of the Turkish President’s security detail brutally attacked demonstrators who had gathered outside the Turkish Ambassador’s residence on Sheridan Circle in Washington, D.C. They threw tear gas and pepper spray at the protesters, but on one of our most important American values: the right to protest peacefully in our country. This was an assault on all not only on the protesters, but on one of our most important American values: the right to assemble and use the First Amendment to protest.

Mr. Speaker, we must persist because I do not recall a demonstration like this. It was witnessed by the chief of police himself, Peter Newsham, and he, himself, is an eyewitness who declared that these protesters were all peaceful.

There were also videos of the nonviolent protesters being assaulted.

Now, the United States and the protesters deserve an appropriate response from the Turkish Government. Instead, we received a farfetched shifting of blame from Turkey. It is all the more important because the shifting of the blame will lead some to believe that we are responsible for what happened. Actually, we need to protest in the strongest terms because it turns out that this is part of a pattern.

A similar incident occurred about a half dozen years ago at the United Nations, same head of state, same thugs attacking peaceful protesters.

Last year—just this past year—there was an attack on journalists outside of The Brookings Institution.

So if we don’t tell them it is time to stop when we have had the third attack, they will persist. That is for sure.

We know who these security details are. They couldn’t have gotten into the country accompanying a head of state without telling us about them. Moreover, two of them were arrested, so we have their names. I have written the Secretary of State, Mr. Tillerson, to ask him to bar the reentry of these security personnel; to hold them if they are here; and to request information on whether they are entitled to immunity, and if they are, to have this immunity waived.

We will not let the Turkish thugs who took on our protesters unprovoked hide behind immunity. It can be waived if it is present. It should be waived. These security personnel should be charged and prosecuted under U.S. law. Imagine, we would have done precisely the same if some of our security had behaved in this fashion in Turkey.

There will be no justice to the citizens exercising their First Amendment rights who were stomped, kicked, and seriously injured until they and our country are vindicated with an appropriate response from the Turkish Government.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. Norton. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2½ minutes to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. Sarbanes), my good friend.

Mr. SARBAINES. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of H. Res. 354, which forcefully condemns the shocking assault carried out here on American soil, here in our Nation’s Capitol, by trained paramilitary agents of the Turkish Presidential security force against a peaceful assembly of protesters who were exercising their First Amendment rights to free speech.

Video footage offers evidence that President Erdogan sanctioned the attack, and then calmly sat back to watch as his bodyguards carried it out.
Each of us in this Chamber must ask the question: What sort of foreign leader invited for an official visit by the President of the United States would conduct himself in such a reprehensible manner and would show such contempt for America's commitment to human rights and to the rule of law?

Make no mistake. This is the same man who has ordered mass incarcerations in his own country, who persecutes dissenters and jails democratically elected officials, and who locks up journalists on a whim. In fact, he is the number one jailer of journalists in the world.

The assault on innocent protesters in the streets of Washington, D.C., is entirely consistent with the impulses of an autocratic Turkey. It has unmasked President Erdogan for the bully that he is and offers Americans a teachable moment on the character of official Turkey. It reflects a deeply imbedded reflex that, in the modern era, has brought the world, among other things, the unlawful invasion and occupation of Cyprus, the Armenian genocide, and the violent repression of the Kurdish people.

This incident demands more than just the prosecution of Erdogan's security personnel or the expulsion of the Turkish Ambassador or the strong condemnation of Erdogan himself, all of which should happen. It is time for a complete reevaluation of the U.S.-Turkey relationship. We cannot pretend that it is business as usual with a foreign leader that has attacked our Nation's most cherished democratic values on our very own soil.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time to close.

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, this has been painful not only for the protesters, it is painful for our country because it is our ally. We have differences with Turkey, but we are dependent on Turkey for security matters. If this had never happened before, perhaps we could say that Turkey just doesn't understand how we operate in this country. The fact that it was the third incident makes it particularly bothersome.

Now, we are aware how sensitive this matter is. You cannot deal with a foreign adversary who happens to be an ally at the same time as if he were simply the enemy or our opponent. We have to understand the sensibilities of operating in the international sphere. But it is clear that Turkey doesn't understand that.

I was particularly concerned that Mr. Erdogan was in his car the entire time. He could have gotten out of his car and called attention to his security detail. He could have asked a staff member to do the very same thing. Instead, he stayed in his car, got out after the security detail from the D.C. police department had calmed things, stood there and had nothing to say. If we let this third incident go by with no response or accept—even seem to accept—the Turkish response, that will be a signal to keep it up.

So I am so pleased that my good friend on the other side and I are in unison on this. I am particularly pleased that we were able to introduce this resolution by me or by my good friend, that this resolution was introduced by top leaders on the Republican and the Democratic side.

Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may allow to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER), who is the Democratic whip of the House.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the Representative from the District of Columbia, Ms. NORTON, for yielding. I want to thank Mr. ROYCE for his continuing principled and focused leadership on issues that relate to foreign policy, that relate to human rights, and that relate to international law. I appreciate very much his leadership.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased that I was able to join with the majority leader, Mr. MCCARTHY, my friend, in sponsoring this resolution. It is an appropriate response to the terrible actions that were taken by security officers protecting Mr. Erdogan on his visit here.

I rise in support of this resolution, which I am proud to have introduced along with the majority leader, Ranking Member ENGEL, and Chairman ROYCE.

The assault on nonviolent demonstrators here in our Nation's Capital on May 16 by Turkish security personnel was an outrage. Our resolution makes it clear: the United States will not tolerate violence against peaceful protesters on our shores, and those responsible must face justice.

Turkey remains a critical NATO ally, but I am, nevertheless, extremely concerned, Mr. Speaker, by the fact that this is another example of the illegal suppression of peaceful protesters, the unlawful invasion and occupation of Cyprus, the Armenian genocide, and the violent repression of the Kurdish people.

Senator John McCain—I won't quote him, but his response was very direct and very basic. He, too, said this was unacceptable behavior, and he even suggested that perhaps the Turkish Ambassador ought to leave. I do not suggest that, but I do suggest the conduct must change. It is unacceptable.

One week ago, the State Department to take appropriate actions to ensure that the Turkish Government understands that we will not allow this to happen again. There must be consequences to this unprovoked attack on peaceful American citizens in their own country, and those responsible should be charged and prosecuted by the United States.

It should be clear to Turkey and to all nations that we will oppose any attempt to suppress dissent or the free exercise of speech. That is why that is in the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States because our Founding Fathers and, frankly, those who follow Western values—and, yes, some Eastern values—believe that free speech is absolutely the sine qua non—an absolute essential—for democracy to succeed and to flourish.

I want to thank Mr. ROYCE again for his principled leadership, and I want to thank Ms. NORTON, and I want to thank the members of the Foreign Affairs Committee for their work on this resolution. I urge every Member of this House to join not only in passing this strong message not only to our Turkish allies but to all those who would come to these shores and understand that our citizens may well have something to say. They may say it with signs, they may say it with their voices, and they may say it by standing someplace in proximity: one of the facets of America is that they have the right to do that, and those who visit our shores must honor that right.

Support this resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the remaining time for the minority will be controlled by the gentleman from New York (Mr. ESPAILLAT).

There was no objection.

Mr. ESPAILLAT. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in support of this measure. I want to thank Mr. HOYER, the gentleman from Maryland.

Let me start by thanking the chairman of the Foreign Affairs Committee, Mr. ROYCE, for authoring this legislation and working to bring it to this floor.

By now we have seen the footage. We went to the film and saw the footage of Turkish thugs attacking peaceful protesters during President Erdogan's visit to Washington a few weeks ago. It is bad enough when we see governments anywhere crack down on basic rights like the freedom of assembly or expression. It is bad enough to see states that oppress people in the streets of Ankara or Istanbul, but it is becoming more and more common as Turkey slips towards authoritarianism.

But to see that on the streets of Washington, D.C., is absolutely unacceptable—especially at the hands of foreign government officials who are guests in our country. We cannot allow these actions to remain unnoticed and to trample on our constitutional rights.

We know that President Erdogan was never in danger. He simply decided to treat Americans the way he treats his own people. His guards even had the nerve to attack law enforcement officials who were protecting him and his delegation.

This behavior cannot stand, and the resolution before us sends a clear, decisive message that Congress won't tolerate it. The State Department must do whatever it takes to make sure that those responsible for these heinous acts must be held accountable. Charges must be filed and pursued.
I am glad to support this measure that puts the House on record saying that we won’t stand for this type of bully who attacks American citizens and American democracy.

Mr. Speaker, free speech, free assembly, and free expression are the cornerstones of any democracy across the world. Turkey is certainly a partner and an ally, and it is deeply concerning to see the steady erosion of democracy in that country.

But we cannot tolerate that sort of behavior here in our country. When you are in the United States, you play by our rules, and that means obeying our laws and respecting our values. Those responsible for violence against American citizens should face the consequences. Otherwise, what is to stop them from doing this once again?

Mr. Speaker, I support this measure, and I urge all my colleagues to do the same.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The violent attacks, Mr. Speaker, by officers assigned to Turkish President Erdogan’s security detail against peaceful protestors back on May 16 were designed to do one thing. They were designed to silence those protesters’ criticism of the Turkish Government. That is why it is so important that we speak out.

We must speak loudly and clearly that we will protect our citizens and their fundamental rights to free speech and to assembly. Turkey is an important and longstanding NATO ally, but the Turkish Government can and should do better than this, and it can start by addressing the concerns of the House of Representatives and many Americans who were very angry by the video capturing this disgraceful attack on these citizens.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in support of this resolution, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H. Res. 354, which condemns the violence, on American soil, against peaceful protestors outside the residence of the Turkish Ambassador to the United States and calls for the perpetrators to be brought to justice.

On May 16, 2017, the President hosted Prime Minister Recep Tayyip Erdogan of Turkey for an official meeting at the White House to discuss counter-terrorism cooperation and bilateral issues.

That evening, over two dozen protestors peacefully assembled outside the Turkish Ambassador’s residence in Washington, D.C., to voice their opposition to Turkish Government policies.

It was not long before unprovoked violence erupted, when pro-Erdogan supporters and individuals from the Turkish Embassy grounds pushed past District of Columbia police officers to brutally attack the peaceful demonstrators.

These Turkish officials violated the First Amendment rights of United States citizens, and multiple armed Turkish officials beat, kicked, and choked unarmed demonstrators. Multiple video recordings show the violence with which these demonstrators were attacked.

The Metropolitan Police Department of the District of Columbia and the Department of State confirm that the demonstrators did not instigate the violence.

Two armed Turkish officers attached to a security detail were detained at the scene for physically assaulting Federal agents but were later released and allowed to leave the United States because they held diplomatic immunity.

Mr. Speaker, it is beyond dispute that the life of President Erdogan was never in any danger.

It must be noted that immunity for diplomatic personnel and certain other foreign officials is a core principal but so is the constitutionally protected right to protest peacefully and freely in the United States.

Mr. Speaker, this is the third instance of violence perpetrated by members of Turkish President Erdogan’s security detail on United States soil.

In 2011, a brawl erupted in the halls of the United States National Assembly between members of Turkish President Erdogan’s security detail and United Nations security officers, resulting in one United Nations security officer being hospitalized due to serious injuries.

In 2016, members of Turkish President Erdogan’s security detail engaged in unwarranted violence against journalist reporting on an event at the Turkish Embassy.

On May 21, 2017, Secretary of State Rex Tillerson affirmed that violence outside the Turkish Embassy was “outrageous” and “simply unacceptable.” It is imperative that the right to assembly, peaceful protest, and freedom of speech are not abridged because they are the bedrock of democracy.

For this reason, the United States Secret Service and the Diplomatic Security Service of the Department of State should review this incident and with the Turkish National Police to leave pending security details to prevent future violent incidents.

The Department of State should also conduct a review of its own security procedure to determine how to mitigate the likelihood of similar events in the future.

It is the duty of this House to stand for our ideals and take steps to strengthen freedoms for the press and civil society in countries such as Turkey, and oppose efforts by foreign leaders to suppress free and peaceful protest in their own countries.

Mr. PASCARELL. Mr. Speaker, as an original cosponsor of H. Res. 354, I rise today in strong support passing this legislation to condemn the reprehensible attacks outside the Turkish Ambassador’s residence on May 16. I am a proud member of the Congressional Caucus on Turkey and Turkish Americans, New Jersey’s Ninth District, and particularly my hometown of Paterson, has a large and thriving Turkish-American community and I cherish our close relationship. However, what happened last month was beyond the pale. The brutal assault on peaceful protestors are an affront to our American values and core democratic freedoms of free speech and peaceful assembly.

One of the individuals attacked by President Erdogan’s thugs was a constituent of mine from East Rutherford. As a college student, Ceren Borazan bravely joined her friends to peacefully protest President Erdogan’s policies in Washington D.C. Since President Erdogan has taken office, Turkey has cracked down on freedom of expression, raided media outlets, and jailed judges, journalists, and civil servants in violation of democratic norms.

Outside the embassy Ceren was thrown to the ground and kicked by Turkish security guards. During the attack a blood vessel in her eye burst and weeks later she is still reminded of the trauma by nightmares and fears of retribution. While freedom of speech and freedom to protest may be prohibited in Turkey, they are bedrock U.S. principles that must be safeguarded. Violence is never an appropriate response to free speech.

To ensure such an incident never happens again on our soil, those involved in carrying out this attack must be brought to justice. They must be denied diplomatic immunity and prosecuted to the fullest extent of U.S. law.

Mr. Speaker, we must pass H. Res. 354 today to call attention to these attacks and to ensure justice is carried out for people like Ceren.

Finally, Mr. Speaker, I include in the RECORD an editorial from The Star-Ledger titled “Trump won’t denounce American thuggery. Will he punish Turkey?”

TRUMP WON’T DENOUNCE AMERICAN THUGGERY. WILL HE PUNISH TURKEY?

(By Star-Ledger Editorial Board)

Time to take stock of our rights of free speech and peaceful protest in this country, and what—if anything—President Trump has done to protect them.

He’s been noticeably silent on the brutal beating of peaceful protesters in Washington, D.C. by the Turkish President’s thuggish bodyguards, on public property, right in front of our own police, fully caught on video.

The violence was completely unprovoked, and happened shortly after Trump welcomed Turkish President Recep Tayyip Erdogan to the Oval Office. Among others, a young woman from East Rutherford, Ceren Borazan, was put into a headlock and choked—popping a blood vessel in her eye—by a man who threatened to kill her.

This is common practice in Turkey—which is why Trump needs to make it clear that it isn’t acceptable here.

But perhaps the real problem is that it is increasingly acceptable here. Not just verbal and physical attacks against peaceful protesters, but against journalists, whom Trump has called “the enemy of the people.”

In the past month alone, reporters have been arrested, slapped, pinned against a wall and choked for trying to do their jobs; most recently by newly-elected Montana congressman Greg Gianforte, accused of body-slamming a journalist.

He’s faced no real consequences. “Elections are about choices and Montanans made their choice,” Speaker Ryan said Friday. Trump, who had lavished praise on Gianforte—"a wonderful guy"—added: “Great win in Montana.”

Perhaps this is why the former Breitbart News reporter Michelle Fields, who was grabbed roughly by Corey Lewandowski last year when she tried to ask Trump a question, trumpeted—"a wonderful guy"—added: “Great win in Montana.”

This is common practice in Turkey—which is why Trump needs to make it clear that it isn’t acceptable here.
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He’s faced no real consequences. “Elections are about choices and Montanans made their choice,” Speaker Ryan said Friday. Trump, who had lavished praise on Gianforte—"a wonderful guy"—added: “Great win in Montana.”
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Reporters Without Borders to lower America’s ranking on press freedom, measured by government restrictions and threats against the news media.

We’re now ranked right below Burkina Faso, one of the world’s poorest countries.

This failure to speak up for peaceful protesters began at Trump’s inauguration, where his supporters threatened and committed actual violence against them. Since then, the United Nations has warned that the basic rights of peaceful protest is under attack in the United States. At least 19 states have introduced measures that would criminalize such protests.

The United States can do is make some sort of distinction between what is tolerated here and in Turkey. Yes, it’s a NATO ally, and we have a complex relationship. But this is about protecting the freedom of speech and assembly, and basic rules of law in our own country.

The New York Times has publicly identified the culprits, and New York Rep. Bill Pascrell is among those leading the bipartisan charge to hold them accountable. If we can’t get to the thugs in Turkey, then the Trump administration should hold the diplomat here to account.

Think about the message it sends if the Turkish government escapes this without so much as a slap on the wrist. A truly populist President, a defender of American freedoms, would stick up for the people—not the jack-booted thugs.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to support H. Res. 354, condemning the violence perpetrated against peaceful protesters outside the Turkish Ambassador’s residence during Turkish President Erdogan’s visit last month. This timely and critically important measure was introduced by my good friend, and Foreign Affairs Committee Chairman, Ed ROYCE.

Mr. Speaker, as representatives of the American people, we take a solemn oath to “support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic.” This sworn commitment spurs us to speak out now after the violent attack last month on peaceful protesters in Washington, D.C. who were peacefully exercising their First Amendments rights.

On that day a group of peaceful demonstrators—including a resident from my home state of New Jersey, Ceren Borazan—gathered outside the Turkish Ambassador's residence to protest the policies of Turkish President Recep Tayyip Erdogan. Shortly after President Erdogan’s arrival at the residence during his official visit to the United States, a contingent of his security guards, joined by some supporters, rushed across the street where the protesters were gathered. Before the demonstrators knew it, this group of thugs was upon them, throwing them to the ground and raining blows upon them.

By now we are all familiar with the shocking video images captured of men in dark suits, some with guns, and others in plainclothes mercilessly kicking protesters in their faces while they lie helplessly on the ground. Some demonstrators were outnumbered four to one by their assailants who punched and manhandled them until DC police intervened. When police stepped in, some attackers turned to assault our uniformed officers.

Among this group of protesters were Kurdish and Armenian-Americans, members of minorities with a painful history of persecution and marginalization in their ancestral homelands. These individuals came to the United States to seek the freedom and safety they were deprived in their lands of origin.

The United States and its Bill of Rights are a promise to these people that must not be broken. That members of the President’s security detail and others felt they could attack these same rights with impunity should offend us all. This Erdogan world-watch as the melee unfold—as video evidence shows—is as galling as it is unsurprising. Indeed, just a year ago his security detail was involved in an all-too-similar incident at a public event in Washington.

Mr. Speaker, the steps recommended by this measure should be urgently implemented. Among other things, the United States should charge and prosecute all those involved in the attack. The State Department should request a waiver of immunity for any Turkish officials charged and detained in connection with the incident. Finally, the United States should redouble its efforts to promote democracy and human rights in Turkey.

Mr. Speaker, this was a brutal physical assault on a group of peaceful demonstrators in our nation capital and a blithe abortion to all Americans. I urge my colleagues in the House of Representatives to join their voices and votes in strongly condemning this incident and calling for justice.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 354, as amended.

The question was taken.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, that I demand the yeas and nays. The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

IRAQ AND SYRIA GENOCIDE EMERGENCY RELIEF AND ACCOUNTABILITY ACT OF 2017

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 390) to provide for emergency relief to victims of genocide, crimes against humanity, and war crimes in Iraq and Syria, to provide accountability for perpetrators of these crimes, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 390

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This Act may be cited as the “Iraq and Syria Genocide,蠕icide, and Religious and Political Persecution Relief and Accountability Act of 2017.”

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) Religious and ethnic minorities in Iraq and Syria are persecuted groups, and the Secretary of State of State declared on March 17, 2016, that Daesh, also known as the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS), was responsible for genocide, crimes against humanity, and other atrocities crimes against several of these groups, including Christians and Yezidis.

(2) According to the Department of State’s annual reports on international religious freedom, the number of Christians living in Iraq has dropped from 1,400,000 in 2002 to fewer than 250,000 in 2015, and the number of Yezidis living in Iraq has dropped from 500,000 in 2013 to 350,000 to 400,00 in 2015.

(3) The annual reports on international religious freedom further suggest that Christian communities living in Syria, which has access to between five and seven percent of Syria’s total population in 2010, are now “considerably” smaller as a result of the civil war, and that the population of approximately 30,000 Yezidis in 2010 may now be larger because of refugees from Iraq.

(4) Local communities and entities have sought to mitigate the impact of violence directed against religious and ethnic minorities in Iraq and Syria, including the Chaldean Catholic Archdiocese of Erbil (Kurdistan Region of Iraq), which has used private funds to provide assistance to internally displaced Christians, Yezidis, and Muslims throughout the greater Erbil region, while growing needs and diminishing resources have made it increasingly difficult to continue these efforts.

SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES. —The term “appropriate congressional committees” means:

(A) the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Homeland Security, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives; and

(B) the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.

(2) FOREIGN TERRORIST ORGANIZATION. —The term “foreign terrorist organization” means an organization designated by the Secretary of State as a foreign terrorist organization pursuant to section 219(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1189(a)).

(3) HUMANITARIAN, STABILIZATION, AND RECOVERY NEEDS. —The term “humanitarian, stabilization, and recovery needs”, with respect to an individual, includes water, sanitation, hygiene, food security and nutrition, shelter and housing, reconstruction, medical, education, and psychosocial needs.

(4) HYBRID COURT. —The term “hybrid court” means a court with a combination of domestic and international lawyers, judges, and personnel.

(5) INTERNATIONALIZED DOMESTIC COURT. —The term “internationalized domestic court” means a domestic court with the support of international advisers.

SEC. 4. STATEMENT OF POLICY.

It is the policy of the United States to ensure that assistance for humanitarian, stabilization, and recovery needs of individuals who are or were nationals and residents of Iraq or Syria, and of communities from those countries, is directed toward those individuals and communities with the greatest need, including those individuals from communities of religious and ethnic minorities, and communities of religious and ethnic minorities, that have been identified as being persecuted, including acts of genocide, crimes against humanity, or war crimes.
SEC. 5. ACTIONS TO PROMOTE ACCOUNTABILITY IN IRAQ AND SYRIA FOR ACTS OF GENOCIDE, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, AND WAR CRIMES.

(a) ASSISTANCE.—The Secretary of State and the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development are authorized to provide assistance, including financial and technical assistance, as necessary and appropriate to support the efforts of entities, including nongovernmental organizations, and other individuals with expertise in international criminal investigations and law, to undertake the following activities to address crimes against humanity, war crimes, and crimes under international law committed in or related to Iraq since January 1, 2014:

(1) The conduct of criminal investigations.

(2) The provision of indigenous investigative and judicial skills, including by partnering, directly mentoring, and providing equipment and infrastructure where necessary, for the purpose of effectively adjudicating cases consistent with due process and respect for the rule of law.

(3) The collection and preservation of evidence and the chain of evidence, including for use in prosecutions in domestic courts, hybrid courts, and international domestic courts consistent with the activities described in subsection (b).

(b) ACTIONS BY FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS.—

(1) To include in appropriate security databases and security screening procedures of such countries information to identify individuals who are suspected to have committed crimes of genocide, crimes against humanity, or war crimes, and their constituent crimes, in Iraq or Syria, including individuals who are suspected to be members of foreign terrorist organizations operating in Iraq or Syria; and

(2) To provide such individuals for acts of genocide, crimes against humanity, or war crimes, as appropriate.

(c) CONSULTATION.—In carrying out subsection (a), the Secretary of State shall consult with and consider credible information from entities described in such subsection.

SEC. 6. IDENTIFICATION OF AND ASSISTANCE TO HUMANITARIAN, STABILIZATION, AND RECOVERY NEEDS OF CERTAIN PERSONS IN IRAQ AND SYRIA.

(a) IDENTIFICATION.—The Secretary of State, in consultation with the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development, and Director of National Intelligence, shall seek to identify the following:

(A) Individuals who are or were nationals and residents of Iraq or Syria, are members of religious or ethnic minority groups in such countries, and with respect to which the Secretary of State has determined ISIS has committed acts of genocide, crimes against humanity, or war crimes since January 1, 2014; or

(B) Individuals who are members of religious or ethnic minority groups in Iraq or Syria and are identified by the Secretary of State as persecuted groups.

(b) ADDITIONAL CONSULTATION.—In carrying out subsection (a), the Secretary shall consult with the heads of relevant agencies and departments, including the Department of Defense, the Department of Homeland Security, the Director of National Intelligence, and the Chairpersons and ranking members of the Committees on Appropriations, Armed Services, Foreign Relations, and Intelligence, the Select Committee on Intelligence, the Select Committee on Intelligence, and the Committees on the Judiciary, the House of Representatives.

(c) IDENTIFICATION.—The Secretary of State and the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development are authorized to provide assistance, including financial and technical assistance, as necessary and appropriate to support the efforts of entities described in paragraph (1) and (2) and the extent to which the Secretary determines assistance to or through such entities.

(3) The collection and preservation of evidence from, and with respect to, individuals described in paragraphs (1) and (2) and assistance provided to, such entities described in paragraph (4) of such subsection.

SEC. 7. REPORTS.

(a) IMPLEMENTATION REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the following:

(1) A detailed description of the efforts taken, and efforts proposed to be taken, to implement the provisions of this Act.

(2) An assessment of the feasibility and admissibility of prosecuting individuals for whom credible evidence exists of having committed acts of genocide, crimes against humanity, or war crimes in Iraq since January 1, 2014 or Syria since March 2011 in domestic courts in Iraq, hybrid courts, and internationalized domestic courts, and of the measures necessary to carry out investigations of such individuals, and to effectively collect and preserve evidence, and preserve the chain of evidence, for prosecution.

(3) Recommendations for legislative remedies and administrative actions to facilitate implementation of this Act.

(b) ADDITIONAL CONSULTATION.—In carrying out subsection (a)(4)(A) of this section, and delivering such assistance, to the individuals described in such subsection, the Secretary and Administrator determine have activities, that are providing assistance to address the humanitarian, stabilization, and recovery needs, and mitigate the risks of forced migration, of individuals described in paragraphs (1) and (2) and assistance provided through the Funding Facility for Immediate Stabilization and Resilience, the Funding Facility for Expanded Stabilization.

(c) ASSISTANCE.—The Secretary of State and the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development are authorized to provide assistance, including financial and technical assistance, as necessary and appropriate, to support entities described in paragraphs (1) and (2) and to the extent to which the Secretary determines assistance to or through such entities.

SEC. 8. PROHIBITION ON ADDITIONAL FUNDING.

No additional funds are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this Act. This Act shall be carried out using amounts otherwise authorized.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) and the gentleman from New York (Mr. ESPAILLAT) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I want to begin by recognizing the efforts of our colleague, Mr. SMITH. Mr. SMITH of New Jersey is chairman of the Subcommittee on Africain Global Health, Global Rights, and Regional Organizations.

We appreciate his strong leadership on this critical issue. And, as always, I also appreciate Ranking Member ENGEL for his support.

Building on the vacuum created by Assad, ISIS burst onto the international stage in 2014 by declaring themselves and their supposed "caliphate" and committing genocide, horrific acts in an effort to spread their nihilistic, death-filled ideology.

We also saw that they targeted any one unlucky enough to cross their path across Syria and Iraq. Yet, oddly enough, the regime in Syria did not target ISIS. They were too busy carrying out their campaign against the people of Syria—protesters, the Free Syrian Army, and others—who were pushing back against the regime.

It was not until Bashar al-Assad burst onto the international scene in Syria in 2011 that the regime in Syria did not target ISIS. They were too busy carrying out their campaign against the people of Syria—protesters, the Free Syrian Army, and others—who were pushing back against the regime.

He along with the regime in Syria did not target ISIS. They were too busy carrying out their campaign against the people of Syria—protesters, the Free Syrian Army, and others—who were pushing back against the regime.

We have all seen the devastation by ISIS’ efforts to wipe entire communities of Iraq and Syria completely off the map. People of Syria—protesters, the Free Syrian Army, and others—who were pushing back against the regime.

One unlucky enough to cross their path across Syria and Iraq. Yet, oddly enough, the regime in Syria did not target ISIS. They were too busy carrying out their campaign against the people of Syria—protesters, the Free Syrian Army, and others—who were pushing back against the regime.

One unlucky enough to cross their path across Syria and Iraq. Yet, oddly enough, the regime in Syria did not target ISIS. They were too busy carrying out their campaign against the people of Syria—protesters, the Free Syrian Army, and others—who were pushing back against the regime.

One unlucky enough to cross their path across Syria and Iraq. Yet, oddly enough, the regime in Syria did not target ISIS. They were too busy carrying out their campaign against the people of Syria—protesters, the Free Syrian Army, and others—who were pushing back against the regime.

The regime in Syria did not target ISIS. They were too busy carrying out their campaign against the people of Syria—protesters, the Free Syrian Army, and others—who were pushing back against the regime.

They along with the regime in Syria did not target ISIS. They were too busy carrying out their campaign against the people of Syria—protesters, the Free Syrian Army, and others—who were pushing back against the regime.

We have all seen the devastation by ISIS’ efforts to wipe entire communities of Iraq and Syria completely off the map. People of Syria—protesters, the Free Syrian Army, and others—who were pushing back against the regime.

We have all seen the devastation by ISIS’ efforts to wipe entire communities of Iraq and Syria completely off the map.
Chairman, Committee on Foreign Affairs, 
Washington, DC.

To the House of Representatives:

I urge the House to expedite the consideration of H.R. 390. The bill offers additional immediate relief for these vulnerable communities and also directs the State Department to do more to support efforts to collect and preserve evidence of genocide, crimes against humanity, and war crimes so that, someday, justice might be served.

I urge Members to support this bill so that the possibility of justice and accountability for these atrocities can give hope to those suffering today.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Sincerely,

Edward R. Royce, Chairman.

DEAR CHAIRMAN ROYCE: Thank you for your leadership on this bill. I would appreciate a response to this letter as soon as possible.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 390, the “Iraq and Syria Genocide Relief and Accountability Act.”

The House had urged the Secretary of State John Kerry declared ISIS as “genocide” and the measure before us today follows up on those efforts. It is tragic that a bill like this remains necessary. Unfortunately, ISIS continues to target religious minorities, including Christians, Yazidis, and Shia.

We have seen overwhelming evidence of forced migration, execution, rape, starvation, enslavement, chemical and public lashings. In Raqqa, which ISIS has declared capital of the caliphate, children are forced to watch videos of mass executions in order to desensitize them to this level of violence.

The bill will also help ensure that those responsible for this violence and persecution are brought to justice. It pushes USAID to assist in the efforts to conduct criminal investigations and help develop skills on the ground to collect evidence and press these cases.

I agree that your forgoing further action on this measure does not in any way diminish or alter the jurisdiction of your committee, or prejudice its jurisdictional prerogatives on this legislation in the future. I would support your effort to seek appointment of an appropriate number of conferees from your committee to any House-Senate conference on this legislation.

I will seek to place our letters on H.R. 390 into the Congressional Record during floor consideration of the resolution. I appreciate your cooperation regarding this legislation and look forward to continuing to work together as this measure moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

Edward R. Royce,
Chairman.

Mr. ESPAILLAT, Mr. Speaker. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of this measure.

I, again, want to thank Chairman ROYCE and Ranking Member ENGEL, who have worked in a bipartisan way to bring this important measure before us today. I would also like to thank Mr. SMITH and Ms. ESCH for their work on this bill, the Iraq and Syria Emergency Relief and Accountability Act.

Mr. Speaker, but these lawmakers have made sure that we stay focused on preventing genocide and in practice, accountability in this part of the region.

On March 17, 2016, Secretary of State John Kerry said: “In my judgment, ISIS is responsible for genocide against groups and areas under its control, including Yazidis, Christians, and Shia Muslims . . . the United States will strongly support efforts to collect, document, preserve, and analyze the evidence of atrocities, and we will do all we can do to see that these perpetrators are held accountable.”

The House had urged the Secretary to designate the crimes against minorities in Iraq and Syria as “genocide,” and the measure before us today follows up on those efforts. It is tragic that a bill like this remains necessary.

Unfortunately, ISIS continues to target religious minorities, including Christians, Yazidis, and Shia.

The bill also will help ensure that those responsible for this violence and persecution are brought to justice. It pushes USAID to assist in the efforts to conduct criminal investigations and help develop skills on the ground to collect evidence and press these cases.

The legislation was sponsored by the Knights of Columbus, U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops, HIAS, Yazidi Human Rights Organization-International, and several other organizations.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this bill, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. SMITH), the author of this bill and also the chairman of the Foreign Affairs Subcommittee on Africa, Global Health, Global Human Rights, and International Organizations.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I thank the distinguished chairman for yielding, and I thank him for his leadership on this bill. I want to thank Ranking Member ENGEL for his support and also Majority Leader MCCARTHY for making sure this bill of the floor today. Without him, we wouldn’t be here, so I want to thank him especially for his support.

Mr. Speaker, I start off by saying that since 2013, I have chaired nine congressional hearings focused on ISIS atrocities in Iraq and Syria. The distinguished chairman has had another dozen or so such hearings that have brought to light these atrocities.

In one of our hearings in December of 2015, Gregory Stanton, president of Genocide Watch, testified that “weak words are not enough,” noting that 21 human rights organizations, genocide scholars, and religious leaders wrote to President Obama imploring him to recognize the ISIS genocide.

At that same hearing, Chaldean Bishop Francis Kalabat said that “since the fall of Mosul in early June 2014, Christians have endured targeted persecution in the form of forced displacement, sexual violence, and other human rights violations.” He said, “ISIS has committed horrific atrocities against the Yazidis,” and then he bottom-lined it and said the Christians are “under threat of extinction.”

On May 9, 2016, Jeff Fortenberry’s genocide resolution 393-0. A few days later, Secretary of State John Kerry declared ISIS atrocities to be a genocide.

The existential threat to Christians and Yazidis and other minority faiths, however, continues to this day. Some of the fortunate ones have made it to the relative safety of Erbil but, astonishingly, have gotten no assistance from the United States Government.

Since 2014, as a matter of fact, the Christian Cadaic Archdiocese of Erbil has provided almost all of the medical care, food, shelter, and education received by over 13,200 Christian families,
Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. This bill is critically important. And I urge all members of this body to give it the serious and thoughtful consideration it deserves. It is an important step in the Marrakesh Declaration. It is an important step in the protection of human rights. It is an important step in the protection of religious minorities. It is an important step in the protection of the Christians in the Middle East. And it is an important step in the protection of the Christians in the Middle East.

The world’s greatest humanitarian crisis in the Middle East. In addition to millions of refugees, many of the region’s indigenous communities face extinction. These communities make up a small percentage of Muslims overall. But among the world’s billion Muslims, Christians are the majority simply want to raise their families in peace and are marginalized by what ISIS is doing in the name of Islam. Prominent Islamic leaders and scholars from around the world have recently taken an important step in the Marrakesh Declaration. Attempts such as this, which seek to align Islam with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights should be welcomed.

At the same time, it is clear that Christians, and other indigenous minorities, are experiencing genocide, at the hands of the Islamic State and related groups. Their plight is now at the top of the world’s agenda in a way that it never has been before. These factors together create an unparalleled opportunity for the United States, and for all those opposed to ISIS’ radical vision—Muslims and non-Muslims alike—to advance an agenda of equality, justice, peace, and accountability in the region.

SIX PRINCIPLES FOR SOUND POLICY IN THE REGION

The United States can avert the extinction of indigenous religious and ethnic communities in Iraq and Syria. Let me begin by saying that the United States has a unique historical moment. A UNIQUE HISTORICAL MOMENT

Around the world, people of good will, Muslim and non-Muslim alike, wish to differentiate themselves from the horrific and violent theology espoused by ISIS. It is certain that with the recent increase in violence and extremism, it is now more important than ever to support the work of the United States Commission on International Religious Freedom and the human rights organizations working in the region to provide general relief, food, clothing, shelter, education, and medical care. In doing so we assist both Christians and non-Christians. We are also working with church entities to ensure that they are making use of all government and U.N. resources available. We urge the United States government to do more to support the work of these organizations.

The Knights of Columbus and others private sources have responded to this situation. Since 2014, we have raised more than $10.5 million for relief, assisting Christians and other internally displaced persons and refugees in Iraq, Syria, Jordan, and Lebanon.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield the gentleman an additional 2 minutes.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. This bill also authorizes and directs the Secretary to fund entities, including faith-based ones, that are effectively providing assistance to meet those needs on the ground. This funding, whatever the vehicle, should come with mechanisms in place—reporting
requirements and oversight—to ensure that American aid does not get diverted from its intended purpose.

(2) Support the long-term survival in the region of indigenous religious and ethnic communities;

In Iraq, the Christians population has declined by more than 80 percent, and in Syria by near 70 percent.

American policy should recognize the important differences in the situations of those fleeing violence and those targeted for genocide. We should prioritize the latter.

Consider this analogy. After World War II, there were approximately 50 million refugees, many of whom were Jews. Yet the world understood that Jews, who had survived genocide, faced a qualitatively different situation, and deserved heightened consideration.

The same is true today for the indigenous religious and ethnic minorities of the region. They have an indisputable right to live in their country—in whatever region of it they wish. Depending on the circumstances, this may mean where they are originally from, or where they find themselves now, but as survivors of ongoing genocide, they deserve to be prioritized, not left behind by American policy decisions.

(3) Punish the perpetrators of genocide and crimes against humanity;

The United States should support action by the U.N. Security Council to refer key perpetrators of genocide for prosecution. Equally important, we should support the Iraqi Central Government and the Kurdish Regional Government’s adjudication of the cases of thousands of ISIS fighters and supporters who currently remain in local detention centers.

As the population of captured ISIS fighters increases, local detention centers and jails risk becoming the new genocide issue. The need to improve this situation cannot be overstated.

Additionally, although substantial evidence of genocide exists, the United States should cooperate in taking further action to develop additional documentation and preservation efforts before evidence is lost. Appropriations intended to assist in the adjudication of ISIS fighters will be critical in the coming months.

(4) Assist victims of genocide in attaining refugee status;

A news report last week indicated that of the 499 Syrian refugees admitted to the U.S. in May, not one was listed as being Christian. A news report last week indicated that of the 499 Syrian refugees admitted to the U.S. in May, not one was listed as being Christian. Yet the world understood that Jews, who had survived genocide, faced a qualitatively different situation, and deserved heightened consideration.

The United States should advocate for full and equal rights for religious and ethnic minorities in the region in exchange for our military and humanitarian aid. A necessary first step to prevent genocide is to overcome the social and legal inequality that is its breeding ground.

Religious hatred, discrimination and second-class citizenship too often constitute a way of life in the region—and it is a way of life that is as real as genocide. We cannot accept one standard for human rights in the region and another standard for the rest of the world.

The concept in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights—and the First and Fourteenth Amendments to our own constitution—regarding equality under the law continues to be a timeless standard that the United States must become a reality for all citizens of Iraq, Syria and elsewhere throughout the region.

CONCLUSION

The rich tapestry of religious pluralism in the region must not be lost, now or it will be lost forever. With its loss will come increased instability and threats to our own security and that of the world.

We have a unique opportunity—and some would say, unique responsibility—to protect the victims of genocide. The United States can provide such protection with a policy that includes the principles outlined above. Mr. Chairman, thank you very much for your leadership and that of the members of this subcommittee.

Mr. ESPAILLAT. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time to close.

The only way for the people of Iraq and Syria to find peace and stability in the coming years is to move towards a more inclusive governing, ensuring that minorities have a stake in the way their countries are run, and for those responsible for violence to face justice. It is as simple as that.

This legislation is a recognition that there are not just minorities in the Middle East; rather, the best way forward is to address the underlying causes that led to the creation of ISIS so we can prevent the next ISIS from rising up from the ashes in Iraq and Syria.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this legislation, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time. Just in speaking about the conditions of the Christian community, outside of Erbil, like Chairman SMITH, I had the opportunity last year to speak to Archbishop Warda and to many of those Christian families that have survived, and to see many of the children that are struggling there.

I did want to commend the Knights of Columbus, the archbishop, and Catholic Charities for their sustained effort, which does not only to this Christian community, but also to the neighboring Yazidi community. These communities have no other means of support.

I think that as we reflect upon this, beyond the genocidal actions of ISIS, we have also seen the depravity of the Assad regime, which has engaged in a massive effort to destroy anyone, regardless of sect or religion or ethnicity, if they suspect them of being unwilling to bow to Syria’s murderous dictator. None are safe.

I say “suspect” because so many of those whom were tortured to death or to give up other names are simply caught up in a web of intelligence services who go out and try to find those who they believe may not be loyal. And they haul them in for torture. Under the knowledge that many of those who are going to give up names of other families, whoever they can remember, whoever they know who may or may not in any way be involved in politics, but then find themselves in those same torture chambers.

The result of this kind of a society year after year breeds a sense of absolute hopelessness, of blowback, of problems throughout Syria from which, I believe, our long climb back is going to be a very difficult one. At the end of the day, though, it is still absolutely imperative that those responsible for those hideous crimes be held accountable.

A key aspect of any lasting peace is going to be accountability, because a renewal of trust and the prospect of justice is going to be based on some measure on whether we are going to hold to account those who committed those kinds of crimes, those who contributed to this kind of blowback is going to be a very difficult one.

I urge Members to support this resolution so that the possibility of peace can be sustained by the hope that justice will prevail. I again thank Mr. SMITH for authoring this measure. I also thank Ranking Member ENGEL for his passionate leadership on the crisis in Syria for so many years.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, since 2013, I have chaired nine Congressional hearings focused, in whole or in a large part, on atrocities in Iraq and Syria.

At one hearing in December of 2015, Professor Gregory Stanton, President of Genocide Watch testified that “weak words are not enough” noting that twenty one human rights organizations, genocide scholars, and religious leaders wrote to President Obama imploring him to recognize the ISIS genocide.

At the same hearing, Chaldean Bishop Francis Kalabat testified that “since the fall of
Mosul in early June 2014, Christians have endured targeted persecution in the form of forced displacement, sexual violence, and other human rights violations.” He said “ISIS has committed horrific atrocities against the Yazidis.” The bishop said Christians are “under threat of extinction.”

On May 9, 2016, the House passed Jeff Fortenberry’s Genocide resolution 393 to 0. A few days later, Secretary of State John Kerry declared ISIS atrocities to be a genocide. The existential threat to Christians and Yazidis and other minorities continues to this day. Some of the fortunate ones, however, have made it to relative safety in Erbil but astonishingly have not gotten assistance from the United States.

Since 2014, the Chaldean Catholic Archdiocese of Erbil has been providing almost all of the medical care, food, shelter and education received by 13,200 Christian families, almost one third of Christians remaining in Iraq, who escaped ISIS. The Archdiocese also provides assistance to Yazidis and Muslims. The funding has been private—Knights of Columbus, Aid to the Church in Need, and a few others.

Carl Anderson, Supreme Knight of the Knights of Columbus—which has donated more than $12 million to the effort—testified that the Knights and other private sources have “decided that non-governmental organizations can only do so much.” He also made numerous recommendations including supporting the “Long term survival in the region of these ancient indigenous religions and ethnic communities. In Iraq, the Christian population has declined by more than 80 percent, and in Syria, it has declined by almost 70 percent . . . . The rich tapestry of religious pluralism in the region must be preserved now or it will be lost forever.” He said, “With its loss will come increased instability and threats to our own security and that of the world. We have a unique opportunity—and some would say, unique responsibility—to protect the victims of genocide.”

Just before Christmas last year, I travelled to Erbil by the invitation of the Chaldean Catholic Archbishop of Erbil, Bashar Warda, to meet with survivors and visit an IDP camp.

Both my staff and I were amazed and inspired by the genocide survivors resiliency and deep faith in the Lord despite the cruelty they endured. There was much joy, love, and courage despite the loss of family and friends to ISIS. They had hope. The children sang Christmas carols with smiles and reverence.

The leaders—especially Archbishop Warda—trust in God while working unceasingly for others. Because the needs on-the-ground have always exceeded private support, however, the Archdiocese has had to battle chronic funding crises. Archbishop Warda told me they recently had to temporarily suspend their distribution of medicines and are on-track to run out of money by “sometime this June.”

H.R. 390 provides authority and direction to the Secretary and Administrator of the U.S. Agency for International Development to identify the humanitarian, stabilization, and recovery needs of these communities. The bill also authorizes the Secretary to fund entities—including faith-based ones—that are effectively providing assistance to meet those needs on-the-ground.

H.R. 390 addresses another urgent aspect of this crisis: Evidence linking specific ISIS perpetrators to specific atrocity crimes is being destroyed or lost. It is vital that evidence that can be used in a range of court settings is collected and preserved. This will enable accountability and support our efforts to counter perpetrator groups by demonstrating that the perpetrators are chronic rapists, child abusers, drug dealers and human traffickers.

This legislation authorizes and directs the Secretary and Administrator to fund entities that are coordinating our efforts into perpetrators of atrocity crimes in Iraq.

The Commission for International Justice and Accountability is an example of a non-governmental organization that has been conducting such criminal investigations on-the-ground. CJA has collected and preserved ISIS recruitment forms, foreign fighter passports, and ISIS instructions from ISIS facilities, laptops, hard drives, and memory sticks. It has taken hundreds of witness statements from victims, perpetrators, detained fighters, defectors, insurgents, and other witnesses. CJA has developed pretrial briefs and perpetrator profiles that are of a world-class quality.

In 2016, CJA received 409 requests for assistance on cases from law enforcement agencies in many countries, including the Department of Homeland Security and FBI and works closely with both agencies. Yet, CJA has received no financial support from the U.S. government.

H.R. 390 also directs the Secretary to work with foreign governments to ensure that they are including identifying information about suspected perpetrators in their security databases and security screening and are prosecuting perpetrators.

ISIS fighters in Iraq are being captured and detained in the battle for Mosul and beyond. Others are escaping the region and attempting to return to their home countries around the world. We should help ensure that in addition to being screened and prosecuted for terrorism, they are screened and prosecuted for atrocity crimes.

President Trump and Vice President Pence have strongly, publicly committed the Administration to providing relief to Christians, Yazidis, and other minorities in Iraq and Syria for the atrocities committed and preserved. This will enable accountability and that of the world. We have a unique opportunity—and some would say, unique responsibility—to protect the victims of genocide.

I especially want to acknowledge my co-author and good friend Anna Eshoo. Her tireless efforts on behalf of Christian and other persecuted religious minorities, and the fantastic partnership with her and her staff on this bill, are a testament to the bipartisanship of H.R. 390 and international religious freedom more broadly.

And finally, Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank the great staff work of Nathaniel Hurd and Piero Toni in my office. Matt McMurray in Anna Eshoo’s office. Doug Anderson and Joan Condon in the House Foreign Affairs Committee. Roger Mahan and Luke Murry in the Majority Leader’s Office. And Kelly Dixon who ultimately helped us get it to the floor.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, as a senior member of the Homeland Security Committee and as a member of the Congressional Refugee Caucus, I rise in strong support of H.R. 390, the “Iraq and Syria Genocide Emergency Relief and Accountability Act of 2017.”

This legislation makes clear that it is the policy of the United States to ensure that assistance for humanitarian, stabilization, and recovery needs is directed toward those individuals and communities with the greatest need, including those individuals from communities of religious and ethnic minorities, and communities of religious and ethnic minorities, that have been identified as being at risk of persecution, forced migration, acts of genocide, crimes against humanity, or war crimes.

Mr. Speaker, this legislation is a timely and important response to acts of genocide and other war crimes committed by ISIS in its continuing effort to subjugate the Yazidi religious minority in Iraq and Syria.

ISIS has targeted and continues to target Muslims, Jews, Sikhs, Christians, Yazidis and its reprehensible actions are reminiscent of what we have seen in some of the darkest periods of human history.

For example, according to reports of human rights watchdogs, Syrian Christians have been killed in ongoing waves of ISIS bombings of Syrian neighborhoods, and Shiite members of Iraq’s security forces have been victims of mass ISIS executions.

ISIS is believed to be holding 3,500 people as slaves, and most of the enslaved are women and children from the Yazidi community.

ISIS claimed credit for the twin blasts that struck Christian Egyptian churches during Palm Sunday services, in which at least 47 Christians were murdered.

Sadly, Mr. Speaker, in our own country we are witnessing an alarming increase in violence, bigotry, and acts of terror against members of many of our religious minorities.

1. Muslim college students have been shot and killed, execution-style, in their living room and outside of their mosques.

2. Jewish communities are struggling to deal with desecrated cemeteries and waves of bomb threats being called to their community centers and places of worship.

3. Two Sikh men were killed in New York City, a crime police declared to be a hate-shooting.

Nine African American parishioners were shot to death during Bible study at a Mother Emanuel African Methodist Episcopal Church in Charleston, South Carolina.

H.R. 390 aims to promote accountability in Iraq and Syria for the atrocities committed against the religious minorities living in those countries.

It also provides emergency relief for victims of genocide, crimes against humanity, and war crimes in Iraq and Syria.

Additionally, the bill authorizes the providing of financial and technical assistance to those in need, as well as working with nongovernmental organizations with expertise in international criminal investigations and law to investigate and address crimes of genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes, and their constituent crimes, occurring in Iraq since January 2014.

H.R. 390 also authorizes United States aid agencies to provide assistance to entities determined to be capable of effectively managing assistance for humanitarian, stabilization, and their constituent crimes, occurring in Iraq since January 2014.
Mr. Speaker, the crimes ISIS has committed against Iraqi and Syrian religious and ethnic minorities are horrific.

The victims of this campaign of terror are innocent and in dire need of humanitarian and stabilization assistance.

As the global leader and champion of human rights and human dignity, the United States has an obligation to lead the international effort to defeat ISIS and ameliorate the suffering caused by its heinous acts.

H.R. 390 is a welcome and positive step in the right direction and I urge all Members to join me in voting for this important legislation.

Ms. ESHOO. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H.R. 390, the Iraq and Syria Genocide, Refugee, and Humanitarian Relief Act of 2017.

In March of 2016, Congress declared unequivocal terms that the persecution of Christians, Yezidis, and other ethnic and religious minorities in Iraq and Syria by ISIS constituted genocide.

This was a seminal moment in the U.S. Congress because it’s only the third time in history that Congress has declared a genocide. Unfortunately, the conditions for the tens of thousands of survivors of these crimes against humanity grow worse each day.

Mr. Speaker, we are witnessing the systematic extermination of Christians and other religious and ethnic minorities at the hands of ISIS.

And despite the fact that Congress has appropriated over $1 billion in humanitarian aid to help these communities in Fiscal Year 2017, the money has not reached survivors because the only organizations focused solely on aiding survivors are religious groups, which the State Department will not provide assistance to.

For example, the Archdiocese of Erbil is currently providing food, housing, and medical care to more than 70,000 Christians who escaped death at the hands of ISIS. Even though the Archdiocese of Erbil is providing assistance to survivors at the center of this crisis, the State Department will not distribute U.S. assistance through religious organizations. H.R. 390 would resolve this ongoing problem.

The bill directs the Secretary of State and USAID to provide assistance directly to entities, including faith-based entities that are effectively providing assistance to genocide survivors or other persecuted religious and ethnic communities on the ground in Iraq and Syria. Importantly, the aid can only be used for humanitarian relief on the ground, not to support the general operations of the church or faith-based entity.

H.R. 390 also includes important provisions that will help the international community document the crimes against humanity that have been committed by ISIS, hold the perpetrators accountable, and ensure we learn from the horrors we have witnessed over the last six years so that we can one day prevent the next large-scale genocide from occurring.

I want to thank the bill’s sponsor and my partner in this ongoing effort to help the tens of thousands of survivors of genocide in Iraq and Syria, Congressman CHRISSmith. He has been a tireless advocate on behalf of these persecuted communities and I commend him for the bill he has done to get this bill through the House.

Thirteen months after the House and Senate took the important step to label these crimes against humanity as genocide, my colleagues now have the opportunity to help bring an end to the suffering.

I urge my colleague to vote “YES” on H.R. 390.

Mr. BABIN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 390, the Iraqi and Syria Genocide Emergency Relief and Accountability Act.

For too long, the Middle East—the birthplace of Christianity—has been the place where thousands of Christians have been led to their deaths. The Pew Research Center reports that Christians are the world’s most persecuted religious faith in the Middle East. Christians experiencing this most severely.

In 2012, President Obama declared what is happening to Christians in the Middle East as “genocide”, but not much has been done to respond to this crisis.

This bill will provide the dire supports and safeguards that Christians and other religious minorities desperately need. Specifically, it gives prioritized consideration to Christians and other religious minorities in the Middle East for admission into the U.S. Refugee Admissions Program while keeping standard vetting procedures in place.

This is so important given that less than one percent of Syrians chosen for resettlement between 2011 and 2016 have been Christians.

H.R. 390 also supports entities that are conducting criminal investigations into the bad actors perpetrating violence against Christians and supports groups helping victims of genocide in the region.

Clearly, persecuted Christians in the Middle East desperately need our support. As a fellow Christian, I will keep working to bring attention to the failures of our current refugee program in helping these individuals, as well as promote efforts to provide resources and assistance to them in their time of need.

I applaud the passage of H.R. 390 and hope that the Senate will give it timely consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 390, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: “A bill to provide emergency relief for victims of genocide, crimes against humanity, and war crimes in Iraq and Syria, for accountability for perpetrators of these crimes, and for other purposes.”

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

CONDEMNING TERRORIST ATTACKS IN MANCHESTER, UNITED KINGDOM

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 355) condemning in the strongest terms the terrorist attacks in Manchester, United Kingdom, on May 22, 2017, expressing heartfelt condolences, and reaffirming unwavering support for the special relationship between our peoples and nations in the wake of these attacks, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The text of the resolution is as follows:

H. RES. 355

Whereas, on May 22, 2017, a terrorist triggered improvised explosive devices at the Manchester Arena following the conclusion of a concert by American recording artist Ariana Grande;

Whereas the blasts took the lives of at least 22 people, and seriously injured 59, many of whom were children;

Whereas British authorities are continuing to investigate the bombing to determine whether it was perpetrated by a lone individual or by a terrorist network;

Whereas, on May 23, 2017, the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS) claimed responsibility for the attacks and threatened further attacks;

Whereas on June 3, 2017, three terrorists drove a van into pedestrians on London Bridge before committing multiple stabbing attacks against innocent bystanders in the Borough Market area of London;

Whereas British police quickly arrived at the scene and successfully ended the terrorist attack;

Whereas at least seven people were killed, and more than 48 wounded in the terrorist attack;

Whereas British police continue to investigate the incident to ensure that all involved in planning or supporting the attack are brought to justice;

Whereas the horrific attacks at the Manchester Arena and in London are the latest in a series of deadly assaults by ISIS in Europe in recent years;

Whereas British first responders reacted swiftly and heroically to both attacks, taking immediate measures to secure the areas and care for the wounded in ways that prevented further loss of life;

Whereas Prime Minister Theresa May stated on May 23, 2017, that “all acts of terrorism are cowardly attacks on innocent people but this attack stands out for its appalling, sickening cowardice, deliberately targeting innocent, defenseless children and young people” and paid tribute to “the spirit of Manchester and the spirit of those who live and work in that city that has been through years of conflict and terrorism has never been broken and will never be broken;

Whereas President Donald Trump condemned those who perpetrated the attacks and offered any and all assistance to the United Kingdom as it investigates these attacks and works to bring the terrorists to justice; and

Whereas the United Kingdom has been a steadfast ally to the United States: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the House of Representa-
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the United States to the multilateral, global fight against such violent extremists; and (5) remains committed to the defense of universal democratic values.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) and the gentleman from New York (Mr. ESPAILLAT) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and to include any extraneous material on this measure.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. MEEKS) and the gentleman from California (Mr. COOK). It is a strong message condemning in the strongest terms the most recent terrorist attacks in Manchester and London. We worked quickly in the committee to pass this resolution in a bipartisan way. I thank Chairman ROYCE and Ranking Member ENGEL again for their bipartisan efforts.

I would like to lend my voice of condolence to the families and friends of those who were killed and injured. I pray that the Manchester and London communities remain resilient and continue their beautiful human display of kindness in the depths of such tragedy.

I read numerous human stories about neighbors, faith leaders, and local business owners and -women coming together to aid their fellow citizens with open arms and compassionate hearts. The people of Manchester, London, and the U.K. in general show the rest of the world how to persevere in the face of terror.

Finally, let us remember that the attacks are directed at our open societies that have long stood by us, and that our enablers to justice but also to fight terrorism.

Mr. Speaker, I yield my time.

Mr. ROYCE of California. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I want to recognize the Cong. GREGORY MEEKS, the ranking member of the Europe, Eurasia, and Emerging Threats Subcommittee; and Cong. PAUL COOK, the vice chairman of the House Foreign Affairs Committee. I recognize them for their work on this timely and important resolution.

On May 22, as the world knows, in a cowardly act of terrorism, a suicide bomber attacked those exiting a concert at the Manchester Arena in England. The principally teenagers and children who were attending that concert, in some cases, parents. What many had planned to be a night of joy, a festival, a chance for them to gather to celebrate turned with one horrifying blast into a nightmare of chaos and devastation, and 23 innocent people were killed, 166 were severely injured, and many of those victims were children.

This past week, in another cowardly attack, terrorists struck unsuspecting and unarmed pedestrians on London Bridge, and then those terrorists went on an attack against innocent bystanders in London’s Borough Market area, slashing and killing, and thus far, at least 7 victims have died and 48 have been seriously wounded. We grieve their loss and send our prayers and condolences to their families.

We also commend the brave and selfless actions of British first responders who, in the wake of both attacks, moved to quickly secure the areas, aid the wounded, and prevent further loss of life.

Our deranged enemies, it is clear, are intent on striking us wherever and whenever possible, often choosing to prey upon the most vulnerable, the most innocent in our society, preying, in this case, on children and teenagers. We must be even more determined in our resolve to stop them and we must continue to build upon our own capabilities, strengthening our cooperation with our friends and allies like the United Kingdom.

Mr. Speaker, the United Kingdom has been a staunch friend and ally, unparalleled in its support for the United States, including as a partner in our counterterrorism efforts. At this sad time, we stand solidly beside our friends and allies as they mourn, heal, and most assuredly rise again following this tragedy. Passage of this resolution is just one way that we can demonstrate this support.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in unanimously supporting this measure, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ESPAILLAT. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself as much time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to express my support for H. Res. 355. This resolution was submitted by the gentleman from New York (Mr. MEEKS) and the gentleman from California (Mr. COOK). It is an important message in the strongest terms condemning in the strongest terms the most recent terrorist attacks in Manchester and London. We worked quickly in the committee to pass this resolution in a bipartisan way. I thank Chairman ROYCE and Ranking Member ENGEL again for their bipartisan efforts.

We must defeat the cancer of Islamic terrorism, Nonproliferation, and Trade.

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me time and for his work on this very important resolution.

Mr. Speaker, last month, as fans streamed out of a concert in Manchester, they were met by the worst kind of despicable treachery. A terrorist lay in wait with his time bomb to inflict maximum damage on people, killing as many as he could and creating fear among survivors. Twenty-two innocent people were slaughtered, including many children.

The attack claimed by ISIS thugs was, unfortunately, not the last to hit the United Kingdom. Over the weekend, terrorists attacked innocent civilians in London, killing 7 and wounding at least 48 others.

Free countries cannot and must not tolerate these attacks and must not ever accept these attacks as the norm. We must defeat the cancer of Islamic...
terrorism and the perverted thinking that inspires it.

I strongly support this resolution which condemns the attack in Manchester and expresses our support to the people of the United Kingdom. We will continue to stand together with the United Kingdom for many reasons, but the main reason being the bond of freedom that we have shared for so many years. We must stand together to combat and defeat those who seek to attack freedom and liberty and destroy our way of life. They must not win.

Mr. Speaker, I offer my sincere condolences to the victims of the attacks in the United Kingdom and their families. This evil cannot be allowed to continue without a bold response.

Mr. Speaker, justice demands that we track down these terrorists and hold them accountable for their evil deeds whenever and wherever they attack. Justice must be served because, Mr. Speaker, justice is what free people demand. And that is just the way it is.

Mr. ROYCE of California, Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, following the killing in London this past weekend, British Prime Minister May compared the attacks in Manchester and those in the capital, and she said: “...while the recent attacks are not connected by common networks, they are connected in one important sense. They are bound together by the single evil ideology of Islamist extremism that preaches hatred, sows division, and promotes sectarianism.”

“Defeating this ideology is one of the great challenges of our time...”

Those were the Prime Minister's words.

We are committed to standing with our British friends and allies in this important fight. This resolution signals our resolve to deepen our collaboration with our friends and allies in the United Kingdom to counter terrorism and to counter radicalization.

Mr. Speaker, I, therefore, urge my colleagues to join me in unanimous support of this resolution, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H. Res. 355, which expresses the condolences of the United States to the twenty-two innocent victims who lost their lives and the fifty-nine individuals who were seriously injured in the barbaric attack perpetrated by a terrorist in Manchester, United Kingdom.

Mr. Speaker, I support this resolution’s condemnation of this terrorist attack for the depraved, cowardly act that it is.

Our thoughts and prayers are with the families and friends of the victims.

Moreover, our heartfelt appreciation goes out to the first responders and others who selflessly came to the aid of those affected by this act.

Manchester will emerge from the attack more vibrant and united than ever before with the same resiliency that has enabled it to grow and evolve from the “birthplace of the Industrial Revolution” to the modern, progressive, and welcoming metropolis that it is today.

As Manchester acclaims its respond, I hope that Mancunians and Britons as a whole will take comfort in the knowledge that the people of the United States stand in solidarity with them.

This attack is a reminder of the common danger that democratic, peace-loving nations and the people who reject the norms of civilized society and abuse the liberties and freedoms afforded them by free societies.

This terrorist attack in Manchester was a horrific act on innocent civilians perpetrated by a depraved individual who had the support of those who misuse the peaceful religion of Islam for their own misguided purposes.

Those who claim responsibility for this crime against humanity should make no mistake; they will be held accountable for their actions.

Today, however, our thoughts and prayers are with the people of Manchester, a city that represents everything terrorists despise—that is, a place that welcomes people from all walks of life to live together in harmony.

That symbol is recognizable to Americans, because we are a society formed by diverse individuals intertwined to create a mosaic that is altogether lovely, strong, and unique.

Given our special relationship with the United Kingdom, the people of the United States of America offer our unwavering support to the people of Manchester and of the United Kingdom.

Today, I ask a moment of silence for the victims killed and injured in the terrorist attack in Manchester.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 355, as amended.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, as amended.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 397, nays 0, not voting 33; as follows:

YEAS—397

Abraham
Adams
AgUILA
Allen
Amash
Ammari
Arrington
Baldwin
Baron
Barragan
Barton
Beatty
Bera
Bergman
Beyer
Bilirakis
Bishop (GA)
Bishop (MI)
Bishop (UT)
Black
Blackburn
Blumenauer
Blum
Bustos
Carter (TX)
Carson (IN)
Carter (GA)
Carter (TX)
Cartwright
Bost
Bouchard
Bourdeaux
Braun
Brady (PA)
Brady (TX)
Brat
Brendan
F.
Brooks (IN)
Brown (MD)
Brownley (CA)
Bucshon
Budd

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. HOLDING) at 6 o'clock and 29 minutes p.m.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on motions to suspend the rules previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order: H. Res. 354, by the yeas and nays; and H. Res. 355, by the yeas and nays.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. The remaining electronic vote will be conducted as a 5-minute vote.

CONDEMNING VIOLENCE AGAINST PEACEFUL PROTESTERS OUTSIDE THE TURKISH AMBASSADOR’S RESIDENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, as amended.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 397, nays 0, not voting 33, as follows:

YEAS—397

Abraham
Adams
AgUILA
Allen
Amash
Ammari
Arrington
Baldwin
Baron
Barragan
Barton
Beatty
Bera
Bergman
Beyer
Bilirakis
Bishop (GA)
Bishop (MI)
Bishop (UT)
Black
Blackburn
Blumenauer
Blum
Bustos
Carter (TX)
Carson (IN)
Carter (GA)
Carter (TX)
Cartwright
Bost
Bouchard
Bourdeaux
Braun
Barragan
Barton
Beatty
Bera
Bergman
Beyer
Bilirakis
Bishop (GA)
Bishop (MI)
Bishop (UT)
Black
Blackburn
Blumenauer
Blum
Bustos
Carter (TX)
Carson (IN)
Carter (GA)
Carter (TX)
Cartwright
Mr. RYAN of Ohio changed his vote from "nay" to "yea."

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the resolution, as amended, was agreed to. The yeas and nays were ordered.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, as amended.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 397, nays 0, not voting 33, as follows:

[Roll No. 287]
So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the resolution, as amended, was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The title of the resolution was amended so as to read: “Condemning in the strongest terms the terrorist attacks in Manchester, United Kingdom, on May 22, 2017, and in London, United Kingdom, on June 3, 2017, expressing heartfelt condolences, and reaffirming unwavering support for the special relationship between our peoples and nations in the wake of these attacks.”

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mrs. NAPOLITANO. Mr. Speaker, had I been present, I would have voted “aye” on H. Res. 354—Condemning the violence against peaceful protesters outside the Turkish Ambassador’s residence on May 16, 2017, and calling for the perpetrators to be brought to justice and measures to be taken to prevent similar incidents in the future, as amended. I would have also voted “aye” on H. Res. 355—Condemning in the strongest terms the terrorist attacks in Manchester, United Kingdom, on May 22, 2017, expressing heartfelt condolences, and reaffirming unwavering support for the special relationship between our peoples and nations in the wake of these attacks, as amended.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Speaker, had I been present for the vote on H. Res. 354, a resolution “Condemning the violence against peaceful protesters outside the Turkish Ambassador’s residence on May 16, 2017, and calling for the perpetrators to be brought to justice and measures to be taken to prevent similar incidents in the future” (Rollcall Vote No. 286), I would have voted “aye.”

I would have also voted “aye” on H. Res. 355, a resolution “Condemning in the strongest terms the terrorist attacks in Manchester, United Kingdom, on May 22, 2017, expressing heartfelt condolences, and reaffirming unwavering support for the special relationship between our peoples and nations in the wake of these attacks” (Rollcall Vote No. 287).

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 60

Ms. GRANGER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to remove my name as a cosponsor of H.R. 60.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Higginson of Louisiana). Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Texas?

There was no objection.

RECOGNIZING THE DEBBIE’S DREAM FOUNDATION

(Mrs. ROS-LEHTINEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to highlight the Debbie’s Dream Foundation, an organization in south Florida that seeks to make the cure for stomach cancer a reality while also supporting patients, families, and caregivers who are impacted by this disease.

According to the NIH, Mr. Speaker, there are nearly 100,000 people living with stomach cancer in our great Nation, but despite these alarming numbers, Americans diagnosed with stomach cancer face a challenging battle, as it is difficult to find reliable information, good treatments, and valuable resources. Here is where Debbie’s Dream Foundation steps in.

Debbie’s Dream Foundation works with local communities, businesses, government agencies, and the scientific community to raise awareness about stomach cancer and promote research and cutting-edge treatment to improve the lives of those afflicted with this cancer.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank Debbie Zelman, the founder of this great organization, who is also undergoing treatment for this disease, for turning this tragedy into a positive change for others suffering from stomach cancer.

I also want to thank very much Debbie’s wonderful parents and all of the advocates for their lifesaving efforts and unwavering commitment to defeat stomach cancer once and for all.

HONORING THE LIFE OF LONNY KAPLAN

(Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN. Mr. Speaker, on Saturday, New Jersey’s 12th Congressional District lost a man of great strength and a very kind heart. We lost a husband, a father, an activist. For many others, we lost a great friend.

Lonny Kaplan and I met in the 1970s and long maintained a friendship of love and mutual respect. He is not only a statesman, but a hero. His commitment to political activism, especially towards pro-Israel causes, made him instrumental in strengthening the relationship between the United States and Israel.

Lonny was a respected leader in the Jewish community both here in the state and nationally, and will long be revered by those who had the honor to know him. The loss we feel as a congressional district and as a nation is deep, but I know that Lonny will never be forgotten by the countless lives that he touched.

ALZHEIMER’S AWARENESS MONTH

(Mr. FITZPATRICK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)
Mr. FITZPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, June is National Alzheimer’s and Brain Awareness Month, and as a member of the bipartisan Congressional Task Force on Alzheimer’s Disease, I am working to address this debilitating disease, its impact on everyday Americans, and its effect on our healthcare system for years to come.

Alzheimer’s is the most common form of dementia, and it remains the sixth leading cause of death in the United States, afflicting 5 million Americans, a number which could rise to 16 million by the year 2050.

While there are drugs to mitigate the effects of Alzheimer’s, these medications only help those in the early-to-moderate stages of the illness, which worsens over time. Right now there is no cure.

I am committed to funding groundbreaking Alzheimer’s research and serving all Americans afflicted and their families suffering from the devastating effects of this disease. The time to act is now.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2213, ANTI-BORDER CORRUPTION REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2017

Ms. CHENEY, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 115–162) on the resolution (H. Res. 374) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2213) to amend the Anti-Border Corruption Act of 2010 to authorize certain polygraph waiver authority, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 10, FINANCIAL CHOICE ACT OF 2017

Ms. CHENEY, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 115–163) on the resolution (H. Res. 375) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 10) to create hope and opportunity for investors, consumers, and entrepreneurs by ending bailouts andToo Big to Fail, holding Washington and Wall Street accountable, eliminating red tape to increase access to capital and credit, and repealing the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act that make America less prosperous, less stable, and less free, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

THANK YOU, MR. JONES

(Mr. KILMER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. KILMER. Mr. Speaker, there are people in a person’s life that have a lasting influence, educators who help shape the person that you become. Ron Jones of Port Angeles, Washington, was one of those people for me and for hundreds of others.

For the last 42 years, Mr. Jones has been an outstanding music teacher who, for generations of students, was a difference maker in a way that would make his Holland and his opus jealous. On multiple occasions he brought musicians to perform in Carnegie Hall and other places all around, and in doing so, he didn’t just enhance a student’s musical skills, he broadened the horizons of young people. I know he did that for me. He made you want to be better. He made you want to try hard. He made you want to perform well enough to get that genuine Ron Jones smile.

Mr. Speaker, as Mr. Jones prepares for his retirement, it is my honor to recognize him here on the floor of the United States House of Representatives, to wish him well, and, on behalf of many grateful students, to simply say: Thank you, Mr. Jones.

HIT THE BEACHES, JUNE 6, 1944, D-DAY

(Mr. POE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, at dawn, in the hard cold rain of the choppy English Channel, thousands of men—boys, really—aboard landing craft assaulted the beaches in a place called Normandy, France. They were under brutal enemy gunfire and artillery shelling. That was the morning of June 6, 1944—D-Day.

Their buddies, the paratroopers, had earlier, before dawn, landed in France and met the same stiff resistance by the enemy. The Allies were determined to free Europe from the Nazis; and after the gunfire ceased and the smoke cleared, the successful assault that day was costly.

At the top of the cliffs of Normandy, among the white crosses and glistening Stars of David, is the national cemetery of America’s war dead. There are 9,387 Americans buried there. The average age is 24. They were the initial casualties of the invasion of Europe. More Americans would later die in the great World War II.

Today, we remember those who fought on June 6 and other Americans, like my 91-year-old dad, who went to liberate France and not to conquer it. These warriors are the charter members of the Greatest Generation. And that is just the way it is.

OUR WORD IS OUR BOND

(Ms. JACKSON LEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, our word is our bond.

The United States, when it engages internationally with our allies and friends, and even our enemies, our word is our bond.

The meetings that the administration had recently in Saudi Arabia, I am sure there were some positive results, but there is no positive result to the disruption that is now occurring between Middle Eastern countries and Qatar.

Qatar has some of our military assets that are enormously important. Rumor has it that the administration may be thinking of a regime change. Yes, we have had our concerns with Qatar. We have, at various times, concerned about human rights or concerned about various positions with Al Jazeera, but we have worked with them, and we have realized that the emir, over the years, has worked with us in an honest and decent way and treated their people in a manner of decency.

I hope this is straightened out. They can’t be starved. We cannot continue to encourage or be part of any disruption in that region. We need them to cooperate against terrorism. We do not need one being fought by others.

I would ask that the administration straighten this out, working with those of us who are concerned about our friends in Qatar, and to recognize that there is no specializing and picking friends. We have to work with all of them in that region to fight against the terror in Iran.

I would offer, again, my acknowledgment of D-Day and thank those who sacrificed their lives. God bless them.

REMEMBERING D-DAY

(Ms. TENNEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. TENNEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in commemoration of the 73rd anniversary of D-Day as well as to recognize the 75th anniversary of the Battle of Midway. These two conflicts were critical in changing the course of World War II.

Seventy-three years ago today, the eyes of the world watched as Allied forces, under the leadership of General Dwight Eisenhower, stormed the beaches of Normandy. For 4 years, Europe was enslaved in tyranny by the Nazis. To liberate the people of Europe, these brave men parachuted into pitch-black fields and vaulted towering cliffs, all while undertaking heavy enemy fire.

Two years prior to the D-Day landings and 6 months after the attack on Pearl Harbor, the U.S. emerged victorious in one of the most significant and decisive battles in naval history, known as the Battle of Midway. The U.S., under the command of Admiral Nimitz, was able to ambush and destroy four Japanese aircraft carriers. Thanks to the heroic bomber pilots and crew of the U.S. Navy, this battle changed the tide in the Pacific theater.

The United States and the world are eternally grateful for the herculean and
sacrifices that our servicemen and -women displayed over 70 years ago today in an uncertain war.

RECOGNIZING LIONS CLUBS INTERNATIONAL ON ITS 100TH ANNIVERSARY

(Mr. CICILLINE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CICILLINE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in recognition of the 100th anniversary of Lions Clubs International, the largest service club organization in the world. With more than 46,000 clubs and 1.4 million members, including 31 clubs and over 1,100 members in my home State of Rhode Island, Lion Club members are transforming lives through dedicated service to communities across the country and around the world.

Since 1917, Lions Club members have dedicated themselves to living up to the founder Melvin Jones’ inspiring words: “You can’t get very far until you start doing something for somebody else.”

In Rhode Island, Lion Club members have transformed the lives of visually impaired and blind Rhode Islanders by hosting Camp McDonald House for children and working to expand access to high-quality, affordable vision care. The incredible support of Rhode Island Lions for the Ronald McDonald House has unquestionably saved lives, and their efforts to raise awareness of the need for foster parents, offer scholarships, and so much more have made a real difference.

So on behalf of the people of Rhode Island, it is my privilege to honor Lions Clubs International for their century of service.

D-DAY

(Mr. LAMALFA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LAMALFA. Mr. Speaker, on this day, June 6, 1944, 73 years ago, the largest amphibious assault in history landed on the beaches of Normandy, known as Operation Overlord, which we know more commonly as D-Day.

I rise today, 73 years later, to honor the thousands of Allied soldiers who lost their lives on the beaches of Omaha, Utah, Juno, and Sword, and those, as well, that lived to carry the battle, ultimately, to Berlin and win.

General Eisenhower told his soldiers that “the hopes and prayers of liberty-loving people everywhere march with you.” Today we commemorate the operation which began the liberation of Europe from Nazi control and paved the way for the eventual Allied victory in Europe—and for all of us to remain vigilant to preserve that same precious freedom.

As was said in the movie “Saving Private Ryan,” for all of us, “Earn this!”

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. ADERHOLT (at the request of Mr. McCARTHY) for today on account of a family emergency.

Mr. ROSS (at the request of Mr. McCARTHY) for today on account of inclement weather.

Mr. WATERS of Florida (at the request of Mr. MCCARTHY) for today on account of inclement weather.

Mr. CLYBURN (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for today.

Mr. CUMMINS (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for May 24 through June 9.

Mrs. NAPOLITANO (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for today and for the balance of the week.

BILL PRESENTED TO THE PRESIDENT

Karen L. Haas, Clerk of the House, reported that on June 2, 2017, she presented to the President of the United States, for his approval, the following bill:

H.R. 657. To amend title 5, United States Code, to extend certain protections against prohibited personnel practices, and for other purposes.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. LAMALFA. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 7 o’clock and 23 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Wednesday, June 7, 2017, at 10 a.m. for morning-hour debate.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker’s table and referred as follows:

1497. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Vice Admiral Michael T. Franken, United States Navy, and his advancement to the grade of vice admiral on the retired list, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 1570(c)(1); Public Law 96-513, Sec. 112 (as amended by Public Law 104-106, Sec. 502(b)); (110 Stat. 293); to the Committee on Armed Services.

1488. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Lieutenant General Ronald L. Bailey, U.S. Marine Corps, and his advancement to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 1570(c)(1); Public Law 96-513, Sec. 112 (as amended by Public Law 104-106, Sec. 502(b)); (110 Stat. 283); to the Committee on Armed Services.

1487. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Lieutenant General Michael T. Frazer, United States Army, and his advancement to the grade of vice admiral on the retired list, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 1570(c)(1); Public Law 96-513, Sec. 112 (as amended by Public Law 104-106, Sec. 502(b)); (110 Stat. 256); to the Committee on Armed Services.

1486. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Major General Robert L. Fehlen, United States Air Force, and his advancement to the grade of major general, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 1483(a)(3)); (117 Stat. 1458); to the Committee on Armed Services.

1485. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approval of the retirement of Rear Admiral Victor C. Hargis, United States Navy, and his advancement to the grade of vice admiral on the retired list, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 1489. A letter from the Under Secretary, Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department’s report presenting the specific amount of staff-years of technical effort to be allocated for each defense federally funded research and development center during FY 2018, pursuant to Public Law 115-31, Div. C Sec. 8025(e); to the Committee on Armed Services.

1484. A letter from the General Counsel, Federal Housing Finance Agency, transmitting the Agency’s final rule — Federal Home Loan Bank Membership for Non-Federally-Insured Credit Unions (RIN: 2590-AA85) received May 30, 2017, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 231; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Financial Services.

1483. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Energy, transmitting a Determination and Findings in support of a decision to utilize other than a full and open competition to retain specialized legal services for a proceeding at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 3304(a)(7); Public Law 111-350, Sec. 3; (124 Stat. 3748); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

1482. A letter from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Administration’s Annual Report on Drug Shortages for Calendar Year 2016, pursuant to Sec. 1002 of the Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

1481. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting two notifications of a federal vacancy and nomination, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3339(a); Public Law 106-277, 151(b); (112 Stat. 2691-614); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

1480. A letter from the Assistant General Counsel, General Law, Ethics, and Regulations, Department of the Treasury, transmitting two notifications of a federal vacancy and nomination, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3339(a); Public Law 106-277, 151(b); (112 Stat. 2691-614); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

1479. A letter from the Director, Federal Housing Finance Agency, transmitting the Agency’s Office of Inspector General Semi-annual Report to the Congress for the period ending March 31, 2017, pursuant to Sec. 5(b) on the Inspector General Act of 1978; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

1478. A letter from the Director, Office of Government Ethics, transmitting the Office’s Congressional Justification, Annual Performance Plan, and Annual Performance Report, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1115(b); Public Law 105-352, Sec. 3; (124 Stat. 3987); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

1477. A letter from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration’s temporary rule — Atlantic Highly Migratory Species; Commercial Aggregated Tuna Mackerel Management Groups Retention Limit Adjustment (Docket No: 160620545-6999-02) (RIN: 0648-XP37) received May 30, 2017, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 221; (110 Stat. 888); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

1476. A letter from the Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration’s temporary rule — Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Coastal Performance Plan, and Annual Performance Report, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1115(b); Public Law 105-352, Sec. 3; (124 Stat. 3987); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

1475. A letter from the Under Secretary, Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department’s report presenting the specific amount of staff-years of technical effort to be allocated for each defense federally funded research and development center during FY 2018, pursuant to Public Law 115-31, Div. C Sec. 8025(e); to the Committee on Armed Services.
H.R. 2776. A bill to amend the National Labor Relations Act with respect to the timing of elections and the identification of pre-election issues; to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

By Mr. VEASEY (for himself, Ms. HANABUSA, Ms. JACKSON LIEE, Ms. NORTON, Mr. BISHOP of Georgia, Mr. TAKANO, Mr. EVANS, and Mr. NORIEGA).

H.R. 2777. A bill to include information regarding VA home loans in the Informed Consumer Disclosures, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services, and in addition to the Committee on Armed Services, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. CARDENAS (for himself and Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee):

H.R. 2779. A bill to direct the Secretary of Transportation to establish a Smart Traffic Signals Grant Program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

By Mr. DESHAM (for himself and Mr. VALADAO):

H.R. 2780. A bill to amend title XI of the Social Security Act to provide through the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation (CMMI) for a Medicaid payment model demonstration project on Medicaid reimbursement for pharmacy services in counties with a disproportionately high proportion of Medicaid enrollees; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. EVANS (for himself and Mr. BRAT):

H.R. 2780. A bill to amend the Small Business Act to create certain requirements for Federal agencies with a SBIR or STTR program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Small Business, and in addition to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. E. CHEN (for himself and Mr. PAISEY):

H.R. 2781. A bill to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to certify the sufficient participation of small business concerns owned and controlled by veterans and small business concerns owned by veterans with service-connected disabilities in contracts under the Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs.

By Mr. DIAMACI (for herself and Mr. SCHUCHMAN):

H.R. 2782. A bill to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to provide students with disabilities and their families with access to critical information needed to select the right college and succeed once enrolled; to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

By Mrs. DAVIS of California:

H.R. 2783. A bill to amend titles 28 and 10, United States Code, to authorize the provision of a certificate of eligibility for VA home loans during the preseparation counseling for members of the Armed Forces, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services, and in addition to the Committee on Armed Services, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. HIGGINS of Louisiana (for himself and Mr. LAMALPHA):

H.R. 2785. A bill to require the Attorney General to establish a “Good Neighbor” code of conduct for federally licensed firearms dealers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. HUDDSON (for himself and Ms. DICKHTI):

H.R. 2786. A bill to amend the Federal Poverty Act of 1969 to establish certain criteria and process to qualify as a qualifying conduit hydropower facility; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Ms. KAPTUR (for herself, Mr. JONES, and Mr. RYAN of Ohio): H.R. 2787. A bill to establish in the Department of Veterans Affairs a pilot program instituting a clinical observation program for pre-med students preparing to attend medical school; to the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs.

By Ms. MICHELLE LUJAN GRISHAM of New Mexico (for herself, Mr. MCCGOVERN, Mr. ESPLAILLAT, Mr. TAKANO, Mrs. NAPOLITANO, Ms. BONAMICI, Mr. GALLEGO, Mr. VEASEY, Ms. BARRAGAN, Mr. GUTIÈREZ, Ms. CHAKOWSKY, Mrs. TERRY, Ms. ROYBL-ALLARD, Mr. ELLISON, Mr. SOTO, Ms. CLARKE of New York, Mr. PINKER, Ms. WILSON of Florida, Ms. NORTON, Mr. GHIJALVA, Ms. SERRANO, Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts, Ms. JAYAPAL, Ms. HANABUSA, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Mr. HASTINGS, Ms. SANCHEZ, Ms. LOFgren, and Ms. LEE):

H.R. 2788. A bill to expand access to health care services, including sexual, reproductive, and maternal health services, for immigrant women, men, and families by removing legal barriers to health insurance coverage, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on Natural Resources, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. BEN RAY LUJAN of New Mexico (for himself, Mr. SCHNEIDER, and Mr. LIPINSKI):

H.R. 2789. A bill to amend the Small Business Act to reauthorize and improve the Small Business Innovation Research Program and the Small Business Technology Transfer Program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Small Business, and in addition to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. MCSALLY (for herself, Mr. BRYER, Mr. ROYCE of California, Mr. CARDENAS, Mr. LOBIONDO, Mr. TONKO, Mr. ROBERTS of Illinois, Mr. HUFFMAN, Mr. DONOVAN, Mr. TUTTIS, Mr. CURBelo of Florida, Ms. SLAUGHTER, Mr. MACARTHUR, Mr. HASTINGS, Ms. DEGETTE, Mr. SPEIER, Mr. YODER, Mr. GARTZ, Mr. KATKO, and Mr. DEUTCH):

H.R. 2790. A bill to phase out cosmetic animal testing and develop and implement alternatives to animal tests, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Ms. MENG:

H.R. 2791. A bill to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to treat infant formulas as adulterated if its use-by date has passed; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mrs. NOEM (for herself and Mr. SAM JOHNSON of Texas):

H.R. 2792. A bill to amend the Social Security Act to make certain revisions to provisions limiting payment of benefits to fugitive felons under titles II, VIII, and XVI of the Social Security Act; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. WILLIAMS (for himself and Ms. MOORE):

H.R. 2793. A bill to amend the Federal Deposit Insurance Act to provide that the consumer transaction account deposits of an insured depository institution are not considered to be funds obtained by or through a depository broker, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mr. BLUMENAU (for himself, Mr. DEFAZIO, Ms. BONAMICI, Mr. WALDEN, and Mr. SCHREIDER):

H.J. Res. 165. A joint resolution condemning the deadly and tragic mass shooting in Portland, Oregon, expressing deepest condolences to the families and friends of the victims, and supporting community efforts to overcome hatred, bigotry, and violence; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Ms. LAMBORN (for himself and Mr. FRANKS of Arizona):

H. Res. 376. A resolution expressing support for designation of July 2, 2017, as the “National Day of Personal Reflection and Repentance”; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

MEMORIALS

Under clause 3 of rule XII, memorials were presented and referred as follows:

52. The SPEAKER presented a memorial of the Legislature of the State of West Virginia, relative to House Joint Resolution 15, requesting Congress to adopt the National Park Service’s recommendations as to the additional segments for inclusion in the Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail; which was referred to the Committee on Natural Resources.

53. Also, a memorial of the General Assembly of the State of Nevada, relative to Senate Joint Resolution No. 10, rescinding all previous requests that the Congress of the United States call a convention of states to propose amendments to the Constitution of the United States; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

54. Also, a memorial of the General Assembly of the State of Colorado, relative to Senate Joint Resolution 17-019, urging the government of the United States of America to take action to preserve and enhance United States leadership in space, spur innovation, and ensure our continued national and economic security by increasing funding for space exploration and technology; which was referred to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology.

55. Also, a memorial of the Legislature of the State of West Virginia, relative to House Concurrent Resolution 26, urging Congress and NASA to name the NASA IV & V Facility at Fairmont for West Virginia mathematician Katherine Coleman Johnson, which was referred to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology.

PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 3 of rule XII,
Ms. GABBARD introduced a bill (H.R. 2794) for the relief of Andres Magana Ortiz; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY STATEMENT

Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives raise and laying statements are submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill or joint resolution.

By Mr. TAYLOR: H.R. 2772.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8
The Congress shall have Power To lay and collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts and Excises, to pay the Debts and provide for the common Defense and general Welfare of the United States; but all Duties, Imposts and Excises shall be uniform throughout the United States;
To borrow money on the credit of the United States;
To regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes;
To establish uniform Rules of Naturalization, and uniform Laws on the subject of Bankruptcies throughout the United States;
To coin Money, regulate the Value thereof, and of foreign Coin, and fix the Standard of Weights and Measures;
To provide for the Punishment of counterfeiting the Securities and current Coin of the United States;
To establish Post Offices and Post Roads;
To provide for punishing counterfeiting the Security of the United States, and the Authority of carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.

By Mr. COFFMAN: H.R. 2773.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8 of the Constitution of the United States.
By Mr. TED Lieu of California: H.R. 2774.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8 of the Constitution of the United States.
By Mr. WILSON of South Carolina: H.R. 2775.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8, Clause 3 of the United States Constitution.
By Mr. WALBERG: H.R. 2776.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, section 8, clause 3 of the Constitution of the United States
By Mr. VEASEY: H.R. 2777.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8 of the United States Constitution
By Mr. CÁRDENAS: H.R. 2778.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 1. All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives.
By Mr. DENHAM: H.R. 2779.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8, United States Constitution.
By Mr. EVANS: H.R. 2780.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8, Clause 3
The Congress shall have Power ** * To regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes.
By Mr. DUNN: H.R. 2781.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8, Clause 3 of the United States Constitution.
By Ms. BONAMICI: H.R. 2782.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Section 8 of Article I of the Constitution
By Mrs. DAVIS of California: H.R. 2783.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article 1, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.
By Mr. GALLEGDO: H.R. 2784.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
—Article I, Section 8, Clause 18.
By Mr. HIGGINS of Louisiana: H.R. 2785.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8, Clause 14
Article 1, Section 8, clause 18
By Mr. HUDSON: H.R. 2786.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8.
By Ms. KAPTUR: H.R. 2787.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8, Clause 18: To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.

By Ms. MICHELLE LUIJAN GRISHAM of New Mexico: H.R. 2788.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8, Clause 18
By Mr. BEN RAY LUJÁN of New Mexico: H.R. 2789.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8, Clause 1
By Ms. MCSALLY: H.R. 2790.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8, Clause 3 of the United States Constitution—To regulate commerce with foreign nations, and among the several states, and with the Indian Tribes.
By Ms. MENG: H.R. 2791.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution.
By Mrs. NOEM: H.R. 2792.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.
By Mr. WILLIAMS: H.R. 2793.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8, Clause 3 (“To regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes”)
By Ms. GABBARD: H.R. 2794.
Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:
Article 1, Section 8, United States Constitution.

ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions, as follows:
H.R. 15: Mr. Stutz.
H.R. 36: Mr. Rodney Davis of Illinois and Mr. McKinley.
H.R. 37: Mr. Collins of New York.
H.R. 38: Mr. Graves of Missouri.
H.R. 66: Ms. Michelle Lujan Grisham of New Mexico.
H.R. 140: Mr. Marchant.
H.R. 184: Mr. Higgins of New York.
H.R. 256: Mr. Newhouse and Mr. Babin.
H.R. 257: Mr. Jordan.
H.R. 328: Mr. Tenko, Ms. Matsui, Mr. Evans, and Ms. Eshoo.
H.R. 338: Mr. Butterfield.
H.R. 358: Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Illinois, Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania, Mr. ROGERS of Alabama, Mr. DESJARLAIS, Mrs. WALORSKI, and Mr. MEADOWS.

H.R. 359: Mr. JEFFRIES.

H.R. 389: Mr. PETE T. OSWALD, Mr. MCCARTHY, Mr. BARRAGÁN, and Mr. SANCHEZ.

H.R. 390: Mr. RICHARD T. HULTON, Mr. CARROLL, Mr. STARK, Mr. HENRY, Mr. ESSER, Mr. HARVEY, Mr. JOHNSON of Minnesota, Mr. MEHROTRA, Mr. COOK, Mr. WAYNE FUHRMAN, Mr. MACBRIDE, Mr. CALDER, Mr. BILLINGS, Mr. TREUKELS, Mr. STEPHENS, Mr. MAJOY, Mr. COOK, Mr. STEFANIK, Mr. BUSH, Mr. SCHWARTZ, Mr. KILGER, Mr. TAKAMURA, and Mr. GLILIA.

H.R. 392: Mr. PETER J. GAVEL.

H.R. 393: Mr. THOMAS G. PICKERING.

H.R. 394: Mr. JEFF FORTENBERRY, Mr. MORSE, Mr. PRICE, Mr. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. WILSON of Colorado, Mr. PAUL, Mr. EDWARD ROS-LeHTINEN, Mr. ROUZER, and Mr. RYAN of California.

H.R. 396: Mr. JOHN CHISHOLM of New York, Mr. JODY B. HICE of Georgia, Mr. BURGESS, Mr. MURPHY of Ohio, and Mr. YOUNG of Iowa.

H.R. 399: Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin, Mr. COOK, Mr. MILLER of Missouri, Mr. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. RALPH H. JOHNSON of Wisconsin, Mr. FLETCHER, Mr. BRENAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania, Mr. TUCKER, Mr. WICKER, Mr. LEE of Georgia, Mr. READ, Mr. CHEYNEY, Mr. OLSON, Mr. MCCARTHY, Mr. STEPHENS, Mr. BURDICE, Mr. HUFFMAN, Mr. SCHROADER, Mr. MOORE, Mr. BEYER, Mr. HERMAN, Mr. MATTIE, Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin, Mr. JOHNSON of Wisconsin, Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Ohio, Mr. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. RUPPERSBERGER, Mr. CRAWFORD, and Mr. BYRNE.

H.R. 400: Mr. BILL F. DELAHUNT.

H.R. 401: Mr. JAMES A. CLARK of Arkansas, Mr. SCHRADER, Mr. PETER DEFAZIO, Mr. LONG, Mr. STEPHEN L. HOFFMAN, Mr. SHUMAUCKER, Mr. FINCHEN, Mr. JOHN L. MURPHY of Pennsylvania, Mr. PAUL, Mr. STEPHEN L. HOFFMAN, Mr. JURRGENS, Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of California, Mr. STEPHEN L. HOFFMAN, Mr. CROWE, Mr. JIM HUSTLER, Mr. MILLER of Michigan, Mr. ADAMS, Mr. SANFORD, Mr. VIGIL, Mr. SCHWARTZ, Mr. STEPHENS, Mr. SMITH of Oklahoma, Mr. MARSHALL, Mr. HARRIS, Mr. STARK, Mr. LANDERS, Mr. WHITFIELD of Georgia, Mr. HYNES, Mr. BATES, Mr. HUGHES, Mr. LEWIS, and Mr. PETER DeFAZIO.

H.R. 402: Mr. JIM HUSTLER, Mr. MILLER of Michigan, Mr. ADAMS, Mr. SANFORD, Mr. VIGIL, Mr. SCHWARTZ, Mr. STEPHENS, Mr. SMITH of Oklahoma, Mr. MARSHALL, Mr. HARRIS, Mr. STARK, Mr. LANDERS, Mr. WHITFIELD of Georgia, Mr. HYNES, Mr. BATES, Mr. HUGHES, Mr. LEWIS, and Mr. PETER DeFAZIO.
The amendment to be offered by Representative HENSAELING, or a designee, to H.R. 10, the Financial CHOICE Act of 2017 does not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI.

DELETION OF SPONSORS FROM PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were deleted from public bills and resolutions, as follows:

H.R. 60: Ms. GRANGER.
The Senate met at 10 a.m. and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. HATCH).

PRAYER
The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

Eternal God, the fountain of wisdom, You are more majestic than the mountains. Give our lawmakers the reverence for You that will motivate them to do Your will. May they labor to enhance Your glory, striving to make our Nation and world stronger and better. Lord, help them to honor Your Name, cherishing the fact that they belong to You. Show them how to use today’s fleeting moments to accomplish Your purposes. Sanctify their thoughts, words, and deeds. May they set You always before them as their guide, keeping You close so that they will not be shaken.

We pray in Your sacred Name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
The President pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER
The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Sasse). The majority leader is recognized.

HEALTHCARE LEGISLATION
Mr. McConnell. Mr. President, every week, it seems we hear more news about the failures of ObamaCare. For instance, 2 weeks ago, we learned that nearly 70,000 people in parts of Missouri and Kansas will lose their last insurance provider on the ObamaCare exchanges in 2018. From rural towns to Kansas City, these Americans are being left without options. Last week, we learned that thousands of ObamaCare customers in Nebraska will be left with only one provider on the exchanges and that the remaining insurer hasn’t even decided if it is going to stay through 2018. This week, we learned that the number of health insurers participating in ObamaCare exchanges had declined by nearly one-quarter from 2016 to 2017. It has become painfully clear that ObamaCare is failing to live up to its promises and is collapsing right in front of our eyes. If this failed ObamaCare status quo continues, more Americans are likely to lose their insurance options, more Americans are likely to continue seeing their premiums rise, and more Americans are likely to get caught in the downward spiral of ObamaCare.

It is time for our friends on the other side of the aisle to get serious about moving beyond the problems of this law. They spent years defending the system, promising it will get better over time and claiming others were exaggerating ObamaCare’s flaws, but here is the reality our Democratic colleagues seem to be missing: ObamaCare did not get better. The problems are real and have continued to get worse.

Now Democrats are trying to blame the failures of ObamaCare on anything but—anything but—the broken healthcare law itself. They can try to shift the blame, but the American people are not going to fall for it.

Many Kentuckians have called for us to move away from ObamaCare to bring relief to families. An official report released last month showed that since ObamaCare’s full enactment in 2013, average premiums in Kentucky have skyrocketed by 75 percent.

A woman from Lancaster, KY, wrote to my office recently to express her frustration. With high premiums and a staggering deductible, she asked:

Why is there a law forcing me to pay for something I can’t afford? Either I can eat and pay my mortgage, or pay for insurance.

Far too many Americans face these painful choices every day because of ObamaCare. As the system continues to collapse around us, this Kentuckian and many more like her are left to deal with the consequences.

The ObamaCare status quo is unsustainable and unacceptable. Our country can do better, and our country really must do better. I hope Senate Democrats will join us as we move beyond the system’s failures. They can either continue to defend this broken system with its higher costs and diminishing choices, or they can work with us to move beyond ObamaCare toward smarter healthcare solutions. What is clear is that we have to act. Otherwise, more Americans will be stuck paying the price of ObamaCare’s continuing failures.

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS ACCOUNTABILITY AND WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION BILL
Mr. McConnell. Now, Mr. President, on another matter, like those who have called for relief from ObamaCare, many Americans across the Nation are counting on us to ensure better quality healthcare for our veterans. This is a critical issue for each of us no matter what State we come from, no matter what party we represent. Our veterans have sacrificed too much. In return, they deserve our support. We must keep the commitment we have to them when it comes to ensuring that the VA is providing the quality healthcare they rely on.

Unfortunately, as we all know, many VA facilities across the Nation have long failed to provide our Nation’s heroes with the timely and effective medical attention they need. Already, Congress has taken a number of steps to improve these facilities for our veterans and to keep the faith for those
who have willingly and courageously fought on our behalf.

Now we can build on those efforts by passing the Department of Veterans Affairs Accountability and Whistleblower Protection Act. As the name implies, this legislation will enhance accountability measures at the VA and better enable the Department to remove—regardless of whom—employees who are failing to meet the standards expected of them.

This bill, in conjunction with the continued administration efforts like those Secretary Shulkin announced yesterday, will further improve medical services offered to our veterans at VA facilities all across our country. It was unfortunate to see this legislation held up in a previous Congress, but I am proud that the Republican Senate has made its passage among our top priorities.

I once again recognize Veterans’ Affairs Committee chairman JOHNNY ISAKSON and Senator RUBIO for the part they played in moving this very important bill forward and remaining vigilant on behalf of America’s veterans. I know we are all eager to advance it today and send it on down to the White House for the President’s signature.

**NOMINATION OF COURTNEY ELWOOD**

Mr. MCCONNELL. Now, Mr. President, one final matter, today we will confirm Courtney Elwood, the nominee for general counsel at the Central Intelligence Agency. As Chairman BURR pointed out at her hearing, Ms. Elwood has an impressive legal background. She graduated from Yale Law School before clerking under Chief Justice William Rehnquist on the Supreme Court, and she served as a former advisor to both Vice President Cheney and President Bush, as well as to the Attorney General.

In her role at the CIA, Ms. Elwood will be providing sound legal advice to Director Pompeo, ensuring accountability at the Agency as a whole, and overseeing a number of priorities that are key to supporting our Nation’s intelligence community. Her nomination has already earned bipartisan support.

I am sure that once she is confirmed, she will serve our country well in this new role.

**RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER**

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Democratic leader is recognized.

**INFRASTRUCTURE**

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, first I want to talk about infrastructure. This week, the administration is laying out a few rounds of infrastructure. So far, it has been a major disappointment. President Trump pitched a trillion-dollar infrastructure plan in his campaign and continued to mention it in the days after the election. We Democrats welcomed the idea.

One of my first conversations with the President after he was elected was about infrastructure. I said: You have a trillion-dollar infrastructure program. He said to me: At least that. I said: Sounds good to me. Let’s work on it.

We have made overtures to the White House saying we would be willing to work with the President on infrastructure. I said it to the President directly several times. Democrats have been pushing for new money for infrastructure for a very long time. We even put out our own proposal, a trillion-dollar infrastructure plan, hoping it would spark a discussion.

We Democrats continue to welcome a serious and constructive dialogue on this issue, but unfortunately the President continues to disappoint. We sent our plan several months ago, and we have heard nothing for those months. Now the President seems to be intent on pushing forward an infrastructure plan on his own, one with few details, that is mostly private sector driven—that means with minimum investment, and that would ignore a huge section of our infrastructure. The President doesn’t seem to be talking to anyone but a few people in his inner circle. Some of them are financiers. Of course, as the President has done, we support private sector infrastructure for a long time, but that is not the way we have worked in America since Henry Clay, a former—not quite a Republican. We didn’t have any then, but he was a Whig—the predecessor party—and he came up with this idea of internal improvements. I remind my dear friend, the majority leader, Mr. CLAY was from Kentucky.

Internal improvements were supposed to connect what was then the east coast with the far West—Kentucky, Tennessee, Ohio—with roads over Appalachia, and ever since, we have had bipartisan support on the Federal Government building infrastructure and putting in the dollars for it but not from President Trump, at least thus far.

The President’s plan is a recipe for Trump tolls from one end of America to the other. That is not what the American people are crying out for. They don’t want more tolls. They want us to rebuild our crumbling water systems, bridges, schools, roads, broadband, not finance new tollroads. Unfortunately, the President surrounds himself with bankers and financiers. These are folks who used to work at investment banks. They look at infrastructure as an investment to be made by corporations in the private sector, but infrastructure has never been a business investment.

Infrastructure has been something the government has invested in for decades and even centuries because the benefits of infrastructure have great—what the economists call externalities. The benefits for having a good highway is not just for the people who use the highway, but if a factory locates nearby because it can get its goods there more frequently and quickly, that is a benefit. A road itself might not generate short-term profits, but our rural people are as entitled to high-speed internet as our people in urban areas and, I might add, there are large parts of my city, New York City, where that last mile isn’t done because there are poorer residents and it is less profitable.

That is why there has always been the role of government to stimulate infrastructure investment, to provide support for necessary maintenance and construction which the private sector won’t provide. To force at the end of the road if there isn’t a profit, but our rural people are as entitled to high-speed internet as our people in urban areas and, I might add, there are large parts of my city, New York City, where that last mile isn’t done because there are poorer residents and it is less profitable.

The bottom line is, if the President wants to sit down with the American people, of course we want to do it, but if he continues to take this path with a plan cooked up by Wall Street advisers, it will not succeed or it will result in such a small measure that it will not be effective.

Again, I say to the President—there is talk, I read in the newspapers—they want to do this by reconciliation, no Democratic votes, just 52 Republican votes in the Senate. The same problem that they had with Obamacare, the same problem they are having with tax reform, will repeat itself with infrastructure if you don’t do it in a bipartisan way.

Our colleagues constantly remind us that ObamaCare didn’t work because it was done by one party, but now they are letting Trump lead them to do the same thing on just about every major issue. It is a formula for failure President Trump is advocating. He hasn’t been down here in Washington that long, but he is up to the Republican colleagues to teach him that working in a bipartisan way is the only way you can really get things done.

So my view is, we need bipartisanship, but the President might not get—just remember that many Republicans are very negative, initially at least, with a private sector-driven infrastructure bill because they represent rural areas.

Here is what a Republican Senator from Wyoming, Mr. BARRASSO—fine man—said: “Funding solutions that involve public-private partnerships do not work for rural areas.”
My friend, the Republican Senator from West Virginia, has said: “As a person who represents an almost all-rural State . . . I’m concerned about how we are going to be able to incent the private dollars to go to the less-populated, less-economically developed areas, because their investments are just as important.”

The bottom line is this, an investment bank infrastructure plan like the one the President is proposing is a sure loser. The Goldman Sachs infrastructure plan just will not work, except for a few. It would turn over a public good to the whims of private finance, who will not build infrastructure where America needs it. They will build it where they can make a buck, and that means tolls paid by working Americans and middle-class Americans. That means rural areas will not get the support they need. That means any project that can’t generate user fees or taxes—like repairing our schools or water sewer systems—will not get done.

There is no free lunch. When the private sector wants to finance infrastructure, they naturally—that is our free enterprise system—want to get repaid, but who is going to repay them? The average American: the truckdriver who is scratching out a living, the salesman or saleswoman who is scratching out a living, the family who is going on vacation and has to stop every 30 miles for another toll, the small business that depends on roads to get the goods to and from that business location.

If the President truly wants to rebuild our Nation’s infrastructure, he has to approach this issue in a bipartisan way. There are several Republican legislators who don’t want the Federal Government to spend any more money on infrastructure, but the majority of Senators of both parties probably do. The President needs to sit down with Democrats or Republicans who work something out that he wants to get something done. He hasn’t sat down with Democrats. He doesn’t seem to want to. There are even reports that the President is considering doing infrastructure on reconciliation. That means just Republican votes, a huge misstep.

Republicans have been tied in a knot here in Washington. The President has been tied here in a knot in Washington because he insists on going at it alone. Look at the Trump administration agenda. President Trump ran against both the Democratic and Republican establishments—a populist, if you will, but he has thrown his lot, since he has become President, with hard-right conservatives and is now pursuing an agenda entirely through the partisan process Republicans once decried—healthcare, reconciliation; taxes, the same. Now infrastructure? The one area where we kept the President out of it, the appropriations process where only well-funded McCONNELL and I, Senators COCHRAN and LEAHY, and the House Members got together in a bipartisan way and we worked it out. We each thought we had some victories. It worked, but I had to stand at this desk and tell our Republican colleagues to keep the President out of it because it will bullocks everything up. Fortunately, they did. Maybe we can do that again.

I would say to the President: Mr. President, you can spend your entire first-term agenda trying to jam through partisan bills. That would be a shame because America needs to get more. The problem with theconciliation. That means just Republican colleagues to keep the President out of it because it will bullocks everything up. Fortunately, they did. Maybe we can do that again.

I would say to the President: Mr. President, you can spend your entire first-term agenda trying to jam through partisan bills. That would be a shame because America needs to get more. The problem with the reconciliation process is this is an issue where we really have some common ground. That is why Senate Democrats put forward a trillion-dollar infrastructure plan that would create millions of jobs and actually fix our crumbling roads and bridges, invest in every corner of America, with particular attention to rural America.

We stand ready and willing to work with the President on that plan or something similar that actually achieves what he promised on the campaign trail.

HEALTHCARE LEGISLATION

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, another matter: healthcare. According to reports, Republican Senators were planning to use the State work period last week to rewrite their healthcare bill. Well, now we are back in session, and unfortunately my friends on the other side of the aisle don’t seem to be any closer to having a bill. If they do have one, they are hiding it and going down the same path as House Republicans—drafting a bill that will impact tens of millions in secret, no transparency, no committee hearings, no debate.

Even with all this secrecy, more and more Republicans seem increasingly pessimistic about finding a Republican-only bill that can get 50 votes in the Senate. Over the weekend, the senior Republican Senator from North Carolina, Mr. BURR, said: “I don’t see a comprehensive health-care plan this year.”

Just yesterday, Senator THUNE, a member of the Republican leadership, said the Republicans may rush a healthcare bill to the floor before they know if it has the support of their caucus.

Well, my friends on the other side of the aisle are learning how difficult it is to refit our healthcare system under a process with only votes from our party—the reconciliation process—and do it in a way that actually improves our healthcare, not devastate it, as the House bill would.

I hope my Republican friends will realize the only way we will get votes necessary to pass a healthcare bill is to drop repeal and work with Democrats to improve our healthcare system, not to sabotage it. We stand ready and willing to work with our Republican colleagues to further stabilize the insurance market, keep the progress we have made in healthcare. In fact, we are running out of time before the 2018 rates are locked in.

Most insurance companies are saying they are raising rates because of the uncertainty Republicans continue to inject into the market. The President has not come out permanently for cost-sharing, which would reduce premiums and keep people in the market. They just sort of do it one at a time, and that is going to make the markets worse.

The public already unfortunately will blame those in charge—our Republican friends and the President—for the mess, as much as they would like to look past—as much of our colleagues on the other side of the aisle want to point fingers. People want something done now. They don’t want fingers of blame pointed back at what happened 5 years ago or 8 years ago.

We Democrats don’t want to tear everything down and start over again. Let’s keep all the progress—the 20 million more Americans insured, the kids who can stay on their parents’ plan, their protections for pre-existing conditions—and find ways to make even more progress on bringing down costs for consumers and improving the quality of care. I yield the floor.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Morning business is closed.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed to executive session to resume consideration of the Elwood nomination, which the clerk will report.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read the nomination of Courtney Elwood, of Virginia, to be General Counsel of the Central Intelligence Agency.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority whip.
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Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, I came to the floor to talk about other matters, and I will get to those in a moment. I can’t help but be struck by the Democratic leader’s sudden interest in addressing healthcare reform.

It is a fact that even if Hillary Clinton were elected President of the United States, we would be revisiting the failed promises of the Affordable Care Act. For example, premiums, since 2013, have gone up 105 percent in the individual market. Those are people who do not have employer-provided coverage or aren’t on Medicare or Medicaid. Small businesses and individuals who have to go out and purchase their
healthcare have seen premiums go up 105 percent.

We hear stories every day—and I will recount some of those from Texas—where people say they have zero choices. For example, in Iowa, we learned that no insurance companies are willing to sell health insurance on the individual market. That isn’t because of anything that President Trump or the Republican majority have done. These are the failures of ObamaCare.

President Obama made extravagant promises about ObamaCare, none of which has really proven to be true. He said he would bring down premiums $2,500 for a family of four. Well, these folks in the individual market have seen their premiums go up 105 percent since 2013. He said that if you like your policy, you could keep your policy. That proved not to be true because unless you bought the government-approved policy, insurance companies couldn’t sell it on the exchanges. He said: If you like your doctor, you can keep your doctor. But as people found out when their policy expired, they lost the doctors in the network they could see changed. People saw premiums go up. They lost coverage they liked, and they lost the doctor they had confidence in.

So the suggestion of the Democratic leader that somehow this current situation is a result of President Trump or congressional action is ludicrous. I think people understand that, but I just want to respectfully respond a bit to what he had to say, because sometimes when people don’t respond they assume there isn’t a response, and clearly there is.

TRIBUTE TO TEXAS MILITARY ACADEMY APPOINTEES

Mr. President, it is good to be back at work here in Washington after a work week at home. I had the honor, starting on Memorial Day, of spending some time with Texas’s newest recruits to our national military academy.

Every year, now for the 11th year, I have had the privilege of hosting an academy sendoff ceremony in “Military City U.S.A.,” my hometown of San Antonio. This annual gathering recognizes the bright young Texans who have accepted an appointment to one of the premier military academies that serve our Armed Forces, and I am always proud to celebrate the incredible achievement they have made so far in their young lives and encourage them as they begin a life of public service. It is truly inspirational, and it is my favorite event of the year.

This year about 272 young Texans have answered the call to get a service academy education and a career in military service. It is a good deal if you can qualify for it because basically you get a free ride to one of these premier service academies, and we train the next generation of military leaders, which is good for all of us.

My wife Sandy and I look forward to this event each year, and we find that Memorial Day is a fitting time to send off these young men and women, while we at the same time remembering the ultimate sacrifice made by those who gave their lives answering that same call to service.

It is not out of a top-tier speaker to these events, somebody who will challenge and inspire these young men and women, and this year was no exception. ADM William McRaven, the Chancellor of the University of Texas System, spoke to these incoming midshipmen about his service in public service and his 37 years in the U.S. Navy as a Navy SEAL.

He spoke candidly that this would be the greatest challenge of their young lives but also the most rewarding. He said it would be a decision they would never regret. He also spent some time—appropriately, on Memorial Day—talking about the heroes who have sacrificed all to serve the military in the greatest country in the world. So all in all, Memorial Day, and it was a great event for these young men and women.

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS ACCOUNTABILITY AND WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION BILL

Mr. President, as we come back the week after Memorial Day, I know I am not the only one encouraged to find better and more effective ways to serve our country. Fortunately, this Chamber in the Senate will have a chance to act on that. Someone on a bill that will reform the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, a Department riddled with inefficiencies and marked too often by scandal and corruption.

This is a huge government department. At last count, some 330,000 people worked for the Veterans’ Administration and, unfortunately, we have all become familiar with the horror stories of fake scheduling, indicating that people actually were being seen who were not, seeing hours of wait times, and people literally dying as a result of not getting the treatment they earned by virtue of their service in the military through the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The legislation we will vote on is called the Department of Veterans Affairs Accountability and Whistleblower Protection Act. It will protect the Veterans’ Administration employees who care deeply for veterans by protecting them from retaliation when they also provide managers with the tools they need to address poor performance and misconduct. To sum it up, this bill will make it easier for VA employees to be held accountable, and that is something the Veterans’ Administration and our veterans desperately need, and it has for some time. It will make the VA work better for the men and women who have served us so well.

I should point out that at a time when I suspect people doubt whether there is any bipartisanship in the Congress or in Washington, this is a bipartisan piece of legislation. It was voted out of the Veterans’ Affairs Committee by a voice vote 2 weeks ago, which essentially is by unanimous consent.

It has growing support among groups focused on helping our returning warriors to get the treatment, care, and support they need. That is because the VA bill will do what it is supposed to do and, unfortunately, hasn’t always been done well, which is to serve our veterans.

Like all of us, I have had the honor of meeting with our veterans regularly and working with them to help them succeed after giving so much of themselves to keep our country safe.

One other example of bipartisan legislation that was signed into law by the President of the United States is a bill called the American Law Enforcement Heroes Act, a bill that I introduced to help connect veterans to opportunities in law enforcement in their local communities. So it is another example of what we can do.

There is not a big partisan food fight over it. So maybe most people are not aware of it. But I think it is important to remind people that, amid all of the distractions they often hear coming from Washington, there is important work being done to benefit people who certainly deserve it, and that would be the case for our veterans.

I am thankful for the work of the chairman of the Senate Veterans’ Affairs Committee, Senator Isakson, as well as the diligent and thoughtful work of the Senator from Florida, Mr. RUMO, on this important veterans bill. I look forward to passing this bill soon. Mr. President, I also look forward this afternoon to confirming the nominee for general counsel of the Central Intelligence Agency. Director Pompeo has been there for some time now, having been nominated by President Trump and confirmed. He is an outstanding choice to be the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency. Like every organization, it seems these days, the CIA needs a good lawyer to lead the effort to ensure that it conducts itself precisely in accordance with the rule of law.

Ms. Elwood is extraordinarily qualified. She served during the administration of President George W. Bush as Counselor to the Attorney General, Deputy Counsel to the Vice President, and Associate Counsel to the President. I am confident that she will serve as a sharp, independent mind to the CIA. I hope we will confirm Courtney Elwood soon, and I look forward to her full HEALTHCARE LEGISLATION

Finally, Mr. President, as we redouble our work on the failed ObamaCare law and seek to replace it with market-driven solutions so people can actually choose the insurance they can afford at a price they can afford, I want to briefly remind my colleagues why we are fixing it. I alluded to that at the beginning, and I will close with a few more reminders.

Just last week it was reported that only three insurance companies that offered plans on the ObamaCare exchanges will return to the Houston
area in 2018. In 2016, just last year, there was more than twice that number. So we see that the pool of available choices for Americans on the exchanges has shrunk and continues to shrink in places such as Iowa, where it has gone away entirely and where there is no insurance company willing to sell insurance on the ObamaCare exchanges. Houston, after all, is the nation’s fourth most populous city. So if you see that sort of trend there, it can and will happen everywhere.

ObamaCare continues to fail the American people by not delivering on its promises. I have said before that in my previous life I was attorney general of the State of Texas. One of the most important jobs the attorney general’s office does is consumer protection, protecting consumers from fraudsters and those who would try to deceive them and cheat them out of their hard-earned money. I have said, because I believe it to be true, that ObamaCare represents one of the largest cases of consumer fraud I have ever seen. When President Obama made the extravagant promises he made and yet we have the evidence of its failure, it is clear that the American people were misled when it came to ObamaCare.

Many people aren’t getting the access to healthcare they thought, and those who are using ObamaCare exchanges are finding it increasingly expensive. For instance, earlier, I indicated that earlier, have gone up 105 percent in 39 States with ObamaCare exchanges, since 2013 alone. Then, with the deductible, most people find that their out-of-pocket costs before the insurance actually kicks in keeps going up and up and up, to the extent that many people essentially find themselves without the benefit of the insurance they are paying so much for because the deductible is so high. We know the insurers on the exchanges just keep passing the cost on to the consumer with rate increases up almost 50 percent in many cases. That is just in the Houston area, which I am talking about. Obviously, the 105 percent in 2016 is a nationwide number. We know that nationwide, as well, only one in three counties has only one insurer on the ObamaCare exchange as of 2017. This is just simply unsustainable, and it is irresponsible.

That is why my colleagues and I are committed to doing something about it. Our Democratic leadership was in here claiming that the instability in the market and the fact that premiums are so high and insurance companies are leaving are as a result of the instability created by political uncertainty. Well, that is clearly not the case. ObamaCare has been with us since 2016, and it has been a terrible failure for the people who buy their insurance on the individual markets. That is why we are committed to doing everything we can to replacing it with policies that actually work to help people get the type of coverage they want at a price they can afford.

I yield the floor. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President, today the Senate will vote on the nomination of Courtney Elwood to be CIA general counsel. This is an important job that got even more important in the past week. As I will explain, this position may play a crucial role in determining whether history is erased or preserved for generations of Americans to come.

As Senators know, last week the current chair of the Senate Intelligence Committee demanded that several key government agencies get rid of their copies of the torture report prepared by Senator FEINSTEIN and her colleagues. I am going to take a few minutes to describe what this has to do with Courtney Elwood.

In short, it starts with the CIA’s history of torture. which was carefully documented and sourced by the Intelligence Committee under Senator FEINSTEIN’s leadership. This is the issue that is being debated—the CIA’s history of torture. That is why it is critically important that the CIA get back its own report. As Senator Elwood is confirmed, the decision on whether it is going to be available for her to read.

Here is why: The CIA Director, Mr. Pompeo, who said at his confirmation hearing that he would read the report, has gotten rid of the CIA’s copy. He did so despite the fact that the current chair of the Intelligence Committee had no authority to demand that of him. Mr. Pompeo got rid of the report despite a personal promise to read it, and he did this even though it may have violated the law. It certainly violated a fundamental principle important to the American people that in this country, we don’t erase history.

Now, this can be fixed. The CIA can get the report back. It can do what Senator FEINSTEIN told the government to do back in 2014, which is to distribute this report, read it, and learn from it. Will Director Pompeo get the report back? There is no reason to think so. But if there is one thing Director Pompeo said again and again in his remarks during the confirmation process, it is that he told the Senate Intelligence Committee repeatedly that he is going to rely on the advice of his general counsel.

That is exactly where Courtney Elwood comes in. What will her advice be to Director Pompeo? What will she advise him about whether to allow this attempt to erase history to stand or to get fixed rather than to get erased? What is at issue here is one of the most disturbing and undemocratic events ever to take place in the U.S. Senate. The current chair of the Senate Intelligence Committee has told the executive branch to get rid of the report, and at least some of the agencies have sent their only copies to the committee. I am going to be clear: The current chair does not have the authority to do this.

First, in December of 2014, the full, final, classified report was filed as a Senate report. It is therefore not a committee document. Second, no one can retroactively change the status of a historical Senate report. The report was finalized, filed, and transmitted to the executive branch during the 113th Congress. Only in the 114th Congress did the current chair assume the chairmanship and begin to assert control over the report.

Think about the implications here. How can this body allow Members of Congress who don’t like what a previous Congress has done to unilaterally try to erase history? How many other congressional reports would be at risk? There are other reports that have not yet been fully declassified. Should the Senate worry about whether or not they will be protected? Should Americans be concerned that the country’s historical records are going to be erased before the public ever sees them?

My view is that this effort by the current chair of the committee is an
assault on one of the fundamental values of our democracy. In this country, we don’t eradicate the historical record just because we find it uncomfortable. There is a reason insecure dictators do it, and there is a reason this kind of thing has never happened here. It is because our democracy has always looked to our own history and all our flaws as we seek to build a better Nation.

We are better than this. I urge my colleagues to defend these principles. I urge them to vote against this nomination.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Arkansas.

Mr. COTTON. Mr. President, I wish to add my support this morning for Courtney Elwood as the next general counsel of the CIA—not that she really needs it. In her many years of public service and private practice, Courtney has earned the esteem of her colleagues across the Administration. David Kris, an Obama appointee, calls her “a first-class lawyer.” Ben Powell, a Bush appointee, calls her “one of the finest lawyers of her generation.” Caroline Krass, another Justice appointee, calls her “an excellent choice.” And Wan Kim, another Bush appointee, says she is “careful, brilliant, and highly accomplished.”

In other words, you don’t need me to tell you Courtney Elwood is a first-rate attorney. In fact, you don’t need anyone to tell you that because her accomplishments speak for themselves. She graduated from Yale Law School in 1984 and went on to clerk for both Judge Mike Luttig on the Fourth Circuit and then-Chief Justice William Rehnquist at the Supreme Court. After spending some time in private practice, she worked for 6 years in the George W. Bush administration, rising from associate counsel to the President, to deputy counsel to the Vice President, to Deputy Chief of Staff and Counselor to the Attorney General.

We are not talking about a rookie lawyer who is inexperienced in the ways of Washington or in the corridors of power. Her commitment to the law is unquestioned and unquestionable. She is just the person we need for this position.

The general counsel of the CIA will help protect and navigate the many twists and turns of the thorny legal terrain as our intelligence community defends our country against a wide range of threats: terrorism, cyber warfare, and good, old-fashioned espionage. We need people of the highest caliber serving at our national security agencies, and there is broad agreement that Courtney Elwood fits the bill.

I am happy to support her nomination, and I thank her and her family for answering the call to serve once again.

(The remarks of Mr. COTTON pertaining to the introduction of S. 1297 are printed in today’s RECORD under “Statements on Introduced Bills and Joint Resolutions.”)

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. Mr. President, in the critical debate about the balance between national security and rights to privacy, the truth must be paramount. Time and again, Trump has misled the American public about national security matters, including torture, surveillance, and intelligence. Trump has claimed that “torture works” and that “we should go much stronger than waterboarding.” Despite widespread evidence that enhanced interrogation techniques are not effective in acquiring intelligence or gaining cooperation from detainees. Without any evidence, President Trump alleged that President Obama illegally wiretapped the phones of Trump Towers. Former FBI Director James Comey soundly rejected this conspiracy theory, a statement that likely played a role in his firing. President Trump repeatedly dismissed intelligence that showed Russian interference in our 2016 elections and derided our intelligence community for its assessments. His rejection of truth, to stoke fear and resentment in the American public, is unethical and dangerous. It is a threat to American freedom.

In this extraordinary environment, the CIA’s leadership must not only provide objective and sound intelligence assessments to the President, it must faithfully ensure that the President is fully knowledgeable of the CIA’s General Counsel is particularly critical at this moment, when our sitting President has openly denounced or displayed alarming ignorance of existing laws on intelligence matters. As the CIA General Counsel’s guidance is provided entirely in secret, with no public transparency, it is imperative that the American public have as clear an understanding as possible of the nominee’s prior record of legal interpretation.

On this point, Courtney Elwood’s history under President George W. Bush is troubling. At the Department of Justice, Ms. Elwood was involved in discussions regarding the legal justification for the “warrantless wiretapping program,” in which the Bush administration collected telephone and email communications of U.S. persons on U.S. soil without a court order. The Bush administration, in memos that are now declassified, asserted that the President has inherent constitutional power to monitor Americans’ communications without a warrant in a time of war. Given the perennial nature of America’s war footing, this argument affirms the President a virtually unlimited authority to surveil Americans. When asked for her views on this legal justification in testimony before the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Ms. Elwood asserted that the program was “carefully reasoned” and that the program to Justice Department was “thorough in its analysis.”

Ms. Elwood’s record on torture is also cause for concern. While I was pleased with Ms. Elwood’s testimony that the reinstatement of torture would be illegal under existing law, I am concerned with her prior work on cases involving the detention of enemy combatants, military commissions, and the constitutionality of national security programs under President Bush. For these reasons, I cannot support her nomination.

Mr. COTTON. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. CASSIDY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.
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Mr. CASSIDY. Mr. President, I am here to speak about a topic which, wherever I go, people speak about—the replacement of the Affordable Care Act or ObamaCare, as people call it. Clearly, we need action.

I had a Facebook post maybe a week or two ago from Brian in Covington, LA. He said: My family plan is $1,700. Without objection, I am going to say how his family cannot afford that $1,700.

Rates are going up, which I have said time and time again. My friend back in Baton Rouge, he and his wife are 60, 61. They were at $39,000 for their insurance. President Trump totally cut premiums—taking care of 59 percent for those individual plans.

I am a physician. I learned a couple of things in my 20 or 30 years of practice. One, to lower costs, the patient must have the power; and, two, the insurance must be affordable; and, three, that the insurance they receive must be adequate. President Trump totally got this. On the campaign trail, President Trump said time and again—that I call his contract with the voter. He was telling folks he would negotiate with lower premiums, care for those with preexisting conditions, and eliminating mandates. I think President Trump just knew it. I shorthand this, if you will, saying, if we focus on lowering premiums and making sure it passes the Jimmy Kimmel test. The late-night comedian, when his child was born with a terrible heart problem, immediately got the care that child needed. So if we can have insurance that passes the Jimmy Kimmel test—rates as much as 59 percent for those individual plans.
design insurance plans, to make sure we come up with something.

There is something else the President said that I want to focus on. This is just before he took the oath of office. He said people covered under the law—meaning the Republican replacement—can expect to have great healthcare. “It will be much simplified.” One of the complaints about the Affordable Care Act is it is so complicated. Even online, 16 pages online, with your W-2, if you don’t get it, you get bailed out.

The President said we must have a much simpler way of going about this—much less expensive and much better.

What could this look like? Let me propose some conservative solutions that could be in a Republican plan that would achieve the President’s goal.

First, the patient has to have the power. In my 30 years of practice, I found that if the patient has the power, the system lines up to serve her. One example is price transparency. If we can put in that a provider has to publish the provider’s price, so the patient getting the blood test knows the cost of the blood test at that moment and can compare it to someone down the street, it is a lower cost.

One example just came up in a newspaper out of New Orleans. Nola.com is their website. A woman went in and got blood tests. She received a bill weeks later and her bill was for $234. She should have gotten the same blood test for $34 right down the street. A woman from Texas came up. She said she heard me speak of price transparency—the power of negotiating. If you will. The doctor ordered an MRI on her son’s shoulder. She called up the different places where she could have it done and she got a price of $667. On my Facebook page is a little video of her speaking: I got it for $667. Then I remembered what you said: If you pay cash, you get a discount. I called up and said, if I pay cash, will you give me a lower price? They said: Pay us cash, we will cut that $667 to $400. The patient had the power. So she ended up paying far less for the procedure the doctor ordered. That is one conservative solution, give the patient the power.

Secondly, let States innovate. We need to take all of this power that ObamaCare brought to Washington, DC, and push it back out to the States. If we are going to accomplish something. Let’s just acknowledge that there are 700,000 people or so in Alaska. If you took a map of the State of Alaska and put it over the lower 48, it would stretch from roughly Georgia up to the Pacific Ocean. Washington, DC, has almost the same population as Alaska, and you can walk across the city in a morning. Clearly, you need different solutions for an area you can walk across and an area you cannot fly across. In the same time that you can walk across Washington, DC, we have to return power back to the patients. We have to engage doctors and patients so those patients with complex conditions get their healthcare managed. I use the example of a diabetic. She perhaps developed childhood diabetes, and now she is 35 years old. If a doctor is managing her condition, she works, stays at home, her complications are minimal, and her condition is well managed. If her condition is not managed, she comes to the emergency room three times a month with diabetes out of control. That just shoots a hole in the bucket of fiscal responsibility and also in her health. In this sort of case, probably, she is coming to the hospital, getting care through the emergency room, which she cannot afford to pay for, and that cost is shifted to everyone else. That is not the way to manage that. We need to engage doctors with patients.

Another conservative solution is we need more competition between insurers so there is not just one insurance company in the market that can therefore set prices but rather we have multiple. So if a patient has the power by giving the patient a tax credit it that she can use to purchase the insurance she wishes to have, that will create competition as more insurers enter the market. If we have that competition—those market forces—prices come down.

When the President said we have to make things simpler, I think that also includes how we enroll people in insurance. We figured that out on Medicare. Under Medicare, those who are 65, they are on Medicare. It could not be simpler. They get a letter. They are on Medicare. If they don’t want to, they can call: Hey, I don’t want to be on Medicare. But as a rule, they are on Medicare.

Fortune 500 companies have figured out the same thing. In order to enroll people into retirement plans they say: Listen, you are in the 40K plan unless you choose not to be. That makes it simple and enrollment in retirement plans. Now, you could say: Hey, listen, you have to fill out a bunch of forms. If you don’t fill out these forms, you are not going to be enrolled. But that would not work for Medicare. It would not work for 401(k). It has not worked under ObamaCare.

We need to take those same sort of solutions we have found for both Medicare and enrolling people in their retirement and do it for the Republican alternative.

The Republican alternative would say: We make it easy to enroll. You are in unless you are out. So if you are eligible for a tax credit, you would receive it. You could then have the insurance. If you were passive about it, you would have a default policy. But if you are active, you could do more with it. But by doing so, you actually increase the number of people insured.

Now, when you increase the pool of those working, keeping premiums. We had Blue Cross look at our proposal to make it easy to enroll: You are in unless you are out. That would lower premiums by 20 percent, just by expanding the number of those who are insured—20 percent.

So when President Trump says he wants to continue coverage, caring for those with preexisting conditions without mandates and lowering premiums, doing this feature where you are in unless you call us and tell us you don’t want to be and making it simple achieves all four goals.

If we do that, we are going to accomplish something. Let’s just acknowledge that all of this power is taken to Washington, DC, and push it back out to the States. They don’t want to give patients the options. They don’t want to give States the options. They want States to come up with the solutions that work for them. That is the conservative way to go.

But I will say, in speaking with conservatives, that I was surprised to invite our Democratic colleagues to come to the table. There are some of my Democratic colleagues who have said they just want Republicans to work through this, thinking that it might be a political train wreck that the won’t work to their advantage. But in those States there are Americans whose premiums are becoming unaffordable.

I mentioned earlier that in Connecticut premiums are rising 15 and 34 percent this year. Ohio is as high as 22 percent, and Maryland is as high as 60 percent.

Now, who cares if the person is a Democrat or a Republican? If her premiums are increasing, she cannot afford it. So I challenge my Democratic colleagues to get off the sidelines and engage. Try to do something not for political purposes but for the purpose of that person who is at home struggling to pay the bills and deciding that she can no longer afford insurance, but, perhaps unknown to her, she has a cancer breeding inside her. Just when she decides she can no longer afford coverage because premiums have risen, that is when her cancer is discovered.

We have to address this. It will take us on either side of the aisle—both Democrats and Republicans—to work together. I will finish with a quote from a fellow libertarian, on my Facebook page. He said that his family plan is $1,700 a month, for him, his wife, and his two children. The ACA, the Affordable Care Act, or ObamaCare, has brought him to his knees. I hope we can get something done. The middle class is dwindling away. Can everyone just come together and figure this out?
This is a cry for help. It is a challenge to Republicans and Democrats to come up with a plan that is not a red plan or a blue plan but an American plan to address his needs, his wife's needs, and those similar to him across the country.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Louisiana.

HONORING THE GHOST ARMY

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, thank you and Senator Cassidy. We just came back from all know, from the Memorial Day recess. I wanted today not to only reflect on that but also to reflect on our anniversary of D-day and the day that our Allies invaded France in 1944. In doing so today, I would like to speak and pay tribute to all of those—including, but not limited to, Americans, but especially Americans—who risked their lives to defend our freedom.

In particular, I come today to recognize a special group of dedicated soldiers. You probably have not heard of them, but they are referred to as the Ghost Army—the Ghost Army. This is a unit in World War II. It was comprised of the 23rd Headquarters Special Troops and the 3133rd Signal Service Company. The personnel of this U.S. Army unit were handpicked. They were handpicked for their artistic and creative characteristics, and you will see why in just a moment.

They handled top secret information, and they were among some of America's most promising artists, engineers, and signals professionals. The mission of the Ghost Army was very simple: Fool Adolph Hitler—fool Adolph Hitler by using what was called tactical deception. The Ghost Army's deceptive creation of fake battles, inflatable tanks, theatrical props, and other inventive equipment falsified troop movements, and had our enemies chasing ghosts—hence the name the Ghost Army.

Beginning in Normandy 2 weeks after D-day, in the Rhine River Valley, the Ghost Army staged over 20 fake battles—fake battlefield deceptions. The German Army did not know whether they were coming or going, thanks to the Ghost Army. These performances, of course, were illusions. They were called illusions by the soldiers. They occurred in the most dangerous spot in the war, on the frontline of battle.

Now, without the Ghost Army's dedication and fearless perseverance, Allied successes at the Battle of the Bulge and the final battles in Po Valley, Italy, would not have been possible. The 23rd unit was composed of only 1,184 men. They fought tirelessly. They used ingenious, innovative methods to mislead the enemy, ultimately leading the Allies to many victories in Europe. Because of their bravery, because of the bravery of the 1,184 men in the Ghost Army, up to 30,000 American soldiers and 10,000 German soldiers were able to return home alive.

So why are we waiting until today to honor these 1,184 brave Americans? Because until recently the Ghost Army's mission was classified. It was top secret. Nobody except the members of the Ghost Army knew anything about it. This has finally changed. That is one reason I am here today. I am proud to be a cosponsor of the Ghost Army soldier bill, a bipartisan effort led by Senators Markey, Collins, and King. This long overdue legislation will award a Congressional Gold Medal to the 23rd Headquarters Special Troops and the 3133rd Signal Service Company.

It is a privilege to share that, in my home State of Louisiana, the Ghost Army is being recognized at the New Orleans Museum of Art. Soldier's art is on display depicting many watercolor portraits, as well as graphite portraits, of civilians, soldiers, and refugees during World War II. It is a legacy that our great State now gets to honor.

Specifically, I want to recognize six brave men from Louisiana, my State, who were members of the Ghost Army. Hilton Howell Railey of New Orleans is a prominent journalist and the author of "Touch'd with Madness." He recruited and deployed the 23rd. Mr. Railey trained and deployed the 3133rd Signal Service Company, which served in Italy.

There is Jim Stegg of New Orleans, a longtime faculty member at Tulane. He was an artist; in fact, there is a retrospective of his work at the New Orleans Museum of Art's Ghost Army exhibit.

Also, there is Mr. Murphy F. Martin, of St. Martinville, LA; Mr. Thomas L. Raggio, of Lake Charles, LA; Mr. Roy L. Ravia, of Calcasieu Parish, in my State; Mr. Alvin J. Picard, of Vermilion Parish, and last but certainly not least, Mr. Anderson B. Wilson, of Slidell, LA.

Unfortunately, Mr. Wilson is the only Ghost Army soldier still alive in Louisiana. I had the rare privilege and the honor of speaking with Mr. Wilson this morning. In December of 1943, President Roosevelt authorized the Ghost Army unit. Only 2 weeks later, in January 1944, Mr. Wilson was on his way to Camp Mack Morris, TN, to join the Ghost Army. Mr. Wilson's story only gives a snapshot of the sacrifices and honorable work these men of the Ghost Army gave to the Allies and country. And I, for one—and I know all Americans join me—thank them for their service and for the freedoms they protected.

I am proud of this Ghost Army legislation, and I hope to see it move forward and pass so that these fine Americans can receive the recognition they have long deserved.

God bless the members of the Ghost Army. And if you are listening, Mr. Wilson, God bless you.

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR—Continued

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the Elwood nomination?

Mr. WICKER. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant bill clerk called the roll.

The result was announced—yeas 67, nays 33, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 139 Ex.]

YEAS—67

Alexander
Barrasso
Bennet
Blunt
Brown
Burr
Capito
Casey
Cassidy
Cochran
Collins
Cornyn
Cortez Masto
Cotton
Crapo
Cruz
Daines
Donnelly
Duckworth
Esin
Fiester
Fishman
Flake
Gardner
Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

insert in lieu thereof the following:
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read as follows:

Thereupon, the Senate proceeded to
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Thereupon, the Senate proceeded to

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE, TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Department of Veterans Affairs Accountability and Whistleblower Protection Act of 2017".

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

TITLe I—OFFICE OF ACCOUNTABILITY AND WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION


Sec. 102. Protection of whistleblowers in Department of Veterans Affairs.

Sec. 103. Report on methods used to investigate employees of Department of Veterans Affairs.

TITLe II—ACCOUNTABILITY OF SENIOR EXECUTIVES, SUPERVISORS, AND OTHER EMPLOYEES

Sec. 201. Improved authorities of Secretary of Veterans Affairs to improve accountability of senior executives.

Sec. 202. Improved authorities of Secretary of Veterans Affairs to improve accountability of employees.

Sec. 203. Reduction of benefits for Department of Veterans Affairs employees convicted of certain crimes.

Sec. 204. Authority to recoup bonuses or awards paid to employees of Department of Veterans Affairs.

Sec. 205. Authority to recoup relocation expenses paid to or on behalf of employees of Department of Veterans Affairs.

Sec. 206. Time period for response to notice of adverse actions against supervisory employees who commit prohibited personnel actions.

Sec. 207. Direct hiring authority for medical center directors and VISN directors.

Sec. 208. Time periods for review of adverse actions with respect to certain employees.

Sec. 209. Improvement of training for supervisors.

Sec. 210. Assessment and report on effect on senior executives at Department of Veterans Affairs.

Sec. 211. Measurement of Department of Veterans Affairs disciplinary process and accountability.

Sec. 212. Office of Accountability and Whistleblower Protection.

TITLe 10C—ESTABLISHMENT OF OFFICE OF ACCOUNTABILITY AND WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established in the Department an office to be known as the ‘Office of Accountability and Whistleblower Protection’ (in this section referred to as the ‘Office’).

(b) HEAD OF OFFICE.—(1) The head of the Office shall be responsible for the functions of the Office and shall be appointed by the President pursuant to section 308(a) of this title.

(2) The head of the Office shall be known as the ‘Assistant Secretary for Accountability and Whistleblower Protection’.

(3) The Assistant Secretary shall report directly to the Secretary on all matters relating to the Office.

(4) Notwithstanding section 308(b) of this title, the Secretary may only assign to the Assistant Secretary responsibilities relating to the functions of the Office set forth in subsection (c).

(c) FUNCTIONS.—(1) The functions of the Office are as follows:

(A) Advising the Secretary on all matters of the Department relating to accountability, including accountability of employees of the Department, retaliation against whistleblowers, and such matters as the Secretary considers similar and affect public trust in the Department.

(B) Issuing reports and providing recommendations related to the duties described in subparagraph (A).

(C) Receiving whistleblower disclosures.

(D) Referring whistleblower disclosures received under subparagraph (C) to the Secretary for disciplinary action as the Assistant Secretary deems appropriate.

(E) Investigating whistleblower disclosures.

(F) Recording, tracking, reviewing, and confirming implementation of recommendations from audits and investigations carried out by the Inspector General of the Department, the Office of the Medical Inspector, the Special Counsel, and the Comptroller General of the United States, including the imposition of disciplinary actions and other corrective actions contained in such recommendations.

(G) Analyzing data from the Office and the Inspector General telephone hotlines, other whistleblower disclosures, and investigation reports to the Secretary on the effectiveness of the process.

(H) Receiving, reviewing, and investigating allegations of misconduct, retaliation, or poor performance involving—

(1) an individual in a senior executive position (as defined in section 732(a)(1) of this title) in the Department;

(2) an individual employed in a confidential, policy-making, policy-determining, or policy-advocating position in the Department; or

(3) a supervisory employee, if the recommendation involves retaliation against an employee for making a whistleblower disclosure.

(I) Making such recommendations to the Secretary for disciplinary action as the Assistant Secretary considers appropriate after substantiating any allegation of misconduct or poor performance pursuant to an investigation carried out as described in subparagraph (F) or (H).

(2) In carrying out the functions of the Office, the Assistant Secretary shall ensure that the Office maintains a toll-free telephone number and Internet website to receive anonymous whistleblower disclosures.

(3) In any case in which the Assistant Secretary receives a whistleblower disclosure from an employee of the Department under paragraph (1), the Assistant Secretary may not disclose the identity of the employee without the consent of the employee, except in accordance with the provisions of section 552a of title 5, or as required by any other applicable provision of Federal law.

(4) STAFF AND RESOURCES.—The Secretary shall ensure that the Assistant Secretary has such office, staff resources, and information technology infrastructure as may be necessary to carry out the functions of the Office.

(5) RELATION TO OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL.—The Office shall be an element of the Office of the General Counsel and the Assistant Secretary may not report to the General Counsel.

(6) REPORTS.—(A) Not later than June 30 of each calendar year, beginning with June 30, 2017, the Assistant Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the House of Representatives a report on the activities of the Office during the calendar year in which the report is submitted.

(B) Each report submitted under subparagraph (A) shall include, for the period covered by the report, the following:

(i) A full and substantive analysis of the activities of the Office, including such statistical information as the Assistant Secretary considers appropriate.

(ii) Identification of any issues reported to the Secretary that have significant ramifications for the Department or the activities of the Office.

(iii) Identification of such concerns as the Assistant Secretary may regard as having the potential for legislative or administrative action to address such concerns.
“(iv) Such recommendations as the Assistant Secretary may have for legislative or administrative action to improve—

(1) the process by which concerns are reported to the Office;

(2) the protection of whistleblowers within the Department;

(3) other matters as the Assistant Secretary deems appropriate; and

(4) the functions of the Office or other matters relating to the Office.

(2) If the Secretary receives a recommendation from disciplinary action under subsection (c)(1)(I) and does not take or initiate the recom-mended disciplinary action before the date that is 60 days after the date on which the Secretary receives the recommendation, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the House of Representa-tives a detailed justification for not taking or initiating such disciplinary action.

(2) The term ‘whistleblower’ means one who makes a whistleblower disclosure.

(2) The term ‘whistleblower disclosure’ means any disclosure of information by an employee of the Department or individual applying to become an employee of the Department which the employee or individual reasonably believes evidences—

(A) a violation of a provision of law; or

(b) gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety.’’.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 308(b) of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

(12) The functions set forth in section 323(c) of such title.

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 3 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

‘‘323. Office of Accountability and Whistleblower Protection.’’

SEC. 102. PROTECTION OF WHISTLEBLOWERS IN DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

(a) In General.—Subchapter II of chapter 7 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by—

(1) striking sections 731, 732, 734, 735, and 736; and

(b) by redesigning section 733 as section 731; and

(c) by adding at the end the following new sections:

§732. Protection of whistleblowers as criteria in evaluation of supervisors

(a) DEVELOPMENT AND USE OF CRITERIA REQUIRED.—The Secretary, in consultation with the Assistant Secretary of Accountability and Whistleblower Protection, shall develop criteria that—

(1) the Secretary shall use as a critical element in the evaluation of the performance of a supervisory employee; and

(2) promotes the protection of whistleblowers.

(b) PRINCIPLES FOR PROTECTION OF WHISTLEBLOWERS.—The criteria required by subsection (a) shall include principles for the protection of whistleblowers, such as the degree to which supervisory employees respond constructively when employees of the Department report concerns, take reasonable action to resolve such concerns, and foster an environment in which employees of the Department feel comfortable reporting concerns to supervisory employees or to the appropriate authorities.

(c) SUPERVISORY EMPLOYEE AND WHISTLEBLOWER DEFINED.—In this section, the terms ‘supervisory employee’ and ‘whistleblower’ have the meanings given such terms in section 323 of this title.

§733. Training regarding whistleblower disclosures

(a) TRAINING.—Not less frequently than once every two years, the Secretary, in coordination with the Whistleblower Protection Ombudsmen designated under section 733(d)(1)(C) of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.), shall provide to each employee of the Department training regarding whistleblower disclosures, including—

(1) an explanation of each method established by law in which an employee may file a whistleblower disclosure;

(2) the right of the employee to petition Congress regarding a whistleblower disclosure in accordance with section 7211 of title 5;

(3) an explanation that the employee may not be prosecuted or reprimanded for disclosing information to Congress, the Inspector General, or another investigatory agency in instances where such disclosure is permitted by law, including under sections 5701, 5705, and 7732 of this title, under section 552a of title 5 (commonly referred to as the Privacy Act), under chapter 93 of title 18, and pursuant to regulations promulgated under section 264(c) of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–191);

(4) an explanation that the disclosure is required to be included in any nondisclosure policies, forms, and agreements pursuant to section 112(a)(1) of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2012 (5 U.S.C. 2302 note); and

(b) MANNER TRAINING IS PROVIDED.—The Secretary shall ensure, to the maximum extent practicable, that training provided under subsection (a) is provided in person.

(c) CERTIFICATION.—Not less frequently than once every two years, the Secretary shall provide training on merit system protection in a manner that the Special Counsel certifies as being satisfactory.

(d) PUBLICATION.—The Secretary shall publish on the Federal Register of the Department and display prominently at each facility of the Department, the rights of an employee to make a whistleblower disclosure, including the information referred to in paragraphs (1) through (5) of subsection (a).

§734. Whistleblower disclosure defined

(a) WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘whistleblower disclosure’ has the meaning given such term in section 323 of this title.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 3 of such title is amended by—

(1) by striking the items relating to sections 731, 732, 734, 735, and 736; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

(II) “§ 733. Training regarding whistleblower disclosures

(a) TRAINING.—Not less frequently than once every two years, the Secretary, in coordination with the Whistleblower Protection Ombudsmen designated under section 733(d)(1)(C) of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.), shall provide to each employee of the Department training regarding whistleblower disclosures, including—

(1) an explanation of each method established by law in which an employee may file a whistleblower disclosure;

(2) the right of the employee to petition Congress regarding a whistleblower disclosure in accordance with section 7211 of title 5;

(3) an explanation that the employee may not be prosecuted or reprimanded for disclosing information to Congress, the Inspector General, or another investigatory agency in instances where such disclosure is permitted by law, including under sections 5701, 5705, and 7732 of this title, under section 552a of title 5 (commonly referred to as the Privacy Act), under chapter 93 of title 18, and pursuant to regulations promulgated under section 264(c) of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–191);

(4) an explanation that the disclosure is required to be included in any nondisclosure policies, forms, and agreements pursuant to section 112(a)(1) of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2012 (5 U.S.C. 2302 note); and

(5) the right of contractors to be protected from reprisal for the disclosure of certain information under section 111 of title 41.

(b) MANNER TRAINING IS PROVIDED.—The Secretary shall ensure, to the maximum extent practicable, that training provided under subsection (a) is provided in person.

(c) CERTIFICATION.—Not less frequently than once every two years, the Secretary shall provide training on merit system protection in a manner that the Special Counsel certifies as being satisfactory.

(d) PUBLICATION.—The Secretary shall publish on the Federal Register of the Department and display prominently at each facility of the Department, the rights of an employee to make a whistleblower disclosure, including the information referred to in paragraphs (1) through (5) of subsection (a).

(e) WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURE DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘whistleblower disclosure’ has the meaning given such term in section 323 of this title.

§7103. Senior executives: removal, demotion, or suspension based on performance or misconduct

(a) AUTHORITY.—(1) The Secretary may, as provided in this section, reprimand or suspend, involuntary reassign, demote, or remove an individual from a senior executive position at the Department if the Secretary determines that the misconduct or performance of the covered individual warrants such action.

(b) RIGHTS AND PROCEDURES.—(1) A covered individual who is the subject of an action under subsection (a) is entitled to—

(1) advance notice of the action;

(2) be represented by an attorney or other representative of the covered individual’s choice; and

(3) receive the action in accordance with an internal grievance process that the Secretary, in consultation with the Assistant Secretary for Accountability and Whistleblower Protection, shall establish for purposes of this subsection.

(2)(A) The aggregate period for notice, response, and decision on an action under subsection (a) shall begin not later than 450 days after the beginning of the first fiscal year in which such action could be taken.

(B) The period for the decision on the action against an individual under the preceding paragraph shall begin not later than 450 days after the beginning of the first fiscal year in which such action could be taken.
later than 15 business days after notice of the action is provided to the covered individual under paragraph (1)(A). The decision shall be in writing, and shall include the specific reasons therefor.

“(3) The Secretary shall ensure that the grievance process established under paragraph (1)(C) takes fewer than 21 days.

“(4) A decision under paragraph (2) that is not grievable, and a grievance decision under paragraph (3), shall be final and conclusive.

“(5) The Secretary shall issue a final decision under this subsection within 20 business days of the date on which the appeal is filed.

“(6) If any appeal in which judicial review is sought under paragraph (5), the court shall review the record and may set aside any Department action found to be—

“(A) arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with a provision of law.

“(B) obtained without procedures required by a provision of law having been followed; or

“(C) unsupported by substantial evidence.

“(f) RELATION TO OTHER PROVISIONS OF LAW.—Section 3592(b)(1) of title 5 and the procedures under section 7543(b) of such title do not apply to an action under subsection (a).

“(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term 'covered individual' means—

“(A) a career appointee (as that term is defined in section 3132(a)(4) of title 5), or

“(B) who occupies an administrative or executive position and who was appointed under section 7305(a), section 7401(b), or section 7401(b)(1) of this title, an administrative or executive position.

“(h) The term 'misconduct' includes neglect of duty, malfeasance, or failure to accept a directed reassignment or to accomplish a position in a transfer of function.

“(i) The term 'senior executive position' means—

“(1) with respect to a career appointee (as that term is defined in section 3132(a)(5) of title 5), a Senior Executive Service position (as such term is defined in such section); and

“(2) with respect to a covered individual appointed under section 7306(a) or section 7401(b)(1) of this title, an administrative or executive position.

“CONforming Amendment.—Section 7610(c)(1) of such title is amended by inserting ‘employees in senior executive positions (as defined in section 7313(d)(3) of this title)’ before the period ‘from time to time’.

“(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 7 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 713 and inserting the following new item: ‘713. Senior executive removal, demotion, or suspension based on performance or misconduct.’.”

SEC. 202. IMPROVED AUTHORITIES OF SEC- RETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS TO IMPROVE ACCOUNTABILITY OF EM- PLOYEES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter I of chapter 7 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 713 the following new section: "$714. Employee: removal, demotion, or suspen- sion based on performance or mis- conduct

“(a) In General.—(1) The Secretary may re- move, demote, or suspend a covered individual who is an employee of the Department if the Secretary deter- mines that the performance or mis- conduct of the covered individual warrants such removal, demotion, or suspension.

“(2) The Secretary so removes, demotes, or suspends such a covered individual, the Sec- retary may—

“(A) remove the covered individual from the civil service in accordance with section 2102 of title 5; or

“(B) demote the covered individual by means of a reduction in grade for which the covered individual is qualified, that the Secretary deter- mines is appropriate, and that reduces the annu- al rate of pay of the covered individual; or

“(C) suspend the covered individual.

“(b) PAY OF CERTAIN DEMOTED INDIVI- DUALS.—(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any covered individual subject to a de- position under subsection (a) if the decision is supported by substantial evidence.

“(2)(A) A covered individual so demoted may not be paid at a rate of pay less than the annual rate of pay applicable to such grade.

“(B) If an individual so demoted does not report for duty or receive due process to use such administrative law, such covered individual shall not receive pay or other benefits pursuant to subsection (d)(5).

“(c) PROCEDURE.—(1)(A) The aggregate period for notice, response, and final decision in a re- moval, demotion, or suspension under this sec- tion may not exceed 15 business days.

“(B) An appeal under subparagraph (A) of a decision under this subsection is expedited.

“(2) The procedures in this subsection shall supersede any collective bargaining agreement to the extent that such agreement is inconsistent with such procedures.

“(d) Whistleblower Protection.—(1) In the case of a covered individual seeking corrective action (or on behalf of whom corrective action is sought) from the Office of Special Counsel based on alleged prohibited personnel practice alleged by an employee or former em- ployee of the Department under this section, the employee shall be entitled to backpay (as provided in section 5506 of title 5). (2) If an employee who is subject to a collective bargaining agreement chooses to grieve an action taken under this section through a griev- ance procedure provided under the collective bargaining agreement, the timelines and proce- dures set forth in subsection (c) and this sub- section shall apply.

“(e) Whistleblower Protection.—(1) In the case of a covered individual seeking corrective action (or on behalf of whom corrective action is sought) from the Office of Special Counsel based on alleged prohibited personnel practice alleged by an employee or former em- ployee of the Department under this section, the employee shall be entitled to backpay (as provided in section 5506 of title 5).

“(2) In the case of a covered individual who has made a whistleblower disclosure to the As- sistant Secretary for Accountability and Whis- tleblower Protection, the Secretary may not re- move, demote, or suspend such covered individual under subsection (a) unless the Assistant Sec- retary determines to refer the whistleblower dis- closure under section 321(c)(1)(D) of this title to an office or other investigative entity, a final decision with respect to the whistleblower dis- closure has been made by such office or other investigative entity; or if in the case in which the Assistant Sec- retary determines not to refer the whistleblower disclosure under such section, the Assistant Secretary makes such determination.

“(f) NOTIFICATION TO OFFICE OF SPECIAL COUNSEL.—(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Special Counsel (established by section 1211 of title 5) may termi- nate, by written notification to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs of the House of Representa- tives a report that explains the reasons why a decision was not issued in ac- cordance with such provisions.

“(2) A decision of the Merit Systems Pro- tection Board under paragraph (3) may be ap- pealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit pursuant to section 7703 of title 5 or to any court of appeals of competent jurisdiction pursuant to subsection (b)(1)(B) of such section.

“(3) Any decision by such Court shall be in compliance with section 7426(c)(2) of this title.

“(4) The Merit Systems Protection Board may narticulate a agreement. The timelines and proce- dures set forth in subsection (c) and this sub- section shall apply.

“(f) Whistleblower Protection.—(1) In the case of a covered individual seeking corrective action (or on behalf of whom corrective action is sought) from the Office of Special Counsel based on alleged prohibited personnel practice alleged by an employee or former em- ployee of the Department under this section, the employee shall be entitled to backpay (as provided in section 5506 of title 5).

“(2) In the case of a covered individual who has made a whistleblower disclosure to the Assistant Secretary for Accountability and Whis- tleblower Protection, the Secretary may not re- move, demote, or suspend such covered individual under subsection (a) unless the Assistant Sec- retary determines to refer the whistleblower dis- closure under section 321(c)(1)(D) of this title to an office or other investigative entity, a final decision with respect to the whistleblower dis- closure has been made by such office or other investigative entity; or if in the case in which the Assistant Sec- retary determines not to refer the whistleblower disclosure under such section, the Assistant Secretary makes such determination.
Counsel provides to the employee or former employee a written statement of the reasons for the termination of the investigation.

(2) Such statement may not be admissible as evidence in any judicial or administrative proceeding without the consent of such employee or former employee.

(g) VACANCIES.—In the case of a covered individual who is dismissed or demoted under subsection (a), to the maximum extent feasible, the Secretary shall fill the vacancy arising as a result of such removal or demotion.

(2) REDUCTION OF BENEFITS FOR DEPARTMENTAL OMBUDSMAN.—In the case of a covered individual who is dismissed or demoted under this paragraph, the Secretary shall fill the vacancy arising as a result of such removal or demotion by employing an individual who has completed a probationary or trial period.

(2) The term ‘suspended’ means the placing of an employee, for disciplinary reasons, in a temporary status without duties and pay for a period in excess of 14 days.

(g) BURDEN OF PROOF.—In the case of an action based on performance or misconduct under section 713, 714, or 7461 of this title, the burden of proof shall not be taken into account for purposes of calculating an annuity with respect to such individual under chapter 83 or chapter 84 of title 5.

(2) A PROPOSED ORDER.—(A) In the case of a proposed order to which an individual responds under subparagraph (B)(ii), the Secretary may issue the proposed order—

(a) REDUCTION OF BENEFITS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter I of chapter 7 of title 5, as the case may be.

(2) A PROPOSED ORDER.—(A) In the case of a proposed order to which an individual responds under subparagraph (B)(ii), the Secretary may issue the proposed order—

(b) RECOVERY OF ANNUITIES.—

(A) EXTRADITION.—In the case of a covered individual, the Secretary shall fill the vacancy arising as a result of such removal or demotion.

(2) The term ‘covered’ means, with respect to an individual subject to a removal for performance or misconduct under section 719 or 7461 of this title or any other provision of law, the period of service beginning on the date that the Secretary determines that such individual engaged in activity that gave rise to such action and ending on the date that the individual leaves a position of employment at the Department prior to the issuance of a final decision with respect to such action.

(c) The term ‘covered service’ means, with respect to an individual subject to a removal for performance or misconduct under section 719 or 7461 of this title or any other provision of law, the period of service beginning on the date that the Secretary determines that such individual engaged in activity that gave rise to such action and ending on the date that the individual leaves a position of employment at the Department prior to the issuance of a final decision with respect to such action.
TIME PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF ADVERSE ACTIONS AGAINST SUPERVISING EMPLOYEES WHO COMMIT PROHIBITED PERSONNEL ACTIONS.

SEC. 209. IMPROVEMENT OF TRAINING FOR SUPERVISORS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall provide to each employee of the Department of Veterans Affairs who is employed as a supervisor training on the following:

(1) The rights of whistleblowers and how to address a report by an employee of a hostile work environment, reprisal, or harassment.

(2) How to effectively motivate, manage, and reward the employees who report to the supervisor.

(3) How to effectively manage employees who are performing at an unacceptable level and access assistance from the human resources office of the Department and the Office of the General Counsel of the Department with respect to those employees.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) SUPERVISOR.—The term “supervisor” has the meaning given such term in section 323(g) of title 38, United States Code.

(2) WHISTLEBLOWER.—The term “whistleblower” has the meaning given such term in section 332(a) of title 38, United States Code, as added by section 101.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 722 of title 38, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall apply with respect to an amount paid by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to or on behalf of an employee of the Department of Veterans Affairs for relocation expenses on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 206. TIME PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF ADVERSE ACTIONS AGAINST SUPERVISING EMPLOYEES WHO COMMIT PROHIBITED PERSONNEL ACTIONS.

Section 711(a)(2)(B) of title 38, United States Code, as redesignated by section 102(a)(2), is amended—

(1) in clause (1), by striking “14 days” and inserting “10 days”;

and

(2) in clause (2), by striking “14-day period” and inserting “10-day period”.

SEC. 207. DIRECT HIRING AUTHORITY FOR MEDICAL CENTER DIRECTORS AND VISION SUPERVISORS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7401 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(D) Directors of medical centers and directors of Veterans Integrated Service Networks with demonstrated ability in the medical profession, in health care administration, or in health care fiscal management.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 7404(a)(1) of such title is amended—

(1) by inserting “(A)” before “The annual”;

and

(2) in subparagraph (A), as designated by paragraph (1)—

(A) by inserting “and 7401(4)” after “7396”;

and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(A) The period for the response of an employee of the Department of Veterans Affairs who is employed as a supervisor to the provisions of this subparagraph on charges under this paragraph (1)(A) may not exceed 15 business days.”.

(c) OTHER ADVERSE ACTIONS.—Section 7463(c) of such title is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “the same notice” and inserting “an opportunity to answer with respect to those charges as provided in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of section 7462(b)(1) of this title,” and inserting “and an opportunity to answer with respect to those charges as provided in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of section 7462(b)(1) of this title, but within the time periods specified in paragraph (3)”;

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “(A)” in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by inserting “, within the aggregate time period specified in paragraph (3)(A),” after “is entitled”;

(B) in subparagraph (A), by striking “an advance written notice” and inserting “written notice”; and

(C) in subparagraph (B), by striking “a reasonable time” and inserting “time to answer”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3)(A) The aggregate period for the resolution of charges against an employee under paragraph (1) or (2) may not exceed 15 business days.”.

(b) The period for the response of an employee under paragraph (1) or (2) to written notice of charges under paragraph (1) or (2)(A), as applicable, shall be seven business days.

(c) The deciding official shall render a decision on charges under paragraph (1) or (2) not later than 15 business days after notice is provided on the charges for purposes of paragraph (1) or (2)(A), as applicable.”. 
(E) the mission-critical deficiencies filled by newly hired individuals in senior executive positions and the connection between mission-critical deficiencies filled under the provisions described in subparagraph (A) and annual performance of the Department;

(F) the satisfaction of applicants for senior executive positions at the Department with the hiring process, including the clarity of job announcements, reasons for withdrawal of applications, communication regarding status of applications and timelines for hiring decisions; and

(G) the satisfaction of newly hired individuals in senior executive positions at the Department with the hiring process and the process of joining and becoming oriented with the Department.

(2) ELEMENTS.—The assessment required by subparagraph (A) shall include the following:

(a) The information collected under subparagraph (A);

(b) The findings of the Secretary with respect to the measurement and assessment carried out under paragraph (1);

(c) The number of appeals from adverse actions filed against employees of the Department, the number of appeals upheld, and the reasons for which the appeals were upheld;

(d) The use of paid administrative leave during the disciplinary process and the length of such leave.

(b) REPORT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than December 31, 2017, the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the disciplinary procedures and actions of the Department.

(2) CONTENTS.—The report submitted under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) The information collected under subparagraph (a);

(B) The findings of the Secretary with respect to the measurement and collection carried out under subparagraph (A);

(C) An analysis of the disciplinary procedures and actions of the Department;

(D) Suggestions for improving the disciplinary procedures and actions of the Department.

(E) Such other matters as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(3) APPLICABILITY OF COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS.—

In this subsection, the term "appropriate committees of Congress" means—

(A) the Committee on Appropriations;

(B) the Committee on Veterans' Affairs; and

(C) the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the committee-reported substitute amendment to S. 1094 is agreed to.

Under the previous order, there will now be 3 hours of debate, equally divided in the usual form.

The Senator from Georgia.

Mr. ISAKSON. Mr. President, I am pleased to rise today on the 73rd anniversary of the invasion of Normandy, Omaha Beach, and Sword Beach by 156,000 brave Americans who saved our freedom and liberty, for the American people as well as all of Europe, who put an end to the reign of Adolph Hitler, and stand me here on behalf of the Veterans’ Committee why I am here in the U.S. Senate—and that is to see to it that we take care of those who have taken care of us.

Somebody asked me this morning: Is it coincidence that D-Day was 73 years ago today? I said: It is Divine providence that we are on the floor today paying back those brave 156,000 who invaded those beaches to make the Veterans’ Administration a more favorable agency than it is already.
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with a bill that has come unanimously from the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs and I hope will leave this Senate floor unanimously so we send a clear signal to our veterans: We will hold ourselves accountable to you.

What does the legislation do that is important? One, it makes what President Trump referred to in an Executive order about 3 weeks ago, the whistleblowers protection act, a reality and codifies it into law. Second, it removes many of the bureaucratic hurdles currently in place, making it easier for the VA Secretary to remove employees of all departments in the VA who are found guilty of wrongdoing or misconduct, and I underscore found guilty of wrongdoing or misconduct.

The bill shortens the removal process for employees of the VA and ensures an individual appealing removal from the VA is not kept on VA’s payroll indefinitely while they appeal. The Department of Veterans Affairs Accountability and Whistleblower Protection Act also prohibits the VA from awarding bonuses to employees found guilty of misconduct. The bill would remove the bureaucratic Merit System Protection Board from appeals by the senior management—top management—of the Veterans’ Administration.

The Department of Veterans Affairs Accountability and Whistleblower Protection Act establishes the Office of Accountability and Whistleblower Protection to make it permanent in the United States of America.

In essence, and very simply, this bill ensures and codifies into law the accountability of this agency and its operation to the American people and to the veterans of the United States of America for all they have done for each and every one of us.

It is very important to appreciate that this is not something to us as Senator or some Representative coming up with a bunch of crazy ideas at the last minute. This is a response to what we have seen happen over and over again over the past few years. Most, if not all, of the employees in the Veterans’ Administration are hardworking, dedicated, committed individuals, but there have been, from time to time, questions that have arisen about the handling of certain situations: the situation that took place in Phoenix, AZ. In terms of appointments; the rash number of suicides and mishandling of pharmaceuticals in the Atlanta office of Clairmont, near where I am in my office in Atlanta, GA; the situation of transfers in Philadelphia, PA, where people were transferred rather than disciplined and were paid their moving expenses and cost-of-living adjustments upward—all to get rid of somebody in one office but move them to another, instead of handling them in the way in which they should have been handled. We took instances where people themselves were breaking the law and violating the law, and we are now holding them accountable because of what is written into the VA accountability and whistleblower act.

Simply put, we have taken the worst performance, in isolated cases in the past few years, and we are right. We have corrected it where it needed to be corrected, we have eliminated it where it needed to be eliminated, and we have given the authority to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs and employees under the Secretary of Veterans Affairs for bonuses to work for them and hold them accountable for doing the wrong thing and encourage them to do the right thing.

I reiterate, though, that we are not singling out an agency which has a large number of people who are not performing. We are singling out an agency which has had some situations where a few employees have done some egregious things that need to be addressed. They were addressed but couldn’t be addressed under the current status of the law, which now will be able to be addressed under the status of the new law and held accountable for their actions.

Nothing happens when one person doesn’t get fired, but it happens when people come together as a team. It has been a pleasure for me to have a great teammate in this effort; that is, Jon Tester from Montana. I have been on the committee 12 years, and I think Jon has been on the committee 8 or 9 years.

You are on the Veterans’ Affairs Committee, first of all, because you want to be on it. It is what we refer to as a B committee, which means it is a second tier. A lot of times it is a fill-in committee for Members of the Senate or the House, but for me and for Jon, it is our principal and primary responsibility. We know to whom we owe everything, and that is our veterans to whom we owe it.

Jon Tester has been a great teammate. He has been great to work with. He has helped us get through some times of difficulty and some good times of common understanding and settlement, and I appreciate that very much. I want Jon to tell me what the people of Montana are telling him about our Veterans’ Administration and the need for stronger accountability in the VA of Montana. Tell us what they are saying in Montana, Jon.

Mr. TESTER. I thank Chairman Isakson.

Before I answer the question, I want to echo and say thank you very much for your leadership on this committee. It has been great to work with you. You have a reputation of being a man of honesty, integrity, and fair dealing, and you have once again lived up to that reputation. I could not ask for a better chairman of the Senate Veterans’ Affairs Committee than you. I have been very much impressed with the work you have done on this bill.

I, too, want to thank Senators Rubio, Moran, Shaheen, Blumenthal, Angus King, Donnelly, Baldwin, and Duckworth. There are a number of folks on both sides of the aisle who have stepped up—some on the committee, some off the committee—who have done such a great job making sure we ended up here today.

Chairman Durbin knows this. We got a bill over from the House, we sat down together, and we negotiated. We gave and took and massaged the bill. We ended up with a bill that probably Johnny wouldn’t have written and I probably wouldn’t have written, but it is a bill that is going to work, and it is going to give the VA what they need to hold people accountable.

I also echo what Johnny said. Veterans across this country are very happy with the care they get at the VA, and it is because of the great people on the ground within the VA, but every once in a while we get a bad apple, and the VA needs to be able to remove that bad apple because that bad apple reflects poorly on everybody working for the VA. What this bill is about is making sure the VA has the tool it needs to hold itself accountable and hold itself accountable to the veterans.

What I hear from the folks in Montana is: How come it took so long?

We have been at this for a while, and I hope it is worth the wait. I think we have a good bill here. I think we have a bill that really holds folks accountable while protecting workers’ rights moving forward.

The VA is a different kind of animal than any other agency. We owe it to the people who put it on the line for this country. When things don’t go just right, we have a problem, and we have a problem that needs to be fixed and not fixed yesterday—fixed today. These folks have given their all to this country, and they have these healthcare benefits. We need to make sure that when they need them, they have them and there aren’t any mistakes made.

What I also hear from veterans in Montana, other than it took so long, is: How can we rebuild VA to make it all it can be? I think this bill is going to help with that, too, by making sure we have the best of the best there, by making sure we have training for our hospital administrators and being able to hire hospital administrators—that is part of this bill, too—while holding the VA accountable when folks screw up in areas of misconduct.

So there is a bunch in this bill. I think this bill will fit the needs, not only of veterans in a rural or frontier State like Montana but in more populated areas like Atlanta, GA. I think it gives the Secretary of the VA the tools at his disposal to be able to make the VA as strong as it can possibly be.

I will say that this bill would not have happened without the good work of John King enough VA staff coming together and getting stuff done. I think this is one of the days in the Senate where we can look back and...
say that folks came together as Demo-
crate and Republicans and did the right
thing for the veterans of this country.

JOHNNY, I am curious to know from
you what kind of stuff you are hearing
in Georgia about this bill and bringing
accountability to the VA.

Mr. ISAKSON. Senator Tester, like
you, I get my best information at the
Legion, the IAVA, and from folks
around my State. I am a member of the
American Legion post at Loganville,
GA, and in a while to a bill
bar and get a drink just to find out
what is going on. I find out more there
in an hour socializing than I find out
by reading every newspaper in the
United States of America.

Let me tell you what some of the or-
ganizations are saying—because these
veterans service organizations are the
voice of the American people who
served in our military, and they are
the people who communicate to us in
committees.

The VFW wants the Secretary to
weed out misperformers and especially
the criminals, regardless of whether
the crime was committed on or off
duty.

The VFW wants a bill passed because
maintaining the status quo does not
work for those who have borne the bat-
tle and borne the fight.

They want to make sure the VA
holds their employees to the standards
the veterans of America feel they have
committed themselves to as veterans
serving in our military.

The American Legion applauds the
bipartisan effort to provide Secretary
Shulkin the additional tools to in-
crease accountability and address poor
performance within the Department of
Veterans Affairs.

I underscore this, because in the bill
JOX and I ensure we motivate manage-
ment to understand it is their job to
seek out nonperformance and correct it
before it becomes too bad. So this bill
incentivizes management of the Vet-
ers’ Administration to find those
employees who are not performing well
and turn them around and reward those
employees who are turned around to be
an example they set for all the rest of
the employees.

The Department of Veterans Affairs
Accountability and Whistleblower Pro-
tection Act will give Secretary
Shulkin the authority he needs to hold
Department employees responsible for
their actions. “We strongly agree with
the Senate to take the bill imme-
diately and pass it,” said Dan Caldwell
of Concerned Veterans of America.

So, once and for all, around our
State our VSO organizations are get-
ting a response to the questions they
have asked of all of us, and that is
what this bill does.

There is misinformation out there.
There are rumors flying around in
Montana, some flying around in Geor-
gia. Can the Senator help clear up
some of the errors?

Mr. TESTER. There is a lot of misin-
formation about this bill. I will tell
you what this bill does not do. It does
not trample on workers’ rights. This
bill maintains bargaining rights of
union workers at the VA. One of the
problems we had with the House-passed
bill was it did away with the ability of
union workers to bargain about the
merit systems protections. This does not.
It maintains it. It does not gut due process
protections. It keeps all the existing due
process protections under current law. Unlike
the House bill, it doesn’t shorten or elimi-
nate the appeals process for employees
who are fired. It doesn’t provide a
judicial review to employees who are
directed to repay a bonus and other
protection. Finally, this bill does not
allow VA supervisors to get away with
firing anyone who just challenges
them. Evidence is still required in
order to take action, and that evidence
must go through general counsel for re-
view before an action is proposed.

This is all critically important, as we
go forth, to give accountability and yet
be able to hold the rights of the
workers who are doing the job. I think
we found the sweet spot there.

More important than anything else
in this bill—and it does a lot of
things—it is really about a culture of
accountability.

Can the Senator tell us here in the
Senate what else this bill does for vet-
ers?

Mr. ISAKSON. I want to talk about
the culture. The Senator just men-
tioned. He is exactly right. The main
thing the American people are going to
see from the Veterans’ Administration
now is a culture throughout that orga-
nization of excellence to serve the vet-
erns the way they should be served.
And where there might be an isolated
problem, make sure it is sought out,
rooted out, and corrected within the
agency. Our veterans deserve the high-
est quality care.

Secretary Shulkin has asked for
more accountability. Those who are not meeting standards.
He wants to recognize those who have
not only met but exceeded standards as
well.

This bill gives VA the authority to
expedite the removal of a bad em-
ployee, but it doesn’t motivate them to
get rid of people, it gives them the pa-
rameters by which people should be
dealt with if, in fact, they are behaving
poorly. It shortens the process for re-
tained an employee to 15 days. That
doesn’t mean you act recklessly or
quickly, it means you act expeditiously
to see to it that if you have a problem,
it is addressed quickly for the benefit
of all the agencies.

It removes the Merit Systems Pro-
tection Board from the appeal process
for senior executives. There is some
bad talk out there about removing the
Merit Systems Protection Board for all
employees. It doesn’t do that at all.
But the most senior employees of the
Veterans Administration deserve to be
held accountable without lots of hoops
you have to go through before ever get-
ting to them. So by taking the Merit
Systems Protection Board away from
those senior executives, you are hold-
ing them totally accountable in the
bright light of day for their own ac-
ctions, without some hoop to go through
for the agency trying to remove them.

It prohibits bonuses and relocation
expenses for employees guilty of
wrongdoing. I mentioned this in my
earlier remarks, and I will reiterate.
This deals with things like what hap-
pended in Pennsylvania, where two em-
ployees were rehired, given discipline,
yet they were given bonuses and cost-of-living adjustments in their
pay upward for doing something wrong.
That sends exactly the wrong signal to
any employee in the Veterans’ Adminis-
tration.

For anyone doing a good job, it pats
them on the back and lets them know
they can do an even better job.

It expedites the hiring of VA medical
officers so we can get the best people
for the veterans of this country.

Mr. TESTER. I am curious to know
from the Senate if the VA
and veterans need this legislation to
strengthen accountability at the VA?

Mr. ISAKSON. I sure can. I talked pre-
viously about this. It has been a while.
It has been 3 years. We talked about
this earlier remarks, and I will reiterate.

The American Legion applauds the
bipartisan effort to provide Secretary
Shulkin the additional tools to in-
crease accountability and address poor
performance within the Department of
Veterans Affairs.

I underscore this, because in the bill
JOX and I ensure we motivate manage-
ment to understand it is their job to
seek out nonperformance and correct it
before it becomes too bad. So this bill
incentivizes management of the Vet-
ers’ Administration to find those
employees who are not performing well
and turn them around and reward those
employees who are turned around to be
an example they set for all the rest of
the employees.

The Department of Veterans Affairs
Accountability and Whistleblower Pro-
tection Act will give Secretary
Shulkin the authority he needs to hold
Department employees responsible for
their actions. “We strongly agree with
the Senate to take the bill imme-
diately and pass it,” said Dan Caldwell
of Concerned Veterans of America.

So, once and for all, around our
State our VSO organizations are get-
ting a response to the questions they
have asked of all of us, and that is
what this bill does.

There is misinformation out there.
There are rumors flying around in
Montana, some flying around in Geor-
gia. Can the Senator help clear up
some of the errors?

Mr. TESTER. There is a lot of misin-
formation about this bill. I will tell
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Senator Veterans’ Affairs Committee reported bipartisan legislation that would give the VA greater authority to improve accountability for all employees. Unfortunately, we never got floor time for that bill.

The House passed a VA accountability bill that, at least in my view, needed some fixing. I appreciate that my Republican colleagues worked closely with us—with me—on these changes, and we got to this point today.

But make no mistake about it—veterans in Montana and all the major veterans service organizations support giving the VA the authority to expedite disciplining and firing bad employees. Let me say that one more time. Every major veteran service organization supports giving the VA the authority to expedite disciplining or firing bad employees. The President and the VA Secretaries—both McDonald and Shulkin—have asked for this authority. Former VA Secretary McDonald repeatedly asked Congress to give him the tools he needed to hold employees accountable. Secretary Shulkin has followed and done the same. So we have this bill up today.

I would like to end where I started, and that is by thanking Chairman ISAKSON for his leadership and his willingness to work together in a bipartisan way to reach a compromise and make “collaboration” a good word again, to get to a point where we can get a bill, as the IAVA said, that can pass and that can pass the Senate and get a bill, as the IAVA said, that can again, to get to a point where we can make accountability a good word.

REMEMBERING LES SPAETH

Mr. President, I also rise today to talk about veterans. I am going to focus on World War II veterans. Last Memorial Day, I was in Mason, Ohio. Oh, my mom grew up there, and my family still has a lot of ties there. I was there at a ceremony for the veterans memorial, one of the most beautiful monuments in the State of Ohio. I happened to be there about 15 years ago when it was first begun, and it was great to be back. At the ceremony, I got to see a World War II buddy of mine. His name is Les Spaeth. He is also a friend of my father’s and grandfather’s. As always, seeing him brought back great memories, and I was able to speak about him during my remarks.

Two days later—a few days ago—we got word that Les Spaeth died at age 92. I want to take a moment to pay tribute to this man who gave so much to his country and to his community. Les was a marine corporal during World War II. He signed up after graduating Mason High School in 1942. He served in the Pacific, including the occupation of Japan after the war, helping that country make a difficult transition to democracy. Thanks in large part to American soldiers like him, by the way, the transition worked. Japan has become one of our greatest allies.

Les came back to Mason, Ohio, and started a small business called Spaeth Brothers Cleaners. He had that optimism so many of the World War II generation had. He returned from war to take a risk and help build jobs and help the economy of his hometown. My dad did the same thing after World War II.

Les was a businessman, but he was also a public servant for more than half a century. He served six terms as Warren County auditor. He served on the Board of Elections for 25 years. He chaired the Warren County Republican Party for 17 years.

He was very active in the community in so many other ways too. He was one of the very first volunteer firefighters in Mason, Ohio, starting way back in 1948. He was elder at his church, Heritage Presbyterian, where his service will be held. For 70 years, he was a freemason and member of the American Legion. He helped set up the American Legion Buckeye Boys Program, a great program where they are teaching young men about local, and Federal government and values and leadership. His whole life was centered around his community—through the family business, through military service, through elected office, and through volunteering.

In 2009, Mason High School started having a distinguished alumni graduation speaker every year. For all the reasons I talked about a moment ago, a few years ago, in 2013, I wrote a letter and recommended that they honor Les Spaeth. They agreed with me. That spring of 2013, it was time to receive his award. He gave a beautiful speech. He talked about his love for this country and counting our blessings as Americans. He received an ovation from the graduating class. I know that meant a lot to him. That ovation shows the respect and esteem people in Warren County have for Les Spaeth across generations.

On behalf of Ohio, I want to express my condolences to the family of Les Spaeth. I also want to thank them for sharing Les with the rest of us in Ohio for these past 92 years. He was a dedicated servant to the people of Warren County, an American hero for his military service, and a good friend to so many.

73RD ANNIVERSARY OF D-DAY

As was noted, as we talk about World War II, today is also the 73rd anniversary of D-Day. As Chairman ISAKSON just said, it was really the beginning of the end of that war. And 73 years ago this morning, Les Spaeth was in theater in the Pacific, as I said, risking his life for all of us. But in Europe on that same morning, the largest amphibious invasion ever of the American forces was taking place. Men as young as 18 years old were crossing the channel, carrying packs weighing 80 pounds. More than 160,000 Allied soldiers—mostly Americans—and more than 5,000 ships backed by more than 10,000 aircraft were fighting to liberate Europe from Hitler. The outcome was far from certain. The Nazis had spent 2 years fortifying the coast to prepare for this moment. It was Hitler’s so-called Atlantic Wall. The beautiful beaches of France was lined in barbed wire, land mines, and bunkers.

A little more than a month before D-day, by the way, the Allies had conducted a trial run. They practiced on beaches in western England that were most like those of Normandy. The practice run was a disaster. In fact, Germans spotted the Allied ships and attacked them. Hundreds of American troops died in that practice session.

Col. George Taylor told his troops as they were about to land on Normandy: “Only two kinds of men are going to be on this beach—the dead, and those about to die. So get moving.” This was
tough stuff. They had an enormous task, and the stakes could not have been higher.

Erwin Rommel—and Rommel was leading the Nazi defense at that time—said at that time: “The fate of Germany depended on the outcome of the World War II in 24 hours of this invasion.” He was right.

Well-known historian Douglas Brinkley said that D-day was “the single most important moment in the 20th Century.” It was one of the bloodiest too. It was the beginning of the end of the most difficult war in human history, and the lives of millions of people depended on the outcome.

They depended on the success of brave, young Americans like Eugene Lyons of University Heights, OH. Eugene was a medic. His ship hit a mine in the English Channel and sank off the coast. He swam to shore while German planes shot at him, missing him by a matter of inches. Or the Napier brothers of Warren County, OH, like Les Spaeth. Five brothers all served during World War II. Two of them were there on the beaches that day; one died. Or Jim “Pee Wee” Martin from Dayton, OH, who served in the 506th Parachute Infantry Regiment and parachuted behind enemy lines before dousing that day. Jim received both the Purple Heart and the Bronze Star for his service that day. Or Sigmund Czelusniak of North Royalton, OH, who was wounded by a mortar shell on Omaha Beach. Sigmund’s father, a County Judge, like Les Spaeth, was wounded: “In my heart, I didn’t think I’d ever come back.”

More than 10,000 Allied troops did not come back.

While those brave men and hundreds of thousands of others were fighting, President Franklin D. Roosevelt took to the airwaves, as you would expect a President to do. As you know, he was known for what were called fireside chats. These were informal speeches he would give to the Nation during difficult times. But on that day, he did something very different. Instead of giving a speech, he was called to lead the Nation in prayer. This prayer brought our country together. It strengthened our resolve. It comforted us at a very difficult and frightening time for our country, and it briefly encapsulated, as you will hear in a second, what our purpose was—not just in World War II but what our purpose was as Americans. He made an indelible mark on our history.

Three years ago, after the 70th anniversary of D-day, then-President Obama signed into law legislation that I had authored to add the words of this famous prayer to the World War II Memorial in Washington, DC. Since then, the site for the plaque has been approved. The architect is continuing to work with the National Park Service on the design. I have been told that the Park Service intends to present the design to the Commission of Fine Arts and the National Capital Planning Commission during their meetings this summer. Construction could begin as soon as December and be completed by next June.

Frankly, I am discouraged this has taken so long because this prayer belongs on the World War II Memorial, and Congress has said so. I urge the Park Service to work as expeditiously as possible to complete this project, to bring those words to so many veterans and others who visit that beautiful memorial.

As has been my tradition since the time we were trying to get that legislation passed, I would like to read the words President Roosevelt spoke on D-day 73 years ago.

He started by saying:

My fellow Americans: Last night, when I spoke with you . . . I knew at that moment that troops of the United States and our allies were crossing the Channel in another and greater operation. It has come to pass with great success thus far. And so, in this poignant hour, I ask you to join with me in prayer.

This was his prayer:

Almighty God: Our sons, pride of our nation, this day have set upon a mighty endeavor, a struggle to preserve our Republic, our religion, and our civilization, and to set free a suffocated land. Let their courage be straight and true; give strength to their arms, stoutness to their hearts, steadfastness in their faith.

They will need Thy blessings. Their road will be long and hard. For the enemy is strong. He may hurl back our forces. Success may not come with rushing speed, but we shall press on. In God we trust, and in God we know: By Thy grace and by the righteousness of our cause our sons will triumph. They will be sore tried, by night and by day, without rest—until the victory is won. Their darkness will be rent by noise and flame. Men’s souls will be shaken with the violences of war. For these men are lately drawn from the ways of peace. They fight not for the lust of conquest. They fight to end conquest. They fight to liberate. They fight to let justice arise, and tolerance and goodwill among all Thy people. They yearn but for the end of battle, for their return to the haven of home. Some will never return. Embrace these, Father, and receive them, Thy heroic servants, into Thy kingdom.

And for those of us at home—fathers, mothers, children, wives, sisters, and brothers of brave men whose thoughts and prayers are ever with them—help us, Almighty God, to rededicate ourselves in renewed faith in Thee in this hour of great sacrifice.

Many people have urged that I call the nation into a single day of special prayer. But because the road is long and the desire is great, I ask that our people devote themselves in a continuance of prayer. As we rise to each new day, and again when each day is spent, let words of prayer be on our lips, invoking Thy help to our efforts.

Give us strength, too—strength in our daily tasks, to redouble the contributions we make in the political and material support of our armed forces.

And let our hearts be stout, to wait out the long travail, that may come to impart our courage onto our sons wheresoever they may be.

And, O Lord, give us faith. Give us faith in Thee; faith in each other; faith in our united crusade. Let not the keenness of our spirit ever be dulled. Let not the impacts of temporary events, of temporal wars and conflicts—moment—not these deter us in our unconquerable purpose.
clean energy and local regulations that require it, the demand for clean energy will continue to grow here in the United States and around the world.

While pulling out of the Paris Agreement might seem like a way to protect jobs, for example, in the coal industry, the truth is that when these jobs do go away, it is mostly due to other things: market forces and automation.

I have been down in the coal mines of Southern Illinois. I have seen the way they mine coal today. For those who have not been there and paid close attention, it may come as a surprise. It is largely automated. Massive machinery, known as continuous miners, literally chew away at the walls of coal, transporting it back up to the surface for transport.

Back in the day, hundreds, if not thousands, of coal miners would head for their jobs with little more than a pick or an ax or a shovel or some drill. Today, it is an automated industry, and fewer jobs are creating more and more coal opportunities because automation is a big part.

In addition, there is a change in the global energy market. Because of fracking in States like North Dakota and South Dakota, we have seen an increase in the availability of natural gas at lower prices. Last year, for the first time in modern history, we had more electricity generated in 1 month in America from natural gas sources than from coal sources.

We have turned a corner when it comes to the availability of alternative energy sources. Seventy-five percent of Americans who want access to clean energy and local regulations that require it, clean energy is going to continue to grow in demand.

Meanwhile, even in my own home State of Illinois, which is the fourth largest coal-producing State in the Nation, we already have thousands more workers in the solar industry than in the coal industry. Clean energy jobs are growing. Remaining engaged on climate change spurs new investment and strengthens American competitiveness for jobs in the future. These jobs include designing more efficient solar panels, wind turbines, batteries, and manufacturing the components for export all over the world. Why should other nations get to have their way on the development of the global energy market?

We should lead the world in the creation of clean energy jobs. By walking away from the agreement, America is not just giving up an environmental commitment, but it is giving up economic opportunity. We have given away our leadership, isolated ourselves from the rest of the world, and they are not going to wait for us; they are going to move forward and look for other leaders than the United States. This President talked about making America first. His decision to walk away from the Paris Agreement puts America behind when it comes to energy in the 21st century.

Climate change is a dire threat to the global economy and global stability. It will cause catastrophic consequences for global health, food security, and human lives. My constituents in Illinois are already experiencing the adverse effects of changing climate.

In recent years, our State—and, I might add, many when you go back to historic storms, floods, and droughts, causing millions of dollars in damage. Climate models suggest that if current global warming trends continue, Illinois will have a climate similar to the desert climate of western Texas in the 21st century. For Illinois farmers, these changes to the environment have a direct effect on their livelihood and for all of us, a direct impact on our food supply.

Climate change also has significant national security implications that affect our shores—one we simply can’t ignore. The crisis in Syria, the flow of refugees from unstable parts of the world, is an early warning of the link between climate change and how humanitarian crises, particularly from less stable parts of our shared planet, are going to get worse if we continue to let climate change go unaddressed.

Back in the day, when I was a young man writing about massive migration out of parts of West Africa through the Sahara Desert to Libya, where people were hoping to eventually cross the dangerous trek across the Mediterranean Sea to Europe. He wrote: ‘Just as Syria’s revolution was set off in part by the worst four-year drought in the country’s modern history—plus overpopulation, climate stresses and the Internet, the same is true of this African migration’.

Former CIA Acting Director Mike Morell recently called President Trump’s decision to pull the United States from the Paris climate agreement the worst decision made by this President so far.

Mr. Morell pointed out that pulling out not only cedes American leadership in the world, but it harms our own national security by ignoring the impact of climate change on failed and fragile states that are homes to instability and violence. He further noted that we face three possible threats to our existence: nuclear war, a natural or man-made ecological disaster, or climate change. President Trump’s dangerous decision, if not reversed, will contribute to that threat.

Anyone in this Chamber claiming to be serious about national security simply cannot be credited with not addressing the long-term threats posed by weak states and climate change in the decades to come.

It is amazing to me that people around the world have come together to recognize the danger and the urgent need to act on climate change everywhere in the world except right here in the United States of America.

I don’t understand the other political party. I can remember a time when we would have a debate about climate change on the floor. We would be talking about the Environmental Protection Agency, created by a Republican President, Richard Nixon, and we would have Senators from both sides of the aisle actually debating clearly realizing that it is a threat to our future.

Those days have changed.

Any debate now about environment is strictly one-sided. Was the science changed when it comes to global warming and climate change? Absolutely not.

Ninety-eight percent of scientists agree that we have global warming, and the reasons for it relate directly to greenhouse gas emissions.

So what has changed? Why isn’t this a bipartisan debate anymore? The politics have changed. They have changed dramatically with the way we finance political campaigns in this country. Groups have emerged—one in particular, the Koch brothers, who have dramatically changed the way we finance political campaigns in this country and who have promised any Republican who steps out of line on climate change this: You are in for a fight; you are going to face a primary. Don’t you dare stand up and talk about climate change here on the floor of the Senate. That is where we are today. We have come to a standstill, and now we have a President who has decided to walk away from this issue. This President has chosen politics over science and greed over responsibility. His decision is a fateful decision for our children, our grandchildren, and generations to come.

There may be some momentary applause in some places because President Trump has walked away from this global agreement to deal with this global challenge, but I could tell you the cheers are short-lived. When we see the price that we are going to pay—and that our kids will pay—for this gross irresponsibility, there will not be a lot of cheering.

I have said this on the floor before, and I will say it again because I am waiting for someone on the other side
to come to challenge me: The Republican Party of the United States of America is the only major political party in the world today that refuses to take climate change seriously. I have said that over and over, and I expect the Republican Party to come to the floor and say: That is not true; we take it seriously. But they don’t. Or if I expect them to come to the floor and say: No, there is another major political party that also denies climate change.

One Republican Senator, after I said this on the floor repeatedly, pulled me off to the side in the corridor, looked around, and whispered: There is a party in Australia that also doesn’t believe in climate change.

You think to yourself: So it has come to that. We have isolated ourselves in the eyes of the world when it comes to protecting this world for generations to come. We are going to pay a heavy price for that, but the biggest price is going to be paid by future generations.

Can we make a little sacrifice today, drive more energy-efficient cars and trucks, and think about ways to heat our homes and to light up our rooms that don’t cost so much? Well, of course, we can. We have already done it, and we can do so much more. Walking away from the Paris Agreement is not the path that should lead America into the 21st century.

Mr. President, earlier today, Majority Leader MCCONNELL came to the Senate floor to, once again, be critical of the Affordable Care Act, a law that has resulted in more than 20 million Americans gaining health insurance. The law has lowered the uninsured rate to the lowest in American history. This law has put an end to insurance discrimination based on preexisting conditions or gender. It is a law that has made sure that Americans suffering from chronic health or substance abuse addiction can get treatment. It is a law that extended the solvency of Medicare by a decade and decreased prescription drug costs for seniors by more than $1,000 for each senior in America. It is a law that has helped to reduce—cut in half—the number of bankruptcies filed in America because so many were the result of medical bills that people just couldn’t pay. I was proud to vote for this law.

Is it perfect? Of course not. Can it be improved and strengthened? Yes, it should be. Improvements can be made the same way we have made improvements in Medicare, Social Security, and in so many other programs over the years, but not by repealing Social Security, but by repealing Medicare but by sitting down on a bipartisan basis to try to find a way to make sure that we don’t deny health insurance coverage to 23 million people in America because of the repeal of the Affordable Care Act. We need to find a way to make this law better for people living in rural America.

My hometown is in downstate Illinois. I, as a Congressman and Senator, have represented a lot of small towns in sparsely populated counties. They value many things. They value their schools, their basketball teams and football teams. I will tell you what they value as much, if not more, than anything else—their local hospital.

The local hospital makes such a difference in smalltown America. It is not only a lifesaver—it is a way to save the opportunity to driving another 50 or 100 miles for quality care—but it is also a source of great employment. Probably the best paying jobs in town are at the local hospital. If you want to keep a business or attract one, a local hospital is a good selling point.

Do you know what the bill that passed the House of Representatives will do to the rural and smalltown hospitals in Illinois? Don’t take my word for it. Ask the Illinois Health and Hospital Association. They anticipate losing 60,000 jobs in Illinois because of the healthcare repeal bill that passed the House of Representatives, and they know that many hospital doctors in the inner city are going to be forced to cut back in services, if not close, as a result of it.

What can we do to make this a better bill, to make the Affordable Care Act work more effectively? Let me give you a couple of ideas. First, we don’t have anything in the law today that deals with prescription drug prices. We are at the mercy of people—pharmaceutical companies, investment bankers, and others—who come and control these pharmaceutical patents. They can literally raise the cost of these drugs beyond the reach of many families.

I had a young man come see me. He is in high school. He has been fighting diabetes since he was a little boy. He and his mother talked about the dramatic increase in the cost of insulin that he has faced over the last several years. Insulin has been around a long time. This is not a new wonder drug. It is a critical, lifesaving drug, but the prices and costs of insulin are going through the roof, and there is no way under current law for us to deal with it. Should we take that up? Of course, we should.

Blue Cross Blue Shield in Illinois told me recently that they spent more money last year on pharmaceutical costs than they did on inpatient hospital care, and the costs continue to go up. We need good, lifesaving drugs. We need to reward the companies that find them with a profit. But as to those who want to gouge prices and take advantage of people of modest income or folks who don’t have insurance, there has to be a way to answer that and to deal with it one point. Is it too much to ask the pharmaceutical companies that take your basic research idea and turn it into a profitable product to give some of those profits back to the NIH to continue their research? They don’t want the pharmaceutical companies walk away. They don’t want to give us a penny for our future research.

Well, that is wrong. We ought to be investing in that research, rewarding the pharmaceutical companies for their development of these products, as well, but making certain we continue this leadership in the world when it comes to medical research and pharmaceuticals.

The individual market on health insurance is one that troubles us because it is the area where people who don’t have health insurance through their place of employment or don’t qualify for a government health insurance plan—like Medicare, Medicaid, veterans care, or the like—go to buy insurance on the insurance exchange. This is where the premiums have gone up. Now, why have the premiums gone up in that one sector? Because when it comes to individuals, those who are older and sicker are the first to sign up, but the healthier, younger ones are the last.

We can sit down on a bipartisan basis and find ways to create an incentive so that we can increase the participation in this insurance pool and bring down the premium costs for those who are paying.

The third thing we need to do is to make sure that no matter where you live in the United States, there is an option to choose when it comes to buying your health insurance. One of the things we can do is to take one of the most popular medical care programs in history—the Medicare Program itself—and duplicate it in a public option available to people across the United States. Do you want to buy a health insurance program that looks like Medicare, a not-for-profit program? This would be your chance.

So those are three ideas that I think we could bring forward in an effort to make the Affordable Care Act even more responsive.

Senator MCCONNELL, the Republican Leader, comes to the floor frequently to talk about the choice to expand the Medicaid Program, as allowed under law in many States. I would welcome the opportunity to expand that program.

Many people do not understand the Medicaid Program. Oh, that is health insurance for poor people. Well, in a way, it is, but it is so much more. For example, one out of every two births in
Illinois is paid for by the Medicaid Program to keep mom healthy so she delivers a healthy baby and to keep that baby healthy as soon as it is born. It is paid for by Medicaid in 50 percent of the cases of new births. But that is not the most expensive thing.

The most expensive thing under Medicaid is for your mom and your grandmother who is in a nursing home, living on Social Security and Medicare, and they need help. So they qualify for Medicaid to pay for the medical care they need so they can continue to live wholesome lives.

The third area, of course, is medical insurance for the disabled who have ongoing needs. Those three areas make up Medicaid. When the Republican proposal that came out of the House wanted to cut $600 or $700 billion and give tax cuts to wealthy people, they took it out of Medicaid.

So is one of the groups that I just described to you would you take health insurance away from—mothers with new babies, elderly folks in nursing homes with no resources, or the disabled who live in our communities?

I feel like there is a step in the wrong direction to hit any of these groups. That is why Medicaid was expanded in so many States and why we should continue to find ways to expand it in a responsible fashion.

As I go back home and talk to people about this Republican alternative that passed the House of Representatives, it is very clear they oppose it.

I have challenged those Congressmen who have voted for the Republican repeal bill to find one medical advocacy group in my State that supports their effort. There are none. The Illinois Health and Hospital Association, the Illinois Medical Association, the Illinois Nurses Association, and the Illinois pediatricians all oppose it.

The AARP, or American Association of Retired Persons, opposes it because the bill removed the protection for elderly workers. The AARP believes—and I am afraid the facts bear it out—that what passed the House of Representatives will dramatically increase health insurance premiums for people between the ages of 50 and 64. We can do better, but we need to do it on a bipartisan basis.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Oregon.

THE PRESIDENT’S BUDGET

Mr. MERKLEY. Mr. President, just a little less than 2 weeks ago, President Trump released his proposed budget for fiscal year 2018, which would begin October 1. He named his plan “A New Foundation for American Greatness.”

While unveiling this budget, Director Mulvey, the OMB Director, declared that “We are no longer going to measure compassion by the number of programs or the number of people on those programs, but by the number of people we help get off those programs.”

When I read this and looked at the budget, I was reminded of the story of the two hikers who got to the top of a mountain. They stood near a big cliff and one hiker said: It is a beautiful vista.

The other hiker said: I am so exhausted from hiking all the way up; I wish I could rest quickly.

The first hiker then said: Let me help you with that. And he shoved him off the cliff.

That is what this budget does. It doesn’t help people get off programs through education and training; it shuts down the programs. It shoves people off the cliff.

In this budget, millions of struggling, rural, middle-class, low-income, and working Americans are thrown off the cliff. They are thrown out of these programs as these programs are just struck down, not because programs have served their purpose and are no longer needed but because the President wants to do two things. He wants to build a force of the military, and he wants to give a tax giveaway of some $6 trillion in the budget, with most of it going to the very richest Americans. This is not an “America first” budget; this is a “billionaires first” and a “rural and working America last” budget.

We see this vision implemented through dramatic cuts to food stamps, children’s healthcare, job training, after-school programs, scientific research, and other anti-poverty programs. The others are designed to help American families who are devastated will be eliminated, all in the name of building a wall, building more missiles and more bombs, and giving this massive, massive giveaway of the Treasury to the privileged and powerful.

Now there is good news. The good news is that I think we are going to have a bipartisan coalition we can build to defeat this budget. Even some of those colleagues in the House Freedom Caucus, who often talk about slashing government spending and eliminating programs, are saying that this proposal and its impact on rural Americans and rural America is draconian and unacceptable. It is not often that you hear folks throughout the entire political spectrum come together to say the same thing—that this budget is short-sighted and ill-conceived—but that is where we are now.

This budget calls us a lot because a budget is an expression of values. When President Trump placed this budget before us, we gained insight into his values. What we quickly learned is that President Trump doesn’t place value on struggling and working American families; helping them climb a ladder to a better point. What this budget does tell us is that our President is all about raiding the National Treasury for the privileged and the powerful—quite the opposite of what we heard when he was campaigning.

Franklin Roosevelt once said that, as a nation, “The test of our progress is not whether we add more to the abundance of those who have much; it is whether we provide enough for those who have little.” By “enough” he meant, do we provide a ladder of opportunity for families to get their economic footing, to be able to buy a house, to be able to find a job, to be able to educate their children.

In this budget, President Trump puts out a different test. With this budget, he is saying that the test of our progress is whether we destroy programs that are helping working Americans in order to fund a $6 trillion giveaway to the privileged and powerful. That is Donald Trump’s test of progress, and I think we find very few in the country who might agree with that vision of making economic and educational progress for working Americans much more difficult. It is not an “America first” budget. It is not a foundation for American greatness. It is more akin to a great train robbery, a great raid on the National Treasury to benefit those who are already at the very top.

It is a budget that hurts children. It is a budget that hurts struggling, hard-working Americans. It cuts 20 percent from the Children’s Health Insurance Program, critical for the health of our children. Shouldn’t children in America have access to affordable healthcare? That is a value I can get behind. But slashing healthcare for children and making it harder for them to succeed in life—I can’t agree with that one.

Let’s make children hungrier by cutting the basic food stamp program or school programs that 44 million Americans rely on, cutting it by $193 billion. Making children hungrier doesn’t help them learn. Helping children learn is a value I can get behind. Making it harder for them to succeed in school may be a Trump value, but it is not mine, and I don’t think it is shared by many Members of this Chamber.

I also believe that he proposes to get rid of the subsidization of interest on student loans, making the cost of college even more unaffordable for low-income and working graduates. He freezes the Pell grants that already have not kept pace with inflation. He proceeds to wipe out the Public Service Loan Forgiveness Program that erases student loans after a decade of service to the public. All of this is about making college more expensive. I can get behind the idea of making higher education more affordable, whether it is apprenticeship training, career technical education, or a 4-year college program. I can get behind making those programs more affordable, making community college programs more affordable because some forms of education, whether it is in the technical education world or community college world or a 4-year program—some aspect of that is important to virtually every job in America.

Making it more affordable is what virtually every other developed country has done. In Germany, going to a public university is free in terms of tuition—not so here in the United States.
States of America. Our students are burdened by massive, massive debt. It is growing and growing and growing. I can get behind the value of saying we shouldn’t make college a financial gauntlet because it is so essential to the success of our children. But Trump has a different perspective, and his values make it harder. Let’s make it more difficult. Let’s put students further into debt. Those are not values I can support. Again, I think very few in this Chamber would share that.

The Trump budget. This isn’t just an attack on the ladder of opportunity for working Americans; this is an attack on rural America. During the last couple of years, I served as the ranking member of the Appropriations Subcommittee on Agriculture and Rural Development and FDA, and in that time I have seen the tremendous impact many of these programs have had in providing opportunity and strengthening the economy in rural America. I am working with USDA—and I leave no stone unturned. I talk to the farmers, I talk to the ranchers, I talk to the people. I have been holding a lot of townhalls around my State—and I go to every county every year, all 36. Before I hold a public townhall where people can ask any question they want, I prepare. I meet with the local county commissioners, city commissioners, and all the locally elected. In virtually every county, every year, I hear about the challenge of water infrastructure, expanding the clean water supply or waste water treatment. These two challenges are enormous. Yet here is President Trump wiping out the rural and wastewater programs.

How about critical housing programs? Well, here is the issue. In our rural communities, often the economy is hindered by the lack of availability of affordable housing. I have been in town after town after town saying: We have interest by a company to move here because of some of the key assets we have. Then they decide not to because they don’t have affordable housing in the area. There’s opportunity for them to be able to hire the staff they need. So we have these programs at the Federal level—direct single-family housing loans, direct multifamily housing loans, low-income housing repair loans, farm labor housing loans, self-help housing grants. Here again, the Trump budget wipes them out.

The Community Development Block Grant Program makes it harder for rural communities to address local problems. We talk a lot about flexibility in the Senate, enabling local areas to decide how best to use funds. The CDBG, the Community Development Block Grant, does exactly that. I have a very good friend in the new President who probably doesn’t even know what the program is, but he wiped it out.

How about the Rural Business-Cooperative Service that offers programs to support business development and job training? It is gone too. His budget slashes USDA’s rural development programs by about $1 billion, a little less than $1 billion. This is a part of the agency where programs focus on supporting economic development, housing, and infrastructure in rural communities.

Then we have the impact on rural healthcare. This budget impacts rural healthcare in several different ways. It slashes the Rural Hospital Outreach Grant Program that helps small rural hospitals get resources to create collaboratives for long-term care facilities or with ambulance services. It eliminates the State offices of rural health.

In addition, this budget destroys healthcare for 23 million Americans, and many of those live in rural America. In fact, in Oregon, about one out of three individuals, almost one out of three in our small towns, find healthcare through the Oregon Health Plan, the Oregon Health Plan funded by Medicaid. Rolling back Medicaid would throw some 400,000 people off of healthcare in Oregon just by itself, and that would make a huge impact in rural Oregon.

I have been holding a lot of townhalls in rural Oregon. This year I have had over 12 in what you would see on a map as pretty red counties, and people are coming up to me at townhalls and saying that they are scared to death about this budget’s impact on healthcare. They are not just scared; they are terrified. And they are not just terrified; they are angry because they finally have the peace of mind that if a loved one gets sick, that loved one will get the care they need, that loved one will not end up bankrupt. That is a huge improvement in quality of life, but this budget from the President destroys that peace of mind.

It is not just impacting those who directly benefit from the Oregon Health Plan; it also impacts everybody else in the rural communities because the health plan has enabled our rural clinics and hospitals to do much better financially.

Out in the northeast corner of my State—it is a very remote and beautiful place—a person told me that his local clinic had gone from 20-some employees to about 50 employees, roughly doubling the healthcare provided. Why were they able to do that? Because they had had so much uncompensated care before people had access to insurance. But this budget has changed dramatically, and their finances are much better. So they are able to hire a lot more people and provide a lot more healthcare to this rural part of the State. But that changes with this Trump budget.

Let me list a few more details about some of these areas, starting with the USDA Rural Development Water Programs.

Last year, 14 projects in my State received $10.7 million in loans and $6.5 million in grants in order to provide reliable, clean drinking water and waste disposal, affecting 12,000 folks in rural Oregon. Vernonia, which is in northwestern Oregon, relies, in part, on the USDA’s rural water programs, the town of Vernonia was able to purchase new equipment, upgrade its wastewater systems, and protect the water for its residents. That is just one community that has benefited.

Let’s talk a little bit more about housing. The budget singles out many housing programs to cut.

It eliminates the USDA Rural Development’s direct housing loan programs and most of the housing grant programs and community facilities programs, which include programs like the rural Single Family Housing Direct Loans, the rural Multi-Family Housing Direct Loans, the technical assistance program, housing repair loans, and the Farm Labor Housing Program.

With so many States and so many communities across our Nation suffering from a shortage of affordable and available housing, how can we consider it a positive thing to slice and dice these programs?

Last year, 6,000 rural Oregonian families were living in 211 affordable apartments and tenant-owned complexes thanks to USDA financing. But keeping these programs and strengthening our housing initiatives isn’t just good for our Nation’s families. It is also critical for the economic development of rural towns and communities. As I have mentioned so often, I have heard from town leaders that they have a potential deal within their grasp, and it falls out of their grip because of the shortage of housing. We need to do better in this area, not worse.

Let’s talk about another program—the Forest Service Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration Program.
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This program is an all-lands approach to collaboratively encouraging science-based ecosystem restoration of priority forest landscapes.

Let me put it more simply.

Often, in terms of forest health, we have to think about the work in the woods can be quite expensive to improve forest health, and, often, you have disputes between the environmental community and the timber community. On just how this should be done. A collaborative brings together these elements—the environmental side and the timber side—with the goal of both making the forest healthier and providing a steady supply of sawlogs to the mill.

This is something that happened in the Fremont-Winema National Forest, and it has given environmental and conservation groups confidence that Fremont-Winema is on a track to having a healthier ecosystem. At the same time, it helps to ensure that there is a balance between the timber industry and environmental protection, which means that timber is still coming and will keep coming to the local mill, which will help to create local jobs, like at the Collins Mill in Klamath Falls. That mill is able to continue employing more than 80 workers because of the steady supply of logs that makes its way from Fremont-Winema due to the eco-friendly forest management practices.

The community first and rural America and workers last" budget is going to die here in the Senate because there is going to be a bipartisan coalition of Democrats and Republicans who say that undermining the success of our families in order to provide a massive giveaway—a raid, if you will, on the National Treasury—and a handout to the privileged and powerful is, simply, the wrong way to go. This is, really, Robin Hood in reverse. This is a situation in which the working families are undermined to provide a $6 trillion raid on the Treasury, with most being given away to our richest American families.

I do not know that there is anyone in this Chamber who is not already aware that we have massive income inequality here in the United States of America. I do not think that there is any Senator among the 100 Senators of the Senate who is unaware that we have a massive wealth in America. It has grown larger and larger and larger until it has become equal to that level or near that level at which it was before the Great Depression. That is not a way for America to thrive—to raid working families in order to provide even more giveaways to those who have the most.

I must say that this budget does not surprise me. It does not surprise me that the President submitted this. The President himself is a billionaire. The President lives in that world of billionaires, and he was persuaded to think that helping the billionaires to have even millions more would, somehow, be good for America.

I would like to take the President to real working America so that he may see the real impact on the ground of destroying rural health clinics, see the real impact on the ground of destroying rural water systems, and see the real impact on the ground of destroying rural housing programs. We need to get the President outside of his billionaire bubble and seeing the impact so that, somehow, he gets a grip on what it means to guide this country in education policy and economic policy and that we are nothing but a handout of opportunity rather than destroy it.

I thank the Presiding Officer.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. STRONG). The Senator from South Dakota.

HEALTHCARE LEGISLATION

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, everyone remembers President Obama’s famous—or perhaps infamous—promise that he would sign a healthcare bill that would “cut the cost of a typical family policy of $5,000 per year.” Well, as everyone knows, that didn’t happen. Between 2009 and 2016, the average family with employer-sponsored health insurance saw its premiums rise by $4,767. That is just the beginning.

Two weeks ago, the Department of Health and Human Services released a report comparing the average individual market insurance premium in 2013—the year when most of the ObamaCare’s regulations and mandates were implemented—with the average individual market exchange premium in 2017 in the 39 States that used healthcare.gov—so 2013 to 2017 individual market premiums. Here is what they found. Between 2013 and 2017, the average individual market monthly premium in the healthcare.gov States increased by 105 percent. In other words, on average, individual market premiums more than doubled in just 5 years.

My home State of South Dakota, premiums increased by 124 percent or $3,588 over 5 years. As I said, that is according to HHS reporting on the premiums in the individual market exchanges over the course of the past 5 years. So $3,588 in South Dakota is money that South Dakota families had to take from other priorities, like saving for retirement or investing in their children’s education.

These Sports have tripled over those 5 years. The average monthly premium in Alaska went from $344 to $1,041. That is an increase of $697 per month or more than $8,300 a year. Think about that. Over the past 5 years, the average individual market yearly premium has increased by $4,800 in Arizona, by $3,648 in Louisiana, by $5,064 in North Carolina, by $4,488 in Tennessee, and by $5,292 in West Virginia. Those kinds of premium increases are just not sustainable.

Some people have used tax credits to help offset their premium payments, but many others are left to face these massive premium hikes by themselves. And most people do not have the money to easily absorb a 105-percent premium increase or more in many States, as I pointed out, over 5 years.

Of course, premium increases show no signs of slowing down. Numbers for 2018 are emerging, and they are not looking good. Insurers on the New York exchange are requesting double-digit rate hikes. A Connecticut insurer filed an average 33.8 percent. One Virginia insurer requested an average rate increase of 38 percent. Another has requested an average 45-percent rate hike. In Oregon, the average rate hike requested is 17.2 percent. Companies offering plans on the exchange here in Washington, DC, are requesting average rate hikes ranging from 13 percent to nearly 40 percent. In Maryland, average increases range from 18 percent to almost 50 percent.

One insurer in Maryland has requested a rate increase of up to 150 percent—150 percent for just one year.

As if the premium hikes aren’t bad enough, many Americans don’t have a good option to choose. In 2017, roughly one-third of U.S. counties have just one choice of insurer on their ObamaCare exchange—one choice in one-third of all the counties in America. So you pretty much have to take whatever rate they are going to quote you when that is the only option in town. Talk about a lack of competition.

Several States, including Alabama, Oklahoma, Alaska, and Wyoming, have just one choice of insurer on the entire State. The entire State—in those States that I just mentioned—has one choice of insurer. Things are only getting worse.

In 2018, a number of counties may lack any ObamaCare insurer at all. On Friday, the Omaha World-Herald announced that 100,000 Nebraskans could end up with zero options for individual market coverage in 2018. Iowa is facing a similar situation. In April, Blue Cross and Blue Shield announced that it will withdraw from the individual market in Iowa in 2018. Days later, Aetna announced that it would pull out of the Iowa exchange. In the wake of these announcements, Medica, the last ObamaCare insurer for most of Iowa, announced that it will likely leave the State in 2018. That would leave 94 of the 99 Iowa counties with no ObamaCare insurer next year.

Republicans in this Senate are currently working on legislation to repeal and replace ObamaCare. Why? Because, as I just pointed out, ObamaCare is broken. This law is not working. This law has never worked. It shows abysmal numbers to customers losing doctors, fewer choices, failed co-ops, unraveling exchanges. I could go on and on because the list of the failures goes on and on.
Given all of this, it is hard to believe the Democrats are still defending this disastrous law. I sometimes wonder just what it will take for my Democrat colleagues in the Senate to accept the staggering amount of evidence that says this law has failed. Do premiums have gone up? Does coverage remain out of reach? Do they have an alternative? Does every American on the exchanges have to be reduced to just one choice of insurer or be without an insurer at all?

ObamaCare was going to reduce premiums. It didn't. People were going to be able to keep their healthcare plans. They regularly found out that they couldn't. Buying insurance was going to be like shopping for a TV on Amazon—well, maybe if Amazon had only one brand of television.

The responsible thing to do when a government program has turned out to be a disaster is to repeal it. That is what Republicans are working to do. We are working to repeal and replace it with real healthcare reform. My colleagues in the House have made a good start. We are committed to bringing them relief. They are ready for healthcare reform. My colleagues in the House have made a good start. We are working to build on their bill in the Senate. Chairman Alexander, Enzi, and Hatch have been leading the effort. They are committed to making Medicaid more sustainable by giving States greater flexibility while insuring that those who rely on this program don't have the rug pulled out from under them. We need to make healthcare more affordable, more personal, more flexible, and less bureaucratic.

It would be wonderful if at least some Democrats would join us in this effort and stop prioritizing partisanship over the needs of the American people. Republicans know that the American people are suffering under ObamaCare, and we are committed to bringing them relief. They are ready for healthcare reform that actually works, and that is what Republicans intend to deliver.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. NELSON. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. NELSON. Mr. President, it is fitting that today, June 6, the anniversary of D-Day in Europe and the Battle of Midway in the Pacific, we are talking about our country's veterans in the debate that is going on in the Senate. The brave men and women who have served our country deserve the very best care our Nation can give them. That is why I rise today in support of the VA Accountability and Whistleblower Protection Act, which I believe will pass by a voice vote in the Senate later today.

This bipartisan bill will help improve the quality of care our veterans receive by reforming the Department of Veterans Affairs and making it easier for the Secretary to fire poorly performing employees. The legislation will allow the VA to hold its employees more accountable. It will also create new protections for whistleblowers—those who report wrongdoing. And it would ensure that any employee who is terminated has an adequate opportunity to appeal their dismissal.

For years, the VA has been plagued by reports of inefficiency and long wait times. I might say that often we find those reports are true, but that is certainly not the majority of medical care that is given through the VA healthcare system. If you talk to almost any veteran, they are very pleased with the quality of that medical care. It is the administrative stuff getting in the way, and that is what, to me, there has been such an outrage about.

Well, this VA bill is going to help the VA get rid of the bad actors while protecting the good ones. I want to make it clear that the vast majority of VA employees work admirably in an often thankless environment. These dedicated public servants work hard to provide the day-to-day care our veterans deserve, and they should be protected. That is why, while I believe it is important to hold poorly performing employees accountable, I also believe that it is important to protect the rights of the employees who may have been wrongly terminated, especially at the lower levels, by giving them a fair and impartial process to address the management problems and lapses in care that plague the VA. There is no question that the VA needs reforms that will make it more responsive to the needs of our veterans, and more accountable when it does not adequately serve them.

The VA Accountability and Whistleblower Protection Act attempts to address these issues by making it easier for management to discipline and remove VA employees. It is essential that managers have this authority to remove employees who violate their duty to care for our veterans. It is also important that our removal process be implemented in a fair and impartial manner. The House bill failed to provide those protections, and I appreciate Senator Tester's work on this issue and his efforts to improve the bill that the House passed. I am concerned, however, that some provisions in the bill weaken the worker protections that are necessary to avoid arbitrary or politically motivated disciplinary actions. Our Nation's civil service protections are intended to allow Federal workers to do their jobs free of intimidation or political retaliation. Employees can be disciplined or removed, but only with due process that exposes the full facts of the case. Reforms that rely on fear of arbitrary discipline or removal are not truly reforms, but will create a toxic environment within the agencies. While I have concerns about some of the provisions of this bill, we must provide veterans the care and support they need from the VA.

I admire the dedication and commitment of our Federal workers at the VA, many of whom are veterans themselves. Most care deeply and go the extra mile to serve those who have served. I know that Secretary Shulkin showed his courage and his superiority in planning. As a result, that battle turned around the course of the war in the Pacific with Japan. What a day to remember, June 6.

I yield the floor.

Mr. VAN HOLLEN. Madam President, we are all united in support of a strong and effective VA that is able to provide toptopnotch services and support to the veterans who have served our country nobly. None of us can be satisfied with the current state of the Department, and I share the frustration of constituent veterans who are unable to get the basic care and treatment they need, from widows and families who have lost loved ones while under the care of the VA, and from dedicated VA employees who are frustrated with the waste and inept management that prevent them from providing the care they believe our veterans deserve. The revelations about the continuing problems at the District of Columbia VA hospital are proof that immediate attention is needed to make the VA right.

I supported the nomination of Dr. David Shulkin to be VA Secretary and gave him my full support to make progress to address the management problems and lapses in care that plague the VA. There is no question that the VA needs reforms that will make it more responsive to the needs of our veterans, and more accountable when it does not adequately serve them.

The VA Accountability and Whistleblower Protection Act attempts to address these issues by making it easier for management to discipline and remove VA employees. It is essential that managers have this authority to remove employees who violate their duty to care for our veterans. It is also important that our removal process be implemented in a fair and impartial manner. The House bill failed to provide those protections, and I appreciate Senator Tester's work on this issue and his efforts to improve the bill that the House passed. I am concerned, however, that some provisions in the bill weaken the worker protections that are necessary to avoid arbitrary or politically motivated disciplinary actions. Our Nation's civil service protections are intended to allow Federal workers to do their jobs free of intimidation or political retaliation. Employees can be disciplined or removed, but only with due process that exposes the full facts of the case. Reforms that rely on fear of arbitrary discipline or removal are not truly reforms, but will create a toxic environment within the agencies. While I have concerns about some of the provisions of this bill, we must provide veterans the care and support they need from the VA.

I admire the dedication and commitment of our Federal workers at the VA, many of whom are veterans themselves. Most care deeply and go the extra mile to serve those who have served. I know that Secretary Shulkin...
recognizes the enormous talent in our Federal workers, and I believe he should strive to create a stronger team by rapidly filling the 45,000 vacant civil service positions currently at the VA and by building on the strong sense of purpose that motivates our VA Federal workforce and cares for our veterans.

Mr. NELSON. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. PERDUE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

THE PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

THE BUDGET PROCESS

Mr. PERDUE. Mr. President, we have 50 workdays in the Senate before the end of this fiscal year—50 days. That does not include the 5 weeks we will be gone for the August State work weeks. I rise tonight to talk about what happens September 30. September is the end of the fiscal year. That means we have to have the Federal Government funded for fiscal year 2018, which starts October 1 of this year.

Like every year—as a matter of fact, like every year since 1980—the Federal Government will probably not be funded by the end of this fiscal year in the manner it was supposed to be, according to law. That was done in 1974, the Budget Act of 1974. In 33 of the last 35 years, the Federal Government has only been funded four times, according to that bill. We have used 178 continuing resolutions, and therefore ongoing omnibus and so forth, where six people get in a room, basically decide how we are going to spend $1 trillion.

This is the only enterprise I can find anywhere in the world that funds its operations this way. The problem is, we have a system that is absolutely totally broken. It is a fraud that is being perpetrated on the American people. We have been asked, between now and September 30—this is the way the budget process works. By the way, we should have started this back in January, but we couldn’t do it because we were working on the fiscal year 2017 budget to do reconciliation so we could work on healthcare.

Now we are going to, when that gets done, in August 2017. We will do a reconciliation and hopefully do a tax package behind that, but wrapped up in all of that, here comes September 30 and 50 workdays from today to fund the Federal Government. Between now and then, in 50 days, we are asked to do a budget for fiscal year 2018, to do full appropriations for 16 different entities, committees, and then do appropriations for 12 committees.

By the way, over the last 43 years, you have to fund 12 appropriations bills to fund the Federal Government. It takes 13 appropriations bills up until around 2000. Since then, it has been 12, but of those 12, the average number of appropriations bills this body has appropriated each year is 2½. Now, by any measure, that is unacceptable, but we are now asked, between now and September 30, in a very truncated manner, to do the budget, do 12 1½ and all 12 appropriations. Now, I am not a betting man, but I will go to Vegas and short that idea right now. There is no way we are going to fund this government according to that policy—no way. It will not happen. It can’t happen. It has not happened in 40 years that way except four times.

Every single year this process breaks down. Like I said, we have used 178 continuing resolutions. What does a CR do? It ties the hands of our military leaders, where they can’t move money from one bucket to the other to help accommodate it. So what we have right now is a process that just has not worked. Yet, because of that, the Federal government has exploded in size.

In 2000, the Federal Government spent $2.4 trillion running the Federal Government. Last year, we spent $3.9 trillion. Those are constant 2016 dollars. Over the next 10 years, we are going to spend $53 trillion running the Federal Government. We are going to borrow a significant part of that—another $10 trillion.

The irony is, the debate we are having between now and September 30 is to fund the government, not on the full $4 trillion, the budget for next year. We are running the Federal Government, we are going to have this debate on only about $1.1 trillion, the discretionary side of the budget.

If you do the math, in the last 8 years and, oh, by the way, in the next 10 years, according to the current CBO baseline budget, we borrow over 30 percent of what we spend as a Federal Government. Well, discretionary spending over the next 10 years is going to be $3 trillion. If that means, over the last 8 years and over the next 10 years, every dime we have spent on discretionary spending has, by definition, been borrowed.

There is no other way to look at it. That means that every dime we have spent for our military, which is about $600 billion today, every dime we spend on our VA, which is a little less than $200 billion, and every dime we spend on all other domestic expenditures, including the way we are going to spend operating business, will come to $1.1 trillion. Every dime of that today is borrowed money. That means we have to go to China and the rest of the world to fund all of our discretionary spending.

Now, by anybody’s estimate—conservative, liberal, whatever—that is not acceptable. Here is why it is not acceptable: It cannot be sustained over a long period of time. Yet we are sitting here with a budget today that goes for the next 10 years that says we are going to spend operating business as is usual and add another $10 trillion to this already burdensome debt of $20 trillion.

The debt crisis and the budget problem are interlinked. There is no way that we can solve the debt crisis unless and until we solve the budget process. The difficulty comes in trying to align the prospects within the process itself of how to get a deal that is acceptable, right?

Right now the budget process doesn’t work for this very reason: The budget itself is not a law. It is a resolution, which means the majority party can cram down the throat of the minority version of a political budget. That is all it is.

Then you go to an authorization process, and, in the authorization process today, we have over $310 billion of Federal expenditures that are not authorized, including the State Department. Last year, we didn’t even do an authorization for our military. Even then, after passing the appropriations bill in the Armed Services Committee well beyond what I think is called for on the floor of the Senate. We tried six times. So it was not authorized or appropriated last year, but it got wrapped up in an omnibus, and that same thing will more than likely happen this year.

We have to be careful. We have to be fixed, we have to be difficult. Several of us have been working behind the scenes, looking at other best practices around the world—other countries, companies, and even States. We have looked at best practices. What we found was that nobody else tries to fund their government or their enterprise the way we try to fund the Federal Government. This goes back to article I and article II of our Constitution.

Article I says that funding the Federal Government is the responsibility of Congress. Yet we have abconded with that. The 1921 act that created the Office of Management and Budget went far beyond that which is the first time in the original Constitution. So what we are looking at today is a legislative underreach and an executive overreach relative to funding government, out of necessity because of the dysfunction right here in the Senate. We have to solve the budget process and, until we solve the budget process, and, in the authorization process, there is no question that we will not fund this government without a continuing resolution and/or an omnibus come September 30.

The fix is not that unreasonable. All we need is a politically neutral platform that brings all expenses into the budget process—all $4 trillion today. That would include discretionary and mandatory and that the budget become a law, which means that we have to get bipartisan support for the budget.

Then, lastly, if we don’t get the budget done by a certain date and we don’t fund the government by the end of the fiscal year, then consequences are borne by the people who have the responsibility to fund the government, and that is the Senate, the House of Representatives, and the people in the administration who are responsible for their part of it. It is not that complicated. Many other countries do it. Most other countries do it. In fact, in some countries, if
they don’t fund the government by the end of their fiscal year, their constitutions actually say that the government gets disbanded and they have an election. Well, that is not what I am calling for here. I don’t think we have to do anything that is more severe.

There are colleagues of mine right here in this body, on both sides, who have contributed—Senators WHITAKER, ENZI, CORKER, LANKFORD, TILLIS, ERNST, ROUNDS, SULLIVAN, Daines, and others—and who are weighing in on both sides. Government has executive experience running large financial enterprises at the State level, have come into this body and bring enormous wealth of experience about how to get this done.

My argument is that right now, during this period of dysfunctionality when we see firsthand the reality of not being able to take care of domestic needs, military needs, or any other discretionary enterprises that we want to fund because we have to come up with a budget that is transgressive, I can find no other time better than what we have right now to raise the question on both sides of the aisle. This is not a partisan conversation. Both sides are guilty, but let’s come together on a politically neutral platform that would allow both sides, during the budget process, to talk about tax increases, tax expenditures, spending cuts, all the spending that we have, and all the responsibility we have in the Federal Government or in the Congress of funding the Federal Government. Why not?

We have one suggestion that says: Pick a time in the future. Decide what percentage of your GDP should be covered by debt—no more than that—and have a limit on that, and then pick a roadmap back from that point in time to today with guardrails around that. That suggestion comes from the other side of the aisle, and I applaud that suggestion and I think it is very workable. We can find ways to make all of this work. This should not be a partisan conversation.

I sit on the Armed Services Committee, and I sat on Foreign Relations the last 2 years. Both of those committees are really very strong bipartisan efforts by every Member.

That is what is needed here, and yet the Budget Committee, ironically, is one of the most partisan committees. The reason it is so is because of the law itself, because our budget is not a law. It is a resolution. My contention is that this is the root of this problem. It is one of the causative factors that cause this debt to be uncontrollable and to cause a dysfunction in this body from even being able to attempt to bring that under control.

The solution is not just taxing more. It is not just spending more. It is not just growing more. The problem is much bigger than that. The debt problem will never be solved unless and until we solve this budgeting process.

As we close in on the next 50 days, as we check off every single day, I want my colleagues in here to be reminded of what we are going to have to do to fund the government come October 1. Please, let’s not get right up to September 30 with a gun to our head that says: Either do it this way, spend this much, or the government will shut down. That is not funded the government tomorrow. That is total irresponsibility, just like I believe this budget process is a fraud perpetrated by Washington on the people of America and it is not honest relative to what we have to face up to in terms of our responsibilities.

We cannot afford to do all that we are doing. That is just pure fact. The world is no longer going to be able to loan us the money that we need over the next 10 years—another $10 trillion. There is some $200 trillion of total debt in the world. Only $60 trillion of the $200 trillion is sovereign debt, and we have one-third of that sovereign debt budgeted, and we have the appropriations have curtailed their borrowing. We are one of the few that continue to just race along this path of borrowing money at this breakneck pace and adding another $10 trillion. We can, potentially, have the world’s sovereign debt in the next 10 years. That cannot happen. The world bond market and the bond markets will not, potentially, allow that to happen.

So today is the day. As we go through the next 50 days, I believe we need to look for opportunities on both sides of the aisle to find a bipartisan way to stop this nonsense and to get to where we can fund the government in a responsible, nonpartisan way, not just 1 year, and to get away from the past 43 years of total failure in terms of funding the Federal Government, such that when we get to September 30 of each year, we have already approved the budget, and we have the appropriations have lined up and funded for the needs that we have all agreed here in Congress need to be met.

I can think of no other call on this body higher than this right now because of doing all of the things that we need to do; that is, to take care of our domestic needs, to take care of the people who need the safety net, to take care of these legacy programs of Social Security and Medicare, and to defend our country. Because of this debt, we are limiting the opportunities that we have, and we will not solve that until we address this budget process.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. PAUL). The Senator from Washington. TRUMPCARE

Mrs. MURRAY. Mr. President, I wish to take a few minutes this afternoon to talk about specifically, about what families back in my home State of Washington are saying about the harm that this bill will do and why, despite how often Republicans say they are struggling to find a way to jam TrumpCare through the Senate, now is the time to keep the pressure on.

I have had to say this far too often: Right now people across the country are scared, and they have a right to be. The policies in TrumpCare would turn our healthcare system into a minefield of higher costs and worse care for our families. If you are a young person who needs mental healthcare, you could pay thousands more a year on top of what you are already paying for insurance. If you are a senior, your premiums could increase by as much as 850 percent. If you need maternity care, the independent analysis by the Congressional Budget Office shows you could pay as much as $1,000 more a year for insurance. Under TrumpCare, 23 million people across the country would lose coverage, and, because insurance companies would have far more power to lower their standards and offer skimpy, snake-oil plans, we would go back to the days when a trip to the emergency room could result in a truly devastating financial hit.

I have just described some of the biggest impacts TrumpCare would have. Yes, it would have a devastating impact on families. They instead do serious harm.

But you know who would benefit from TrumpCare? Special interests in the healthcare industry that would get a massive tax break and, of course, President Trump, who is desperate for a political win.

For these reasons and many more, people across our country are rejecting TrumpCare. They don’t want the dramatically higher healthcare costs. They don’t want the $1,000 more a year to create even more chaos in our healthcare system than Republicans already have, and they certainly don’t think they should have to pay more, all to appease President Trump and those at the very top.

Senate Republican leaders have said they expect their final product will look a whole lot like the version of TrumpCare that passed in the House. In fact, some of them said they expect TrumpCare to be as much as 90 percent of the House-passed version of TrumpCare. So it is no wonder that they are now having trouble figuring out how to cobble together enough votes to jam this disastrous bill through the Senate. If that is truly the case, then, I would again encourage them to drop this reckless repeal effort, to stop creating chaos in our healthcare system, which is driving up our premiums, and to work with Democrats on real solutions.

We are ready, like we have always been, to find ways to bring down families’ healthcare costs while making sure they get the same quality of care and finding ways to get families more affordable coverage. Unfortunately, we have not heard from any Republicans who are willing to reverse course. That is why, despite how much trouble Republicans may be having with their disastrous policies, I am here today urging anyone who rejects TrumpCare and what it means for our families’ health and financial security to stand up today and say—just as hard as they can against this bill. Keep making those calls, keep rallying, and keep sharing your stories.
Since the election, I have heard from family after family in Washington State about what the future holds for their healthcare. One of those is a constituent of mine named Marcy Jefferson. Her husband is a small business owner, and they purchase individual insurance.

Well, in 2014 Marcy was diagnosed with cancer. She has had to have not one but two stem cell transplants since then, and her chemotherapy costs are over $3,000 each month.

Before the Affordable Care Act, Marcy’s health insurance had no out-of-pocket limit. Without limits on how much insurance companies can charge patients—a protection that TrumpCare would take away—Marcy says she and her husband will most definitely face bankruptcy.

Marcy also says that the ACA “literally saved my life—and we could not afford the type of care I am receiving without it.”

There are stories like Marcy’s in literally every community in our country—in red States, in blue States, in purple States. It is appalling that instead of working with us to make healthcare affordable and high quality and expanding coverage, instead of listening to people like Marcy and joining us at the table, Republicans are trying to jam through the Senate a plan that would do the opposite: a plan that would threaten lives and devastate millions financially.

So I am going to do everything I can to fight back, and I will keep working hard against the deeply harmful TrumpCare plan that Republicans are determined to get signed into law. Families like Marcy’s are bravely speaking up and making clear just how damaging TrumpCare would be, and that is exactly what Democrats here in the Senate are going to do as well.

The President’s Budget

Before I close, Mr. President, I want to take a couple of minutes to talk about President Trump’s latest budget proposal, because even after last week’s stunning move by President Trump to obfuscate our fight against climate change and seeing another confirmation the week before that that 23 million Americans would lose their healthcare coverage under TrumpCare, we cannot lose sight of the grand scope of President Trump’s cruel attack against working families. Nowhere has the broken promises to working families been more evident than in his recent budget proposal.

President Trump spent his campaign promising workers he would stand with them, promising seniors he would protect their care, promising the middle class he would make the economy work for them. Then he came to Washington, DC, and crafted a budget that is a perfect summary of all the way those promises are broken.

In supporting the budget director came up to Capitol Hill just 2 weeks ago to try and defend the budget, to try to explain how it didn’t break promises, but he couldn’t do it because it can’t be done.

From his promises not to cut Medicaid or Social Security to his promise to provide “insurance for everybody” that was better and at lower cost, promise after promise was not just broken but shredded.

So I urge my colleagues, Democrats and Republicans, to reject President Trump’s anti-worker, anti-student, anti-woman, anti-senior agenda. Thankfully, we are hearing signs that is sticking. Democrats, Republicans, and Independents have been criticizing this budget here in DC and across the country. One senior Republican Senator called it “dead on arrival,” and he is exactly right.

The families we represent want us to work together, to invest in our workers and in our middle-class families, to protect patients, to stand with women, to grow our economy from the middle out, and not simply give more tax cuts to the wealthy or well connected. We owe it to the President's broken promises to working families been more evident that we cannot lose sight of the grand scope of President Trump’s cruel attack against working families. Nowhere has the opposite—one that would threaten lives and devastate our families financially.

There are stories like Marcy’s in literally every community in our country—over $100,000 families like Marcy’s are bravely determined to get signed into law. Families like Marcy’s are bravely determined to get signed into law.

So I am going to do everything I can to fight back, and I will keep working hard against the deeply harmful TrumpCare plan that Republicans are determined to get signed into law. Families like Marcy’s are bravely speaking up and making clear just how damaging TrumpCare would be, and that is exactly what Democrats here in the Senate are going to do as well.

The President’s Budget

Before I close, Mr. President, I want to take a couple of minutes to talk about President Trump’s latest budget proposal, because even after last week’s stunning move by President Trump to obfuscate our fight against climate change and seeing another confirmation the week before that that 23 million Americans would lose their healthcare coverage under TrumpCare, we cannot lose sight of the grand scope of President Trump’s cruel attack against working families. Nowhere has the broken promises to working families been more evident than in his recent budget proposal.

President Trump spent his campaign promising workers he would stand with them, promising seniors he would protect their care, promising the middle class he would make the economy work for them. Then he came to Washington, DC, and crafted a budget that is a perfect summary of all the way those promises are broken.

In supporting the budget director came up to Capitol Hill just 2 weeks ago to try and defend the budget, to try to explain how it didn’t break promises, but he couldn’t do it because it can’t be done.

From his promises not to cut Medicaid or Social Security to his promise to provide “insurance for everybody” that was better and at lower cost, promise after promise was not just broken but shredded.

So I urge my colleagues, Democrats and Republicans, to reject President Trump’s anti-worker, anti-student, anti-woman, anti-senior agenda. Thankfully, we are hearing signs that is sticking. Democrats, Republicans, and Independents have been criticizing this budget here in DC and across the country. One senior Republican Senator called it “dead on arrival,” and he is exactly right.

The families we represent want us to work together, to invest in our workers and in our middle-class families, to protect patients, to stand with women, to grow our economy from the middle out, and not simply give more tax cuts to the wealthy or well connected. We owe it to the
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We live in a time of an outrage culture, where in order to make the news every evening, you have to be involved in some controversy or say something over the top. That is just the way things are these days. So when something happens, it doesn't get a lot of coverage. It is my sense that while we are not doing this for purposes of getting coverage, many Americans tonight, as they watch the news or go on the internet, will have no idea that this took place. I think that is unfortunate because in addition to the importance of this piece of legislation, it is a testament that despite all of the important issues, noise, and arguments we hear every day on television, that our Republic still works and that the fact that this is not getting a lot of attention because this is not controversial. If there were a big fight on this and we come home after taking care of us. Sadly, for many, this solemn obligation and promise has not been kept.

Plain and simple, ineffective governance is unfair to our veterans and to the American taxpayer. The VA must be properly managed so that it can provide timely, quality care to veterans and be held accountable to all Americans.

Let me follow up what I just said by making it abundantly clear that the overwhelming majority of the people who work at the VA are good, hard-working employees who serve our veterans well. Many of them are veterans themselves. This is not a punitive measure, we are trying to make the tools that they need work. Sometimes they are doing work under very difficult circumstances. But it has become clear that under the current law, the VA often is unwilling or unable to come to an agreement.

The unfortunate reality is that those few but significant number of negligent employees often went unpunished. To shield such employees from the consequences brings down the entire Department, demoralizes the workforce, and undermines the core mission of the VA. That comes not from political talking points but from the men and women who themselves work at the VA.

We cannot and must not allow bureaucratic redtape to get in the way. Our VA is staffed by those who belong there and are willing to perform the important tasks of serving our heroes. It is our hope and my belief that this law will change it for the better. Simply put, the law gives the VA Secretary the authority to reprimand, to suspend, to demote, or to remove any employee if their behavior or their performance warrants such an action. To delay it now and for future Secretaries to carry out their important mission.

In addition to the Secretary, the bill has been endorsed by the Office of Special Counsel, Project On Government Oversight, and several veteran service organizations, including the Paralyzed Veterans of America, the American Legion, the Veterans of Foreign Wars, Concerned Veterans for America, the Reserve Officers Association, the Iraq and Afghanistan Veterans of America, American Veterans, and the Military Officers Association of America. These organizations serve our veterans admirably, have valuable knowledge of veterans’ needs, and they agree that this legislation provides overdue reforms to the VA’s current broken civil service system. We are all grateful for their help, for their support, and for helping and informing us in tweaking this law so that it actually solves problems.

There are two more points that I want to make.

I am proud that we were able to come together as a unified body—Republicans and Democrats—to show the Nation that the Republican can still work and that we can work together to solve problems. That spirit will carry over into other issues that confront our Nation.

With today’s vote, I think we move one step closer to achieving the worthy goal of bringing accountability and, as a result, an improvement in the VA. I thank my colleagues for joining this fight to better serve our Nation’s veterans.
I close by thanking the people who worked day in and day out on this, including the staffs for Chairman Isakson and Ranking Member Tester. Adam Reece and Jorge Rueda worked tirelessly on the bill. I thank Hazen Marshall and Tom Daniels with Senator McConnell’s office. I thank my staff—all of them, including the cloakroom staff—Laura Dove, Chris Tuck, and Tony Hanagan—for their work in getting this bill here today.

On my own personal staff, I thank J.R. Sanchez, who has worked on this personally for 3 years, and I don’t know what he is going to do with his time now because he has spent so much time and passion on this, and he knows many of these veterans personally.

This is a good day, and I look forward to eventually getting this bill over to the President’s office so that accountability and improvement in performance can finally come to the VA and so that the men and women who have taken care of us will finally be taken care of the way they deserve.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Scott). The Senator from Georgia.

Mr. ISAKSON. Mr. President, I thank Senator Rubio, who has been a steadfast leader on this issue for years.

People have heard the term “years” mentioned. It is plural. We have worked on this thing for a long time. It started coming together last year, but it fell apart at the last minute. Thanks to the work and the work of the committee and the staffs on both committees and the leadership on the Democratic side—Mr. Tester’s and mine—we found a way to do what, as I said in my opening remarks earlier—3 hours ago—is an act of Divine Providence.

None of us really ever planned that this bill would come to the floor on the 73rd anniversary of the invasion of Normandy.

It was a great day in American history. It described history when the evil German empire—Adolf Hitler—was destroyed by the Allied Forces and the United States of America. It is only appropriate that on the anniversary of that date 73 years later, we say to those who have worn the uniform and who wear the uniform, who represent us every day and fight for us and ask nothing in return: We will see to it that you get what you were promised in terms of healthcare and benefits, and we will work tirelessly on the bill. We will work on the bill. We will work on the bill. We will work on the bill. We will work on the bill.

I will repeat what has been said by the others in thanking the staff members who have worked so hard. This has not been an easy battle. It has appeared easy because nobody has been down here, arguing. All of the arguments are over. The veterans won. Doing the right thing won. It all would not have happened had it not been for a lot of hard-working staff.

I thank Jon Tester and his staff, on the Democratic side, for all of their work on this. I want to particularly thank the Republican staffs who worked countless, tireless hours in order to make this take place: Staff Director Tom Bowman, Amanda Meredith, Adam Reece, Gretchen Blum, Kristen Hinck, Maureen O’Neill, Lesli Lander, Danielle Shearman, Jillian Workman, Thomas Coleman, John Ashley, Mitchell Sylvest, and Heather Vachon.

We could not have done what we did nor would we have been here today without their help. Yet, as always, if it has been true, we would not as a country have been here today nor would we have ever existed had it not been for the brave men and women who bore the battle—who fought the battle—who defended our country and made sure we had the opportunity to become what is now acknowledged around the world—the greatest government on the face of this Earth.

On this day, the anniversary of the invasion of Normandy on D-day, we are guaranteeing our veterans the type of service that they fought for and deserve. God bless America, and God bless the veterans who proudly serve America day in and day out in every uniform around the world.

In the absence of another speaker, I yield back the remaining time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. All time is yielded back.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading and was read the third time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill having been read the third time, the question is, Shall it pass?

The bill (S. 1094), as amended, was passed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Georgia.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. ISAKSON. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate be in a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mrs. Capito). Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from Rhode Island.

GASPEE DAYS

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Madam President, I come to the Senate floor every year around this time to discuss an important incident in the history of Rhode Island largely overlooked in the history books, certainly overlooked in consequence to its importance.

We have to understand that Rhode Islanders have always had a pretty fierce independent streak. The Colony of Rhode Island and Providence Plantations was founded by Roger Williams and others fleeing the harsh ideological policies of the Massachusetts theocracy. Our 1663 charter, describing the colony as a “lively experiment,” is the first formal document in all of history granting to a political entity the separation of church and state, along with unprecedented freedoms of speech.

Rhode Island was the first colony to declare its independence from Britain, on the Fourth of May, 1776—2 months before the rest of the colonies. On the Fourth of July—and we were the last colony to join the Union, waiting for an independent Bill of Rights. Like I said, an independent streak.

Colonial Rhode Islanders chafed at the inequities of British rule, especially the disruption of our liberty at sea. We are the Ocean State. Living and working on the water has always been a Rhode Island way of life. As tensions with the American Colonies grew, however, King George III stationed revenue cutters, armed Customs patrol vessels, in the waters of Narragansett Bay to prevent smuggling, enforce the payment of taxes, and impose British sovereignty.

In 1764, after a British ship called the HMS Gaspee, a sloop fenced for the Newport merchants, a group of Rhode Islanders seized control of Fort George on Goat Island in Newport Harbor and fired cannons on the vessel.

In 1769, the HMS Liberty, a sloop commissioned for the British from none other than John Hancock and repurposed as a Customs vessel, was boarded, scuttled, and burned by a mob of angry Rhode Islanders.

In 1772, on a dark night, a band of Rhode Islanders destroyed the HMS Gaspee, one of the most hated imperial ships, drawing what the Rhode Island abolitionist Frances Whipple McDougall called, in 1884, “The first blood in the Revolution.”

The Gaspee and its captain, Lieutenant William Duddington, were known for destroying Rhode Islanders’ vessels, seizing their cargo, and flagging down ships to harass, humiliate, and interrogate the Colonials. As historian Steven Bunn describes in his book, “The Burning of His Majesty’s Schooner Gaspee: An Attack on Crown Rule Before the American Revolution,” the Gaspee was an unwelcome, even hated, presence in Narragansett Bay. Rhode Island Deputy Gov. Darius Sessions complained to Gov. Joseph Wanton, in March 1772, that Lieutenant Duddington had “no legal authority to justify his conduct, and his commission . . . [was] more of a fiction than anything else.”

When British authorities assured Governor Wanton that Duddington was there to protect the Rhode Island colony from pirates, the Governor replied that he didn’t know whether Duddington was there from pirates or was the pirate himself.

On June 9, 1772, all this tension came to a head. On this day, Rhode Island Captain Benjamin Lindsey was en route to Providence from Newport in his ship the Hannah. He was ordered by the hated Gaspee to halt for inspection. Captain Lindsey refused, and he raced up Narragansett Bay—despite warning shots fired at the Hannah. The Gaspee
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That night, 80 or so men shoved off from the wharf under a moonless sky, with their faces blackened and their oarlocks muffled, paddling eight longboats down Narragansett Bay toward the Gaspee. The longboats silently approached the Gaspee, and the Rhode Islanders shouted for Lieutenant Dudingston to surrender his ship. As Daniel Harrington recounted in the Providence Journal, “Captain Abraham Whipple spoke first, for the Rhode Islanders, summoning Dudingston: ‘I am sheriff of Kent Coun-
ty, [expletive]. I have a warrant to appre-
hend you, [expletive]; so surrender, [expletive].’ It was a classic Rhode Island
surprise.

Surprised and enraged, Dudingston refused and ordered his men to fire upon anyone who attempted to board the Gaspee. Gunshots struck out in the night, and musket balls hit Lieutenant Dudingston in his groin and his arm. The Rhode Islanders, outnumbering the British, swarmed onto the deck and commandeered the ship. Brown ordered one of his Rhode Islanders, a physician named John Mawney, to tend to Lieutenant Dudingston’s wounds.

After properly plundering the lieutenant’s quarters, the patriots removed the British crew to land and returned to torch the Gaspee. Ultimately, the flames reached the powder magazine, and the resulting blast echoed across the bay as the dreaded Gaspee blew to smithereens.

When word got back to the King, he was furious, and he offered huge royal rewards for the capture of the Rhode Islanders who had done this deed, but, strangely enough, no Rhode Islander would step forward to finger the perpetrators. You have to admire, under that kind of pressure, that with 80 people who had gone in on those longboats, not one Rhode Islander would spill the beans.

Word spread throughout the Colonies of this incident and of the Crown’s brand of justice. Samuel Adams wrote a letter in the Providence Gazette on December 26, 1772, that read, in part:

A court of inquiry, more horrid than
that of Spain or Portugal, is established
within this colony, to inquire into the cir-
cumstances of destroying the Gaspee schoon-
er; and the persons who are the commis-
sioners of this new-fangled court, are vested
with more unconstitutional power. They are directed to summon wit-
tnesses, apprehend persons not only im-
mad, but even suspected! And . . . to de-
deliver them to Admiral Montagu, who is or-
dered to have a ship in readiness to carry
them to England, where they are to be tried.

The Reverend John Allen delivered at the Second Baptist Church in Boston a Thanksgiving sermon on the Gaspee Affair that was distributed in pamphlet form throughout the Colonies. His words helped rouse the spirit of inde-
pendence of this fledgling Nation. He said:

Supposing . . . that the Rhode Islanders, for the sake of the blood-bought liberties of their forefathers, for the sake of the birth-
rights of their children, should show a spirit of
resentment against a tyrannical arbitrary
power that attempts to destroy their lives, liberties and property, would it not be insuf-
ferably cruel (this is the law of na-
ture and nations teaches them to do) to be
butchered, assassinated and slaughtered in their own streets by their own King?

Well, school students in this history books tell a tale of Bostonians who dressed up in funny outfits and climbed onto a British boat and pushed bales of tea into the harbor, but not enough school-
children know of the bravery of the Rhode Islanders who, more than a year earlier, fired the first shots and drew the first blood in the quest for Amer-
ican independence. It is a fine thing, I am
sure, to push tea bales off a boat. We blew the boat up, and we did it more than a
Rhode Islanders are justifiably proud
of our role in our rebellion. We have
made a tradition of celebrating the
Gaspee incident with the annual Gaspee Days celebration and parade through
Warwick. An independent study group
at Brown University is adapting the
tale of the Gaspee into a virtual reality
educational experience so you can put
on the goggles and reenact the experi-
ence of the Rhode Island
story with cutting-edge technol-
ogy to engage middle and high
school students in this history.

Someday soon, children across the country may be able to join Captain Whipple and John Brown and step into a virtual longboat, coast down a
virtual Narragansett Bay, and watch the sky over a virtual Rhode Island, alight with the fire of revolution.

I thank the Presiding Officer. I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The
clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order
to take up the bill be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations.

Mr. CARDIN. Madam President, I am
honored today to announce legislation that Senator PERRIN and I have intro-
duced that provides another option for
children in the foster care system in Montana and across the country.

I worked with a host of Montana groups to develop this Child Protec-
tion and Family Support Act of 2017, including a handful of nonprofit organiza-
tions focused on foster youth, the Mon-
tana attorney general, Indian Tribes, and individual constituents. I am
pleased to have their support on this
legislation.

Montana is in the midst of a child welfare crisis. We have a record 3,400
children in foster care, and about a
third of those children are there be-
cause of methamphetamine use by
their parents.

Montana state law requires the Office of the Child and Family Ombudsman to investigate circumstances surrounding child fatalities when the child was in-

developed with the Montana Department of Public Health and Human Services Child and Family Services Division within 12 months of the date of the
child’s death.

Last December, the Montana Depart-
ment of Justice issued a report and the
findings were devastating. It detailed 14 child deaths that met these para-

ters.

Of the 14 cases reviewed, 11 involved children 2 years old or younger. In nine
of those cases, the children were 1 year
old or younger. Eleven cases included
allegations of drug use, four of which
indicated methamphetamine use.
Six cases indicated issues of domestic vio-
lence, and eight cases involved parents
who received child protective services in Montana when they themselves were
children. I have heard that at least
seven children have met a similar fate in the first 5 months of 2017 alone. The
death of one child is too many.

50TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE REUNIFICATION OF JERUSALEM

Mr. CARDIN. Madam President, this
June marks the 50th anniversary of the
reunification of Jerusalem. I am
honored to have supported S. Res. 176,
which commemorates the 50th anniver-
sary of the reunification of Jerusalem.

This resolution reaffirms the Holy
City of Jerusalem’s central role for the
Jewish people dating back many mil-

ennia. Since 1967, all people of reli-
gious faiths are able to visit and wor-
ship at the holy sites of Jerusalem.
I will always stand with Israel as it en-
sures that all individuals enjoy oppor-
tunities to visit and worship at Jerusa-
lem’s holy sites.

The United States has stood with
Israel for the past 50 years and will
continue to do so. The intervening 50
years have included momentous changes in Israel and throughout the
region, including peace treaties with
Egypt and Jordan that stand to this
today. These events give me hope for
a future in which Israel and all of its
neighbors together benefit from a com-
prehensive, warm peace.

CHILD PROTECTION AND FAMILY SUPPORT ACT

Mr. DAINES. Madam President, I am
honored today to announce legislation that Senator PERRIN and I have intro-
duced that provides another option for
children in the foster care system in Montana and across the country.

I worked with a host of Montana groups to develop this Child Protec-
tion and Family Support Act of 2017, including a handful of nonprofit organiza-
tions focused on foster youth, the Mon-
tana attorney general, Indian Tribes, and individual constituents. I am
pleased to have their support on this
legislation.

Montana is in the midst of a child welfare crisis. We have a record 3,400
children in foster care, and about a
third of those children are there be-
cause of methamphetamine use by
their parents.

Montana state law requires the Office of the Child and Family Ombudsman to investigate circumstances surrounding child fatalities when the child was in-

olved with the Montana Department of Public Health and Human Services Child and Family Services Division within 12 months of the date of the
child’s death.

Last December, the Montana Depart-
ment of Justice issued a report and the
findings were devastating. It detailed 14 child deaths that met these para-

ters.

Of the 14 cases reviewed, 11 involved children 2 years old or younger. In nine
of those cases, the children were 1 year
old or younger. Eleven cases included
allegations of drug use, four of which
indicated methamphetamine use.
Six cases indicated issues of domestic vio-
lence, and eight cases involved parents
who received child protective services in Montana when they themselves were
children. I have heard that at least
seven children have met a similar fate in the first 5 months of 2017 alone. The
death of one child is too many.
Under current Federal policy, a child must be removed from the home of a parent and, after removal, cannot be living with the parent, in order to be eligible for a title IV–E foster care maintenance payment. My bill would permit title IV–E foster care maintenance payments for 36 months, for a child in foster care who is placed with a parent in a licensed residential family-based treatment facility. This would allow the parent to get the help they need while keeping the family intact.

Secondly, the bill would reauthorize the Administration for Children and Families’ Regional Partnership Grant, RPG, Program. These competitive grants reduce the risk of foster care due to parental substance abuse, an issue of utmost importance to Montana. Since their inception in 2006, two Montana grantees have utilized and benefited from RPGs: the Center for Children and Families in Billings, MT, and the Northern Plains Housing Authority in Crow Agency.

My bill reauthorizes and strengthens this grant program with modest improvements. For example, it encourages the use of RPGs to address the needs of children and families affected by methamphetamine, heroin, and opioid substance use disorders, helps implement effective title IV–E prevention services, and focuses on improved outcomes for families, including children and their parents. The bill further requires the administering agency to the Federal child welfare agency, every funded partnership must include the State agency that administers the Federal substance abuse prevention and treatment block grant and, if the partnership intends to serve children placed in out-of-home care, the court that handles child abuse and neglect proceedings in the region.

Among the long list of eligible grant applicants and partners, Native American tribal consortiums and Tribal organizations are all eligible grantees, and I hope they will increasingly utilize RPGs, especially given the unique foster care challenges in Indian communities.

As a U.S. Senator, as a person of faith, as a father, and as an American, I believe in helping the most vulnerable in our society—in this case, innocent children.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this legislation.

100TH BIRTHDAY OF THE 34TH AND 37TH BOMB SQUADRONS

Mr. THUNE. Madam President, today I would like to recognize the 100th birthday of the Air Force’s 34th and 37th Bomb Squadrons.

Two of the oldest active squadrons in the U.S. Air Force, the 34th and 37th Bomb Squadrons first served our Nation during World War II, when our allies in Europe and the Pacific called for help, the brave airmen of these units answered the call. They served with distinction during the historic Doolittle Raid, a daring mission that tested the limits of the B–25 bombers that had been modified to strike a blow to the heart of the Japanese empire. While their bombers delivered payloads, their courage delivered hope to a world that longed for peace.

The 34th and 37th Bomb Squadrons went on to perform interdiction and support missions during the Korean war, deployed during Operation Desert Storm, and fought in Kosovo and Serbia. When terrorists attacked our homeland in 2001, both squadrons took action in Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom. In 2011, the 34th and 37th joined forces to launch the first ever B–1 combat mission launched from the continental United States, flying from Ellsworth AFB to hit enemy targets in Libya during Operation Odyssey Dawn. During their 100 years of service, the airmen of the 34th and 37th Bomb Squadrons have assisted allies around the globe and represented the very best of who we are as a nation. I have full faith that they will continue their distinguished service in defense of our country, our allies, and our freedoms.

So many times throughout the history of our republic, we have called upon the women and men in uniform to become extraordinary heroes. Today we honor the legacy of the heroes of the 34th and 37th Bomb Squadrons, and I thank you for joining me in wishing them the best as they celebrate a century of service.

TRIBUTE TO VICE ADMIRAL JAMES D. SYRING

Mr. SHELBY. Madam President, today I wish to mark the retirement of VADM James D. Syring from the U.S. Navy following more than three decades of military service to this great Nation.

In his last post, he served as the Director of the United States Missile Defense Agency, MDA. As Director, Admiral Syring made enormous contributions to the successful development and fielding of the Ballistic Missile Defense System. To protect our Nation, American troops deployed abroad, our allies, and our international partners.

Beginning as a midshipman at the U.S. Naval Academy, the Navy recognized his potential early on and designated him an engineering duty officer, where he served in various assignments.

Upon selection to flag rank in 2010, Admiral Syring served as the program executive officer for Integrated Warfighting Systems, where he managed integrated weapons systems for ships, submarines, carriers, and aircraft within the Fleet and Joint Force.

His intellect, work ethic, and ability to deliver complex weapons systems resulted in his being nominated and confirmed as the ninth Director of MDA in November 2012, the first naval officer to assume the directorship of this multibillion-dollar enterprise. He oversaw MDA’s worldwide mission to develop and deliver to the warfighter a capability to defend the United States against ballistic missile attacks. He has done an outstanding job during his time at MDA, and I know that the Agency and the Department of Defense made significant progress in addressing current and emerging ballistic missile threats of all ranges. The progress it is even more remarkable considering the budget cuts sustained during that time.

In response to the growing North Korean ICBM threat, Admiral Syring implemented a program to expand the capacity of homeland missile defenses. He led the Agency to implement ground system modernization, a robust ground-based intercept—GBI—Reliability Program, as well as the Redeigned Kill Vehicle Program.

Under Admiral Syring’s steady hand, the Agency successfully fielded a new data terminal at Fort Drum, NY, providing the warfighter the capability to improve communication with homeland defense interceptors.

He also oversaw a strategy to improve the overall sensor and discrimination capability to enhance the Pacific theater’s capability to deliver an initial capability to the warfighter in 2020. Those most familiar with major defense acquisition programs know that this is no small accomplishment.

Overall, Admiral Syring’s advocacy for additional interceptor capacity, improved GBI reliability, a redesigned kill vehicle, and LRDR resulted in a fielded system that meets the threat today and is well-positioned to be expanded upon for future challenges.

Admiral Syring was also responsible for major BMDS asset deployments around the globe. He strengthened regional defenses by providing delivery of terminal high altitude area defense—THAAD—interceptors and Standard Missile-3—SM–3—Block IBs for use on Aegis Ballistic Missile Defense ships and at Aegis Ashore sites. He worked with the United States remained committed to the planned European phased adaptive approach—EPAA—deployments.

He oversaw the delivery of the Aegis Ashore system in Romania in support of EPAA Phase 2, which was accepted for operations by the warfighter in May 2016. In addition, under his leadership, construction of the Aegis Ashore
site in Poland commenced to improve European NATO defenses against medium- and intermediate-range ballistic missiles. He kept the Agency on track to deliver by the end of 2018 the initial SM-3 Block IIA missiles developed in conjunction with Japan to support EPAA Phase 1.

Additionally, Mr. Syring supported successful negotiations between the United States and the Republic of Korea, ROK, on the deployment of a THAAD battery to the ROK, shoring up defenses against the growing threat from North Korean ballistic missiles.

In order to deal with future missile threats, Mr. Syring pursued an advanced technology program with the goal of reducing the cost of engaging increasing and complex ballistic missile threats.

Finally, Mr. Syring successfully initiated the development of an experimental space sensor layer for the BMDS, a new, low-cost program called Space-Based Kill Assessment, SKA. This program will increase the performance of the BMDS by collecting data on missile intercepts, a critical capability of which the significance cannot be overstated.

Our Nation has long hoped and, indeed, expected that we will always be able to recruit and retain capable individuals with a strong sense of patriotism, who will spend their careers ensuring that our country is safe in peacetime and capable in time of war. The contributions that VADM James D. Syring made to the Missile Defense Agency, the U.S. Navy, and the national security of the United States of America over his career have again shown our Nation’s hopes are not too great to be met. As he retires after more than three decades of military service, I wish him and his family well, and I hope he has a deep appreciation of his legacy to this Nation and of the gratitude of his fellow citizens.

TRIBUTE TO NANCY E. DI CARLO

Mr. SHELBY. Madam President, today I wish to pay tribute to the work and 37-year career of Ms. Nancy E. DiCarlo on the occasion of her retirement from the Department of Defense.

Since January 2007, Ms. DiCarlo has served as the Director for International Affairs for the U.S. Missile Defense Agency. In this capacity, Ms. DiCarlo has played an important role in the successful initial fielding of our integrated, layered, ballistic missile defense system, which currently protects our Nation, American troops deployed abroad, and our friends and allies from attack by ballistic missiles. Ms. DiCarlo’s career has been marked by increasing responsibility across a number of departments and programs important to the national security of the United States. Beginning her career in the Department of the U.S. Navy, Ms. DiCarlo contributed to the cost, schedule, and performance of the Navy’s F/A-18 international programs, undersea surveillance programs, electronic hardware programs, and logistics programs.

Her performance caught the attention of the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research, Development & Acquisition who selected Ms. DiCarlo to join the Navy acquisition team. In this role, she worked on a strategic implementation plan and performance measures across the Navy acquisition enterprise.

Ms. DiCarlo went on to join the U.S. Defense Security Cooperation Agency and lead both military and civilian staff in the management of Asian, European, NATO, and African security cooperation strategy, programs, and engagement. She was later selected for the Senior Executive Service and named as MDA’s Director for International Affairs for MDA, where she diligently promoted U.S. national security goals and objectives.

Her service has assisted the sale of U.S. missile defense assets which has strengthened the cooperation of our international partners, thereby expanding the capabilities and effectiveness of U.S. defenses.

Additionally, Ms. DiCarlo’s efforts have enhanced the U.S. partnership with the Government of Israel on missile defense programs. As the lead U.S. negotiator, she instituted codevelopment programs for the David’s Sling Weapon System to defend Israel against long-range rockets and short-range ballistic missile threats and for the Arrow Weapon System designed to defend Israel against long-range ballistic missile threats. Ms. DiCarlo led negotiations with Israel on coproduction agreements for Iron Dome and David’s Sling Weapon System.

The American people rely upon civilian and uniformed Federal employees to protect and advance their interests. Our country has been fortunate to have had Ms. Nancy DiCarlo’s dedication and contribution to our Nation’s defense for nearly three decades. I hope my colleagues in the Senate will join me in recognizing Ms. Nancy DiCarlo for her work and thanking all of the men and women of the Missile Defense Agency for their service to our Nation.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

REMEMBERING DR. ALBERT H. OWENS, JR.

Mr. CARDIN. Madam President, this Thursday, the Sydney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins is hosting an event that includes a memorial cancer research symposium and a dinner in honor of the late Dr. Albert H. Owens, Jr., who died this past January at the age of 90. The event is being held in honor of Dr. Owens, who served as president of the Johns Hopkins Hospital and was one of our Nation’s pioneering oncologists.

Al Owens was born into a medical family. His father, Dr. Albert H. Owens, Sr., was a dentist; his mother, Grace Masters, was a head surgical nurse at Mount Sinai Hospital. He originally matriculated to Harvard University, but his medical education was interrupted when he served as a medical officer in the Navy during the Korean war. He subsequently earned his bachelor’s and medical degrees from the Johns Hopkins University and the school of medicine, respectively.

He joined the faculty in 1956. A year later, A. McGehee Harvey, who was head of the school of medicine’s department of medicine, established a cancer research and treatment division within the department. He asked Al to head the new division. There was a slight problem: The Johns Hopkins Hospital did not have available space. So Al moved inpatient, clinical, and research oncology activities to Baltimore City Hospitals, now Johns Hopkins Bayview Medical Center. He opened Johns Hopkins’ first cancer chemotherapy unit at Baltimore City Hospitals in 1961, making it one of the first university-based centers of its kind nationwide. In 1973, Al was named the first director of the Hopkins Oncology Center, which had won Federal designation as one of the Nation’s first comprehensive cancer centers. In 1977, he moved the center from Baltimore City Hospitals back to the main campus, where it was housed in a brand new facility, named the Oncology Center. Over the next decade, the Johns Hopkins Oncology Center—now named the Johns Hopkins Kimmel Cancer Center—became one of the most prestigious cancer centers in the country.

Al was named president of the Johns Hopkins Hospital in 1987, but he relinquished the presidency after only 18 months so that he could devote all of his time to developing a new oncology center for the hospital during his brief tenure as president, he decreed that the hospital would become smoke-free. We take smoke-free buildings for granted now; 30 years ago, it was a revolutionary move.

Thanks to Al’s tireless devotion, the Harry and Jeanette Weinberg Building was completed in January 2000, followed shortly thereafter by the opening of the Bunting Family and Jacob and Hilda Blaustein Family Cancer Research Building. In 2006, Lurie H. Koch Cancer Research Building opened. These two research buildings are connected by the Albert H. Owens Auditorium, which was named in his honor.

Al was a beloved teacher and mentor, as well as a superb doctor, researcher, and administrator. His enthusiasm about cancer research was limitless. He frequently would visit young faculty members—unannounced—asking them to describe the most exciting research project they were working on that day.

Some of the Owens family—Grace Masters, Al Owens III, Elizabeth Ann Owens, David Tilden Owens, and Sarah Louise Owens;
and five grandchildren. The Baltimore Sun ran an obituary at the time of his death; I ask that it be printed in the RECORD following my remarks.

There is an epitaph to Sir Christopher Wren, who is buried in St. Paul’s Cathedral in London which he designed. The epitaph reads: “Si monumentum requiris, circumspice.” The English translation of the Latin is “if you seek his monument, look around.” This is a fitting epitaph for Dr. Albert H. Owens, Jr., too, but it is not just to his dedicated cancer research that you will see. Look around, and you will see people, probably including members of your family and your friends, who are alive today because of Al’s unrelenting dedication to finding treatments and cures for cancer. They are his living monuments.

I encourage my colleagues to join me in paying tribute to this wonderful and extraordinary man.

The material follows:

[From the Baltimore Sun, Jan. 26, 2017]

ALBERT H. OWENS JR., PIONEERING ONCOLOGIST AND FORMER HOPKINS HOSPITAL PRESIDENT, DIES

Dr. Albert H. Owens Jr., a pioneering oncologist who helped establish new ways to fight cancer, has died. He was 80.

Dr. Owens earned his bachelor’s and medical degrees from the Johns Hopkins University.

He was a graduate of a high school in New York City.

His studies at Harvard University were interrupted by his Navy service in Korea, and he earned bachelor’s and medical degrees from the Johns Hopkins University.

He became a Hopkins researcher and worked in liver metabolism. Hopkins colleagues said that in 1957, Dr. A. McGee Harvey, who headed the Hopkins medical department, created a cancer research and treatment division.

Dr. Harvey asked Dr. Owens to head the new oncology division.

“At first, they gave him a card table, a secretary and a PH meter,” said Dr. Donald S. Coffey, a colleague for many years who is now director of Hopkins Comprehensive Cancer Center.

A poster is in place to give the patient. “Al was an exceptional leader who believed that the best way to foster science that would improve patient outcomes was to put basic scientists and clinicians into the same building so they would naturally bond as team members, overcome frustrations and coffee on a daily basis,” Hopkins professor Dr. Stuart A. Grossman said in a statement. “He radiated interest and enthusiasm when it came to cancer research and frequently dropped unannounced into the offices of young faculty members, asking them to describe the most exciting research project they were working on that day.”

Dr. Owens was named Johns Hopkins Hospital president in 1987 and held the post for 19 years. He has worked fighting cancer, but not before he instituted a smoke-free policy throughout the hospital. An auditorium at the medical campus is named in his honor.

“Dr. Owens was not only a superb oncologist and mentor, but a first-rate gentleman whose love for Hopkins, oncology, and oncology, said a statement. “Dr. Owens was a past president of the Maryland division of the American Cancer Society, the Association of American Cancer Institutes and the American Society of Clinical Oncology.

Dr. Owens resided at Medical Hall, a historic Baltimore home, where he cultivated bee colonies.

Plans for a memorial service at Johns Hopkins Hospital are pending.

Survivors include his wife of 20 years, Sally W. MacConnell, a Johns Hopkins administrator; two sons, Albert Henry Owens III of Washington, D.C., and David Tilden Owens of Minneapolis; two daughters, Elizabeth Ann Owens of Baltimore and Sarah Louise Owens of England; and five grandchildren.

TRIBUTE TO MAJOR GENERAL H. MICHAEL EDWARDS

Mrs. GARDNER. Madam President, today I wish to recognize and commend MG H. Michael Edwards, who retired on March 31, 2017, after 43 years of exceptional leadership and service to our country, including 26 years in the Colorado Air National Guard. For almost a decade in the position of the Adjutant General for Colorado, General Edwards was the first to fly support of the U.S. Army’s on-base unmanned aerial systems operations.

General Edwards has flown over 4,600 mishap-free flight hours in a variety of aircraft to include the AT-38, F-15, and F-16, and piloted the Air National Guard’s Top Gun award on five separate occasions in his decorated flying career. Major Edwards has achieved the distinction of the WING’s Top Gun award on five separate occasions in his decorated flying career. Major Edwards has received numerous military decorations, including two Legion of Merit awards and three Meritorious Service medal awards, along with many others from the State of Colorado.

General Edwards’ operational experience, charismatic leadership, and unyielding patriotism have served him well in a lifetime of military service, but perhaps our most treasured achievement was the difference he made for the residents of Colorado and the Colorado Air National Guard.”
Generals in Colorado history. We offer our heartfelt appreciation to his family for their countless sacrifices and selfless support to our country spanning over four decades.

On behalf of the Senate and a grateful nation, I congratulate MG H. McMahon on a job well done and wish him the very best as he begins a hard-earned retirement in the great State of Colorado.

18TH NORTHERN HONOR FLIGHT

Mr. GARDNER. Madam President, today I wish to recognize the veterans of the Northern Colorado Honor Flight and the organization’s 18th trip to Washington, DC. More than 120 veterans from various wars and generations participated in this flight.

The Northern Colorado Honor Flight recognizes and celebrates the bravery, fortitude, and selflessness of our Nation’s veterans—a year, a decade, or a generation—those who have served in our Armed Forces and have protected our United States. These veterans have preserved our rights to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

Few words are sufficient to show the gratitude and respect we all have for the courageous men and women who have fought for our country. Of the 123 veterans who participated in the most recent honor flight, 11 served in WWII, 24 in the Korean war, and 88 served in the Vietnam war.


100TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE WELD COUNTY COURTHOUSE

Mr. GARDNER. Madam President, today I wish to recognize the 100th anniversary of the Weld County Courthouse in Greeley, CO. On July 4, 1917, the Weld County Courthouse opened for business after a mere 28 months of construction. Denver architect William N. Bowman designed the classical revival-style courthouse, and although original courtrooms remain intact. This anniversary recognizes the rich history in northern Colorado and is a reminder of Colorado’s unique past.

Greeley, CO is named after Horace Greeley, one of the first journalists to document his experience in the Wild West. Horace came to Colorado during the Pikes Peak Gold Rush of 1859 and encouraged his fellow Americans to “Go West, young man, and grow up in this country.” Horace’s words helped put Greeley on the map and ultimately established the city as an important part of American history. Soon after Horace explored northern Colorado, the Colorado Legislature recognized Weld County as one of the first 17 counties in the Colorado territory.

The Weld County Courthouse was one of the first buildings constructed in Greeley. The first courthouse, built in 1861, served as a meeting place for court proceedings and business matters. During this period, the courthouse was only a one-room log cabin located near Platteville, CO. The courthouse moved locations four times before the final courthouse opened for business in 1917.

I would like to congratulate the citizens of Weld County on this milestone, and I look forward to the future accomplishments of the entire Weld County community.

REMEMBERING JERRY PERENCHIO

Mr. MCCAIN. Madam President, it is with deep sadness that I come to the Senate to announce the loss of a man whose brilliant talent and business success were only surpassed by his humility and generosity.

Jerry’s incredible life and career epitomize the American dream. The grandson of Italian immigrants, Jerry spent time at military school before graduating from UCLA and joining the U.S. Air Force as a fighter pilot. Jerry pursued his goal of working in show business by taking a job in the mailroom at a talent agency. Before long, he was promoted to talent agent and later launched his own agency, representing Hollywood stars including Marlon Brando, Andy Williams, and Ronald Reagan. He would go on to partner with Norman Lear and Bud Yorkin and produce some of the most iconic television shows in history, including “All in the Family,” “Good Times” and “The Jeffersons,” and financed celebrated films such as “Driving Miss Daisy,” which won the Oscar for best picture in 1989.

Jerry also played a major role in promoting some of history’s most iconic sports matchups. In 1971, Jerry agreed to promote the heavyweight world championship “fight of the century” between Muhammad Ali and Joe Frazier at New York’s Madison Square Garden. Jerry sold the broadcast rights to the fight, which was shown on closed-circuit TV. He guaranteed a $5 million purse for a match that attracted a crowd of celebrities including Frank Sinatra and Burt Lancaster, and generated $2 million in profits. He would go on to promote the legendary “Battle of the Sexes” tennis match between Billie Jean King and Bobby Riggs at the Houston Astrodome that attracted a crowd of nearly 30,000 people, as well as an additional 30 million viewers who watched the match on TV.

Jerry is perhaps best known for building Univision into the media powerhouse it is today. He bought the then-struggling network in 1992 and, in just 4 years, led Univision to an initial public offering. Under his leadership, Univision cultivated a loyal audience among Hispanic Americans who had been previously underrepresented in the mainstream media. Colleagues of Jerry at Univision have spoken publicly of his deep respect and compassion for the Hispanic community in the United States and his visionary foresight into the important role they play in culture and politics.

While Jerry had much personal success to celebrate, he carried himself with humility, gentleness, and integrity. Despite working in show business, Jerry preferred a more reclusive life and encouraged his subordinates to work hard and avoid the press. “Stay out of the spotlight,” Jerry would say. “It fades your suit.” He gave to count-
MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT

Messages from the President of the United States were communicated to the Senate by Ms. Ridgway, one of his secretaries.

EXECUTIVE MESSAGES REFERRED

As in executive session the Presiding Officer laid before the Senate messages from the President of the United States submitting sundry nominations which were referred to the appropriate committees.
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EXECUTIVE AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

The following communications were laid before the Senate, together with accompanying papers, reports, and documents, and were referred as indicated:

EC-1668. A communication from the Acting Administrator of the Specialty Crops Program, Agricultural Marketing Service, Department of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled "Tomatoes Grown in Florida; Increased Assessment Rate" (Docket No. AMS–SC–16–0086) received during adjournment of the Senate on May 31, 2017; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-1669. A communication from the Administrator of the Fruit and Vegetable Programs, Agricultural Marketing Service, Department of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled "National Organic Program (NOP); Organic Livestock and Poultry Practices" (Docket No. AMS–NOP–15–0012) received during adjournment of the Senate on May 31, 2017; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-1670. A communication from the Acting Administrator of the Specialty Crops Program, Agricultural Marketing Service, Department of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Sweet Onions Grown in the Walla Walla Valley of Southeast Washington and Northeast Oregon; Assessment Rate" (Docket No. AMS–SC–16–0116) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 31, 2017; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-1671. A communication from the Board Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Farm Credit Administration, transmitting the Administration’s proposed fiscal year 2018 Budget and Performance Plan; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-1672. A communication from the Congressional Review Coordinator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Department of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Asian Longhorned Beetle: Update List of Regulated Areas" (Docket No. APHIS–2015–0097) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 25, 2017; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-1673. A communication from the Secretary of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Record-keeping" (RIN3033–AE26) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 2, 2017; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-1674. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Isopropazam; Pesticide Tolerances" (FRL No. 9960–76) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 24, 2017; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-1675. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Flazasulam; Pesticide Tolerances" (FRL No. 9961–54) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 24, 2017; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-1676. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Penzaquin; Pesticide Tolerances" (FRL No. 9961–99) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 24, 2017; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-1677. A communication from the Acting Assistant Secretary of Defense (Legislative Affairs), transmitting proposed legislation entitled "National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018"; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1678. A communication from the Secretary of Defense, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of three (3) officers authorized to wear the insignia of the grade of major general in accordance with title 10, United States Code, section 777; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1679. A joint communication from the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, transmitting a request relative to issuing a travel restriction on senior officials’ travel to Iraq and Kuwait for the period of June 1, 2017 through September 30, 2017; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1680. A communication from the Senior Official performing the duties of Under Secretary of Defense ( Acquisition, Technology and Logistics), transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to the specific amounts and severance of technical effort to be allocated for each defense Federally Funded Research and Development Center during fiscal year 2018; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1681. A communication from the Secretary of Defense, transmitting a report on the approved retirement of Lieutenant General Frederick B. Hodges, United States Army, and his advancement to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1682. A communication from the Deputy Director of the Office of Senate Security, transmitting a report relative to a report on the status of United States Strategic Command’s request for forces to meet security requirements for land-based nuclear forces (OSYS–2017–0352); to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1683. A communication from the Secretary of Defense, transmitting a report on the approved retirement of Lieutenant General Michael T. Franken, United States Navy, and his advancement to the grade of vice admiral on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1684. A communication from the Secretary of Defense, transmitting a report on the approved retirement of Lieutenant General Ronald L. Bailey, United States Marine Corps, and his advancement to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1685. A communication from the Secretary of Defense, transmitting a report on the approved retirement of Lieutenant General Larry D. Wyche, United States Army, and his advancement to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1686. A communication from the Secretary of Defense, transmitting a report on the approved retirement of Lieutenant General Jon M. Davis, United States Marine Corps, and his advancement to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1687. A joint communication from the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, transmitting a request relative to issuing a travel restriction on senior officials’ travel for the period of June 1, 2017 through September 30, 2017; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1688. A communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, a six-month periodic report on the national emergency that was declared in section 1204 of title 31, United States Code, with respect to Belarus; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.
EC–1689. A communication from the Chief Counsel, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Suspension of Community Eligibility (Erie County, PA, et al.)” (44 CFR Part 64) (Docket No. FEMA–2017–0002) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 31, 2017; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–1690. A communication from the Bureau of Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction that was originally declared in Executive Order 12938 of November 14, 1994; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–1691. A communication from the Chief Counsel, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Air Plan Approval; Virginia; Update to Materials Incorporated by Reference” (FRL No. 9959–24–Region 3) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 25, 2017; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–1692. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Air Plan Approval; Indiana; Redesignation of the Area to Attainment of the 2008 Lead Standard” (FRL No. 9962–79–Region 5) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 25, 2017; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–1693. A communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Revision to an Entry on the Entity List” (FRL No. 9964–A165) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 2, 2017; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–1694. A communication from the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Export Administration, Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Revocation on Tariff Act of 1930; Non-Federal Credit Unions” (RIN2590–AA85) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 25, 2017; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–1695. A communication from the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–1696. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans: Delaware; Update to Materials Incorporated by Reference” (FRL No. 9961–26–Region 3) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 25, 2017; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–1697. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Air Plan Approval; Washington; General Regulations for Air Pollution Sources, Emergency Evaluation of Emissions and Propagation of State Plans (Negative Declarations) for Designated Facilities and Pollutants: Connecticut, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont; Revisions to Allegheny County Health Department Rules” (FRL No. 9962–77–Region 3) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 25, 2017; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–1698. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans: Pennsylvania; Revisions to Allegheny County Health Department Rules” (FRL No. 9962–77–Region 3) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 25, 2017; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–1699. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Air Plan Approval; Pennsylvania; Update to Materials Incorporated by Reference” (FRL No. 9959–23–Region 3) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 25, 2017; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–1700. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Air Plan Approval; Maryland; Update to Materials Incorporated by Reference” (FRL No. 9959–24–Region 3) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 25, 2017; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–1701. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Air Plan Approval; Florida; Redesignation of the Muncie Area to Attainment of the 2008 Lead Standard” (FRL No. 9962–79–Region 5) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 25, 2017; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–1702. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Air Plan Approval and Air Quality Designation; GA; Redesignation of the Altanta, Georgia 2008 8-Hour Ozone Nonattainment Area to Attainment” (FRL No. 9962–79–Region 4) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 25, 2017; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–1703. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Determination to Defer Sanctions; Arizona Department of Environmental Quality” (FRL No. 9963–07–Region 8) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 24, 2017; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–1704. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Federal State Unemployment Compensation Program: Middle Class Tax Relief
and Job Creation Act of 2012 Provision on Establishing Appropriate Occupations for Drug Testing of Unemployment Compensation Applicants’ (H.R.9285–AB63) received during adjournment of the Senate on June 1, 2017; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–1715. A communication from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Legislation, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled ‘‘Implementation of the Food and Drug Administration’s (FDA) annual report on Drug Shortages for Calendar Year 2016’’; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC–1724. A communication from the General Counsel, Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Designation of Beneficiaries’’ (5 CFR Part 1651) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 24, 2017; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1708. A communication from the Chair of the Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled ‘‘Emergency Management Authority of the District of Columbia’’ (H.R.9286–AB63) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on March 31, 2017; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1709. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Legislation, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Credit for Carbon Pollution Control’’ (Notice 2017–32) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on April 6, 2017; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–1717. A communication from the Bureau of Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to the extension of waiver authority for Belarus; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–1718. A communication from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Legislation, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled ‘‘Medicare-Medicaid Coordination Office Fiscal Year 2016 Report to Congress’’; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–1719. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Credit for New and Renewable Electricity Production and Refined Coal Production, and Authorization for Inflation Adjustment’’ (Notice EC–1719) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 1, 2017; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–1720. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Credit for Carbon Dioxide Sequestration; 2017 Section 45Q Inflation Adjustment Factor’’ (Notice EC–1720) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 1, 2017; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–1721. A communication from the Assistant Legal Adviser for Treaty Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to the Case-Zablocki Act, 1 U.S.C. 112b, as amended, the report of the texts and background statements of international agreements, other than treaties (List 2017–0092–2017–0099); to the Committee on Finance.

EC–1722. A communication from the Deputy Director of the Office of Senate Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to the designation of a Foreign Terrorist Organization (OSS–2017–0529); to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC–1723. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Legislation, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Food and Drug Administration’s (FDA) annual report on Drug Shortages for Calendar Year 2016; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC–1724. A communication from the General Counsel, National Endowment for the Humanities, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to the existence of a vacancy in the position of Chairperson, National Endowment for Humanities, received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 30, 2017; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC–1725. A communication from the Board Member, Board of Governors, Federal Reserve System, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Board’s Congressional Justification of Budget Estimates Report for fiscal year 2018; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC–1726. A communication from the Assistant General Counsel for Regulatory Affairs, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Benefits Payable in Termination of Benefits by Informed Assumptions for Paying Benefits’’ (29 CFR Part 4022) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 24, 2017; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC–1727. A communication from the Acting Deputy Secretary, Department of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Semiannual Report of the Inspector General for the period from October 1, 2016 through March 31, 2017; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.


EC–1729. A communication from the Deputy Secretary of Defense, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Semiannual Report of the Inspector General for the period from October 1, 2016 through March 31, 2017; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1730. A communication from the Director, Office of Government Ethics, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Office’s Congressional Budget Justification, Annual Performance Plan, and Annual Performance Report for fiscal year 2018; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.


EC–1732. A communication from the Inspector General for the period from October 1, 2016 through March 31, 2017; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1733. A communication from the Inspector General of the Railroad Retirement Board, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Inspector General’s Congressional Budget Justification for fiscal year 2018; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1734. A communication from the Director of the Office of External Affairs, Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Designation of Beneficiaries’’ (5 CFR Part 1651) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 24, 2017; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1735. A communication from the General Counsel, Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Freedom of Information Act Regulations’’ (5 CFR Part 1631) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 23, 2017; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1736. A joint communication from the Chair and the General Counsel for General Law, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Office of Inspector General Semiannual Report for the period of October 1, 2016 through March 31, 2017; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1737. A communication from the Chairman of the Consumer Product Safety Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Commission’s Annual Performance Report for fiscal year 2016; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1738. A communication from the Chairman of the United States International Trade Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Commission’s Annual Performance Report for fiscal year 2016 and Annual Performance Plan for fiscal year 2017–2018; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1739. A communication from the Associate General Counsel for General Law, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to a vacancy in the position of Administrator, Office of the Actuary, Office of the Commissioner of Social Security, received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 24, 2017; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1740. A communication from the Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Department’s Semiannual Report from the Office of the Inspector General for the period from October 1, 2016 through March 31, 2017; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1741. A communication from the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Department of Veterans Affairs’ Semiannual Report of the Inspector General for the period from October 1, 2016 through March 31, 2017; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1742. A communication from the Chief Executive Officer, Millennium Challenge Corporation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Uniform Resource Locator (URL) for the Office of Inspector General’s Semiannual Report for the period of October 1, 2016 through March 31, 2017; to the United States International Development Finance Corporation’s response; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1743. A communication from the Acting Administrator of the General Services Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Semiannual Report of the Inspector General for the period from October 1, 2016 through March 31, 2017; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1744. A communication from the Acting Administrator of the U.S. Agency for International Development, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Semiannual Report of the Inspector General for the period from October 1, 2016 through March 31, 2017; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.


EC–1746. A communication from the Chairman, U.S. Election Assistance Commission,
transmitting, pursuant to law, the Commission’s Semiannual Report of the Inspector General for the period from October 1, 2016 through March 31, 2017, to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.


EC–1751. A communication from the Acting Chief Executive Officer, Corporation for National and Community Service, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Semiannual Report of the Inspector General for National and Community Service’s Response and Report on Final Action for the period from October 1, 2016 through March 31, 2017, to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1752. A communication from the Associate General Counsel for General Law, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to a vacancy in the position of Under Secretary for Management, Department of Homeland Security, to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1753. A communication from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Coastal Migratory Pelagic Resources of the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic; 2016-2017 Commercial Accountability Measure and Off-Season Fishing for Mackeral in the Eastern Zone of the Gulf of Mexico” (RIN0648–XP141) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 1, 2017, to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–1754. A communication from the Counsel for Regulatory and Legislative Affairs, Patent and Trademark Office, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “July 2017 Revision of Patent and Trademark Office Treaty Procedures” (RIN0651–AD14) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 26, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1755. A communication from the Attorney-Adviser, Office of General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report relative to a vacancy for the position of General Counsel, Department of Transportation, received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 31, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1756. A communication from the Regulatory Ombudsman, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment of 2015” (RIN2126–A989) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 31, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1757. A communication from the Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulatory Affairs, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Pipeline Safety: Safety of Underground Natural Gas Storage Facilities” (RIN2137–AF22) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 26, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1758. A communication from the Management and Program Analyst, Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Amendment of Class E Airspace for the Haskell, TX” (RIN2120–AA66) (Docket No. FAA–2016–9494) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 26, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1759. A communication from the Management and Program Analyst, Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Amendment of Class D and Class E Airspace; Hailey, ID” (RIN2120–AA66) (Docket No. FAA–2016–9350) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 26, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1760. A communication from the Management and Program Analyst, Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Amendment of Class D and Class E Airspace” (RIN2120–AA66) (Docket No. FAA–2016–9350) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 26, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1761. A communication from the Management and Program Analyst, Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Amendment of Class D and Class E Airspace” (RIN2120–AA66) (Docket No. FAA–2016–9350) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 26, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1762. A communication from the Management and Program Analyst, Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Amendment of Class D and Class E Airspace” (RIN2120–AA66) (Docket No. FAA–2016–9350) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 26, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1763. A communication from the Management and Program Analyst, Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Amendment of Class E Airspace and Establishment of Class E Airspace; Ruston, LA” (RIN2120–AA66) (Docket No. FAA–2016–9515) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 26, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1764. A communication from the Management and Program Analyst, Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Amendment of Class D and Class E Airspace; Hailey, ID” (RIN2120–AA66) (Docket No. FAA–2016–9350) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 26, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1765. A communication from the Management and Program Analyst, Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Amendment of Class D and Class E Airspace” (RIN2120–AA66) (Docket No. FAA–2016–9350) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 26, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.
Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Cod by Catcher Vessels Using Trawl Gear in the Bering Sea and Arctic Ocean; 2017–2018 Biennial Specifications and Management Measures; Commercial Aggregated Large Coastal Vessels Using Trawl Gear in the Western Regulatory Area of the Gulf of Alaska” (RIN0648–XP248) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 1, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1807. A communication from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Deep-Water Species Fishery by Vessels Using Trawl Gear in the Gulf of Alaska” (RIN0648–XF588) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 24, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1808. A communication from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Fisheries Off West Coast States; Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery; 2017–2018 Biennial Specifications and Management Measures; Fishery Specifications and Management Measures; Deep-Water Species Fishery by Vessels Using Trawl Gear in the Western Regulatory Area of the Gulf of Alaska” (RIN0648–XF245) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 1, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.
Area of the Gulf of Alaska’’ (RIN0648–XF244) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 24, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1817. A communication from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report of a rule entitled ‘‘Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Sablefish Managed Under the Individual Fishing Quota Program’’ (RIN0648–XF270) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 24, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1818. A communication from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Coastal Migratory Fishery for the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic Region; 2017 Commercial Run-Around Gillnet Closure’’ (RIN0648–XF151) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 24, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1819. A communication from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pollock in Statistical Area 610 in the Gulf of Alaska’’ (RIN0648–XF224) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 24, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1820. A communication from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Reallocations of Pollock in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands’’ (RIN0648–XF290) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 24, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1821. A communication from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Reallocations of Pollock in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands’’ (RIN0648–XF290) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 24, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1822. A communication from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; 2017 Commercial Run-Around Gillnet Closure’’ (RIN0648–XF151) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 24, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1823. A communication from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pollock in Statistical Area 630 in the Gulf of Alaska’’ (RIN0648–XF230) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 31, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1824. A communication from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Reallocation of Pollock in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands’’ (RIN0648–XF282) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 31, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1825. A communication from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Northeast Skate Complex; Adjustment to the 2017 Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Inseason Possession Limits’’ (RIN0648–XF146) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on June 1, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1826. A communication from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Inseason Adjustment to the 2017 Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Pollock, Atka Mackerel, and Pacific Cod Total Allowable Catch Amounts’’ (RIN0648–XF108) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 26, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1827. A communication from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pollock in Statistical Area 610 in the Gulf of Alaska’’ (RIN0648–XF224) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 24, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1828. A communication from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pollock in Statistical Area 630 in the Gulf of Alaska’’ (RIN0648–XF230) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 31, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1829. A communication from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pollock in Statistical Area 610 in the Gulf of Alaska’’ (RIN0648–XF224) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 31, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1830. A communication from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Business Data Services in an Internet Protocol Environment; Technology Transitions; Special Access for Price Cap Local Exchange Carriers; Reassessment of Prior Regulatory Decision for Rulemaking to Reform Regulation of Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Rates for Interstate Special Access Services’’ ((WC Docket No. 17–123; WC Docket No. 16–149; QN Docket No. 17–16; WC Docket No. 05–35; and RM–10593) (FCC 17–493) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 23, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.


EC–1832. A communication from the Chief of Staff, Wireline Competition Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Business Data Services in an Internet Protocol Environment; Technology Transitions; Special Access for Price Cap Local Exchange Carriers; Reassessment of Prior Regulatory Decision for Rulemaking to Reform Regulation of Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Rates for Interstate Special Access Services’’ ((WC Docket No. 17–123; WC Docket No. 16–149; QN Docket No. 17–16; WC Docket No. 05–35; and RM–10593) (FCC 17–493) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 23, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1833. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Regulated Navigation Area; East River, Brooklyn, NY’’ ((RIN1625–AA00) (Docket No. USCG–2017–0434) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 30, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1834. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Special Local Regulations and Safety Zones; Annually recurring Events in Coast Guard Southeastern New England Captain of the Port Zone’’ ((RIN1625–AA00; RIN1625–AA08) (Docket No. USCG–2016–1022)) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 30, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1835. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Safety Zone; Buffalo Carnival; Buffalo Outer Harbor, Buffalo, NY’’ ((RIN1625–AA00) (Docket No. USCG–2017–0434) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 30, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1836. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled ‘‘Safety Zone; Upper Mississippi River, St. Louis, MO’’ ((RIN1625–AA00) (Docket No. USCG–2017–0434) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 30, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1837. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled **“Safety Zone; Upper Mississippi River, St. Louis, MO”** ((RIN1625–AA00) (Docket No. USCG–2017–0434) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 30, 2017, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.
of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Saftey Zone: Lower Niagara River at Niagara Falls, New York" (RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG–2017–0094) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 30, 2017; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1838. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Saftey Zone; United Illuminating Company Housatonic River Crossing Project; Housatonic River at Milford and Stratford, CT" (RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG–2016–0825) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 30, 2017; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1839. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Saftey Zone: Tennessee River 323.0–325.0, Huntsville, AL" (RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG–2017–0336) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 30, 2017; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1840. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Saftey Zone; Tall Ships Charleston, Cooper River Charleston, SC" (RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG–2017–0212) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 30, 2017; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1841. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Saftey Zone: Upper Mississippi River, St. Louis, MO" (RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG–2017–0319) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 30, 2017; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1842. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Saftey Zone; Main Branch of the Chicago River, Chicago, IL" (RIN1625-AA00) (Docket No. USCG–2017–0215) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 30, 2017; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1843. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Special Local Regulation; Temporary Anchorages and Safety Zones; Sall Boston 2017; Port of Bon, MA" (RIN1625-AA00; RIN1625-AA01; and RIN1625-AA07) (Docket No. USCG–2016–0949) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 30, 2017; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1844. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Special Local Regulation; Breakers to Bridge Paddlers Lake Superior, Kennewick Waterway, MI" (RIN1625-AA08) (Docket No. USCG–2017–0170) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 30, 2017; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1845. A communication from the Attorney-Advisor, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Special Local Regulation; Stuart, FL" (RIN1625-AA08) (Docket No. USCG–2017–0167) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 30, 2017; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–1846. A communication from the Special Inspector General for the Troubled Asset Relief Program, pursuant to law, the report relative to the April 2017 Quarterly Report to Congress of the Special Inspector General for the Troubled Asset Relief Program; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–1847. A communication from the Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legislative Affairs, Department of Justice, transmitting the proposed legislation relative to cross-border electronic data for law enforcement; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

The following reports of committees were submitted:

By Mr. THUNE, from the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute:

S. 334. A bill to expand the prohibition on misleading or inaccurate caller identification information, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 115–115–91).

By Mr. CORKER, from the Committee on Foreign Relations, with amendments:

S. 1221. A bill to counter the influence of the Russian Federation in Europe and Eurasia, and for other purposes.

EXECUTIVE REPORTS OF COMMITTEE

The following executive reports of nominations were submitted:

By Ms. MURKOWSKI for the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources:

Based on the nominee’s commitment to respond to requests to appear and testify before any duly constituted committee of the Senate.

By Mr. BERNHARDT, of Virginia, to be Deputy Secretary of the Interior.

By Mr. CHATTERJEE, of Kentucky, to be a Member of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for the term expiring June 30, 2021.

By Mr. POWELLS, of Pennsylvania, to be a Member of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for the term expiring June 30, 2021.

By Mr. BROUILLETTE, of Texas, to be Deputy Secretary of Energy.

By Mr. STINNETT, of Texas, to be a Member of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for the term expiring June 30, 2020.

By Mr. BROWN, of Texas, to be a Member of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for the term expiring June 30, 2020.

By Mr. ROBERTS, of Kansas, to be a Member of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for the term expiring June 30, 2020.

By Mr. HALE, of Maine, to be a Member of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for the term expiring June 30, 2020.

By Mr. WYDEN, of Oregon, to be a Member of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for the term expiring June 30, 2020.

By Mr. BUTZ, of Oregon, to be a Member of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for the term expiring June 30, 2020.

By Mr. DEBARBA, of Massachusetts, to be a Member of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for the term expiring June 30, 2020.

By Mr. KUSTER, of New Hampshire, to be a Member of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for the term expiring June 30, 2020.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. NELSON (for himself, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. BROWN, Mr. PORTMAN, Ms. BALDWIN, and Mr. HELLER):

S. 1291. A bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to establish rules for the payment for graduate medical education (GME) costs for hospitals that establish a new medical residency training program after hosting resident rotators for short durations; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. RUBIO (for himself and Mrs. GILLIBRAND):

S. 1292. A bill to amend the State Department Basic Authorities Act of 1956 to monitor and combat anti-Semitism globally, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

By Mr. COONS (for himself and Mr. ROBERTS):

S. 1293. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to enhance the research credit for domestic manufacturers; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. MCCAIN (for himself and Mr. LANKFORD):

S. 1294. A bill to expand opportunity for Native American children through additional options in education, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

By Mrs. CASEY (for himself, Mr. HATCH, Mr. CASSIDY, and Ms. HASSAN):

S. 1295. A bill to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to provide students with disabilities and their families with access to critical information needed to select the right college and succeed once enrolled; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mrs. MCGASKILL (for herself, Mr. HELLER, and Mrs. ERNST):

S. 1296. A bill to amend the Uniform Code of Military Justice to prohibit the wrongful broadcast or distribution of intimate visual images; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mr. COTTON (for himself, Mr. BURH, Mr. RISCH, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. COLLINS, Mr. BLUNT, Mr. LANKFORD, Mr. CORSON, Mr. MCCAIN, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. ROBERTS, Mr. GRAMM, Mr. THUNE, and Mr. PERDUE):

S. 1297. A bill to make title VII of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 permanent, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. WYDEN (for himself and Mr. MERKLEY):

S. Res. 44. A joint resolution condemning the deadly attack on May 26, 2017, in Portland, Oregon, expressing deepest condolences to the families and friends of the victims, and asserting efforts to overcome hatred, bigotry, and violence; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 109

At the request of Mr. GRASSLEY, the name of the Senator from Montana (Mr. TESTER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 109, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for coverage under the Medicare program of pharmacist services.

S. 130

At the request of Ms. BALDWIN, the names of the Senator from Idaho (Mr. CRAPo) and the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. MURPHY) were added as cosponsors of S. 130, a bill to require enforcement against misbranded milk alternatives.

S. 198

At the request of Mr. RUBIO, the name of the Senator from New York (Mrs. GILLIBRAND) was added as a co-sponsor of S. 198, a bill to require continued and enhanced annual reporting to Congress in the Annual Report on...
International Religious Freedom on anti-Semitic incidents in Europe, the safety and security of European Jewish communities, and the efforts of the United States to partner with European governments, the European Union, and civil society groups, to combat anti-Semitism, and for other purposes.

At the request of Ms. HETTKAMP, the name of the Senator from Montana (Mr. Tester) was added as a cosponsor of S. 253, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to repeal the Medicare outpatient rehabilitation therapy caps.

At the request of Mr. BARRASSO, the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. BARRASSO), the Senator from Maryland (Mr. CARDIN), and the Senator from Minnesota (Ms. KOHL) were added as cosponsors of S. 431, a bill to provide for a permanent extension of the enforcement instruction on supervision requirements for outpatient therapeutic services in critical access and small rural hospitals.

At the request of Mr. CARDIN, the name of the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. MARKET) was added as a cosponsor of S. 253, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to repeal the Medicare outpatient rehabilitation therapy caps.

At the request of Mr. BOOKER, the name of the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 329, a bill to place restrictions on the use of solitary confinement for juveniles in Federal custody.

At the request of Mr. THUNE, the names of the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. BARRASSO), the Senator from Maryland (Mr. CARDIN), and the Senator from Minnesota (Ms. KOHL) were added as cosponsors of S. 431, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to expand the use of telehealth for individuals with stroke.

At the request of Ms. COLLINS, the names of the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. BARRASSO) and the Senator from Montana (Mr. DAINES) were added as cosponsors of S. 445, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to ensure more timely access to home health services for Medicare beneficiaries under the Medicare program.

At the request of Mr. BROWN, the name of the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. MARKET) was added as a cosponsor of S. 479, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to waive copayments for colorectal cancer screening tests, regardless of whether therapeutic intervention is required during the screening.

At the request of Mr. MANCHIN, the name of the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. WHITEHOUSE) was added as a cosponsor of S. 523, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to establish a stewardship fee on the production and importation of opiod pain relievers, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. TOOMEY, the name of the Senator from Massachusetts (Ms. WARREN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 654, a bill to revise section 48 of title 18, United States Code, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. TESTER, the name of the Senator from Michigan (Ms. DONELLY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 681, a bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve the benefits and services provided by the Department of Veterans Affairs to women veterans, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. DAINES, the name of the Senator from Indiana (Mr. DONELLY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 697, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to lower the mileage threshold for deduction in determining adjusted gross income of certain expenses of members of reserve components of the Armed Forces, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. CARDIN, the name of the Senator from Missouri (Mrs. MCCASKILL) was added as a cosponsor of S. 720, a bill to amend the Export Administration Act of 1979 to include in the prohibitions on boycotts against allies of the United States boycott fostered by international governmental organizations against Israel and to direct the Export-Import Bank of the United States to oppose boycotts against Israel, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. CORKER, the names of the Senator from Maine (Mr. KING), the Senator from Missouri (Mrs. MCCASKILL), the Senator from Virginia (Mr. Kaine) and the Senator from North Dakota (Ms. HETTKAMP) were added as cosponsors of S. 722, a bill to impose sanctions with respect to Iran in relation to Iran’s ballistic missile program, support for acts of international terrorism, and violations of human rights, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. CORNEY, the names of the Senator from Illinois (Mr. DURBIN) and the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. LANKFORD) were added as cosponsors of S. 782, a bill to reauthorize the National Internet Crimes Against Children Task Force Program, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. CASSIDY, the name of the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. ROUNDS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 798, a bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to expand the Yellow Ribbon GI Education Enhancement Program to apply to individuals pursuing programs of education while on active duty, to recipients of the Marine Gunnery Sergeant John David Fry scholarship, and to programs of education pursued on a half-time basis or less, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. LEE, the names of the Senator from Kansas (Mr. ROBERTS) and the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. ENZI) were added as cosponsors of S. 801, a bill to amend the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 to provide compensatory time for employees in the private sector.

At the request of Mr. ENZI, the name of the Senator from Texas (Mr. CRUZ) was added as a cosponsor of S. 811, a bill to ensure that organizations with religious or moral convictions are allowed to continue to provide services for children.

At the request of Mrs. ERNST, the name of the Senator from Indiana (Mr. DONELLY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 926, a bill to authorize the Global War on Terror Memorial Foundation to establish the National Global War on Terrorism Memorial as a commemorative work in the District of Columbia, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mrs. FISCHER, the names of the Senator from Florida (Mr. NELSON) and the Senator from Massachusetts (Ms. WARREN) were added as cosponsors of S. 1014, a bill to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to make grants to eligible organizations to provide service dogs to veterans with severe post-traumatic stress disorder, and for other purposes.

At the request of Ms. COLLINS, the name of the Senator from Michigan (Ms. STABENOW) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1028, a bill to provide for the establishment and maintenance of a National Family Caregiving Strategy, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. FRANKEN, the names of the Senator from Kansas (Mr. ROBERTS) and the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. MARKET) were added as cosponsors of S. 1093, a bill to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to improve pediatric medical device application procedures.

At the request of Mr. RUHIO, the names of the Senator from Iowa (Mrs. ERNST), the Senator from Colorado (Mr. GARDNER), the Senator from Iowa (Mr. GRASSLEY), the Senator from North Dakota (Ms. STABENOW) and the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. THUNE) and the Senator from Kansas (Mr. ROBERTS) were added as cosponsors of S. 1094, a bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve the accountability of employees of the Department of Veterans Affairs, and for other purposes.

At the request of Mr. TOOMEY, his name was added as a cosponsor of S. 1094, supra.

At the request of Mr. PAUL, his name was added as a cosponsor of S. 1094, supra.

At the request of Mr. CASSIDY, the name of the Senator from Montana...
At the request of Mr. LANKFORD, the name of the Senator from Texas (Mr. CRUZ) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1133, a bill to repeal changes made by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, to provide a refundable credit for health care premiums, and for other purposes.

S. 1141
At the request of Mrs. SHAHEEN, the name of the Senator from New Hampshire (Ms. HASSAN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1141, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide a refundable credit for health care premiums, and for other purposes.

S. 1238
At the request of Mrs. SHAHEEN, the name of the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1238, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to increase and make permanent the exclusion for benefits provided to volunteer firefighters and emergency medical responders.

S. 1240
At the request of Mrs. SHAHEEN, the name of the Senator from New York (Mrs. GILLIBRAND) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1240, a bill to amend the Federal Power Act to establish an Office of Public Participation and Consumer Advocacy.

S. 1263
At the request of Mr. BOOKER, the name of the Senator from New York (Mrs. GILLIBRAND) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1263, a bill to amend the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act to prohibit, and methods to mitigate, oil platform-related seismic activities in the North Atlantic, Mid-Atlantic, South Atlantic, and Straits of Florida planning areas of the outer Continental Shelf, and for other purposes.

S. CON. RES. 12
At the request of Mr. GRASSLEY, the name of the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. ROUNDS) was added as a cosponsor of S. Con. Res. 12, a concurrent resolution expressing the sense of Congress that those who served in the military during the period beginning on January 9, 1962, and ending on May 7, 1975, should be presumed to have served in the Republic of Vietnam for all purposes under the Agent Orange Act of 1991.

S. RES. 54
At the request of Mr. BLUMENTHAL, the name of the Senator from Delaware (Mr. COONS) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 54, a resolution expressing the unwavering commitment of the United States to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization.

S. RES. 174
At the request of Mr. MORAN, the name of the Senator from New Hampshire (Ms. HASSAN) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 174, a resolution recognizing the 100th anniversary of Lions Clubs International and celebrating the Lions Clubs International for a long history of humanitarian service.

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS
By Mr. COTTON (for himself, Mr. BURR, Mr. RISCH, Mr. RUBIO, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. BLUNT, Mr. LANKFORD, Mr. CORNYN, Mr. MCCAIN, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. ROBERTS, Mr. GRAHAM, Mr. THUNE, and Mr. ROYBLIN)
S. 1297. A bill to make title VII of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 permanent, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. COTTON. Mr. President, the attacks in London last weekend exposed in a matter of minutes just how vulnerable free societies truly are. All it takes is a van or a knife and an unsuspecting bystander to turn a fun night out on the town into a horrific nightmare.

Of course, we shouldn’t need any reminders, but let me give one yeat again: We are at war with Islamic extremists. We have been for years, and I am sorry to say that there is no end in sight. It is easy to forget this as we go about our daily lives, but our enemies have not, and they will not. They have never taken their eyes off the ultimate target, either—the United States.

Yes, we are at war with a vicious and unyielding foe, and just as our enemy can attack us with the simplest of everyday tools, the strongest shield we have in our defense is just as basic: It is the intelligence—information—of knowing who is talking to whom about what, where, when, and why.

After the 9/11 attacks, our national security agencies developed cutting-edge programs that allow us to figure out what the bad guys are up to and stop them before they can perpetrate such heinous attacks. Very often, the intelligence they have collected has made the difference between life and death for American citizens. But one of those programs is going to sunset later this year. I am talking about section 702 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, which is a program that collects information about foreign persons on foreign soil and, as a result, saves American lives.

Unfortunately, this and other programs were distorted in the public debate by a traitor, a disgruntled ex-NSA contractor, Edward Snowden, who now sits in the warm embrace of Russian intelligence services. Ever since his damaging leak of classified material many years back, many Americans have grown doubtful about these programs and about section 702 in particular, which is why it bears repeating just what section 702 does. It allows our national security agencies to collect internet or phone communications from a source within the United States, like an internet service provider, but only under a very specific set of conditions. It cannot target American citizens—not even lawful permanent residents. It can’t even target foreigners communicating on U.S. soil. It can target only people discussing a specific list of topics preapproved by the FISA court, which is made up of Federal judges with life tenure. We are not talking about what they are picking up at the grocery store or when they are checking in on the kids. We are talking about things like weapons of mass destruction.

It is true that this program occasionally does collect information about American citizens. That will be true of any attempt to stop any kind of homegrown terrorism. But if you are concerned about protecting Americans’ privacy rights, then you should support extending 702. It puts in place a host of privacy protections to scrub raw intelligence of any unnecessary identifying information. To allow this program to expire on December 31 would hurt both our national security and our privacy rights.

That is why today I am introducing a bill that would reauthorize section 702 permanently, as is, with no changes. We can’t tie the hands of our national security officials at the precise moment that our enemies are taking the gloves off around the world. Terrorists don’t plan to surrender to our way of life, so why should our important counterterrorism tools sunset?

I am glad to say that my legislation has the support of every Republican Senator on the Intelligence Committee, and I look forward to earning the support of my colleagues in the weeks ahead because we can’t afford to let this program expire. It is not too much and it is not an exaggeration to say that American lives depend on section 702.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED
SA 219. Mr. GARDNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1094, to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve the accountability of membership of the Excited Veterans’ Affairs, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS
SA 219. Mr. GARDNER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by
Mr. MCCONNELL. Madam President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that it stand adjourned until the previous order.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 6:23 p.m., adjourned until Wednesday, June 7, 2017, at 9:30 a.m.

NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate:

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

RICHARD V. SPENCER, OF WYOMING, TO BE SECRETARY OF THE NAVY, VICE RAYMOND EDWIN MURAS, JR. (VOTED CONFIRMED BY A VOICE VOTE)

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

JOSEPH OTTING, OF NEVADA, TO BE COMPTROLLER OF THE CURRENCY FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS, VICE THOMAS J. CUBBY, TERM EXPIRED.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

ELIZABETH ERIN WALSH, OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, TO BE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF COMMERCE AND ADMINISTRATOR OF THE U.S. SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION, VICE ANNE E. KLEIN.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

STEVEN GILL BRADLEY, OF VIRGINIA, TO BE HIGH COMMANDER-GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES JOINT AIR FORCES, VICE PAUL B. CROSBY, TO BE GENERAL CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCES IN EUROPE, VICE PETER M. SCHEER, TO BE CHIEF OF STAFF, USAF.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

ANDREW P. TAYLOR, OF NEW YORK, TO BE GENERAL COUNSEL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, VICE JAMES A. BARTLETT.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

JOSEPH P. WILD, OF WASHINGTON, D.C., TO BE THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, VICE JAMIE R. PETERS, TERM EXPIRED.

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

ANA H. CAMACHO, OF PUERTO RICO, TO BE AN ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF EDUCATION FOR ALL STUDENT ISSUES, VICE GREGORY M. BERNAL.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

ROBERT J. PRICE, OF NEW MEXICO, TO BE THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO, VICE JAMES J. CANNON.

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

ANNIE CAPUTO, OF VIRGINIA, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION FOR THE TERM OF FIVE YEARS EXPIRING JUNE 30, 2023, VICE WILLIAM CHARLES OSTENDORFF, TERM EXPIRED.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

KEVIN J. CRUMPTON, OF MONTANA, TO BE THE UNITED STATES ASSESSOR FOR CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION, VICE GEORGE R. PITZ.

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

DAVID P. PEGORSKI, OF MARYLAND, TO BE AN ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF HOMELAND SECURITY, VICE PETER V. NEFFENGER.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ANNIE CAPUTO, OF VIRGINIA, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION FOR THE TERM OF FIVE YEARS EXPIRING JUNE 30, 2023, VICE WILLIAM CHARLES OSTENDORFF, TERM EXPIRED.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

KENNETH W. MOY, OF NEW YORK, TO BE THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK, VICE EDWARD B. WRIGLEY, TERM EXPIRED.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

DAVID S. DITEL, OF NEW YORK, TO BE THE UNITED STATES ASSESSOR FOR CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION, VICE GEORGE R. PITZ.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

DAVID COMSTOCK, OF GEORGIA, TO BE THE UNITED STATES ASSESSOR FOR CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION, VICE GEORGE R. PITZ.

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

ELIZABETH ERIN WALSH, OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, TO BE DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF HOMELAND SECURITY FOR POLICY, VICE MARCIA L. TOBIAS.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

STEVEN C. WILLIAMS, OF FLORIDA, TO BE THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA, VICE RICHARD W. WHITELEY.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

RAY WASHBURNE, OF TEXAS, TO BE PRESIDENT OF THE OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION, VICE THOMAS J. CURRY, TERM EXPIRED.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DAVID STEELE BOHIGIAN, OF MISSOURI, TO BE EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT OF THE OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION, VICE E. ALEXANDER BRAY.

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

RICHARD H. MULLER, OF CALIFORNIA, TO BE THE UNITED STATES ASSESSOR FOR CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION, VICE GEORGE R. PITZ.

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

DAVID W. JAEGER, OF MONTANA, TO BE THE UNITED STATES ASSESSOR FOR CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION, VICE GEORGE R. PITZ.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

ROBERT R. ENSER, OF MONTANA, TO BE THE UNITED STATES ASSESSOR FOR CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION, VICE GEORGE R. PITZ.

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

PATRICK L. FITZGERALD, OF ILLINOIS, TO BE THE UNITED STATES ASSESSOR FOR CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION, VICE GEORGE R. PITZ.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

RICHARD A. ORMROD, OF GEORGIA, TO BE THE UNITED STATES ASSESSOR FOR CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION, VICE GEORGE R. PITZ.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

JON ANDRESEN, OF IOWA, TO BE THE UNITED STATES ASSESSOR FOR CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION, VICE GEORGE R. PITZ.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

JOHN F. EDWARDS, OF NEW MEXICO, TO BE THE UNITED STATES ASSESSOR FOR CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION, VICE GEORGE R. PITZ.

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ROBERT J. PRICE, OF NEW MEXICO, TO BE THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO, VICE JAMES J. CANNON.
The following named officer for appointment in the United States army to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States naval service to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States marine corps to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States air force to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States space force to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States intelligence community to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States office of the director of national intelligence to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States secret service to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States central intelligence agency to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States treasury department to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States commerce department to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States labor department to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States energy department to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States health and human services department to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States housing and urban development department to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States transportation department to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States commerce department to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States treasury department to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of commerce to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of labor to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of health and human services to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of housing and urban development to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of transportation to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of commerce to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States treasury department to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of commerce to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of labor to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of health and human services to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of housing and urban development to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of transportation to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of commerce to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States treasury department to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of commerce to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of labor to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of health and human services to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of housing and urban development to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of transportation to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of commerce to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States treasury department to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of commerce to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of labor to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of health and human services to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of housing and urban development to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of transportation to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of commerce to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States treasury department to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of commerce to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of labor to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of health and human services to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of housing and urban development to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of transportation to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of commerce to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States treasury department to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of commerce to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of labor to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of health and human services to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of housing and urban development to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of transportation to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of commerce to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States treasury department to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of commerce to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of labor to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of health and human services to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of housing and urban development to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:

The following named officer for appointment in the United States department of transportation to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C. section 601:
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CONFIRMATION

Executive nomination confirmed by the Senate June 6, 2017:

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

COURTNEY ELWOOD, OF VIRGINIA, TO BE GENERAL COUNSEL OF THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.
EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

ZHOUYI WANG

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. PERLMUTTER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and applaud Zhouyi Wang for receiving the Arvada Wheat Ridge Service Ambassadors for Youth award. Zhouyi Wang is a student at Drake Middle School and received this award because his determination and hard work have allowed him to overcome adversities.

The dedication demonstrated by Zhouyi Wang is exemplary of the type of achievement that can be attained with hard work and perseverance. It is essential students at all levels strive to make the most of their education and develop a work ethic which will guide them for the rest of their lives. I extend my deepest congratulations to Zhouyi Wang for winning the Arvada Wheat Ridge Service Ambassadors for Youth award. I have no doubt he will exhibit the same dedication and character in all of his future accomplishments.

HONORING NEW CITIZENS

HON. PETER J. VISCLOSKY
OF INDIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Speaker, it is with great pleasure and sincerity that I take this time to congratulate thirty individuals who will take their oaths of citizenship on Friday, June 9, 2017. This memorable occasion, presided over by Magistrate Judge John E. Martin, will be held at the Federal Building in Hammond, Indiana.

America is a country founded by immigrants. From its beginning, settlers have come from countries around the world to the United States in search of better lives for their families, and they, too, are guaranteed the inalienable rights to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. We, as a free and democratic nation, congratulate them and welcome them.

HONORING THE RETIREMENT OF RALPH PONTILLO

HON. MIKE KELLY
OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. KELLY of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I would like to recognize one of my constituents from Western Pennsylvania, Mr. Ralph Pontillo. Following nearly 30 years of service, Ralph recently retired as president of the Manufacturer & Business Association (MBA), a professional trade organization that represents more than 3,000 member companies in the tri-state region.

Ralph is a veteran of the United States Air Force and a native of Erie County, where he graduated from Strong Vincent High School and Canisius College, earning a baccalaureate degree in political science. Ralph joined the MBA in 1987 and served as manager of member services until he was named president on April 1, 1990. Ralph maintained his role as president for the following three decades, creating a legacy built on advocating for the business community.

Throughout his tenure, Ralph was instrumental in the change that the MBA's success, including the facility relocation to its present headquarters and the construction of the MBA's Conference Center. These two projects allowed the MBA to expand and offer additional programs and services, enabling the MBA to become one of the largest regional employer associations of its kind.

Ralph is credited with spearheading one of the MBA's most visible community outreach programs, the annual Roar on the Shore® charitable motorcycle rally. Roar on the Shore® is one of the fastest-growing charitable motorcycle rallies in the United States, attracting approximately 165,000 visitors to the Erie area annually, creating an economic boost of more than $25 million. Over the past ten years, the rally has raised more than $800,000 for local charities.

Ralph has actively participated in a number of local, state and national boards, voluntarily dedicating his time and effort in order to support various initiatives. Ralph is a member of the American Society of Association Executives and for more than 30 years has maintained the status of a Certified Association Executive, a professional designation that recognizes the highest level of achievement and excellence in the profession. Ralph's expertise has been an absolute asset to the various boards and organizations with which he has been involved.

Ralph is an admirable professional who has created a praiseworthy legacy built on advocacy and service. He took advantage of his role as President of the MBA, continuously striving for greatness in all that he did. Ralph has made lasting contributions and the Erie community will reap the benefits of his efforts long after his retirement. Ralph will be remembered as a catalyst for improvement and a longtime champion for the business community.

CANTERBURY COUGARS BASEBALL

HON. FRANCIS ROONEY
OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. ROONEY of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate the Canterbury School's men's baseball team for their second consecutive Class 3A State Championship. The Cougars clinched the Title following their tremendous 4–1 victory over Jacksonville University Christian at Hammond Stadium in their hometown of Fort Myers. This triumph came through their outstanding teamwork and ability to adapt during games. The Title caps off an excellent season where the team achieved an astounding record of 27 wins to just 5 losses.

I congratulate the Canterbury Cougars and Head Coach Frank Turco for an excellent season and this unforgettable win. I look forward to seeing the accomplishments of these young men in the years to come.

● This “bullet” symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.
RECOGNIZING THE RETIREMENT OF MR. DON MASCH AFTER NEARLY 23 YEARS OF SERVICE WITH ATK AND VISTA OUTDOOR, INC.

HON. ROBERT J. WITTMAN
OF VIRGINIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. WITTMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the retirement of Mr. Don Masch after nearly 23 years of service with ATK and Vista Outdoor, Inc.

Don was born and raised in Waterbury, Connecticut and graduated from Sacred Heart High School in 1966. After high school, he attended the University of Connecticut and graduated in 1970 with a degree in Political Science.

As a Distinguished Military Graduate, he was commissioned a Second Lieutenant in the U.S. Army. Don served in a variety of command and staff positions in the United States and overseas, including assignments to Syria, Lebanon, Germany and Israel. He holds a Master of Arts in National Security Affairs from the United States Naval Postgraduate School, and is a graduate of the Defense Language Institute and Army Command and General Staff College. In the Pentagon, he served on the Army Staff and in the Secretary of the Army’s Office of Legislative Liaison, retiring in 1993 as a Lieutenant Colonel.

Don Masch began his career in industry in February of 1993, initially with Short Brothers USA, joining ATK in 1994 as part of the corporation’s government relations team. There he became a trusted lobbyist and advocate on a wide range of defense and aerospace policies and programs. He quickly earned a reputation as a reliable and effective spokesman in Washington, D.C., helping to establish ATK on Capitol Hill. His fact-based approach to issues and commitment to integrity made him indispensable to ATK and the people in Washington with whom he worked.

In addition to his role as head of Congressional Relations at ATK, Don served as the head of the Ethics Panel at the Association of Government Professionals, President of the Washington, D.C. Chapter of the National Defense Industrial Association, and in other association positions. He also was a guest speaker at numerous seminars and panels, including NDIA and Georgetown Law School.

When ATK acquired Orbital Sciences in 2015, he played a key role in the transition of both the OrbitalATK and the newly formed Vista Outdoor Government Relations teams, becoming Vista’s Vice President for Government and Industry Relations. As head of Vista’s federal affairs, Don has proven to be an effective advocate on conservation, sportsmen’s issues and 2nd Amendment rights. He possesses and character that the Americans he served during the last 52 years.

Fred personally experienced the heartbreak that wreaked havoc on the economic and social order of our Greater Baltimore community—as Bethlehem Steel, General Motors, Westinghouse Electric, Providence and other manufacturing corporations abandoned their Baltimore operations, leaving nearly 100,000 working families to reach deeply into their hearts, pull themselves together, and chart new courses for their lives.

Fred also knew the empowering strength of education that provides needed skills and justifies a living wage, a health care plan and a secure retirement.

Throughout his career, he worked tirelessly to expand the job training and tuition assistance that has allowed so many of his brothers and sisters to build better lives.

From his earliest days as a student at Morgan State College (now University), Fred Mason also understood that an integrated America, both racially and socio-economically, is a stronger and more prosperous nation.

And although he will be laying down the gavel as President of the Maryland-DC AFL-CIO, I am confident that Fred Mason will carry on in the cause of universal civil and human rights.

I hold this conviction because, like Fred Mason, I, too, am a son of labor and a civil rights worker.

And like Fred Mason, we all must understand that the civil rights movement of our own time is not limited to issues of race or gender or freedom of conscience—as critically important as those struggles remain.

The civil rights movement of our time, Mr. Speaker, is also about whether parents can afford to feed and house their children—and provide those children with the education and health care that they need and deserve.

The choice is ours.

Will America once again become a nation of opportunity for everyone willing to work hard? Or will hard-working Americans continue to be squeezed past the breaking point by policies, enacted from afar, that devalue our people, our economy and the nation that we love?

Mr. Speaker, this is the message that Fred Mason has lived and taught to others for more than five decades—and the same message that has become central to the national debate of our own time.

It was at Morgan State College that Fred first came to understand that organization matters and is critical to progress in our country in the area of Civil Rights.

At Bethlehem Steel and on the assembly lines of General Motors, Fred Mason came to realize that working people can become empowered if they organize. Now, in retirement, Fred also knows that the struggle to organize America must continue.

He knows that political democracy in America is irrevocably linked to the expanded economic and social democracy that has carried so many closer to the American Dream.
And above all, Fred Mason has taught us that our struggle for the American Dream continues despite the attacks of recent years.
I have faith that dedicated, strong Americans like Fred Mason will always be there on the front lines.
And for all of these contributions, we should thank Fred Mason and his wonderful wife, Jennifer, and wish them well.

HONORING THE 100TH ANNIVERSARY OF DESCENT OF THE HOLY SPIRIT ORTHODOX CHURCH

HON. PETER J. VISCLOSKY
OF INDIANA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Speaker, it is with great respect and admiration that I congratulate Descent of the Holy Spirit Orthodox Church, located in Schererville, Indiana, as its congregation and church leaders join together to celebrate the church’s 100th Anniversary. Reverend Father Holowaty and Subdeacon Daniel Coffman will be commemorating this momentous occasion with a Hierarchical Divine Liturgy and celebration on June 25, 2017, as well as additional memorial events throughout the church’s anniversary year.

Descent of the Holy Spirit was founded 100 years ago when a small group of families were looking for a place to practice the Orthodox faith. In 1917, the original church was built in East Chicago, Indiana, and it was in this location that parishioners worshipped as a community for the next eighty years. In 1997, the current church, located in Schererville, was constructed. It is here that the congregation continues to gather together in celebration, praise, and faith. Descent of the Holy Spirit is part of the American Carpatho-Russian Orthodox Diocese of the United States of America, led by His Grace, Right Reverend Bishop Gregory Tatsis, under the Ecumenical Patriarchate of Constantinople, Patriarch Bartholomew.

Descent of the Holy Spirit Orthodox Church continues to touch the lives of its parishioners and countless individuals through its compassionate service. The congregation is a close-knit, family-centered community where all people are welcome.

Mr. Speaker, I ask you and my distinguished colleagues to join me in honoring and congratulating Descent of the Holy Spirit Orthodox Church in Schererville, Indiana, on the occasion of its 100th Anniversary. For their commitment to service and to their faith, the church leaders and parishioners are worthy of the highest praise.

HONORING BILLIE PAUL BAILEY

HON. ANDY BARR
OF KENTUCKY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. BARR. Mr. Speaker, I rise to honor a very special man, Mr. Billie Paul Bailey, of Bath County, Kentucky.

Mr. Bailey has always loved his country. He was honored to serve when drafted into the United States Army in 1952, during the Korean War. Following training at Camp Breckenridge, Mr. Bailey was deployed to Stuttgart, Germany where he served in the 29th Transportation Company. He was honorably discharged in 1954 and remained in the Reserves until 1960.

Mr. Bailey returned to Bath County following his service. He and his wife Emma Lea married in 1954. They have three children. Mr. Bailey operated a dairy and crop farm for 52 years. He has been described as a dedicated follower of Christ and a pillar in the community.

Mr. Bailey and his fellow men and women of the United States military answered the call to serve our great nation. America is forever grateful and appreciative of the service of Mr. Bailey and all our patriots. I am honored to recognize Mr. Billie Paul Bailey before the United States House of Representatives.

HONORING THE LIFE OF JULIA WHITLA CLINGER

HON. BARBARA COMSTOCK
OF VIRGINIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mrs. COMSTOCK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the life of Julia Whittla Clinger, who passed away on November 22, 2016, at the age of 85. Judy, as she was known to her friends, was the wife of William F. Clinger, a distinguished former member of this body whom I was privileged to work for during his tenure as the Chairman of the Government Reform and Oversight Committee in the mid-1990s.

Judy was born on February 3, 1931, in Sharon, Pennsylvania. Her early life was marked by tragedy—her father, a prominent lawyer in Sharon, died of pneumonia when she was just two years old. Judy graduated from Connecticut College with highest honors, Phi Beta Kappa, with a degree in English. In 1951, she married Bill, and spent the better part of the next 25 years in Warren, Pennsylvania, where they raised their four children and were active members of the community.

After Bill’s election to Congress in 1978, Judy moved with him to Washington. Here, shining with the light of her faith, she was an active member of the United States Catholic Conference and numerous charitable and civic organizations, including the International Club of Washington and the Congressional Wives Task Force, where she served as Treasurer. Bill would be the first to tell you that Judy was his greatest political asset, actively participating in his nine successful congressional campaigns and playing an important behind-the-scenes role as he rose through the ranks on Capitol Hill to become a committee chairman.

Judy will be remembered by those who knew her for what one described as her “feisty elegance”—a combination of social grace and high-spiritedness that made it a pleasure to spend time with her. Judy’s life will be celebrated over the upcoming Fourth of July holiday at a memorial service in the lakeside community of Chautauqua in upstate New York, where she and Bill first met and where they returned every summer.

Judy is survived by Bill, whom I had an opportunity to visit with recently, her children (Elise, Will, Jim, and Julia), and by seven grandchildren, to whom she was devoted.

Mr. Speaker, I ask that my colleagues join me in celebrating the life of Judy Clinger, and extending our sincerest condolences to our former colleague, Bill Clinger, on the loss of his wife of 65 years.

YANIRA ALVARADO

HON. ED PERLMUTTER
OF COLORADO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. PERLMUTTER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and applaud Yanira Alvarado for receiving the Arvada Wheat Ridge Service Ambassadors for Youth award. Yanira Alvarado is a student at Arvada High School and received this award because her determination and hard work have allowed her to overcome adversities.

The dedication demonstrated by Yanira Alvarado is exemplary of the type of achievement that can be attained with hard work and perseverance. It is essential students at all levels strive to make the most of their education and develop a work ethic which will guide them for the rest of their lives.

I extend my deepest congratulations to Yanira Alvarado for winning the Arvada Wheat Ridge Service Ambassadors for Youth award. I have no doubt she will exhibit the same dedication and character in all of her future accomplishments.

COMMEMORATING THE 28TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE MASSACRE ON TIANANMEN SQUARE

HON. SHEILA JACKSON LEE
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to commemorate the 28th anniversary of the massacre at Tiananmen Square.
This historic stand against oppression saw several hundred civilians shot dead by the Chinese army during a bloody operation to crush a democratic protest in Beijing’s Tiananmen Square.

The Chinese army took the Square with violence against its own people; most of whom were all willing to move until their demands for democratic reform were met.

Tanks rolled through the capital’s streets randomly firing on unarmed civilians.

Millions of people had joined this protest—people from all walks of life who were angered by widespread corruption and calling for democracy.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to recognize former President George H.W. Bush and former Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher who both publicly condemned this atrocious act of violence and stood in solidarity with the men and women who peacefully assembled to demand democracy.

The courage and commitment to democratic governance displayed by the demonstrators in Tiananmen Square 28 years ago will never be forgotten by the Chinese and freedom loving people everywhere.

HONORING LISA M. MAATZ FOR HER OUTSTANDING CONTRIBUTIONS WITH THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF UNIVERSITY WOMEN

HON. ROSA L. DeLAURO
OF CONNECTICUT
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Ms. DeLAURO. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to join colleagues, family, and friends in paying tribute to Lisa M. Maatz for her outstanding leadership and invaluable contributions as Vice President of Government Relations and Advocacy at the American Association of University Women (AAUW). Though she has decided to move forward to other opportunities, she has left an indelible mark on this organization and all of those who have been fortunate enough to have worked with her.

For fifteen years, Lisa has served in multiple leadership capacities at AAUW and remained a tireless advocate for women and girls. As the organization’s top policy adviser she has faithfully worked to advance and protect the interests of women and families across the nation. During her tenure she dedicated much of her work to advocating for the economic and retirement security of women and families. Among her many accomplishments, she is most notably remembered for her instrumental role in the successful passage of the Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Act of 2009.

Lisa’s strengths for developing and directing public policy and grassroots advocacy have resulted in her the successful leadership of several coalitions working to advance opportunities for women and girls, including the National Coalition for Women and Girls in Education (NCWGE) and the Equal Pay Coalition. In addition to leading the Public Policy and Government Relations department, Lisa spent eighteen months serving concurrently as the interim director of the AAUW Legal Advocacy Fund. A highly sought-after speaker, having made numerous presentations on the national stage, and a principal spokesperson for women’s issues in Washington and across the nation, Lisa is a trailblazer by any definition. Her creative and strategic approaches to legislation and advocacy at all levels coupled with her equally talented ability to implement and mobilize grassroots strategies have earned her the respect and admiration of co-workers, peers, and legislators.

Lisa’s reputation in public service precedes her. Her grassroots advocacy career began when she was Executive Director of Turning Point, a battered women’s program recognized for excellence by the Ohio Supreme Court. Since then, she has been influential to many such organizations as the NOW Legal Defense and Education Fund and the Older Women’s League, and served as a legislative aide to U.S. Congresswoman CAROLYN MALONEY. She was recently featured in the book “Secrets of Powerful Women”, is a contributor to “Love Her, Love her Not: The Hillary Paradox” and recently served on the boards for the Women’s Information Network (Win) as well as on the Public Leadership Education Network (PLEN) where she mentored the next generation of young women activists.

Lisa’s work has been recognized by countless distinctions including the 2013 National Committee on Pay Equity’s Winn Newman Advocacy Award, the 2012 National Association of Partnerships in Equity’s first-ever Public Policy Advocacy for Equity Award, and the U.S. Women’s Chamber of Commerce’s 10th anniversary Trailblazer Award. Her achievements reflect her extraordinary career in advancing gender equity and her unwavering commitment to social justice.

I would be remiss if I did not take a moment to extend a personal note of thanks to Lisa for the work that she has done with me and my staff. Lisa has been a sister-in-arms on my work with the Paycheck Fairness Act, not only making “Equal Pay for Equal Work” a priority for the AAUW, but also bringing it to the forefront of state and national public policy debates. She has been an extraordinary resource and I cannot thank her enough for the vision and counsel she has provided over the years.

Lisa M. Maatz has dedicated her life to supporting women and girls through advocacy, education, and philanthropy. Her service and leadership in this capacity is nothing less than exceptional. As she continues her legacy, I am honored to stand today and thank her for the incredible work she has done in the service of all women and girls and wish her all the best in her future endeavors.

HON. VICKY HARTZLER
OF MISSOURI
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mrs. HARTZLER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Dr. George E. Windsor, a family physician in Windsor, Missouri. George has been a physician for 47 years and has decided to retire from his practice at the age of 74. I hope all of you can join me in congratulating him on his retirement and wishing him continued health and happiness in this new phase of life.

I am honored to share my gratitude and respect for Dr. George E. Windsor. His dedication and care for the people of his community are an inspiration to us all.

PAYING TRIBUTE TO DR. JAMES JACOBS

HON. SANDER M. LEVIN
OF MICHIGAN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to Dr. James Jacobs, who is retiring at the end of June from a 50-year career at Macomb Community College (MCC). Since 2008, Dr. Jacobs has served as President of MCC.

On its face, the pairing of Dr. Jacobs and Macomb Community College was improbable. A native of Brooklyn, New York with a Ph.D. in Politics from Princeton University, the notion that Dr. Jacobs would accept a faculty position at a Midwestern community college seemed, to his university peers at least, to be highly unlikely. As Dr. Jacobs told the Detroit Free Press in January of 2016, “They said, ‘Do you need help finding a job? We can help you find something.’”

What those colleagues did not understand was that Jim Jacobs’ passion for activism and his belief that, as a professor, he was instrumental in helping workers displaced by economic change to gain the skills needed to achieve career success. As a testament to the high regard in which MCC is held, former President Barack Obama visited the college three times to highlight the importance of workforce development and worker retraining.

Dr. Jacobs is also widely known for his annual “Macomb County Economic Forecast,” which he delivers to a coalition of the county’s local chambers of commerce. In his report, Dr. Jacobs delves deeply into the state of the county’s economy—including employment, wages, home values, demographic changes, and more—which he places in context with state and national economic trends, and projects future economic change based on his analysis of the data. This report is highly valued not only by chamber of commerce members, but by county, state and federal policy makers.

My office and I have turned to Dr. Jacobs on innumerable occasions to better understand an education or economic issue, to fully
for Honolulu. There they were transferred to a naval supply depot where they were in charge of transporting supplies to ships outside the harbor. Mr. Carter and others were struck by the de-
Mr. Speaker, I want to congratulate the Friendship Centers of Emmet County for 50 years of service in the Emmet County communities. Northern Michigan residents can take great pride in knowing that Emmet County is a better place thanks to the work and dedication of the Friendship Centers. On behalf of my constituents across Michigan’s First District, I thank the Friendship Centers of Emmet County for their work and look forward to their continued success.

IN RECOGNITION OF DR. RANDY REID

HON. MICHAEL C. BURGESS OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. BURGESS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Dr. Randy Reid, Superintendent of Schools at Keller Independent School District (ISD). Dr. Reid is retiring after thirty-four years of public service in Texas.

Dr. Reid received a bachelor’s degree from Baylor University and a master’s degree and Doctorate in Education Administration from Texas A&M University at Commerce. After completing his education, Dr. Reid began his career in public service as a teacher in Richardson ISD. Over the next 24 years, he advanced from teacher to coach to Assistant Superintendent of Richardson ISD. Before assuming the helm of Keller ISD in 2012, Dr. Reid served as the Superintendent of Celina ISD and Tyler ISD.

While serving as superintendent, Dr. Reid oversaw the passage of a $169.5 million bond package which funded four new campuses in the District. This expansion included Keller’s first campus solely devoted to Career and Technological Education coursework, the cutting-edge Keller Center for Advanced Learning.

While serving as a catalyst for academic success in his own district, Dr. Reid has also advocated for the advancement of quality education throughout the state of Texas. Dr. Reid currently serves as President of the Texas Fast Growth School Coalition, a group of more than fifty of the fastest-growing Texas school districts. In addition, he is active member of the University Interscholastic League (UIL) Waiver Review Board, the Texas Association of School Administrators, and the Future Ready Superintendents Leadership Institute.

For the past five years, Dr. Reid has been a dedicated community leader in the greater Keller area. I salute Dr. Reid for his exemplary career and extend my best wishes upon his retirement. It is an honor to represent the students, families, teachers and staff of Keller ISD in the U.S. House of Representatives.

CONGRATULATING THE BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB OF CAMARILLO ON THEIR 50TH ANNIVERSARY

HON. JULIA BROWNLEY OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Ms. BROWNLEY of California. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to congratulate the Boys and Girls Club of Camarillo on the occasion of their 50th anniversary as a remarkable after-school institution serving the youth and families of the City of Camarillo.

Since 1967, the Boys and Girls Club of Camarillo has had a profound impact on the community with an exemplary goal of educating our youth to become socially responsible citizens. The Boys and Girls Club of Camarillo has become an extraordinary asset to our community as a whole.

Holding true to its mission, the Boys and Girls Club of Camarillo cares for each and every student that comes through their doors regardless of race, gender, ethnicity, or socioeconomic status. They develop each student’s full potential to become productive, responsible, and caring individuals. For over five decades, the Boys and Girls Club of Camarillo has accomplished this goal through dedicated staff and volunteers to whom we owe an insurmountable debt of gratitude.

Since its founding, the Boys and Girls Club of Camarillo has aimed to keep our young kids off the streets through quality after-school programs and services. Today, the Boys and Girls Club of Camarillo serves approximately 400 kids every day and over 4,000 kids each year. These kids have the opportunity to participate in sports programs in its gymnasium, arts programs in its performance theater, and academic programs in and outside its technology lab, giving kids a truly holistic development experience that will empower them and instill confidence.

Furthermore, the Boys and Girls Club of Camarillo has succeeded in creating a positive, fun, and safe environment for our community’s youth to reach their full potential. For these reasons, it is my sincere pleasure to honor the Boys and Girls Club for its exceptional 50 years of service to our community’s youth and developing our future leaders.

HONORING THE CAREER OF MATTHEW A. ROZELL

HON. ELISE M. STEFANIK OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Ms. STEFANIK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor a remarkable man who has shown a strong dedication to educating the students of New York’s 21st District.

Growing up in the historic foothills of the Adirondack Mountains, Matthew Rozell’s surroundnings inspired him in a deep interest in the past. This fascination led him to SUNY Geneseo, where he received a Bachelor of Arts in History, and a Master’s in Education. After graduating, Mr. Rozell returned to his high school alma mater in Hudson Falls, NY, where he has spent the entirety of his career going above and beyond as an educator.

As the founder of the World War II Living History Project, Mr. Rozell has established an excellent tool for educating students. He has helped to connect WWII veterans and survivors throughout the country and worked to make their stories known. Specifically, the work that the project has done on uncovering personal accounts and photographs from the Holocaust has drawn admiration and recognition from organizations around the country.

In addition to being featured as an ABC World News “Person of the Week,” Mr. Rozell has received multiple awards, including the History Teacher of the Year Award from the Organization of American Historians, and the Founders’ Medal for History Education from the National Society of the Daughters of the American Revolution. Rozell also received the New York State Education Department’s Louis C. Hawkeye Teacher Award for Outstanding Contributions to Teaching the Holocaust.

I would like to thank Mr. Rozell for his enduring commitment to academia and research. Through his work, he has served as an exemplary educator and as a role model for his students and his peers.

IN RECOGNITION OF STUART VOGLT

HON. BARBARA COMSTOCK OF VIRGINIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mrs. COMSTOCK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and congratulate Mr. Stuart Vogt of Vienna, Virginia. Born in 1920, Mr. Vogt lived through some of the most uncertain times in American history during which he not only endured, but flourished. And throughout his illustrious career he has always put his country and community first.

Mr. Vogt graduated from Lehigh University in 1942 with a B.S. in Electrical Engineering. With the war effort furiously ramping up, there was a demand for young, aspiring engineers. He was recruited by Westinghouse in Lima, Ohio, near Army Air Force headquarters at Wright-Patterson AFB, to join Westinghouse’s brand new Aviation Electronics Department. While there he designed dynamos and generators for World War II P40 fighters and B17 & B24 bombers. After the war, Mr. Vogt moved to Virginia to work with Naval Air Systems Command on advanced electric motors. However, after only a few years there he was given a unique opportunity to work on NASA’s Launch Operations’ Saturn rocket engines. During his time at NASA, he worked in conjunction with Werner Von Braun and other scientists in Huntsville and Cape Canaveral. Before retiring thirty years ago, Mr. Vogt returned to Naval Air Systems Command where he installed aircraft carrier computer upgrades to accommodate new carrier-based F14 Tomcat fighters, S-3A Viking submarine trackers and E-2C Hawkeye early warning radar aircraft.

In retirement Mr. Vogt shifted from serving his country to serving his community. He was the Chair of his local chapter of the National Active and Retired Federal Employees, and today he remains a very active member of Our Lady of Good Counsel Catholic Church in Vienna. Mr. Vogt has a selfless desire to serve and to give back to his community, and this attitude inspires the same in all who meet him. He is a leader and I know he passes on the same selfless qualities to all he meets. Beyond his duties within his community and long career in the defense and aerospace industries, Mr. Vogt was a loving husband to his
wife Marie who passed away 14 years ago and is a loving father to son Stuart Vogt and grandfather to Lynne and Andy. At this moment, Mr. Speaker, I ask that my colleagues join me in extending our sincerest thanks to Mr. Vogt for all the work he has done in his community and for our country.

TEA BENZENBERG
HON. ED PERLMUTTER
OF COLORADO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. PERLMUTTER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and applaud Tea Benzenberg for receiving the Arvada Wheat Ridge Service Ambassadors for Youth award. Tea Benzenberg is a student at Drake Middle School and received this award because her determination and hard work have allowed her to overcome adversities.

The dedication demonstrated by Tea Benzenberg is exemplary of the type of achievement that can be attained with hard work and perseverance. It is essential students at all levels strive to make the most of their education and develop a work ethic which will guide them for the rest of their lives. I extend my deepest congratulations to Tea Benzenberg for winning the Arvada Wheat Ridge Service Ambassadors for Youth award. I have no doubt she will exhibit the same dedication and character in all of her future accomplishments.

PROTECTING AGAINST CHILD EXPLOITATION ACT OF 2017

SPRECH OF
HON. SUZANNE BONAMICI
OF OREGON
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Thursday, May 25, 2017

Ms. BONAMICI. Madam Speaker, I rise today in opposition to H.R. 1761, the Protecting Against Child Exploitation Act. Although I support the goal of the bill, which aims to strengthen existing laws that criminalize engaging in sexual acts with a child that result in the production of an image or video, I am concerned that its overly broad language could cause unintended consequences that go beyond the sponsors’ intentions. Make no mistake, our children deserve the strongest possible protection against such heinous acts and abuse. I am deeply concerned that the bill does not take into consideration situations between consenting teenagers. Teenagers today have access to more technology than ever before, and far too many of them do not comprehend the seriousness of exchanging sexually explicit images of themselves with one another. Although I do not condone such behavior, I cannot support a bill that does not distinguish between those situations and the morally reprehensible crimes against children. I commend my colleague, Congresswoman SHelia JACKSON LEE, for offering an amendment that would make consensual activity between a minor who is fifteen years old or older and an adult who is nineteen years old or younger punishable as a misdemeanor, rather than a felony. The amendment was narrowly tailored to make sure that the punishment for such consensual situations is proportionate to the severity of the crime. I was disappointed that the House did not adopt the Jackson-Lee amendment, and I cannot support the underlying bill without the inclusion of this important policy provision.
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Mr. McGlothin was born on the 4th of July. He was drafted into the United States Army on November 15, 1951. He received training on anti-aircraft artillery and was sent to Japan, where he spent 16 months on a 120mm artillery gun. He returned home on October 29, 1953. Mr. McGlothin was in active reserve for 6 years and was honorably discharged on November 15th, 1959.

Mr. McGlothin and his wife Joyce have been married for 53 years. They have four children, eight grandchildren, and four great-grandchildren.

Mr. McGlothin and his fellow men and women of the United States military answered the call to serve our great nation. America is forever grateful and appreciative of the service of Mr. McGlothin and all our patriots. I am honored to recognize Mr. Walter McGlothin before the United States House of Representatives.

HONORING MR. CLIFTON HARTMAN

HON. ELIZABETH H. ESTY
OF CONNECTICUT
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Ms. ESTY of Connecticut. Mr. Speaker, I rise today with a heavy heart to honor the life of Mr. Clifton “Cliff” R. Hartman and his long dedication to our Cheshire community. Cliff passed away on May 27, 2017 at the age of 97, but his memory and contributions will live on in Cheshire for years to come.

Cliff was born in Bethlehem, Pennsylvania on May 21, 1920. He graduated from high school in Dunellen, New Jersey, and then studied organic chemistry and chemical engineering at Lehigh University, Rutgers University, and Columbia University. Cliff met the love of his life, Mary Shuey, and the couple married on June 1, 1942. Just a few weeks later, Cliff joined the U.S. Army Air Force to lend his expertise as an armaments specialist during the Second World War. He served with a bomb disposal unit across the European and northern African theaters of war, and earned a Bronze Star in recognition of his service and dedication to the country. At the end of the war, Cliff started his long career with the Calco Chemical Company, and he retired in 1985.

In their retirement, Cliff and Mary were active and adventurous, traveling extensively with their family. Cliff was an active member of our community and enthusiastically shared his knowledge as a longtime member of the Cheshire Army Air Force Round Table and the First Congregational Church. He was also a master chess player and shared his passion for the game with hundreds of elementary school children in after-school programs.

Mr. Speaker, Cliff Hartman was a model member of our community whose dedication and willingness to help others serve as a model for those who knew him, and it is fitting and proper that we honor his legacy here today. My condolences go out to his family, including his children Keith and Dale, and his grandchildren Caitlin, Beth, Megan, Erik, Elise, Rebecca, Ryan, and Jeffrey. Cliff had an immeasurable impact on Cheshire, Connecticut and the United States of America, and those who knew him will cherish his memory.

HONORING THE LIFE AND LEGACY OF ALEXANDRA “SANDY” BRESLIN

HON. ROSA L. DELAUR0
OF CONNECTICUT
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Ms. DeLAURO. Mr. Speaker, it is with the heaviest of hearts that I rise today to join the many family, friends, colleagues, and community leaders who have gathered to pay tribute to one of our community’s most ardent and passionate advocates, Alexandra “Sandy” Breslin, who lost her battle with cancer just
days ago. Sandy was an extraordinary woman, whose compassion, dedication, and commitment to public service touched the lives of many and helped to shape the very character of our great state.

Sandy was many things to many people—advocate, mentor, and most importantly, friend. I first met Sandy as a newly elected Member of Congress when she sought me out to talk about the need to preserve and protect the Long Island Sound and the invaluable habitats along its shores. Few have had a larger impact on the preservation and protection of the Long Island Sound than Sandy. She worked with me and others to secure millions of dollars in federal funding to support conservation efforts up and down the Sound’s coast and was instrumental in the passage of the Long Island Sound Stewardship Act. She also served as the Connecticut Co-Chair of the Citizens Advisory Committee of the national estuary program for Long Island Sound where she fought for horseshoe crab sanctuaries that protected this ancient creature and helped establish standards for harvesting menhaden that set the stage for the return of whales to the Sound.

Sandy’s passionate activism helped to make real many land conservation projects including such local environmental jewels as Kelda, the former Griswold Airport, Long Beach West, The Reserve at and Suffield and Barn Island Wildlife Management Areas; and to expand the Stewart B. McKinney the Silvio O. Conte National Wildlife Refuges. Her outstanding contributions have been recognized with three national awards including a U.S. Department of the Interior Environmental Achievement Award; a Teaming with Wildlife Member Achievement Award for establishing a Connecticut Conservation License Plate; and a Coastal America Award for conservation teamwork at Long Beach West.

In addition to her environmental work, Sandy was also a dedicated advocate for the Town of Bethany, Connecticut. She served as a member of the Inland Wetlands Commission for more than two decades, she worked to renew State funding that resulted in creation of a regional waterway and watershed matching grants program that helped protect Clover Nook Farm, Veteran’s Memorial Park and Monument Stables and for more than a decade she served as Bethany’s representative on the Regional Policy Board of the South Central Connecticut Regional Water Authority, and on its Land Use Committee.

Sandy was also a dedicated member of Connecticut’s Democratic Party. She served as the Democratic Town Chair of Bethany for many years and it was under her leadership that the town, nearly a century was elected First Selectman. In neighboring Woodbridge, she was a driving force behind the election of the first woman to serve as First Selectman in the town’s history. Her contributions to local and state politics earned her the respect and admiration of many across the state.

Sandy was a great friend to me and several members of my staff. There was not a time when we could not call her for advice, information, and council. There was not an environmental event in the state that she did not attend—and she likely had a hand in its organization as well. Even under the most frustrating circumstances, she was there with a smile, ready to roll up her sleeves and get to work. Sandy was the best—an embodiment of everything we strive to be as advocates for others. Like so many others, I consider myself fortunate to have called her my friend.

Sandy leaves behind her mother, Ruth, as well as scores of family, friends, and colleagues whose lives were touched by her good work. I am proud to stand today and take this opportunity to pay tribute to her lifetime of public service, to thank her for her invaluable contributions, and to honor her lasting memory. Alexandra “Sandy” Breslin has left an indelible mark on the State of Connecticut, the Town of Bethany, and in the hearts of all of those fortunate enough to have known and worked with her. Though her presence will be deeply missed, hers is a legacy that will continue to inspire new generations of activists and advocates to come.

EXPRESSING CONDOLENCES TO THE VICTIMS OF THE TERRORIST ATTACK IN LONDON AND SOLIDARITY WITH THE PEOPLE OF ENGLAND

HON. SHEILA JACKSON LEE OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to remember the lives of the innocent victims of the horrific attack that took place in London this past weekend.

Seven lives were lost, and dozens more people were injured by the outrageous and heinous acts of terror that shocked the people of London and the world.

At this terrible time, our hearts and prayers are with the victims and their loved ones.

Our gratitude and appreciation goes to the first responders, the intelligence community and all those who selflessly risked their lives and worked together to prevent the terror from spreading further.

We stand in unyielding solidarity with the people of England, which like the United States, stands at the dark forces that put our way of life at risk.

England will emerge from this and other recent attacks as a stronger nation that remains committed to the values and principles that have made the city a key player in commerce, human rights and democracy.

London has been a world leader in the march of human progress in the arts, culture, science, democratic theory and governance, and in embracing the challenges and opportunities of the modern world.

Those who violently reject the values and norms of our civilized society.

The attacks highlight the extent to which enemies of our shared values are willing to go to threaten the liberties and freedoms enjoyed by those of us living in free societies.

Those responsible for the attacks should make no mistake: they will be held accountable for their horrific actions against humanity.

And as has been done many times throughout the long and special relationship between the United States and England, we will face and overcome threats to our way of life together.

We will not bow and will never break; we will not falter or fail.

We will respond. We will endure. We will overcome.

The horrible and heinous acts of terrorists are their responsibility and theirs alone, and for which they can be assured that they alone will be held accountable.

But that will come another day; today I ask a moment of silence for the victims killed and injured in the terrorist attacks in London.

ZACHARY SALAS

HON. ED PERLMUTTER OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. PERLMUTTER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize and applaud Zachary Salas for receiving the Arvada Wheat Ridge Service Ambassador for Youth award.

Zachary Salas is a student at Bear Creek K–8 School and received this award because his determination and hard work have allowed him to overcome adversities.

The dedication demonstrated by Zachary Salas is exemplary of the type of achievement that can be attained with hard work and perseverance. It is essential students at all levels strive to make the most of their education and develop a work ethic which will guide them for the rest of their lives.

I extend my deepest congratulations to Zachary Salas for winning the Arvada Wheat Ridge Service Ambassadors for Youth award. I have no doubt he will exhibit the same dedication and character in all of his future accomplishments.

HONORING CALIFORNIA HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

HON. MIKE THOMPSON OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor California Human Development, as they celebrate their 50th Anniversary this year. This important community organization has played a vital role in fighting poverty across northern California and will continue to help struggling Californians for years to come.

California Human Development (CHD) is a non-profit, human services provider waging the War on Poverty. Founded in 1967, and inspired by labor icon Cesar Chavez, the original focus of CHD was to assist migrant and
seasonal farmworkers by providing training and workforce development services. Over the past five decades, CHD has expanded its role and now serves not only farmworkers, but also people from many different backgrounds. Their patrons include seniors, addiction recovery patients, individuals seeking to be part of the American Dream, adults living with disabilities, and many more.

CHD has seen incredible success during their past fifty years. Today they serve community members across thirty-one northern California counties. CHD operates twelve community-based farmworker services offices and four Anthony Soto Training Centers, providing jobs and training to their patrons and empowering them to achieve self-sufficiency. Additionally, CHD provides jobs programs, affordable housing options, immigration and citizenship application services, disability services and addiction recovery programs. All told, CHD serves over 25,000 people across northern California.

Mr. Speaker, California Human Development creates opportunities for people from all walks of life and this year celebrates fifty years and over 500,000 lives changed. Therefore, it is fitting and proper that we honor them here today.

IN HONOR OF GREGG ALLMAN
HON. SANFORD D. BISHOP, JR.
OF GEORGIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. BISHOP of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize an outstanding artist and homegrown legend, the late great Gregg Allman. Sadly, on Saturday, May 27, 2017, Gregg passed away peacefully at his home in Savannah, Georgia. A private funeral was held in his hometown of Macon, Georgia on Saturday, June 3, 2017 and he was laid to rest at Macon’s Rose Hill Cemetery beside his brother and bandmate, Duane Allman, and bandmate, Berry Oakley.

Born in the “Music City” of Nashville, Tennessee, Gregg Allman was connected to music runs deep. Appropriately, it was a concert in Nashville with Jackie Wilson, Otis Redding, B.B. King, and Patti LaBelle that jumpstarted Gregg’s passion for music. Though he is best known as a keyboardist and singer, he also performed on acoustic guitar, which he learned to play from a neighbor. He worked as a paperboy to buy his first Silverstone guitar, which he and his brother often fought to play. Ultimately, it was Duane who became the paramount guitar player of the family.

Gregg’s band, the Allman Brothers Band, formed a series of bands in cities ranging from Los Angeles to Jacksonville to Muscle Shoals, Alabama. Eventually, in Daytona Beach, they formed what is considered their first “real” band, the Escorts, which later evolved into the Allman Joys. Then in 1969, the Allman Brothers Band officially formed and moved to Macon, Georgia, captivating a generation of music lovers with their distinctive sound. Songs such as “Whipping Post,” “Ramblin’ Man,” and “Midnight Rider” helped define what is known as Southern rock and set the stage for other musicians such as Lynyrd Skynyrd and the Marshall Tucker Band.

In October 1971, Gregg’s brother Duane was killed in a motorcycle accident in Macon. One year later, the band’s bassist, Berry Oakley, also died in a motorcycle accident just a few blocks from where Duane lost his life. Music was the best release the band members found, so they continued performing and recording over the next four decades. Throughout the years, the band dismantled and reformulated. Gregg to produce a few solo albums and even one with his former wife, Cher. Eventually, the Allman Brothers Band regrouped for the final time in 1989 and continued performing until 2014.

As a member of the Allman Brothers Band, Gregg Allman was inducted into the Rock & Roll Hall of Fame in 1995. He was also inducted into the Georgia Music Hall of Fame in 2006 and received the Lifetime Achievement Award at the 2012 Grammy Awards. Those who knew Gregg personally spoke fondly of him, describing him as a joker and a man with a kind heart.

Gregg Allman is survived by his wife, Shannon, five children, and three grandchildren. Not surprisingly, several of his children have played music professionally.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me, my wife Vivian, and the more than 730,000 residents of Georgia’s Second Congressional District in recognizing Gregg Allman for his remarkable accomplishments as a pioneering and world-renowned musician. His timeless talent and legacy live on in the hearts of those who loved him and will continue to be remembered by generations to come.

BATTLE OF MIDWAY
HON. TED POE
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, after his victory over Pearl Harbor, when asked why he wasn’t celebrating, Japanese Admiral Yamamoto replied, "I fear all we have done is to awaken a sleeping giant and fill him with a terrible resolve." Admiral Yamamoto was right, and he felt the terrible resolve of this giant on June 4, 1942 at the Battle of Midway. Midway was an important battle in the Pacific theater; it was the turning point that put the United States in an offensive position to take on the Empire of Japan. Those of the Greatest Generation proved that when the peace of this nation is threatened, our people will stand up and fight, bringing the thunder of God upon our enemies.

Japan’s goal at Midway Island was to destroy and ambush the remainder of our fleet in hopes of invading Hawaii. In the early morning on June 4th, Japan launched its attack on Midway by bombing the island. This strategy proved to be ineffective. In response, the three U.S. carriers—Enterprise, Hornet and Yorktown—launched fighters and bombers against the Japanese fleet and sank all four Japanese aircraft carriers Akagi, Kaga, Soryu and Hiryu. These four Japanese aircraft carriers were the same carriers that attacked Pearl Harbor six months earlier. Over the next two days, the Imperial Japanese Navy suffered the loss of four aircraft carriers and hundreds of pilots—sailors that had bombed Pearl Harbor. Japan’s defeat at Midway began America’s drive on toward Tokyo. On that day, over 300 American sailors gave their lives for our country. It was Japan’s first defeat of WWII.

The victory at Midway was in part due to American intelligence, US codebreakers. These codebreakers were able to decipher the Japanese radio code called JN–25b, which enabled Pacific Fleet Commander and Texan, Admiral Chester W. Nimitz, to learn the exact plans of attack. Admiral Nimitz gained valuable intel leading up to the battle, including the logistics and timing of the attack. As a result of the Navy codebreakers Admiral Nimitz was able to reconstruct the Japanese plan of attack in detail.

Like Admiral Nimitz, there were other great Texans who helped contribute to the victory at Midway. Baytown resident Ronnie Anderson told me the story of his dad, James Wyatt Anderson who served during this time. SF3/C James Anderson was a twelve year Naval veteran of both World War II and the Korean War. He served in the United States Navy on the survey ship, the USS Bowditch. He surveyed many invasion sites, including the Marshall Islands, Iwo Jima, and Okinawa. Midway Island was the only overseas assignment where SF3/C James Anderson was able to bring his family. Ronnie’s childhood on Midway Island, was like living in a kids paradise, especially for a 10 year old boy. Much of Ronnie’s time was spent playing war games in the bunkers with the left over mortars and with anti-aircraft shells. Ronnie has several artifacts from the Battle of Midway including a 40mm brass shell and a mortar tube which are great mementos of childhood treasure hunts. Throughout the years, Ronnie passed down eventful island stories to his children and grandchildren. Now Ronnie’s 15 year old grandson, Asher Isaacks, has become fascinated with the Battle of Midway and hopes to one day take his grandfather back to the island. Unfortunately, Midway has been closed to tourism by the U.S. Department of Fish and Wildlife due to budget constraints. It is now a bird sanctuary because of its ecosystem with little to no predators. The island is home to birds, such as the Short-tailed Albatross “Gooney Birds”, Masked Booby, and Great Frigatebird. The history of the island is as great as the birds that live there. Midway was claimed for the United States by Captain N.C. Bowditch in 1859 and annexed in 1867. Originally, the island was meant to be a coal depot; however, this never came to be. In 1903, President Theodore Roosevelt placed the island under the control of the Navy. The island became a link in the Hawaii-Guam segment of the first transpacific submarine cable. The name “Midway” comes from the island being half the distance from the U.S. and Japan.

As we observe the 75th Anniversary of the Battle of Midway, it is vital we remember heroes like Admiral Chester Nimitz and James Anderson and give Americans, like Ronnie Anderson, the opportunity to return to Midway Island. On Monday, June 5th at 1:30pm, the United States Navy Memorial in Washington D.C. held the 75th Anniversary of the Battle of Midway Commemoration Ceremony. They created the Navy “Sea of White”, wreath laying ceremony, and played the live stream from the USS Midway Commemoration Ceremony. The importance of this epic battle is a part history made by the “Greatest Generation”.

And that’s just the way it is.
Mr. BARR. Mr. Speaker, I rise to honor a very special person, Mr. John Bradley, of Bath County, Kentucky.

Mr. Bradley volunteered to serve in the United States Army and began basic training August 4, 1948. He trained in Food Service School. He served at Fort Knox and Yokohama, Japan before being sent to Pusan, South Korea in 1950. His unit later moved northward to Seoul, where he oversaw the field kitchen, feeding 500 soldiers. Gunfire was constant, both day and night.

Mr. Bradley’s time of service was extended for 9 months. While he was serving, his mother died and his daughter was born. He was discharged on May 10, 1952 and returned home to meet his 2-year-old daughter for the first time.

During his time in Korea, Mr. Bradley was awarded the Korean War Occupation Medal, five Bronze Stars, a Merit Unit Citation, a Good Conduct Medal, and the Korean Service Medal. Upon returning home, Mr. Bradley farmed and provided for his family. He is an active member of his community. Mr. Bradley and his wife Edith have been married over 68 years.

Mr. Bradley and so many other men and women volunteered to serve our great nation in the United States military. His sacrifice is appreciated by a grateful nation. Mr. Bradley is truly an American patriot. I am honored to recognize Mr. John Bradley before the United States House of Representatives.

CONGRATULATIONS TO JOSHELLE HARGUS

HON. BILLY LONG
OF MISSOURI
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. LONG. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate and honor a young student from my district who has achieved national recognition for exemplary volunteer service in her community. Joselle Hargus of Aurora has just been named one of the distinguished finalists in Missouri by The 2017 Prudential Spirit of Community Awards program, an annual honor conferred on the most impressive student volunteers in each state and the District of Columbia.

Ms. Hargus is being recognized for being the creator of “Joselle’s Funky Flip Flops,” making more than 500 pairs of handmade flip flops since 2008 and using her earnings from her sales to buy shoes and clothes for 100 kids in need. Inspired to help by a kindergarten classmate’s only pair of torn-up shoes, Ms. Hargus has gone on to sell her flip flops at craft shows and spread the word about her cause through events and media appearances.

It’s vital that we encourage and support the kind of selfless, self-reliant and positive young people that Ms. Hargus has inspired. Young volunteers like Ms. Hargus are inspiring examples to all of us, and are among our brightest hopes for a better tomorrow.

The program that brought this young role model to our attention—The Prudential Spirit of Community Awards—was created by Prudential Financial in partnership with the National Association of Secondary School Principals in 1995 to impress upon all youth volunteers that their contributions are critically important and highly valued, and to inspire other young people to follow their example. Over the past 22 years, the program has become the nation’s largest youth recognition effort based solely on community service, and has honored more than 115,000 young volunteers at the local, state and national level.

Ms. Hargus should be extremely proud to have been singled out from the thousands of dedicated volunteers who participated in this year’s program. I wish Ms. Hargus luck with all her future endeavors, and urge my colleagues that their contributions are critically important and highly valued.

IN RECOGNITION OF THE 50TH COMMEMORATION OF SPRINGFIELD TECHNICAL COMMUNITY COLLEGE

HON. RICHARD E. NEAL
OF MASSACHUSETTS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, on June 1st, I had the honor to address Springfield Technical Community College (STCC) class of 2017 as they commuted to their commencement. In my speech below, I explained to the students, faculty, and families assembled that a quality education and working together toward a common purpose, you can accomplish great outcomes even in challenging moments. I want to thank President John B. Cook for his gracious introduction and commend him on his outstanding leadership as STCC celebrates their 50th anniversary. I also want to particularly recognize the over 1000 students of the graduation class of 2017 on completing this important step in their education. I wish them all the best in their future endeavors.

“Good evening President Cook, parents, friends and guests. And of course Springfield Technical Community College class of 2017. Or as you are affectionately known in our community in the highest regard—STCC. We define community as a place where no one is to be abandoned and no one is to be left behind.

I am honored to have been chosen to deliver the commencement address for STCC’s 50th commencement—or as your neighbors on Federal Street, Merriam-Webster, would say, your ‘quinquagenarian.’

The story of STCC begins with the decision of Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara to close the Springfield Armory. In history, it was known as the arsenal at Springfield. Established by General Elisha P. Hitchcock and administered by his trusted aide General Henry Knox. The college is located on at least three historic sites—the Knox Trail, Ben Franklin’s mail route, and the site of Daniel Shays Rebellion which helped bring about the establishment of the United States Constitution, of which convening is 230 years old as of last Thursday.

However, when Secretary McNamara announced his decision to close the Armory, he waived off the emotion and sentiments of the day and stood firm in his determination. Consternation, and some even predicted, calamity, would be the result of the Secretary’s decision.

A sense of despair settled in with the loss of hundreds of manufacturing jobs. A polarizing debate began over whether or not to use the site in an attempt to secure a different commercial purpose or to use the site for education. Today, coincidentally, both missions have been accomplished. A reminder, once again, that in every crisis there is opportunity.

The dream began with the vision of Edmund Garvey, who had been the principal of Springfield Trade High School. A decision was reached to build an addition to Trade High School, which would be called Springfield Technical Institute. Edmund Garvey’s concept would be championed by other notables in our community including then-Springfield Mayor Charles V. Ryan (who actually handed me my high school diploma), Joseph Deliso, a prominent figure in industry, and Representative Anthon Collette, who sponsored the legislation.

HONORING JOHN BRADLEY

HON. ANDY BARR
OF KENTUCKY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

The groundswell of support and encouragement that the dream brought to the School were propelled by the skill of those who understood that a sense of community and shared purpose is the foundation of the American way of life. That spirit is the same one that is the foundation of the Springfield College.

Mr. Bradley’s time of service was extended for 9 months. While he was serving, his mother died and his daughter was born. He was discharged on May 10, 1952 and returned home to meet his 2-year-old daughter for the first time.

During his time in Korea, Mr. Bradley was awarded the Korean War Occupation Medal, five Bronze Stars, a Merit Unit Citation, a Good Conduct Medal, and the Korean Service Medal. Upon returning home, Mr. Bradley farmed and provided for his family. He is an active member of his community. Mr. Bradley and his wife Edith have been married over 68 years.

Mr. Bradley and so many other men and women volunteered to serve our great nation in the United States military. His sacrifice is appreciated by a grateful nation. Mr. Bradley is truly an American patriot. I am honored to recognize Mr. John Bradley before the United States House of Representatives.

CONGRATULATIONS TO JOSHELLE HARGUS

HON. ANDY BARR
OF KENTUCKY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

The program that brought this young role model to our attention—The Prudential Spirit of Community Awards—was created by Prudential Financial in partnership with the National Association of Secondary School Principals in 1995 to impress upon all youth volunteers that their contributions are critically important and highly valued, and to inspire other young people to follow their example. Over the past 22 years, the program has become the nation’s largest youth recognition effort based solely on community service, and has honored more than 115,000 young volunteers at the local, state and national level.

Ms. Hargus should be extremely proud to have been singled out from the thousands of dedicated volunteers who participated in this year’s program. I wish Ms. Hargus luck with all her future endeavors, and urge my colleagues that their contributions are critically important and highly valued.

IN RECOGNITION OF THE 50TH COMMEMORATION OF SPRINGFIELD TECHNICAL COMMUNITY COLLEGE

HON. RICHARD E. NEAL
OF MASSACHUSETTS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, on June 1st, I had the honor to address Springfield Technical Community College (STCC) class of 2017 as they commuted to their commencement. In my speech below, I explained to the students, faculty, and families assembled that a quality education and working together toward a common purpose, you can accomplish great outcomes even in challenging moments. I want to thank President John B. Cook for his gracious introduction and commend him on his outstanding leadership as STCC celebrates their 50th anniversary. I also want to particularly recognize the over 1000 students of the graduation class of 2017 on completing this important step in their education. I wish them all the best in their future endeavors.

“Good evening President Cook, parents, friends and guests. And of course Springfield Technical Community College class of 2017. Or as you are affectionately known in our community in the highest regard—STCC. We define community as a place where no one is to be abandoned and no one is to be left behind.

I am honored to have been chosen to deliver the commencement address for STCC’s 50th commencement—or as your neighbors on Federal Street, Merriam-Webster, would say, your ‘quinquagenarian.’

The story of STCC begins with the decision of Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara to close the Springfield Armory. In history, it was known as the arsenal at Springfield. Established by General Elisha P. Hitchcock and administered by his trusted aide General Henry Knox. The college is located on at least three historic sites—the Knox Trail, Ben Franklin’s mail route, and the site of Daniel Shays Rebellion which helped bring about the establishment of the United States Constitution, of which convening is 230 years old as of last Thursday.

However, when Secretary McNamara announced his decision to close the Armory, he waived off the emotion and sentiments of the day and stood firm in his determination. Consternation, and some even predicted, calamity, would be the result of the Secretary’s decision.

A sense of despair settled in with the loss of hundreds of manufacturing jobs. A polarizing debate began over whether or not to use the site in an attempt to secure a different commercial purpose or to use the site for education. Today, coincidentally, both missions have been accomplished. A reminder, once again, that in every crisis there is opportunity.

The dream began with the vision of Edmund Garvey, who had been the principal of Springfield Trade High School. A decision was reached to build an addition to Trade High School, which would be called Springfield Technical Institute. Edmund Garvey’s concept would be championed by other notables in our community including then-Springfield Mayor Charles V. Ryan (who actually handed me my high school diploma), Joseph Deliso, a prominent figure in industry, and Representative Anthon Collette, who sponsored the legislation.

Although their focus was to create a technical community college, they did something else along the way: they knew how to get a big idea accomplished.

Opportunity is still the theme that is much woven into the fabric of this college’s culture. The grounds the community college stands on today has been part of our community for more than two centuries, where it once housed soldiers, guardsman and industrial might. Today it is home to optimism and determination.

There were strong disagreements at the time among community members as to what to do with this historic site. Persistence, patience and positivity was the foundation of STCC’s birth.

In hindsight, the founder’s unwavering courage was both warranted and wise. Compromises were reached and with the help of Congressman Edward P. Boland, my predecessor, the decision was made to establish how we work with our colleagues, community leaders and peers to accomplish extraordinary outcomes that help to lift the clouds of despair. Much of this was accomplished in the
vide employers with a tax credit for hiring community college graduates. This would encourage business engagement in the community and provide students with opportunities to find good paying jobs upon graduation.

Experience always informs our judgement. It will help shape your understanding and ultimately encourage you to enter the world you plan to thrive in. On a personal note, let me tell you bluntly, the world responds more to aspiration than it does to grievance. Be proud of your accomplishments and your work. I tell you this: your talent, skill, and achievements are REQUIRED—not more to federal, state and local policy discussions.

Let me acknowledge the faculty this evening. You lend experience and teaching talents to help students reach their potential. And as I have always noted, when you reach your potential, your potential expands.

Today’s economy is certainly complex. The conversation between our workforce and employers requires serious commitment to our community college system. As you move forward into the next step of career choices or additional education, accept the challenge you so proudly have earned to see your ambition as central to the new economy. Stay engaged. We need your voice and your talent.

Have a dream, but have a plan. The STCC founding and experience was born in doubt. But on this 50th anniversary, STCCW today has risen to become an extraordinary achievement because the founders had a dream and they had a plan. I mentioned earlier the site on which STCC sits has been home to events that have shaped world history. General Washington shaped history; General Knox shaped history; Ben Franklin shaped history; Daniel Shays shaped history; the Springfield Rifle shaped history; John Garland’s invention shaped history; STCC has shaped history and tonight your pledge should be to shape history, too.

Thank you President Cook, the Board of Trustees, the faculty, and the students at STCC. And of course, your families who have supported and encouraged you. Congratulations from the United States of America.”

IN RECOGNITION OF LAUREN LORIA

HON. BARBARA COMSTOCK
OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mrs. COMSTOCK. Mr. Speaker, I would like to acknowledge one of my constituents from the Loudoun County, Virginia, Young Marine Master Gunnery Sergeant, Lauren Loria, for her exemplary service to our community and our armed forces. This past weekend, Young Marine Loria had the unique opportunity to dedicate a bench at Elleanor C. Lawrence Park to Medal of Honor Recipient Colonel Wesley Fox. Lauren Loria joined the Young Marines in 2009; the day she turned 8 years old. During her time as a Young Marine, she has consistently met her goals and objectives. She has documented 1,284 volunteer community service hours, participating in programs such as Homes for the Troops, Young Eagles Rally, Honor Flight Color Guards, STEM functions, Wreaths Across America, and Sobriety Checkpoints with MADD. She has also received 259 hours of Drug Demand Reduction/Prevention instruction, which includes peer education training and counseling, and she has attended all three Leadership Schools offered by the Young Marines, including the National Leadership Academy. Lastly, she has received seven Gold Lamp awards, an annual academic achievement for obtaining a 3.5 GPA or higher.

Now 16 years old, Young Marine Loria will be moving on to Freedom High School to complete her high school education, and I wish her the best of luck in all of her future endeavors.

The dedication demonstrated by Victoria Corral-Hernandez is exemplary of the type of achievement that can be attained with hard work and perseverance. It is essential students at all levels strive to make the most of their education and develop a work ethic which will guide them for the rest of their lives.

I extend my deepest congratulations to Victoria Corral-Hernandez for winning the Arvada Wheat Ridge Service Ambassadors for Youth award. I have no doubt she will exhibit the same dedication and character in all of her future accomplishments.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. SUSAN A. DAVIS
OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mrs. DAVIS of California. Mr. Speaker, on Thursday, May 25, 2017, my vote was not recorded due to a technical error. I intended to vote YES on H.R. 1761 the Protecting Against Child Exploitation Act.

RECOGNIZING MR. ANDREW LOEB SHoenig UPON HIS DEPARTURE FROM WASHINGTON

HON. CHARLES W. DENT
OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. DENT. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to express my great appreciation for the Arvada Wheat Ridge Service Ambassadors for Youth award. Victoria Corral-Hernandez is a student at Arvada High School and received this award because her determination and hard work have allowed her to overcome adversities.

The dedication demonstrated by Victoria Corral-Hernandez is exemplary of the type of achievement that can be attained with hard work and perseverance. It is essential students at all levels strive to make the most of their education and develop a work ethic which will guide them for the rest of their lives.

I extend my deepest congratulations to Victoria Corral-Hernandez for winning the Arvada Wheat Ridge Service Ambassadors for Youth award. I have no doubt she will exhibit the same dedication and character in all of her future accomplishments.
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HON. BARBARA COMSTOCK
OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mrs. COMSTOCK. Mr. Speaker, I would like to acknowledge one of my constituents from the Loudoun County, Virginia, Young Marine Master Gunnery Sergeant, Lauren Loria, for her exemplary service to our community and our armed forces. This past weekend, Young Marine Loria had the unique opportunity to dedicate a bench at Elleanor C. Lawrence Park to Medal of Honor Recipient Colonel Wesley Fox. Lauren Loria joined the Young Marines in 2009; the day she turned 8 years old. During her time as a Young Marine, she has consistently met her goals and objectives. She has documented 1,284 volunteer community service hours, participating in programs such as Homes for the Troops, Young Eagles Rally, Honor Flight Color Guards, STEM functions, Wreaths Across America, and Sobriety Checkpoints with MADD. She has also received 259 hours of Drug Demand Reduction/Prevention instruction, which includes peer education training and counseling, and she has attended all three Leadership Schools offered by the Young Marines, including the National Leadership Academy. Lastly, she has received seven Gold Lamp awards, an annual academic achievement for obtaining a 3.5 GPA or higher.

Now 16 years old, Young Marine Loria will be moving on to Freedom High School to complete her high school education, and I wish her the best of luck in all of her future endeavors.

The dedication demonstrated by Victoria Corral-Hernandez is exemplary of the type of achievement that can be attained with hard work and perseverance. It is essential students at all levels strive to make the most of their education and develop a work ethic which will guide them for the rest of their lives.

I extend my deepest congratulations to Victoria Corral-Hernandez for winning the Arvada Wheat Ridge Service Ambassadors for Youth award. I have no doubt she will exhibit the same dedication and character in all of her future accomplishments.
As you are aware, the Congressional Study Groups are the premier international projects administered by the U.S. Association of Former Members of Congress, and they are the most active and substantive bipartisan exchange involving the U.S. Congress and legislative bodies in other countries.

Mr. Shoening has been an integral part of the Study Group’s outstanding success for almost six years. He worked tirelessly to enable Members to have a productive and fruitful dialogue with colleagues in the legislatures of Germany, Japan, Turkey, and the E.U. His effective leadership has resulted in the creation of a network of colleagues who have an avenue of discourse, so that the many important international issues that affect our constituencies can be addressed in a constructive and positive manner.

In addition to expressing the appreciation of my colleagues in Congress, I wish to add that on a personal note, it has been a great pleasure working with Andy over the past six years during my chairmanship of the Congressional Study Group on Germany. He is an exceptional professional who has delivered to Members an incredibly valuable and effective service. He is leaving Washington to earn his Master’s Degree in Public Administration at the University of North Carolina, and we wish him much success as he pursues this new opportunity.

HONORING CLEOPATHTIA MOORE-BELL
HON. JEFF DENHAM
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. DENHAM. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to acknowledge and honor West Modesto/King Kennedy Neighborhood Collaborative Executive Director Cleopathtia Moore-Bell, who has announced her retirement after numerous years of dedicated service.

In addition to being a founding member of the Christ Unity Baptist Church in 1991, Ms. Moore-Bell took the initiative to create the Stanislaus Multicultural Community Health Coalition/West Modesto King Kennedy Neighborhood Collaborative. She pioneered this movement because she felt the need to captivate and motivate community residents to become more involved with refining the community’s health. Thanks to her dedication to her community, this movement was able to serve as a blueprint for the Stanislaus County Public Health’s involvement with Partnership for the Public’s Health (PPH). From the partnership with PPH, Mobilizing for Action through Planning and Partnerships (MAPP) was born. Through this, Ms. Moore-Bell was once again able to become an active participant engaging in all aspects of health care, community, and government, unifying them to plan a strategy on how to tackle critical health and safety affairs in communities.

Ms. Moore-Bell continues to be committed to her community by advocating for the improvement of health for children and families. Specifically, she focuses on assisting low-income and minority populations. She currently serves on the Chief Executive Office (CEO) Stewardship Council for “Focus on Prevention,” which is a project designed to draw a spotlight on homelessness, strengthening families, increasing education attainment, and improving health.

It is evident that Cleopathtia has a passion to go above and beyond in her field. Her work exemplifies a spirit that is full of joy, optimism, and progressive leadership. As you are aware, she worked with her team to create a better community.

Mr. Speaker, please join me in honoring and commending Cleopathtia Moore-Bell for her many years of service, devotion, and outstanding contributions to public health in her community. We wish her continued success in her retirement.

HONORING REV. PATRICK OLIVER LINDSEY FOR 25 YEARS OF SERVICE AS LEAD PASTOR OF GREATER BIBLEWAY MISSIONARY BAPTIST CHURCH
HON. JOHN CONYERS, JR.
OF MICHIGAN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today, along with my colleagues, Representatives DEBBIE DINGELL and BRENDA LAWRENCE, to recognize the outstanding service that Rev. Patrick Oliver Lindsay has provided to the Greater Bibleway Missionary Baptist Church in Detroit, Michigan, over the past 25 years.

For years, this church has been a pillar in the Detroit community, providing more than just spiritual guidance. At the helm has been stalwart lead Pastor Lindsey, who has served tirelessly to ensure that his congregation are met. In June 1992, when Pastor Lindsay assumed the pastorate, he was charged with cultivating the congregation’s spiritual growth and development, while overseeing the implementation of the ministry’s strategic plan and directing the administration of the church’s ecclesiastical and business affairs. Pastor Lindsey successfully spearheaded Phase 1 of the church’s building project—a complete renovation of the existing facilities, and he founded the Rev. CJ Henderson Scholarship, which provides students with financial support in their pursuit of higher education.

Pastor Lindsey has been a tireless servant, not only to his church, but to the entire Detroit Metropolitan Community. Pastor Lindsey volunteers his time to serve in a multitude of leadership roles in community organizations such as: Vice Moderator of the Pleasant Grove Baptist District Association, Board Chair of Community-in-Schools of Metropolitan Detroit, and is a board member of the Urban League of Detroit and Southeast Michigan. He is also a member of the Alpha Phi Alpha Fraternity, Inc., and the University of Michigan Alumni Association.

Pastor Lindsey was born to Deacon John (deceased) and Ida Lindsey, and raised on the east side of Detroit. He was educated in Detroit and Highland Park Public School systems and matriculated to the University of Michigan where he graduated in 1981 with a Bachelor’s degree in Political Science. He is married to another spiritual servant, his wife Kathy, and they have two wonderful children: Kendall (a junior at Wayne State University) and Kaylyn (a sophomore at Wayne State University).

Pastor Lindsey currently serves as Vice President of Government and Community Affairs at Wayne State University. In this position, he oversees the federal and state affairs offices, which support the University’s goals and strategic plan by working with key stakeholders to ensure adequate funding for the institution’s more than 27,000 students enrolled in its 13 schools and colleges.

As you can see, Pastor Lindsey’s reach has no bounds. His is truly, first of all, a servant of all. On Saturday, June 10, 2017, he will be honored by the Greater Bibleway Missionary Baptist Church. Today, we urge our colleagues to join us in honoring Pastor Lindsey’s service leadership in Detroit and in his community over the past 25 years.

RECOGNIZING CARMELLA SABAUGH
HON. SANDER M. LEVIN
OF MICHIGAN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to express my gratitude to Carmella Sabaugh, who retired from her position as Macomb County Clerk at the beginning of this year. This week she is being honored for her 40 years of distinguished public service.

Carmella Sabaugh began her public career in 1975, when as a young mother of 5 children she was elected to the Warren City Council. She was elected as Warren City Clerk in 1979, a position she held for 11 years, and in 1992, she was elected as County Clerk. She was subsequently re-elected as County Clerk five times, and chose not to run again in 2016.

As Macomb County Clerk, Carmella was singularly focused on providing model customer service, and worked with her office’s team of committed public employees to introduce innovations which made citizens’ interactions with the Clerk’s office as simple as possible. During Carmella’s 24 years as Clerk, her office received 65 Achievement Awards from the National Association of Counties (NACo). These awards highlight innovative programs instituted at the local level, and the programs are shared with clerks around the country as models they can look to as they seek to improve their own operations.

Among her many accomplishments, Carmella and her team made it easier for people to register and exercise their right to vote, created programs to help prevent real estate and other fraud, brought innovations to the operation of the jury room at the Macomb County Circuit Court, and created county photo ID cards for veterans and a program to help veteran’s survivors access benefits. And over the years, as new technology and other ways to improve the operation of the Clerk’s office became available, Carmella made sure that her office never stopped innovating. Two examples related to awards the Clerk’s office received from NACo help to illustrate Carmella’s commitment to using new advances to modernize her office, even if that advance replaced something which just a few years earlier had been recognized as a best practice. In 2010, the County Clerk’s office received an award for providing jurors waiting to be called for duty to receive payers so that they could be notified that they were due in court. In 2016, the office received an award for using
text messages to do the same thing. And in 2005, the Macomb County Clerk’s office was recognized for providing free bus rides to jurors, while in 2016, they received an award for providing jurors with Uber rides to the court.

Those who know Carmella Sabaugh well, as I do, know that her excellence in public service is matched by the quality of her friendship. In the many years I have known her, I have deeply appreciated her warmth, kindness, and generosity of spirit.

Mr. Speaker, Carmella Sabaugh provided the people of Macomb County with truly outstanding service for 40 years. I encourage my colleagues to join me in expressing deep appreciation for all she has done, and in wishing her well in retirement.
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Ms. DE LAURO. Mr. Speaker, I rise today, along with my colleagues, PETER A. DEFAZIO, DEBBIE DINGELL, KEITH ELLISON, MARCY KAPTUR, BARBARA LEE, SANDER M. LEVIN, JAMES P. MCGOVERN, BILL PASCRELL, JR., MARK POCAN, and ROBERT C. “BOBBY” SCOTT. It is our honor to join the many family, friends, and colleagues in extending our deepest thanks and appreciation to Thea Mei Lee, as she marks her retirement as Deputy Chief of Staff at the AFL-CIO.

Over the past twenty years, Thea has made an indelible impact on the AFL-CIO, fighting for trade and economic policies for working families that would promote shared prosperity and sustainable growth. Thea began working for the AFL-CIO in March 1997 as the Assistant Director for the Trade Task Force, and was subsequently promoted to the positions of Director of Public Policy, Chief International Economist and Deputy Chief of Staff.

Thea led the fight against harmful trade agreements and policies negotiated in a manner damaging to working people’s interests, including the North American Free Trade agreement, the Central American Free Trade Agreement, Permanent Normal Trade Relations with China, the World Trade Organization; the U.S.-Colombia Free Trade Agreement, the U.S.-Korea Free Trade Agreement, the U.S.-China BIT, and many others. Her work was instrumental to the defeat of the Trans-Pacific Partnership, the Free Trade Agreement of the Americas, and the Multilateral Investment Agreement—harmful trade agreements that would have advanced profits over people and the planet.

She has testified on behalf of America’s working people before both the U.S. House of Representatives and the U.S. Senate. And, she has contributed valued work to the conversation around fair trade, including co-authoring A Field Guide to the Global Economy. She has often made the case for a fair and inclusive economy—eloquently and passionately—on the PBS News Hour, CNN, Good Morning America, and NPR’s All Things Considered among others. In 2013, she was named a “Top Lobbyist” by The Hill.

Thea has fought to ensure that all workers around the globe are treated with dignity and afforded fundamental labor rights as recognized by the International Labor Organization. And, she has mobilized workers, helping turn out thousands of working people to protest unjust WTO policies at “The Battle in Seattle.”

The AFL-CIO sets a national standard in the fight for working Americans, in large part...
because of Thea’s work. She has left her mark on this outstanding organization and a legacy that will continue to inspire others to ensure that fair trade benefits working Americans. Her career should encourage all elected officials to continue to focus on building a better, stronger, more inclusive economy for all the people of the United States.

We are honored to stand today to extend our heartfelt thanks and congratulations to Thea Lee for her outstanding leadership and good work, as well as our very best wishes for many more years of health and happiness as she enjoys her retirement.

COMMEMORATING THE 73RD ANNIVERSARY OF D-DAY AND REMEMBERING THE MEMBERS OF THE GREATEST GENERATION WHO SAVED FREEDOM IN THE WORLD

HON. SHEILA JACKSON LEE
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, today, June 6, marks the 73rd anniversary of D-Day, the Allied Forces’ audacious amphibious landing at Normandy, France, on June 6, 1944.

This joint undertaking to liberate the continent of Europe from the clutches of an evil and aggressor enemy was the first and most emphatic demonstration of the invincible strength of democratic nations when acting collectively.

For nearly seventy years, from April 4, 1949 to January 20, 2017, peace in Europe has been secured and no adversary, not the old Soviet Union or the contemporary Russian Federation, has dared to risk war by attacking a European ally of the United States because every American president has made clear that the United States is committed to Article V of the North Atlantic Treaty which holds that an attack on any NATO member is regarded as an attack on all of them.

Mr. Speaker, “Operation Overlord,” as D-Day was formally known, was the largest single amphibious assault in the history of warfare.

The success of D-Day, which was far from certain at the outset, led to the liberation of Western Europe, signaled the death knell of European imperialism.

It is no exaggeration to say that D-Day changed the course of human history.

The aim of the meticulously planned D-Day operation was to open a second front in the European war theater from which the Allied Forces could attack the German army and push east to capture Berlin.

With the Russian Army advancing from the east, coupled with the southern front opened by the Allied invasion of Italy from North Africa in 1942, the opening of a western front would set in motion the pincer movement that would catch the German Army in a trap from which they would be no escape.

The formidable German Army expected that the Allied Forces would try to launch an invasion from the western beaches of France.

They just did not know when or where.

So in anticipation of an Allied invasion, the Nazis constructed the infamous Atlantic Wall, an extensive system of coastal fortifications built along the western coast of Europe and Scandinavia.

Under the direction of Field Marshal Rommel, the Atlantic Wall was reinforced by the addition of concrete pillboxes built along the beaches to house machine guns, antitank guns and light artillery.

Mines and anttank obstacles were planted on the beaches themselves and underwater obstacles and mines were placed in waters just off shore.

By the time of the D-Day landing, the Nazis had laid almost six million mines in northern France.

And awaiting Allied soldiers who made their way on and away from the beaches were gun emplacements and minefields extended inland.

“War is hell,” said General William Tecumseh Sherman during the Civil War.

And that is an apt description of what awaited the brave Allied warriors who set sail from England to the beaches of Normandy in the early morning of June 6, 1944, at the beginning of what has rightly been called “The Longest Day.”

But they were buoyed in their resolve by the millions of prayers of people from Americans and other back home, of all races, religions, and creeds, invoking the Lord’s blessing, mercy, and grace.

With the outcome in doubt, President Franklin Roosevelt asked the nation to join him in this solemn prayer:

Almighty God: Our sons, pride of our nation, this day have set upon a mighty endeavor, a struggle to preserve our Republic, our religion, and our civilization, and to set free a suffering humanity. Lead them straight and true; give strength to their arms, stoutness to their hearts, steadfastness in their faith. They will need Thy blessings.

For these men are lately drawn from the ways of peace. They fight not for the lust of conquest. They fight to end conquest. They fight to liberate.

They fight to let justice arise, and tolerance and goodwill among all Thy people. They yearn but for the end of battle, for their return to the haven of home.

The prayers were needed because the cost of D-Day was high; U.S. casualties on D-Day totaled more than 2,489 dead, 3,184 wounded, 1,928 missing, and 26 captured.

Our British and Canadian allies suffered terrible losses on D-Day as well: approximately 2,700 for the British and 946 for the Canadians. German casualties are estimated at 4,000 to 9,000.

In total, the number of combatants killed, wounded or missing in the Battle of Normandy for both sides exceeded 425,000, not including the estimated 15,000 to 20,000 French civilians killed.

But D-Day was a success.

More than 156,000 troops or paratroopers came ashore on D-Day, 73,000 from the U.S., 83,000 from Great Britain and Canada.

By the end of June 11, D-Day plus 5, 326,547 troops, 54,186 vehicles and 104,428 tons of supplies had come ashore.

And with them they carried for the victory in Europe that would come less than a year later, on May 8, 1945, with the fall of Berlin and the unconditional surrender of the Nazis.

On the eve of the Normandy invasion, General Dwight D. Eisenhower, the Supreme Commander of the Allied Forces, addressed the soldiers, sailors, and airmen of the Allied Expeditionary Forces and said to them that they were about to embark upon a “Great Crusade,” and that the “eyes of the world” would be upon them.

He told them that their task would not be easy because the “enemy is well trained, well equipped and battle-hardened. He will fight savagely.”

But General Eisenhower said, “this is the year 1944. The tide has turned. The free men of the world are marching together to victory.”

And march to victory they did, fully justifying General Eisenhower’s “confidence in their courage, devotion to duty, and skill in battle.”

Because of the heroism of these men who willingly risked their lives to be the tip of the spear of liberty, the war was won and a world was saved for freedom.

Mr. Speaker, D-Day was, and remains, a day like no other in the history of man’s sojourn on earth.

We remember Gettysburg.

There, President Lincoln paid tribute to those “who gave their lives so that the nation might live.”

It is equally fitting and proper that we never forget D-Day and that we continue to honor those who risked all and gave all so that the world could remain free.

IN RECOGNITION OF FLUTONIC HARMONICS FLUTE STUDIO

HON. BARBARA COMSTOCK
OF VIRGINIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mrs. COMSTOCK. Mr. Speaker, I rise to recognize a local flute studio and flute choir in my district, Flutonic Harmonics, for their hard work, dedication to the arts, and immense achievements for which they have attained national recognition over the past years. Local bands, dance teams, choirs, or other performing groups the opportunity to showcase their talents on a national stage are few and far between. Flutonic Harmonics are vital to engaging our youth and expanding their educational experience beyond the classroom.

Flutonic Harmonics is led by my constituent, Michelle Rubis, of Purcellville, Virginia, and under her leadership they have experienced tremendous success. Last year, the flute choir performed before the entire Purcellville Town Council and subsequently at the Universal STARS Performance Program. This annual event takes place at Universal Studios in Orlando, Florida, and gives some of the nation’s most prestigious marching bands, concert bands, dance teams, choirs, or other performing groups the opportunity to showcase their talents on a national stage in front of thousands of Universal Studios visitors. Additionally, this program allows for these young musicians to interact with other talented artists around the country.

This coming June, Flutonic Harmonics will be recording their recital and sending it to several famous venues in New York, Boston, and even Italy for consideration. Mr. Speaker, I ask that my colleagues join me in honoring Flutonic Harmonics and Michelle Rubis for their participation in Universal STARS Performance Program and for their exemplary contributions to our community.
dedication, impressive talent, and noteworthy accomplishments. I wish them all the best in their future endeavors and look forward to seeing all they will accomplish in the years to come.

HONORING MALCOLM STOKELY
HON. ANDY BARR
OF KENTUCKY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. BARR. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor a very special individual, Mr. Malcolm Stokely, of Clark County, Kentucky. Mr. Stokely, a part of the Greatest Generation, answered his nation’s call to service during World War II.

Mr. Stokely enlisted in the United States Army on March 28, 1945. After training in Texas, he was sent to Missouri to board a massive train that transported thousands of troops across the Rocky Mountains to Portland, Oregon. The train then boarded a ship, which, unknown to them, was part of a top-secret invasion party of hundreds of ships and thousands of troops headed for Japan. While en route, the United States dropped the first atomic bomb on Japan, which was ineffective in stopping the war. After the second bomb was dropped and Japan surrendered, the troops were told they had actually been headed for Japan and thousands of them likely would have died in battle. After the surrender, the ships turned around. Mr. Stokely’s ship landed in Hawaii where he served out the remainder of his tour in the signal corps. He was discharged on December 9, 1946.

Mr. Stokely returned home to lead an active and productive life. At the age of 93, he is a regular on the golf course and leads an aerobics class. He is very active in his church, Ephesus Baptist, where he serves as a deacon and choir leader.

The bravery of Mr. Stokely and his fellow men and women of the United States military is heroic. Because they were willing to serve our great nation, our freedoms have been preserved for our generation and for future generations. He is truly an outstanding American patriot. It is my honor to recognize him before the United States House of Representatives.

HONORING MR. VERNE ALLISON AND THE DELLS
HON. BILLY LONG
OF MISSOURI
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Mr. LONG. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Granby First Church of God on 100 years of service and dedication to the Granby community.

Granby First Church of God, which was first known as the “old rock church,” opened its doors in 1917. Since then, the church has welcomed thousands of people from all different walks of life. When Joplin was hit by a devastating tornado in May of 2011, Granby First Church of God didn’t hesitate to open its doors for people outside their community. As people came in to volunteer and help with clean-up, they were allowed to use the Family Life Center as a place to stay.

Granby First Church of God is vital to the Granby, Missouri community. I am honored to recognize Granby First Church of God and its dedication to not only southwest Missouri, but the many communities throughout the area. On behalf of Missouri’s 7th Congressional District I ask all of my colleagues to join me in congratulating Granby First Church of God on 100 years of service.

HONORING THE VICTIMS AND HISTORY OF THE MS “ST. LOUIS”
HON. NYDIA M. VELÁZQUEZ
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, June 6, 2017

Ms. VELÁZQUEZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the 78th anniversary of the day that 937 mostly Jewish refugees aboard the MS St. Louis were denied entry to the United States.

In the summer of 1939, fleeing unspeakable horrors under Hitler’s regime, men, women, and children set sail in search of refuge. After being denied entry to the United States, Canada, and Cuba, many refugees were eventually accepted into several European countries. Over a quarter, however, were not so lucky and lost their lives in concentration camps.

The Brooklyn Synagogue Coalition for Refugees is one of many Jewish communities across the country that will gather to hold a candlelight vigil to honor the victims and history of this anniversary.

At a time when acts of fear and intolerance have dictated policies to yet again shunt America’s doors to those fleeing harm, we must remain committed to ensuring that America is and will continue to be a place of hope and second chances.

I’d like to thank the Brooklyn Synagogue Coalition for Refugees for their hard work and dedication to the Jewish community. As we reflect on this tragic occasion, let us recommit to ensuring that no one who is in desperate need is turned away from our country’s shores.
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Ms. VELÁZQUEZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the 78th anniversary of the day that 937 mostly Jewish refugees aboard the MS St. Louis were denied entry to the United States.

In the summer of 1939, fleeing unspeakable horrors under Hitler’s regime, men, women, and children set sail in search of refuge. After being denied entry to the United States, Canada, and Cuba, many refugees were eventually accepted into several European countries. Over a quarter, however, were not so lucky and lost their lives in concentration camps.

The Brooklyn Synagogue Coalition for Refugees is one of many Jewish communities across the country that will gather to hold a candlelight vigil to honor the victims and history of this anniversary.

At a time when acts of fear and intolerance have dictated policies to yet again shunt America’s doors to those fleeing harm, we must remain committed to ensuring that America is and will continue to be a place of hope and second chances.
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As a tolerant and secular Muslim-majority nation, Azerbaijan is dedicated to religious pluralism and ensuring the right of religious freedom. Azerbaijan is home to a thriving Jewish community and since the early 1990s, bilateral relations between Azerbaijan and Israel have grown in the fields of intelligence sharing, security coordination and economic development.

I commend Azerbaijan for their participation in the international community as a member of the United Nations (UN), North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) and the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE). Azerbaijan is a strong partner of the United States in a strategically important and complex region of the world. I ask my colleagues to join me in congratulating the Azerbaijani people on their National Republic Day.

As an unwavering U.S. ally, Azerbaijan has provided vital resources for U.S. and NATO forces and fought side-by-side with U.S. forces and provided vital resources for U.S. and NATO forces and fought side-by-side with U.S. forces and provided vital resources for U.S. and NATO forces and fought side-by-side with U.S. forces. After the collapse of the Soviet Union, Azerbaijan followed the example of other former Soviet republics and declared independence on August 20, 1991.

I wish them many more years of continued learning and success.
stood up against hate and injustice. Rick, Taliesin, and Micah make us proud to be Oregonians. This resolution is to honor these heroes, help our community heal, and allow for introspection and broader discussion. As we begin the process of healing, we must all continue to protect one another, help one another, and work for peace and justice. I urge the House to pass this resolution.

Ms. VELÁZQUEZ. Mr. Speaker, it is my honor to congratulate the Brooklyn Chinese-American Association (BCA) on its 29th Anniversary Celebration. BCA offers life-changing services to numerous communities that have become collectively known as “Brooklyn Chinatown”.

Since its establishment in 1988, BCA has helped to meet the needs of Brooklyn’s rapidly growing Asian-American community. Their daycare programs nurture children and their senior centers give the elderly a chance to meet, socialize and stay active.

Through adult educational programs, BCA helps immigrants adjust to their new communities. Further, by providing naturalization assistance, BCA aids applicants in the process of becoming an American citizen.

BCA is committed to preserving and revitalizing local neighborhoods, supporting clean-up campaigns and fighting for crime prevention and victim’s rights.

Thanks to the hard work of the staff and community at BCA, Brooklyn’s communities are a better place to live, work and celebrate a diverse cultural heritage.
Daily Digest

Senate

Chamber Action

Routine Proceedings, pages S3253–S3299

Measures Introduced: Seven bills and one resolution were introduced, as follows: S. 1291–1297, and S.J. Res. 44.

Measures Reported

S. 134, to expand the prohibition on misleading or inaccurate caller identification information, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute. (S. Rept. No. 115–91)

S. 1221, to counter the influence of the Russian Federation in Europe and Eurasia, with amendments.

Measures Passed:

Department of Veterans Affairs Accountability and Whistleblower Protection Act: Senate passed S. 1094, to amend title 38, United States Code, to improve the accountability of employees of the Department of Veterans Affairs, after agreeing to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute.

Star Print—Agreement: A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing that S. Res. 184, relative to the death of James Paul David “Jim” Bunning, former United States Senator for the Commonwealth of Kentucky, be star printed.

Countering Iran’s Destabilizing Activities Act—Agreement: A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing that at approximately 9:30 a.m., on Wednesday, June 7, 2017, Senate resume consideration of the motion to proceed to consideration of S. 722, to impose sanctions with respect to Iran in relation to Iran’s ballistic missile program, support for acts of international terrorism, and violations of human rights.

Nomination Confirmed: Senate confirmed the following nomination:

By 67 yeas to 33 nays (Vote No. EX. 139), Courtney Elwood, of Virginia, to be General Counsel of the Central Intelligence Agency.

Nominations Received: Senate received the following nominations:

Richard V. Spencer, of Wyoming, to be Secretary of the Navy.

Charles Douglas Stimson, of Virginia, to be General Counsel of the Department of the Navy.

Owen West, of Connecticut, to be an Assistant Secretary of Defense.

Joseph Orting, of Nevada, to be Comptroller of the Currency for a term of five years.

Elizabeth Erin Walsh, of the District of Columbia, to be Assistant Secretary of Commerce and Director General of the United States and Foreign Commercial Service.

Steven Gill Bradbury, of Virginia, to be General Counsel of the Department of Transportation.

David P. Pekoske, of Maryland, to be an Assistant Secretary of Homeland Security.

Annie Caputo, of Virginia, to be a Member of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission for the term of five years expiring June 30, 2022.

Kristine L. Svinicki, of Virginia, to be a Member of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission for the term of five years expiring June 30, 2022.

David Wright, of South Carolina, to be a Member of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission for the term of five years expiring June 30, 2020.

Robert Charrow, of Maryland, to be General Counsel of the Department of Health and Human Services.

Stephen Parente, of Minnesota, to be an Assistant Secretary of Health and Human Services.

David Steele Bohigian, of Missouri, to be Executive Vice President of the Overseas Private Investment Corporation.

Ray Washburne, of Texas, to be President of the Overseas Private Investment Corporation.

Peter Louis Oppenheim, of Maryland, to be Assistant Secretary for Legislation and Congressional Affairs, Department of Education.

Carlos G. Muniz, of Florida, to be General Counsel, Department of Education.

Brian Allen Benczkowski, of Virginia, to be an Assistant Attorney General.

2 Air Force nominations in the rank of general.

2 Army nominations in the rank of general.
1 Marine Corps nomination in the rank of general.
3 Navy nominations in the rank of admiral.
Routine lists in the Foreign Service.

Executive Communications: Pages S3287–99
Executive Reports of Committees: Page S3294
Additional Cosponsors: Pages S3294–96
Statements on Introduced Bills/Resolutions: Page S3296
Additional Statements: Pages S3284–87
Amendments Submitted: Pages S3296–97
Authorities for Committees to Meet: Page S3297
Privileges of the Floor: Page S3297
Record Votes: One record vote was taken today. (Total—139) Pages S3260–61

Adjournment: Senate convened at 10 a.m. and adjourned at 6:25 p.m., until 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, June 7, 2017. (For Senate’s program, see the remarks of the Majority Leader in today’s Record on page S3297.)

Committee Meetings

(Appropriations not listed did not meet)

APPROPRIATIONS: DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Committee on Appropriations: Subcommittee on Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies concluded a hearing to examine proposed budget estimates and justification for fiscal year 2018 for the Department of Education, after receiving testimony from Betsy DeVos, Secretary of Education.

APPROPRIATIONS: MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING

Committee on Appropriations: Subcommittee on Military Construction and Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies concluded a hearing to examine proposed budget estimates and justification for fiscal year 2018 for Department of Defense military construction and family housing, after receiving testimony from Peter J. Potochney, Acting Assistant Secretary for Energy, Installations and Environment, Lieutenant General Gwendolyn Bingham, USA, Assistant Chief of Staff for Installation Management, Vice Admiral Dixon R. Smith, USN, Deputy Chief of Naval Operations for Fleet Readiness and Logistics, Major General John J. Broadmeadow, USMC, Commander, Marine Corps Installations Command, and Assistant Deputy Commandant, Installations and Logistics (Facilities), and Major General Timothy S. Green, USAF, Director of Civil Engineers, Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics, Engineering and Force Protection, all of the Department of Defense.

DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION REQUEST AND FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE PROGRAM

Committee on Armed Services: Committee concluded a hearing to examine Marine Corps ground modernization in review of the Defense Authorization Request for fiscal year 2018 and the Future Years Defense Program, after receiving testimony from Heather A. Wilson, Secretary, and General David L. Goldfein, USAF, Chief of Staff, both of the Air Force, Department of Defense.

DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION REQUEST AND FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE PROGRAM


NOMINATIONS

Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs: Committee concluded a hearing to examine the nominations of Kevin Allen Hassett, of Massachusetts, to be Chairman of the Council of Economic Advisers, who was introduced by Senator Portman, and Pamela Hughes Patenaude, of New Hampshire, to be Deputy Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, who was introduced by Senator Shaheen, after the nominees testified and answered questions in their own behalf.

BUSINESS MEETING

Committee on Energy and Natural Resources: Committee ordered favorably reported the nominations of Dan R. Brouillette, of Texas, to be Deputy Secretary of Energy, Neil Chatterjee, of Kentucky, and Robert F. Powelson, of Pennsylvania, each to be a Member of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, and David Bernhardt, of Virginia, to be Deputy Secretary of the Interior.
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
BUDGET

Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs: Committee concluded a hearing to examine the President’s proposed budget request for fiscal year 2018 for the Department of Homeland Security, after receiving testimony from John F. Kelly, Secretary of Homeland Security.

INTELLIGENCE

Select Committee on Intelligence: Committee held closed hearings on intelligence matters, receiving testimony from officials of the intelligence community.

Committee recessed subject to the call.

House of Representatives

Chamber Action

Public Bills and Resolutions Introduced: 22 public bills, H.R. 2772–2793; 1 private bill, H.R. 2794; and 2 resolutions, H.J. Res. 105; and H. Res. 376, were introduced.

Additional Cosponsors:

Reports Filed: Reports were filed today as follows:

H. Res. 374, providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2213) to amend the Anti-Border Corruption Act of 2010 to authorize certain polygraph waiver authority, and for other purposes (H. Rept. 115–162); and

H. Res. 375, providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 10) to create hope and opportunity for investors, consumers, and entrepreneurs by ending bailouts and Too Big to Fail, holding Washington and Wall Street accountable, eliminating red tape to increase access to capital and credit, and repealing the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act that make America less prosperous, less stable, and less free, and for other purposes (H. Rept. 115–163).

Speaker: Read a letter from the Speaker wherein he appointed Representative Bergman to act as Speaker pro tempore for today.

Recess: The House recessed at 12:19 p.m. and reconvened at 2 p.m.

Western Hemisphere Drug Policy Commission—Appointment: Read a letter from Representative Pelosi, Minority Leader, in which she appointed the following individual to the Western Hemisphere Drug Policy Commission: Mr. Pete Gallego of Alpine, Texas.

Harry S. Truman Scholarship Foundation—Reappointment: The Chair announced the Speaker’s reappointment of the following Member on the part of the House to the Board of Trustees of the Harry S. Truman Scholarship Foundation: Representative Deutch.

Recess: The House recessed at 2:08 p.m. and reconvened at 4:33 p.m.

Suspensions: The House agreed to suspend the rules and pass the following measures:

Condemning the violence against peaceful protesters outside the Turkish Ambassador’s residence on May 16, 2017, and calling for the perpetrators to be brought to justice and measures to be taken to prevent similar incidents in the future: H. Res. 354, amended, condemning the violence against peaceful protesters outside the Turkish Ambassador’s residence on May 16, 2017, and calling for the perpetrators to be brought to justice and measures to be taken to prevent similar incidents in the future, by a 2/3 yea-and-nay vote of 397 yeas with none voting “nay”, Roll No. 286;

Pages H4628–32, H4640–41

Iraq and Syria Genocide Emergency Relief and Accountability Act of 2017: H.R. 390, amended, to provide for emergency relief to victims of genocide, crimes against humanity, and war crimes in Iraq and Syria, and to provide accountability for perpetrators of these crimes;

Agreed to amend the title so as to read: “To provide emergency relief for victims of genocide, crimes against humanity, and war crimes in Iraq and Syria, for accountability for perpetrators of these crimes, and for other purposes.”.

Pages H4632–38

Condemning in the strongest terms the terrorist attacks in Manchester, United Kingdom, on May 22, 2017, expressing heartfelt condolences, and reaffirming unwavering support for the special relationship between our peoples and nations in the wake of these attacks: H. Res. 355, amended, condemning in the strongest terms the terrorist attacks in Manchester, United Kingdom, on May 22, 2017, expressing heartfelt condolences, and reaffirming unwavering support for the special relationship between our peoples and nations in the wake of these attacks,
by a ⅔ yea-and-nay vote of 397 yeas with none voting “nay”, Roll No. 287; and

Agreed to amend the title so as to read: “Condemning in the strongest terms the terrorist attacks in Manchester, United Kingdom, on May 22, 2017, and in London, United Kingdom, on June 3, 2017, expressing heartfelt condolences, and reaffirming unwavering support for the special relationship between our peoples and nations in the wake of these attacks.”.

Recess: The House recessed at 5:39 p.m. and reconvened at 6:29 p.m.

Quorum Calls—Votes: Two yea-and-nay votes developed during the proceedings of today and appear on pages H4640–41 and H4641–42. There were no quorum calls.

Adjournment: The House met at 12 noon and adjourned at 7:23 p.m.

Committee Meetings

FINANCIAL CHOICE ACT OF 2017; ANTI-BORDER CORRUPTION REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2017

Committee on Rules: Full Committee held a hearing on H.R. 10, the “Financial CHOICE Act of 2017”; and H.R. 2213, the “Anti-Border Corruption Reauthorization Act of 2017”. The Committee granted, by voice vote, a structured rule for H.R. 2213. The rule provides one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Homeland Security. The rule waives all points of order against consideration of the bill. The rule provides that an amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Homeland Security now printed in the bill shall be considered as adopted, and the bill, as amended, shall be considered as read. The rule waives all points of order against provisions in the bill, as amended. The rule makes in order only the further amendment printed in the Rules Committee report, if offered by the Member designated in the report, which shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report. The rule waives all points of order against the amendments printed in part B of the report.

Joint Meetings

COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR WEDNESDAY,
JUNE 7, 2017

(Committee meetings are open unless otherwise indicated)

Senate

Committee on Appropriations: Subcommittee on Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies, to hold hearings to examine proposed budget estimates and justification for fiscal year 2018 for the USDA Forest Service, 9:30 a.m., SD–124.

Subcommittee on Department of Defense, to hold hearings to examine proposed budget estimates and justification for fiscal year 2018 for the Army, 10:30 a.m., SD–192.

Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development, to hold hearings to examine proposed budget estimates and justification for fiscal year 2018 for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 2:30 p.m., SD–138.

Subcommittee on Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies, to hold hearings to examine proposed budget estimates and justification for fiscal year 2018 for the Department of Housing and Urban Development, 2:30 p.m., SD–192.

Subcommittee on Legislative Branch, to hold hearings to examine proposed budget estimates and justification for fiscal year 2018 for the Library of Congress and the Architect of the Capitol, 3 p.m., SD–124.
Committee on Armed Services: Subcommittee on Strategic Forces, to hold hearings to examine Department of Defense nuclear acquisition programs and the nuclear doctrine, 2:30 p.m., SR–222.

Committee on the Budget: to hold hearings to examine the nomination of Russell Vought, of Virginia, to be Deputy Director of the Office of Management and Budget, 2:30 p.m., SD–608.

Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation: to hold hearings to examine Federal Aviation Administration reauthorization, focusing on administration perspectives, 10 a.m., SR–255.

Committee on Energy and Natural Resources: Subcommittee on National Parks, to hold hearings to examine working to improve the National Park Service workplace environment, 2:30 p.m., SD–366.

Committee on Finance: to hold hearings to examine the nominations of Eric D. Hargan, of Illinois, to be Deputy Secretary of Health and Human Services, and David Malpass, of New York, to be an Under Secretary, Andrew K. Maloney, of Virginia, to be a Deputy Under Secretary, and Brent James McIntosh, of Michigan, to be General Counsel, all of the Department of the Treasury, 10:15 a.m., SD–215.

Committee on Foreign Relations: business meeting to consider the nomination of William Francis Hagerty IV, of Tennessee, to be Ambassador to Japan, Time to be announced, S–216, Capitol.

Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs: to hold hearings to examine the nominations of Neomi Rao, of the District of Columbia, to be Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, and Russell Vought, of Virginia, to be Deputy Director, both of the Office of Management and Budget, and Brock Long, of North Carolina, to be Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency, Department of Homeland Security, 10 a.m., SD–342.

Committee on Veterans’ Affairs: to hold hearings to examine the Veterans Choice Program and the future of care in the community, 2:30 p.m., SR–418.

Select Committee on Intelligence: to hold hearings to examine Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, 10 a.m., SH–216.

Full Committee, to hold closed hearings to examine certain intelligence matters, 2 p.m., SH–219.

House

Committee on Agriculture, Full Committee, hearing entitled “The Next Farm Bill: The Future of International Food Aid and Agricultural Development”, 10 a.m., 1300 Longworth.

Committee on Appropriations, Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies, budget hearing on the Department of Labor, 10 a.m., 2358–C Rayburn.

Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, Science and Related Agencies, budget hearing on the National Science Foundation, 10:30 a.m., 2359 Rayburn.

Committee on Armed Services, Subcommittee on Strategic Forces, hearing entitled “Fiscal Year 2018 Priorities and Posture of Missile Defeat Programs and Activities”, 2 p.m., 2212 Rayburn.

Committee on Tactical Air and Land Forces, hearing entitled “Combat Aviation Modernization Programs and the Fiscal Year 2018 Budget Request”, 3:30 p.m., 2118 Rayburn.

Committee on the Budget, Full Committee, hearing entitled “The Economic and Fiscal Benefits of Pro-Growth Policies”, 10 a.m., 1334 Longworth.

Committee on Energy and Commerce, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 358, to promote a 21st century energy and manufacturing workforce; H.R. 627, the “Streamlining Energy Efficiency for Schools Act of 2017”; H.R. 723, the “Energy Savings Through Public-Private Partnerships Act of 2017”; H.R. 1109, to amend section 203 of the Federal Power Act; H.R. 446, to extend the deadline for commencement of construction of a hydroelectric project; H.R. 447, to extend the deadline for commencement of construction of a hydroelectric project; H.R. 951, to extend the deadline for commencement of construction of a hydroelectric project; H.R. 2122, to restate and extend the deadline for commencement of construction of a hydroelectric project involving Jennings Randolph Dam; H.R. 2274, the “HYPE Act”; H.R. 2292, to extend a project of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission involving the Canonsville Dam; H.R. 2457, the “J. Bennett Johnston Waterway Hydropower Extension Act of 2017”; H.R. 1222, the “Congenital Heart Futures Reauthorization Act of 2017”; H.R. 1492, the “Medical Controlled Substances Transportation Act of 2017”; H.R. 2410, the “Sickle Cell Disease Research, Surveillance, Prevention, and Treatment Act of 2017”; and H.R. 2430, the “FDA Reauthorization Act of 2017”, 10 a.m., 2123 Rayburn.

Committee on Financial Services, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Flood Insurance Reform: A Taxpayer’s Perspective”, 10:30 a.m., 2128 Rayburn.

Committee on Foreign Affairs, Subcommittee on the Western Hemisphere, hearing entitled “Energy Opportunities in North America”, 10 a.m., 2172 Rayburn.


Committee on Natural Resources, Subcommittee on Energy and Mineral Resources, hearing entitled “Oversight Hearing on the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement’s Abandoned Mine Lands Program”, 10 a.m., 1324 Longworth.

Subcommittee on Indian, Insular, and Alaska Native Affairs, hearing on H.R. 1074, to repeal the Act entitled “An Act to confer jurisdiction on the State of Iowa over offenses committed by or against Indians on the Sac and Fox Indian Reservation”; H.R. 1901, the “Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium Land Transfer Act of 2017”; and S. 249, to provide that the pueblo of Santa Clara may lease for 99 years certain restricted land, and for other purposes, 2 p.m., 1324 Longworth.

Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Fast and Furious, Six Years Later”, 9:30 a.m., 2154 Rayburn.

Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, Subcommittee on Coast Guard and Maritime Transportation, hearing entitled “Building a 21st Century Infrastructure for America: Coast Guard Sea, Land, and Air Capabilities”, 10 a.m., 2167 Rayburn.

Committee on Veterans’ Affairs, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Overcoming PTSD: Assessing VA’s Efforts to Promote Wellness and Healing”, 10 a.m., 334 Cannon.

Committee on Ways and Means, Subcommittee on Health, hearing entitled “Medicare Advantage Hearing on Promoting Integrated and Coordinated Care for Medicare Beneficiaries”, 2 p.m., 1100 Longworth.
Next Meeting of the SENATE
9:30 a.m., Wednesday, June 7

Program for Wednesday: Senate will resume consideration of the motion to proceed to consideration of S. 722, Countering Iran’s Destabilizing Activities Act, and vote on the motion to invoke cloture on the motion to proceed to consideration of the bill at 10:30 a.m.

Next Meeting of the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
10 a.m., Wednesday, June 7
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