

Updated September 11, 2025

The Army's M-1E3 Abrams Tank Modernization Program

Background

The M-1 Abrams Tank (**Figure 1**) is designed to maneuver under fire and destroy enemy armored forces on the battlefield. The M-1 is named for General Creighton Abrams, a noted World War II armored battalion commander who later served as Army Chief of Staff from 1972 to 1974. M-1 Abrams tanks are the primary weapon system in Armored Brigade Combat Teams (ABCTs). At present, the Active Army has 11 ABCTs, and the Army National Guard has 5 ABCTs. Each ABCT has 87 M-1 Abrams tanks.

Figure 1. M-1A2 Abrams Tank



Source: U.S. Army Acquisition Support Center, <https://asc.army.mil/web/portfolio-item/abrams-main-battle-tank/>, accessed September 8, 2023.

The M-1 program began in 1971. In 1973, two contracts were awarded by the Army for prototype development to the Defense Division of Chrysler Corporation (which in 1982 became General Dynamics Land Systems [GDLS]) and the Detroit Diesel Allison Division of General Motors (GM). In 1988, GDLS was awarded a contract for the M-1A2 version, and the first M-1A2s began to enter service in late 1992. The M-1A2 is the baseline export version of this tank. The United States has sold M-1A1 and M-1A2 variants to Australia, Egypt, Iraq, Morocco, Kuwait, and Saudi Arabia via Foreign Military Sales (FMS) and, in 2023, provided Ukraine with 31 M-1 Abrams tanks.

Ongoing M-1 Abrams Modernization Efforts

M-1 Abrams modernization efforts had been focused on fielding an M-1A2 System Enhancement Package (SEP) Version 3 (v3) and developing a new M-1A2 SE Pv4 variant. According to the Army, both M-1A2 SE Pv3 production and M-1A2 SE Pv4 development began in FY2018. In FY2020, the first unit was equipped with M-1A2 SE Pv3. In 2020, GDLS received a \$4.6 billion contract

for SE Pv3 upgrades, which are expected to be completed by June 2028.

The M-1E3 Abrams Modernization Program

On September 6, 2023, the Army announced it would “close out the M-1A2 SE Pv4 effort and develop the M-1E3 Abrams.” The Army’s announcement quoted Major General Glenn Dean, Program Executive Officer for Ground Combat Systems, describing the rationale for the decision:

The Abrams Tank can no longer grow its capabilities without adding weight, and we need to reduce its logistical footprint. The war in Ukraine has highlighted a critical need for integrated protections for soldiers, built from within instead of adding on.

The Army announcement elaborated that the new platform

[w]ill include the best features of the M-1A2 SE Pv4 and will comply with the latest modular open systems architecture standards, allowing quicker technology upgrades and requiring fewer resources. This will enable the Army and its commercial partners to design a more survivable, lighter tank that will be more effective on the battlefield at initial fielding, and easier to upgrade in the future.

Regarding M-1A2 SE Pv3 production, the Army noted that

the Army will continue to produce the M-1A2 SE Pv3 at a reduced rate until production transitions to the M-1E3 Abrams.

The Army originally projected achieving M-1E3 Initial Operational Capability (IOC) in 2030.

Potential M-1E3 Features and Capabilities

While the Army has not made public the M-1E3’s design requirements, reportedly a 2019 Army Science Board study on a future tank influenced senior Army leadership to establish the M-1E3 program. The Army Science Board study reportedly recommended a \$2.9 billion, seven-year program to develop a “fifth generation combat vehicle,” with proposed capabilities including

- a hybrid electric drive;
- an autoloader and new main gun;
- advanced munitions, such as maneuvering hypersonic and gun-launched anti-tank guided missiles;
- integrated armor protection;

- improved command, control, and networking capabilities;
- artificial intelligence (AI) applications;
- ability to pair with robotic vehicles; and
- masking capabilities to reduce the vehicle's thermal and electromagnetic signatures.

In October 2022, GDLS reportedly unveiled the Abrams X Technology Demonstrator (**Figure 2**). Some Abrams X features reportedly include

- reduced weight (10 tons less than the current M-1 Abrams);
- a hybrid electric diesel engine 50% more fuel efficient than the current Abrams;
- an unmanned turret which would reduce the crew from four to three soldiers;
- enhanced armor to protect against bombs dropped by drones;
- ability to communicate with unmanned aerial vehicles; and
- an onboard AI system that could both alert the crew to long-range threats and prioritize fires against multiple threats.

While the Abrams X might not meet all of the Army's eventual requirements for the M-1E3, it is seen as demonstrating current tank design capabilities.

Original Program Timeline

Reportedly, in early May 2024, the Army awarded a contract to GDLS allowing the Army to work closely with GDLS to shape requirements for the M-1E3. The Army planned to bring the M-1E3 into service along a similar timeline as the XM-30 Mechanized Infantry Combat Vehicle. Over the next 18 months, the Army and GDLS planned to work through a number of technology maturation efforts, including autoloader capabilities for the main gun to facilitate an unmanned turret, alternate power trains, and an active protection system (APS) designed to protect the M-1E3 from anti-tank guided missiles, rocket-propelled grenades, and threats from a variety of armed aerial drones and loitering munitions.

Army to Accelerate M-1E3 Modernization

Reportedly, the Army now plans to significantly accelerate the M-1E3 development timeline, with the Army intending to cut the timeline down to one-third of the original 2030 projection, and now intends to field the M-1E3 within 24 to 30 months. The Army further noted that integrating an autoloader for the M-1E3 may take additional time due to developmental challenges and that it wants to integrate an APS into the M-1E3 design instead of installing a separate stand-alone APS kit.

M-1E3 Prototypes in 2026

Reportedly, in September 2025, the Chief of Staff of the Army (CSA) stated that four M-1E3 prototypes would be

operational within Army formations at some point in 2026. The CSA further noted that

the new tanks will be completely software-driven, require a smaller crew, be modular, and will be equipped with an active protection system. Once the Army receives them, crews will try the tanks out and then decide what they need.

It was further noted that no decision had been made on which units will receive the four tank prototypes in 2026.

Figure 2. General Dynamics Land Systems (GDLS) Abrams X



Source: Breaking Defense, <https://breakingdefense.com/2023/03/armys-fy24-budget-request-doesnt-include-funding-for-new-abrams-prototype/>, accessed September 8, 2023.

Potential Oversight Considerations for Congress

Potential oversight considerations for Congress could include the following:

- What is the Army's detailed program plan for reduced M-1A2 SEPv3 production?
- How will funds appropriated for M-1A2 SEPv3 production be reallocated?
- What is the economic impact on the defense industrial base participating in M-1A2 SEPv3 production, in particular, the smaller companies involved in the program?
- Will the M-1E3 replace current M-1 Abrams tanks in service on a one-for-one basis, or will the Army maintain a mixed fleet of tanks?
- Will Army National Guard ABCTs receive M-1E3s?
- How many years will it take to field M-1E3s to all of the Army's ABCTs?
- Will M-1E3s be authorized for Foreign Military Sales (FMS)?

Additional Reference

CRS In Focus IF12094, *The Army's XM-30 Mechanized Infantry Combat Vehicle (Formerly Known as the Optionally Manned Fighting Vehicle [OMFV])*, by Andrew Feickert.

Andrew Feickert, Specialist in Military Ground Forces

IF12495

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.